**Agenda - Executive Policy Committee – June 21, 2006**

## REPORTS

**Item No. 7 Eaglemere–DeGroot Green Park - Land Dedication Reserve**
**File EP-2**

COMMUNITY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On June 13, 2006, the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee recommended to the Executive Policy Committee:

1. That funding to assist with the cost of two seating areas each with a bench, two trees, a small shrub bed, and a trash basket as improvements to DeGroot Green Park, in the amount of $8,000.00, be allocated from the East Kildonan-Transcona Land Dedication Reserve Fund.

2. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the intent of the foregoing.

DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

COMMUNITY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On June 13, 2006, the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee recommended:

1. That funding to assist with the cost of two seating areas each with a bench, two trees, a small shrub bed, and a trash basket as improvements to DeGroot Green Park, in the amount of $8,000.00, be allocated from the East Kildonan-Transcona Land Dedication Reserve Fund.

2. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the intent of the foregoing.

and submitted the matter to the Executive Policy Committee.

On May 9, 2006, the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee requested the Administration to provide a break-down of fees as it relates to each of the individual improvements suggested for DeGroot Green and John H. Coulter parks and report back to its meeting to be held on June 13, 2006.

On April 11, 2006, the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee laid consideration of the matter over to its meeting to be held on May 9, 2006.

On March 21, 2006, the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee directed the Administration provide a report to the meeting of the Community Committee to be held on April 11, 2006 on the requested report on suggestions raised regarding the Eaglemere neighbourhood.

On February 13, 2006, the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee requested the Administration to not only consider a spring vehicle and pedestrian volume study but also site lines, recent accidents, and other associated problems when reporting back on the intersection at Molson Street and Golden Eagle Drive to the meeting of the Community Committee to be held on May 9, 2006.

On June 14, 2005, the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee referred the matter to the Administration to report back on the suggestions raised regarding the Eaglemere neighbourhood, including related costs.

**From:** Bell, Jason
**Sent:** June 13, 2006 2:48 PM
**To:** Skundberg, Inga
**Cc:** Thomas, Lillian; Livingstone, Darryl

**Subject:** Estimates for repairs and improvements to parks in the Elmwood Ward.

Hi Inga,

Here are some rough figures that may assist Councillor Thomas in deciding how she may wish to allocate some improvement funds from Land Dedication Reserve.

A typical park bench (Tache style or recycled composite material style) costs $1500.00 to supply and install. This is the standard type of park bench, and it is promoted for the same price in our "Donate a Bench" program.

A 2" caliper tree, supplied and planted, guaranteed for 2 years and water 5 times per year during that period, costs $400.00 each

Crushed limestone installed for a park bench/picnic table pad or a park pathway is about $27.00 per square meter.

An expanded metal mesh trash basket supplied and installed with a gravel pad, attachment post and chain with lock costs $325.00.

Shrubs, 2 to 5 gallon size, cost $125.00 each to supply, install and guarantee for 2 years.

A shrub bed costs about $40.00 per square meter to supply and install.

For de Groot Green I would suggest two seating areas, each with a bench, two trees, a small shrub bed behind it, and a trash basket near the road. This would cost roughly $8000.00 to meet the community need there.

At John Coulter Park, I can not fix the pathway closest to the lake until the drainage problem is corrected above (to the South of) it. This could cost anywhere from $60,000.00 to $130,000.00.

All of the other cost estimate information previously supplied is valid. I however have to express some urgency for two neighborhood parks – Siddall-Werrell and Tomlinson. Both structures have become extremely unsafe due to the advanced age of the equipment. I will have to remove both structures soon. Kelvin C.C., Roy Davis Park and Avaco-Snowden are not far behind. East End Cultural and Leisure Centre has been almost completely removed and I must remove the rest shortly, and we have just

recently received a residents valid concerns about Sherwood Park, which would cost about $85,000.00 for new play equipment and safety base.

As always, please call if I can provide further clarification on the issues I have addressed.

Best regards,
jason

Jason Bell
Superintendent of Park Services - East Area

**From:** Bell, Jason
**Sent:** May 9, 2006 3:25 PM
**To:** Skundberg, Inga

**Subject:** Some of the park sites requiring renovation in Elmwood Ward

Councillor Thomas,

Here are what we may consider on a per square foot basis for redevelopment "Class "D"" level estimates:

I have about $60,000.00 in capital for the Elmwood ward that was set aside 8 or 10 years ago and has come forward for park improvement. I would suggest that I should spend it all at Siddall/Werrell, given its terrible condition and the injuries that have recently occurred.

**PRIORITY SITES**

***Siddall/Werrell Park - $85,000.00***

- *Playground redevelopment (playground is about 25 years old plus – very poor condition)*
- *Repair asphalt pathway*
- *Secondary sitting area by trees*

*NOTE: This play structure should be removed very soon as the wood has split so badly that children have gotten slivers*

***DeGroot Green - $ 30,000.00***

- *Establish new sitting area*
- *Trees and shrubs*
-

***John Coulter Park - $ 200,000.00***

- *Improve accessibility to existing structure (play structure is in good condition)*
- *Establish 5 to 12 year old structure near Timberline*
- *Improve drainage with boardwalk*
- *Repair soccer field as damaged by sitting water*
- *Restore granular path where eroded*
- *Add seating nodes*

*NOTE: The land drainage and filed repairs are the most costly element as the drainage pattern of this park was poorly designed and installed. The water sits in numerous areas, and the turf quality is poor – some of this due to inadequate topsoil depth beneath the grass, I believe.*

**SECONDARY SITES**

***Avoca Snowden Park - $62,500.00***

- *Playground redevelopment (playground is about 25 years old plus – very poor condition)*
- *Reinforce informal pathway*
- *Secondary sitting area by trees*

***Tomlinson Park - $140,000.00***

- *Playground relocation redevelopment with accessible structure (playground is about 15 years old plus – very poor condition)*
- *Reinforce informal pathway*
- *Improve drainage with dry river creek and informal plantings adjacent residences at Lemmen entrance*
- *Improve entrance*

***London Reay Park - $62,500.00***

- *Playground redevelopment*
- *Secondary sitting area by trees*

***John Degraff Park - $130,000.00***

- *Playground improvements with accessible structure*
- *Restore informal*
- Improve entrance

***Rockspur Park - $62,500.00***

- *Playground redevelopment*

*Secondary sitting area by trees*

*NOTE:*

*Roy Davis Playground is also in very poor condition, as is Kelvin C.C. play ground. Both should be removed soon. There are play sites in good condition near both.*

*Unfortunately these are not all of the sites requiring renovation in the Elmwood Ward.*

*If the city replaced all of the play equipment that is over 25 years old (some is closer to 4o years plus!) and in poor condition, we could spend $8 million this year alone. Overall, there is about a $90 million need of capital funds to repair and improve everything that is below standard in the Parks and Open Space inventory.*

Best regards,
jason
Jason Bell
Superintendent of Park Services - East Area

**Public Works Department**

*Transportation Division*

100 Main Street
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3C 1A4

*(Fax: 942-4811)*

# INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

**TO:** Inga Skundberg, Committee Clerk
East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee

**FROM:** C. J. Desjardine P. Eng.,
Community Traffic Engineer
Traffic Assessment Branch

**FILE NO.** **E - 02**

**REF:** DOCUMENT3

**DATE:** 2006 03 31

## RE: Eaglemere Neighbourhood Suggestions

At it's meeting on March 21, 2006, the East Kildonan – Transcona Community Committee "directed the Administration to provide a report to the meeting of the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee to be held on April 11, 2006, on the requested suggestions raised regarding the Eaglemere neighbourhood."

The Traffic Assessment Branch received the above with an attached letter from Councillor Thomas addressing resident suggestions. In the letter there were two issues under the heading "Other Concerns", namely;

a) "52% (24 respondents) have Traffic concerns (mainly in regards to a 4-way stop at Eaglemere Drive)"
b) "11% (5 respondents) would like speed humps installed"

*a) "4-way stop at Eaglemere Drive"*

In a discussion with the area Councillor's office the request for all-way stop control was clarified as being a request for all-way stop control at the intersection of Golden Eagle Drive and Molson Avenue.

On February 13, 2006, the East Kildonan Community Committee recommended that;

**"Minute 91** The East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee laid consideration of the matter over to its meeting to be held on May 9, 2006, and requested the Administration to not only consider a spring vehicle and pedestrian volume study but also site lines, recent accidents, and other associated problems when reporting back on the subject intersection."

This Department will restudy the intersection of Molson Street and Golden Eagle Drive in accordance with the request from the East Kildonan – Transcona Community Committee at its meeting February 13, 2006, to determine if all-way stop control is warranted and will report back to the Community Committee on May 9, 2006.

*b) "Speed Humps"*

Attached for reference is a pamphlet which describes the guidelines for the installation of speed humps on local residential streets.

/waw
CC: Councillor L. Thomas, Elmwood-East Kildonan Ward

# Speed Humps on Local Residential Streets:
## Warrant Criteria & Installation Guidelines

(Approved by the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works on January 13, 2003

and adopted by City Council on January 29, 2003)

---

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Warrant Criteria** | 1 | The street is a local residential street and is not a Transit route, snow route or a residential collector street. |
| | 2 | Submission of a petition* representing a minimum of 70% of the residents in the block on both sides of the street supporting the installation of speed humps. |
| | 3 | At least one of the following criteria is met:<br>(i) Average Speed exceeds the speed limit (50 km/hour); or<br>(ii) At least 15% of vehicles exceed the speed limit by 5 km/hour or more (55 km/hour); or<br>(iii) At least 10% of vehicles exceed the speed limit by 10 km/hour or more (60 km/hour). |
| **Installation Guidelines** | (i) | Speed humps shall be spaced at approximately 100 metre intervals according to the block length. |
| | (ii) | There shall be a minimum distance of 50 metres between a speed hump and an intersecting street or public lane. |
| | (iii) | Object markers shall accompany each speed hump and a “bump ahead” sign and advisory speed tab (30 km/hour) shall be placed in advance of each series of speed humps in a block (in both directions). |
| | (iv) | Speed humps should not be placed in front of driveways or installed over, or contain, manholes or water valves or be located adjacent to fire hydrants. |
| | (v) | In locating speed humps, existing street lighting should be taken advantage of as much as possible to increase nighttime visibility. |
| | (vi) | Speed humps should not be located in horizontal or vertical curves. |
| | (vii) | Speed humps should be placed at higher elevation points to accommodate proper drainage. |
| | (viii) | If possible, speed humps should be placed in line with property lines for noise abatement and aesthetic reasons. |
| | (ix) | Speed humps should not be placed on major emergency vehicle response routes. |

*Petition forms are available through the Traffic Assessment Branch.

Winnipeg

City Councillor • Conseillère municipale

Dear Eaglemere Residents,

Here are the results from our community meeting regarding the greenspace in the Eaglemere neighbourhood.

**DeGroot Green(out of 46 completed questionnaires)**

72% (33 respondents) want more Benches and/or waste receptacles
63% (29 respondents) want to see more trees, shrubs, etc.
48% (22 respondents) want improved lighting
28% (13 respondents) want a playground structure
24% (11 respondents) want improved pathways
11% (5 respondents) say no changes needed
.02% (1 respondent) had other concerns

**John H Coulter Park (out of the 46 completed questionnaires)**

74% (34 respondents) want to see more trees, shrubs etc.
59% (27 respondents) want improved lighting
54% (25 respondents) want more benches and/or waste receptacles
52% (24 respondents) want changes to the playground
43% (20 respondents) want improved pathways
39% (18 respondents) want an athletic field
17% (8 respondents) have other concerns
.02% (1 respondent) say no changes needed

**Other Concerns:**

52% (24 respondents) have Traffic concerns (mainly in regards to a 4-way stop at Eaglemere Drive)
43% (20 respondents) would like to see improved lake cleaning and/or a Fountain
41% (19 respondents) would like improved services (i.e. Snow clearing, weed/grass control)
30% (14 respondents) would like new facilities (i.e. Basketball court, Ice Rink)
24% (11 respondents) have Animal waste concerns
15% (7 respondents) have land drainage issues
11% (5 respondents) would like speed humps installed
7% (3 respondents) want to have mandatory paved driveways

I have presented these results at the last East Kildonan Transcona Community Committee on June 14th for the Administration to price out each item and to report back to the committee when this process has been completed. The next step will be to set up an implementation plan with monies being drawn on a yearly basis from the Elmwood East Kildonan Land Dedication Reserve.
We will keep you up to date throughout these processes.
Thank you once again for attending our Open House and participating in our community development consultation process.

Sincerely,

Lillian Thomas
Councillor – East Kildonan Ward

City Hall, 510 Main Street • Hôtel de ville, 510, rue Main • Winnipeg • Manitoba R3B 1B9
tel/tél. (204) 986-6642 • fax/téléc. (204) 985-3725 • www.city.winnipeg.mb.ca