REPORT "A" OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED MAY 19, 1999

On motion of His Worship Mayor Murray, Report "A" of the Executive Policy Committee, dated May 19, 1999, was considered.

Moved by His Worship Mayor Murray,
That the Consent Agenda, Clause 1 be adopted.

Carried

1999 Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission File GL-5.1.1 (Vol. 9)

639 - 1. The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that Bill 36 which received Royal Assent on June 29, 1998, legislated changes to Sections 5 and 6 of The City of Winnipeg Act. Specifically, the Lieutenant Governor in Council no longer has a role to play in establishing wards or their boundaries. Rather, the Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission provisions direct that the Commission review and prepare a report establishing boundaries in 1999 based upon the latest census taken under The Statistics Act (Canada). The ward boundaries and ward names as prepared by the Commission come into force on the date specified in the Commission's report.

Under The City of Winnipeg Act, for electoral purposes, the City is divided into 15 wards, with the boundaries being set in 1999 and at least every 10 years thereafter, by an independent Commission, the Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission.

The Commission is comprised of:

a) the Chief Justice of the Court of Queen's Bench of Manitoba; (the Honourable Benjamin Hewak)

b) the President of the University of Winnipeg; (Dr. George Tomlinson, Vice-President (Academic) will participate instead of the President)

c) the Returning Officer of the City of Winnipeg; (Mrs. Dorothy Browton)

The City is required to provide for advisors, clerks and assistants to the Commission to facilitate its work. Mr. Larry Loreth has been seconded to work with the Commission in this capacity. Mr. Loreth has extensive experience working in this area and has acted in this capacity both in the 1988 and 1992 Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commissions.

Before making any final determination as to wards boundaries, the Commission is mandated to hold public meetings to hear the view of citizens prior to preparing and submitting its report to Council. Notices of the Commissions' public meetings are advertised in the newspapers and maps and other documents concerning the preliminary proposals for changes to the existing boundaries are made available to facilitate public input. A proposed workplan for the Commission is outlined in Appendix "A".

The Commission is charged with the responsibility of ensuring each of the wards created shall, within 10% more or less, contain the same number of residents. This is done by establishing a quotient by dividing the total population of the City as determined by the 1996 Census of Canada, by the number of wards.

The population of the City of Winnipeg according to the 1996 Census of Canada is 618,477 individuals. Applying the statutory formula, the population quotient for each ward is 41,232.

Comparing the census data from 1996 to that of 1986, Winnipeg's population increased by 25,614. Translating this increase into the present existing wards, the wards which experienced the highest rate of population growth have been:-

Report "A" of the Executive Policy Committee dated May 19, 1999

Charleswood - Fort Garry	= 11,682	(32%)
Old Kildonan	= 10,094	(25%)
St. Norbert	= 6,914	(17%)

On a regional basis, the Riel Community area experienced the largest population increase, with the three wards in that community having a total population increase of 14,401. During the same period, the City Centre Community area has seen a decrease in population of 5,424 people in its three wards.

The change in Winnipeg's population that has occurred since the last Wards Boundaries Commission is documented in Appendices "B", "C" and "D".

In its deliberations, the Commission is governed not only by reference to population statistics, but also by the following factors set out in the legislation:

(a) the community or diversity of interests of the population;
(b) the means of communication between the various parts thereof;
(c) the physical features thereof; and
(d) all other similar and relevant factors.

For the information of Council, the 1991 Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission drew attention to a number of concerns which ultimately can affect the equitable delineation of boundaries. These concerns are documented in the Supplementary Report of the Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission dated March 1992. The summarization of these concerns is outlined on Appendix "E".

The City of Winnipeg Act provides direction to establish the Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission this year. The Commission must prepare its report establishing the boundaries and the name of each ward in the City by December 31, 1999.

On May 19, 1999, the Executive Policy Committee received the Administrative report as information and submits same for the information of Council.

Appendices "A" to "E" as noted above are on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

REPORT OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED JUNE 9, 1999

On motion of His Worship Mayor Murray, the Report of the Executive Policy Committee, dated June 9, 1999, was considered.

Moved by His Worship Mayor Murray,
That the Consent Agenda, Clause 1, be adopted.

Carried

Amendment to the Policy for Grants C301
File FG-1 (Vol. 11)

640 - 1. The Executive Policy Committee has been advised by the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee that one of the expense items listed under the East Kildonan-Transcona Resident Advisory Group Income and Expense Statement for January through December, 1998, was Secretarial in the amount of $520.00. It was brought to the attention of the Community Committee that Subsection a) of the Criteria/Guidelines for Per Capita Grants contained in the Policy for Grants C301 reads in part as follows:

"a) Any function, activity or program of the Resident Advisory Group with the exception of the provision of clerical and secretarial assistance for the Resident Advisory Group…..".

The members of the Community Committee indicated that the wording of the Policy had been read erroneously for a long period of time in that it had been the belief that the Grant could be used ONLY for clerical and secretarial assistance.

For the information of Council the matter of incorrect interpretation of the Per Capita Grant Policy as it refers to the Resident Advisory Group has been referred to the Secretariat Committee for investigation and recommendation.

The Community Committee recommended to the Secretariat Committee and Executive Policy Committee that Subsection a) of the Criteria/Guidelines for Per Capita Grants contained in the Policy for Grants C301 be amended by deleting in the first, second and third lines thereof the words "with the exception of the provision of clerical and secretarial assistance for the Resident Advisory Group" so that Subsection a) reads as follows:

"a) Any function, activity or program of the Resident Advisory Group to a maximum of $300.00 per ward per year at the Community Committee's discretion."

On May 12, 1999, the Executive Policy Committee concurred with the recommendation of the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee and recommended:

I. That Subsection a) of the Criteria/Guidelines for Per Capita Grants contained in the Policy for Grants C301 be amended by deleting in the first, second and third lines thereof the words "with the exception of the provision of clerical and secretarial assistance for the Resident Advisory Group" so that Subsection a) reads as follows:

"a) Any function, activity or program of the Resident Advisory Group to a maximum of $300.00 per ward per year at the Community Committee's discretion."

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing.

On May 26, 1999, Council referred the matter back to the Executive Policy Committee for further consideration.

The Executive Policy Committee has reconsidered the above matter and is of the opinion that the reference to "…a maximum of $300.00 per ward per year" should be deleted.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated June 9, 1999

The Executive Policy Committee therefore recommends:

I. That Subsection a) of the Criteria/Guidelines for Per Capita Grants contained in the Policy for Grants C301 be amended by deleting in the first, second and third lines thereof the words "with the exception of the provision of clerical and secretarial assistance for the Resident Advisory Group" so that Subsection a) reads as follows:

"a) Any function, activity or program of the Resident Advisory Group at the Community Committee's discretion".

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the intent of the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

REPORT OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED JUNE 14, 1999

On motion of His Worship Mayor Murray, Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee, dated June 14, 1999, was considered.

Review of City's Policy for the Sale, Lease and Disposal of Surplus Lands
File FI-2.1 (Vol. 11)

641 - 1. At a Special Meeting held on June 14, 1999, the Executive Policy Committee passed the following motion, namely:

"That the Executive Policy Committee request Council to provide Executive Policy Committee with the authority to review and recommend changes to the City's Policy for the Sale, Lease and Disposal of Surplus Lands."

and submits same to Council for favourable consideration.

Moved by His Worship Mayor Murray,
Adoption of the clause.

The motion for the adoption of the clause was put.

The Speaker called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:

Yea: Councillors Angus, Clement, De Smedt, Gerbasi, Golden, Lazarenko, Lubosch, Smith, Steek, Deputy Mayor Thomas, Timm-Rudolph, Vandal, Eadie and His Worship Mayor Murray. 14

Nay: Councillor O'Shaughnessy. 1

And the motion for the adoption of the clause was declared carried.

REPORT OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED JUNE 16, 1999

On motion of His Worship Mayor Murray, the Report of the Executive Policy Committee, dated June 16, 1999, was considered clause by clause.

Moved by His Worship Mayor Murray,

That the Consent Agenda, Clauses 1,2,3,4 and 5, be adopted.

Councillor Smith requested that Clause 1 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Councillor Gerbasi requested that Clause 5 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

The motion to adopt the Consent Agenda, Clauses 2,3 and 4 was put and declared carried.

Award of Contract for the provision of GIS and AM/FM Software and Related Services Files GL-9 (Vol. 13) [c/r GCP (Vol. 9)]

642 - 1. The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that the Request for Proposal (RFP 32-99) for the Provision of GIS and AM/FM Software and Related Services was issued on January 22, 1999 and closed February 12, 1999.

Four of seven bids were rejected based on incomplete proposals and/or not being compliant with the mandatory requirements stated in the RFP. Intergraph Canada, Bentley Systems Canada, and SHL Vision remained and were selected for further detail evaluation.

The Evaluation Committee conducted the detail review of the remaining bids, and on March 19, 1999 notification was given to Bentley Systems Canada and Intergraph Canada of having been selected on the short list of candidates.

Over the month of April, Bentley Systems and Intergraph Canada demonstrated their solutions to the two Evaluation Committees using the City's own data.

In May 1999, the Evaluation Committees completed their detailed evaluation and recommended that Intergraph Canada Ltd. be awarded the contract for the provision of the GIS and AM/FM software and related services.

The objectives of the request for proposal were twofold:

1. To replace the City's GIS foundation software. The City is faced with this requirement as a result of the demise of GDS Corporation, the City's existing LBIS foundation software environment.

2. To implement an Automated Mapping / Facilities Management (AM/FM) for Winnipeg Hydro's Electrical Distribution Division.

The Evaluation Committee conducted the selection process using a highly structured methodology developed jointly by the City and its GIS consultant.

Consistent with the two objectives stated above, two separate evaluation teams were formed; one for the renewal of a City-wide GIS foundation software, and the other to address the specific needs of the Electrical Distribution Division of Winnipeg Hydro.

A concerted effort was made to maintain the evaluation process and selection criteria consistent with that stated in the RFP.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated June 16, 1999

Offeror	Preliminary Proposal Results
Bentley Systems	Accepted for Detail Evaluation
Enghouse	Rejected - Incomplete Bid - did not meet mandatory requirements
ESRI	Rejected - Incomplete Bid
Intergraph	Accepted for Detail Evaluation
Mapinfo	Rejected - Incomplete Bid
SHL Vision	Accepted for Detail Evaluation
Smallworld	Rejected - Incomplete Bid

SHL Vision was later rejected after the detail evaluation. The reasons are as follows:

Significantly lower scores than the other two vendors
Difficulty getting positive reference checks
Consistently had the most unknowns and questions about their direction and future

Bentley and Intergraph were the final two bidders in the selection process. Detail spreadsheets with several hundred line items were used to evaluate how well the bidders met the specifications. Intergraph scored higher than Bentley for both the City GIS and Winnipeg Hydro Options.

1. Detail Evaluation Scores (for information purposes only)

City-wide GIS - Max Weighted points: 2632	
Intergraph	1736
Bentley	1561 (175 points)

Winnipeg Hydro - Max Weighted points: 2580	
Intergraph	1723
Bentley	1558 (165 points)

2. Pricing analysis

The pricing analysis showed very little difference between the two vendors, with Intergraph being slightly less. Consideration for both initial purchase as well as ongoing maintenance costs were considered in the analysis.

City-wide GIS		Winnipeg Hydro	
Initial Bid Price:	$883,858	Initial Bid Price:	$393,000
Revised[1] Bid Price:	$637,455	Revised[2] Bid Price:	$448,059
Non Recoverable GST:	$ 19,123	Non Recoverable GST:	$ 13,442
	$656,578		$461,501

[1] Intergraph's Concurrent Licensing program results in reducing the City's requirement for software licenses.

[2] Winnipeg Hydro requested an increased number of software licenses for their AM/FM Program.

Combined GIS & Winnipeg Hydro	
Negotiated Price:	$ 1,085,514
Non Recoverable GST:	$ 32,565
Contract Total:	$ 1,118,509
Interest & Administrative:	$ 33,542
	$ 1,151,621

3. Annual Software Maintenance

Software Maintenance was requested in the RFP to provide on-going support and new releases of the software as they become available. The annual maintenance would be drawn from the current budget of the participating departments.

City-wide GIS Annual Maintenance:	$ 151,259
Winnipeg Hydro Annual Maintenance:	$ 57,723

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated June 16, 1999

4. Sub Contractors

Intergraph is not proposing the use of third party contractors for the provision of products or services in relation to this proposal.

5. Time Period for Offer

Intergraph's bid expires on June 12, 1999.

6. Key Factors and Differentiators Between Intergraph and Bentley

Based on the bid response, demonstrations, responses to vendor questions, and the documented strengths and weaknesses that were identified, the teams found the following reasons for choosing Intergraph over Bentley:

1. Intergraph's solution has a more mature solution for storing graphical and non graphical data

2. Intergraph's application development environment follows a more open industry standard application development environment

The Winnipeg Hydro Evaluation Team further added the following specific comments:

1. Bentley has no customer base using their E-Space (Bid Software). The City of San Antonio is just implementing this software. Intergraph, on the other hand, has a large electrical utility customer base.

2. Bentley bid functionality that does not yet exist.

3. Bentley's E-Space solution has limited functionality currently available.

4. Intergraph has extensive experience with electrical utilities when compared with Bentley.

5. The team was very comfortable with Intergraph's ability to deal with Winnipeg Hydro's requirements.

Intergraph Canada Ltd. has been selected and is being recommended by both Evaluation Teams; the Winnipeg Hydro Evaluation Team and the City-Wide GIS Evaluation Team, based on the following:

Intergraph's bid offers the best mix of solutions for Winnipeg Hydro,
Intergraph's bid offers the best mix of solutions for the overall City GIS implementation,

Further, selecting one GIS vendor minimizes compatibility issues when sharing information across department boundaries. Considerable supporting documentation, scores, reference checks, etc. along with documented evaluation procedures are available and can be provided upon request.

The Financial Impact is outlined no Schedule "A".

Council approval is necessary where an award of contract requires funds to be allocated from future budgets.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That a contract for the provision of GIS and AM/FM software and related services be awarded to Intergraph Canada, for a total of $1,118,079 (includes PST and net GST) funded from the Corporate Services (Land Based Information Systems) and Winnipeg Hydro 1999 Capital Budgets.

II. That the contract include the provision for annual maintenance for up to a ten year period in the amount of $208,982 per year to be drawn from the current budget of the participating departments.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated June 16, 1999

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing including the conclusion of the agreements necessary to meet the terms and conditions set out herein and prepare the final form of contract.

Copy of the attachment referred to in the above clause is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Moved by His Worship Mayor Murray,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Expenditure from Land Dedication Reserve Fund - Polish Gymnastic Association "SOKOL" - Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community
File EP-2 (Vol. 25)

643 - 2. The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee has concurred in the allocation of $30,000.00 from the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Land Dedication Reserve Fund towards the funding for a heating and ventilation system at 713-717 Manitoba Avenue.

The Executive Policy Committee concurs with the above and recommends that funds in the amount of $30,000.00 be approved from the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Land Dedication Reserve Fund for the project.

Adopted by consent.

Expenditure from Land Dedication Reserve Fund - installation of a play structure in Aster/Dahlia Park - Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community
File EP-2 (Vol. 25)

644 - 3 The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee has concurred in the allocation of $30,000.00 from the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Land Dedication Reserve Fund for the installation of a play structure in Aster/Dahlia Park.

The Executive Policy Committee concurs with the above and recommends that funds in the amount of $30,000.00 be approved from the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Land Dedication Reserve Fund for the project.

Adopted by consent.

Changes to the Schedule of Meetings
Files GC-4 (Vol. 27)

645 - 4. On June 16, the Executive Policy Committee passed the following motion, namely:

"That the Special Meeting of Council originally scheduled for Monday, July 19, 1999 to consider the Capital Budget be cancelled inasmuch as it will be held in September at the call of the chair;

And that the City Clerk be requested to arrange for the cancellation of the regularly scheduled Council Meeting of Wednesday, July 21, 1999 and rescheduling it to Monday, July 19, 1999 at 9:30 a.m. in order to facilitate the preparations for the use of the Council Building as "Winnipeg House" during the Pan Am Games;

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated June 16, 1999

And further that the July 22, 1999, meeting of the Appeals Committee (Standing Policy Committee on Property and Development) be rescheduled to July 13, 1999 to commence at 1:00 p.m. in the West Committee Room, and that the Schedule of Meetings be amended accordingly."

and submits same to Council for approval.

Adopted by consent.

Pointe West Properties Ltd. (Longboat Capital Group) Financing Proposal for Wastewater Servicing File WS (Vol. 5)

646 - 5 The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that Pointe West Properties Ltd. have proposed a public private partnership initiative in which Longboat Capital Group would design, fund and build a wastewater interceptor sewer, pumping station and forcemain to service the current and ultimate flow demands of the area north of the Assiniboine River and West of the Perimeter Highway that lie within the City of Winnipeg, as outlined on Appendix "A". The required facilities are estimated to cost $3.3 million including construction, engineering and contingencies. The City would become the owner operator of this infrastructure upon substantial completion of the work, and the City would pay back Pointe West Properties Ltd. (Longboat Capital Group) in 10 annual variable payments with a buyout or term renewal arrangement in the tenth year.

This initiative has been reviewed as to acceptability by the Water and Waste Department and was found to have a reasonable payback based on the revenues generated by this project when compared to the required expenditures. However this analysis was based on the full development of the area in question which would require a Plan Winnipeg Amendment.

A subsequent analysis was conducted by the Property and Development Services Department in conjunction with the Assessment, Corporate Finance and Water and Waste Department to expand the review of other revenue sources to the City of Winnipeg as a whole that could potentially result from this project. This review included only revenue sources that would be derived from the portion of the land in question that did not require a Plan Winnipeg Amendment (Parcel 3). The revenue projections shown below do not require a Plan Winnipeg Amendment but do take into account the required payback to the developer. The following table shows the estimated net present value of the potential revenues that may be realized if the land shown as Parcel 3 is fully developed as envisioned by Pointe West Properties Ltd.

	Net Present Value to the City of Winnipeg	
	Over 10 Years	Over 20 Years
Water and Waste	($800,000)	$700,000
Municipal and Business Taxes	$3,000,000	$5,400,000
Overall-City of Winnipeg	$2,200,000	$6,100,000

This repayment schedule is considered by Longboat Capital Group to be the minimum amount required to facilitate financing. The proponent also feels that the taxes generated by the Auto Mall development as well as the water and sewer revenue generated from the Red River Exhibition near term expansion should be included in what the City considers to be 'new revenue'. If the tax revenues from the Auto Mall are included as part of the total minimum new revenue generated then the minimum revenue that would be realized by the City of Winnipeg as a whole is estimated to be approximately $415,000. This compares with a maximum annual repayment to Longboat Capital Group, as proposed under the Servicing Agreement, or $300,000.

The proposed repayment schedule would also incorporate the flexibility of the City being able to renegotiate the repayment schedule on an annual basis. It is important to note that the estimated expenditures do not include any additional costs that may be associated with the provision of other tax-supported services such as public transportation and safety that may be incurred as a result of the proposed development.

Servicing Agreement Conditions

The Land Development Branch of the Property and Development Services Department, in conjunction with the Water and Waste Department, has reviewed the proposal. Should the City consider accepting Longboat's proposal,

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated June 16, 1999

Council approval of servicing and payback conditions, as described below, will be required for incorporation into an Agreement between the City and Pointe West Properties Ltd. (Longboat Capital Group).

1. The applicant shall construct:

   a) A 600 mm diameter gravity wastewater interceptor sewer in Portage Avenue from McCarthy Street to Race Track Road, and in McCarthy Street from Portage Avenue to its southerly terminus, and continuing south to a location near the Assiniboine River and the Perimeter Highway. The exact location and alignment to be to the satisfaction of the Director of Water and Waste.

   b) A pumping station with ultimate peak flow capacity of 3,000 imperial gallons per minute at the terminus of the proposed wastewater interceptor sewer. Pumping capacity as required to accommodate increases in demand shall be phased in, at the applicant's expense, and to the satisfaction of the Director of Water and Waste.

   c) A 400mm diameter forcemain southerly across the Assiniboine River and along the Perimeter Highway to the existing Perimeter Road pumping station located on Wilkes Avenue, east of the Perimeter Highway to the satisfaction of the Director of Water and Waste.

The City shall reimburse the applicant for the cost of the wastewater interceptor sewer, pumping station and forcemain according to the following schedule, commencing in the year following substantial completion of the work, subject to the following adjustments.

Total cost of project (estimated)	$3,300,000	
Canada 10 Year Bond	5.65%	
Rate (monthly compound)	6.15%	
Repayment Schedule		
Year One	$ 200,000	
Year Two	$ 200,000	
Year Three	$ 200,000	
Year Four	$ 250,000	
Year Five	$ 250,000	
Year Six	$ 250,000	
Year Seven	$ 300,000	
Year Eight	$ 300,000	
Year Nine	$ 300,000	
Year Ten	$ 300,000	
Buyout or renewal amount in year 10	$3,000,000 -	approximate; to be determined based on current interest rate at the time.

Note: Additional payments may be made annually on the repayment dates in even amounts of multiples of $100,000. Interest will be calculated with an interest rate based on the then current bond yield for the number of years remaining to the final buyout payment. The principal plus the accrued interest will be deducted from the final buyout payment.

a) The repayment schedule is based upon an interest rate consisting of the ten year Canada Bond rate plus 1.5% at the time of execution of the agreement.

b) The maximum repayment shall be based on and adjusted to the actual capital cost of the project plus interest. The City, at its sole discretion shall retain exclusive right to renegotiate or cancel the agreement if the capital cost, upon tendering, exceeds $3.3 million.

c) The repayment schedule shall be adjusted to reflect the actual capital cost of the work upon substantial completion plus an estimate of costs required to phase-in pumping requirements over the repayment period as the demand increases.

d) In the tenth year, the renewal payment schedule shall be subject to re-negotiation. The City at its sole discretion, may accelerate the repayment.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated June 16, 1999

The applicant shall pay to the City, the amount of $5,000 to defray the cost of administration and other costs incidental to the preparation of the agreement.

The Administration has recommended approval of the proposed recommendations that will form the basis for an agreement between the City of Winnipeg and Pointe West Properties Ltd. to finance, design and construct the wastewater infrastructure required to service the lands described.

The recommendations as outlined below are required to facilitate and complete a process to provide for the delivery of regional wastewater facilities to the referenced area.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends as follows:

I. That Council enter into an agreement with Pointe West Properties Ltd. (Longboat Capital Group) to provide design, construction and financing of regional wastewater facilities for servicing the lands within the City of Winnipeg, west of the Perimeter Highway as outlined on Appendix "A", subject to the following terms and conditions:

   A. The Servicing Agreement Conditions described herein.

   B. Such terms and conditions as deemed necessary by the City Solicitor to give effect to the intent of Council.

II. That approval be subject to the Administration being able to negotiate and finalize a repayment schedule.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing including finalizing and arranging for execution of all agreements and documents contemplated in this report within the necessary time frame.

Copy of attachment referred to in the above clause is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Moved by His Worship Mayor Murray,
Adoption of the clause.

Moved by Councillor Gerbasi,
Seconded by Councillor Smith,

WHEREAS the proposed public private partnership initiative between Point West Properties Limited and the City of Winnipeg has not been reviewed by the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works;

AND WHERAS the resulting development may have significant implications on tax supported services such as police, fire, emergency response and public transportation;

AND WHEREAS this proposal was reviewed only by the Executive Policy Committee;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the clause be referred to the Standing Policy Committees on Public Works, Protection and Community Services and Standing Committee on Fiscal Issues for review and report back to Executive Policy Committee.

The motion to refer was put.

Councillor Clement called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillor Gerbasi, Golden, Lubosch, Smith, Vandal and O'Shaughnessy. 6

Nay: Councillors Angus, Clement, De Smedt, Lazarenko, Steek, Deputy Mayor Thomas, Timm-Rudolph, Eadie and His Worship Mayor Murray. 9

And the motion to refer the clause to the Standing Policy Committees on Public Works, Protection and Community Services and the Standing Committee on Fiscal Issues was declared lost.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated June 16, 1999

The Speaker called the Deputy Speaker, Councillor Lazarenko, to the Chair and left the Chamber.

The Speak here resumed the Chair.

The motion for the adoption of the clause was put.

Councillor Gerbasi called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Angus, Clement, De Smedt, Golden, Lazarenko, Lubosch, Steek, Deputy Mayor Thomas, Timm-Rudolph, Vandal, Eadie, His Worship Mayor Murray and Councillor O'Shaughnessy. 13

Nay: Councillors Gerbasi and Smith. 2

and the motion for the adoption of the clause was declared carried.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

MOTIONS

Moved by Councillor Steek,
Seconded by Councillor Lazarenko,

647 - WHEREAS the City of Winnipeg entered into agreement with Winnipeg Enterprises in 1952 to provide for the construction of the Winnipeg Stadium;

AND WHEREAS the Stadium has provided a forum for sports and entertainment and is the home of the Winnipeg Blue Bombers Football Club;

AND WHEREAS the late Jack Wells was one of Winnipeg's prominent sports personalities and a beloved and respected citizen;

AND WHEREAS Jack Wells made a priceless contribution to Winnipeg in his many media capacities, but particularly in his support of the Winnipeg Blue Bomber Football Club;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that City Council express its strong support to Winnipeg Enterprises that the Winnipeg Stadium be renamed in honour of Jack Wells.

The Speaker ruled automatic referral to Executive Policy Committee in accordance with Rule 16.1 of the Procedure By-law.

Moved by Councillor Steek,
That the rule be suspended to allow consideration of the motion at this time.

Councillor Steek called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Golden, Lazarenko, Steek and Vandal. 4

Nay: Councillors Angus, Clement, De Smedt, Lubosch, Deputy Mayor Thomas, Timm-Rudolph, Eadie, O'Shaughnessy and Gerbasi. 9

and the motion to suspend the rule was declared lost.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

QUESTION PERIOD

648 - Councillor Timm-Rudolph advised that the Transcona Kinsmen Pool was to have received Winnipeg Development Agreement (WDA) funding in 1998 to upgrade the pool and that the funds subsequently went to another swimming pool in the inner city. She noted that the Transcona Kinsmen Pool had recently been closed for roof repairs and that both it and the outdoor pool would not be open for the season. She questioned what could be done to have the repairs finished sooner so that at least the outdoor pool could be open for the children's enjoyment.

Deputy Mayor Thomas took the question under advisement. In addition, Councillor De Smedt advised that $135,000 in WDA funding was targeted for major maintenance for the pool, scheduled to commence in September. Councillor De Smedt noted that the outdoor pool was being closed to preclude people from inadvertently getting into the main pool area, because the locker room and washroom facilities were located indoors. He suggested that perhaps the Community Services Department could examine another way to provide outdoor facilities for the outdoor pool during the summer. Councillor Vandal further advised that he would speak to the Recreation Programming staff to look at alternatives to get the outdoor pool opened for the summer.

Councillor Timm-Rudolph noted that the WDA funding approved in 1998 and to be expended in 1998 did not take place and requested that an investigation be made as to why the funds were removed without political knowledge or approval.

Councillor De Smedt took the question under advisement and both he and Councillor Vandal committed to keeping Councillor Timm-Rudolph informed on the matter, including the possible re-opening of the pool this season.

Councillor Golden requested that Executive Policy Committee, in conjunction with Legal Services, develop a policy or procedure whereby when there is an affidavit filed concerning a City matter which cites an elected official, that the elected official be made aware of the document.

Deputy Mayor Thomas agreed to look into the matter. In addition, Councillor Steek advised that there had been correspondence from the City's Legal Services Division which made it very clear that the court decision arrived at did not specifically reflect upon Councillor Golden, however, he noted that Councillor Golden's request was appropriate and that all parties cited should be apprised of the documents.