REPORT OF THE

STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS

DATED APRIL 14, 1998

On motion of Councillor Timm-Rudolph, the Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works, dated April 14, 1998, was considered.

Local Improvement Project - Waste Water Sewer in Nesbitt Bay (East Leg) from Nesbitt Bay (North Leg) to a point approximately 173.7 feet South of the South line of Nesbitt Bay (North Leg
File SL(1998)

804 - 2. The Standing Policy Committee on Public Works has been advised that a "Petition For" has been received by the Supervisor of Local Improvements and Miscellaneous Revenue in regards to a Local Improvement Project for waste water sewer in Nesbitt Bay (East Leg) from Nesbitt Bay (North Leg) to a point approximately 173.7 feet south of the south line of Nesbitt Bay (North Leg).

On March 23, 1998, the Assiniboia Community Committee recommended that this Local Improvement Project be proceeded with in 1998.

The Standing Policy Committee on Public Works therefore recommends:

I. That the Local Improvement Project for waste water sewer in Nesbitt Bay (East Leg) from Nesbitt Bay (North Leg) to a point approximately 173.7 feet south of the south line of Nesbitt Bay (North Leg) be approved.

II. That funding for the project in the amount of $30,000.00 be identified by the Standing Committee on Fiscal Issues.

III. That the City Solicitor/Manager of Legal Services be requested to prepare the necessary Local Improvements By-law in accordance with the foregoing and submit same directly to Council for approval.

IV. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing, including the execution of any documents related thereto.

The Executive Policy Committee concurs with the recommendation of the Standing Committee on Fiscal Issues and recommends that funding for the project be found within the existing Water and Waste budget.

Moved by Councillor Timm-Rudolph,
Adoption of the clause.

In amendment,

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
That the recommendation of the Executive Policy Committee be adopted.

The amendment proposed by the Executive Policy Committee was put and declared carried.

The motion for the adoption of the clause, as amended, was put and declared carried.

REPORT OF THE

STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS

DATED JUNE 9, 1998

On motion of Councillor Timm-Rudolph, the Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works, dated June 9, 1998, was considered clause by clause.

Moved by Councillor Timm-Rudolph,
That the Consent Agenda, Clauses 1,2,3,4,5 and 8, be adopted.

805 - Councillors Murray and Thomas asked to be recorded as having voted against Clause 5, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

The motion to adopt the Consent Agenda, Clauses 1,2,3,4,5 and 8 was put and declared carried.

Reporting Requirements Relating to the Watermain Renewal and Sewer Renewal Programs
Files WW-2.4 (Vol. 8) & WS-5 (Vol. 18)

806 - 1. On December 15, 1997, Council approved the 1998 Capital Program which included $5,000,000.00 for the watermain renewal program, including $1,000,000.00 for Allowance for Unforeseen, and $8,080,000.00 for the combined sewer renewal program, including $1,000,000.00 for Allowance for Unforeseen.

On May 12, 1998, the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works received as information a report which provided a list of watermain and sewer renewals to be funded from the respective Allowances for Unforeseen.

Recently it has become apparent that the process for approval of the capital budget for watermain and sewer renewals has evolved over the last number of years. Prior to the 1997 Capital Program, the budget document included a complete list of projects to be funded by the Watermain Renewal and Sewer Renewal budgets. Implementation of projects which were not listed required an additional report for Council approval. This resulted in significant reporting requirements and time delays to implement projects necessary to facilitate streets improvements and to respond to emergency situations. The 1997 and 1998 Capital Program budget documents did not contain such a list of projects. Rather, the budget for each of these years was based on anticipated project expenditures. Once identified, the project list was provided to members of Council for their information.

The approved budget for each program included an Allowance for Unforeseen which is intended to provide funding for circumstances not evident at the time the budgets were prepared (eg. renewals required because of street renewals, sewer collapse, high leak frequencies due to recent leaks). This allows the Department to respond expeditiously to specific projects which could result in customer service interruptions, or increased project costs because of scheduling problems (in the case of renewals associated with streets renewals).

With this background, it is recommended that the following process be used to implement water and sewer renewal projects:

- As part of the annual capital budget process Council would approve the overall budgets for water and sewer renewals based on forecasted needs, including an allowance for unforeseen. “Special” programs such as cathodic protection or sewer televising would be identified separately in the overall budgets. This is consistent with the process followed in 1997 and 1998.

- The Water and Waste Department would identify and implement renewal projects according to the following criteria:

Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works dated June 9, 1998

Watermain renewals - leak frequency in the range of 5 leaks/kilometre/year
- proximity to streets renewal projects
- an unacceptable infrastructure configuration which negatively impacts water quality or customer service (eg. "dead-end" mains)

Sewer Renewals - sewer condition such that it is more economical to replace or rehabilitate than to allow further deterioration
- proximity to street renewal projects

This is consistent with the Department's current practice.

- The Water and Waste Department would provide quarterly status reports concerning the watermain and sewer renewal programs to the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works.

  This would be a new initiative.

- Any expenditures in excess of the approved budget, to be funded from the reserve, would be approved by Council, consistent with existing practice.

The Standing Policy Committee on Public Works recommends that water and sewer renewal projects be implemented in accordance with the process as outlined herein, and that this matter be forwarded to Council for its information.

Adopted by consent.

Approval of Funding for the 1998 Supplemental Watermain and Sewer Renewal Programs File WW-2.4 (Vol. 8)

807 - 2. Council on December 15, 1997, approved the 1998 Capital Program which included $5,000,000.00 for the watermain renewal program and $8,080,000.00 for the combined sewer renewal program.

Watermain Renewals:

Since approval of the watermain renewal budget included in the 1998 Capital Program, additional locations have been identified which require renewal due to high leak frequencies. Cathodic protection is not viewed as an optimum solution for these watermains.

The project locations and costs are as follows:

Project Description	Estimated Cost
Edward Av W from Day to Madeline	$220,000.00
Headmaster Rw from E of Dalgleish to Leatherwood W Leg	111,000.00
Horton Av W from Winona to midblock E	38,000.00
Lethbridge Av from Brewster to Kootenay E Leg	30,000.00
Lockwood St from Corydon to Lockston	124,000.00
Loyola By N Leg from Greyfriars to Loyola W Leg	48,000.00
Norilyn By from Antrim to Antrim	199,000.00
Normandy Dr from Hollingsworth to Hollingsworth	102,000.00
St. Catherine St Lane S from Archibald to Evans	78,000.00
Wexford St from Roblin to 235m NNL of Roblin	99,000.00
Estimated 1998 Supplemental Watermain Renewals:	$1,049,000.00

Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works dated June 9, 1998

The 1998 Watermain Renewal Program also included funding in the amount of $1,000,000.00 for an Allowance for Unforeseen. As a result of the Residential Streets Renewal Program and the Main Street streetscaping project, this allowance has been depleted to $161,000.00. However, considering this early date in the budget year and the likelihood of a supplementary streets renewal program, additional funds are required for the allowance. The Water and Waste Department is recommending that an additional $500,000.00 be approved for the allowance.

The financial status of the watermain renewal reserve is as follows:

Reserve balance as at April 30, 1998:	$8,319,830.95
Funds requested for 1998 Cathodic Protection Project: (separate report)	$3,000,000.00
Funds requested for Supplementary Renewals:	$1,049,000.00
Funds requested for additional Allowance for Unforeseen	$500,000.00
Estimated Watermain Renewal Reserve Residual:	$3,770,830.95

Sewer Renewals:

The 1998 Sewer Renewal Program also included funding in the amount of $1,000,000.00 for an Allowance for Unforeseen. As a result of the Residential Streets Renewal Program and the Main Street streetscaping project, this allowance has been depleted to $312,000.00. However, considering this early date in the budget year and the likelihood of a supplementary streets renewal program, additional funds are required for the allowance. The Water and Waste Department is recommending that an additional $500,000.00 be approved for the allowance.

The financial status of the sewer renewal reserve is as follows:

Reserve balance as at April 30, 1998	$7,529,784.20
Funds requested for additional Allowance for Unforeseen	$500,000.00
Estimated Sewer Renewal Reserve Residual	$7,029,784.20

The Standing Policy Committee on Public Works therefore recommends:

I. That funding in the amount of $1,549,000.00 be approved from the Watermain Renewal Reserve for the 1998 supplemental watermain renewal program and an addition to the watermain Allowance for Unforeseen.

II. That an additional $500,000.00 be approved from the Sewer Renewal Reserve for the sewer Allowance for Unforeseen.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works dated June 9, 1998

Cathodic Protection Project - Approval for Use of Reserve Funds
File WW-2.4 (Vol. 8)

808 - 3. In June, 1996, Council approved the expenditure of $500,000.00 from the watermain renewal budget for a Cathodic Protection Pilot Project.

In December, 1997, Council adopted a report on Watermain Renewal and Wastewater Renewal Frontage Levies, which stated that the Water and Waste Department would "provide a report to Council recommending cathodic protection and/or accelerated watermain renewal, with funding estimated at $3 to $4 million from the Reserve in 1998", and passed By-law No. 7138/97, an amendment to By-law No. 549/73 which clarified that cathodic protection and condition assessment would be funded by the frontage levy.

There are about 2,370 kilometres of watermain in Winnipeg's water system, approximately 740 kilometres of which are cast and ductile iron. A 1983 study concluded that the City of Winnipeg had the highest watermain failure rate of major Canadian cities. Leak frequency at that time was in the order of 2,300 leaks annually. An aggressive watermain renewal program commenced in 1991 to renew approximately 40 kilometres of watermain annually. As well, in 1991 the City commenced a "hot-spot" cathodic protection program whereby anodes were installed at all leak repairs, and at service connections renewed as part of watermain renewal projects. As a result, the leak frequency has declined to less than 800 leaks annually.

In the fall of 1996, the City embarked on a pilot project to investigate the feasibility of a proactive cathodic protection program to protect mains that have not "failed" to the point where renewal is necessary. Four cathodic protection systems were tested and more than 10 km of watermain was cathodically protected. The consultant's final report, received in April 1998, concluded that cathodic protection has a benefit to cost ratio of approximately 4.5 to 1, and recommended that a large-scale pilot project to cathodically protect approximately 100 km of watermain be undertaken in 1998 at an estimated cost of $3,000,000.00.

The benefits of cathodic protection include an increased life expectancy for the watermain, and a reduction in watermain renewal expenditures. From a customer service viewpoint, cathodic protection reduces leak frequency, and construction activities associated with cathodic protection have significantly less impact on the customer than those associated with watermain renewal or a leak repair.

The Water and Waste Department has prepared preliminary terms of reference for the 1998 cathodic protection project, and has issued a request for qualifications. After review of the consultant submissions, the short-listed candidate(s) will be asked to submit a technical and management proposal, at which time, contingent on Council approval for funds, professional engineering services will be assigned. It is anticipated that construction will commence this fall.

The anticipated scope of the project is as follows:

- review the final report on the Cathodic Protection Pilot Project
- undertake a cathodic protection survey of the 10 km of watermains protected in the pilot
- review techniques for non-destructive testing (NDT) of watermain pipe walls
- select watermains for NDT, undertake testing and analysis, and evaluate costs/benefits of using this technology
- select watermains for cathodic protection, coordinate a training session for local contractors, and provide design and contract administration services
- evaluate success of project (cost/benefit)
- provide recommendations for use of NDT and cathodic protection, including priorities and schedule for a long-term program.

It should be noted that under a separate report, the Water and Waste Department is requesting approval of funds from the Watermain Renewal Reserve for a supplementary watermain renewal program. These watermains require renewal because of high leak frequency and cathodic protection is not viewed as an optimum solution for these watermains.

Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works dated June 9, 1998

The financial status of the Watermain Renewal Reserve is as follows:

Reserve Balance as at April 30, 1998:	$8,319,830.95
Funds requested for supplementary watermain renewals: (separate report)	$1,049,000.00
Funds requested for additional Allowance for Unforeseen	$500,000.00
1998 Cathodic Protection Project:	$3,000,000.00
Estimated Watermain Renewal Reserve Residual:	$3,770,830.95

The Standing Policy Committee on Public Works therefore recommends:

I. That funding in the amount of $3,000,000.00 be approved from the Watermain Renewal Reserve for a cathodic protection project in 1998.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Renewal of Contract for Building Cleaning Services at 1750 Sargent Avenue and 552 Plinguet - Tender No. P.D. 96-065
File GL-9 (Vol. 11)

809 - 4. On May 13, 1996 a Contract was awarded to N & L Building Cleaning in the amount of $4,788.00 for Part A, 1750 Sargent Avenue and to Bison Building Services in the amount of $5,856.00 for Parts B & C, 552 Plinguet Street.

Clause SC:7 of the Contract allows for the renewal of a one-year term with an increase in the unit prices dependent on the changes in the statutory minimum wage rates and the Canada price index for manufacturers of soap and cleaning compounds from the previous term of Contract. In accordance with this Clause, letters were sent out to both contractors on May 7, 1998 requesting a renewal of the Contracts for a second one-year term. The minimum wage rates have not increased since January 1, 1996. The CPI for soap and cleaning compounds has not increased since May of 1996. Neither contractor is in default and both contractors have satisfactorily performed the existing contract.

Both the contractors have agreed to the renewal and the term of the renewal of the contract is for one year commencing May 13, 1998.

With the original tender submission Bison Building Services submitted a certified cheque and N & L Building Cleaning submitted a standby letter of credit as performance security which will be retained for a one-year renewal term of the Contract. The performance securities are based on the original price bid.

The total cost of the work is $4,827.72 plus GST for part A, Sargent Avenue and $5,956.68 plus GST for Part B & C, 552 Plinguet Street. Funds are included in the 1998 Area 1 administration account number 43 552404 020101 and Area 2 administration account number 43 552404 020102 to cover the cost of this work.

The Standing Policy Committee on Public Works recommends:

I. That a Contract be renewed to N & L Building Cleaning, for Part A, 1750 Sargent Avenue, with no increase in the contract price.

II. That a Contract be renewed to Bison Building Services, for Part B & C, 552 Plinguet Street with no increase in the contract price.

Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works dated June 9, 1998

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing, including the execution of any documents related thereto.

Adopted by consent.

Private Approach - North Side of Bishop Grandin Boulevard Extension, West of Waverley Street - Trinity Development Group Inc
Files SC-1(31) (Vol. 1) and DAZ 212/98

810 - 5. The Standing Policy Committee on Public Works has been advised that an application has been received for the construction of 1-19.7 metre channelized private approach on the west side of Waverley Street approximately 233.5 metres south of Scurfield Boulevard, and 1-8.0 metre channelized private approach on the north side of Bishop Grandin Boulevard approximately 398.4 metres west of Waverley Street, has been received from DS-Lea consultants Ltd., to provide access to a proposed Trinity Centre development located at the northwest corner of Bishop Grandin Boulevard and Waverley Street.

This application is non-conforming under The City of Winnipeg Private Approaches By-Law No. 6546/95 Section 3, Subsection (5), and Subsection (2):

> "(5) No approach shall be constructed, erected or installed on any of the streets or portions of streets set forth in Schedule 3 hereto, except where there is no other means of access; and in such instances the approval of any application will be on a temporary basis effective only until other streets, lanes or service roads are provided, from which access may be obtained."

This section of Waverley Street is contained within Schedule 3.

> "(2) No approach shall be constructed, erected or installed in any of the street or sections of street set forth in Schedule 1 hereto, provided that on those streets or sections of street which have service roads adjacent to the main roadways, this Schedule shall apply only to the main roadways and not to the service roads."

The Private Approaches By-Law is currently being amended to include Bishop Grandin Boulevard west of Waverley Street on Schedule 1.

This section of Waverley Street contains 2 -7.7 metre wide roadways separated by a 8.8 metre wide median, and carries approximately 19,700 northbound vehicles and 15,900 southbound vehicles in a typical twelve hour weekday period between 07:00 and 19:00. The speed limit on Waverley Street is 80km/h. This section of Bishop Grandin Boulevard is currently under construction, and the proposed speed limit is 80km/h and is projected to carry 23,000 vehicles in a typical 24 hour period.

There are no existing private approaches to serve this vacant land. This eastbound and westbound section Bishop Grandin Boulevard will include 8.0 metre wide eastbound and westbound traffic lanes.

The applicant is proposing to construct approximately 10 buildings, with a total of approximately 450,000 square feet of commercial space and approximately 2,300 parking spaces with access to this proposed development from Waverley Street, Bishop Grandin Boulevard and also Fultz Boulevard.

The applicant is proposing to construct a 19.7 metre wide channelized private approach on the west side of Waverley Street approximately 233.5 metres south of Scurfield Boulevard. Waverley Street will also include a dual northbound left-turn storage lane for northbound motorists and also a right-turn deceleration lane for southbound motorists. The Public Works Department recommended that this non-conforming channelized private approach on the west side of Waverley Street be approved.

Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works dated June 9, 1998

The applicant desires to make it more convenient for motorists on Bishop Grandin Boulevard to enter and exit the proposed site by applying for a non-conforming right in/right out channelized private approach on the north side of Bishop Grandin Boulevard approximately 398.4 metres west of Waverley Street which will be utilized by motorists entering and exiting from Bishop Grandin Boulevard.

The Public Works Department recommended that this non-conforming private approach not be approved for the following reasons:

- Bishop Grandin Boulevard is intended to be on Schedule 1 of the Private Approaches By-Law for reasons of traffic operations and traffic safety. Vehicle collisions and vehicle capacity of the street are directly related to the number of private approaches to private property from a street; as the number of approaches increase the number of potential vehicle conflicts also increase thereby reducing the capacity of the street and increasing the potential for vehicle collisions. Major arterial streets such as Bishop Grandin Boulevard are intended for the transportation of large volumes of through traffic at relatively high speeds; private approaches diminish the capacity of and level of motorist safety on those streets.

- D. S. Lea Engineering Consultants have prepared a Traffic Impact Study on behalf of the developers for the proposed Trinity Centre. The engineering consultant's report concluded that the proposed Trinity Centre development can be safely and conveniently accommodated with all access from Waverley Street, subject to a number of minor traffic improvements being implemented. The Public Works Department has reviewed the consultants report and is in general agreement with the conclusions and recommendations contained therein.

- Long term future plans provide for a grade separated interchange at the intersection of Waverley Street and Bishop Grandin Boulevard. The proposed channelized private approach on the north side of Bishop Grandin Boulevard to the Trinity Centre would not be compatible with the future interchange as the direct ramp from Waverley Street in the north-west quadrant of the interchange would conflict with the proposed access.

For the above reasons the Public Works Department strongly recommended against permitting any access to the proposed Trinity Centre from Bishop Grandin Boulevard and recommended that access to the proposed development be from Waverley Street and Fultz Boulevard.

However, the Department recommended that if the decision is to approve a non-conforming private approach on the north side of Bishop Grandin Boulevard, that a right in/right out channelized private approach design as shown on Drawing No. A-9096B (Appendix "A") be approved, including a continuous third westbound lane on Bishop Grandin Boulevard. Also, if approved, all work should be co-ordinated a much as possible with the construction of the extension of Bishop Grandin Boulevard in order to minimize throw-away costs and disruption of traffic.

Furthermore, if approved, the proposed new access to Bishop Grandin Boulevard over the Lot 16 Drainage Plan will require an easement agreement for construction, maintenance and eventual replacement or removal of the proposed crossing of the drain and should be reviewed by the Water and Waste Department and the Engineering Division of the Public Works Department.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

There will be no financial impact on the proposed work for this project for the first five years as all costs will be accommodated through normal budget provision for infrastructure growth. However, in year six the cost will increase to $1,750.00 annually due to increased annual concrete/asphalt repairs, crack and joint sealing.

The Standing Policy Committee on Public Works recommends:

I. That the application for the construction of 1-19.7 metre channelized private approach on the west side of Waverley Street approximately 233.5 metres south of Scurfield Boulevard be approved, including a third southbound deceleration lane into the development and a dual northbound left turn storage lane.

Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works dated June 9, 1998

II. That the application for the construction of 1-8.0 metre channelized private approach on the north side of Bishop Grandin Boulevard approximately 398.4 metres west of Waverley Street, be approved as shown on Drawing No. A-9096B subject to the applicant paying all costs for the right in/right out channelized private approach and associated works as shown on Drawing No. A-9096B including a continuous third westbound lane which will be the deceleration lane into the proposed development and also an acceleration lane out of the development.

III. That the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare for submission to Council, an amendment to Schedules 1 and 3 of the Private Approaches By-law No. 6546/95.

IV. That the Developer be required to construct access from Bishop Grandin Boulevard over the Drain Plan identified as Lot 16 to provide adequate water flow to the satisfaction of the Director of Water and Waste and the Director of Public Works.

V. That if the Developer requires a connection to Henlow Bay crossing the Sugar Beet Spur Line, that the connection be an extension of Fultz Boulevard in line with Dovercourt Drive and the cost of the crossing, including signalization, be borne by the Developer.

VI. That the Developer provide proper landscaping along the Bishop Grandin side of the property to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.

VII. That if in the future it is determined that two-lane ingress/egress from Bishop Grandin Boulevard is required to service the site, that the Developer be required to pay all associated costs to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.

VIII. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing, including the execution of any documents required.

Copy of attachment referred to in the above clause is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

(See Minute No. 805 for opposition recorded by Councillors Murray and Thomas)

Local Improvement Project - 14' x 6" Concrete Lane pavement on the first North-South lane West of Princess Street from Elgin Avenue to William Avenue - City Centre Community and Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community
File SL(1998) (Vol. 1)

811 - ***Note: The Executive Policy Committee concurs in the recommendation of the Standing Committee on Fiscal Issues that Clause 6 be laid over until such time as a policy for funding the 1998 Local Improvement Program has been determined.***

Laid over until such time as a policy for funding the 1998 Local Improvement Program has been determined

Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works dated June 9, 1998

Local Improvement Projects in the Riel Community File SL(1998) (Vol. 1)

812 - ***Note: The Executive Policy Committee concurs in the recommendation of the Standing Committee on Fiscal Issues that Clause 7 be laid over until such time as a policy for funding the 1998 Local Improvement Program has been determined.***

Laid over until such time as a policy for funding the 1998 Local Improvement Program has been determined

Portion of the South End Water Pollution Control Centre lands to be declared Surplus to the City's Requirements File FI-2.2(35) (Vol. 3)

813 - 8. The City-owned property shown as Parcel A on Misc. Plan No. 9628/3, (Appendix "A") being part of a larger parcel, was acquired by the former Metropolitan Corporation during 1970 for the South End Treatment Plant. All land surplus to the City's needs has been rented for cultivation purposes since that time.

The owner of Ron Paul's Garden Centre which adjoins the subject property, Ron Paul, requested in 1996 to purchase three acres of the City property in order to square-off his property for future expansion. At that time, the Department of Highways and Transportation of the Province of Manitoba advised that it did not want the property to be sold or developed until such time as the future interchange was built. In 1997, Mr. Paul again applied through the Riel Community Committee. At its meeting held September 15th, 1997 the Committee referred the request to the Property and Development Services Department for appropriate attention.

In March, 1998 the City's Public Works Department provided the Property and Development Department with a plan showing the Province's proposed realignment of St. Mary's Road. The realignment now frees an additional 5.62 acres for a total of 8.62 acres all of which are considered to be outside the control zone of the future interchange. The Water and Waste Department considers the 8.62 acres surplus to its needs and no easements are required.

Ron Paul's has now provided the City with an Offer to Purchase. The Standing Policy Committee on Property and Development has approved the proposed exchange of lands with the Ron Paul Garden Centre, at a price of $10,334.00, being the difference in value between the lands to be transferred to the City and the lands to be transferred to the Ron Paul Garden Centre, in accordance with the terms and conditions outlined in Schedule "A".

Pursuant to a Council Order dated July 18th, 1979 land purchased/used for a City purpose must be declared surplus by Council.

The Standing Policy Committee on Public Works therefore recommends:

I. That portion of the South End Water Pollution Control Centre shown as Parcel A on Misc. Plan No. 9628/3 be declared surplus to the City's requirements.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing, including the execution of any documents related thereto.

Adopted by consent.

STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS

MOTIONS

Moved by Councillor Steek,
Seconded by Councillor Lazarenko,
814 - WHEREAS 30% of the City's residential streets are rated as poor or failed under the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) and almost 20% are rated as only fair;

AND WHEREAS the last complete inspections were completed in 1994 and residential streets have further deteriorated;

AND WHEREAS the failed and poor conditions on residential streets result in vehicular damage, lost time, inconvenience and reduced economic development;

AND WHEREAS Thin Bituminous Overlay (TBO) is intended to restore a pavement showing signs of deterioration and restores skid resistance, surface smoothness and prevents costly maintenance by extending pavement life;

AND WHEREAS those surfaces rated at 41 to 55 under the PCI are ideal candidates for TBO;

AND WHEREAS TBO costs approximately $8.00 a square metre and averages $20,000 for an average block and extends the life expectancy for said block for 5 to 8 years;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT a minimum of an additional one million dollars be added to the current budget for TBO and that said amount be used to restore those residential streets rated between 41 and 55 in all Wards of the City;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Director of Public Works review with Ward Councillors, those streets which are being considered for TBO in order to gain the Ward Councillor's input;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT wherever possible, asphalt overlays be used rather than resurfacing in order to ensure as many residential streets as possible are repaired to permissable standards;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the funding for this additional appropriation be realised from the surplus revenue of land sales.

The Acting Deputy Speaker ruled automatic referral to Executive Policy Committee in accordance with Rule 16.1 of the Procedure By-law

Moved by Councillor Steek,
That the rule be suspended to allow consideration of the motion at this time.

The motion to suspend the rule was put.

Councillor Angus called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Golden, Lazarenko, Lubosch, Murray, Steek, Thomas and Vandal. 7

Nay: Councillors Angus, Phillips, Silva, Timm-Rudolph, Her Worship Mayor Thompson and Deputy Mayor Eadie. 6

and the motion to suspend the rule was declared lost, not having received the required two-thirds vote of the members present in accordance with Rule 3 of the Procedure By-law.

STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS

CONSIDERATION OF BY-LAWS

815 - On motion of Councillor Timm-Rudolph, the following by-laws were read a first, second and third time, the rule being suspended for the third reading of each by-law, and were passed and ordered to be signed and sealed, namely:

By-law No. 7231/98, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 6547/95, being a by-law of the said City concerning the reservation of certain portions of streets and public parking sites for the purpose of parking vehicles and the use of parking meters in connection therewith File ST-2 (Vol. 21)

By-law No. 7232/98, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 1573/77, being The City of Winnipeg Traffic By-law. File ST-5.2 (Vol. 25)

By-law No. 7233/98, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 6546/95, being a by-law of the said City to regulate the construction, maintenance and removal of private approaches and private walks File SC-1.1 (Vol. 6)

By-law No. 7249/98, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 6546/95, being a by-law of the said City to regulate the construction, maintenance and removal of private approaches and private walks File SC-1.1 (Vol. 6)

STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS

QUESTION PERIOD

816 - In reference to the current pavement condition index received by all Members of Council, Councillor Steek noted that 40 percent of the residential blocks in the River Heights ward were rated as poor or failed. Councillor Steek questioned if Councillor Timm-Rudolph was aware that over $1 million will be remaining after the closing of tenders and asked what would happen with the $1 million.

Councillor Timm-Rudolph indicated that $1 million was set aside in the current operating budget for Thin Bituminous Overlay (TBO) and noted that the program is underway. She further advised that Council had approved the placement of funds from gravel pit material sales and residual monies from the tendering process into a fund to extend the TBO Program; noting that tenders are still being received and additional funds potentially available as prices were coming in at a better rate than originally anticipated. Councillor Timm-Rudolph further indicated that the Program is cost shared and noted that approval must be obtained from the Provincial Government before any funds were expended.

Councillor Steek requested that the Chairperson, Councillor Timm-Rudolph, endeavour to ensure that documentation is received prior to the July 22, 1998 meeting of Council and further asked that a consultation opportunity be available for each ward Councillor and the Public Works Department to ensure that appropriate dollars are spent in each ward.

Councillor Timm-Rudolph stated that it is her understanding that the additional monies should be allocated to further reconstruction projects in that you cannot apply thin bituminous overlay to a failed street. She further indicated that approximately two million dollars will be spent on TBO in 1998, noting that is one of the highest programs the City had ever seen.

Councillor Steek reiterated his question and asked if the $1 million will go into TBO for further preventive maintenance.

Councillor Timm-Rudolph stated that the Minister's support is needed for any kind of program, noting that the administration had indicated that a $2 million TBO program now existed, with $1 million of that being utilized in consultation with Councillors. She further advised that a list will be prepared and submitted so that streets can be priorized in each ward and the $1 million shared equally amongst Councillors. Councillor Timm-Rudolph acknowledged the cost associated with street reconstruction, reminded Councillors that

Standing Policy Committee on Public Works - Question Period (continued)

the $10 million cost sharing program was originally for reconstruction and emphasized its importance in terms of liability due to the potential for people to be injured on streets that have great holes and chunks of concrete missing in magnitude.

Councillor Murray suggested that funds are not being expended by the administration for education and promotion of recycling and environmental programs and questioned how a Council approved budget could be cut from $180,000 to $90,000 without Council authorization.

Councillor Timm-Rudolph noted that expenditure management was the delegated authority of the Chief Financial Officer and advised that the decision to reduce the budgeted amount was made and adopted internally by the Public Works Department in response to a request for the Department to review and priorize expenditures. In addition, Councillor Timm-Rudolph referred to a recent brochure that was distributed to homes through an ad mail process and confirmed that money has been expended from the budgeted funds.

Councillor Murray further questioned why the expenditure was turned down by the former Expenditure Management Committee and the Standing Committee on Fiscal Issues if the decision was made internally by the administration.

Councillor Timm-Rudolph explained that initially the expenditure management process turned down the item because the City was operating in a deficit avoidance situation as a result of severe flooding and the massive snowstorm in 1997. Councillor Timm-Rudolph further noted that she would defer the second part of the question to the Chairperson of the Standing Committee on Fiscal Issues.

The Acting Deputy Speaker subsequently noted that the question should be raised during the Standing Committee on Fiscal Issues Question Period.

Councillor Steek noted that Councillor O'Shaughnessy had identified surplus revenue from land sales and in noting that these should not go into conventional operating expenses questioned if Councillor Timm-Rudolph was supportive of $1 million additional dollars going into the TBO program this year.

Councillor Timm-Rudolph replied that she would welcome additional monies for infrastructure repair but noted that land sale transactions would not take place until the latter part of October, at which time the construction season will have concluded.

Councillor Steek suggested that numerous properties will be closing imminently, which should generate enough money for the construction season, and requested that Councillor Timm-Rudolph, in conjunction with the Chairperson of the Standing Policy Committee on Property and Development, endeavour to have a full accounting on land sales at the July 22, 1998 meeting of Council.

Councillor Timm-Rudolph agreed to meet with both the Chairperson of the Standing Policy Committee on Property and Development and the Chairperson of the Standing Committee on Fiscal Issues to provide information and suggest a work program. She further advised that the authority to identify the monies and earmark them for either reconstruction or TBO would be with the other two committee chairpersons.