REPORT OF THE

COMMITTEE ON WORKS AND OPERATIONS

DATED FEBRUARY 28, 1997

On motion of Councillor Clement, the Report of the Committee on Works and Operations, dated February 28, 1997, was considered clause by clause.

Moved by Councillor Clement,
That the Consent Agenda, Clause 2, be adopted.

Carried.

Take Pride Winnipeg Program
Files ER-1.5 (Vol. 8) and FE(1997/98)

513 - 1. On February 26, 1997, Council referred Clause 2 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated February 19, 1997, as outlined in Appendix A, and containing the following recommendations, to the Committee on Works and Operations for consideration during its 1997 and 1998 Current Estimate deliberations, namely:

1. That the new mandate for Take Pride Winnipeg be approved as outlined in Appendix 1.
2. That the draft organization by-law be reviewed by the Legal Services Branch for completeness and forwarded to Council for approval.
3. That the year 1997 be a transition year and a detailed future program be developed for approval by Council by September, 1997.
4. That beginning in 1998, Take Pride Winnipeg function in the same manner as the City's other economic development agencies.

The Committee on Works and Operations considered the foregoing at its Special Current Estimates Meeting held on February 28, 1997 and concurred in the recommendations contained in Clause 2 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated February 19, 1997 as outlined above, conditional upon B.F.I. Waste Systems Inc. fulfilling its obligation to provide funding in the amount of $420,000 as stated in their Environmental Impact Assessment.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends that the following words be deleted from the last paragraph of Clause 1, namely:

"provide funding in the amount of $420,000 as stated in their Environmental Impact Assessment."

and substituting the following words, namely:

"Take Pride Winnipeg, as outlined in their letter to Council, dated July 4, 1994; any further contribution to waste minimization programs by B.F.I. should be the subject of separate negotiations between B.F.I. and the City of Winnipeg."

Moved by Councillor Clement,
Adoption of the clause.

In amendment,

Moved by Councillor O'Shaughnessy,
That the recommendation of the Executive Policy Committee be adopted.

The amendment proposed by the Executive Policy Committee was put and declared carried.

The motion for the adoption of the clause, as amended, was put.

Report of the Committee on Works and Operations dated February 28, 1997

Councillor O'Shaughnessy called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Clement, Lubosch, Murray, O'Shaughnessy, Phillips, Silva, Timm-Rudolph, Vandal and Lazarenko. 9

Nay: Councillor Thomas. 1

and the motion for the adoption of the clause was declared carried.

Policy on Landfill Tipping Fees for Municipalities
Files WT-3 (Vol. 13) and FE(1997/98)

514 - 2. The Committee on Works and Operations, at its meeting held on November 5, 1996, considered an Administrative report dated October 3, 1996, relative to establishing a policy on landfill tipping fees for municipalities outside Winnipeg.

Committee was advised that during the debate with B.F.I. Waste Systems Inc., discussions with several municipalities outside Winnipeg with respect to using the City's landfills, revealed that tipping fees were a major consideration. Municipalities indicated that the $40.00 tipping fee charged at the landfill exceeded the cost to the City of Winnipeg, and exceeded the $15.10 that the City charged itself for use of its landfills. Municipalities also indicated that there has been no clear invitation from the City to use the landfill. In fact, some municipalities thought that use of the landfills in Winnipeg, for waste generated outside Winnipeg, was not permitted.

In view of the foregoing, it is proposed that the City establish a tipping fee rate or rates for municipalities outside Winnipeg for use of its landfill. It is further proposed that the rate for rural municipalities be set as 20% more than the per tonne average cost. The average cost includes operating costs and overheads as well has debt service costs. The additional 20% provides a return to the City on the investment in the landfill infrastructure while providing this service to its partners in the Capital Region.

The Solid Waste Utility sets the estimated cost of disposal annually based on projected costs and projected solid waste quantities. The rate is expected to rise rapidly over the next few years as waste quantities drop. The municipality rate would be set each year at 120% of cost according to the proposed policy. This formula would be in effect up to the maximum of the commercial rate, currently at $40 per tonne.

On November 5, 1996, Committee referred the matter to the Administration for review and report back during budget deliberations, said report to take into consideration Committee's concerns relative to the competitiveness of the City's landfill in the marketplace, and to include options such as the feasibility of negotiating packages with municipalities for reduced rates. Committee also raised the matter of charging municipalities the current commercial rate and then giving grants or subsidies to encourage municipalities to develop recycling programs.

In a report dated January 23, 1997, the Administration have advised that they have investigated and learned through the Province's Waste Management Inventory that all municipalities in the Capital Region presently have recycling programs funded through the Manitoba Product Stewardship Corporation, and therefore, this goal has been achieved.

Also contained in the report was the recent experience relative to the call for proposals issued by the Rural Municipality of Springfield for the disposal of its residential waste for 1997 and 1998. A proposal was submitted by the City for 1997 and 1998, offering disposal rates to Springfield based on the tipping fees projected in the 1997 and 1998 draft Current Estimates and the proposed tipping fee policy. Springfield has not made an award yet, but Winnipeg's bid for disposal at its Brady Landfill was slightly less than the bid from B.F.I., which has the only other comparable landfill to the Brady Landfill in the Region. The only other bid Springfield received was from Steinbach, which was substantially less than either Winnipeg's or B.F.I.'s bid. From this information, it is

Report of the Committee on Works and Operations dated February 28, 1997

concluded that in the Regional marketplace, Winnipeg is competitive with comparable state-of-the-art landfills such as B.F.I., where full cost accounting is likely being applied. On the other hand, Winnipeg's proposed rates may not be competitive with smaller landfills, where either construction or operating standards are less and/or full cost accounting is not being practised. Typically, smaller landfills such as Steinbach would be expected to have higher costs per tonne disposed than in large sites such as Winnipeg's or B.F.I.'s, because of the economics of scale with larger sites. In the long term, as all sites are brought up to the standards outlined in Provincial Disposal Regulations, and costs properly allocated, Winnipeg's proposed rates should be competitive even with smaller sites.

The Capital Region includes the City of Winnipeg, the Towns of Selkirk and Stonewall, and 13 nearby rural municipalities. Currently, there are 29 waste disposal sites operating in the Region. Within the next five years, 17 of these waste disposal grounds are scheduled to be closed, and only one new waste disposal ground and one total recycling facility are planned to be constructed. There is no planned strategy for how the waste outside of Winnipeg will be managed between remaining existing sites and planned new facilities. However, with the exception of Springfield (discussed earlier) and the R.M. of Headingley, both of which generate less than 7,000 tonnes per year of waste annually, there may not be much additional waste that can be attracted to the Winnipeg Landfill from within the Region. However, the disposal needs and opportunities in the Capital Region are changing, and Winnipeg should position itself to provide additional disposal service where it is mutually advantageous. There are occasional queries regarding disposal from outside the Capital Region. The need and advisability of accepting waste from outside the Region, and regulatory requirements for accepting waste from outside the Province, are unknown at this time.

Winnipeg does not have marketing staff for soliciting or securing landfill customers, since the sporadic needs for such capability do not justify dedicating resources to this type of activity. Nevertheless, there will, from time to time, be opportunities, such as with Springfield, to compete for waste disposal services. For such occasions, it would be advantageous to have the ability to negotiate where marginal differences from set rates would secure additional disposal revenues. The addition of small quantities for disposal would not increase costs proportionately, so there is a good margin of safety in recovering costs based on the rates in the proposed policy.

The rates set out in the policy as outlined below, are deemed to be competitive in the marketplace. As well, it is proposed that the Commissioner of Works and Operations be delegated authority to negotiate on rates and only report back to Committee on agreements reached. Major requests for disposal from outside of the Winnipeg Capital Region or the Province, should these arise, would automatically be brought to the Committee on Works and Operations for further direction.

The Committee on Works and Operations therefore recommends:

I. That the following policy on tipping fees be adopted for municipalities outside Winnipeg using a per tonne rate of at least 20% more than the average cost of disposal, namely:

A) The rate charged to municipalities, cities, towns and villages using the City of Winnipeg landfills will be set at a minimum of 20% above the per tonne cost as set by the City of Winnipeg, to a maximum of that charged by the City to the industrial sector.

B) This policy will apply to jurisdictions within the Province of Manitoba and in Northwest Ontario for areas in the proximity of Lake of the Woods only at this time.

C) The municipality shall apply for the special rate annually and in advance to the Director of the Water and Waste Department.

D) The rate shall apply to waste collected and hauled by or for the municipality and delivered to City sites. The special rate will not apply to industrial, commercial, and institutional waste or similar waste that if generated in Winnipeg would be subject to the higher industrial rate.

E) The rate will apply to entire loads only, and will not apply where a load is a mixture of waste eligible for the special rate and other waste.

F) The municipality will take reasonable steps consistent with those in the City of Winnipeg to prevent hazardous wastes from entering the waste stream.

G) The municipality will have a recycling program to reduce waste.

Report of the Committee on Works and Operations dated February 28, 1997

II. That the Commissioner of Works and Operations be delegated the authority to negotiate on tipping fee rates and only report back to the Committee on Works and Operations on agreements reached.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

REPORT OF THE

COMMITTEE ON WORKS AND OPERATIONS

DATED MARCH 11, 1997

On motion of Councillor Clement, the Report of the Committee on Works and Operations, dated March 11, 1997 was considered clause by clause.

Moved by Councillor Clement,
That the Consent Agenda, Clauses 1,2,3,4 and 5 be adopted.

Councillor Vandal requested that Clause 2 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

515 - Councillors Phillips and O'Shaughnessy asked to be recorded as having voted against Clauses 1, 4 and 5, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

516 - Councillor Silva asked to be recorded as having voted against Clauses 4 and 5, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

The motion to adopt the Consent Agenda, Clauses 1,3,4 and 5 was put and declared carried.

Resolution from the Ottawa-Carleton Regional Transit Commission - Federal Tax Exemption for Employer Contributions toward Employee Public Commuting Expenses File TT-3 (Vol. 2)

517 - 1. On March 11, 1997, the Committee on Works and Operations considered the following motion forwarded by the Chair, Ottawa-Carleton Regional Transit Commission, together with supporting information relative to the subject matter as outlined in Appendix A, namely:

Whereas, transit ridership has been declining in Canada and across North America during the 1990's;

And Whereas, increased transit ridership has many positive benefits in terms of reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, traffic congestion, energy consumption, road and parking costs, traffic accidents and contributes to an improved urban environment and quality of life;

And Whereas, existing taxation rules, especially regarding free or subsidized parking, favour the private automobile over public transit, inefficient travel modes over efficient travel modes and the economically more advantaged car driver over the economically more disadvantaged bus rider;

And Whereas, it is important for all three levels of government, Federal, Provincial and Municipal to take measures which will enhance the cost competitiveness of the public transit and thereby increase the levels of public transit ridership;

Be It Resolved that the OC Transpo Commission strongly encourage the Minister of Finance and the Government of Canada to include in the next federal budget, provisions which treat employer contributions toward employee public transit commuting expenses as a tax free benefit;

And Be It Further Resolved that the Commission forward copies of this motion to Finance Minister Paul Martin, Environment Minster Sergio Marchi, the Federation of Canadian Municipalities, the Canadian Urban Transit Association, the Transportation Association of Canada, the Amalgamated Transit Union, Ottawa-Carleton area Members of Parliament and major Canadian transit properties.

Report of the Committee on Works and Operations dated March 11, 1997

The Committee on Works and Operations concurred in the above resolution and therefore submits same together with the following recommendations for favourable consideration, namely:

I. That the resolution passed by the Ottawa-Carleton Regional Transit Commission outlined herein be concurred in.

II. That the City of Winnipeg communicate to the Minister of Finance of the Government of Canada its strong support for the initiative contained in the resolution to include in the next federal budget, provisions which treat employer contributions toward employee public transit commuting expenses as a tax free benefit.

Copy of attachment referred to in the above clause is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

(See Minute No. 515 for opposition recorded by Councillors Phillips and O'Shaughnessy)

Award of Contract for Solid Waste Collection within the South East (St. Boniface) Sector - Tender No. P.D. 97-006) Files WT-1.2 (Vol. 11) & GL-9 (Vol. 9)

518 - 2. The Committee on Works and Operations has been advised that tenders for the award of contract for solid waste collection within the South East (St. Boniface) Sector - Tender No. P.D. 97-006 closed on February 13, 1997, with bids being received as follows:

Serpreco Systems Ltd., D.B.A. Haulrite Environmental	$424,222.00
Soil Remedex Inc.	$434,633.82
Laidlaw Waste Systems Ltd.	$466,458.36
Browning-Ferris Industries Ltd.	$553,321.12

The Manager of the Materials Management Division has advised that each of the tender submissions was accompanied by bid security and an agreement to bond, which have been certified by the Legal Services Department.

Engineering staff of the Water and Waste Department are satisfied that the low tenderer, Serpreco Systems Ltd., D.B.A. Haulrite Environmental, meets all requirements of the specifications and has the necessary resources to perform all of the work in accordance with the requirements of the specifications. The Contractor has satisfactorily carried out previous service deliveries of a similar nature. This offer is open for acceptance until April 29, 1997.

Funding for contract collection services is placed in the Current Estimates each year. Contract collection services are traditionally tendered and awarded on a multi-year basis which facilitates continuity of operations and administration by City staff and promotes more competitive bidding by new contractors who may have to capitalize investments over more than one year to get into the business. This particular contract is a 22 month contract designed to terminate on January 31, 1999, the same date as the other seven residential collection contracts to enable its retendering to be a part of the normal refuse contract replacement process.

Funds for this work are included in the Annual Current Estimates, Account No. 01 552404 610302.

The recommended award is a multi-year contract funded from the 1997, 1998 and 1999 Current Estimates; and therefore, must receive Council approval.

Report of the Committee on Works and Operations dated March 11, 1997

The Committee on Works and Operations therefore recommends:

I. That a 22 month contract be awarded to Serpreco Systems Ltd., D.B.A. Haulrite Environmental, the lowest bidder meeting the specifications in the amount of $424,222.00 per year.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing, including the execution of any documents relative thereto.

Copy of attachment referred to in the above clause is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Moved by Councillor Clement,
Adoption of the clause.

The motion for the adoption of the clause was put.

Councillor Murray called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea:	Councillors Clement, Phillips, Silva, Steek, Timm-Rudolph and Lazarenko.	6
Nay:	Councillors Lubosch, Murray, Thomas and Vandal.	4

and the motion for the adoption of the clause was declared carried.

Proposed Changes to the Provisions and Regulations for Local Improvements
1) Proposed Amendment to The City of Winnipeg Act - Requirements for a successful Petition Against Council initiated Local Improvement
2) Proposed Amendments to Section 9(1) of By-law No. 98/72 - Flankage Levy
File SL-1 (Vol. 20)

519 - 3. On July 9, 1996, the Committee on Works and Operations heard in delegation Peter and Mary A. Farkus, residents of Laxdal Road, in opposition to being assessed for the flankage portion of their property respecting the installation of street pavement boulevard and ornamental street lights on Parkin Avenue.

Section 9(1) of By-law No. 98/72, being the by-law to provide for the regulation of Local Improvements states:

9. FLANKAGE

(1) Where the work consists of
(a) a roadway pavement or treatment,
(b) a concrete walk,
(c) a boulevard,
(d) an ornamental street lighting, or
(e) a lighting service in a public lane

adjacent to any property which the Council regards for the purposes of local improvement assessment as residential, the levy for such work on any corner lot having flankage abutting on the work shall, subject to section 10, be assessed at the frontage rate in respect of the flankage in excess of 120 feet.

(2) Subject to subsection (1), in the case of the construction of a concrete walk or boulevard on a street deemed by Council to be a flankage street, the cost of the work shall be borne by the City.

(3) The area containing the location of any work may be designated as "residential" for the purposes of this section by a resolution of Council.

Report of the Committee on Works and Operations dated March 11, 1997

Their property is a corner lot, and, in accordance with the by-law provisions outlined herein, will be assessed for the flankage side (Parkin Avenue) in excess of 120 feet. The delegation expressed the view that they are not benefiting from the subject improvements and therefore should not be assessed for the improvements.

Discussion also commenced regarding Section 363(1) of the City of Winnipeg Act which states:

Council initiating local improvement
363(1) The council may by by-law authorize the undertaking of any local improvement without a petition therefor, and the assessment of the cost shall be made on the land benefited, unless the owners of the land proposed to be assessed for the improvement, which land has assessable frontage aggregating at least 3/5 of the total frontage to be so assessed, petition the council against the improvement within one month after the publication of notice by the clerk of an intention to submit the proposed work to the designated city administrator to undertake the work; and the notice shall be inserted in at least one newspaper published in the city.

S.M. 1989-90, c.51, s.9

On March 11, 1997, at the request of the Chairperson, the above matters were further considered.

Following discussion of the foregoing, the Committee on Works and Operations recommends:

I. That the Province of Manitoba be requested to amend Section 363(1) The City of Winnipeg Act by reducing the requirement to successfully petition against the local improvement from at least 3/5 of the total frontage to greater than 50%.

II. That Section 9(1) of the City of Winnipeg By-law No. 98/72, as amended, being the by-law to provide for the regulation of local improvements, be amended so that a corner lot having flankage abutting on the work shall only be assessable when it is capable of being divided into at least two buildable lots.

III. That the City Solicitor/Manager of Legal Services be directed to prepare the necessary by-law to amend By-law No. 98/72 in accordance with the foregoing.

IV. That the Proper Officers of the City of Winnipeg be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Award of Quotation 64-97, Lease of a Mini Van and Automobiles for Social Services
File GL-9 (Vol. 9) c/r EH-2 (Vol. 19)

520 - 4. The Committee on Works and Operations has been advised that quotation 64-97, for the lease of a Mini Van and Automobiles for the Social Services Department, closed on February 4, 1997. In accordance with the City's purchasing procedures for the supply of leased vehicles, Bid Securities and Written Undertakings to provide Performance Security were not required. All the quotation documents pertaining to the recommended bidder and the respective quotation items are in order. The bids are open for acceptance until April 5, 1997.

Seven (7) suppliers submitted bids as listed in Bid Summary Tables 1a and 1b, (Appendix A).

Vickar Community Chev Olds submitted the lowest bid for Items 1 and 2, and the vehicles bid meet the specifications. The Equipment and Material Services Division has reviewed the quotation, and is of the opinion that Vickar Community Chev Olds have the necessary resources to perform the work and supply the vehicles in accordance with the requirements of the specifications. The Administration has recommended that Items 1 and 2 be awarded to Vickar Community Chev Olds, inasmuch as they are the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.

The quotation must be awarded as soon as possible to provide the lowest evaluated bidder with sufficient time to comply with the April 30, 1997 delivery date.

Report of the Committee on Works and Operations dated March 11, 1997

Funding has been budgeted for by the Social Services Department in their 1997 Operating Budget and will likewise be budgeted for in their 1998 Operating Budget. The total value of this contract is $34,935.00 (M.R.S.T. and G.S.T. extra). The expenditure of 1997 funds is allowed under By-law 6906/96.

The commitment of 1998 funds requires approval by Council as the 1998 Operating Budget has not been approved.

The Committee on Works and Operations therefore recommends:

I. That the award for the lease of vehicles be made to Vickar Community Chev Olds, 964 Regent Avenue, Winnipeg, as follows:

Item 1	Lease of one (1) 7 Passenger Mini Van as per Detailed Specifications 97012 for 25½ months @ $266.00 per month.	$ 6,783.00
Item 2	Lease of six (6) 4 Door Compact Sedans as per Detailed Specifications 97013 for 25½ months @ $184.00 per month.	$28,152.00

(Prices shown do not include M.R.S.T. and G.S.T.)

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing, including the execution of any documents relative thereto.

Copy of attachment referred to in the above clause is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

(See Minute No. 515 for opposition recorded by Councillors Phillips and O'Shaughnessy)

(See Minute No. 516 for opposition recorded by Councillor Silva)

Award of PD96-199, Leased Vehicles for Parks and Recreation Department
Files GL-9 (Vol. 9) & P (Vol. 3)

521 - 5. The Committee on Works and Operations has been advised that the tender for the leasing of vehicles for the Parks and Recreation Department closed on January 3, 1997. In accordance with the City's purchasing procedures for the supply of leased vehicles, Bid Securities and Written Undertakings to provide Performance Security were not required. All the tender documents pertaining to each of the recommended bidders and the respective tender items are in order. The bids are open for acceptance until March 28, 1997.

The tender must be awarded as soon as possible to provide the lowest evaluated bidders with sufficient time to comply with the March 28, 1997 delivery date.

Eleven (11) suppliers submitted bids as listed in Bid Summary Tables 1a through 1h, (Appendix A). The Bid Summary Tables also note any deviations from the specifications for each of the bidders whose tendered price was lower than that of the recommended bidder.

Pembina Dodge Chrysler Ltd. submitted the lowest bid for Items 1a, 4, 4a and 6, and the vehicles bid meet specifications. It is recommended that Items 1a, 4, 4a and 6 be awarded to Pembina Dodge Chrysler Ltd.

McPhillips Lincoln Mercury submitted the lowest bid meeting specifications for Items 1, 2 and 5. A lower bid was submitted by Pembina Dodge Chrysler Ltd. for Item 5, however, they bid the incorrect model of vehicle. The Administration has recommended that Items 1, 2 and 5 be awarded to McPhillips Lincoln Mercury.

Report of the Committee on Works and Operations dated March 11, 1997

BML Leasing Limited submitted the lowest bid for Item 3 and the vehicle bid meets specifications. The Administration has recommended that Item 3 be awarded to BML Leasing Limited.

The Equipment and Material Services Branch has reviewed the work with each of the lowest evaluated bidders and is of the opinion that they have the necessary resources to perform the work and supply the vehicles in accordance with the requirements of the specifications. It should be noted that McPhillips Lincoln Mercury and BML Leasing Limited neglected to complete questions 5 and 3 (ii), respectively, on the Information page, however, each of these suppliers has submitted a letter stating the required information, which the Equipment and Material Services Branch have deemed to be acceptable. The additional information was not required to evaluate the bids.

Funding has been budgeted for by the Parks and Recreation Department in their 1997 Operating Budget and will likewise be budgeted for in their 1998 Operating Budget. The total value of this contract is $111,439.44 (M.R.S.T. and G.S.T. extra). The expenditure of 1997 funds is allowed under By-law 6906/96.

The commitment of 1998 funds are included in the contract and therefore approval by Council is required.

The Committee on Works and Operations therefore recommends:

I. That the awards for the lease of vehicles be made as shown below.

A) Pembina Dodge Chrysler Ltd.
300 Pembina Highway
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3L 2E2

Item 1a	Five (5) Only ½ Ton Pick-Up Trucks with Tow Packages Lease Period 12 Months	Each $334.00/Month
Item 4	Five (5) Only ½ Ton Cargo Vans Lease Period 12 Months	Each $291.00/Month
Item 4a	One (1) Only ½ Ton Cargo Van with Tow Package Lease Period 12 Months	Each $329.00/Month
Item 6	One (1) Only ¾ Ton Extended Length Cargo Van Lease Period 12 Months	Each $389.00/Month

The total value of the contract with Pembina Dodge Chrysler Ltd. is $46,116.00 (M.R.S.T. and G.S.T. extra).

B) McPhillips Lincoln Mercury
2420 McPhillips Street
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R2V 4J6

Item 1	Twelve (12) Only ½ Ton Pick-Up Trucks Lease Period 12 Months	Each $295.00/Month
Item 2	Two (2) Only ½ Ton Extended Cab Pick-Up Trucks with Tow Packages Lease Period 12 Months	Each $395.00/Month
Item 5	Two (2) Only ¾ Ton Cargo Vans Lease Period 12 Months	Each $345.00/Month

The total value of the contract with McPhillips Lincoln Mercury is $60,240.00 (M.R.S.T. and G.S.T. extra).

Report of the Committee on Works and Operations dated March 11, 1997

C) BML Leasing Limited
5255 Solar Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L4W 5H6

Item 3 One (1) Only Mini Cargo Van Each $423.62/Month
Lease Period 12 Months

The total value of the contract with BML Leasing Limited is $5,083.44 (M.R.S.T. and G.S.T. extra).

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing, including the execution of any documents relative thereto.

Copy of attachment referred to in the above clause is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

(See Minute No. 515 for opposition recorded by Councillors Phillips and O'Shaughnessy)

(See Minute No. 516 for opposition recorded by Councillor Silva)

COMMITTEE ON WORKS AND OPERATIONS

CONSIDERATION OF BY-LAWS

522 - On motion of Councillor Clement, By-law No. 7002/97, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 6708/95, was read a first, second and third time, the rule being suspended for the third reading, and was passed and ordered to be signed and sealed. Files SC-3.12 (Vol. 12) & SL (1997)

COMMITTEE ON WORKS AND OPERATIONS

QUESTION PERIOD

523 - Councillor Steek noted a number of complaints that he had received regarding the plowing of backlanes and requested clarification of the policy.

Councillor Clement noted that although snow was melting, plowing is required to open the catch basins for the resulting water from the melting snow.

Councillor Steek referred to Clauses 4 and 5 of the Report of the Committee on Works and Operations, dated March 11, 1997, relative to the award of contract for vehicles and questioned if awards of that nature would be forwarded to the Expenditure Management Committee in the future.

Councillor Clement replied in the affirmative and noted that in this particular situation, leases had run out on a small number of vehicles which were required by Community Services to conduct City business.

Councillor Lubosch referred to chronic flooding problems in the North Kildonan Ward, created by inadequate catchbasins, and questioned whether there was adequate flexibility in the current budget to address and correct the problems.

Councillor Clement advised that money had been allocated in the current budget for ongoing maintenance and problem situations in each service area and suggested that the area Supervisor of Street Maintenance be contacted to address the specific problem.

Committee on Works and Operations - Question Period (continued)

Councillor Lubosch further questioned what monies were available in the budget beyond the regular maintenance funding that could be used to effect repairs.

Councillor Clement confirmed that each area had maintenance money for those types of repairs and again noted that a situation which caused extreme difficulty would generally be dealt with by the Area Supervisor who would determine the solution and ascertain if sufficient funds would be available. He further stated that if there were insufficient funds available, additional funding sources would then be explored.