REPORT OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED JANUARY 11, 1995

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, the Report of the Executive Policy Committee, dated January 11, 1995, was considered clause by clause.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
That Consent Agenda, Clauses 1,2,3,4, and 5 be adopted.

317 - Pursuant to The Municipal Council Conflict of Interest Act, Councillor Silva advised that he would refrain from entering the discussion and voting on Clause 1, because of a financial interest, and left the Chamber.

Councillor Murray requested that Clauses 1 and 5 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

The motion to adopt the Consent Agenda, Clauses 2,3 and 4 was put and declared carried.

Her Worship The Mayor and Councillors:

Proposed amendment to Plan Winnipeg (By-law No. 5915/92) by redesignating lands located west of the Perimeter Highway between Saskatchewan Avenue and Portage Avenue, from a "Rural" Policy Area to a "Neighbourhood" Policy Area File EP-1.1 (PW 1/92) (Vol. 10)

318 - 1. On December 14, 1994, City Council adopted Clause 1 of the Executive Policy Committee report dated December 7, 1994, directing that a copy of the proposed amending By-law No. 6503/94 be forwarded to the Minister of Urban Affairs and that a further copy of the proposed By-law be directed to the Executive Policy Committee to carry out the consultations required under Section 579(1) of The City of Winnipeg Act. Appendix "A" outlines the chronology of events for the proposed amendment to Plan Winnipeg by redesignating lands located west of the Perimeter Highway between Saskatchewan Avenue and Portage Avenue, from a "Rural" Policy Area to a "Neighbourhood" Policy Area.

On January 4, 1995, the Committee on Planning and Community Services considered the matter and due to a tie vote, submitted the Proposed Plan Winnipeg Amendment to the Executive Policy Committee without recommendation.

On January 11, 1994, the Executive Policy Committee carried out the consultations required under Section 579(1) of The City of Winnipeg Act. No recommendations for changes were received during the public consultation process.

The Executive Policy Committee therefore recommends that Council give first reading to the Plan Winnipeg (amending) By-law No. 6503/94.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

The motion for the adoption of the clause was put and declared carried.

(See Minute No. 317 for disclosure of conflict by Councillor Silva)

(See Minute No. 358 for attachment)

Councillor Silva here returned to the Chamber.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 11, 1995

Non Resident Students' Library Fees
File L-1 (Vol. 12)

319 - 2. The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that some library systems in Winnipeg prior to amalgamation did not charge non resident students a library fee. The practise of not charging non resident students has continued even though the Library has been unable to retrieve official documentation approving this policy.

The Library Department's fees and charges which were approved in 1983 and 1988 do not allow an exemption for non resident student fees.

The Library Department recently conducted a telephone survey of numerous other Canadian Library systems and was unable to find a system which offers a student exemption for the payment of a non resident user fee.

In Winnipeg there were 1,568 non resident juvenile students and 3,858 non resident adult students on file at the Library as of October 1994.

In 1995 the Library will charge $60.00 for non resident fees. The Department recommends that the application of the regular non resident fee be applied to all non resident students.

On January 4, 1995, the Committee on Planning and Community Services considered the above item and recommended approval of the proposed charge for non resident students.

The Executive Policy Committee concurs with the Committee on Planning and Community Services and therefore recommends:

I. That the Library Department charge all non resident students the approved non resident fees of $60.00 beginning in 1995.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 1995
File FL-7.6 (Vol. 1)

320 - 3. On March 6, 1991, Council adopted By-law No. 5649/91 being a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone.

The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 18, 1994, the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1995. (Copy previously forwarded to all members of Council).

On November 16, 1994, Council requested the Riel Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1995 and to provide recommendations to Council within 60 days of receipt of the request of Council.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 11, 1995

On December 5, 1994, the Riel Community Committee considered the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone - proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for 1994 and passed the following motion:

> "WHEREAS a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press on Monday, November 21, 1994, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5649/91;
>
> AND WHEREAS no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Riel Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the Norwood Grove Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by the Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone for the year 1995 be adopted.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 1995
File FL-7.5 (Vol. 1)

321 - 4. On September 25, 1991, Council adopted By-law No. 5770/91 being a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone.

The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 19, 1994, the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1995. (Copy previously forwarded to all members of Council).

On November 16, 1994, Council requested the Lord Selkirk - West Kildonan Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1995 and to provide recommendations to Council within 60 days of receipt of the request of Council.

On December 6, 1994, the Lord Selkirk - West Kildonan Community Committee considered the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone - proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for 1995 and passed the following motion:

> "WHEREAS a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Tuesday, November 22, 1994, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5770/91;
>
> AND WHEREAS no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Lord Selkirk - West Kildonan Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by the Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 11, 1995

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone for the year 1995 be adopted.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Reintroducing the submission of Money By-laws and Referenda to the Electorate of Winnipeg File GL-5 (Vol. 4)

322 - 5. On December 14, 1994, Council ruled automatic referral of the following motion to the Executive Policy Committee, under Rule 16.1 of the Procedure By-law No. 5400/90:

Moved by Councillor Lazarenko,
Seconded by Councillor Golden,

WHEREAS the former City of Winnipeg, between the years 1899 and 1968, submitted money by-laws and referenda to the electorate on a regular basis as a means of determining the acceptance, or lack thereof, of a particular proposal or question by the citizens of the former City of Winnipeg;

AND WHEREAS, after unification of The City of Winnipeg in 1972, this practice has not been used to determine the opinion of the citizens of Winnipeg other than in the year 1983;

AND WHEREAS Section 120 of The City of Winnipeg Act reads as follows:

> *PLEBISCITES*
> *120 The Council may submit any question, within or without the powers of the council, to an expression of opinion of the electors or resident electors of the whole or any part of the City but the result of the expression of opinion is not binding on the council, and the council shall make such expenditures as it considers necessary and advisable for the purposes of holding the vote and for providing information to the electors or resident electors on the question that is submitted to an expression of opinion and the information provided may be favourable or unfavourable, or partly favourable and partly unfavourable, to the question to be submitted to the expression of opinion.;*

AND WHEREAS the City is faced with very high expenditures and the elected representatives do not have the benefit of a clear mandate from the electorate to proceed to expend municipal funds;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Executive Policy Committee investigate and report back to Council within 60 days, on the advisability of reintroducing the submission of money by-laws and referenda to the electorate of Winnipeg on the basis that any proposed capital expenditure of $10 million or more be submitted to the electorate on a date decided by Council unless the project is exempted by a two-thirds majority of Council Members.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends that the motion be received as information and filed inasmuch as Section 120 of The City of Winnipeg Act states "The Council may submit any question, within or without the powers of the council, to an expression of opinion of the electors or resident electors of the whole or any part of the city but the result of the expression of opinion is not binding on the council, ..."

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 11, 1995

The Speaker called Councillor Boychuk to the Chair in order to participate in the debate, and after speaking, resumed the Chair.

The motion for the adoption of the clause was put and declared carried.

Councillors Golden and Lazarenko asked to be recorded as having voted against the clause, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

REPORT OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED JANUARY 18, 1995

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, the Report of the Executive Policy Committee, dated January 18, 1995, was considered clause by clause.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,

That the Consent Agenda, Clauses 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20 and 21 be adopted.

Councillor Prystanski requested that Clause 1 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Councillor Murray requested that Clauses 2 and 10 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Councillor Golden requested that Clauses 14,15,16,17 and 18 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

The motion to adopt the Consent Agenda, Clauses 3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,12,13,19,20 and 21 was put and declared carried.

Her Worship The Mayor and Councillors:

Transit Fare Structure
File TT-2 (Vol. 9)

323 - 1. The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that over the past two years, a number of fare structure and policy issues have been raised by Councillors, transit staff, business organizations, passengers and the general public. The major issues can be summarized as follows:

Rate of Transit Fare Increases - In recent years, transit fares have tended to increase faster than the general rate of inflation in Winnipeg. Between February 1992 and February 1994, for example, the adult cash fare increased by 12.5%. Since 1986, the cost of using transit has risen substantially more quickly than the cost of operating a private vehicle in Winnipeg.

The Decline in Transit Ridership - Transit ridership has steadily declined in Winnipeg from 58 million in the mid 1980's to 44.4 million passengers last year. This ridership loss has occurred despite the implementation of many operational improvements over the past decade.

Most cities in North America have experienced varying degrees of ridership loss. Many factors contributing to ridership decline are not directly controllable. These include changing demographics, an increasing participation rate of females in the workforce, increasing levels of unemployment, changes in the types of jobs, and other lifestyle factors. Other factors, however, are controllable and are influenced by decisions taken by the City of Winnipeg. These include the decentralization of the city, the level of transit service provided, and the rate of transit fare increases.

The level of transit ridership is sensitive to the level of fares. In general, increases in fares that approximately equal increases in the rate of inflation have not had a significant impact on ridership. During 1993 and 1994, however, three fare increases were implemented that were higher than the inflation rate. Major service reductions were also made. This combination has resulted in a dramatic reduction in ridership.

Cost Recovery - In recent years, Transit fare structure levels have been set so that the annual revenues cover approximately 50% of the system's annual operating costs. However, the percentage of operating costs covered by transit revenues has tended to increase over the past decade due to the financial situation facing the City of Winnipeg. The inclusion of a rapidly expanding Handi-Transit operation in this formula, which generates revenues less than 10% of expenses, has put further financial pressure on the regular system. This upward pressure on fares, however, has had a definite impact on ridership.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

Affordability and Flexibility of Monthly Bus Passes - The sales volume of adult monthly bus passes has declined steadily over the last few years. Feedback from users suggests the following problems with the current monthly passes:

- The affordability of the monthly bus pass has become a significant issue and many passengers find it difficult to pay $48.00 at one time for an adult pass. There is a need for a more flexible approach to bus pass pricing.

- At special times during the year (university mid-term break, school breaks, Easter, summer vacations, Christmas holidays, etc.), many passengers require the use of a bus pass for less than a full month.

Diversity of the Market for Adult Monthly Passes - Almost half of all transit trips are made by passengers using passes to pay fares. The characteristics of this group of passengers and the nature of their transit trips are very diverse. The types of users vary from the occasional user that buys the pass for convenience to the frequent user who makes over 100 trips per month. This bus pass market needs to be segmented so that alternative bus pass options that better meet customer needs can be provided.

Transfer Regulations - The existing transfer regulations are not well understood by the public and are difficult to enforce by bus operators. Consequently, transfer disputes are a common source of confrontation between passengers and bus operators. A transfer slip is currently valid only for the continuation of the one-way trip for which the transfer is issued and creates a financial disincentive for passengers who need to make short stopovers to complete personal business such as banking, picking up dry cleaning, shopping, etc.

A transit system's fare policy should attempt to satisfy the following objectives:

Cost Recovery - In recent years, the Transit fare structure level has been set so that annual revenues cover approximately 50% of the system's annual operating costs. Given the financial situation facing the City of Winnipeg, it is likely that there will be an ongoing requirement to maintain, if not increase, the cost recovery ratio.

Ease of Comprehension - A fare policy must be easy for the public to comprehend and for bus operators to enforce. While the existing fare structure is easily comprehended, the transfer regulations currently in force are quite complicated.

Ease of Operation -A fare policy must be easy to implement and to operate.

Fairness - A fair policy should ensure that if concession fares are used, their use is restricted only to eligible passengers. If premium fares are used for special services, passengers should have the choice of using services that do not require the payment of a premium fare. If fare discounts are used, frequent riders should realize higher rates of discount than moderate or infrequent transit users.

Equity - An equitable fare policy requires that a passenger's fare for a single trip have some direct relationship to the amount of transit service consumed to make the trip and to the net cost of supplying the service on which the trip is made.

Sensitivity to the Travel Market - A fare policy must be sensitive to the general rate of inflation in the community and to trends in the out-of-pocket costs of urban automobile travel (fuel and parking costs).

Ridership Effects - Changes made to the fare policy or structure should encourage ridership for trips that are easiest and cheapest to accommodate on transit (short distance trips and off-peak trips). The fare structure should encourage non-users to make trips on the transit system and moderate users to increase their rate of use of transit. An economic incentive for frequent users to maintain their use of transit should be provided.

During the review of the fare structure, a number of options were evaluated as outlined in Appendix "A".

Appendix "B" outlines the recommended transit fare structure.

Appendix "C" compares existing fares with the fares that would be in effect upon implementation of the recommendations (assuming no increase in the value of the current adult cash fare).

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

REVENUE IMPACTS

The reinstatement of the ticket discount will result in some loss of passenger revenue. It is also possible that the implementation of a 60 minute non-directional transfer system could result in some revenue loss. The recommended bus pass program should, however, result in increased ridership and passenger revenues. The projected revenue losses associated with the ticket discount and with the 60 minute non-directional transfer should be off-set by the additional revenue that will be realized from the revised bus pass program.

HANDI-TRANSIT FARES

Handi-Transit passengers are currently able to use cash, tickets or a monthly pass to pay their fares. The values of the Hand-Transit fares are set equivalent to the adult fares for the regular system. The Handi-Transit monthly pass was introduced in June, 1994. Approximately 70 to 90 passes are being sold each month.

Handi-Transit registrants can purchase passes by mail, directly from bus operators, or at three City of Winnipeg sales outlets (421 Osborne Street, 65 Garry Street, or the Winnipeg Square Concourse). While this system works well for a monthly cycle of passes, it would be difficult to arrange for the timely distribution of passes on a weekly cycle (especially by mail). Because of the low sales volume of the Handi-Transit Monthly Pass and because of the special pass distribution arrangements required for Handi-Transit passengers, it would not be practical to operate a weekly Handi-Transit Pass program.

It is recommended that Handi-Transit passengers continue to be able to use cash, tickets, or a monthly pass, and that the Handi-Transit cash and ticket fare be set equivalent to the adult cash and ticket fares, and that the monthly pass be priced at a multiple of 37 times the Handi-Transit ticket fare. At this pricing multiple, the Handi-Transit pass will remain attractive for passengers travelling to and from work or education.

Because of the low sales volume of the Handi-Transit Monthly Pass and because of the special pass distribution arrangements required for Handi-Transit passengers, it is not recommended that a weekly Handi-Transit Pass be implemented. It is recommended that the Handi-Transit pass be priced at a multiple of 37 times the Adult Ticket fare.

MARKET RESEARCH

Both focus group and telephone surveys were conducted to determine the public reaction to the proposed fare structure.

Many transit users felt that current fares were too high and that the tickets should be discounted. There was support to continue to set the fare structure levels to cover approximately 50% of the operating cost. There also was support for maintaining the pricing relationship between the reduced fares and the adult fares (child/student/senior fares are about 57%-60% of the adult fares).

With respect to the fare structure options, there was opposition to a peak/off-peak differential fare and premium fares for express service. There was significant support for the reinstatement of a 5 cent ticket discount and the introduction of a weekly pass. The recommended fare structure has the potential to generate more ridership. Of those surveyed, 17% indicated they would ride the bus more often (3% indicated less often).

IMPLEMENTATION

a) Additional Implementation/Operating Costs

1. Private outlet sales commissions - There are approximately 190 private outlets out of a total of 200 transit pass and ticket outlets. A sales commission is paid to the private outlets to provide some financial reimbursement for the work involved in handling, accounting and selling of transit tickets and passes. The current commission is 1% for tickets and 0.5% for monthly passes. The sale of passes on a weekly cycle will involve more work by the private outlets. In order to maintain the current transit pass sales network, it is recommended that pass commission be increased to 1% for both tickets and weekly passes.

   Estimated cost = $63,000 per year

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

2. Additional Resources Required - To handle the increased work load involved in the handling, distribution and revenue collection of three different passes on a weekly cycle, two additional Clerk B positions will be required.

   Estimated cost = $75,000 per year

   The design and production of three different passes for each week and a different transfer slip will result in increased costs.

   Estimated cost = $97,000 per year

   Additional miscellaneous costs such as vehicle rental, communication and office equipment will be experienced.

   Estimated cost = $15,000 per year

b. It is estimated that the increased implementation/operational costs will be offset by increased revenue generated by the new fare structure. It is recommended that:

1. The commission paid to the private outlets for the sale of transit weekly passes be increased from 0.5% to 1% effective with the introduction of the weekly passes.

2. The staff establishment of the Treasury Branch of the Transit Department be increased by two Clerk B positions.

3. The following revenue and expenditure increases be reflected in the 1995 Transit Department Current Estimates.

	Full Year	May 1st, 1995 Start
Increase in revenue	$250,000	$167,000
Increase in expenditure	$250,000	$167,000

On January 10, 1995, the Committee on Works and Operations considered the Transit Fare Structure and due to a tie vote, forwarded the matter to the Executive Policy Committee with no recommendation.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That effective May 1st, 1995, the following transit fare structure be implemented:

1. CASH FARES:
   a) An ADULT CASH fare, as set by City Council during its annual consideration of the Current Estimates.
   b) A REDUCED CASH fare priced at 60% of the Adult Cash Fare (rounded to the nearest 5 cents).

2. TICKET FARES:
   a) An ADULT TICKET fare priced at $0.05 less than the Adult Cash Fare.
   b) A REDUCED TICKET fare priced at $0.05 less than the Reduced Cash Fare.

3. WEEKLY TRANSIT PASSES:
   a) An ADULT WEEKDAY pass, valid only on weekdays for the Monday to Friday time period printed on the pass, priced at a multiple of 9 times the Adult Ticket fare.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

b) An ADULT SUPER PASS, valid on any day of the seven-day Monday to Sunday time period printed on the pass, priced at a multiple of 10 times the Adult Ticket fare. To add more value to this pass, Adult Super Pass users will be permitted, on Sundays and on those days that Holiday service is provided, to travel with two companions at no additional charge.

c) A REDUCED SUPER PASS, valid on any day of the seven-day Monday to Sunday time period printed on the pass, priced at a multiple of 10 times the Reduced Ticket fare.

4. TRANSFERS:

a) A NON-DIRECTIONAL TRANSFER that permits a passenger unlimited use of the transit system (including stopovers and return trips) for a minimum of approximately 60 minutes after issue of the transfer.

5. HANDI-TRANSIT FARES:

a) A SINGLE CASH fare, equivalent in value to the regular system Adult Cash fare.

b) A TICKET fare, equivalent in value to the regular system Adult Ticket Fare.

c) A MONTHLY PASS, valid on any day of a calendar month, priced at a multiple of 37 times the Handi-Transit Ticket fare.

II. That the commission paid to private outlets for the sale of transit passes be increased from 0.5% to 1.0% effective with the introduction of the weekly passes.

III. That the 1995 Current Estimates for the Transit Department be modified to reflect the anticipated increases in revenue of $167,000, and that $167,000 be added to the Treasury Branch expenditure budget to cover additional operation and implementation expenditures.

IV. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing.

Copy of attachments referred to in the above clause are on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

In amendment,

Moved by Councillor Prystanski,
Seconded by Councillor Golden,
WHEREAS the Executive Policy Committee with the support of the Winnipeg Transit Department recommends changes to the transit fares;

AND WHEREAS the proposed changes will create a financial burden to the senior citizens of Winnipeg by increasing the fares of a bus ride;

AND WHEREAS the weekly passes can create a bus pass collection problem for seniors versus the established and easily managed monthly pass;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the monthly bus passes for senior citizens be maintained and the ticket fares be reduced by $.05.

Moved by Councillor Duguid,
That the clause be referred to the Committee on Works and Operations.

Carried.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

The Canada-Manitoba-Winnipeg Agreement for the Development of Winnipeg 1995-1999
File FR-3.2 (Vol. 1)

324 - 2. The Canada-Manitoba-Winnipeg Agreement for the Development of Winnipeg 1995-1999 is a tri-lateral agreement between the Government of Canada, the Government of Manitoba and the City of Winnipeg.

City Council is required to approve the terms and conditions of the agreement, appoint the Mayor as the City of Winnipeg representative on Policy Committee, and authorize the Proper Officers of the City of Winnipeg to implement Council's decision.

The City of Winnipeg has anticipated a tri-level agreement for the development of Winnipeg for several years since the expiry of the second Core Area Initiative Agreement in 1992.

Funding for the City's $25 million contribution to such an agreement has been in place within Annual Budgets and 5-Year Capital Forecasts since 1992 as follows:

Approved Borrowing Authority	
1992	$3,000,000.00
1993	$3,000,000.00
1994	0.00
1995	$2,000,000.00
	$8,000,000.00
Approved in 1996-2000 5-Year Capital Forecast	
1996	$3,000,000.00
1997	$4,000,000.00
1998	$5,000,000.00
1999	$5,000,000.00
	$17,000,000.00
Grand Total	$25,000,000.00

The $75 million Canada-Manitoba-Winnipeg Agreement for the Development of Winnipeg 1995-1999, as outlined in Appendix "A", has been drafted as a reflection of the three levels of government desire to join together in order to implement a long-term sustainable economic strategy in Winnipeg.

The agreement itself is structured so as to provide for overall management and direction by a Policy Committee consisting of the principal Federal Minister, the principal Provincial Minister, and Winnipeg through the Mayor. Such management and direction shall be provided by the issuance of policy guidelines or directions to a Management Committee made up of a total of six administrators as members; two appointed by each government.
Appendix "B" describes the circumstances, strategy, and the components and programs of the agreement. Generally, this city-wide agreement is intended to support the long-term sustainable economic development of Winnipeg through mechanisms designed to:

a. create safe and productive environments in recognition that a healthy community is a cornerstone to economic growth

b. provide the skills, work experience and education to people to fill current and future jobs, and

c. help create long-term employment

Programs are organized into three distinct components:

Component I: Community Development and Security
Component II: Labour Force Development
Component III: Strategic Sectoral Development

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

Programs are described in more detail in Appendix "B", with budget allocations described in Appendix "C".

It should be noted that this agreement conceptually calls for each level of government to directly implement its $25 million share of the $75 million total agreement budget in the absence of a central office (as in the Core Area Agreements). However, some programs are to be funded by more than one partner. Where a partner has contributed funds to a program or sub-program, that partner's representative on Policy Committee shall obtain approval from his or her respective government. Where a partner has not contributed funds to a program or sub-program, that partner's representative on Policy Committee shall not be required to obtain approval of his or her respective government, although all decisions of Policy Committee must be unanimous.

The intent of this arrangement is to have the partners agree to priorities at the highest level, that is, through the signing of the agreement with related schedules; and then to focus accountability for performance at the Policy Committee and Management Committee, and at the implementing jurisdiction (partner) level.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the terms and conditions of the Canada-Manitoba-Winnipeg Agreement for the Development of Winnipeg 1995-1999 be approved as outlined in Appendix "A".

II. That the Mayor be confirmed as the City of Winnipeg Representative on the Policy Committee.

III. That Section 11.1 contained within SECTION 11: GENERAL of the draft agreement be amended by adding the following words "Senate of Canada" after the word "Canada," in the third line thereof.

IV. That the Proper Officers of the City of Winnipeg be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the agreement, including the execution of any and all documents related thereto.

Copy of attachment referred to in the above clause is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

The Speaker called the Deputy Speaker, Councillor Reese, to the Chair in order to participate in the debate, and after speaking resumed the Chair.

The motion for the adoption of the clause was put and declared carried.

Exchange District Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 1995
File FL-7.1(Vol. 3)

325 - 3. On January 25, 1989, Council adopted By-law No. 5081/89 being a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Exchange District Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone.

The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31st in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 26, 1994, the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1995. (Copy previously forwarded to all members of Council). On December 14, 1994, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1995 and to provide recommendations to Council within 60 days of receipt of the request of Council.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

On January 10, 1995, the City Centre Community Committee considered the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone - proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for 1995 and passed the following motion:

> "WHEREAS a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Thursday, December 22, 1994, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5081/89;
>
> AND WHEREAS no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by the Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone for the year 1995 be adopted.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 1995
File FL-7.2(Vol. 3)

326 - 4. On March 7, 1990, Council adopted By-law No. 5378/90 being a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone.

The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31st in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 12, 1994, the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1995. (Copy previously forwarded to all members of Council). On November 16, 1994, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1995 and to provide recommendations to Council within 60 days of receipt of the request of Council.

On January 10, 1995, the City Centre Community Committee considered the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone - proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for 1995 and passed the following motion:

> "WHEREAS a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Thursday, December 22, 1994, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5378/90;
>
> AND WHEREAS no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by the Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone for the year 1995 be adopted.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 1995
File FL-7.3(Vol. 3)

327 - 5. On December 20, 1989, Council adopted By-law No. 5322/89 being a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone.

The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31st in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 3, 1994, the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1995. (Copy previously forwarded to all members of Council). On November 16, 1994, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1995 and to provide recommendations to Council within 60 days of receipt of the request of Council.

On January 10, 1995, the City Centre Community Committee considered the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone - proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for 1995 and passed the following motion:

> "WHEREAS a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Thursday, December 22, 1994, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5322/89;
>
> AND WHEREAS no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by the Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone for the year 1995 be adopted.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 1995
File FL-7.4(Vol. 5)

328 - 6. On January 25, 1989, Council adopted By-law No. 5080/89 being a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone.

The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31st in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

On October 27, 1994, the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1995. (Copy previously forwarded to all members of Council). On November 16, 1994, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1995 and to provide recommendations to Council within 60 days of receipt of the request of Council.

On January 10, 1995, the City Centre Community Committee considered the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone - proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for 1995 and passed the following motion:

> "WHEREAS a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Thursday, December 22, 1994, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5080/89;
>
> AND WHEREAS no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by the Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone for the year 1995 be adopted.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 1995
File FL-7.7 (Vol. 2)

329 - 7. On March 6, 1991, Council adopted By-law No. 5650/91 being a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone.

The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31st in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 11, 1994, the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1995. (Copy previously forwarded to all members of Council). On November 16, 1994, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1995 and to provide recommendations to Council within 60 days of receipt of the request of Council.

On January 10, 1995, the City Centre Community Committee considered the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone - proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for 1995 and passed the following motion:

> "WHEREAS a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Thursday, December 22, 1994, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5650/91;

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

AND WHEREAS no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by the Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone for the year 1995 be adopted.

II. That the proposed name change to "The West End Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone" be approved.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 1995
File FL-7.8(Vol. 2)

330 - 8. On March 25, 1992, Council adopted By-law No. 5921/92 being a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "West Broadway - South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone.

The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31st in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 5, 1994, the West Broadway - South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1995. (Copy previously forwarded to all members of Council). On November 16, 1994, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1995 and to provide recommendations to Council within 60 days of receipt of the request of Council.

On January 10, 1995, the City Centre Community Committee considered the West Broadway - South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone - proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for 1995 and passed the following motion:

"WHEREAS a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the West Broadway - South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Thursday, December 22, 1994, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5921/92;

AND WHEREAS no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the West Broadway - South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by the Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the West Broadway - South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone for the year 1995 be adopted.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Osborne South Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 1995
File FL-7.9 (Vol. 1)

331 - 9. On July 21, 1993, Council adopted By-law No. 6201/93 being a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Osborne South Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone.

The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31st in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 17, 1994, the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1995. (Copy previously forwarded to all members of Council). On November 16, 1994, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994 and to provide recommendations to Council within 60 days of receipt of the request of Council.

On January 10, 1995, the City Centre Community Committee considered the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone - proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for 1994 and passed the following motion:

> "WHEREAS a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Thursday, December 22, 1994, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 6201/93;
>
> AND WHEREAS no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by the Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone for the year 1995 be adopted.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Hotel/Motel Accommodations Tax
File FE(1994) (Vol. 2)

332 - 10. On November 16, 1994, Council referred back to the Executive Policy Committee Clause 27 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated November 9, 1994, in the above connection.

Inasmuch as the Hotel/Motel Accommodations Tax will be discussed at a Financial Management Council Seminar, the Committee recommends that Council grant an extension of time of 90 days to allow for further consideration.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

Resolution to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities - Amending legislation to prohibit the sale and use of non-child resistant lighters Files EH (Vol. 8), GC-5.1 (Vol. 40) & GG-1 (Vol. 26)

333 - 11. The Executive Policy Committee recommends the following resolution be adopted by Council, namely:

WHEREAS the current Federal Regulations allow for the sale and use of non-child resistant lighters;

AND WHEREAS during the last year (1994) fires started by children using non-child resistant lighters has resulted in six fatalities, thirteen injuries and in excess of $500,000.00 in property damage in the City of Winnipeg;

AND WHEREAS children as young as eighteen months old have started fires by playing with non-child resistant lighters;

AND WHEREAS the six fatalities which occurred as a result of children playing with non-child resistant lighters were children and teenagers;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City of Winnipeg City Council request the Federal Regulations pertaining to production, distribution, importation and sale of Hazardous Products (Lighters) be amended to prohibit such production, distribution and sale of non-child resistant lighters;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Legislation be enacted and implemented as soon as practicable, which will prohibit the sale and use of non-child resistant lighters.

Adopted by consent.

Amendment to The Local Authorities Election Act File GK-2 (Vol. 19)

334 - 12. The Executive Policy Committee recommends the following resolution be adopted by Council, namely:

WHEREAS Section 11(15) of The Local Authorities Election Act permits the City of Winnipeg to use a voters list compiled by a senior level of government, in lieu of making a house to house canvas, provided that this information has been compiled within six months of the civic election;

AND WHEREAS civic elections are held on the 4th Wednesday of October every third year, and in 1995 the election will be held on October 25, 1995, and it is expected that a provincial election will take place in early spring of 1995;

AND WHEREAS a door to door enumeration will be conducted by Elections Manitoba for the provincial election, however, under the existing legislation, the City could use the information obtained by Elections Manitoba only if their door-to-door enumeration was done after April 25, 1995;

AND WHEREAS if the Provincial Election is called earlier in the year, the City would be unable to take advantage of the information obtained from the Provincial enumeration and be obligated to conduct its own door-to-door enumeration at a cost of approximately $600,000.00;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Province of Manitoba be requested to amend Section 11(15) of The Local Authorities Election Act by deleting the words "6 months" and substituting therefor "within one calendar year" in order to permit the City to use the enumeration of a senior level of government for a period of up to one year prior to a civic election.

Adopted by consent.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

Establishment of a Winnipeg Access to Information Committee
File GL-5.8 (Vol. 8)

335 - 13. On December 14, 1994, Council adopted Clause 8 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated November 30, 1994, which established a Winnipeg Access to Information Committee which requires the Executive Policy Committee to submit the names of suitable appointees for ratification by Council, not later than the January 25, 1995 scheduled meeting of Council.

Council also recommended that the Winnipeg Access to Information Committee present its recommendations to Council within three months after their appointment.

The Selection Committee has reviewed names put forth by interested parties who have expressed an interest in the development of the City's Access to Information By-law.

The Executive Policy Committee has ratified the recommendations of the Selection Committee and submits these appointments to Council for adoption, namely:

Councillor H. Lazarenko, Chairperson
Ms Mary Ann Beavis, Ph.D.
Mr. DeLoyd Guth, Ph.D.
Mr. Ross Nugent, Q.C.
Mr. Kirk Stanley
Mr. Ross Eadie
Mr. Ken Gibbons, Ph.D.

The Executive Policy Committee further recommends:

I. That the Winnipeg Access to Information Committee be requested to formulate a work plan, which may include the requirement for legal counsel, and to request approval by Council for additional funds.

II. That the Board of Commissioners be requested to report back to the Executive Policy Committee as to who will provide administrative support for the Winnipeg Access to Information Committee.

Adopted by consent.

Appointment of Citizen Members to the Board of Adjustment - 1995
Files GK-12 (Vol. 5) & GC-7 (Vol. 24)

336 - 14. Section 651(1) of The City of Winnipeg Act provides that Council shall in each year, appoint a Board of Adjustment to consider applications for variances and conditional uses and the Board shall consist of such number of members, as the Council shall decide. By-law No. 5894/92 and amendments thereto limits the number of members to no more than 6 members.

The Selection Committee reviewed applications for citizen members to the Board of Adjustment and recommends:

1. That William J. Lyons be re-appointed as Chairperson of the Board of Adjustment for a three-year term, expiring December 31, 1997.

2. That Arlene Ratuski be appointed as Vice Chairperson for the balance of her term which expires December 31, 1995.

3. That Lyle M. Smordin be appointed to the Board of Adjustment for a three-year term, expiring December 31, 1997.

The Executive Policy Committee has ratified the recommendations of the Selection Committee and submits these appointments to Council for adoption.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

Moved by Deputy Mayor Fraser,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Councillor Golden asked to be recorded as having voted against the clause, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

Appointments of Citizen Members to the Board of Revision
Files GK-11.1 (Vol. 2) & GC-7 (Vol. 24)

337 - 15. Section 35(1) of The Municipal Assessment Act provides that Council shall in each year by resolution, appoint a Board of Revision to revise the assessment rolls and the Board shall consist of such number of members, not fewer than three, as the Council shall decide. Council on December 17, 1993 resolved that the number of members to the Board of Revision be reduced from 27 to 21 members.

The Selection Committee has considered a number of applications for the appointment to the Board of Revision in order to allow the Board of Revision to continue to hear appeals at the current expanded rate and recommends that the following persons be appointed as citizen members to the Board of Revision for one year to expire December 31, 1995, namely:

Ed Baetz	Dennis Scott-Herridge	M.E. Moffat
Roland Boisvert	H. K. Holohan	D. Muirhead
Tom Butterworth	Rod Jagger	Bud Newton
Henri Daudet	Louis Levine	Don Nyznik
Roy B. Finley	Douglas G. Lowry	George Oliver
John E. Ford	Ken Lucyk	John Owen
Jane A. Frain	Kevin G. Lyons	Amarjit Panchhi
Randy Gibbons	John R. McDougall	Nancy Parkhill
Max W. Goldack	Gordon W. McGarva	Allan Poapst
William K. Greenaway	Gordon A. Mears	Gord Strong

The Selection Committee further recommends that Dennis Scott-Herridge be re-appointed as Chairperson of the Board of Revision.

The Executive Policy Committee has ratified the recommendations of the Selection Committee and submits these appointments to Council for adoption.

Moved by Deputy Mayor Fraser,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Councillor Golden asked to be recorded as having voted against the clause, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

Appointment of a Citizen Member to the Concordia Hospital Board
Files FH (Vol. 1) & GC-7 (Vol. 24)

338 - 16. On November 2, 1994, Council referred to the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee the matter of appointment of a Council representative to the Concordia Hospital Board of Directors.

On November 8, 1994, the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee recommended that two citizens representatives, instead of one citizen representative and one Council representative, be appointed to the Concordia Hospital Board of Directors for a one-year term, as Committee is of the opinion that two citizen representatives could adequately represent the community.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

The Community Committee recommended Anne Jorowski and Allen Rouse be appointed as citizen members to the Concordia Hospital Board for a one-year term expiring January 1996.

The Selection Committee concurred in the recommendation of the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee.

The Executive Policy Committee has ratified the recommendations of the Selection Committee and submits these appointments to Council for adoption.

Moved by Deputy Mayor Fraser
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Councillor Golden asked to be recorded as having voted against the clause, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

Appointment of Citizen Members to the Winnipeg Convention Centre
File G-1 (Vol. 7) & GC-7 (Vol. 24)

339 - 17. The Selection Committee has reviewed applications received by the City Clerk for the appointment of citizen members to the Winnipeg Convention Centre for a two-year term expiring January, 1997.

The Convention Centre Corporation Act, Chapter 83/72, Bill 114, establishes the composition of the Convention Centre Corporation.

The Selection Committee recommends that Carol Cowles, Sheryl MacDonald and Hersch Wolch be appointed to the Winnipeg Convention Centre Corporation for the two-year term expiring January 1997.

The Executive Policy Committee has ratified the recommendations of the Selection Committee and submits these appointments to Council for adoption.

Moved by Deputy Mayor Fraser,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Councillor Golden asked to be recorded as having voted against the clause, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

Appointment of Members to the Winnipeg Safe City Committee
File GF-2.7(Vol. 9)

340 - 18. The Selection Committee has reviewed applications received by the City Clerk for the appointment of citizen members to the Winnipeg Safe City Committee.

On January 11, 1995 the Safe City Committee nominated ten individuals as citizen members on the Committee and recommended that the eleventh memberships on the Committee remain vacant pending the recruitment of a suitable applicant.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

The Selection Committee concurs in the recommendation of the Safe City Committee and recommends:

1. Appointment of the following citizen members for a two-year term to expire December 31, 1996, namely:

Lena Anderson	Dorothy McDonald
Ken De Lisle	Pat Holbrow
Loreen Gardner	Barbara Thompson

2. Appointments of the following citizen members for the balance of a two-year term to expire December 31, 1995, namely:

Alice McEwan-Morris	Catherine McGowan
George Jarvis	Zoey Michele

On November 2, 1994, Council referred to the Standing Committees the matter of appointment of Administrative Members to the Winnipeg Safe City Committee for a 2 year term expiring December 31, 1996

The following recommendations were made:

1.	Planning and Community Services	Kelly Goldstrand, Health Department Laura Fowler, Library Department
2.	Protection, Parks and Culture	Gary Richardson, Fire Department Elsie Hopkins, Parks and Recreation Ken Biener, Winnipeg Police Service
3.	Finance and Administration	To be recommended by the Commissioner
4.	Works and Operations	To be recommended by the Commissioner

The Selection Committee concurs in the recommendations of the Standing Committees.

The Executive Policy Committee has ratified the recommendations of the Selection Committee and submits these appointments to Council for adoption.

Moved by Deputy Mayor Fraser,
Adoption of the clause.

In amendment,

Moved by Councillor Eadie,
Seconded by Councillor Clement,
WHEREAS the clause outlines the appointment of members to the Winnipeg Safe City Committee as recommended by the Selection Committee;

AND WHEREAS the appointment of administrative members representing the Committee on Finance and Administration was to be recommended by the Commissioner of Finance and Administration;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the following administrators be appointed to the Safe City Committee as recommended by the Commissioner of Finance and Administration, namely:

Law Department	-	Mr. Douglas W. Buhr
Personnel Department	-	Ms Sandra Hrychuk, Employment Equity Coordinator Ms Carrie Grahme, Occupational Health Coordinator
Civic Buildings Dept	-	Mrs. Eleanor Dilay, Standards Officer (to be replaced by Mrs. Sue De Yoe-Harwood, Acting Planning Officer during maternity leave)

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

The amendment was put and declared carried.

The motion for the adoption of the clause, as amended, was put and declared carried.

Councillor Golden asked to be recorded as having voted against the clause, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

Appointment of Citizen Members to the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council File FG-3 (Vol. 29) & GC-7 (Vol. 23)

341 - 19. The Selection Committee has reviewed applications received by the City Clerk for the appointment of citizen members to the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council for the two year term expiring November, 1996.

Council on November 7, 1984, established the WAAC, including the composition of its citizen membership to be four citizen members appointed by Council for a two year term.

The Selection Committee recommends that Beth Shore and Gabriel Dufault be appointed to the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council for a two-year term expiring November, 1996.

The Executive Policy Committee has ratified the recommendations of the Selection Committee and submits these appointments to Council for adoption.

Adopted by consent.

Appointments to the Access Advisory Committee File CR-3 (Vol. 1)

342 - 20. On June 21, 1989, Council adopted Clause 6 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated June 14, 1989 establishing the Access Advisory Committee with a mandate of recommending policies, programs and projects for the Mayor's consideration that address the accessibility needs of physically disabled persons under a program called "Winnipeg: An Accessible City by 1993".

Initiatives to establish a new Access Advisory Committee have been undertaken, and as of July 1994, six meetings have taken place with the members of the previous Committee to establish Mandate and Terms of Reference as outlined on Appendix "A" for the new Committee.

Outlined in the Terms of Reference are the Composition and Term of Appointment for the new Committee, which state therein, that Committee appointments will be handled in accordance with the City's policy on appointments for Boards and Commissions.

Composition of the new Access Advisory Committee contained therein is as follows:

- two members from City Council
- eight members with disabilities
- two members who may or may not have a disability, but are interested in access issues (i.e. persons from business, various organization, etc.)
- the Mayor as an ex-officio member

The current membership of the Committee is as follows:

Councillor Fraser, Chairperson	Councillor Hyman
Terrence Beeman	Ross Eadie
Katie Goetz	Marilyn Hendzel
Charles Mastin	Mel Nickart
Laurie Ringaert	Shelley Saunders

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 18, 1995

All members have expressed an interest in continuing to serve on the proposed new Access Advisory Committee. The existing members have contributed to the previous Access Committee and its initiatives, as well as playing an important role in developing the proposed Terms of Reference for the new Committee and have been successful in recent initiatives to enhance accessibility and alternate media services for the citizens of Winnipeg. In addition, the current members meet the criteria set out in the proposed Terms of Reference under "Composition".

On January 5, 1995, the Access Advisory Committee directed that the proposed Terms of Reference for the new Access Advisory Committee be submitted to the Executive Policy Committee for consideration.

Committee also directed that the policy relative to appointments to Boards and Commissions as contained in the proposed Terms of Reference be waived, in order that the above members be appointed to serve on the proposed new Access Advisory Committee. Further, Committee requested that the matter of the remaining appointments to effect the full complement of membership to the Committee, proceed for advertising in accordance with the policy for Boards and Commissions.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the Terms of Reference for the Access Advisory Committee as outlined on Schedule "A" be adopted.

II. That the following citizen members be appointed to the Access Advisory Committee for a two year term to expire on December 31, 1996, namely:

Terrence Beeman
Charles Mastin
Shelley Saunders
Ross Eadie
Laurie Ringaert

III. That the following citizen members be appointed to the Access Advisory Committee for a one year term to expire on December 31, 1995, namely:

Katie Goetz
Mel Nickart
Marilyn Hendzel

Copy of attachment referred to in the above clause is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

Appointment of Citizen Members to the St. Boniface Museum Board
Files GC-7 (Vol. 24) and PR-9.3 (Vol. 4)

343 - 21. The Selection Committee referred the appointment of 4 citizen members to the St. Boniface Museum Board for a two year term to expire in November, 1996 to the Riel Community Committee for their nomination.

On January 16, 1995, the Riel Community Committee recommended the appointment of the following three persons to the St. Boniface Museum Board, namely:

Real J. Cloutier
Neil Gaudry
Rene Piche

The remaining vacancy for the Board will be considered at a future meeting.

The Selection Committee concurs with the recommendation of the Riel Community Committee.

The Executive Policy Committee has ratified the recommendations of the Selection Committee and submits these appointments to Council for adoption.

Adopted by consent.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, Clauses 19 and 20 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee, dated November 9, 1994, were considered, clause by clause.

Her Worship The Mayor and Councillors:

Agreement to Preserve N.H.L. Hockey in Winnipeg File F-5.1 (Vol. 3)

344 - 19. Council at its meeting held on September 21, 1994, ruled automatic referral of the following motion to your Committee, under Rule 16.1 of the Procedure By-law No. 5400/90:

Moved by Councillor Murray,
Seconded by Councillor Thomas,

WHEREAS the diversion of VLT monies from the City of Winnipeg revenue stream to the Winnipeg Jets is in fact the down-loading of the Provincial commitment to cost-share the losses of the Winnipeg Jets Hockey Club;

AND WHEREAS this downloading abrogates both the spirit and substance of the understanding on which the City participated in the agreement to preserve NHL hockey in Winnipeg;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Winnipeg City Council give immediate notice to the other parties to the agreement to preserve NHL hockey in Winnipeg that we view the agreement as having been abrogated and that the Administration be directed to do all things necessary to withdraw from the agreement;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City immediately engage independent legal counsel to represent the City's interests in litigation relating to the matter of winding down the agreement and disposing of the City's share of assets and liabilities of the hockey franchise.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends non-concurrence with the above motion.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
That the clause be referred to a Special Council Meeting on the M.E.C. proposal, to be held on Tuesday, January 31, 1995, at 2:00 p.m.

The motion to refer was put.

Councillor Murray called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Reese, Clement, Duguid, Eadie, O'Shaughnessy, Prystanski, Silva, Fraser, Her Worship Mayor Thompson and Councillor Angus. 10

Nay: Councillors Boychuk, Golden, Hyman, Murray and Thomas. 5

and the motion to refer was declared carried.

Management Fees File F-5.1 (Vol. 3)

345 - 20. Council at its special meeting held on October 14, 1994, ruled automatic referral of the following motion to your Committee, under Rule 16.1 of the Procedure By-law No. 5400/90:

Moved by Councillor Thomas,
Seconded by Councillor Murray,

WHEREAS there is no provision or plan to carry losses past 1995 if the team cannot be sold;

Executive Policy Committee - Unfinished Business (continued)

AND WHEREAS there is no provision for covering management fees when the fund is empty and there may well be difficulties or delays in the sale of the team;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT actual revenues achieved by the sale of the Jets be calculated under the two possible scenarios of sale (within the City and sale of the franchise to another market);

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the priority for disbursements of those funds and the control of those funds be clearly established to determine how much money is available to cover the established 10 million dollar fund and what is available to refund the City for covering the operating losses of the Jets;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the source of funds for the coverage of management fees if required in the future be decided simultaneously to this amendment.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends that the above motion be filed.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
That the recommendation of the Executive Policy Committee to file the motion be adopted.

Moved by Councillor Reese,
That the clause be referred to the Special Council Meeting on the M.E.C. proposal.

The motion to refer was put and declared lost.

The Speaker called the Deputy Speaker, Councillor Reese to the Chair and left the Chamber.

The Speaker returned to the Chamber.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
That the question be now put.

Carried.

The motion for the adoption of the Executive Policy Committee recommendation was put.

The Speaker called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Clement, Duguid, Eadie, Golden, O'Shaughnessy, Prystanski, Silva, Fraser, Her Worship Mayor Thompson and Councillor Angus. 10

Nay: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Hyman, Lazarenko, Murray and Thomas. 6

and the recommendation to file the motion was declared carried.

The Speaker advised that in accordance with Council's direction, Council would proceed to consider the order of business - "Executive Policy Committee - Motions".

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

MOTIONS

Moved by Councillor Clement,
Seconded by Councillor Duguid,

346 - WHEREAS on November 17, 1994, Council delegated to the Committee on Finance and Administration, the power and duty to receive a report from a subcommittee established by Council, which subcommittee shall give direction to the Chief Commissioner and the Board of Commissioners in respect to the renewal and revision of the current collective agreement between The City of Winnipeg and the Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 500, expiring December 24, 1994;

AND WHEREAS this power was delegated by By-law No. 6521/94 and limited to a period of time expiring on January 24, 1995;

AND WHEREAS it has been announced that a tentative agreement has been reached and a report outlining the particulars will be provided to all Members of Council at a Special "In Camera" meeting to be called by the Committee on Finance & Administration and to be jointly convened by Committee on Finance and Administration and Executive Policy Committee, said meeting to be held on Monday, January 30, 1995;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Section 3 of By-law No. 6521/94 be amended by deleting the date January 24, 1995 and substituting therefor the date "January 30, 1995".

Carried.

Council here proceeded to consider the order of business - "Executive Policy Committee - Consideration of By-laws".

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

CONSIDERATION OF BY-LAWS

347 - On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, the rule was suspended and By-law No. 6560/95, a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 6521/94, was read a first, second and third time, the rule being suspended for the third reading, and was passed and ordered to be signed and sealed. File GL-5.6

Pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2 of the Procedure By-law, Council stood adjourned at 6:01 p.m., to reconvene at 9:30 a.m. on Thursday, January 26, 1995.

Adjourned Meeting Thursday, January 26, 1995

Council reconvened this day at 9:35 a.m.

The Speaker called the adjourned meeting to order.

Present: The Speaker Councillor Angus, Councillors Boychuk, Clement, Eadie, Fraser, Golden, Hyman, Murray, O'Shaughnessy, Prystanski, Reese, Silva and Thomas.

Councillors Duguid and Lazarenko entered after the meeting after Council had reconvened.

In Attendance: Mrs. D. Browton, City Clerk, Mr. R. Kachur, Deputy City Clerk and Mrs. J. Park, Committee Clerk.

INTRODUCTION AND WELCOME OF GUESTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

348 - The Speaker introduced the Pages for the Council meeting as follows: Sharon Morrison of Oak Park High School, Greg Page of River East Collegiate, Robert Barry of St. John's Ravenscourt and Matt Crowe of St. James Collegiate.

Council proceeded to consider the order of business - "Executive Policy Committee - Motions of Which Notice Has Been Given".

The Speaker called the Deputy Speaker, Councillor Reese to the Chair and left the Chamber.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Moved by Councillor Hyman,
Seconded by Councillor Thomas,
349 - WHEREAS it has been more than ninety (90) days since the matter of the Polo Park site for an arena was referred to Executive Policy Committee;

AND WHEREAS there is significant support on Council for the construction of a new facility on the Polo Park site, given that the cost of such a facility would be approximately half the cost of either of the proposed downtown locations;

AND WHEREAS the Polo Park site is the least likely to involve government subsidy;

AND WHEREAS the cost of constructing an arena/entertainment facility and the subsequent annual cost of financing the resulting debt is critical to the viability of both the facility and the N.H.L. franchise;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT a careful analysis be undertaken of the costs of the current Polo Park site versus the proposed downtown location and this be added as a third option to be investigated in the next three months.

The Speaker returned to the Chamber and resumed the Chair.

The motion was put.

Councillor Reese called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Hyman, Murray, Prystanski and Thomas. 6

Nay: Councillors Clement, Duguid, Eadie, Golden, Lazarenko, O'Shaughnessy, Silva, Fraser and Angus. 9

and the motion was declared lost.

Executive Policy Committee - Motions of Which Notice Has Been Given (continued)

Moved by Councillor Murray,
Seconded by Councillor Hyman

350 - WHEREAS Plan Winnipeg calls for the development of a priorities plan (Page 122, Section A, Chapter 7) to be prepared for Council, not later than June 30, 1993;

AND WHEREAS Winnipeg's City Council was supposed to establish a Plan Winnipeg Review Committee within ninety days of the Provincial Government approval of By-law No. 5915/92 (Page 128, Section G, Chapter 7);

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT a working group of four councillors and eight citizens be appointed by City Council to fulfil the mandate of Plan Winnipeg, Sections A and G of Chapter 7.

Moved by Councillor Duguid,
Seconded by Councillor Hyman,

THAT the above motion proposed by Councillors Murray and Hyman regarding the development of a priorities plan in accordance with Plan Winnipeg be referred to the Corporate Services Department and that Corporate Services develop a process with a schedule/time line for the development of a priorities plan, which will include the provision for each Standing Committee to bring forth a priorities plan relating to their respective Standing Committees' jurisdiction to Executive Policy Committee.

The Speaker called the Deputy Speaker, Councillor Reese to the Chair in order to participate in the debate, and after speaking, resumed the Chair.

With the concurrence of the seconder, Councillor Hyman, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Duguid amended his motion to include the words and that "Executive Policy Committee report back to Council with the priorities plan by February 22, 1995".

The motion to refer with instructions was put.

Councillor Duguid called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Duguid, Golden, Fraser and Angus. 4

Nay: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Clement, Hyman, Lazarenko, Murray, O'Shaughnessy, Prystanski and Thomas. 9

and the motion to refer was declared lost.

In accordance with Rule 50.4 of the Procedure By-law and with the permission of Council, Councillor Hyman was permitted to change her vote, the results being as follows:

Yea: Councillors Duguid, Golden, Fraser, Hyman and Angus. 5

Nay: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Clement, Lazarenko, Murray, O'Shaughnessy, Prystanski and Thomas. 8

The motion proposed by Councillors Murray and Hyman was put.

Councillor Reese called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Hyman, Lazarenko, Murray and Thomas. 6

Nay: Councillors Clement, Duguid, Golden, O'Shaughnessy, Prystanski, Fraser and Angus. 7

and the motion was declared lost.

Executive Policy Committee - Motions of Which Notice Has Been Given (continued)

Moved by Councillor Murray,
Seconded by Councillor Thomas,

351 - WHEREAS a budget process is needed with greater control of spending by citizens through their Councillors;

AND WHEREAS to evaluate the health of any budget process, the budget process should have objectives and a criteria that the process and results can be evaluated by to determine success or failure;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the budget process should be amended to include these features:

1) The process should follow clear, consistent and published rules established by Council. The system used should reasonably accommodate representations from Councillors and concerned citizens;

2) It should promote consensus building and constructive debate within the principles of majority rules;

3) The budget should be developed from clear policies and supported by accessible, readable and complete budget documents and financial statements;

4) The timing of the budget process should begin and end before the beginning of the fiscal year in which it is to be expended. A critical path that allows for appropriate preparation, development, review and approval sessions should be established;

5) Revenue and expenditure projections should realistically reflect past experience, thereby avoiding year-end corrections which become necessary to maintain services such as snow removal or temporary staffing;

6) Reserve, surplus accounts, funds and utility transfers should have clear policies establishing the criteria under which disbursements can be made. These accounts should not be used as a substitute for current income. Regular reports on activities and transactions associated with these accounts and reserves should be made to Council;

7) The Mayor and Executive Policy Committee should take responsibility for the budget drafts and present a budget to Council for review based on a policy document, as well as documents such as Plan Winnipeg and major policy papers drafted by Standing Committees such as New Directions for Libraries, City Waste Minimization Strategy and so on;

8) A Central Budget Committee of Councillors should be established on the model of a Treasury Board. The function of this Committee would be to co-ordinate the Budget Review Process with all Standing Committees. This review would facilitate the development of policy and budgets within the overall framework established by Council;

9) The reliance on capital expenditures to implement policy should be limited and an integrated review of capital and operating expenditures in each program should be undertaken. For example, transportation and waste management capital projects should proceed at a much faster pace than the operating components of these programs;

10) Policy statements and budget envelopes should be assigned to each area of the Civic Administration at the beginning of the process. Once overall spending guidelines have been established for the upcoming year, each Standing Committee should establish a budget envelope for each directorate under its policy responsibilities. Specific Departments under each directorate would develop a budget within the assigned envelope consistent with the approved policy and priorities statement;

11) Each Department should establish a list of essential and non-essential services. Core services which are fundamental to the delivery of the Civic Administration's mandate should be identified and reviewed separately from non-essential services. The criteria for establishing core services should be flexible given the diversity of City programs;

Executive Policy Committee - Motions of Which Notice Has Been Given (continued)

12) The current Operating Budget should be developed with a rolling three year projection of expenditures for each area of operations. Estimates of revenue growth and mill rates would reduce the unpredictability currently associated with property taxes. A larger Operating Budget Window would lend itself to more realistic efforts to control expenditures which involve reorganization or replacement of program. This system would also mitigate against political quick fixes that result in long-term increases in Operating Costs. Delaying expenditures that are inevitable compounds the cost on the following years Budget;

13) The expenditure of current (Operating) and Capital Budget Allocations require a strong mechanism for accountability. The auditor should ensure expenditures can demonstrate efficiency, effectiveness and equity. A Freedom of Information By-law should be enacted to ensure that audits and budget information is accessible in a readable format. provisions should be made to allow major capital expenditures to be the subject of a citizen review through plebiscites;

14) Reorganization and rationalization of services needs to ensure direct service cuts are not undertaken at the expense of vulnerable populations and less affluent communities. Public services must be sensitive to Winnipeg's diverse population. Creative extension and delivery of services can be achieved through enhanced partnerships with Community and Business and Labour groups with careful planning to ensure clear lines of public accountability; and

15) Productivity levels should be considered in the development of budgets. Productivity as it relates to service effectiveness should be of concern as a ratio of input costs to outputs. Some cities have built productivity measurement and enhancement programs into their budget process. Strategies to improve productivity include improvements to operating procedures, utilization of new technology, enhancement of the skills and motivation of employees and improving labour relations. This is not based on an assumption that departments are not productive but rather that such strategies work to improve the use of resources and serve the public. An ethic of striving for excellence and being the finest City should be at the foundation of our budget process.

The Speaker called the Deputy Speaker, Councillor Reese, to the Chair in order to participate in the debate, and after speaking, resumed the Chair.

Moved by Councillor Murray,
Seconded by Councillor Boychuk,

THAT the motion proposed by Councillors Murray and Thomas, be referred to an Executive Policy Committee special budget seminar.

Council recessed at 12:04 p.m., and reconvened at 1:34 p.m., same members present.

Councillors Reese, O'Shaughnessy, Prystanski and Silva entered the meeting after Council had reconvened.

With the concurrence of the seconder, Councillor Boychuk, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Murray amended his motion to include the words "and be brought back to Council for deliberation on February 22, 1995" at the end thereof.

Moved by Councillor Murray,
Seconded by Councillor Boychuk,

THAT the Notice of Motion proposed by Councillors Murray and Thomas be referred to an Executive Policy Committee special budget seminar and brought back to Council for its deliberation on February 22, 1995.

The motion to refer was put.

The Speaker called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Boychuk, Duguid, Hyman, Murray, Silva and Thomas. 6

Nay: Councillors Clement, Eadie, Golden, Lazarenko, Fraser and Angus. 6

and the motion to refer was declared lost, in accordance with Rule 58 of the Procedure By-law.

Executive Policy Committee - Motions of Which Notice Has Been Given (continued)

The motion proposed by Councillors Murray and Thomas was put.

The Speaker called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Boychuk, Hyman, Murray and Thomas. 4

Nay: Councillors Clement, Duguid, Eadie, Golden, Lazarenko, Silva, Fraser and Angus. 8

and the motion was declared lost.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

MOTIONS

Moved by Councillor Hyman,
Seconded by Councillor Murray,

352 - WHEREAS Council on September 21, 1994, adopted Clause 2 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee acknowledging that the professional administrative support services for the Winnipeg Safe City Committee would be contracted out by way of a personal services agreement with Chris Balicki for a 4-month duration of September 1 to December 31, 1994, at a prorated per annum fee for service of $40,000.00;

AND WHEREAS the said personal services contract for professional administrative support services to the Winnipeg Safe City Committee expired on December 31, 1994;

AND WHEREAS the Winnipeg Safe City Committee has recommended that the personal services contract with Chris Balicki be renewed for a one-year period, from January 1 to December 31, 1995, at a per annum fee for service of $40,000.00;

AND WHEREAS the matter is presently under review, and professional administrative support services are currently being provided to the Winnipeg Safe City Committee by Chris Balicki;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that until such time as the contract for professional administrative support services for the Winnipeg Safe City Committee has been resolved by Council, that a prorated fee for service based on the per annum fee of $40,000.00, be provided to Chris Balicki for services rendered in the capacity of the Coordinator to the Winnipeg Safe City Committee.

The Speaker ruled automatic referral to Executive Policy Committee in accordance with Rule 16.1 of the Procedure By-law.

Moved by Councillor Hyman,
That the rule be suspended to allow consideration of the motion at this time.

Carried.

The motion was put and declared carried.

Moved by Councillor Golden,
Seconded by Councillor Lazarenko,

353 - WHEREAS Council has delegated authority to the Committee on Works and Operations, to enter into contracts for approved budget matters for amounts up to $1 million;

AND WHEREAS the Committee on Works and Operations has used this delegated authority to approve a $250,000.00 study of traffic matters on Portage Avenue;

Executive Policy Committee - Motions (continued)

AND WHEREAS the said study was neither an urgent matter nor a matter directed by policy of City Council;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT any contract (not of an emergency matter) authorizing a study or report, be first authorized by Council.

Automatic Referral to Executive Policy Committee in accordance with Rule 16.1 of the Procedure By-law.

Moved by Councillor Thomas,
Seconded by Councillor Hyman,

354 - WHEREAS the land north of The Forks and south of Juba Park was acquired with public funds for public use;

AND WHEREAS the construction of an arena at this site would have a significant impact on this land and the surrounding area;

AND WHEREAS the people of Winnipeg paid for and are deeply concerned about the future of this site;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that a plebiscite be held during the 1995 Civic Election on whether or not an arena should be built on the land acquired by the City of Winnipeg adjacent to The Forks Renewal Corporation, The Forks National Historic Park and Stephen Juba Park.

Required to Stand as Notice of Motion in accordance with Rule 17.1 of the Procedure By-law.

Moved by Councillor Murray,
Seconded by Councillor Boychuk,

355 - WHEREAS Winnipeg carries the largest capital debt (per capita) of any city in Canada, and cannot currently finance an acceptable level of infrastructure repair;

AND WHEREAS expenditures outside the basic infrastructure responsibilities and mandate of City government should have to demonstrate broad public support;

AND WHEREAS the citizens of Winnipeg repeatedly express concern and opposition to the gifting of land, service, or financial subsidy of an arena/sports/entertainment complex;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that a plebiscite be held during the 1995 Civic Election on the question of whether or not the citizens of Winnipeg support or oppose the City, Province or Federal governments providing a subsidy of the development and construction of an arena downtown.

Required to Stand as Notice of Motion in accordance with Rule 17.1 of the Procedure By-law.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

CONSIDERATION OF BY-LAWS

356 - On motion of Deputy Mayor Fraser, By-law No. 6503/94, a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 5915/92, a By-law establishing Plan Winnipeg, being a statement of the City's policy and general proposals in respect of development or use of the land in the City, was read a first time.
File EP-1.1 (PW 1/92) (Vol. 10)

Executive Policy Committee - Consideration of By-laws (continued)

Pursuant to The Municipal Council Conflict of Interest Act, Councillor Silva advised that he would refrain from entering the discussion and from voting on By-law No. 6503/94, because of a financial interest, and left the Chamber.

Deputy Mayor Fraser moved that this constitute the first reading of By-law No. 6503/94, which motion was carried.

Councillor Thomas asked to be recorded as having voted against the first reading of By-law No. 6503/94, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

Councillor Silva here returned to the Chamber.

On motion of Deputy Mayor Fraser, the following By-laws were read a first, second and third time, the rule being suspended for the third reading of each by-law, and were passed and order to be signed and sealed, namely:

By-law No. 6556/95, a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 1573/77, being The City of Winnipeg Traffic By-law. Files SC-1.1 (Vol. 5), ST-5.2 (Vol. 21) and ST-5.3 (Vol. 5).

By-law No. 6558/95, a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 1481/77, being The City of Winnipeg Streets By-law. File ST-5.3 (Vol. 5)

On motion of Deputy Mayor Fraser, By-law No. 6559/95, a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend the Amusement Tax By-law No. 3107/81 was read a first time. Files FE(1995) and FL-6.

Deputy Mayor Fraser moved that this constitute the first reading of By-law No. 6559/95, which motion was carried.

Moved by Councillor Golden,
That By-law No. 6559/95 be referred to the Executive Policy Committee.

The motion to refer was put.

The Speaker called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Clement, Golden, Hyman, Murray, Thomas and Angus. 8

Nay: Councillors Duguid, Eadie, Lazarenko, Prystanski, Silva and Fraser. 6

and the motion to refer was declared carried.

On motion of Deputy Mayor Fraser, the rule was suspended and By-law No. 6586/95, a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 3219/82, being The City of Winnipeg Parks and Recreation By-law was read a first, second and third time, the rule being suspended for the third reading, and was passed and ordered to be signed and sealed. File PR-6 (Vol. 6)

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

QUESTION PERIOD

357 - Councillor Golden questioned whether it was already too late to stop the $250,000 Portage Avenue traffic study.

Councillor Duguid noted that $200,000 of the study was to be borne by the Winnipeg Development Agreement, pending their approval, and stated that he was checking into whether the funds had been committed to date in the form of a contract.

Councillor Golden noted that the Committee on Works and Operations had the delegated authority to approve funds and projects within the capital and current budgets, and questioned the Committee's authority to approve a new project, not previously identified in either capital or current budgets.

Councillor Duguid noted that the Standing Committee had merely approved a study for a project and that the study could possibly form the basis of a project proposal which would go forward into the current or capital budget review by Council.

Councillor Golden again questioned what authority was exercised by the Committee on Works and Operations in directing a study of a matter not approved by Council.

Councillor Duguid noted that Council had approved the CentrePlan strategy and that inherently, specific aspects had been referred to Standing Committees, but noted that if it was the will of Council to review the matter, that he was not in opposition to discussing such at Council.

Councillor Reese questioned what specific authority Standing Committees had with respect to studies, outside of approved capital projects.

Deputy Mayor Fraser stated that utilization of unused borrowing authority matters should come to Council and that Standing Committees had delegated authority for up to $1 million and that Council had, in the past, seen fit to remove a specific delegated authority from a Committee, through motion and by-law.

Councillor Murray questioned the status on the CentrePlan document, especially as pertains to this matter.

Deputy Mayor Fraser noted that the CentrePlan document was a package of recommendations and that the Standing Committees were to act on the recommendations, and further noted that the Committee on Works and Operations had the delegated authority to proceed to explore the study in accordance with the direction of CentrePlan, and that the Standing Committees do in fact have the delegated authority to undertake studies.

Councillor Murray requested clarification as to whether the Standing Committees had the authority to act on the directions espoused in CentrePlan or whether the Standing Committees were required to bring the matters back for Council approval.

Deputy Mayor Fraser again noted that the Committee on Works and Operations had acted within its delegated authority.

Councillor Golden questioned under what delegated authority did the Committee on Works and Operations approve the funding for the study and whether the Committee on Works and Operations would consider not proceeding with the study until Council deliberates the matter.

Councillor Duguid noted that the City's $50,000 contribution was to come from the "Innovative Transit Grant" account, whose monies had in fact been approved by Council and that the Standing Committee on Works and Operations was responsible for approving projects under the program, and that the $50,000 would be used for start-up activities for the study. He further noted that the balance of the funding as proposed from the Winnipeg Development Agreement, would be considered by Council, and that the project as a whole had not yet started.

Councillor Duguid noted that if it was the will of Council, he would respond accordingly on behalf of the Committee on Works and Operations, further noting the motion referred to the Executive Policy Committee and that the Standing Committee would be discussing the matter at an upcoming meeting and invited Councillors to participate.

358 - Appendix "A" referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 11, 1995

Plan Winnipeg Amendment Process
Re: Lands Lying West of Perimeter Highway

December 1, 1994	*Board of Commissioners forwards report and Plan Winnipeg amending by-law to Executive Policy Committee*
December 7, 1994	*Executive Policy Committee considers report and Plan Winnipeg amending by-law*
December 14, 1994	*Council forwards proposed by-law to the Minister of Urban Affairs and instructs EPC to consult with any committee of Council, School Board, person, agency and organization that may be affected by the by-law. [579(1)]*
January 4, 1995	*Consult with Committee on Planning and Community Services*
January 11	*Executive Policy Committee consultation re: Plan Winnipeg Amending By-law*
January 25	*Council gives first reading to Plan Winnipeg Amending By-law in its original form or as amended [579(2)]*
January 26	*Advertise Executive Policy Committee public hearing to occur February 15 (Free Press and Sun) [579(3)-632-645]*
February 2	*Second advertisement for Executive Policy Committee public hearing to occur February 15*
February 15	*EPC holds formal public hearings re: Plan Winnipeg Amending By-law*
	Community Committee will be responsible for holding public hearings regarding re-zoning and subdivision
February 22	*Council gives second reading to Plan Winnipeg Amending By-law in its original form or as amended [579(4)]*
February 23	*Council forwards By-law and report to Minister of Urban Affairs*
March 22	*Subject to provincial approval or amendment by Minister of Urban Affairs, Plan Winnipeg amending by-law is given third reading in its present form or as amended.*