REPORT OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED MARCH 16, 1994

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994, was considered clause by clause.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
That the Consent Agenda items, Clauses 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14, 15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27 and 28 be adopted.

Councillor Clement requested that Clause 1 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Councillor Silva requested that Clause 2 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Councillor Hyman requested that Clauses 14 and 21 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Councillor Reese requested that Clause 15 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Councillor Murray requested that Clauses 16 and 17 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Councillor Golden requested that Clauses 18 and 19 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Councillor Boychuk requested that Clause 25 be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

The motion to adopt the Consent Agenda, Clauses 3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13, 20,22,23,24,26,27 and 28 was put and declared carried.

487 - Councillor Reese asked to be recorded as having voted against Clause 13, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

Her Worship The Mayor and Councillors:

Passing of By-laws to Fix and Impose Taxes to raise the Amount required in 1994 for School Purposes
File FL-3 (Vol. 9)

488 - 1. The Public Schools Act, R.S.M. 1987 c P250 provides that, upon receiving a statement from The Public Schools Finance Board of the Province of Manitoba, the Council of each Municipality shall fix and impose a tax on residential and other property in the Municipality sufficient to raise the amounts required to be raised in respect of residential and other property for the education support levy.

The Public Schools Act further provides that upon receiving a statement from a School Board setting out the amount of revenue that will be required to be raised by a special levy and the amounts that have been apportioned in that year in accordance with subsection 186(2) of the Act, the Council of a Municipality within the School Division shall in respect of real and personal property that is assessable property and that is within the Municipality and the School Division, fix and impose a tax sufficient to raise the amount that is apportioned to the Municipality and set out in the statement from the School Board.

Under The Public Schools Act, School Divisions must provide to the City a statement of their required revenue prior to March 15. City Council in past years considered the School Levy by-laws at the same time as the Municipal Levy by-law at a specially scheduled meeting in the last week of March. The current schedule is to consider the municipal levy by-law in late February.

As the revenue requirements from the School Divisions will not be available until March 15, and due to the required preparation time in the calculation of mill rates and preparation of the by-laws, the required by-laws cannot be completed for the scheduled Executive Policy Committee meeting on March 16 and the City Council meeting of March 23, 1994. As the next regularly scheduled meeting of City Council on April 27 is too late for

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

the preparation of the tax bills, a specially scheduled meeting of City Council will be required to consider the school mill rate by-laws.

The schedule of activities required for the preparation and mailing of the realty tax bills is outlined in Appendix "A".

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That a Special Meeting of City Council be scheduled for 1:00 p.m., April 6, 1994, in order to establish the two Provincial Education Support School Levy mill rates (residential and other) and the twelve School Division Special Levy mill rates.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the above recommendation.

Copy of Appendix "A" is on file in the Office of the City Clerk.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Establishment of a Film Commissioner's Office File G-3.1.2 (Vol. 6)

489 - 2. On May 20, 1993, the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture requested that a report be submitted on the feasibility of establishing a film commissioner's office to deal expeditiously with requests for filming in Winnipeg, and that the cities of Vancouver, Toronto, and Calgary, as well as other jurisdictions, be surveyed in terms of how they deal with these types of requests.

The Committee on Planning and Community Services, on June 9, 1993, requested the administration to examine models of other cities with a view to establishing a designated person for dealing with such requests as well as other economic opportunities for The City of Winnipeg.

On September 10, 1993, the Executive Policy Committee considered a report from the Chief Commissioner, dated July 29, 1993, and referred the matter back to the Board for further review.

The Cultural Industries Development Office (CIDO) was established and is supported by the Provincial Government of Manitoba, Department of Culture, Heritage, and Citizenship, to foster cultural and economic growth in the film, video, and sound recording industries. Location Manitoba, which works on behalf of the Manitoba Cultural Industries Development Office, introduces and promotes our Province as a potential location for any upcoming film productions or commercials.

When a film company is interested in filming in Manitoba, Location Manitoba is contacted. This organization is normally the first line of contact for a film organization as it facilitates productions throughout the Province and provides a variety of services free of charge. Services provided are as follows:

Resources Manual/Production Guide
Research for Scriptwriters and Researchers
Pre-location Scout Information
Computer/Photo Library of Locations
Arranging and Escorting Location Scout
Assistance with accommodations
Arranging Press Conference and Media contacts
Liaison with Municipal and Local Government Agencies regarding:-
Sensitive locations; Areas to be avoided; Areas permitted by the City, Municipalities, Province, or Country; Contacts with local Police and Fire Department offices; Permit procedures; Road Closures; and, the local people who can solve the problems that can arise when shooting on location
Offers qualified crews with feature film and television experience who can fill any of their technical needs

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Acts as an agent on behalf of the production company to gain appropriate work permits for crew and equipment
Performs all liaison work up to the date of arrival.

The City of Winnipeg becomes involved in film production when a request is received from either the filming producer or Location Manitoba. Often, Departments such as Streets and Transportation and Winnipeg Police, are contacted directly. The Department contacts that Location Manitoba uses have appeared to represent The City of Winnipeg with regard to filming requests. According to Location Manitoba, most requests have been dealt with very efficiently and effectively by these contacts. This informal system has been used because no film liaison person has ever been appointed officially.

It is thought that the "official" process to deal with film requests is very time consuming. The request must be formally written and a meeting must be arranged with all the affected departments. If a request falls outside Council policy a written report must be submitted.

In summary, Location Manitoba provides many quality services to film companies. Location Manitoba acts as the clearing house for all film requests in the Province and represents the first line of contact with the film companies. All the background work is done by Location Manitoba up to the point of actually shooting the film. The City of Winnipeg, if needed, is contacted only to issue the necessary permits and authorize the use of particular streets, parks, and buildings. The normal practice of Location Manitoba is to contact The City of Winnipeg through the Department contacts with whom they have established a rapport over the years.

There are a number of concerns with the present system. The first is the lack of formal communication between Location Manitoba and The City of Winnipeg. Some concern exists that the contacts do not have enough authority to fulfil the film requests or that they may authorize a request that should not be approved.

Another problem with the current system is the lack of understanding and knowledge within the City Administration, of film production processes and what services are available to film companies. The City of Winnipeg should at least be aware of what services are available in Winnipeg and who the film company should contact for more information. Promoting Winnipeg's filming services is important for the film industry. This problem is one of education.

Knowledge of the film industry seems to be the key to success in accommodating film organizations and their staff. Awareness of their needs is essential. The most common concern with any film company is speed of delivery. Producers and directors want their work permits, staff, equipment, and locations as quickly as possible. Time is money in this industry. Speed must be seen and treated as a very high priority in meeting film requests.
A further weakness is Location Manitoba's marketing focus. CIDO - Location Manitoba is provincially funded to promote the entire province as a potential location for filming productions and commercials. No special attention is awarded to Winnipeg. The City of Winnipeg could focus their time and attention, with the assistance and expertise of CIDO and Manitoba Motion Picture Industry Association (MMPIA), on film development in Winnipeg.

There are a number of reasons why a formal Film Committee would be beneficial:

- A Committee might deal with film requests efficiently and effectively and might be in a position of speeding up the approval and authorization of requests. Scheduling meetings with representatives from the Departments that are most often needed in film requests, could permit utilization of verbal discussions and negotiations.
- Education of all Committee Members with respect to the film industry would assist in the marketing of the City and help to promote the City to the filming industry - not in the financial sense but in communicating, knowledge, interest, and awareness of film locations within the City of Winnipeg.
- Increased communication and better working relationships with Location Manitoba, Winnipeg 2000, and MMPIA would be a result. Establishing a formal system to deal with film requests would eliminate the informal communication system currently being used between Location Manitoba and their Civic Department contacts.
- The Committee would begin a proactive approach to film development.
- Recognize the importance and potential economic benefits that could result from promoting the film industry in Winnipeg.

The Film Committee would be responsible to ensure that all film requests are dealt with appropriately and expeditiously. Informational meetings could be organized by Location Manitoba and MMPIA for members. Both organizations are in positions to educate film committee members on the many services that Manitoba provides to film

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

companies. Understanding the entire film process and being able to communicate what services are available is important to promote the film industry in Winnipeg.

The Committee, as a first order of business could deal with the issue of identifying particular City streetscapes to be used in film productions. This is a complex issue since the City is not in a position to assess a film company's location requirements.

Filming requests would have to be addressed immediately upon receipt. The Committee leader would call a meeting of all the members to discuss the request. Clearing the issues with all Departments involved, negotiating alternatives, evaluating the needs of all affected parties, and doing all things to ensure that all required city permits would be the agenda of the meetings.

A proactive approach to film development should focus not only on a formal system to speed up the approval of requests, but on the economic importance, promotion of the City to the film industry, and education of its members. Given this, the committee should include representatives from:

- Location Manitoba
- Manitoba Motion Picture Industry Association
- Winnipeg 2000
- Planning Department
- Historical Buildings Committee
- Streets and Transportation Department
- Law Department
- Civic Buildings Department
- Parks and Recreation Department
- The Board of Commissioners
- Winnipeg Police Department

Each of the representatives should have enough authority and knowledge within their Department or Association to act on its behalf and approve the necessary documentation to fulfil any film requests.
On March 16, 1994, Carole Vivier, General Manager and Andrew Koster, Manitoba's Cultural Industries Development Office (CIDO), made representation to your Executive Policy Committee in support of establishing a Film Commissioner's Office.

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the Commissioner of Protection, Parks and Culture [or his designate] be the person responsible to deal expeditiously with requests for filming in Winnipeg.

II. That a Film Advisory/Co-ordinating Committee be established comprised of representatives from Manitoba's Cultural Industries Development Office (CIDO) - Location Manitoba, Winnipeg 2000, Manitoba Motion Picture Industry Association (MMPIA), and representatives from The City of Winnipeg.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the above recommendations.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

Pursuant to The Municipal Council Conflict of Interest Act, Councillor Thomas advised that she would refrain from entering the discussion and from voting on the above clause, due to her spouse's employment association with the film industry, and left the Chamber.

In amendment,

Moved by Councillor Silva,
Seconded by Councillor Angus,
THAT Recommendation No. II. of the clause be amended by including representation from "the International Alliance of Theatrical and Stage Employees".

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

In amendment,

Moved by Councillor Murray,
Seconded by Councillor Hyman,

THAT the clause be amended to include in the membership of the "Film Committee", representation from professional and employee associations from the local film industry.

The amendment proposed by Councillors Silva and Angus was put and declared carried.

The amendment proposed by Councillors Murray and Hyman was put and declared carried.

The motion for the adoption of the clause, as amended, was put and declared carried.

Councillor Thomas here returned to the Chamber.

Expenses - Initial Selection Process
Business Improvement Zones
File FL-7(Vol. 4)

490 - 3. Since 1989, the City Clerk's Department has conducted elections for ten (10) Business Improvement Zones established within the City of Winnipeg. The City Clerk is mandated by each Business Improvement Zone by-law to conduct the initial selection process for members to the Zone Management Boards of each respective Business Improvement Zone. Appendix "A" outlines the initial selection process pursuant to a Business Improvement by-law.

On average, two Business Improvement Zones have been established annually since 1989. The average cost of conducting an election, which includes advertising, printing, materials and postage, is $1,500.00. This amount does not reflect any administrative costs.

In accordance with each by-law, the City Clerk must place an advertisement in the newspapers for the call of nominations, which costs approximately $1,000.00. Nomination notices, nomination papers, ballots and biographical information sheets must also be prepared for distribution. The overall cost of an election is dependent on the size of the newly-established Business Improvement Zone as outlined in Appendix "B"; the bigger the BIZ, the higher the costs of materials, printing and postage.

In 1993, the Osborne South (comprised of 87 businesses) and North-Main (comprised of 128 businesses) Business Improvement Zones were established, and elections were conducted by the City Clerk's Department, for a total cost of $2,300 (Advertising - $2,075.68; Printing, Materials and Postage - $224.32).

In reviewing its budget, the City Clerk's Department has determined that it can no longer continue to subsidize these elections, and feels that, as the City begins to pursue user-fee and cost-recovery strategies, the Business Improvement Zone for which the election is held should cover the expenses of conducting its election.

A provision for cost-recovery by Civic Departments is made in each Business Improvement Zone by-law, and reads as follows:

"Any costs incurred by the City on the account of the Business Improvement Zone, including uncollected Business Improvement Zone levies, shall be recovered through a charge against the Business Improvement Zone budget in the current or subsequent year."

The Law Department has advised that recovery of costs incurred by the City Clerk's Department, in the conduct of the initial selection process of a Business Improvement Zone, would be an appropriate charge against the Business Improvement Zone Budget.

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That, upon conducting the initial selection process of a Business Improvement Zone (BIZ), the City Clerk's Department recover costs incurred through a charge against the Business Improvement Zone budget in the current or subsequent year.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the above recommendations.

Copies of Appendices "A" and "B" are on file in the Office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates
FL-7.4 (Vol. 5)

491 - 4. On January 25, 1989, Council adopted By-law No. 5080/89, being a By-law of The City of Winnipeg, to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone. The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 20, 1993, the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1994.

On November 17, 1993, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On January 11, 1994, the City Centre Community Committee held a public meeting to hear representations on the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates. In conjunction therewith, the Community Committee adopted the following motion:

> "Whereas a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Monday, December 27, 1993, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5080/89;
>
> And Whereas no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

On February 21, 1994, the Executive Policy Committee recommendation, that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone for 1994, was adopted by Council.

The proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates were previously forwarded to all members of Council. As the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone has complied with both the legislation and the By-law governing the budget processes, it is now left to City Council to pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The City of Winnipeg Act CAP 10 S.M. 1989-90 Sub-section 200(8) and Sub-section 200(6) states the following:

> Approval of estimates.
>
> 200(8) "Subject to Sub-section (6), Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved."
>
> Notice of objection.
>
> 200(6)"Council shall not approve the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates, if written notice of objection is received from one third of the businesses in the zone that represent one third of the total business assessment in the zone."

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

In addition By-law No. 5080/89 Section 17(7) states in part the following:

> Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved, such payment to be made in accordance with the following schedule, except as provided in sub-section (10):
>
> (a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
> (b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
> (c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

The 1994 business assessment roll's annual rental value for the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone is $102,889,000. The proposed budget of the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone is $724,325. The zone levy rate which will be applied to the annual rental value of individual properties in the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone is .704%.

Due to the impact of general reassessment of the annual rental value in 1994 and change in assessment due to growth, the zone levy rate and annual rental value between 1994 and 1993 are not comparable. Additionally the 1994 annual rental value is a projected figure. The following table provides information on the changes in the zone.

	Budget	Zone Levy	A.R.V.	Zone Levy Rate
1993	724,466	723,178	82,648,470	0.875%
1994	724,325	724,339	102,889,000	0.704%
% Change	0.0	+0.2	+24.5	-19.5

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That Council adopt the proposed By-law approving the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone Management Board's program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994.

II. That Council direct the City Treasurer to make payment to the Board in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Exchange District Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates FL-7.1 (Vol. 2)

492 - 5. On January 25, 1989, Council passed By-law No. 5081/89, being a By-law of The City of Winnipeg, to designate a Business Improvement Zone known as the "Exchange District Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone. The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 28, 1993, the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone, held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1994.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

On November 17, 1993, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On January 11, 1994, the City Centre Community Committee held a public meeting to hear representations on the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates. In conjunction therewith, the Community Committee adopted the following motion:

> "Whereas a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Monday, December 27, 1993, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5081/89;
>
> And Whereas no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

On February 21, 1994, the Executive Policy Committee recommendation that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone, was adopted by Council.

The proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates were previously forwarded to all members of Council. As the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone has complied with both the legislation and the By-law governing the budget processes, it is now left to City Council to pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The City of Winnipeg Act CAP 10 S.M. 1989-90 Sub-section 200(8) and Sub-section 200(6) states the following:

> Approval of estimates.
> 200(8)"Subject to Sub-section (6), Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved."
>
> Notice of objection.
> 200(6)"Council shall not approve the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates, if written notice of objection is received from one third of the businesses in the zone that represent one third of the total business assessment in the zone."

In addition By-law No. 5081/89 Section 17(7) states in part the following:

Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved, such payment to be made in accordance with the following schedule, except as provided in sub-section (10):

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

The 1994 business assessment roll's annual rental value for the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone is $19,462,700. The proposed budget of the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone is $166,840. The zone levy rate which will be applied to the annual rental value of individual properties in the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone is .857%.

Due to the impact of general reassessment of the annual rental value in 1994 and change in assessment due to growth, the zone levy rate and annual rental value between 1994 and 1993 are not comparable. Additionally the 1994 annual rental value is a projected figure. The following table provides information on the changes in the zone.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

	Budget	Zone Levy	A.R.V.	Zone Levy Rate
1993	152,600	153,645	15,630,170	0.983%
1994	166,840	166,795	19,462,700	0.857%
% Change	+9.3	+8.6	+24.5	-12.8

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That Council adopt the proposed By-law approving the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone Management Board's program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994.

II. That Council direct the City Treasurer to make payment to the Board in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates FL-7.3 (Vol. 3)

493 - 6. On December 20, 1989, Council adopted By-law No. 5322/89, being a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone. The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone on September 29, 1993, held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1994.

Council on October 20, 1993, requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone.

On January 11, 1994, the City Centre Community Committee held a public meeting to hear representations on the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates. In conjunction therewith, the Community Committee adopted the following motion:

> "Whereas a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Monday, December 27, 1993, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5322/89;
>
> And Whereas no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

On February 21, 1994, the Executive Policy Committee recommendation that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone, was adopted by Council.

The proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates were previously forwarded to all members of Council. As the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone has complied with both the legislation and the By-law governing the budget processes, it is now left to City Council to pass a by-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

The City of Winnipeg Act CAP 10 S.M. 1989-90 Sub-section 200(8) and Sub-section 200(6) states the following:

> Approval of estimates.
> 200(8)"Subject to Sub-section (6), council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved."
>
> Notice of objection.
> 200(6)"Council shall not approve the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates, if written notice of objection is received form one third of the businesses in the zone that represent one third of the total business assessment in the zone."

In addition By-law No. 5322/89 Section 17/(7) states in part the following:

> Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved, such payment to be made in accordance with the following schedule, except as provided in subsection (10):
>
> (a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
> (b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
> (c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

The 1994 business assessment roll's annual rental value for the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone is $3,819,000. The proposed budget of the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone is $50,270. The zone levy rate which will be applied to the annual rental value of individual properties in the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone is 1.317%.

Due to the impact of general reassessment of the annual rental value in 1994 and change in assessment due to growth, the zone levy rate and annual rental value between 1994 and 1993 are not comparable. Additionally the 1994 annual rental value is a projected figure. The following table provides information on the changes in the zone.

	Budget	Zone Levy	A.R.V.	Zone Levy Rate
1993	48,470	47,909	3,193,920	1.500%
1994	50,270	50,296	3,819,000	1.317%
% Change	+3.7	+5.0	+19.6	-12.2

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That Council adopt the proposed By-law approving the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone Management Board's program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994.

II. That Council direct the City Treasurer to make payment to the Board in accordance with the following schedule:

> (a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
> (b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
> (c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates FL-7.2 (Vol. 3)

494 - 7. On March 7, 1990, Council adopted By-law No. 5378/90, being a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone. The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone on October 6, 1993, held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1993.

On November 17, 1993, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On January 11, 1994, the City Centre Community Committee held a public meeting to hear representations on the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates. In conjunction therewith, the Community Committee adopted the following motion:

"Whereas a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Monday, December 27, 1993, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5378/90;

And Whereas no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved That the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

On February 21, 1994, the Executive Policy Committee recommendation that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone, was adopted by Council.

The proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates were previously forwarded to all members of Council. As the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone has complied with both the legislation and the By-law governing the budget processes, it is now left to City Council to pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The City of Winnipeg Act CAP 10 S.M. 1989-90 Sub-section 200(8) and Sub-section 200(6) states the following:

> Approval of estimates.
> 200(8)"Subject to Sub-section (6), Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved."
>
> Notice of objection.
> 200(6)"Council shall not approve the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates, if written notice of objection is received from one third of the businesses in the zone that represent one third of the total business assessment in the zone."

In addition By-law No. 5378/90 Section 17(7) states in part the following:

> Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved, such payment to be made in accordance with the following schedule, except as provided in sub-section (10):

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

The 1994 business assessment roll's annual rental value for the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone is $2,338,500. The proposed budget of the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone is $36,000. The zone levy rate which will be applied to the annual rental value of individual properties in the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone is 1.540%.

Due to the impact of general reassessment of the annual rental value in 1994 and change in assessment due to growth, the zone levy rate and annual rental value between 1994 and 1993 are not comparable. Additionally the 1994 annual rental value is a projected figure. The following table provides information on the changes in the zone.

	Budget	Zone Levy	A.R.V.	Zone Levy Rate
1993	31,000	31,803	1,673,860	1.900%
1994	36,000	36,013	2,338,500	1.540%
% Changes	+16.1	+13.2	+39.7	-18.9

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That Council adopt the proposed By-law approving the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone Management Board's program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994.

II. That Council direct the City Treasurer to make payment to the Board in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates
FL-7.7 (Vol. 2)

495 - 8. On March 6, 1991, Council adopted By-law No. 5650/91, being a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone. The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 180, 1993, the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1994.

On November 17, 1993, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations in respect to the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On January 11, 1994, the City Centre Community Committee held a public meeting to hear representations on the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates. In conjunction therewith, the Community Committee adopted the following motion:

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

"Whereas a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Monday, December 27, 1993, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5650/91;

And Whereas no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved That the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

On February 21, 1994, the Executive Policy Committee recommendation that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone, was adopted by Council.

The proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates were previously forwarded to all members of Council. As the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone has complied with both the legislation and the by-law governing the budget processes, it is now left to City Council to pass a by-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The City of Winnipeg Act CAP 10 S.M. 1989-90 Subsection 200(8) and Sub-section 200(6) states the following:

Approval of estimates.
200(8)"Subject to Sub-section (6), council may pass a by-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved."

Notice of objection.
200(6)"Council shall not approve the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates, if written notice of objection is received from one third of the businesses in the zone that represent one third of the total business assessment in the zone."

In addition By-law No. 5650/91 Section 17/(7) states in part the following:

Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved, such payment to be made in accordance with the following schedule, except as provided in subsection (10):

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

The 1994 business assessment roll's annual rental value for the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone is $3,048.700. The proposed budget of the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone is $41,410. The zone levy rate which will be applied to the annual rental value of individual properties in the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone is 1.359%.

Due to the impact of general reassessment of the annual rental value in 1994 and change in assessment due to growth, the zone levy rate and annual rental value between 1994 and 1993 are not comparable. Additionally the 1994 annual rental value is a projected figure. The following table provides information on the changes in the zone.

	Budget	Zone Levy	A.R.V.	Zone Levy Rate
1993	38,500	39,941	2,218,920	1.800%
1994	41,410	41,432	3,048,700	1.359%
% Change	+7.6	+3.7	+37.4	-24.5

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

I. That Council adopt the proposed By-law approving the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone Management Board's program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994.

II. That Council direct the City Treasurer to make payment to the Board in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates
File FL-7.6 (Vol. 1)

496 - 9. On March 6, 1991, Council adopted By-law No. 5649/91, being a By-law of The City of Winnipeg, to designate a Business Improvement Zone known as the "Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone" and establish a Management Board for the Zone. The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 12, 1993, the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1994.

On November 17, 1993, Council requested the Riel Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone.

On January 19, 1994, the Riel Community Committee held a public meeting to hear representations on the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates. In conjunction therewith, the Community Committee adopted the following motion:

"Whereas a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press on Monday, December 20, 1993, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5649/91;

And Whereas no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved That the Riel Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

On February 21, 1994, the Executive Policy Committee recommendation that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone, was adopted by Council.

The proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates were previously forwarded to all members of Council. As the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone has complied with both the legislation and the by-law governing the budget processes, it is now left to City Council to pass a by-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The City of Winnipeg Act CAP 10 S.M. 1989-90 Sub-section 200(8) and Sub-section 200(6) states the following:

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Approval of estimates.
200(8)"Subject to sub-section (6), council may pass a by-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved."

Notice of objection.
200(6)"Council shall not approve the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates, if written notice of objection is received from one third of the businesses in the zone that represent one third of the total business assessment in the zone."

In addition By-law No. 5649/91 Section 17(7) states in part the following:

Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved, such payment to be made in accordance with the following schedule, except as provided in subsection (10):

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

The 1994 business assessment roll's annual rental value for the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone is $5,930,900. The proposed budget of the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone is $73,500. The zone levy rate which will be applied to the annual rental value of individual properties in the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone is 1.239%.

Due to the impact of general reassessment of the annual rental value in 1994 and change in assessment due to growth, the zone levy rate and annual rental value between 1994 and 1993 are not comparable. Additionally the 1994 annual rental value is a projected figure. The following table provides information on the changes in the zone.

	Budget	Zone Levy	A.R.V.	Zone Levy Rate
1993	69,923	71,515	4,526,280	1.580%
1994	73,500	73,484	5,930,900	1.239%
% Change	+5.1	+2.8	+31.0	-21.6

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That Council adopt the proposed By-law approving the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone Management Board's program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994.

II. That Council direct the City Treasurer to make payment to the Board in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates
File FL-7.8 (Vol. 2)

497 - 10. On March 25, 1992, Council adopted By-law No. 5921/92, being a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone. The Management Board is required under

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On October 4, 1993, the West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1994.

On October 20, 1993, Council requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On January 11, 1994, the City Centre Community Committee held a public meeting to hear representations on the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates. In conjunction therewith, the Community Committee adopted the following motion:

> "Whereas a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Monday, December 27, 1993, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5921/92;
>
> And Whereas no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> Now Therefore Be It Resolved That the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

On February 21, 1993 the Executive Policy Committee recommendation that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone, was adopted by Council.

The proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates were previously forwarded to all members of Council. As the West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone has complied with both the legislation and the By-law governing the budget processes, it is now left to City Council to pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The City of Winnipeg Act CAP 10 S.M. 1989-90 Sub-section 200(8) and Sub-section 200(6) states the following:

> Approval of estimates.
> 200(8)"Subject to Sub-section (6), Council may pass a by-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved."
>
> Notice of objection.
> 200(6)"Council shall not approve the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates, if written notice of objection is received from one third of the businesses in the zone that represent one third of the total business assessment in the zone."

In addition By-law No. 5921/92 Section 17(7) states in part the following:

> Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved, such payment to be made in accordance with the following schedule, except as provided in sub-section (10):
>
> (a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
> (b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
> (c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

The 1994 business assessment roll's annual rental value for the West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone is $7,042,700. The proposed budget of the West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone is $38,920. The zone levy rate which will be applied to the annual rental value of individual properties in the West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone is 0.553%.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Due to the impact of general reassessment of the annual rental value in 1994 and change in assessment due to growth, the zone levy rate and annual rental value between 1994 and 1993 are not comparable. Additionally the 1994 annual rental value is a projected figure. The following table provides information on the changes in the zone.

	Budget	Zone Levy	A.R.V.	Zone Levy Rate
1993	34,745	34,803	6,891,780	0.505%
1994	38,920	38,946	7,042,700	0.553%
% Change	+12.0	+11.9	+2.2	9.5

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That Council adopt the proposed By-law approving the West Broadway/South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone Management Board's program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994.

II. That Council direct the City Treasurer to make payment to the Board in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates

File FL-7.5 (Vol. 1)

498 - 11. On September 25, 1991, Council adopted By-law No. 5770/91, being a By-law of The City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement Zone to be known as the "Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone" and to establish a Management Board for the Zone. The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On September 27, 1993, the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1994.

On October 20, 1993, Council requested the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations in respect to the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

On January 18, 1994, the Lord Selkirk - West Kildonan Community Committee held a public meeting to hear representations on the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates. In conjunction therewith, the Community Committee adopted the following motion:

"Whereas a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Tuesday, January 4, 1994, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 5770/91;

And Whereas no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

On February 21, 1994, the Executive Policy Committee recommendation that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone, was adopted by Council.

The proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates were previously forwarded to all members of Council. As the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone has complied with both the legislation and the By-law governing the budget processes, it is now left to City Council to pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The City of Winnipeg Act CAP 10 S.M. 1989-90 Sub-section 200(8) and Sub-section 200(6) states the following:

> Approval of estimates.
> 200(8)"Subject to Sub-section (6), Council may pass a by-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved."
>
> Notice of objection.
> 200(6)"Council shall not approve the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates, if written notice of objection is received from one third of the businesses in the zone that represent one third of the total business assessment in the zone."

In addition By-law No. 5770/91 Section 17(7) states in part the following:

> Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved, such payment to be made in accordance with the following schedule, except as provided in sub-section (10):
>
> (a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
> (b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
> (c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

The 1994 business assessment roll's annual rental value for the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone is $1,356,000. The proposed budget of the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone is $20,900. The zone levy rate which will be applied to the annual rental value of individual properties in the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone is 1.542%.

Due to the impact of general reassessment of the annual rental value in 1994 and change in assessment due to growth, the zone levy rate and annual rental value between 1994 and 1993 are not comparable. Additionally the 1994 annual rental value is a projected figure. The following table provides information on the changes in the zone.

	Budget	Zone Levy	A.R.V.	Zone Levy Rate
1993	22,500	22,573	1,101,120	2.050%
1994	20,900	20,910	1,356,000	1.542%
% Change	7.1	-7.4	+23.1	-24.8

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That Council adopt the proposed By-law approving the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone Management Board's program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994.

II. That Council direct the City Treasurer to make payment to the Board in accordance with the following schedule:

> (a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
> (b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
> (c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Osborne South Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates FL-7.9 (Vol. 1)

499 - 12. On July 21, 1993, Council adopted By-law No. 6201/93, being a By-law of The City of Winnipeg, to designate a Business Improvement Zone known as the "Osborne South Business Improvement Zone" and establish a Management Board for the Zone. The Management Board is required under the terms of the aforesaid By-law to prepare for submission to Council on or before October 31 in each year, its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The Osborne South Business Improvement Zone on November 24, 1993, held its Annual General Meeting and approved the Zone's proposed budget and programs for 1994.

Council on December 15, 1993, requested the City Centre Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone.

On January 11, 1994, the City Centre Community Committee held a public meeting to hear representations on the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates. In conjunction therewith, the Community Committee adopted the following motion:

> "Whereas a public meeting to review the proposed program, zone levies and budget estimates for the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone was duly advertised in The Winnipeg Free Press and The Winnipeg Sun on Monday, December 27, 1993, in accordance with Section 17 of By-law No. 6201/93;
>
> And Whereas no objections were received in respect to the Board's proposals;
>
> Now Therefore Be It Resolved That the City Centre Community Committee unanimously recommend to Executive Policy Committee that the proposed program, zone levy, and budget estimates for the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone, as adopted, be ratified by Executive Policy Committee and City Council."

On February 21, 1994, the Executive Policy Committee recommendation that the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone, was adopted by Council.

The proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates were previously forwarded to all members of Council. As the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone has complied with both the legislation and the by-law governing the budget processes, it is now left to City Council to pass a by-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The City of Winnipeg Act CAP 10 S.M. 1989-90 Sub-section 200(8) and Sub-section 200(6) states the following:

> Approval of estimates.
> 200(8)"Subject to sub-section (6), council may pass a by-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved."
>
> Notice of objection.
> 200(6)"Council shall not approve the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates, if written notice of objection is received from one third of the businesses in the zone that represent one third of the total business assessment in the zone."

In addition By-law No. 6201/93 Section 17(7) states in part the following:

> Council may pass a By-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved, such payment to be made in accordance with the following schedule, except as provided in subsection (10):

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

The 1994 business assessment roll's annual rental value for the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone is $1,481,000. The proposed budget of the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone is $22,450. The zone levy rate which will be applied to the annual rental value of individual properties in the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone is 1.516%.

The following table provides information on the first levy year of the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone. The 1994 annual rental value is a projected figure.

	Budget	Zone Levy	A.R.V.	Zone Levy Rate
1994	22,450	22,452	1,481.000	1.516% (First Year)

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That Council adopt the proposed By-law approving the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone Management Board's program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994.

II. That Council direct the City Treasurer to make payment to the Board in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31.

III. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Aircraft Services for Insect Control
(Tender No. 93-196) File GL-9 (Vol. 6)

500 - 13. Council approval is required for a multi-year contract which will require a first charge on the 1995 and 1996 operating budgets.

Helicopter services for insect control have been used by the City of Winnipeg each year since 1977. These services were provided by Aerotrades Western Ltd. from 1977-1984, by Yukon Helicopters Ltd. from 1985-1992, and by Western Aerial Applications Ltd. in 1993. In each of these years the contract was awarded for a 1 year period.

Helicopter services are used primarily in the City's mosquito larviciding program, but are also used for the control of adult mosquitoes and defoliating insects. A 9 year history of helicopter use is listed below.

Year	Cost/Hour ($)	% Increase In Cost	Hours Flown	Total Cost
1993	600.00 + GST	0.0	336.3	207,833
1992	600.00 + GST	4.3	284.8	176,006
1991	575.00 + GST	6.5	350.0	207,288
1990	540.00	10.2	311.2	168,048
1989	490.00	6.5	350.1	171,549
1988	460.00	9.5	200.0	92,000
1987	420.00	5.0	260.7	109,494
1986	400.00	33.3	368.8	147,520
1985	300.00	-	353.4	106,020

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Offers for the supply of aircraft services for insect control closed at 12:00 Noon on January 7, 1994. Seven (7) submissions were received. Two of the seven submissions can be considered formal: Galaxy Helicopters Ltd. and Western Aerial Applications Ltd (WAA). WAA provided three proposals: the first for a 1-year contract; the second for a 3-year contract from 1994-1996 inclusive; and the third for a 5-year contract from 1994-1998 inclusive. Although this is the first year that a multi-year contract has been proposed, this option has been available to potential suppliers for the past number of years. Finally, Standard Ag. Helicopters Inc. did not provide a detailed proposal and no bids were received from the other four (4) submissions.

A review of the proposals, relative to the bid evaluation criteria, for Galaxy Helicopters, Western Aerial Applications and Standard Ag. Helicopters are outlined in Appendix "A".

After considering all aspects of the various proposals, it is the recommendation of this administration that Western Aerial Applications Ltd. be awarded the contract for aircraft services. (No sub-contracting is intended.) It is also recommended that this award be given for a 3-year duration from 1994 to 1996 inclusive. It is suggested that the 3-year proposal offers the most attractive schedule of prices, and also important, guarantees into the near future the provision of spray operators that are experienced with the Winnipeg situation. The 5-year proposal is somewhat more costly on average, and is considered restrictive to competitive bidding for too long a time. Finally, it is recommended that this contract be awarded to guarantee a minimum of 200 hours of flight time per year and that a Purchase Order be issued in lieu of a formal contract, each of which is consistent with past practices. The funding that is available for aircraft services in the 1994 Current Estimates is $221,238.00 (accounting distribution: 01 552609 251705).

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That a contract for aircraft services for insect control be awarded to Western Aerial Applications Ltd.

II. That the contract be awarded for a three (3) year period, from 1994 to 1996 inclusive.

III. That the contract be awarded to guarantee a minimum of 200 hours of flight time per year.

IV. That a formal contract be dispensed with and a Purchase Order be issued to a maximum of $635,597.55 in lieu thereof.

V. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Copy of Appendix "A" is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

(See Minute No. 487 for opposition recorded by Councillor Reese)

Hotel/Motel Accommodations Tax
File FC(1994) (Vol. 2)

501 - 14. Council at its adjourned meeting held on December 17, 1993, ruled automatic referral of the following motion to your Executive Policy Committee, under Rule 16.1 of the Procedure By-law No. 5400/90:

Moved by Councillor Hyman,
Seconded by Councillor Silva,

WHEREAS the debate and review of the 1994 Current Estimates is about to begin;

AND WHEREAS at the culmination of the debate on the 1993 Current Estimates it was agreed upon by Council that a review of revenue sources would be conducted with a view to implementing a revenue strategy;

AND WHEREAS Councillor O'Shaughnessy was appointed by the Executive Policy Committee as an Ad Hoc Committee of One to look at diversity of revenue sources for the City of Winnipeg;

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

AND WHEREAS the Report of the Ad Hoc Committee has not yet been distributed to all members of Council for discussion;

AND WHEREAS the City of Winnipeg directly supports tourism promotion in its funding of the Convention Centre, Tourism Winnipeg and Winnipeg 2000;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City explore the financial implications of instituting a "hotel/motel accommodations tax" and directing the revenue to funding the Convention Centre, Tourism Winnipeg, and Winnipeg 2000.

Inasmuch as your Executive Policy Committee has directed the Administration to enter into formal discussions with the Manitoba Hotel Association, Winnipeg Convention Centre, Tourism Winnipeg, and Winnipeg 2000 regarding this matter, your Committee recommends that Council grant an extension of time of 120 days to allow further consideration.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

In amendment,
Moved by Councillor Hyman,
Seconded by Councillor Silva,
THAT the clause be amended by striking out the words "120 days" where they appear in the last line, and substituting therefor the words "60 days".

The Speaker called the Deputy Speaker, Councillor Reese, to the Chair in order to participate in the debate, and after speaking, resumed the Chair.

The amendment was put.

Councillor Eadie called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Duguid, Hyman, Lazarenko, Murray, Silva, Thomas and Angus. 9

Nay: Councillors Clement, Eadie, Golden, O'Shaughnessy, Prystanski, Fraser and Her Worship Mayor Thompson. 7

and the amendment was declared carried.

The motion for the adoption of the clause, as amended, was put and declared carried.

Residents' Advisory Groups
File GN (Vol. 4)

502 - 15. On January 26, 1994, Council referred back to your Executive Policy Committee, Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 19, 1994, with respect to Resident Advisory Groups wherein it was recommended that a By-law to establish a Residents' Advisory Group for each Community Committee not be established. Council also instructed that a legal interpretation of Section 41 of the City of Winnipeg Act be provided.

Council also referred the following related motion to your Committee:

"That the Acting City Solicitor be requested to prepare a by-law establishing a Residents' Advisory Group for each Community Committee."

On March 16, 1994, your Executive Policy Committee received the following history and legal interpretation from the Acting Chief Commissioner.

The original legislation (Section 21 SM 1971 Cap 105) creating the present City of Winnipeg devised the concept of Residents' Advisory Groups (RAGS). These resident groups were elected by a gathering of local area residents

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

(community conferences). Their role was to "advise and assist members of Community Committees" on the performance of the Councillor's functions under the Act. The establishment of a Residents' Advisory Groups was solely within the will of the residents of the Community. They may or may not be established depending on the people of the community but once established were entitled to advise and assist local Councillors.

By Bill 32 assented to on November 3, 1989 (now Chapter 8 S.M. 1989/90) the Province repealed Section 21 and introduced a new section on Residents' Advisory Groups. This section, Section 41 provided that Residents' Advisory Groups would be mandatory for each community provided that Council passes a by-law establishing Residents' Advisory Groups.

This new concept of Residents' Advisory Groups being established only if Council passes a by-law was only to occur upon proclamation.

The following transitional provision in Bill 32 continued Residents' Advisory Groups under the old law (Section 21) even though the section was repealed:

> "Transitional: resident advisory groups
> 15.1 Notwithstanding the repeal of section 21 by section 3 of this Act, section 21 remains in force and effect until the proclamation of section 41, as enacted by section 3 of this Act."

The Province then passed Bill 61 (assented to March 15, 1990) amending the Residents' Advisory Groups provisions in Section 41 permitting the members of the community to establish the operating procedures of Residents' Advisory Groups, confirming their advisory and assistance role, requiring the Community Committee to notify them of proposed Community Committee meetings, stating their right to participate in Community Committee meetings and requiring Council to fund Residents' Advisory Groups to enable them to operate effectively.

The complete provisions in respect to Residents' Advisory Groups are outlined on Appendix "A".
However, because of the transitional Section 15.1 referred to earlier, the new provisions outlined on Appendix "A" did not take effect and Section 21 (the old law) continued until proclamation.

Council at its meeting of March 24, 1993 adopted Report "A" of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 17, 1993, as outlined on Appendix "B". Council in adopting Report "A" adopted the Executive Policy Committee's recommendation that Section 41 be proclaimed and the motion be filed and a request to proclaim Section 41 was forwarded to the Minister of Urban Affairs on March 26, 1993.

On July 28, 1993 a proclamation issued from the Province of Manitoba fixing October 1, 1993 as the date on which Section 41 came into effect as enacted by Section 3 of The City of Winnipeg Act SM 1989-90 Cap 8. By the issuance of the Proclamation by the Province on July 28, 1993 Residents' Advisory Groups as provided under the old Section 21 ceased to exist in the City of Winnipeg effective October 1, 1993. With the issuance of the Proclamation, the Province placed the responsibility for the establishment of Residents' Advisory Groups with the City of Winnipeg if it so chose to exercise that responsibility.

Your Executive Policy Committee has reconsidered this matter and reiterated its previous recommendation that a by-law not be established.

Copies of Appendices "A" and "B" are on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

The Speaker called the Deputy Speaker, Councillor Reese, to the Chair in order to participate in the debate, and after speaking, resumed the Chair.

Council here reverted to the order of business - "Introduction and Welcome of Guests and Announcements".

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

INTRODUCTION AND WELCOME OF GUESTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

503 - The Speaker recognized Councillor Prystanski who rose to invite Council members to a special luncheon in honour of the visiting Ukrainian National Volleyball team.

Council recessed at 11:57 a.m., and reconvened at 1:34 p.m., same members present.

Councillors Golden, Hyman, Murray and Thomas entered the meeting after Council had reconvened.

Council here proceeded to continue to consider the order of business - "Clause 15 of the Report of Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994."

The motion for the adoption of the clause was put.

Councillor Reese called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Clement, Eadie, Lazarenko, O'Shaughnessy, Prystanski, Fraser, Her Worship Mayor Thompson and Councillor Angus. 8

Nay: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Duguid, Golden, Hyman, Murray, Silva and Thomas. 8

and the motion for the adoption of the clause was declared lost, in accordance with Rule 58 of the Procedure By-law.

Alternate Budget Proposals
File FE(1994)

504 - 16. Council at its adjourned Special Meeting of February 25, 1994, to consider the 1994 Current Estimates, referred the following motion to your Committee:

Moved by Councillor Murray,
Seconded by Councillor Thomas,
THAT the attached budget proposals be referred to Executive Policy Committee for report and recommendation by the Board of Commissioners.

Your Executive Policy Committee at its meeting on March 16, 1994, directed that the referenced budget proposals be referred for discussion during the 1995 budget deliberations which will commence May 1994.

A copy of the budget proposals is on file in the Office of the City Clerk.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

In amendment,

Moved by Councillor Thomas,
Seconded by Councillor Murray,
WHEREAS the original motion presented to Council requested that the attached budgets be referred to Executive Policy Committee for report and recommendation by the Board of Commissioners;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the document be referred to the Board of Commissioners and that the revenue strategies be examined for their feasibility for implementation in this fiscal year.

Moved by Councillor Silva,
That the question be now put.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

The motion was put.

The Speaker called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Eadie, Prystanski, Silva, Fraser and Her Worship Mayor Thompson. 5

Nay: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Clement, Duguid, Golden, Hyman, Murray, Thomas and Angus. 9

and the motion that the question be now put was declared lost.

The amendment was put and declared carried.

The motion for the adoption of the clause, as amended, was put and declared carried.

Arrangements for a day-long Council Seminar on developing a "Game Plan of Organizational Change" File FE(1994)

505 - 17. Council at its adjourned Special Meeting of February 25, 1994, to consider the 1994 Current Estimates, ruled automatic referral of the following motion to your Committee, under Rule 16.1 of the Procedure By-law No. 5400/90:

Moved by Councillor Duguid,
Seconded by Councillor Lazarenko,

WHEREAS restructuring and re-organization have been and will be an immediate priority of City Council, particularly at the management staff level, and will be guided by an overall organization "game plan";

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Deputy Mayor immediately arrange a day-long Council Seminar before March 31, 1994, and that the topic be Developing a "Game Plan for Organizational Change";

AND ALSO BE IT RESOLVED that the City Auditor, Chief Commissioner, Continuous Improvement Co-ordinator and possibly outside management consultant expertise be invited to participate.

Inasmuch as Council has directed the Chief Commissioner to prepare a strategic plan for report to Council at its meeting on April 27, 1994 and the Chief Commissioner has advised that the earliest possible date to present the plan and conduct a Council Seminar is April 15, 1994, your Executive Policy Committee recommends that a seminar not be conducted prior to receiving the Chief Commissioner's strategic plan.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

In amendment,

Moved by Councillor Murray,
Seconded by Councillor Thomas,
THAT the intent of the clause be clarified to indicate that a seminar on "organizational change" be held prior to the April 27th meeting of Council.

The amendment was put and declared carried.

The motion for the adoption of the clause, as amended, was put and declared carried.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

1994 Costs for Assessment Appeal Refunds
File FE(1994)

506 - 18. Council at its adjourned Special Meeting of February 25, 1994, to consider the 1994 Current Estimates, referred the following motion to your Committee:

Moved by Councillor Golden,
Seconded by Councillor Lazarenko*,*

THAT the Administration provide Council with information on the 1994 costs for assessment appeal refunds before the 199

The Current Estimates as adopted by Council on February 25, 1994, included funding in the amount of $10.6 million for assessment appeal refunds in 1994.

Inasmuch as a Council Seminar on the matter of assessment appeals and their implications on the City's finances has been scheduled for April 8, 1994, your Executive Policy Committee recommends that the above motion be filed.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

City Lands located in West St. Paul at the Northeast corner of Main Street and the Perimeter Highway
File FI-2.4 (Vol. 4)

507 - 19. Council on April 28, 1993, adopted Clause 4 of the Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture dated April 8, 1993 which declared the 45 acres City-owned property, situated to the northeast of Main Street and the north Perimeter Highway, within the Rural Municipality of West St. Paul, surplus to the City of Winnipeg's needs and directed that all steps necessary be taken to dispose of the property.

On January 6, 1994, the Committee on Finance and Administration considered an Application and Agreement for Option to Purchase and recommended that in order to discourage another "bedroom community" development on the outskirts of the City, the property not be declared surplus to the City's needs and therefore not be disposed.

On January 19, 1994, your Executive Policy Committee referred back the above recommendation, and passed the following motion:

WHEREAS Council, on April 28, 1993, unanimously approved the sale of City lands located in West St. Paul at the Northeast corner of Main Street and the Perimeter Highway;

AND WHEREAS financial terms acceptable to both parties have been reached;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that it be recommended to your Committee that the sale of the property be completed and the decision of Council upheld.

Subsequently, on January 31, 1994, the Committee on Finance and Administration referred the matter back to your Committee to provide direction in terms of directing the Administration to call for proposals on the above property.

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the Clause as adopted by Council on April 28, 1993, as outlined above, be reaffirmed and that the City Administration be authorized to proceed with the sale of the above referenced property.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

The Speaker called Councillor Eadie to the Chair and left the Chamber.

The Speaker here returned to the Chamber to participate in the debate, and after speaking, resumed the Chair.

The motion for the adoption of the clause was put.

Councillor Reese called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Clement, Eadie, Lazarenko, O'Shaughnessy, Fraser and Her Worship Mayor Thompson. 6

Nay: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Duguid, Golden, Hyman, Murray, Prystanski, Silva, Thomas and Angus. 10

and the motion for the adoption of the clause was declared lost.

1994 Electricity Rate Schedule
File HR (Vol. 5)

508 - 20. The City of Winnipeg Act (Section 544(2)) required the City and Manitoba Hydro to establish equal rates for the sale of electricity throughout the City. Manitoba Hydro has applied to the Public Utilities Board (P.U.B.) for approval of a 1.5% increase in general consumer revenue effective April 1, 1994. Conditional approval by Council of the rates to be charged by Winnipeg Hydro is required because the P.U.B. will not begin a public hearing of the rate increase application until February 28, 1994 and a decision is not expected until late-March.

To meet the requirements of The City of Winnipeg Act, Manitoba Hydro and Winnipeg Hydro rates have been equalized since August 1, 1973. An agreement dated April 1, 1976 provided that, should an adjustment in rates be required, Manitoba Hydro would prepare a revised rate schedule and submit it to the City at least ninety days prior to implementation. Any disagreements would be resolved through discussions between the parties or through arbitration by the Public Utilities Board.

In 1992, Manitoba Hydro applied to the P.U.B. for a 3.5% average rate increase. The P.U.B., following a public hearing, ordered that the rate increase be reduced to 2.65%. The revised rates were implemented by both Winnipeg Hydro and Manitoba Hydro on April 1, 1992. Manitoba Hydro did not apply for a rate increase in 1993.

On November 8, 1993, Manitoba Hydro submitted to Winnipeg Hydro and the P.U.B. a revised schedule of electricity rates to be effective April 1, 1994. Discussion of the proposed rates has been held between representatives of the two utilities. No major change in rate structure has been proposed for 1994. The new rates would be implemented on a pro rata basis for meter readings taken on or after April 1, 1994. The adjustment would be based on a 30-day meter reading period.

Bills based on meter readings taken on April 2, 1994 would be calculated using 1/30 at the new rates and 29/30 at the current rates; April 3, using 2/30, etc. The proposed Schedule of Monthly Electricity Rates for 1994 is outlined in Appendix "A".

The proposed rate increase has not been applied across the board. Manitoba Hydro has distributed the rate increase by customer class based on its costs to provide electrical service to each class of customer. Bill comparisons as outlined in Appendix "B", illustrate that the impact of the proposed 1994 electricity rates on Winnipeg Hydro customers will vary depending upon the type of customer (eg. Residential versus General Service), monthly energy consumption and demand load (for General Service demand billed customers). The bill comparisons exclude tax considerations.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

From the bill comparisons for Winnipeg Hydro customers, it is seen that monthly residential bills will increase between 1.57% and 2.25% depending on monthly consumption. A typical Winnipeg Hydro residential customer using 400 kW.h of electricity per month can expect an increase of 1.80% or $0.47 per month and an average monthly bill of $26.50.

Rates for the General Service classification vary according to the electrical service used by the customer. The Bill Comparisons for Winnipeg Hydro customers shows that the rate increase for the General Service demand customer (51 kV.A and larger) ranges from 1.04% to 2.35% (the average being about 1.34%). However, a typical Winnipeg Hydro General Service small-single phase customer using 1000 kW.h of electricity per month can expect a decrease of 0.18% or $ 0.14 per month and an average monthly bill of $76.22. A decrease in the first block (1090 kW.h) rate has been proposed by Manitoba Hydro to more accurately reflect its cost to service the Small General Service customer.

If approved by the P.U.B., the proposed rate increase will result in Winnipeg Hydro's revenue increasing in 1994 by an estimated $1.2 million. This increase has been assumed in preparing the Department's 1994 operating estimates.

Included in the proposed rate schedule is a 3.0% average increase in water tank rental rates which is required to cover the cost of utilizing Power Smart (energy efficient) tanks.

The new rate schedule will be sent to all customers upon approval of the new rates by the Public Utilities Board.

On February 8, 1994, the Committee on Works and Operations considered this matter and concurred in the 1994 Electricity Rate Schedule.

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the rate schedule, as outlined in Appendix "A", for electricity be adopted for implementation on April 1, 1994 and that all billings based on meter readings on or after April 1, 1994 be adjusted on a pro rata basis to reflect the new rates as of that date, conditionally and subject to approval and/or amendment by the Manitoba Public Utilities Board of the 1994 rate increase application as submitted by Manitoba Hydro.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Copy of Appendix "A" is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

Licensing of Non-Resident Contractors
Files EL-1 (Vol. 27) & FL-1 (Vol. 9)

509 - 21. On April 28, 1993, Council adopted Clause 12 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated April 7, 1993, with respect to the licensing of non-resident contractors.

Recommendation No. 2 of the Clause requested the Committee on Finance and Administration to review the licensing of contractors and provide a process for implementing a license in lieu of business tax for non-resident contractors, for report back to Executive Policy Committee within 90 days.

On July 21, 1993, Council granted your Executive Policy Committee a 90 day extension, on October 20, 1993 a 60 day extension, on December 15, 1993 a 45 day extension and again on January 26, 1994 a 45 day extension of time in which to report on the matter, as was requested by the Committee on Finance and Administration.

Inasmuch as the Committee on Finance and Administration is still reviewing this matter, your Executive Policy Committee recommends that Council grant a further extension of time of 45 days for consideration of this matter.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Winakwa Community Centre - Refurbishing and Upgrading of Wading Pool Files PR-2 (Vol. 77) & FE(1994)

510 - 22. On February 16, 1994, as part of your 1994 Current Estimates deliberations, your Executive Policy Committee considered a communication dated February 4, 1994 from the Committee Clerk, Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, wherein it was recommended that:

WHEREAS the Capital Funding criteria requires all projects to be $80,000.00 or greater;

AND WHEREAS the Riel Community Committee is supporting the replacement of the Winakwa Wading Pool at a cost of $58,000.00;

AND WHEREAS the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee is supporting the upgrading of the Norquay Port-a-Pool and the amount of $30,000.00 is required;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Council approve Capital Funding for these combined projects in the amount of $88,000.00 to be provided from the unused borrowing authority.

On March 16, 1994, your Executive Policy Committee heard representation from Mrs. Pat Krueger, Project Co-ordinator, Playground Project, Winakwa Community Centre and Councillor Reese, St. Boniface Ward, in support of the Winakwa Wading Pool at a cost of $58,000.00 to carry out the necessary work and have it meet the requirements of the Provincial Health Department.

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That an expenditure of $58,000.00 be allocated from the unused borrowing authority for work that was unforseen in order to refurbish and upgrade the Winakwa Wading Pool.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Adopted by consent.

Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council - Appointment of Additional Members to Amusement Tax Exemption Review Committee File FG-3 (Vol. 29)

511 - 23. Council on November 17, 1993 adopted Clause 4 of the Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture dated November 1, 1993 which provided three (3) additional members to be appointed to the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council Amusement Tax Exemption Committee. The Clause also waived the City Policy requirement to advertise for the positions and authorized the Administrator of the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council to solicit citizen membership to the said Committee.

The Selection Committee of your Executive Policy Committee reviewed the applications from the Administrator of the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council for citizen members to the Amusement Tax Exemption Review Committee.

Your Executive Policy Committee therefore recommends that Graham Nattress, William Riske and Sandra Stewart be appointed to the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council Amusement Tax Exemption Committee in accordance with the current terms and conditions of membership of the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council for a two-year term expiring November, 1995.

Adopted by consent.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Boulevard Provencher Advisory Committee
Files DAZ (Gen) & GC-7 (Vol. 23)

512 - 24. On December 17, 1993, the Selection Committee of your Executive Policy Committee reviewed applications for the appointment of citizen members to the Boulevard Provencher Advisory Committee for a two-year term expiring January 1996.

By-law No. 3993/85, establishes the composition of the Boulevard Provencher Advisory Committee as seven members chosen from the following professions or geographical area: 1 architect, 1 planner, 1 historian, 1 landscape architect and three members within the area.

On January 26, 1994, Council adopted Clause 9 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated January 19, 1994 which re-appointed Guy Prefontaine as the designated Architect to the Boulevard Provencher Advisory Committee for another two-year term expiring January 1996.

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends the appointment of the following individuals to fill the remaining 6 vacancies for the Boulevard Provencher Advisory Committee for the two-year term expiring January 1996, namely: -

Don Hester, Landscape Architect designate
Dr. Phillipe Mailhot, Historian designate
Jacques Rollier, Planner designate
Valerie Bodiroga, Area Resident
Marc Lavoie, Area Resident
Ray Carriere, Area Resident

Adopted by consent.

Early Bird Swim Programs
File PR-2.2 (Vol. 17)

513 - 25. On September 2, 1993, the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture considered and received as information the report, dated June 28, 1993 re Admission Fees to Aquatic Programs for Seniors and directed that the administration look at the feasibility of establishing a reduced fee rate at Centennial and Sherbrook Pools for seniors of low income who participate in large numbers.

At its meeting held on September 15, 1993, the Riel Community Committee considered a petition opposing the designation of the Early Bird Swim at Bonivital Pool from 6:30 to 9:00 a.m., as "prime time". The Committee requested that the administration investigate and report back on:

1). whether more programming or free swim time could be allotted to seniors at Bonivital Pool, and

2). whether the Early Bird Swim Program is or could not be designated as "prime time" in other swimming pools in the community in order that seniors' needs can be accommodated elsewhere.

On March 22, 1993, Council approved the 1993 Current Estimates for the Parks and Recreation Department, which identified revenue enhancements of $100,000.090 to be raised through:

- the elimination of seniors' discounts for "prime time" public swim
- the introduction of a fee for the City of Winnipeg-sponsored instructional programs for seniors

The implementation date, identified by the Parks and Recreation Department, was Monday, April 19, 1993. This date was selected in order to refine the implementation procedure and develop a comprehensive communication strategy.

In anticipation of the approval of the fees for seniors' aquatic programs and the elimination of the discount during prime time, a review was done of the services available for seniors in each of the City-operated pools, specifically:

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

- program and swim times offered only for seniors were free of charge
- regular public swim admissions were based on 50% discounted adult public swim admission
- when special programs for seniors were not available, participants over the age of 65 years, paid only 50% of the adult registration fee
- in addition to the free swim times for seniors, the Department has free swim times for the public in which seniors can also participate

The establishment of a "prime time" category was necessary for implementation. Prime time definition was based on the swim times most desirable to the public as a whole.

In identifying the popular times on a City-wide basis, lap swim time are strategically placed in the morning, noon hour and evening blocks to accommodate those swimmers who are working, or in school, and cannot participate in mid-morning or the mid-afternoon hours.

Lap swimming is a popular activity but is not offered at all City pools in a consistent time period. This required the definition to be broad and all encompassing. As well, the optimum number of swimmers, when lap swimming, is far less than the capacity number for the pool because of the need for lanes and the number of swimmers each lane can accommodate.

Non-prime time then is, by definition, the hours least used. Public swim non-prime hours are Monday through Friday, 9:00 - 11:30 a.m. and 1:00 - 4:00 p.m.

Admission rates are based on the Fees and Charges Policy approved by Council. Admission for seniors is discounted by 50% of the adult rate during non-prime time swim hours. Departmental user patterns indicated our largest number of senior participants attend programs during the day time swims.

The original intent of designating prime and non-prime time hours was revenue enhancement of $100,000.00 with implementation on a City-wide basis, consistent at each pool. In June, a report was prepared for the Commissioner of Protection, Parks and Culture analyzing the projected revenues through this initiative and was approximately 47% of the desired goal. Several factors contributed to this shortfall, e.g. all passes previously sold must be respected at face value and cannot restrict the swimmer to prime or non-prime times. Attendance has decreased from previous numbers of participants.

Therefore, in order to better satisfy the needs of customers, in this case, seniors, removal of the prime time designation allows the Parks and Recreation Department to explore alternate ways in which fees can be assessed that will not reduce the number of participants and will allow seniors the opportunity to determine the time and manner in which they participate. Example of this include: new programs offered specifically to seniors that provide the social benefits of group activity, new information on fitness and diet. Our approach would be to offer programs on a cost recoverable basis and not subsidize new and trend programming.

In order to implement the proposed change to swim times, Parks and Recreation Department staff will likely have to refund or extend the valid time period for those seniors who purchased Adult Public Swim passes at the full rate. The change will not be retroactive and should, therefore, be initiated on April 1, 1994.

The following alternatives were also suggested to your Committee:

1) Introduction of more free swim times. This initiative does not support the efforts of the Parks and Recreation Department to improve the balance between user fees and tax-supported services. The fees established are modest (Seniors pay 1/2 of the $3.96 adult admission fee per swim).

2) Reduction of the amount of subsidy available to seniors. Currently, the 50% subsidy impacts the revenues earned at the pools. The Parks and Recreation Department is reviewing the Fees and Charges Policy and will research the possible viability of this alternative.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the designation of prime time and non-prime time for seniors' public swim times be eliminated.

II. That the Parks and Recreation Department administer this change for the 1994 Winter session.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

North-Main Business Improvement Zone - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 1994
File FL-7.10 (Vol. 1)

514 - 26. On November 17, 1993, Council adopted By-law No. 6220/93, being a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to designate a Business Improvement zone to be known as the North-Main Business Improvement Zone, and to establish a Management Board for the zone. By-law No. 6220/93, requires that the Business Improvement Zone prepare for the consideration of Council its proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates on or before October 31, in each year.

The North-Main Business Improvement Zone at its Annual General meeting held on March 3, 1994, approved the proposed annual budget and program as outlined in Appendix "A".

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends that pursuant to By-law No. 6220/93, Council request the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee to conduct a public meeting to hear representations with respect to the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates for the year 1994 and to provide recommendations to Council within 60 days from receipt of Council's request.

Copy of Appendix "A" is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

Appointment of Citizen Members to the St. Boniface Museum Board
Files PR-9.3 (Vol. 4) & GC-7 (Vol. 24)

515 - 27. On December 17, 1993, the Selection Committee of your Executive Policy Committee did not accept the only application received for the appointment of citizen members on the St. Boniface Museum Board on the grounds that the person did not fit the criteria of the by-law wherein the applicant be a resident of the Riel Community.

Your Executive Policy Committee therefore referred the appointment of citizen members to the St. Boniface Museum Board for the two-year term expiring November 1995, to the Riel Community Committee for their nomination.

On January 19 and February 14, 1994, the Riel Community Committee nominated Jacques Rollier, Gary Tessier and Jeannine Du, who are residents of the Riel Community, to the St. Boniface Museum Board.

Your Executive Policy Committee concurs with the nomination of the Riel Community Committee and therefore recommends Jacques Rollier, Gary Tessier and Jeannine Du to the St. Boniface Museum Board for a two-year term expiring November 1995.

Adopted by consent.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Appointments of Additional Citizen Members to the Board of Revision
File GK-11.1 (Vol. 1) & GC-7 (Vol.23)

516 - 28. At its meeting held on November 17, 1993, City Council adopted a series of recommendations contained in a report from the City Auditor, intended to streamline the operations of the Board of Revision. Among the changes approved by Council was a reduction in the size of the Board from 27 members to 21. On January 26, 1994, Council appointed 21 members to the Board of Revision for the term ending November 1994.

In late December, 1993 the City Treasurer advised the Chairperson of the Committee on Finance and Administration and the Commissioner of Finance and Administration that with the coming 1994 reassessment and the possible large number of assessment appeals, it was extremely vital that the Board of Revision make every effort to complete all of the 1994 assessment appeals before the end of the year, in order to minimize as much as possible any financial liability to the City. The Chairperson of the Committee on Finance and Administration subsequently apprised the Presiding Officer of the Board of Revision of the seriousness of the matter and requested that the Board endeavour to escalate the number of appeals by year-end. The members of the Board of Revision subsequently approved a meeting schedule for 1994, to provide for 30 appeal panels a week. The hearing schedule accommodates 6 hearings per day including an evening hearing on Wednesdays with six different locations throughout the City being used to accommodate concurrent sessions. In the meantime, an administrative task force, chaired by the City Treasurer, has been co-ordinating efforts amongst all of the City Departments involved in the appeal process (City Clerk's, Law, Finance, Computer Services, Assessment) to have all of the required information needed to conduct a proper appeal available to the Board of Revision in order that appeals can be heard in a timely fashion.

In recognition of the fact that many members of the Board of Revision have regular full-time employment and that from time to time conflicts with regular employment duties may mean that not enough panels can be struck in a given time-frame to hear appeals that are ready to be heard, your Executive Policy Committee is of the opinion that it would be appropriate and desirable to appoint some additional members to the Board of Revision, at least for the balance of the current year, to assist with the work that has to done.

Your Executive Policy Committee has considered applications that have been received for the Board of Revision membership and recommends that the following persons be appointed to the Board of Revision for the balance of 1994:

Amarjit Panchhi
Sig Laser
Tom Keough

All three appointees have experience in commercial and residential real estate matters, which your Executive Policy Committee believes is necessary for the Board at this time.

Adopted by consent.

REPORT "A" OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED MARCH 16, 1994

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, Report "A" of the Executive Policy Committee, dated March 16, 1994, was considered clause by clause.

Moved by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
That the Consent Agenda items, Clauses 1 and 2 be adopted.

Carried.

Her Worship The Mayor and Councillors:

Amendment to Plan Winnipeg By-law 6339/94 to establish an Airport Vicinity Protection Area and proposed amendments to Zoning By-laws 1558, 1800, 16502 and 4450 affecting the aforementioned area
File EP-1.1 (PW 1/92) (Vol. 8)

517 - 1. On June 30, 1993 the Province of Manitoba gave royal assent to airport vicinity protection area legislation which requires the City to amend Plan Winnipeg to establish an airport vicinity protection area and to enact zoning to regulate land uses in that area in a manner compatible with the operations of the airport.

On December 15, 1993 Council adopted Clause 3 of the Report of your Executive Policy Committee, dated December 8, 1993. The clause contained the following instructions to your Committee; - that the City Solicitor prepare a by-law to amend Plan Winnipeg to establish an Airport Vicinity Protection Area; that a copy of the proposed by-law be forwarded to the Minister of Urban Affairs for the Province of Manitoba; that a copy of that by-law be directed to the Executive Policy Committee to carry out the consultations required under section 579(1) of the City of Winnipeg Act and provide Council with a report and recommendations.

Your Committee complied with the above noted instructions of Council and on February 21, 1994, Council approved Clause 1 of the Report of your Executive Policy Committee dated February 9, 1994.

On February 21, 1994, Council gave first reading to By-law No. 6339/94, being a by-law of the City of Winnipeg to amend Plan Winnipeg as required by The City of Winnipeg Act to establish an airport vicinity protection area and to establish plans and policies for the use of land and buildings and for the development in that area so as to ensure that the use of land and buildings and development are compatible with the operations of the Airport. A copy of the Plan Winnipeg Amending By-law 6339/94, was forwarded to the Minister of Urban Affairs.

In accordance with Council instructions, your Executive Policy Committee gave notice and conducted public hearings with respect to the Plan Winnipeg Amending By-law 6339/94 and proposed amendments to zoning by-laws in accordance with sections 632-645 of the City of Winnipeg Act.

At the public hearing held on March 16, 1994, the following delegations appeared in connection therewith: -

Mr. Sandy Hopkins and Mr. Fred Fulcher, Winnipeg Airports Authority, 3rd Floor, Administration Building, 2000 Wellington Ave., Winnipeg, R3H 1C1
Mr. Bruce A. Nimmo, CP Rail, Suite 1820, One Palliser Square, 125 - 9th Ave. S.E.,
Calgary, Alberta, T2G 0P6 and Mr. Bruce Macleod, MR Consultants Inc.,
5th floor, 287 Broadway, Winnipeg, R3C 0R9
Mr. Hugh Brown, representing the R.M. of Rosser, 103-235 Eaton Avenue,
Selkirk, Manitoba R1A 0W7

In addition your Executive Policy Committee received the following submissions:

Brief from Mr. Sandy Hopkins and Mr. Fred Fulcher, Winnipeg Airports Authority
Brief from Mr. Bruce A. Nimmo, CP Rail and Mr. Bruce Macleod, MR Consultants Inc.

Report "A" of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

Your Executive Policy Committee concluded the public hearing on the Plan Winnipeg Amending By-law 6339/94 and proposed amendments to zoning by-laws on March 16, 1994.

Section 580(1) of The City of Winnipeg Act reads as follows:-

Submission to minister for approval
580(1) As soon as practicable after a proposed Plan Winnipeg by-law is approved on second reading under subsection 579(4), council shall submit to the minister

(a) five certified copies of the proposed by-law;

(b) a copy of the report and recommendation of Executive Policy Committee respecting the proposed by-law;

(c) such other information and material as the minister may request;

and council shall not pass the proposed by-law until the minister approves it in writing.

Section 580(2) of The City of Winnipeg Act reads as follows:-

Council to give notice of second reading
580(2) Immediately after complying with subsection (1), council shall cause a written notice to be served personally or by mail on any person who made a representation at a public hearing referred to in subsection 579(2), stating that

(a) council has given second reading to the proposed by-law;

(b) a copy of the proposed by-law has been forwarded to the minister for approval; and

(c) a person who made representations at the public hearing may file an objection with stated reasons with the minister on or before a date specified in the notice, which shall be not less than 14 days after the day on which the notice is served.

Section 580(3) of The City of Winnipeg Act reads as follows:-

Deemed notice by mail
580(3) A notice served by mail under subsection (2) shall be sent to the address of the person to be served, as recorded at the public hearing, and is deemed to be served on the person on the day that Canada Post confirms is the day on which the notice is delivered to the address to which it is mailed.

The Airport Vicinity Development Plan Steering Committee has directed the preparation of the Airport Vicinity Development Plan amendment to Plan Winnipeg entitled "Winnipeg Airport Vicinity Development Plan - December 15, 1993." The amendments to Plan Winnipeg involved extensive consultation within the community. The City, the Province, the R.M. of Rosser, Transport Canada, The Airport, CFB Winnipeg (Westwin), as well as the business and development communities in Winnipeg worked together in developing a managed land use plan designed to require and promote land use in the Airport Vicinity Protection Area compatible with the existence of the Airport and noise levels associated with its 24 hour operation.

After considering all aspects of the Plan Winnipeg Amending By-law 6339/94 and proposed amendments to Zoning By-laws No. 1558, 1800, 16502 and 4450 affecting the aforementioned area and after holding the public hearings and considering the representations and evidence, your Executive Policy Committee recommends: -

I. That By-law No. 6339/94 be amended in accordance with the list of amendments set forth in Appendix "A".

II. That By-laws No. 1558, 1800, 16502 and 4450 be amended and supplemented by the proposed Airport Vicinity Protection Area Zoning By-law as set forth in Appendix "B" attached hereto and amended to effect the amendments to By-law No. 6339/94 in Appendix "A".

III. That the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare that zoning by-law and submit same to Council for enactment after approval of By-law No. 6339/94 by the Minister of Urban Affairs and upon consideration of By-law No. 6339/94 for 3rd reading.

Report "A" of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 16, 1994

IV. That the by-law to amend the Winnipeg Building By-law to establish the Exterior Acoustic Insulation requirements for buildings within the Airport Vicinity Protection Area as described in Recommendation No. X. of Clause 3 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated December 8, 1993, adopted by Council on December 15, 1993, be enacted as a separate by-law for ease of distribution and reference; and be so enacted upon enactment of the above zoning by-law.

V. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Copy of Appendix "A" is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

Proposed By-law to adopt a Secondary Plan to establish the Winnipeg Airport Vicinity Development Plan
File EP-1.1 (PW 1/92) (Vol. 8)

518 - 2. On June 30, 1993 the Province of Manitoba gave royal assent to airport vicinity protection area legislation which requires the City to amend Plan Winnipeg to establish an airport vicinity protection area and to enact zoning to regulate land uses in that area in a manner compatible with the operations of the airport.

The proposed Secondary Plan contains policies and proposals regarding appropriate land use in the vicinity of the Airport, support of the airport as an economic asset to the City and Province and to ensure surrounding land uses are not unreasonably affected by the Airport or the noise levels associated with its 24 hour operation.

On December 15, 1993 Council adopted Clause 3 of the Report of your Executive Policy Committee, dated December 8, 1993 and referred the proposed Secondary Plan to the Executive Policy Committee to give notice and conduct a public hearing in accordance with sections 632-645 of The City of Winnipeg Act and report back to Council with its recommendations.

At the public hearing held on March 16, 1994, the following delegations appeared in connection therewith: -

Mr. Sandy Hopkins and Mr. Fred Fulcher, Winnipeg Airports Authority, 3rd Floor, Administration Building, 2000 Wellington Ave., Winnipeg, R3H 1C1
Mr. Bruce A. Nimmo, CP Rail, Suite 1820, One Palliser Square, 125 - 9th Ave. S.E., Calgary, Alberta, T2G 0P6 and Mr. Bruce Macleod, MR Consultants Inc., 5th floor, 287 Broadway Ave., Winnipeg, R3C 0R9
Mr. Hugh Brown, representing the R.M. of Rosser, 103-235 Eaton Avenue, Selkirk, Manitoba, R1A 0W7

In addition your Executive Policy Committee received the following submissions:

Brief from Mr. Sandy Hopkins and Mr. Fred Fulcher, Winnipeg Airports Authority
Brief from Mr. Bruce A. Nimmo, CP Rail and Mr. Bruce Macleod, MR Consultants Inc.

Your Executive Policy Committee concluded the public hearing on the Proposed Secondary Plan on March 16, 1994 and after considering the proposed Secondary Plan and the representations and evidence received, your Executive Policy Committee recommends: -

I. That the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare a Secondary Plan by-law to establish the Secondary Plan, as outlined in Clause 3 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated December, adopted by Council on December 15, 1993 (with revisions March 16, 1994) as outlined in a document entitled "Winnipeg Airport Vicinity Development Plan" and identified as Appendix "A" enclosed herewith and submit same to Council for first and second readings, in order to implement the intent of the foregoing recommendations.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Copy of Appendix "A" is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

MOTIONS

Moved by Councillor Golden,
Seconded by Councillor Reese,

519 - WHEREAS rural municipalities located in close proximity to the City of Winnipeg use City of Winnipeg services below the cost of providing said services;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that these municipalities that develop to an urban density be automatically annexed to the City of Winnipeg;

AND THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Winnipeg ask the Province of Manitoba to pass legislation enabling said annexation.

Councillor Eadie called for notice of motion.

Moved by Councillor Golden,
That the rule be suspended to allow consideration of the motion at this time.

Lost.

The Speaker ruled that the motion would be required to stand as notice of motion in accordance with Rule 17.1 of the Procedure By-law.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

CONSIDERATION OF BY-LAWS

520 - On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, By-law No. 6339/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to amend Plan Winnipeg as required by The City of Winnipeg Act to establish an airport vicinity protection area and to establish plans and policies for the use of land and buildings and for development in that area so as to ensure that the use of land and buildings and development are compatible with the operations of the Airport, was read a second time. File EP-1.1 (PW 1/92) (Vol. 8)

Her Worship Mayor Thompson moved that this constitute the second reading of By-law No. 6339/94.

In amendment,

Moved by Councillor Eadie,
Seconded by Her Worship Mayor Thompson,
THAT Plan Winnipeg Amending By-law No. 6339/94 be amended by:
1)striking the words "might create safety hazards to users of that land and/or air traffic or be" and substituting the word "are" on Page 1, Section 2F-02(iii), and
2)deleting Page 2 of the by-law in its entirety and replacing it with "Revised Page 2 to By-law No. 6339/94" and
3)replacing the black and white Plate A.1 which contains reference to "NEF Contour boundaries" with a new colour-coded Plate A.1, with reference to Areas I and II as identified in the revised section 2F-05, subsection (i) and (ii) of the proposed Amendments to the Plan Winnipeg Amending By-law No. 6339/94.

Carried.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson moved that this constitute the second reading of By-law No. 6339/94, as amended, which motion was carried.

Executive Policy Committee - Consideration of By-laws (continued)

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, the following By-laws were each read a first, second and third time, the rule being suspended for the third reading of each by-law, and were passed and ordered to be signed and sealed, namely:

By-law No. 6320/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing watermains in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6321/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing watermains in an area of the City of Winnipeg set forth in Agreement No. 48 (deferred levy) File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6322/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing wastewater sewers in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6323/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing waste water sewers in an area of the City of Winnipeg set forth in Agreement No. 48. (deferred levy) File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6324/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing land drainage sewers (laterals only) in the City of Winnipeg. File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6325/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing land drainage sewers (laterals and trunks) in an area of the City of Winnipeg set forth in Agreement No. 49 (deferred levy).
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6326/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing land drainage sewers (laterals and trunks) in an area of the City of Winnipeg set forth in Agreement No. 1506 (deferred liability).
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6327/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of lane pavements in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6328/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of pedestrian access enhancement in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6329/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing sidewalks in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6330/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing boulevards in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6331/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing ornamental street lights in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6332/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing ornamental lane lights in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6333/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of installing lane lights in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

Executive Policy Committee - Consideration of By-laws (continued)

By-law No. 6334/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of road oiling in the City of Winnipeg. File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6335/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of lane oiling in the City of Winnipeg. File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6336/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of composite oversizing in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6337/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of composite underground services and ornamental lighting in the City of Winnipeg. File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

By-law No. 6338/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to provide for the assessment by special rate of the cost of composite local improvements in the City of Winnipeg.
File SL-1 (Vol. 19)

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, the following by-laws were each read a first, second and third time, the rule being suspended for the third reading of each by-law, and were passed and ordered to be signed and sealed, namely:

By-law No. 6362/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to levy the 1994 Business Improvement Zone levy for the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone.
File FL-7.4 (Vol. 5).

By-law No. 6363/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to levy the 1994 Business Improvement Zone levy for the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone
File FL-7.1 (Vol. 3).

By-law No. 6364/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to levy the 1994 Business Improvement Zone levy for the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone
File FL-7.3 (Vol. 3).

By-law No. 6365/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to levy the 1994 Business Improvement Zone levy for the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone.
File FL-7.2 (Vol. 3).

By-law No. 6366/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to levy the 1994 Business Improvement Zone levy for the Ellice Avenue/Sargent Avenue Business Improvement Zone File FL-7.2 (Vol. 2).

By-law No. 6367/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to levy the 1994 Business Improvement Zone levy for the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone.
File FL-7.6 (Vol. 1).

By-law No. 6368/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to levy the 1994 Business Improvement Zone levy for the West Broadway-South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone. File FL-7.8 (Vol. 2).

By-law No. 6369/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to levy the 1994 Business Improvement Zone levy for the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone.
File FL-7.5 (Vol. 1).

By-law No. 6370/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to levy the 1994 Business Improvement Zone levy for the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone.
File FL-7.9 (Vol. 1).

Executive Policy Committee - Consideration of By-laws (continued)

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, By-law No. 6371/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to limit the amount of increases and decreases in taxation resulting from the 1994 General Reassessment, was read a first time. File AA-3 (Vol. 6)

Her Worship Mayor Thompson moved that this constitute the first reading of By-law No. 6371/94, which motion was carried.

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, By-law No. 6371/94, was read a second time.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson moved that this constitute the second reading of By-law No. 6371/94.

The motion was put.

The Speaker called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Duguid, Eadie, Hyman, Lazarenko, Murray, Prystanski, Silva, Thomas, Fraser and Her Worship Mayor Thompson. 10

Nay: Councillors Reese, Boychuk, Clement, Golden and Angus. 5

and the motion that this constitute the second reading of By-law No. 6371/94 was declared carried.

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, the rule was suspended and By-law No. 6371/94 was read a third time, and was passed and ordered to be signed and sealed.

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, By-law No. 6378/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to adopt a secondary plan for the Airport Vicinity Protection Area established by Plan Winnipeg, was read a first time. File EP-1.1 (PW 1/92) (Vol. 8)

Her Worship Mayor Thompson moved that this constitute the first reading of By-law No. 6378/94, which motion was carried.

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, By-law No. 6378/94 was read a second time.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson moved that this constitute the second reading of By-law No. 6378/94, which motion was carried.

On motion of Her Worship Mayor Thompson, the rule was suspended and By-law No. 6382/94, a By-law of the City of Winnipeg to amend By-law No. 549/73, being the "Frontage By-law", was read a first, second and third time, the rule being suspended for the third reading, and was passed and ordered to be signed and sealed. File FL-2.

Councillors Golden, Lazarenko, Prystanski and Reese asked to be recorded as having voted against all three readings of By-law No. 6382/94, in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

QUESTION PERIOD

521 - Councillor Golden noted that numerous retail businesses on Main Street had ceased to operate after the closing of the pedestrian crossway at Portage Avenue and Main Street and requested that the Macdonald Shoe Store building be put under the auspices of the Old Market Square Association/Exchange District Business Improvement Zone. He questioned whether Her Worship the Mayor was aware that the vegetable marketers had left the market square location because of subsidized access to the Forks location. Councillor Golden also questioned whether the Mayor would undertake to assist the Exchange District to keep the Macdonald Shoe Store building open for public use in conjunction with the market.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson took the questions under advisement, noting that she would be reporting back on the matter.

Councillor Golden referred to discussions pursuant to the Winnipeg Development Initiative and questioned what steps had been taken to comply with the promise to ensure that Main Street was a first priority and the establishment of a committee to ensure the upgrading of Main Street between City Hall and Higgins Avenue.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson stated that Main Street has been a priority in all negotiations related to the Winnipeg Development Initiative and the CentrePlan process.

Councillor Prystanski questioned whether the Infrastructure Renewal Program fell under the jurisdiction of Executive Policy Committee or the Standing Committee on Works and Operations.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson noted that the Executive Policy Committee was negotiating matters related to the Infrastructure Renewal Program with input from the Chairperson of the Standing Committee on Works and Operations.

In response to a question by Councillor Prystanski regarding how rural municipalities would be funding their Infrastructure Renewal Projects, Her Worship Mayor Thompson stated that she was not privy to how rural municipalities would be funding their Infrastructure Renewal Projects and stated that the City of Winnipeg would be funding their projects through unused borrowing authority.

Councillor Prystanski noted that the agreement allowed for the Federal Government to absorb costs related to rural municipalities and questioned whether the City of Winnipeg would be dealt with in a fair manner with respect to rural municipalities.

Deputy Mayor Fraser stated that the agreement does in fact have some cost-sharing flexibility provisions pertaining to rural municipalities with fiscal problems which would be applicable in specific situations only, and probably not pertain to the City of Winnipeg.

Councillor Boychuk noted the Angus Reid poll in the Winnipeg Free Press and questioned whether such had been commissioned through the Mayor's Office and whether they had purchased the results of the poll.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson stated that her office had neither commissioned nor purchased the results of the poll and noted that of the two Winnipeg Free Press polls, one had been taken directly after the 1993 summer flooding and the other was taken after their intensive reporting on the 1994 Civic Budget.

Councillor Hyman noted the upcoming seminar on the Winnipeg Development Initiative and questioned how the Initiative had been budgeted for in the 1994 Current Estimates.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson stated that the Capital Budget had made provision for $25 million in funding for the Winnipeg Development Initiative which had been approved by the previous Council.

Councillor Hyman requested a more detailed explanation as to whether the $25 million was to be expended over a three-year period.

Executive Policy Committee - Question Period (continued)

Her Worship Mayor Thompson noted that the City of Winnipeg's funding contribution would total $25 million over a five-year period.

Councillor Prystanski referred to the Infrastructure Program and questioned whether CentrePlan had moved its focus-boundaries northwards up to and including the CPR mainline.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson stated that CentrePlan has always included the original boundaries set forth by the Core Area Initiative but further noted that CentrePlan had not formally adopted any boundaries as yet.

Councillor Prystanski noted that he had seen documents relating to CentrePlan detailing boundaries and that such boundaries did not include areas north of Logan Avenue, and questioned whether the documents were incorrect.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson noted that such documents were for information purposes only to designate the Core Area Initiative boundaries, and reiterated that CentrePlan had not as yet adopted boundaries.

Councillor Prystanski requested to be part of the discussions on the boundary-setting issue for CentrePlan.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson noted that a number of public meetings and workshops regarding CentrePlan were forthcoming and invited Councillor Prystanski to attend such and participate.

In response to a question by Councillor Reese regarding the types of projects being considered for the Winnipeg Development Initiative, Her Worship Mayor Thompson noted the forthcoming seminar on the Winnipeg Development Initiative scheduled for March 30, 1994, and that Councillors would be briefed on the Initiative at that time.

Councillor Reese further questioned whether criteria for project funding was currently available.

Councillor Silva noted that the tripartite members had put forth a preliminary framework with a Federal/Provincial focus on economic development and a Civic focus on social development highlighting housing programs, and prioritizing Main Street.

Councillor Lazarenko questioned whether there were current negotiations regarding the Windsor Park Golf Course and the former Swift's meat packing plant site.

Councillor Silva noted that the City was concerned with the clean-up of the former Swift's Plant site and that the City currently did not own the property, and that the former Swift's Plant was to be demolished and that the Canada Packers site was to also be cleaned-up and that any discussions on these were at a very preliminary stage and in-camera at this time.

Councillor Lazarenko requested an update on the Ogden Downtown Arena Proposal and questioned whether Council would have a special meeting on the matter.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson noted that there would be a council seminar on the matter when she was in receipt of a report on the matter.

Councillor Hyman noted that she had been appointed by the Standing Committee on Works and Operations to attend the upcoming meeting on Municipal Access and in requesting information on the matter, had noted a report submitted by the Access Advisory Committee on November 25, 1992 to the Mayor Office, which had not received any response and questioned when such response would be available so that she could report on such for the upcoming meeting.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson noted that she would look into the matter and report back to Councillor Hyman.

Councillor Thomas referred to seminar scheduling and questioned why a seminar on an important issue such as the Winnipeg Development Initiative had been scheduled during the Spring Break period.

Executive Policy Committee - Question Period (continued)

Her Worship Mayor Thompson noted that the date chosen had not taken into account Spring Break and that no other Councillor had voiced any concerns with regard to the scheduling. Further, Her Worship stated that the administration had been consulted on the scheduling and that the date chosen had taken into account other conflicting schedules.

Councillor Thomas requested that in future, all seminars accord Councillors' scheduled break times.

With the concurrence of Council, the Speaker put forth questions to Her Worship Mayor Thompson concerning the Official Delegation. He specifically noted that he had never received any form of Official Delegation informational summaries as had been previously agreed upon by Council, and questioned whether informational summaries had been distributed or whether there had been any meetings of the Official Delegation or whether Her Worship had alternative plans on keeping Council apprised in this regard.

Councillor Eadie noted that meetings of the Official Delegation had been retitled to "Intergovernmental Affairs Committee". Councillor O'Shaughnessy noted that the former Provincial Minister of Urban Affairs had preferred to have small meetings between Her Worship and members of Executive Policy Committee, in lieu of formal Official Delegation meetings and that the current Minister of Urban Affairs had not held any such meetings to date.

Councillor Angus noted the change in meeting structure and questioned whether Her Worship Mayor Thompson would be updating Council on any such meetings.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson stated that she would review the matter with Executive Policy Committee and report back to Council.

Councillor Golden noted that he had been contacted by former Councillor Peter Kaufmann who complained that he had been informed by the Province that retail stores with more than four employees cannot open on Good Friday, and questioned whether the Provincial Government had resumed authority over the Sunday Shopping issue.

Her Worship Mayor Thompson stated that she would follow up on the matter.

In light of the explanation provided regarding the status of the Official Delegation, Councillor Thomas questioned the status of the items that were referred to it for report back to Council.

Councillor Eadie noted that every item referred by Council to the Intergovernmental Affairs Committee had been brought forward to the Province in writing or through meetings and that every action by the Province on any matter was accompanied by appropriate communication to all Members of Council.

Councillor Thomas questioned what procedures would be put into place to see that the Official Delegation would be reinstated.

Councillor Eadie noted that despite the changes to the Committee, the process of information being brought to the Provincial Government and reported back to Council remained the same, and that Council would be advised of all outcomes of Civic-Provincial matters as per past practice.

Councillor Thomas noted that Council had not been receiving progress reports on these matters and that there were issues still outstanding. Councillor Thomas then requested that Council receive progress reports on all these matters.

Councillor Eadie noted that a summary report would be brought forward to Council.