**Agenda - Council - February 26, 2003**

**Report - Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services - February 10, 2003**

**Item No. 3 Community Incentive Grant - Grosvenor School Parent Council**
**File PR-2.6 (32)(Vol. 11)**

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On February 19, 2003, the Executive Policy Committee did not concur in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services, and the City Centre Community Committee, as the grant application did not meet any of the criteria established by Council policy.

STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On February 10, 2003, the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services concurred in the recommendation of the City Centre Community Committee, and submits the following to Council:

1. That the following eligibility criteria for the Community Incentive Grant Program be waived in this instance, namely:

    A. The project is to make a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community-at-large in terms of improved facilities.

    B. The project is to benefit the community-at-large and remain accessible to all members of the community.

2. That a Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $3,000.00, not to exceed a maximum of fifty percent (50%) of the total project cost, be approved to the Grosvenor School Parent Council, to assist with the cost of a School Intercom System, subject to the following conditions:

    A. Confirmation of other funding sources; and

    B. Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the Director of Community Services; and

    C. The applicant entering into a formal agreement with the City of Winnipeg.

3. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing, including the execution of any documents related thereto.

**Report - Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services - February 10, 2003**

DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On February 19, 2003, the Executive Policy Committee did not concur in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services and the City Centre Community Committee, as the grant application did not meet any of the criteria established by Council policy and submitted the matter to Council.

STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On February 10, 2003, the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services concurred in the recommendation of the City Centre Community Committee, and submitted its recommendation to Executive Policy Committee and Council.

COMMUNITY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On January 14, 2003, the City Centre Community Committee did not concur in the administrative recommendation and recommended that a Community Incentive Grant be approved to the Grosvenor School Parent Council, subject to terms and conditions, and submitted its recommendation to the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services.

# COMMUNITY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM
## APPLICATION EVALUATION – (REVISED)

**Applicant:** Grosvenor School Parent Council
**Total Project Cost:** $11,000.00

**Project Description:** School Intercom System
**Grant Requested:** **$ 3,000.00**
**Maximum Allowed:** $ 5,500.00

**Date of Application:** January 6, 2003
**% of Total Project Cost:** 27%

| **Funding Sources:** | **Amount** | **Confirmed** |
|---|---|---|
| Land Dedication Reserve Fund | $8,000.00 | YES |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| **Total** | $8,000.00 | |

| **Meets Program Eligibility and Selection Criteria** | | **Yes** | **No** |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Organization is a non-profit community recreation and sport organization or is a non-profit organization offering recreational services. | X | |
| 2 | Makes a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community at large in terms of improved facilities. | | X |
| 3 | Does not compete with or duplicate other community facilities. | X | |
| 4 | Benefits the community at large and remains accessible to all members of the community. | | X |
| 5 | Includes confirmation of sufficient resources to maintain and operate completed projects. | X | |
| 6 | Clearly articulates a plan of activities including projected work schedules, material, labour, equipment and other project requirements. Project leads to the completion of a substantial physical product. | X | |
| 7 | Is feasible within the project management capabilities of the applicant. | X | |
| 8 | Total project funding is confirmed. | X | |
| 9 | Does not include any funds from the City. | | X |

**Criteria Exceptions:**

- The applicant is not applying for funds toward the capital construction of a community sport or recreation facility.
- The project does not benefit the community at large, and remain accessible to all members of the community.
- This project does include funds from the City.

**Department Financial Implications:**

**Departmental Recommendations:**
That this application be denied.

**Prepared by:** Community Services Department
**Date:** January 6, 2003