Minutes – Executive Policy Committee – January 11, 2012

Minute No. 98
Report – Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services – January 5, 2012

Item No. 2 Community Incentive Grant – University of Manitoba (St. Norbert Ward)

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

The Executive Policy Committee did not concur in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services, concurred in the recommendation of the Riel Community Committee, and submits the following to Council:

1. That the following eligibility criteria for the Community Incentive Grant Program be waived in this instance, namely:

    A. The Organization is not a non-profit community organization offering sport or recreation services and is not a non-profit community organization that contributes to the health and well-being of the community; and not through the development of a sport or recreation capital project; that is accessible to the community.

    B. The Project does not make a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community at large in terms of improved facilities.

2. That a Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $10,000.00, funded from the St. Norbert Ward allocation, be approved to the University of Manitoba to assist with the cost of the Winnipeg Airport Authority Art Piece Relocation Project, subject to the following conditions:

    A. Confirmation of other funding sources;

    B. Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the Director of Community Services; and

    C. The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the intent of the foregoing.

Pursuant to the Voting Requirements By-law No. 126/2003, any Community Incentive Grant that does not comply with the terms contained in the Community Incentive Grant Policy requires approval by two-thirds of the Members present at Council.

Report – Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services – January 5, 2012

Further, the Executive Policy Committee requested the Winnipeg Public Service to review the Community Incentive Grant Program and report back to Executive Policy Committee within 60 days on the following:

1. The elimination red tape;
2. Clarify the criteria for approval;
3. Examine this issue specifically as not meeting the criteria for being non-profit.

Report – Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services – January 5, 2012

DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

Moved by Deputy Mayor Swandel,

That the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services not be concurred in and the recommendation of the Riel Community Committee be concurred in and forwarded to Council.

Carried

His Worship Mayor Katz asked to be recorded as having voted against the above motion, in accordance with Rule 47(7) of The Procedure By-law No. 50/2007.

Councillor Fielding asked to be recorded as having voted against the above motion, in accordance with Rule 47(7) of The Procedure By-law No. 50/2007.

Councillor Havixbeck asked to be recorded as having voted against the above motion, in accordance with Rule 47(7) of The Procedure By-law No. 50/2007.

Moved by Councillor Havixbeck,

That the Winnipeg Public Service be requested to review the Community Incentive Grant Program and report back to Executive Policy Committee within 60 days on the following:

1. The elimination red tape;
2. Clarify the criteria for approval;
3. Examine this issue specifically as not meeting the criteria for being non-profit.

Carried

STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On January 5, 2012, the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services did not concur in the recommendation of the Riel Community Committee and submitted the following to the Executive Policy Committee and Council:

Report – Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services – January 5, 2012

DECISION MAKING HISTORY (continued):

STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION (continued):

1. That the request from the University of Manitoba for a Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $10,000.00, to assist with the cost of the Winnipeg Airport Authority Art Piece Relocation Project, be denied for the following reasons:

    A. Organization is not a non-profit community organization offering sport or recreation services and is not a non-profit community organization that contributes to the health and wellbeing of the community; and not through the development of a sport or recreation capital project; that is accessible to the community.

    B. Does not make a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community at large in terms of improved facilities.

2. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the intent of the foregoing.

COMMUNITY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On December 5, 2011, the Riel Community Committee did not concur in the recommendation of the Winnipeg Public Service and recommends to the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services:

1. That the following eligibility criteria for the Community Incentive Grant Program be waived in this instance, namely:

    A. The Organization is not a non-profit community organization offering sport or recreation services and is not a non-profit community organization that contributes to the health and well-being of the community; and not through the development of a sport or recreation capital project; that is accessible to the community.

    B. The Project does not make a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community at large in terms of improved facilities.

DECISION MAKING HISTORY (continued):

COMMUNITY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION (continued):

2. That a Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $10,000.00, funded from the St. Norbert Ward allocation, be approved to the University of Manitoba to assist with the cost of the Winnipeg Airport Authority Art Piece Relocation Project, subject to the following conditions:

    A. Confirmation of other funding sources;

    B. Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the Director of Community Services; and

    C. The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the intent of the foregoing.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

Title: **Community Incentive Grant –** University of Manitoba

Critical Path: Riel Community Committee

AUTHORIZATION

Author	Department Head	CFO	CAO
L. Carnevale	C. Wightman		

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Community Services Department recommends that the Community Incentive Grant Program (C.I.G.P) application from the University of Manitoba, for the Winnipeg Airport Authority Art Piece Relocation project, in the amount of $10,000, be denied.

REASON FOR THE REPORT

Public Service review of Community Incentive Grant proponent applications is required.

IMPLICATIONS OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The Community Incentive Grant Program is funded under the Annual Capital Budget.

Project Name: Winnipeg Airport Authority Art Piece Relocation Project
Amount Requested: $10,000
Total Project Cost: $110,000

Organization is not a non-profit community organization offering sport or recreation services and is not a non-profit community organization that contributes to the health and well being of the community; and not through the development of a sport or recreation capital project; that is accessible to the community; and, does not make a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community at large in terms of improved facilities.

NOTE: This is a profit organization requesting support for the costs associated with installing a large-scale work of art at the Max Bell Centre.

HISTORY

Please refer to the attachments.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Financial Impact Statement **Date:** November 23, 2011

Project Name: Winnipeg Airport Authority Art Piece Relocation Project **First Year of Program 2011**

Community Incentive Grant

Name of Organization: University of Manitoba

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Capital					
Capital Expenditures Required	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Less: Existing Budgeted Costs	-	-	-	-	-
Additional Capital Budget Required	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Funding Sources:					
Debt - Internal	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Debt - External	-	-	-	-	-
Grants (Enter Description Here)	-	-	-	-	-
Reserves, Equity, Surplus	-	-	-	-	-
Other - Enter Description Here	-	-	-	-	-
Total Funding	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Total Additional Capital Budget Required	$ -				
Total Additional Debt Required	$ -				
Current Expenditures/Revenues					
Direct Costs	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Less: Incremental Revenue/Recovery	-	-	-	-	-
Net Cost/(Benefit)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Less: Existing Budget Amounts	-	-	-	-	-
Net Budget Adjustment Required	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Additional Comments:

There are no financial implications associated with this report.

"Original Signed By"

Cindy Fernandes, CMA

Manager, Finance & Administrative Services

CONSULTATION

In preparing this report there was consultation with:

- The applicant, University of Manitoba

SUBMITTED BY

Department: Community Services
Division: Community Development and Recreation Services
Prepared by: L. Carnevale, Recreation Technician, Community Incentive Grant Program
Date: November 23, 2011
File No.

Attachments


Application


Evaluation


Supporting Documentation