CONSIDERATION OF REPORT OF THE

COMMITTEE ON PROTECTION, PARKS AND CULTURE

The Executive Policy Committee has had before it the Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992, has concurred in the recommendations contained therein and submits same to Council for approval and adoption.

On motion of Councillor Gilroy, the Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992, was considered clause by clause.

North Committee Room,
Council Building, Winnipeg.
June 22nd, 1992.

His Worship the Mayor and Councillors:

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture submits the following Report and recommends:

Special Grants to Cultural Organizations - File FG-1.1

1162 - 1. On November 7th, 1984, Council established the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council and delegated it with the responsibility for screening of applications, definition of criteria and recommendations as to appropriate grant levels for cultural organizations. The Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council transmits its recommendations to your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture.

The Political and Administrative By-law No. 4444/86 delegates authority to the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture under Section (4)(e) of Schedule "A" which reads in part as follows:-

"(e) The making of grants to cultural and artistic groups, in accordance with existing Council policy within a global sum approved each year by Council."

The Council policy relative to cultural grants reads in part as follows:-

"(9) The Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture shall adjudicate all applications within the global allocation and shall have final authority to approve grants which qualify under this policy, to an amount of $50,000.00 per individual grant. It shall submit to Council with its recommendation for approval, all requests for grants in excess of this amount."

Pursuant to delegated authority, your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture on June 1st, 1992, approved a schedule of final recommendations pertaining to all categories with the exception of "Theatre" which was referred back to the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council. Committee did however, approve a final recommendation for Rainbow Stage.

On June 22nd, 1992, your Committee again considered the recommendations from the Winnipeg Arts Advisory Council following reconsideration of the "Theatre" category and pursuant to delegated authority approved a schedule of final recommendations as outlined on Appendix "A".

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture submits for favourable consideration final grant allocations to organizations whose funding exceeds $50,000.00 in the financial year 1992 and therefore recommends:-

1. That final grant installments be approved for payment to the following cultural organizations:-

Report of the Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

Organization	Category	Interim Grant Approved	Total 1992 Grant Recommended	Balance
Manitoba Theatre Centre	Theatre	$70,000.00	$153,500.00	$83,500.00
Prairie Theatre Exchange	Theatre	26,231.00	58,962.00	32,731.00

2. That the Proper Officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

(Note: The appendices referred to in the above clause are on file in the office of the City Clerk.)

Program Change - 1992 Capital Beecher Park File FC(1992)

1163 - 2. On June 9th, 1992, the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee considered a communication dated June 5th, 1992 from the Manager of Community Parks and Recreation relative to a change of program in the 1992 Capital Estimates for Beecher Park which provided the following background information, namely:-

"Background

- Phase 1 of the development of Beecher park (sodding and grading) was completed in 1991.

- The next priority at this site is the installation of play equipment

- Funding allocated for the installation of play equipment at Beecher Park was:

Land Dedication Reserve Fund (10-118199-010325)	$25,000.00
1992 Approved Capital Budget (03-5492450302)	60,000.00
Total	$85,000.00

A basic playstructure/equipment for Beecher park would cost $46,000.00. The entire combined total of $85,000.00 could be used at Beecher Park to provide for more enhanced playground, associated asphalt pathway, and landscaping (trees, shrubs).

Amanda Park Dilemma

In the same area (Garden City, North of Leila between Sinclair and McPhillips) there is another park - Amanda Park that at this time is also only graded and sodded. As with Beecher Park, residents around Amanda Park have expressed concern with the lack of play equipment for their children. Potential Solution to Amanda Park Dilemma The play needs of children in the Amanda Park area could be met at the basic level by transferring a portion of the funding currently allocated to Beecher Park for play equipment. Since this "sharing" of resources would provide for the basic playground equipment needs of children in both the Beecher and Amanda Park areas it is deemed to be reasonable that consideration be given to reducing the funding allocation to Beecher Park by $39,000.00 (Account No. 03-5492450302) and transferring these funds to Amanda Park."

The Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee has concurred in the recommendation that the funding allocation to Beecher Park be reduced by $39,000.00 (Account No. 03-5492450302) and said funds be transferred to Amanda Park for play equipment.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture recommends as follows:-

1. That the funding allocation to Beecher Park be reduced by $39,000.00 (Account No. 03-5492450302) and said funds be transferred to Amanda Park for play equipment.

2. That the Proper Officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Development of a Landscape Buffer for the Area North of Bishop Grandin Boulevard between Lagimodiere Boulevard and the Seine River - St. Boniface - St. Vital Community File PB-2

1164 - 3. During 1991 the Forestry Branch of the Parks and Recreation Department planted a single row of Siberian Elm trees along the north side of the Winnipeg Hydro right-of-way between Lagimodiere Boulevard and Lakewood Boulevard to help compensate for the loss of natural vegetative buffer eliminated from the Manitoba Hydro right-of-way. The planting was funded by Manitoba Hydro at a cost of $71,000.00.

By letter dated December 10th, 1991, the Community Clerk advised the Board of Commissioners of the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee motion which stated:

"That the Parks and Recreation Department develop a landscaping plan for the area on the north side of Bishop Grandin Boulevard between Lagimodiere Boulevard and the Seine River;

And That the landscaping reflect the constraints of the area with respect to height of trees and safety under the power utility transmission lines and to incorporate the natural existing growth with imported shrub plantings;

And Further That the landscape design include the grading of excavated material from the proposed Navin Drain improvements planned by the Natural Resources Branch of the Provincial Government.

Committee also recommended that the landscaping plan be modest given the current financial restraints on available funding."

Of the three utility right-of-ways intervening between the Island Lakes Subdivision and Bishop Grandin Boulevard; only one right-of-way (Winnipeg Hydro) is City-owned. The other two right-of-ways are Provincially owned by Manitoba Hydro and Provincial Water Resources (Navin Drain).

During 1991 the Provincial Water Resources Branch had intended to regrade the Navin Drain and to spread the excavated earth material over the Manitoba Hydro right-of-way. Presently however, the Provincial Water Resources Branch has advised that no funding has been made available to undertake the proposed excavation and regrading.

Being as the Navin Drain is Provincially owned and as such, not within the City's purview of jurisdiction to include within any proposed landscape buffer proposal; estimated costs for the re-grading of the associated excavated materials have not been considered in this context, however, such costs predictably, would be excessive.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

In consideration of the preparation of a planting buffer over such an extensive length of land (approximately 2,200 lineal meters); with respect, as well, to the Community Committee's directive that such a planting be modest; it was determined that a double row of Siberian Elm trees would provide the most effective visual buffer at least cost. Siberian Elm was specifically selected for this purpose due to its rapid growth habit, density of growth, low branching habit and "ultimate" growth height of between 25 and 30 feet. Although such a double row planting of elms (1.5 to 2.0 meters on-centre) within a prepared planting bed would guarantee visual buffering of Bishop Grandin Boulevard from the residential community; nevertheless, the visual appearance, at best, would resemble that of a farm shelter belt.

Moreover, due to the extreme exposure of the site; it could be reasonably anticipated that even the normally rapid growth rate and reliable hardiness, characteristic of Siberian Elm, will experience delayed maturity and stunted growth. Even such a modest buffer planting, incorporating areas of existing natural vegetation towards the Seine River, has been estimated to cost $109,000.00, as outlined in Appendix "A". The maintenance cost to establish the planting, which is particularly critical for the first 2 to 3 years, has been estimated at $24,921.00 annually.

The Parks and Recreation Department, however, is concerned that the suggested buffer planting may not fully satisfy the expectations of the residents. Being as the planting would have to take place on the Winnipeg Hydro right-of-way property; there would be an intervening distance of approximately 100 meters (300 feet) between the buffer planting and the rear lot lines of the residential properties. Such a distance will likely substantially diminish the visual value of the buffer planting as viewed from the residential area.

An alternate, less costly (capital and maintenance) and attractively more diverse planting option to the formalized monocultural buffer planting would involve the development of a Management Program to encourage the selective re-establishment of natural vegetation within all three right-of-ways. The recent evidence of natural revegetating of the utility corridors provides reasonable confidence that, under an environmental management program, selective areas could be readily re-established as natural woodlands; insofar as such would not compromise the requirements of the two hydro services or the land drainage channel. The re-established dense massing of natural vegetation, in concert with siting requirements of the three utilities could more readily achieve an attractive, low maintenance, buffer suitable to the needs of the residential area. During preliminary discussions, representatives of Manitoba Hydro have indicated receptiveness, unofficially, to the concept of a co-ordinated Management Program to re-establish and environmentally control corridors of natural vegetation.

While it would be extremely difficult to quantifiably determine how quickly natural vegetation would re-establish to an effective height and density to adequately buffer the residential area from Bishop Grandin Boulevard; there are three (3) factors to suggest that a naturalized buffer would succeed at a greater rate than a nursery planting of Siberian Elm.

1. There is sufficient evidence of "volunteer" natural growth within the rights-of-way to suggest the native plants species are endeavouring to re-establish themselves. A proper management program therefore should serve to accelerate the rate of re-establishment.

2. The existing natural plant growth is fully hardy and capable of sustaining itself under exposed harsh site conditions, which would serve to retard and stunt the growth rate of even the most hardy nursery grown plant material; such being Siberian Elm.

3. Much of the existing natural growth, even though "cleared or brushed" above ground level within the utility corridors, has a well established deep root system. Such a root system is much more capable of withstanding extreme summer drought periods than the much shallower rooted nursery plant material. The planted nursery material will require extensive, frequent watering to encourage development of a deep root system; a characteristic which the native plant material already possesses.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

Although difficult to determine at this time without the existence of a Management Program; it may be still necessary to intersperse some nursery grown plant species into the naturalized buffer plantings in order to establish a complete closure of the buffer along the subject length of utility corridors adjacent to Bishop Grandin Boulevard.

However, the Parks and Recreation Department does not have either the authority or resources to devote to the negotiations for and establishment of, such a team Management Program; particularly regarding two of the Provincially owned utilities. Nevertheless the Departmental resources of the City Forester and the Assistant Naturalist could provide invaluable assistance and guidance to the establishment and implementation of the environmental management program. Such a co-ordinated team approach to managing selection restoration of natural vegetation, moreover should make provision for appropriate participation of the adjacent residential community within the project.

As an innovative approach to the establishment of low maintenance natural woodlots as vegetative buffers on exceptionally wide public right-of-ways; the subsequent information and documentation obtained could potentially be applied to other similar right-of-way situations throughout the City.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture recommends:

1. That, rather then developing a formalized buffer planting along the north side of Bishop Grandin Boulevard between Lagimodiere Boulevard and the Seine River (a distance of 2,200 lineal meters at a minimum cost of $109,000.00) a Management Program be established for the subject utility right-of-ways.

2. That representation be made to Winnipeg Hydro, Manitoba Hydro and the Provincial Water Resources Branch to develop, in conjunction with the City Forester and the Assistant Naturalist, a Management Plan which would allow the selective re-generation of natural tree and shrub stands in order to establish a dense, visually impenetrable natural vegetation buffer at minimal capital and maintenance costs to the City.

3. That the Proper Officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Assiniboine Park Zoo Development Corporation File PR-7.1.1

1165 - 4. The existing Agreement for the operation of the Assiniboine Park Zootique with the Assiniboine Park Zoo Development Corporation expired on May 10th, 1992.

Ongoing discussions with the Corporation have taken place since January 2nd, 1990, in an attempt to resolve the Corporation's inability to pay its outstanding debt of $145,818.00 from the first year of operation. Repayment terms were extended to the Corporation which have not been met. The Corporation is also unable to pay interest as calculated to May 10th, 1992 of $46,930.25 in accordance with Article 15.04 of the agreement. The Corporation is unable to present a business plan that allows for the repayment of interest due to the viability of the operation. A business plan prepared by the Corporation indicates that it would be possible for the Corporation to repay the outstanding debt only, in the next five years.

Once the General Manager of Parks and Recreation became aware of the precarious financial nature of the operation of the Zootique, the methodology of rent payment was restructured such that the rent payment would be paid on the fifteenth of each month rather than twice a year as provided for in the Agreement. This process was implemented in June, 1990 and the Corporation has not missed a payment since. In this manner the size of the principal debt has not increased and the viability of the operation is more closely monitored by the department.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

The Corporation has stated it expects to be able to operate the concession at a profit beginning in 1991 and continuing into subsequent years. The Corporation also expressed a desire to pay rent of 10% gross sales and that if any surplus profits were created, then the Corporation would use some of these profits to reduce the debt and the balance would be retained as working capital.

On March 25th, 1992, a subsequent meeting was held with a representative of the Assiniboine Park Zoo Development Corporation which had assumed responsibility for the operation of the Zootique and financial results for the recently concluded operating season were discussed, as well as other items of concern.

The Corporation has presented a business plan (Appendix "A") that satisfies the department as to the viability of the Zootique as well as addressing the outstanding debt of the Corporation. The department is comfortable with the current method of operation of the Zootique and feels that responsible management is in place to be of benefit to both parties. The 1991 operating season produced a profit of approximately $8,000.00.

Other options were considered, such as re-tendering the operation. However, it is felt that recovery of the outstanding principle would not be possible under this type of option.

The Acting General Manager of Parks and Recreation is also concerned that a rent in excess of 10% of gross sales would not be generated in view of the economic situation facing the operation of the Zootique.

The best alternative, at the present time, is to award the contract for the operation of the Zootique under terms acceptable to both parties, forgive the unpaid accrued interest in the amount of $46,930.00 and secure a commitment from the Corporation for repayment of the outstanding principle of $145,818.00.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture recommends:

1. That the existing Agreement between The City of Winnipeg and the Assiniboine Park Zoo Development Corporation, which expired on May 10th, 1992, not be renewed.

2. That the Assiniboine Park Zoo Development Corporation be awarded a contract to operate the Zootique in accordance with revised terms and conditions, which are acceptable to both parties.

3. That the accrued interest, in the outstanding amount of $46,930.25, as at May 10th, 1992, be forgiven by The City of Winnipeg.

4. That the Proper Officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Renewal of Funding Agreement - Winnipeg Boys and Girls Clubs Inc. File PR-2.8

1166 - 5. On October 3rd, 1984, Council approved a one-year funding agreement with the Winnipeg Boys and Girls Clubs Inc., for the provision of recreation opportunities for at risk children and youth in the core area of Winnipeg.

A three-year agreement covering the years 1985 to 1987 inclusive was approved by Council on February 5th, 1986, which provided $51,500.00 annually for the years 1985 and 1986 and $53,550.00 in 1987.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

On December 2nd, 1987, Council approved a three year agreement with the Winnipeg Boys and Girls Clubs, Inc., for the period January 1st, 1988 to December 31st, 1990. The City agreed to provide funding in the amount of $58,500.00 in the first year of the agreement with an annual increase in the subsequent years equivalent to the percentage rate increase received by the Parks and Recreation Department, Winnipeg Association of Public Service Officers staff in the particular year.

On March 15th, 1991, Council adopted the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture's recommendation that the City of Winnipeg enter into a one-year agreement with the Boys and Girls Clubs, Inc., for the period January 1st, 1991 to December 31st, 1991. The agreement, which provided funding in the amount of $62,967.00 was subsequently approved by Council on October 16th, 1991.

Following Council approval of the 1991 funding agreement, the Parks and Recreation Department commenced negotiations with the Boys and Girls Clubs for a multi-year agreement.

The Winnipeg Boys and Girls Clubs Inc. and the Parks and Recreation Department have worked together to provide services to core area children and youth since 1984. The services provided by the Boys and Girls Clubs are recognized as an essential and efficient means through which to deliver preventive programs which provide an alternative to the negative situations that young people are liable to find themselves involved in on the streets. The Boys and Girls Clubs promote individual development through recreational, life skills and leisure programming.
The funding agreement (Appendix "A") has been developed in consideration of the recommendations of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, the Parks and Recreation Department and the Winnipeg Boys and Girls Clubs Inc.

Revisions to the existing agreement are as follows:

1. Clause (2) of the agreement has been amended to reflect a new funding formula for determination of the annual grant for the Winnipeg Boys and Girls Clubs.

2. Clause (3) of the agreement has been amended to reflect the years over which the funding agreement is effective (1992 to 1996 inclusive.)

3. Clause (4) of the agreement has been amended to reflect the multi-year nature of the funding agreement.

4. Clause (9) of the agreement has been amended from a one year to a five year term. The intent of this revision is to stabilize funding in order to ensure a consistent level of recreation programming. Further amendments to the clause were made to clearly delineate the process involved in the renewal or termination of the agreement.

In all other respects this renewal agreement retains the provisions of the present agreement.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture recommends:

1. That the City of Winnipeg enter into a five year agreement with the Winnipeg Boys and Girls Club, Inc., for the period January 1st, 1992 to December 31st, 1996.

2. That the attached draft agreement, incorporating amendments, be approved.

3. That the Proper Officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

(Note: The appendices referred to in the above clause are on file in the office of the City Clerk.)

Citizens for Crime Awareness
File GF-2.5

1167 - 6. By letter dated September 15th, 1991, the Chairman of the Citizens for Crime Awareness requested the Commissioner of Protection, Parks and Culture to approve permanent annual funding in the amount of $175,000.00 to cover wages and operating costs for their five (5) affiliations within The City of Winnipeg.

On October 21st, 1991, the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture considered the aforementioned proposal and resolved as follows:

1. That the Citizens for Crime Awareness Organization be requested to provide detailed financial data for each of the districts (i.e. a detailed project budget consistent with the planned activities indicating all expenditures and anticipated sources of revenues).

2. That the administration submit a report providing:

   - Status update on activities of the Citizens for Crime Awareness Groups;
   - A history of City funding allocated to the groups;
   - Relationship of the organization to the operation of the Community Relations/Crime Prevention Unit;
   - Recommendations vis a vis the role of this organization in the overall delivery of police services.

At its meeting held on January 27th, 1992, the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture considered a report dated January 23rd, 1992, from the Commissioner of Protection, Parks and Culture and concurred in the recommendation that The City of Winnipeg hold in abeyance a response to the Citizens for Crime Awareness Groups regarding their funding request until the Province of Manitoba budget is approved in January or February of 1992. During discussion, Committee expressed concern that lines of authority be clearly developed before further consideration of the funding request in the amount of $175,000.00. In this connection, Committee requested that the administration submit a report outlining recommended protocol between the Winnipeg Police Department and Citizens for Crime Awareness (City-wide).

On March 9th, 1992, the Standing Committee received as information, a further funding request from the Citizens for Crime Awareness and reminded the organization of their aforementioned resolution made on January 27th, 1992.

On April 23rd, 1992, The Board of Commissioners considered a report dated April 13th, 1992, prepared by the Commissioner of Protection, Parks and Culture and requested the Commissioner to take the recommendations to the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture.

PROTOCOL

The Community Relations Division of the Police Department has developed a draft of a protocol for an ongoing relationship with C.F.C.A. This draft protocol has been reviewed by field officers that liaise with the various C.F.C.A. organizations for their input.

It has been determined that such protocol should include the following statements:

A. The Winnipeg Police Department shall provide the following services:

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

1. Where requested by C.F.C.A. provide a criminal background check of persons nominated for local boards.

2. Provide information on residential break-ins in Neighbourhood Watch areas on a regular basis to the C.F.C.A. area office and/or Area Co-ordinators and/or Block Captains.

3. Provide training for meetings called to set up new Neighbourhood Watch areas and where necessary, provide seminars to perpetuate ongoing Neighbourhood Watch areas and Block Captain meetings subject to availability of manpower.

4. Have liaison officers communicate concerns of the residents on a regular basis to the department.

5. In partnership with C.F.C.A., will promote and coordinate crime prevention activities/events in the community (business seminars, bike rodeos, seniors presentations, streetproofing, etc.) in an effort to prevent crime through education.

6. This agreement may be cancelled at any time with thirty (30) days notice by either party without compensation.

B. C.F.C.A. will provide the following services:

1. Will disseminate crime information provided by the department to the Area Coordinators, Block Captains and participating households.

2. Will advise the department on behalf of citizens, when contacted by those citizens who have crime information.

3. Will arrange and promote new Neighbourhood Watch areas and where necessary, set up/promote seminars to perpetuate existing Neighbourhood Watch areas and Block Captain meetings.

4. Will recruit Area Coordinators and Block Captains to perpetuate ongoing Neighbourhood Watch programs.

5. Will develop interest in new areas of the City to initiate new Neighbourhood Watch programs.

6. Will provide representatives to their respective District Police Advisory Committees and to the City-wide Police Advisory Steering Committee.

As a result of a recent meeting between appropriate representatives of the Police and Law Departments it is hereby recommended that the aforementioned protocol become a formal agreement to be developed by the Law Department. In addition, the Law Department further advises that any such agreement would require that the individual C.F.C.A. associations provide insurance coverage in the amount of $250,000.00 in the event current City of Winnipeg volunteer coverages do not apply. Such insurance would name the City as an additional named insured and would contain a cross liability clause.

During the development of any formal agreement the Police Department should have a representative meet with C.F.C.A. to outline the background on each clause and secure their input.

FUNDING AGREEMENT

As the Police Department is looking to have a long term relationship with C.F.C.A., the protocol should form a separate agreement from any funding the C.F.C.A. may receive. Such a funding agreement would set out short term dates, the expected use of the funds, the associated payment schedule and other terms and conditions to be met by C.F.C.A. to coincide with the purpose of the funding.

It is, therefore, recommended that any funding advanced to C.F.C.A. by the City should form a separate agreement from the protocol and be developed by the Law Department.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

For purposes of accountability and other legal considerations, it is further recommended that, as a condition of such protocol agreement and such funding, C.F.C.A. enter into a memorandum of understanding attached as Appendix 'A'.

DRAFT CONSTITUTION OF THE CITIZENS FOR CRIME AWARENESS

In order for C.F.C.A. to formally define its terms of membership and promote and encourage community representation, it is recommended that no portion of the City's funding be disbursed to C.F.C.A. until the draft Constitution as attached as Appendix 'B' is formally adopted by Citizens for Crime Awareness (C.F.C.A.) District No. 2 St. James-Assiniboia Inc., Citizens for Crime Awareness District No. 3 Inc., Citizens for Crime Awareness District No. 4 (C.F.C.A.) East Kildonan-Transcona Chapter Inc., Neighbourhood Watch St. Boniface-St. Vital Inc. and Citizens for Crime Awareness (C.F.C.A.) District No. 6 Inc.

COST SHARING WITH THE PROVINCE

Insofar as the approved 1992 Operating Budget indicates that the City has only budgeted $30,000.00 towards the requested $175,000.00, it is recommended that the Police Department receive further direction on the cost sharing agreement presently before the Province. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture recommends as follows:

1. That the protocol between the Winnipeg Police Department and Citizens for Crime Awareness (C.F.C.A.) District No. 2 St. James-Assiniboia Inc., Citizens for Crime Awareness District No. 3 Inc., Citizens for Crime Awareness District No. 4 (C.F.C.A.) East Kildonan-Transcona Chapter Inc., Neighbourhood Watch St. Boniface-St. Vital Inc. and Citizens for Crime Awareness (C.F.C.A.) District No. 6 Inc. (hereinafter collectively called C.F.C.A.) become a formal agreement to be prepared by the City Solicitor.

2. That any funding advanced to C.F.C.A., by the City, be a separate agreement and be prepared by the City Solicitor.

3. That, as a condition of such protocol agreement and such funding, C.F.C.A. enter into a memorandum of understanding, attached as Appendix 'A'.

4. That no portion of the City's funding be disbursed to C.F.C.A. until the draft Constitution, attached as Appendix 'B' is formally adopted by Citizens for Crime Awareness (C.F.C.A.) District No. 2 St. James-Assiniboia Inc., Citizens for Crime Awareness District No. 3 Inc., Citizens for Crime Awareness District No. 4 (C.F.C.A.) East Kildonan-Transcona Chapter Inc., Neighbourhood Watch St. Boniface-St. Vital Inc. and Citizens for Crime Awareness (C.F.C.A.) District No. 6 Inc.

5. That the Acting Chief of Police receive further direction on the cost-sharing agreement presently before the Province of Manitoba.

6. That the Proper Officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

(Note: The appendices referred to in the above clause are on file in the office of the City Clerk.)

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

Community Incentive Grant
Application - Daniel McIntyre Parent's
Association - City Centre-Fort Rouge
Community
File PR-2.6(22)

1168 - 7. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Daniel McIntyre Parent's Association for a 1992 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $52,250.00 for an underground track and recreation facility at 720 Alverstone Street, in the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community.

The City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $25,000.00. The total project is estimated to cost $209,000.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria as the applicant is neither a sport or recreation organization, and as well, the applicant has already received City funding for the project.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee and recommends as follows:

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $25,000.00 be approved for payment to the Daniel McIntyre Parent's Association subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant
Application - Earl Grey Community
Centre - City Centre-Fort Rouge Community
File PR-2.6(22)

1169 - 8. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Earl Grey Community Centre for a 1992 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $100,000.00 for a community centre redevelopment at 700 Garwood Avenue, in the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community.

The City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $78,505.00. The total project is estimated to cost $700,000.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria as other City funding is being utilized in the project.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee and recommends as follows:

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $78,505.00 be approved for payment to the Earl Grey Community Centre subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant
Application - Westminster Tot Lot
Committee Inc. - City Centre-Fort Rouge
Community
File PR-2.6(22)

1170 - 9. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Westminster Tot Lot Committee Inc. for a 1992 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $3,800.00 for construction of a tot lot at 854 Westminster Avenue, in the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community.

The City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $3,800.00. The total project is estimated to cost $36,600.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria as the applicant is not a recognized community sport or recreation organization.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee and recommends as follows:

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $3,800.00 be approved for payment to the Westminster Tot Lot Committee Inc. subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June22nd, 1992.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant
Application - Sargent Park
School-Community Parent Council - City
Centre-Fort Rouge CommunityFile PR-2.6(22)

1171 - 10. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Sargent Park School-Community Parent Council for a 1992 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $15,000.00 for a playground structure at 1070 Dominion Street, in the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community.

The City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $10,000.00. The total project is estimated to cost $60,000.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria as the applicant is not a recognized community sport or recreation organization.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee and recommends as follows:

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $10,000.00 be approved for payment to the Sargent Park School-Community Parent Council subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant Application - Dr.
D. W. Penner School - St. Boniface - St. Vital
Community -
File PR-2.6(25)

1172 - 11. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Dr. D. W. Penner School for a 1992 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $10,000.00 for playground facilities at Greenwood Park, at 121 Hazelwood Crescent, in the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community.

The St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $10,000.00. The total project is estimated to cost $40,000.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria as the applicant is not a recognized community sport or recreation organization.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee and recommends as follows:

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $10,000.00 be approved for payment to the Dr. D. W. Penner School subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant
Application - Hastings/Gaboury Playspace
Committee - St. Boniface-St. Vital
Community
File PR-2.6(25)

1173 - 12. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Hastings/Gaboury Playspace Committee for a 1992 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $23,000.00 for playspace development at 50 Hastings Boulevard, in the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community.

The St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $17,582.00. The total project is estimated to cost $92,000.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria as the applicant is not a recognized community sport or recreation organization.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee and recommends as follows:

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $17,582.00 be approved for payment to the Hastings/Gaboury Playspace Committee subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;
   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;
   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant
Application - Panthers Gymnastics Club - St.
Boniface - St. Vital Community -File PR-2.6(25)

1174 - 13. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Panthers Gymnastics Club for a 1992 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $30,986.70 for facility upgrading at 1016 Marion Street, in the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community.

The St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $8,791.00. The total project is estimated to cost $154,933.50.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria as other City funding is already dedicated to the project.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee and recommends as follows:

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $8,791.00 be approved for payment to the Panthers Gymnastics Club subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant
Application - Windsor Community
Centre - St. Boniface - St. Vital Community -
File PR-2.6(25)

1175 - 14. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Windsor Community Centre for a 1992 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $87,909.00 for Phase II playground and athletic field redevelopment at 99 Springside Drive, in the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community.

The St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $17,206.00. The total project is estimated to cost $449,937.00.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this application does not meet the Program's eligibility requirements as other City funding is committed to the Project.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee and recommends as follows:

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $17,206.00 be approved for payment to the Windsor Community Centre subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant
Application - Maison Jeanne Elisabeth House
Inc. - St. Boniface-St. Vital Community
File PR-2.6(25)

1176 - 15. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Maison Jeanne Elisabeth House Inc. for a 1992 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $26,370.00 for expansion of educational/support services at 29 Des Meurons Street, in the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community.

The St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $8,791.00. The total project is estimated to cost $105,480.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this application does not meet the Program's eligibility requirements as it does not lead to the completion of a substantial physical project.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee and recommends as follows:

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $8,791.00 be approved for payment to the Maison Jeanne Elisabeth House Inc., subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Outstanding Parking Fines
Files ST-9 & GF-2

1177 - 16. On May 27th, 1992, Council referred the following motion to your Committee, namely:-

"WHEREAS there are presently almost $4 million in parking fines remaining outstanding;

AND WHEREAS the City of Winnipeg recognizes the need to pursue cost- effective methods of collecting revenue;

AND WHEREAS impoundment of motor vehicles may result in more cost- effective collections;

AND WHEREAS advertising notices to delinquent payers of parking fines may be more cost-effective than individual repetitive notification methods or incarceration methods presently being employed;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Council request the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture to advise Council on the appropriateness of alternative collection methods within 21 days;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Province of Manitoba be requested to enact the necessary enabling legislation to impound vehicles."

Council also referred the following proposed amendment to the above motion, namely:-

THAT the motion proposed by Councillors Golden and Kaufmann be amended to add the following words at the end of the last paragraph, namely:-

"and/or refuse to issue drivers' licenses unless all or a reasonable percentage thereof of outstanding parking fines are paid up."

Your Committee has been advised that on April 19th, 1992, the Police Department submitted a Board Report on this subject outlining the methods considered and used to collect outstanding parking tickets by the City of Winnipeg and other Canadian cities. This was part of an ongoing process to improve on the collection of outstanding parking tags. That report stated that about 9% of our issued parking tags were uncollected.
The Department had completed a survey of other Canadian cities in which it was found that the average for outstanding parking tags in those Cities was 23%. This report outlined the various means used in Canada to collect backlog parking fines and associated problems. This report revealed that there is no link between drivers licences and registrations in Manitoba and discussions with Provincial authorities were ongoing concerning the possibility of seizing vehicles with outstanding parking tags and/or withholding Manitoba drivers' licenses. These discussions have continued since the submission of this report in April.

There are approximately four million dollars in outstanding parking tags revenue at present in the City of Winnipeg. Efforts are being made to keep the outstanding tags at a reasonable level by pursuing several cost-effective methods to collect outstanding fines. The parking tag computer is utilized for this purpose.

The threat of incarceration is a motivator in having persons pay parking tags. Projects to collect fines result in many voluntary payments as well as fines are paid quickly as an alternative to incarceration.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

The aspect of advertising notices in the local newspapers was pursued but the costs make this method very expensive. For example, estimated cost for a 4 1/4" x 8" display ad is $4,600.00.

The ongoing informal discussion with representatives of the Province revealed that the Province of Manitoba was preparing a proposal discussion paper for the City of Winnipeg's Official Delegation in which they were prepared to offer the City of Winnipeg assistance in the area of uncollected parking tags by proposing enabling legislation that would permit the City of Winnipeg to tow and impound vehicles until the outstanding parking fine is paid. On June 8th, 1992, the Honourable J. Ernst, Minister of Urban Affairs, Province of Manitoba presented this formal proposal to His Worship Mayor Norrie. (Appendix "A")

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture submits the above for the information of Council.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Moved by Councillor Golden,
That the clause be referred back to the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture.

Carried.

Australian Promotion at the Assiniboine Park Zoo in 1993 File PR-7.1.1

1178 - 17. Following the successful completion of the Giant Panda loan during the summer of 1989, the Zoological Society of Manitoba and its development arm, the Assiniboine Park Zoo Development Corporation, wished to continue sponsoring promotions involving charismatic animals on a regular basis and so benefit from the impetus and interest generated in the Zoo by the Giant Pandas.

On December 20th, 1989, Council adopted the Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture dated December 4th, 1989, concerning an Australian Promotion at the Zoo in the summer of 1990 featuring koalas and other unique Australian animals. Unfortunately, koalas were unavailable and the project was, therefore, postponed.

On July 15th, 1991, a delegation from the Zoological Society of Manitoba appeared before Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture to advise on the current status of the project and seek approval to proceed with plans to acquire koalas on loan and other Australian animals. Committee resolved that the Zoological Society of Manitoba submit a revised business plan and all other necessary information relative to the project for review by the administration and referral to it.

It was proposed that the former Aunt Sally's Farm area, which has been unused since the opening of the Kinsmen Discovery Centre in 1990, would be used for the Australian Promotion. The site is ideal for this type of project as it is compact, is surrounded by other Zoo facilities and the perimeter fence, and has a single access point which would facilitate controlling visitors and charging admission to the Australian facilities only. This site was proposed when it became apparent that the former Giant Panda facility could not meet the requirements for housing koalas without major remodelling and its later re-instatement for the animals permanently kept there.

The star attraction of the Australian Promotion would be two koalas which the San Diego Zoo has offered on short-term loan. In addition, there would be five other displays of Australian animals such as Cockatoos, Parakeets, Lorikeets, Finches, Wallabies and Waterfowl. Elsewhere in the Zoo would be displays of Red Kangaroos and birds (in the Tropical House). With the exception of the koalas, which would return to California at the end of the promotion, the other animals would be purchased by the zoo through the Animal Reserve Fund, mostly from institutions in Australia and would be exhibited permanently at the Zoo. The conditions for the care and exhibition of koalas are strictly enforced by the San Diego Zoo, with whose expertise, advice and supply of eucalyptus leaves, the care of these specialized animals would not pose any problems for the Assiniboine Park Zoo staff. Initially, a keeper from Winnipeg would be required to undergo several weeks training in koala care at the San Diego Zoo; and a member of its staff would accompany the animals to Winnipeg and remain here for several weeks. Zoo staff are experienced in the care and exhibition of all the other Australian animals which would be included in the promotion.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

The rental fee for the koalas for a 4 month period would be $20,000.00 U.S. Half of this fee would be applied towards the San Diego Zoo's Koala Maintenance Program, while the balance would be contributed to conservation projects controlled by the Australian Koala Foundation.

The koala display would be designed to accommodate a permanent exhibit of other Australian animals such as Tree Kangaroos; and with the addition of an outdoor cage would be suitable for the golden Monkeys which have been offered by the Chinese on loan.

In addition to the animal displays, the Zoological Society of Manitoba would incorporate several exhibits of Australian cultural artifacts, both within the contained display area and immediately outside, adjacent to the Carousel Coffee Shop. A hospitality tent for meetings, talks and events associated with the display would also be provided in this area. As well as the value of having koalas on display, which many people would otherwise never have the opportunity of seeing, emphasis would be placed on interpretation of the displays and the unique natural and cultural heritage of Australia.

The reduction in attendance in recent years, except for the year of the Giant Pandas in 1989, indicates the need for interesting and exciting promotions at the Zoo in the absence of a program of expansion or improvement. The experience of other Zoos which have received koalas on loan, proves that their attraction value is second only to the Giant Pandas. Through its operation of the Giant Panda loan, the Zoological Society of Manitoba gained valuable experience in managing and marketing seasonal projects, which would be applied to the Australian Promotion. Together with the enthusiasm with which it has approached the Australian Promotion, the interest and support already forthcoming from the Federal and Provincial Government, the Australian High Commission, the Tourism Industry Association of Winnipeg and Australian Corporations; the Department believes that the project is feasible and that the Zoological Society of Manitoba has the ability to carry it out successfully.

Initial operating funding is therefore required for the following:

- the appointment of an Executive Director
- the appointment of Marketing Consultants
- the appointment of Design Consultants
- the availability of preliminary facility design plans, without which the Australian Government will not issue wildlife export permits.

The budget for the Australian Promotion has been carefully prepared and is naturally also based upon the valuable experience gained during the Giant Pandas' visit to Winnipeg. The Acting General Manager of Parks and Recreation considers it to be as accurate as the currently available information permits. With regard to revenue from admissions, the charge for the Giant Pandas was $3.00 for adults, $1.50 for children and they attracted 512,000 paying visitors. The experience in other zoos which loan koalas after Giant Pandas is that the koalas attracted 80% of the Giant Panda attendance. The Zoological Society of Manitoba, however, has conservatively estimated an attendance of 300,000, which is 60% of the 1989 Giant Panda visitation. It has set the admission charge for the Australian Promotion at $4.00 for adults and $2.50 for children, as it will be a far more extensive display. At the generally accepted Zoo visitor ratio of 2 adults: 1 child, this would provide attendance revenue of $935,000.00, which seems a reasonable expectation. However, the Zoological Society of Manitoba has wisely made contingency plans for the disadvantaged, whereby with discounted tickets for groups and possibly free admission for a short period on one or more days per week, they would not be denied the chance to see the koalas. Admission would only be charged of course to the main display area; and visitors would be able to see the Kangaroos and other Australian animals elsewhere in the Zoo free of charge.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 22nd, 1992.

The development of the currently unused Aunt Sally's Farm area, while certainly achieving all the valuable benefits included in the zoological Society of Manitoba's objectives for the Australian Promotion, would, without subsequent operational funding, severely affect the Zoo's ability to maintain current standards of animal care. As a result of recent budget cuts, the loss of two staff positions and the additional reduced availability of staff due to increased vacation time and absences in lieu of statutory holidays to avoid overtime payments, the zoo cannot absorb the annual cost of maintaining the Australian development after the closure of the Promotion on Labour Day, 1993. Two extra zookeepers will be required, together with the cost of animal foodstuffs, power, grounds and building maintenance, etc., which will amount to $33,000.00 for the balance of 1993 and $102,000.00 for the following year.

The Board of Commissioners is not in support of the Australian Promotion at the Assiniboine Park Zoo in 1993 as the necessary funds ($250,000.00 from the 1993 Capital Program and $100,000.00 in operating costs) are unavailable and therefore, recommends that consideration of same be deferred for one year.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered the proposal and recommends:

1. That the proposal of the Zoological Society of Manitoba, attached as Appendix "A", to sponsor the display of Australian animals and associated cultural artifacts at the Assiniboine Park Zoo during the summer of 1993, be approved including the provision of necessary funding in the amount of $350,000.00 subject to the Zoological Society submitting an acceptable developed marketing plan and a detailed budget breakdown.

2. That the Proper Officer of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
Adoption of the clause.

Moved by Councillor Thomas,
That the clause be referred back to the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture.

Carried.

COMMITTEE ON PROTECTION, PARKS AND CULTURE

QUESTION PERIOD

1179 - Councillor Clement here asked the Chairman of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture for clarification on Clause 6 regarding funding for Citizens for Crime Awareness. He asked about the budget amount of $30,000.00 which was provided in the 1992 budget, and the request for $175,000.00 and whether the City is intending to increase the funding.

The Chairman, Councillor Gilroy, advised that there is no intention of the Committee to increase the allocation beyond $30,000.00 but there are matters to be resolved with the Citizens for Crime Awareness.

Councillor Murray asked if the Chairman would confirm that in areas where C.F.C.A. is not operating or where more than one group exists such as in Riverborne, and the Earl Grey Neighbourhood Association, that this would in no way prohibit the Police from continuing to work with those organizations.

Councillor Gilroy indicated that the police will continue to work with the neighbourhood associations and C.F.C.A. will continue to improve its liaison with these associations.