CONSIDERATION OF REPORT OF THE

COMMITTEE ON PROTECTION, PARKS AND CULTURE

The Executive Policy Committee has had before it the Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991, has concurred in the recommendations contained therein, except as noted below, and submits same to Council for approval and adoption.

On motion of Councillor Douglas, the Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991, was considered clause by clause.

North Committee Room,
Council Building, Winnipeg.
June 24th, 1991.

His Worship the Mayor and Councillors:

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture submits the following Report and recommends:

Golf Courses Courtesy Pass and Waiver of Fees Policy - Files PR-6 & PR-2.10

1059 - 1. Since the Municipal Golf Courses opened in 1921, it has been the practice of the Parks and Recreation Department to occasionally provide Courtesy Passes to the public for a free round of golf. This long standing practice was developed internally and utilized by the Parks and Recreation Department but never, as far as can be determined, received the approval of City Council as an official policy.

By memorandum dated October 23rd, 1990, the City Auditor recommended that a written policy, indicating who is authorized to sign and issue Courtesy Passes, and outlining the specific criteria to be used by those issuing the passes, should be developed and be approved by the Board of Commissioners and City Council.

In 1990, 220 courtesy golf passes were distributed generally for promotion and public relations purposes. Of these 220 passes, 100 were redeemed at a value of approximately $1,000.00, representing approximately 0.03% of the total golf course revenues ($2,742,000 for 1990). A total of 276,000 rounds of golf were played at the Municipal courses last year. The municipal golf courses normally recognized three types of passes: Privilege Passes, Learn-To-Golf Passes; and Courtesy Golf Passes, as follows:-

M.G.A./M.L.G.A. Privilege Passes and Waiver of Fees

a) Privilege Golf Passes

Privilege golf passes are issued by golf governing associations in Manitoba (Manitoba Golf Association and the Manitoba Ladies Golf Association) to be distributed to patrons when an association sanctioned tournament is being held at a private or public golf course. The golf professionals at the host venue will ask members turned away if they wish to play another course and will issue either a M.G.A. or a M.L.G.A. Privilege Pass which all member courses will honour. The Privilege Pass is good only for the day it is issued. This process is followed and honoured by all golf courses which are affiliated with the M.G.A. and M.L.G.A. In 1990, 72 privilege passes were redeemed at the municipal golf courses.

b) Waiver of Fees

The Parks and Recreation Department recognizes the need and value of strong provincial sport governing bodies and accordingly, along with all other golf course operations in the province, has continued to provide venues for the M.G.A./M.L.G.A. golf competitions. When such events are held at the public courses, green fees are waived for the participants, a practice also followed by the private golf courses.

Learn-to-Golf Passes

Learn-To-Golf Passes are issued to participants in the Parks and Recreation Department sponsored introductory golf programs held annually throughout the City. These passes provide the user with an opportunity to play a game at any one of the public courses and hopefully will encourage them to continue to utilize these facilities in the future. The cost of the passes is built into the registration fee of each participant.
Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

Courtesy Golf Passes

The distribution of Courtesy Golf Passes is a commonly accepted business practice in the golfing community and other similar recreation facilities where admission fees are charged, e.g. museums, waterslides, campgrounds, provincial and national parks.

Courtesy Golf Passes allow the bearer to play a round of golf, at no cost, at any one of the City's three 18-hole or three 9-hole courses. These passes have no cash value and are valid only during the year in which they are issued.

Consistent with the Auditor's recommendation, this Policy is intended to be flexible enough to allow those in charge of issuing passes to fulfill the objectives while at the same time providing guidelines to the issuers to ensure no real or perceived abuse of the Courtesy Passes exists.

Under terms of this policy, Courtesy Passes would be distributed according to the following guidelines:

1. Promotion:- as a means of encouraging use of the golf courses by non-profit community organizations and media representatives who may not otherwise be patrons of the municipal courses. Upon request, some passes may be provided to various groups in order to promote participation and stimulate interest in the game of golf, for example - seniors groups; special needs groups; radio, television and press representatives, etc.

2. Customer Relations:- as a means of responding to a complaint from a golfer, or recognizing a significant contribution by a member of the golfing public.

   A pass may be issued to a golfer who has had an unfavourable experience while playing on a municipal course, for example: unable to complete round of golf due to an injury; having a game interrupted due to a malfunction of the irrigation system. By giving a pass to golfers in these situations, the City is able to respond immediately to a complaint and avoid the lengthy and costly expense associated with processing a request for a refund. Similarly a courtesy pass would be issued to a member of the public in recognition of a significant contribution or effort while on the golf course.

3. Hospitality:- as a gesture when representatives of the City host visits by officials, dignitaries, and special guests.

   The Mayor, the Board of Commissioners, Department Heads, etc. may wish to distribute courtesy passes to visiting officials or dignitaries conducting business with the City, e.g. Western Canada Summer Games.

4. Tournaments:- as an incentive to encourage use of the golf courses by groups organizing tournaments. Several passes could be provided to tournament organizers, for use as prizes, to a maximum of 4 passes per tournament.

   Tournaments generate considerable revenues (approximately $150,000 annually) through green fees, cart rentals, merchandise sales, and concessions. Providing courtesy passes as prizes is an incentive to attract more tournament and individual patron business.

5. Incentives:- as a means of encouraging participation in other City sponsored programs or events.

   Passes are provided for a variety of fund raising events or as contest prizes, e.g. the recent City Newsletter contest (Civic Pulse); various golf, hockey and other athletic teams; school functions. The passes issued in small numbers provide good public relations and promotion of the golfing facilities at no cost to the City.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

6. Recognition:- as a means of recognizing outstanding service to the City or the community.

Passes are available in various situations for efforts beyond the call of duty; long service recognition; retirements; merit prizes; etc.

In implementing the Courtesy Pass Policy, and in keeping with the Auditor's recommendations, the Parks and Recreation Department would implement procedures to:

- ensure that all Courtesy Passes have pre-printed numbers to guard against misappropriation of passes;
- establish a more consistent procedure to reconcile redeemed passes with the Golf Course Daily Reports;
- retain all redeemed passes for a period of 3 years;
- ensure cashiers fill in the redemption date on each pass to prevent reuse of courtesy passes;
- require proof of identification of the pass holder to verify that they are the person named on the pass.

The Administration will prepare a Courtesy Pass annual report for the approval of the General Manager of Parks and Recreation.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture recommends as follows:

1. That the Golf Pass Policy, as shown in Appendix I, be approved and included in the Fees and Charges Policies of the Parks and Recreation Department.

2. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the Golf Pass Policy.

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

(Note: See Minute No. 1070 for attachment.)

Volunteer Placement Agreement:
The Volunteer Centre of Winnipeg
File PR-2

1060 - 2. In January, 1991, The Volunteer Centre of Winnipeg implemented a "Volunteer Placement Agreement" to be completed by agencies requesting their assistance in volunteer recruitment.

The Parks and Recreation Department requested that the Law Department review this agreement with specific reference to the signature requirements. Subsequent to consulting with the Volunteer Centre, the Law Department approved the use of the Volunteer Placement Agreement with the inclusion of one amendment. The Law Department recommended that the Parks and Recreation Department submit a report to the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture in order to ultimately obtain Council approval for the one-time execution of the agreement.

The purpose of the "Volunteer Placement Agreement" (Appendix 1) developed by The Volunteer Centre of Winnipeg (The Centre) is to outline the responsibilities and obligations of the Centre and those of the agency requesting assistance in volunteer recruitment.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

On occasion, the Parks and Recreation Department may request assistance from the Centre with the recruitment of potential volunteers for unique or specific programs. Examples include volunteers able to speak a specific language, volunteers with experience working with individuals with special needs, etc. On an annual basis, it is estimated that approximately twelve to fifteen volunteer placements within the Parks and Recreation Department are completed with assistance from The Centre.

The initial paragraph of the "Volunteer Placement Agreement" has been amended to include a statement clarifying that the City is not granting The Centre an exclusive contract to provide the City with volunteers. This amendment was recommended by the Law Department and approved by the Executive Director of The Centre.

Organizations wishing to utilize the services of The Centre are only required to execute the "Volunteer Placement Agreement" once as this agreement is intended to remain in force for the duration of the association between the agency and The Centre.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture recommends:-

1. That the City of Winnipeg execute a "Volunteer Placement Agreement" with the Volunteer Centre of Winnipeg to remain in effect for the duration of the City's relationship with said agency.

2. That the proper offices of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing including the execution of the agreement.

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant Application -
St. Ignatius School Inc. - Assiniboine
Park - Fort Garry Community
File PR-2.6(21)

1061 - 3. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the St. Ignatius School Inc., for a 1991 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $5,000.00 for improvements to the basketball court in the St. Ignatius School playground at 239 Harrow Street in the Assiniboine Park-Fort Garry Community.

The Assiniboine Park-Fort Garry Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $5,110.00. The total project is estimated to cost $20,550.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria as the applicant is not a community sport or recreation organization.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the Assiniboine Park-Fort Garry Community Committee and recommends as follows:-

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $5,110.00 be approved for payment to the St. Ignatius School Inc., subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant Application -
Sun Valley Parent Association -
East Kildonan - Transcona Community
File PR-2.6(23)

1062 - 4. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Sun Valley Parent Association for a 1991 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $6,400.00 for a Playground Structure at 125 Sun Valley Drive, in the East Kildonan-Transcona Community.

The East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee has recommended funding for the project in the amount of $6,000.00. The total cost of the project is estimated at $25,724.35.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all the established criteria as the applicant is not a recreation or sport organization.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee and recommends as follows:-

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $6,000.00 be approved for payment to the Sun Valley Parent Association, subject to:-

a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the Proper Officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

Community Incentive Grant
Application - Laura Secord Community
Child Care Inc. City Centre - Fort Rouge
Community
File PR-2.6(22)

1063 - 5. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Laura Secord Community Child Care Inc., for a 1991Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $75,000.00 for new facility renovations at 1 Ruby Street in the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community.

The City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $75,000. The total cost of the project is estimated at $75,000.00.
An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria for the following reasons:-

1) Project is not sponsored by a non-profit community recreation or sport organization;

2) Grant requested exceeds 25% of the total project cost.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee and recommends as follows:-

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $75,000.00 be approved for payment to the Laura Secord Community Child Care Inc., subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant Application -
Freight House Community Centre
City Centre - Fort Rouge Community
File PR-2.6(22)

1064 - 6. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Freight House Community Centre, for a 1991 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $25,000.00 for an outdoor pool expansion at 5 - 200 Isabel Street in the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community.

The City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $25,000.00. The total cost of the project is estimated at $100,000.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria for the reason that the project is utilizing other City funds (Winnipeg Core Area Initiative - $56,000.00).

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the City Centre-Fort Rouge Community Committee and recommends as follows:-

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $25,000.00 be approved for payment to the Freight House Community Centre, subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Community Incentive Grant Application -
Canford Sports Group - St. Boniface -
St. Vital Community - File PR-2.6(25)

1065 - 7. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Canford Sports Group, for a 1991 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $50,000.00 for the relocation of the Canford Sports Centre from Lagimodiere Boulevard to City land south of Dugald Road.

The St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $25,000.00. The total cost of the project is estimated at $1,070,000.00

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all the established criteria since the project is dependent upon additional funding in the amount of $300,000.00 from the City of Winnipeg.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee and recommends as follows:-

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $25,000.00 be approved for payment to the Canford Sports Group subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

The motion for the adoption of the clause was put.

The Deputy Speaker called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Councillors Boychuk, Demare, Diamant, Douglas, Duguid, Golden, Mitchelson, Murray, Prystanski, Selinger, Thomas, Timmers, Timm-Rudolph, Young, Yuen, Mayor Norrie and Councillor Wade. 17

Nay: Councillors Fleisher, Fraser and Reese. 3

and motion for the adoption of the clause was declared carried.

Community Incentive Grant Application - Normand Park Residents Association Inc. - St. Boniface - St. Vital Community
File PR-2.6(25)

1066 - 8. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Normand Park Residents Association Inc., for a 1991 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $38,000.00 for a riverbank restoration project in the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community.

The St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $15,000.00. The total cost of the project is estimated at $230,000.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all the established criteria since other City funds are being utilized in the amount of $140,000.00 from the Development Agreement between the City and the subdivision developer.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the St. Boniface-St. Vital Community Committee and recommends as follows:-

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $15,000.00 be approved for payment to the Normand Park Residents Association Inc., subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

Community Incentive Grant Application -
Western Canada Aviation Museum -
St. James - Assiniboia Community
File PR-2.6(26)

1067 - 9. Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has considered an application from the Western Canada Aviation Museum for a 1991 Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $62,500.00 for re-roofing of the Western Canada Aviation Museum at Hanger T-2, 958 Ferry Road in the St. James-Assiniboia Community.

The St. James-Assiniboia Community Committee has recommended funding in the amount of $62,500.00. The total cost of the project is estimated at $261,366.00.

An administrative assessment in relation to program eligibility and selection criteria under the Community Incentive Grant Program has determined that this project does not meet all of the established criteria. The applicant is not a recreation or sport organization and while the project provides a City-wide benefit, it presents little in "Community benefit".

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture concurs in the recommendation of the St. James-Assiniboia Community Committee and recommends as follows:-

1. That the criteria for the Community Incentive Grant program be waived in this instance.

2. That the amount of $62,500.00 be approved for payment to the Western Canada Aviation Museum subject to:-

   a) Confirmation of all funding sources;

   b) Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;

   c) The applicant entering into a formal agreement with The City of Winnipeg.

3. That the proper officers of the City do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

Formula for Equalization of Grants
to Community Centres File PR-2

1068 - 10. On April 17th, 1991, Council referred the following motion to your Committee namely:-

"WHEREAS community centres have a long and honourable history in the City of Winnipeg;

AND WHEREAS community centres provide a high level of recreational programming to the citizens of Winnipeg in a most cost-effective manner;

AND WHEREAS the current method of community centre funding is disjointed and provides a different level of support within each of the City's six Community Committee areas;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Committee on Protection Parks and Culture devise a formula for equalization of grants to community centres by Community Committee based on population, square footage of facilities and any other criteria deemed advisable by the Committee;

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that funding under said formula be within the current global funding total;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the formula be in place for inclusion in the 1992 Current Estimates."

Council on June 19th, 1991 granted your Committee a 30 day extension of time in which to report to Council.

On June 24th, 1991, your Committee heard Councillors O'Shaughnessy and Lorenc in support of the aforementioned motion.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture is of the view that the issue of inequities in the level of financial support between communities and inequities in the funding between community centres within the community should be addressed.

Your Committee has requested that the Administration undertake the development of a funding formula for grants to community centres which would be based upon criteria such as - population served by a centre; square footage of the facility; number of facilities; age and physical condition of the facilities; and social need, for consideration at Committee's September 30th, 1991 meeting.

Inasmuch as your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has referred this proposal to the Parks and Recreation Department for report, a further extension of 90 days is requested in which to report back to Council.

Your Executive Policy Committee recommends that the last paragraph of the above clause be deleted and the following substituted in its place:-

"Inasmuch as your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture has referred this proposal to the Parks and Recreation Department for report, a further final extension be granted to the meeting of Council to be held on September 25th, 1991, and in any event such funding formula be prepared in time for its application during the deliberations on the 1992 Current Estimates."

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

In amendment,

Moved by Mayor Norrie,
That the recommendation of Executive Policy Committee be adopted.

Carried.

The motion for the adoption of the clause, as amended, was put and declared carried.

Assets and Profits Seized under
the Narcotics Control Act -
Disbursement of Proceeds of Crime
File GF-2

1069 - 11. Council on October 10th, 1990, adopted the following motion, namely:-

"WHEREAS the majority of drugs and monies seized by police forces is done so under the Narcotics Control Act;

AND WHEREAS Bill C-61 allows police forces to seize assets and profits under the Narcotics Control Act, and such assets are the property of the Federal Government; and

AND WHEREAS local governments and police forces need a portion of this revenue for the provision of drug prevention, enforcement, treatment and education programs;

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the City of Winnipeg recommend to the Honourable Kim Campbell, Minister of Justice and Attorney General, Department of Justice and The Honourable Perrin Beatty, Minister of Health and Welfare Canada that all profits and assets seized under the Narcotics Control Act and subject to Bill C-61 be returned to the municipality in which the seizures were made, so that these funds can be redirected into drug education, prevention and treatment programs;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Province of Manitoba be requested to support the foregoing recommendation."

At its meeting held on January 28th, 1991, the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture considered communications (Appendices I and II) from the Honourable P. Beatty, Minister of National Health and Welfare, dated November 6th, 1990 and January 8th, 1991, referred by His Worship the Mayor with respect to assets and profits seized under the Narcotics Control Act.

Your Committee subsequently requested the Administration to provide clarification as to whether or not a provincial municipal agreement would be necessary for the transfer of any proceeds under the new legislation.

Bill C-61 was proclaimed by Parliament in January 1989. As a result of this legislation, new offenses of Possession Proceeds and Laundering Proceeds derived from crime were included in the Criminal Code of Canada, the Narcotic Control Act and the Food and Drug Act. With the inclusion of these sections, it has now become possible to seize an individual's liquid assets, real property and personal property if it can be shown that these had been acquired as a result of a criminal act. While conducting an investigation into these new offenses, the police force is responsible for the maintenance and/or the storage of all exhibits until such time that court proceedings are concluded.

Seizures under the Food and Drug Act and the Narcotic Control Act fall under the jurisdiction of the Federal Minister of National Health and Welfare. The Minister is authorized to make regulations governing the disposition of forfeited property. Currently all funds are deposited in the Consolidated Revenue Fund, and this is the extent of existing policy governing procedures for disbursement. The Law Department has been advised by the Federal Government that regulations concerning disposition procedures are in the very early stages of consideration and development.

In the latter part of 1989, the Police Department was in contact with the Asset Disposal Officer of the Bureau of Dangerous Drugs in Ottawa. An arrangement was made at that time, that should money spent by the Police Department be lost as a result of drug purchases, it would be refunded back. This would be done on a case to case basis with certain restrictions. The restrictions are as follows:

1. That there be a conviction;

2. That there is no restitution order to the accused;

3. That money applied for was as a result of a drug purchase;

4. That cash proceeds had to be seized in the case and was more than what was being requested to be refunded.

The Police Department has applied to the Bureau of Dangerous Drugs for refund of lost money in four cases. In two of these cases the Department has been refunded monies applied for $20.00 and $50.00, respectively. The other two cases are still pending.

Under the Criminal Code, it is the Province which is responsible for the disposition of proceeds obtained from successful Criminal Code prosecutions. On May 27th, 1991, the Province advised that to date they have not had a situation arise where there has been a forfeiture as a result of a Criminal Code prosecution. Accordingly, no policies or directives governing the disposal of proceeds of crime have been developed and it is probable there will be no such development until such time as the Province has adequate information concerning the number and types of cases that will be involved.

Report of the Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture, dated June 24th, 1991.

In 1990, the Police Department seized a total of $193,821.10 while conducting drug investigations. These monies were seized under authority of Section 10(1)(C) of The Narcotic Control Act. These monies were seized as corroborative evidence to the substantive charges of Sections 4(1) trafficking; and 4(2) possession for the purpose of trafficking of the Narcotic Control Act.

The Police Department in 1990 sent a total of $60,666.70 to the Bureau of Dangerous Drugs in Ottawa, which was forfeited at the conclusion of outstanding drug cases from the previous three years.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture is of the opinion that a change of policy is required to ensure that a greater portion of the assets seized as a result of municipal police investigations are disbursed back to the respective police force to offset investigational expenses incurred.

Your Committee on Protection, Parks and Culture therefore recommends:-

1. That the Federal Government be petitioned to change its policy regarding disbursement of assets arising from drug related investigations.

2. That a funding agreement be established with the Province of Manitoba with respect to assets seized as proceeds of crime.

3. That the proper officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Moved by Councillor Douglas,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

1070 - SEE OFFICIAL SET OF MINUTES FOR ATTACHMENT.