Minute No. 655
Report – Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works – October 2, 2012

Item No. 2 Policy for Addresses with Multiple Dwelling Units

COUNCIL DECISION:

Council concurred in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works and adopted the following:

1. That an extension of time of 60 days be granted to allow the Winnipeg Public Service to report back to the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works on the Council Motion of September 27, 2012.

2. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the intent of the foregoing.

Report – Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works – October 2, 2012

DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

Moved by Councillor Vandal,
That the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works be adopted by consent.

Carried

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On October 10, 2012, the Executive Policy Committee concurred in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works, and submitted the matter to Council.

STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On October 2, 2012, the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works recommended that an extension of time of 60 days be granted to allow the Winnipeg Public Service to report back to the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works on the Council Motion of September 27, 2012, and submitted the matter to the Executive Policy Committee and Council.

COUNCIL DECISION:

On September 27, 2012, Council ruled automatic referral of the following motion to the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works:

Moved by Councillor Vandal,
Seconded by His Worship Mayor Katz,

THAT the Winnipeg Public Service develop a "reasonable accommodation" policy for addresses with multiple dwelling units at the same address or for addresses where there is an incongruity between the number of living units on the assessment roll and the actual situation;

THAT under no circumstance will billing occur for a dwelling unit which does not exist or where services are not being delivered to that dwelling unit.