**Report - Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services - June 9, 2003**

**Minute No. 285**
**Item No. 3 Community Incentive Grant - Spence Neighbourhood Association Inc.**
**File PR-2.6 (32)**

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

The Executive Policy Committee concurred in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services and submits the following to Council:

1. That the following eligibility criteria for the Community Incentive Grant Program be waived in this instance, namely:

    A. The organization is to be a non-profit community recreation and sport organization or a non-profit organization offering recreational services.

    B. The project is to make a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community-at-large in terms of improved facilities.

    C. The project is to clearly articulate a plan of activities including projected work schedules, material, labour, equipment and other project requirements, and lead to the completion of a substantial physical product.

    D. The applicant is requesting sixty-three percent (63%) of the total project cost and therefore would not be contributing fifty percent (50%) of the capital costs from its own sources.

2. That a Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $5,000.00 (Daniel McIntyre Ward allocation), be approved to the Spence Neighbourhood Association Inc., to assist with the cost of the Spence Composter Project, subject to the following conditions:

    A. Confirmation of other funding sources; and

    B. Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the Director of Community Services; and

    C. The applicant entering into a formal agreement with the City of Winnipeg.

**Report - Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services - June 9, 2003**

3. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing, including the execution of any documents related thereto.

**Report - Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services - June 9, 2003**

DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

Moved by Councillor Gerbasi,

That the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services be concurred in and forwarded to Council.

Carried

Councillors Clement and Eadie asked to be recorded as having voted against the above motion in accordance with Rule 50.7 of the Procedure By-law.

STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On June 9, 2003, the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services concurred in the recommendation of the City Centre Community Committee and submitted its recommendation to Executive Policy Committee and Council.

COMMUNITY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On May 6, 2003, the City Centre Community Committee did not concur in the administrative recommendation and recommended that a Community Incentive Grant (Daniel McIntyre Ward allocation), be approved to the Spence Neighbourhood Association Inc., subject to terms and conditions, and submitted its recommendation to the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services.

# COMMUNITY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM
## APPLICATION EVALUATION (REVISED)

**Applicant:** Spence Neighbourhood Assoc. Inc. **Total Project Cost:** $7,935.00
**Project Description:** Spence Composter Project **Grant Requested:** **$5,000.00**
**Maximum Allowed:** $3,967.50

**Date of Application:** April 22, 2003 **% of Total Project Cost:** 63%

| **Funding Sources:** | **Amount** | **Confirmed** |
|---|---|---|
| Neighbourhoods Alive! | $1,600.00 | YES |
| Green Team Follow-up | $500.00 | YES |
| Volunteer Labour | $500.00 | YES |
| Sale of Composters | $335.00 | YES |
| **Total** | $2,935.00 | |

| | **Meets Program Eligibility and Selection Criteria** | **Yes** | **No** |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Organization is a non-profit community recreation and sport organization or is a non-profit organization offering recreational services. | | X |
| 2 | Makes a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community at large in terms of improved facilities. | | X |
| 3 | Does not compete with or duplicate other community facilities. | X | |
| 4 | Benefits the community at large and remains accessible to all members of the community. | X | |
| 5 | Includes confirmation of sufficient resources to maintain and operate completed projects. | | X |
| 6 | Clearly articulates a plan of activities including projected work schedules, material, labour, equipment and other project requirements. Project leads to the completion of a substantial physical product. | | X |
| 7 | Is feasible within the project management capabilities of the applicant. | X | |
| 8 | Total project funding is confirmed. | X | |
| 9 | Does not include any funds from the City. | | X |

**Criteria Exceptions:**

- The organization is not a non-profit community recreation and sport organization or a non-profit organization offering recreational services.
- The project does not make a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community at large in terms of improved facilities.
- The project does not lead to the completion of a substantial physical product.
- The applicant is requesting 63% of the total project cost from the City.

**Note:** The applicant is requesting funding for materials and labour costs associated with building composters.

**Department Financial Implications:**

**Departmental Recommendations:**

That this application be denied.

**Prepared by:** Community Services Department

**Date:** April 22, 2003