**Minute No. 564**
**Report - Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services - July 7, 2003**

**Item No. 5 Community Incentive Grant - Manitoba Printmakers' Association**
**File PR-2.6 (34)**

COUNCIL DECISION:

Council concurred in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services and adopted the following:

1. That the following eligibility criteria for the Community Incentive Grant Program be waived in this instance, namely:

   A. The organization is to be a non-profit community recreation and sport organization or a non-profit organization offering recreational services.

   B. The project is to make a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community-at-large in terms of improved facilities.

   C. The project is to benefit the community-at-large and remain accessible to all members of the community.

   D. The project is to clearly articulate a plan of activities including projected work schedules, material, labour, equipment and other project requirements, and lead to the completion of a substantial physical product.

2. That a Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $6,000.00 (Point Douglas Ward allocation), be approved to the Manitoba Printmakers' Association to assist with the classes and mentoring program, subject to the following conditions:

   A. Confirmation of other funding sources; and

   B. Approval of final plans and specifications for the project by the Director of Community Services; and

   C. The applicant entering into a formal agreement with the City of Winnipeg.

3. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

**Report - Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services - July 7, 2003**

DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

Moved by Councillor Gerbasi,

That the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services be adopted by consent.

Carried

(Councillors Clement and Eadie were recorded as having voted against this item)

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On July 16, 2003, the Executive Policy Committee concurred in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services and the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee and submitted the matter to Council.

STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On July 7, 2003, the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services concurred in the recommendation of the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee and submitted the matter to Executive Policy Committee and Council.

COMMUNITY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On June 10, 2003, the Lord Selkirk-West Kildonan Community Committee did not concur in the administrative recommendation and recommended that a Community Incentive Grant (Point Douglas Ward allocation), be approved to the Manitoba Printmakers' Association, subject to terms and conditions, and submitted its recommendation to the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services.

## COMMUNITY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM
## APPLICATION EVALUATION

**Applicant:** Manitoba Printmakers' Assoc. (3)
**Project Description:** Classes & Mentoring Program
**Date of Application:** May 7, 2003

**Total Project Cost:** $47,000.00
**Grant Requested:** **$12,000.00**
**Maximum Allowed:** $23,500.00
**% of Total Project Cost:** 25%

| **Funding Sources:** | **Amount** | **Confirmed** |
|---|---|---|
| Foundations | $27,000.00 | YES |
| Provincial | $8,000.00 | YES |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| **Total** | $35,000.00 | |

| | **Meets Program Eligibility and Selection Criteria** | **Yes** | **No** |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Organization is a non-profit community recreation and sport organization or is a non-profit organization offering recreational services. | | X |
| 2 | Makes a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community at large in terms of improved facilities. | | X |
| 3 | Does not compete with or duplicate other community facilities. | X | |
| 4 | Benefits the community at large and remains accessible to all members of the community. | | X |
| 5 | Includes confirmation of sufficient resources to maintain and operate completed projects. | X | |
| 6 | Clearly articulates a plan of activities including projected work schedules, material, labour, equipment and other project requirements. Project leads to the completion of a substantial physical product. | | X |
| 7 | Is feasible within the project management capabilities of the applicant. | X | |
| 8 | Total project funding is confirmed. | X | |
| 9 | Does not include any funds from the City. | X | |

**Criteria Exceptions:**

- The organization is not a non-profit community recreation and sport organization or a non-profit organization offering recreational services.
- The project does not make a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community at large in terms of improved facilities.
- The project does not benefit the community at large and remain accessible to all members of the community.

**NOTE:** The applicant is requesting funding for an educational program primarily to schools.
The applicant received funding previously for this project.

**Department Financial Implications:**

**Departmental Recommendations:**

That this application denied.

**Prepared by:** Community Services Department
**Date:** May 7, 2003