REPORT OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED MARCH 6, 2002

On motion of His Worship Mayor Murray, the Report of the Executive Policy Committee, dated March 6, 2002, was considered.

Moved by His Worship Mayor Murray,
That the Consent Agenda, Clause 1, be adopted.

Carried.

Sign Language Interpreter Services in the City of Winnipeg
File CR-3 (Vol. 5)
[c/r GL-5.7 (Vol. 7)]

302 - 1. Council, on May 24, 2000, adopted Clause 1 of Report "A" of the Executive Policy Committee dated May 10, 2000, approving the provision of sign language interpretation services in the City of Winnipeg through a Negotiated Purchase Service Agreement with Independent Referral Service.

On March 6, 2002, the Executive Policy Committee was advised by the Access Advisory Committee that the negotiated Purchase Service Agreement expired on December 31, 2001 and have recommended that the City of Winnipeg renew the service agreement with Independent Referral Service for the provision of sign language interpretation services for a two year term.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the Purchase Service Agreement with Independent Referral Service for the provision of sign language interpretation services be renewed for a two-year term to expire December 31, 2003.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing,

Adopted by consent.

REPORT OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED MARCH 13, 2002

On motion of His Worship Mayor Murray, the Report of the Executive Policy Committee, dated March 13, 2002, was considered clause by clause.

Moved by His Worship Mayor Murray,
That the Consent Agenda, Clauses 1,2,3 and 4, be adopted.

Carried.

Award of Contract for the Provision of Voice Mail System - RFP No. 912-2001 File GL-9 (Vol. 17)

303 - 1. The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that existing contracts for voice mail services were with AT&T Canada and expired on February 28, 2002. Corporate Information Technology and Materials Management issued a Request for Proposals for these services in November of 2001, which closed in December of 2001.

Final Receipt of offers took place on December 14, 2001. Five proposals, from eighteen months to five years proposed duration, were received for the provision of a voice mail system from four companies:

AT&T Canada
MTS Communications Inc. (two proposals)
Accord Communications
Norstan Canada Ltd.

The Offer received from AT&T Canada should be determined to be non-responsive because AT&T did not conform with a mandatory requirement listed in Clause 16.1 (a) (iii) as they did not sign the Offer, agreeing to be bound by the City's terms and conditions. The Offer received from Norstan Canada Ltd. should be determined to be non-responsive as well because Norstan included a statement limiting liability. These matters are significant and should not be waived.

A comparison of existing and recommended spending is outlined in Appendix A. All three proposals that were deemed responsive were thoroughly analyzed as outlined in Appendix B – Evaluation of Offers. The recommended offerer (MTS Communications Inc.) and terms (19 month contract to terminate at the same time as the current five year Centrex contract) are the lowest cost and highest value solution.

The lowest cost MTS solution (Trilogue) is a new and less proven offering than their Octel solution. In negotiations with MTS, allowances for potential problems with the Trilogue system were discussed and an agreement reached whereby the City would receive the older Octel solution at the same or less cost than the Trilogue solution if the Trilogue solution was found not satisfactory. The City will realize an overall increase in the cost of Voice Mail Services of approximately $360.00 per month over current rates. A Financial Impact Statement was prepared, dated February 12, 2002, a copy of which has been placed on file in the office of the City Clerk.

The Offers remain open to the end of April, allowing Council to consider the award before the bids expire. AT&T Canada, the current voice mail provider, will extend the contract at the same price until such time as a cut-over to the new system is complete.

The requirements for Bid and Performance Securities were waived for this purchase in accordance with the authority delegated to the Director of Purchasing by the Board of Commissioners on April 9, 1992, and February 9, 1995.

MTS Communications Inc. has demonstrated that they have the necessary qualifications and resources to perform the work of this contract.

Although the proposed voice mail system operates very much like the existing system, the conversion to the recommended system will involve minor operational changes for all users of voice mail.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 13, 2002

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the Offer from AT&T Canada be determined to be non-responsive.

II. That the Offer from Norstan Canada Inc. be determined to be non-responsive.

III. That the contract for voice mail system for the period be awarded to the offerer whose proposal has been determined to be the most advantageous to the City based upon the criteria for evaluation set out in the Request for Proposals as follows:

MTS Communications Inc. (Nineteen month contract)

Contract duration – May 1, 2002 to November 30, 2003

- Voice Mail System
  Total Conditional Contract Amount Before Taxes $317,414.00

IV. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing.

Copy of attachments referred to in the above clause are on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

Amendment to Conditions of Approval for Heritage Conservation Tax Credit - Ashdown Hardware Store, 476 Main Street File G-3.1.5 (Vol. 3)

304 - 2. The Executive Policy Committee, on March 13, 2002, passed the following motion, namely:

WHEREAS Council on July 18, 2001, amended and adopted Clause 10 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated July 11, 2001, approving a Heritage Conservation Tax Credit for the Ashdown Arts Exchange Consortium Limited to rehabilitate the former Ashdown Hardware Store located at 476 Main Street;

AND WHEREAS Council's approval of the Heritage Conservation Tax Credit was contingent on the brick work being restored to its original state on the south, north and west side of the building at 476 Main Street;

AND WHEREAS on February 28, 2002, the City of Winnipeg received a report from DAPR Preservation Architects recommending that on the basis of aesthetic and conservation reasons, the brick on the north wall of the building should be painted rather than restored for the following reasons:

- The original north elevation was intended to be a party wall, so the level of workmanship was inferior to the 3 street exposed elevations;
- Changes and repairs (maintenance) to this elevation differed dramatically (were inferior) than the street exposed elevations;
- Damaged brick was re-laid often not lining up with existing coursing; and
- Where the brick was damaged due to demolition of adjacent buildings, the wall was scarred and simply parged rather than restored;

AND WHEREAS the Administration concurs with the consultant's recommendation that a breathable paint coating on the north elevation of the building be approved as a recommended alternative to paint removal;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council approve the removal of the condition that the brick work on the north elevation be restored to its original state and recommend that a breathable paint coating on the north elevation of the building be approved as a recommended alternative to paint removal.

Adopted by consent.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 13, 2002

Proposed Settlement of Due Compensation - Vacant Farm Property Expropriated from Joseph R. Grandmont for Expansion of the Brady Road Landfill Site

File GL-4 (Vol. 6)

305 - 3. On December 8, 1988, Council authorized the City Solicitor to initiate the expropriation of land for the Brady Road Landfill.

On February 21, 1989, the City's former Committee on Finance and Administration, approved a formal Offer of Compensation in accordance with Section 16 of The Expropriation Act of Manitoba.

The amount approved for the property was $210,500.00 and represented only the estimated market value portion of the total due compensation, which may be taken by the owner as an advance, without prejudicing the right to due compensation.

By-law 5060/88 was adopted and the expropriation confirmed on May 17, 1989.

The expropriated owner took the "advance" in June, 1989, and, in August, gave up legal possession of the property.

Negotiations were carried out intermittently until early in 2001, when the City recommenced settlement discussions with the owners. Later in 2001 the owners hired a new lawyer and negotiations continued in earnest, resulting in the settlement proposal.

Particulars of the subject, Joseph R. Grandmont property, are as follows:

Address:	West side Waverley St. south of the Perimeter Hwy.
Legal Description:	Part OTM Lot 86 & 87, ST N
Former Owners:	J.R. Grandmont
Certificate of Title:	558704 & 732112
Zoning:	"A" Agricultural
Site Size:	161.905 Acres
Property description:	Vacant farm land

The owner rejected the City's formal, market value only, Offer of Compensation. Initially the owner's claim far exceeded the amount proposed. The claim was submitted to the Land Value Appraisal Commission (LVAC), but was not adequately supported by appraisal evidence. Further negotiations ensued and only after an exhaustive series of negotiations, was the proposed settlement reached. Particulars of the proposed settlement are as follows:

Market Value	$275,238.50
Less Advance	$210,500.00
Sub Total	$ 64,738.50
Interest Aug 1/89-Oct 31/01	$ 76,089.42
Sub Total	$140,827.92
Legal Fees - Murray & Kovnats	$ 2,600.00
Legal Fees from prior lawyer	$ 2,302.56
Total Payable	$145,730.48

The market value portion of this settlement proposal is based upon a rate of $1,700.00 per acre, identical to the City's settlement with Westendorf and Sons, owners of the acreage immediately south. The Executive Policy Committee approved that settlement proposal at its meeting of October 11, 2000.

As the owner has taken the advance monies on the due compensation, as provided for in The Expropriation Act, statutory interest has been calculated on the unpaid balance. The sum of the balances payable is $145,730.48, subject only to any potential adjustments for additional statutory interest or other relatively minor adjustments applicable to the period between reaching tentative settlement and final Council approval. For the level of complexity of this case, the amount of the legal costs is considered reasonable. There are adequate funds in the Water and Waste Department's capital accounts for the Brady Road Landfill Project to conclude this matter.

The Legal Services Division of the Corporate Services Department, the Solid Waste Division of the Water and Waste Department as well as the Real Estate Division of the Planning Property and Development Services Department are all of the opinion that the City's best interests are served by settling this case. The subject settlement, together with three others being considered for final approval by the Executive Policy Committee, is the last of the required settlements

that will complete the expropriation project for the Brady Road landfill.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 13, 2002

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the City Solicitor/Manager of Legal Services be authorized to settle the due compensation for the expropriation of the Joseph R. Grandmont property, as shown on Misc. Plan No. 11314/1 (Appendix "A"), in accordance with the terms as described and more particularly at the all-inclusive amount of $356,230.48.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing, including the execution of any documents related thereto.

Copy of attachments referred to in the above clause are on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

Nygard Expansion - Acquisition of City-Owned Parcel of Land Immediately South of 1340 Notre Dame Avenue
File FI-1.2 (Vol. 1)

306 - 4. The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that Nygard International contacted the City in 2001 and inquired about the possibility of acquiring the parcel of property in question, which has been declared surplus, so that it may expand its distribution capacity at 1340 Notre Dame Avenue. Nygard International indicated they would be interested in expanding their distribution facility by 80,000 to 100,000 square feet if they were to acquire the property.

Nygard is proposing to invest $3 to 4 million in this newly expanded facility while the City has been asked to provide $47,000.00 to $60,000.00 worth of property. The ratio of investment is, therefore, between 50 and 85 to one. In addition, between 100 and 200 new jobs will be created. The City's return on this investment via municipal and business taxes will exceed the land's value on an annual basis.

Supporting principles for the proposal are as follows:

- granting of this parcel of land is in line with other economic incentive precedents in that the value of the land is offset by business and municipal property taxes resulting from the facility to be built on it;

- the incentive provided reflects the strategic importance of the apparel industry to Winnipeg's economy and is commensurate with the guidelines outlined in the Council-adopted Homegrown Economic Development Strategy;

- upon construction of the project, Nygard International's municipal and business taxes will increase by approximately $89,300.00 to $111,000.00 annually.

The Administration has recommended that an agreement between the City of Winnipeg and Nygard International for purposes of supporting the expansion of their operations be finalized by the Chief Administrative Officer, subject to the following conditions:

a) That Nygard International establish and at all times maintain and operate the project in accordance with all laws, by-laws, directions, rules and regulations and obtain any and all necessary permits and licenses of any federal, municipal or provincial authority having jurisdiction as may be required to construct and operate the project;

b) That property taxes are paid in full when due for each taxation year;

c) That, should Nygard International not complete the project, the land in question be given back to the City of Winnipeg;

d) That Nygard International enter into negotiations with the City (if the City desires) with regard to a possible 5 metre by 5 metre cut at the south east corner of Spruce Street and Notre Dame Avenue;

e) That any additional costs incurred by the City with regard to the transfer of the parcel of land be the responsibility of the City of Winnipeg;

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 13, 2002

f) Any such other terms and conditions as deemed necessary by the City Solicitor/ Manager of Legal Services for the purposes of implementing the intent of Council.

The City of Winnipeg considers support to such a venture as a strategic, long-term economic development investment in Winnipeg's economy, particularly in the apparel industry, which is one of Winnipeg's key economic sectors. The project in question meets the necessary criteria as laid out in the City's Homegrown Economic Development Strategy.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That City Council approve the following recommendation as it pertains to a proposed agreement between the City of Winnipeg and Nygard International with regard to the latter's request for a parcel of City-owned land in order to expand it's distribution facility at 1340 Notre Dame Avenue:

A. That the parcels of City owned land identified as "Parcel D: Plan 5012 - Roll No. 122104580 and Parcel C: Plan 5012 – Roll No. 122105500" and located immediately south of 1340 Notre Dame Avenue be sold to Nygard International for the amount of $1.00.

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing.

Copy of attachment referred to in the above clause is on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Adopted by consent.

REPORT OF THE

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

DATED MARCH 20, 2002

On motion of His Worship Mayor Murray, the rule was suspended and the Report of the Executive Policy Committee, dated March 20, 2002, was considered clause by clause.

2002 School Tax Levies and Mill Rates
File FL-3 (Vol. 11)

307 - 1. The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that, in order to comply with Section 184 of The Public Schools Act, Council is required to fix and impose an Education Support Levy tax. Section 188 similarly requires the fixing and imposition of an Education Special Levy.

Schedules “A” to “G” are pertinent to the subject by-law and include information in comparison with that for prior years.

The amounts required to be raised by Council include the City's share of the Province's Education Support Program, and the additional requirements of the eleven School Divisions which serve Winnipeg. Such additional requirements ("special levies") represent portions of their costs which have been determined to be entirely the City's responsibility to collect, that is, those parts of a school division's expenditures which do not qualify for Provincial government assistance under its province-wide support Program.

Some of the more significant results of the provisions for provincial/municipal sharing of school costs in 2002 as they apply to Winnipeg are as follows:

1. The 2002 school levies in Winnipeg will total $414,139,947, which is $18,735,852 or 4.7% higher than in 2001, as outlined in Schedule “A”. School taxes now represent 52.8% of all property taxes collected in Winnipeg, compared to 51.4% in 2001 and 50.1% in 2000.

2. The 2002 Provincial Education Support Levy requirements total $124,744,894, a decrease of $2,567,295 or 2.0%, as outlined in Schedule “A”. The levy on Residential property is $53,150,639, representing a decrease of $7,180,818 or 11.9%. This decreased levy requirement corresponds to a 15.7% decrease in the Residential Education Support mill rate. The levy on Other (non-residential) property is $71,594,255, representing an increase of $4,613,523 or 6.9%. This increased levy requirement corresponds to a 4.5% decrease in the Other Education Support mill rate.

3. The 2002 Special Levy requirements for the City's eleven School Divisions total $289,395,053, an increase of $21,303,147 or 7.9%, and, range from a 1.1% decrease in the Interlake School Division Number 21 to a 11.5% increase in the St. James-Assiniboia School Division Number 2, as outlined in Schedule “A”.

   The corresponding Special Levy mill rate changes differ from the levy requirements due to increases/decreases in the assessment base for each school division. These mill rate changes range from a 2.5% decrease in the Transcona-Springfield School Division Number 12 to a 4.6% increase in the River East School Division Number 9.

4. Since 1995, the municipal/school ratio of the total mill rate (municipal and combined school and education support levy) has been steadily decreasing across all school divisions, as outlined in Schedules “E”, “F” and “G”.

   For 2002, the municipal/school ratio for Residential property range from a high of 54.98% in the St. James-Assiniboia School Division Number 2 to a low of 46.58% in Seven Oaks School Division Number 10. In 2001, Winnipeg School Division Number 1 and Seven Oaks School Division Number 10 had a higher combined education levy than the municipal levy. For 2002 the Fort Garry School Division Number 5 will also have a combined levy that is greater than the municipal levy. This is due primarily to the reduced assessment in that school division as a result of provincial changes to the classification and portioning of universities.

   For 2002, the municipal/school ratio ranges for Other property vary from a high of 46.13% in St. James-Assiniboia School Division Number 2 to a low of 40.06% in Seven Oaks School Division Number 10.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002

5. For comparison purposes, the 2002 municipal mill rate has decreased by 7.6% from 32.140 in 2001 to 29.686 in 2002.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That in compliance with the mandatory provisions of The Public Schools Act, Council pass the by-law required to fix and impose taxes sufficient to meet that portion of the cost of education required to be raised through levies on assessable property within the City of Winnipeg in 2002, as outlined in Schedule "A".

II. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing.

Moved by His Worship Mayor Murray,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

(See next page for attachment)

Schedule "A referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002

SCHEDULE "A" Page 1 of 1

Amounts to be Raised for School Purposes

PAYABLE TO THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS FINANCE BOARDS	2001	2002	Change Amount	Change %	MILL RATE CREATION BUDGETS	MILL RATE CREATION PORTIONED ASSESSMENTS	MILL RATES 2001	MILL RATES 2002	MILL RATES Change
Provincial Levy on Residential Property	60,331,457	53,150,639	(7,180,818)	-11.9%	53,150,639	7,970,360,457	07.913	06.669	-15.7%
Provincial Levy on Other Property	66,980,732	71,594,255	4,613,523	6.9%	71,594,255	4,203,753,787	17.834	17.031	-4.5%
	127,312,189	124,744,894	(2,567,295)	-2.0%	124,744,894	12,174,114,244			
PAYABLE TO SCHOOL DIVISIONS									
1 - Winnipeg	99,491,180	107,748,572	8,257,392	8.3%	107,748,572	3,959,721,582	27.243	27.211	-0.1%
2 - St. James_Assiniboia	23,247,532	25,930,063	2,682,531	11.5%	25,930,063	1,469,892,910	17.188	17.641	2.6%
3 - Assiniboine South	21,428,263	22,902,385	1,474,122	6.9%	22,902,385	1,004,086,625	22.337	22.809	2.1%
4 - St. Boniface	19,984,383	21,061,931	1,077,548	5.4%	21,061,931	952,611,085	22.251	22.110	-0.6%
5 - Fort Garry	24,842,481	26,600,023	1,757,542	7.1%	26,600,023	1,151,249,738	22.626	23.105	2.1%
6 - St Vital	22,093,122	23,933,389	1,840,267	8.3%	23,933,389	1,082,469,443	22.060	22.110	0.2%
9 - River East	21,534,364	23,996,262	2,461,898	11.4%	23,996,262	1,049,388,326	21.869	22.867	4.6%
10 - Seven Oaks	20,464,262	21,544,542	1,080,280	5.3%	21,544,542	786,901,729	26.788	27.379	2.2%
12 - Transcona _ Springfield	12,932,010	13,456,235	524,225	4.1%	13,456,235	637,788,457	21.640	21.098	-2.5%
14 - Seine River	2,064,802	2,212,252	147,450	7.1%	2,212,252	98,045,772	22.087	22.563	2.2%
21- Interlake	9,507	9,399	-108	-1.1%	9,399	468,347	19.965	20.068	0.5%
	268,091,906	289,395,053	21,303,147	7.9%	289,395,053	12,192,624,014			
Total to be Raised for School Purposes	395,404,095	414,139,947	18,735,852	4.7%					

Fin/tax
March 15/2002
ba
2002schm\school.xls

Schedule “B” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002

Schedule "B"
Page 1 of 1

2002 EDUCATION SUPPORT MILL RATE

	RESIDENTIAL				OTHER							
					----Statutory----		Institutional					
	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Total Residential	Pipeline	Railways	Other	Higher Education	Recreational	Other	Total Other	Total
(1) 2002 Assessment	14,960,204,059	1,811,813,819	939,882,850	17,711,900,728	12,068,000	87,806,450	95,964,010	314,720,550	36,731,550	6,070,866,890	6,618,157,450	24,330,058,178
(2) Percentage Share	84.46%	10.23%	5.31%	100.00%	0.18%	1.33%	1.45%	4.76%	0.56%	91.72%	100.00%	
(3) Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0		50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0		
(4) Portioned Assessment	6,732,094,615	815,316,584	422,949,258	7,970,360,457	6,034,000	21,951,615	62,376,612	163,654,686	3,673,155	3,946,063,719	4,203,753,787	12,174,114,244
Rate / Levy / % share based on 2002 Budget												
(5) Education Support Mill Rate	6.669	6.669	6.669		17.031	17.031	17.031	17.031	17.031	17.031		
(6) Education Support Levy	44,892,644	5,437,346	2,820,649	53,150,639	102,765	373,858	1,062,336	2,787,203	62,558	67,205,535	71,594,255	124,744,894
(7) Percentage Share	84.46%	10.23%	5.31%	100.00%	0.14%	0.52%	1.48%	3.89%	0.09%	93.88%	100.00%	
(8) 2001 Assessment	14,422,453,541	1,597,773,541	922,672,009	16,942,899,091	11,170,800	89,533,650	429,059,970	n/a	34,584,840	5,300,672,117	5,865,021,377	22,807,920,468
(9) Percentage Share	85.12%	9.43%	5.45%	100.00%	0.19%	1.53%	7.32%	n/a	0.59%	90.37%	100.00%	
(10) Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0		50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0		
(11) Portioned Assessment	6,490,106,324	718,998,395	415,204,519	7,624,309,238	5,585,400	22,383,419	278,888,986	n/a	3,458,484	3,445,437,067	3,755,753,356	11,380,062,594
Rate / Levy / % share based on 2001 Budget												
(12) Education Support Mill Rate	7.913	7.913	7.913		17.834	17.834	17.834	n/a	17.834	17.834		
(13) Education Support Levy	51,356,510	5,689,434	3,285,513	60,331,457	99,610	399,186	4,973,706	n/a	61,679	61,446,551	66,980,732	127,312,189
(14) Percentage Share	85.12%	9.43%	5.45%	100.00%	0.15%	0.60%	7.43%	n/a	0.09%	91.73%	100.00%	

Fin\tax
Mar.15/2002
sys/bn/2002schm/ESL.XLS

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002

"SCHOOL DIVISION 1"

WINNIPEG SCHOOL DIVISION NO. ONE

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 1

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	----STATUTORY---- Pipeline	Railways	Institutional Other	Higher Education	Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	3,368,935,220	708,222,369	276,565,600	4,038,850	0	26,953,500	30,591,510	99,715,650	0	2,955,411,878	7,470,434,577
Percentage Share	45.10%	9.48%	3.70%	0.05%	0.00%	0.36%	0.41%	1.34%	0.00%	39.56%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	1,516,023,257	318,700,285	124,455,058	1,050,101	0	6,738,377	19,884,485	51,852,138	0	1,921,017,881	3,959,721,582
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	27.211	27.211	27.211	27.211	27.211	27.211	27.211	27.211	27.211	27.211	
Special Levy	$41,252,509	$8,672,153	$3,386,547	$28,574	$0	$183,358	$541,077	$1,410,949	$0	$52,273,405	$107,748,572
Percentage Share	38.29%	8.05%	3.14%	0.03%	0.00%	0.17%	0.50%	1.31%	0.00%	48.51%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	3,346,811,001	644,502,621	272,801,499	3,949,700	0	27,904,060	133,790,170	n/a	0	2,520,102,404	6,949,861,455
Percentage Share	48.16%	9.27%	3.93%	0.06%	0.00%	0.40%	1.93%	n/a	0.00%	36.25%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	1,506,066,601	290,026,349	122,761,348	1,184,910	0	6,976,019	86,963,612	n/a	0	1,638,066,675	3,652,045,514
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	27.243	27.243	27.243	27.243	27.243	27.243	27.243	n/a	27.243	27.243	
Special Levy	$41,029,772	$7,901,188	$3,344,387	$32,281	$0	$190,048	$2,369,150	n/a	$0	$44,624,354	$99,491,180
Percentage Share	41.24%	7.94%	3.36%	0.03%	0.00%	0.19%	2.38%	n/a	0.00%	44.86%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

"SCHOOL DIVISION 2"

ST. JAMES-ASSN. SCHOOL DIVISION TWO

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 2

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	----STATUTORY---- Pipeline	Railways	Institutional Other	Higher Education	Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	1,564,637,577	235,899,150	104,097,750	1,877,210	1,549,000	5,499,600	47,534,600	0	11,089,000	889,481,731	2,861,665,618
Percentage Share	54.68%	8.24%	3.64%	0.07%	0.05%	0.19%	1.66%	0.00%	0.39%	31.08%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	704,086,993	106,154,635	46,844,275	488,075	774,500	1,374,900	30,897,490	0	1,108,900	578,163,142	1,469,892,910
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	17.641	17.641	17.641	17.641	17.641	17.641	17.641	17.641	17.641	17.641	
Special Levy	$12,420,799	$1,872,674	$826,380	$8,610	$13,663	$24,255	$545,063	$0	$19,562	$10,199,057	$25,930,063
Percentage Share	47.90%	7.22%	3.19%	0.03%	0.05%	0.09%	2.10%	0.00%	0.08%	39.34%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	1,488,731,252	198,511,340	103,138,940	1,854,560	1,434,000	5,563,020	55,647,400	n/a	10,356,900	780,011,810	2,645,249,222
Percentage Share	56.28%	7.50%	3.90%	0.07%	0.05%	0.21%	2.10%	n/a	0.39%	29.50%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	669,929,264	89,330,118	46,412,814	556,368	717,000	1,390,756	36,170,811	n/a	1,035,690	507,007,692	1,352,550,513
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	17.188	17.188	17.188	17.188	17.188	17.188	17.188	n/a	17.188	17.188	
Special Levy	$11,514,744	$1,535,406	$797,743	$9,563	$12,324	$23,904	$621,704	n/a	$17,801	$8,714,343	$23,247,532
Percentage Share	49.53%	6.60%	3.43%	0.04%	0.05%	0.10%	2.67%	n/a	0.08%	37.50%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

ASSIN. SOUTH SCHOOL DIVISION THREE

"SCHOOL DIVISION 3"

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 3

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

				----STATUTORY----			Institutional				
	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	Pipeline	Railways	Other	Higher Education	Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	1,714,565,330	44,498,050	186,396,200	13,393,420	282,000	9,946,300	2,108,850	0	5,014,000	185,611,510	2,161,815,660
Percentage Share	79.31%	2.06%	8.62%	0.62%	0.01%	0.46%	0.10%	0.00%	0.23%	8.59%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	771,554,465	20,024,145	83,878,512	3,482,289	141,000	2,486,575	1,370,753	0	501,400	120,647,486	1,004,086,625
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	22.809	22.809	22.809	22.809	22.809	22.809	22.809	22.809	22.809	22.809	
Special Levy	$17,598,386	$456,731	$1,913,185	$79,428	$3,216	$56,716	$31,266	$0	$11,436	$2,752,021	$22,902,385
Percentage Share	76.84%	1.99%	8.35%	0.35%	0.01%	0.25%	0.14%	0.00%	0.05%	12.02%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	1,658,323,311	38,330,680	179,941,240	13,142,555	261,000	9,842,030	6,051,040	n/a	4,402,000	159,882,070	2,070,175,926
Percentage Share	80.11%	1.85%	8.69%	0.63%	0.01%	0.48%	0.29%	n/a	0.21%	7.73%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	746,245,579	17,248,824	80,973,762	3,942,767	130,500	2,460,508	3,933,177	n/a	440,200	103,923,351	959,298,668
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	22.337	22.337	22.337	22.337	22.337	22.337	22.337	n/a	22.337	22.337	
Special Levy	$16,668,887	$385,287	$1,808,711	$88,070	$2,915	$54,960	$87,855	n/a	$9,833	$2,321,745	$21,428,263
Percentage Share	77.79%	1.80%	8.44%	0.41%	0.01%	0.26%	0.41%	n/a	0.05%	10.83%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

ST. BONIFACE SCHOOL DIVISION FOUR

"SCHOOL DIVISION 4"

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 4

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

					----STATUTORY----		Institutional	Higher Education			
	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	Pipeline	Railways	Other		Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	1,336,326,992	111,773,050	29,717,950	3,300,080	325,000	15,596,250	3,810,970	8,850,000	7,067,000	422,903,850	1,939,671,142
Percentage Share	68.89%	5.76%	1.53%	0.17%	0.02%	0.80%	0.20%	0.46%	0.36%	21.81%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	601,347,181	50,297,874	13,373,098	858,021	162,500	3,899,063	2,477,130	4,602,000	706,700	274,887,518	952,611,085
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	
Special Levy	$13,295,786	$1,112,086	$295,679	$18,971	$3,593	$86,208	$54,769	$101,750	$15,625	$6,077,464	$21,061,931
Percentage Share	63.13%	5.28%	1.40%	0.09%	0.02%	0.41%	0.26%	0.48%	0.07%	28.86%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	1,256,475,167	100,538,810	29,588,550	3,205,780	301,000	15,791,560	12,263,060	n/a	6,811,090	400,685,155	1,825,660,172
Percentage Share	68.82%	5.51%	1.62%	0.18%	0.02%	0.86%	0.67%	n/a	0.37%	21.95%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	565,413,867	45,242,466	13,314,870	961,734	150,500	3,947,891	7,970,989	n/a	681,109	260,445,372	898,128,798
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	22.251	22.251	22.251	22.251	22.251	22.251	22.251	n/a	22.251	22.251	
Special Levy	$12,581,024	$1,006,690	$296,269	$21,400	$3,349	$87,845	$177,362	n/a	$15,155	$5,795,289	$19,984,383
Percentage Share	62.95%	5.04%	1.48%	0.11%	0.02%	0.44%	0.89%	n/a	0.08%	28.99%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

"SCHOOL DIVISION 5"

FORT GARRY SCHOOL DIVISION FIVE

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 5

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

					----STATUTORY----			Institutional	Golf		
	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	Pipeline	Railways	Other	Higher Education	Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	1,343,583,610	192,936,600	87,958,000	7,715,900	278,000	5,316,600	4,597,830	206,154,900	5,572,000	470,790,070	2,324,903,510
Percentage Share	57.79%	8.30%	3.78%	0.33%	0.01%	0.23%	0.20%	8.87%	0.24%	20.25%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	604,612,649	86,821,539	39,581,376	2,006,134	139,000	1,329,150	2,988,590	107,200,548	557,200	306,013,552	1,151,249,738
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	23.105	23.105	23.105	23.105	23.105	23.105	23.105	23.105	23.105	23.105	
Special Levy	$13,969,575	$2,006,012	$914,528	$46,352	$3,212	$30,710	$69,051	$2,476,869	$12,874	$7,070,840	$26,600,023
Percentage Share	52.52%	7.54%	3.44%	0.17%	0.01%	0.12%	0.26%	9.31%	0.05%	26.58%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	1,271,457,950	166,129,500	85,333,850	7,570,250	256,000	5,473,260	214,704,800	n/a	5,262,000	413,494,867	2,169,682,477
Percentage Share	58.60%	7.66%	3.93%	0.35%	0.01%	0.25%	9.90%	n/a	0.24%	19.06%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	572,156,103	74,758,340	38,400,511	2,271,075	128,000	1,368,315	139,558,120	n/a	526,200	268,771,671	1,097,938,335
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	22.626	22.626	22.626	22.626	22.626	22.626	22.626	n/a	22.626	22.626	
Special Levy	$12,945,604	$1,691,482	$868,850	$51,385	$2,896	$30,959	$3,157,642	n/a	$11,906	$6,081,757	24,842,481
Percentage Share	52.11%	6.81%	3.50%	0.21%	0.01%	0.12%	12.71%	n/a	0.05%	24.48%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

ST. VITAL SCHOOL DIVISION SIX

"SCHOOL DIVISION 6"

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 6

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

					----STATUTORY----			Institutional Higher Education			
	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	Pipeline	Railways	Other		Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	1,671,462,040	169,678,100	125,966,500	15,192,840	375,000	2,623,500	2,498,800	0	1,502,000	293,389,440	2,282,688,220
Percentage Share	73.22%	7.43%	5.52%	0.67%	0.02%	0.11%	0.11%	0.00%	0.07%	12.85%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	752,157,958	76,355,163	56,685,245	3,950,139	187,500	655,875	1,624,220	0	150,200	190,703,143	1,082,469,443
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	22.11	
Special Levy	$16,630,212	$1,688,213	$1,253,311	$87,338	$4,146	$14,501	$35,912	$0	$3,321	$4,216,435	$23,933,389
Percentage Share	69.49%	7.05%	5.24%	0.36%	0.02%	0.06%	0.15%	0.00%	0.01%	17.62%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	1,573,262,930	145,362,620	121,799,940	16,552,800	347,000	2,677,500	2,208,050	n/a	1,459,000	255,243,020	2,118,912,860
Percentage Share	74.25%	6.86%	5.75%	0.78%	0.02%	0.13%	0.10%	n/a	0.07%	12.04%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	707,968,378	65,413,196	54,810,313	4,965,840	173,500	669,375	1,435,233	n/a	145,900	165,907,969	1,001,489,704
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	22.06	22.06	22.06	22.06	22.06	22.06	22.06	n/a	22.06	22.06	
Special Levy	$15,617,782	$1,443,015	$1,209,116	$109,546	$3,827	$14,766	$31,661	n/a	$3,219	$3,660,190	$22,093,122
Percentage Share	70.69%	6.53%	5.47%	0.50%	0.02%	0.07%	0.14%	n/a	0.01%	16.57%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

RIVER EAST SCHOOL DIVISION NINE

"SCHOOL DIVISION 9"

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 7

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

					----STATUTORY----			Institutional Higher Education			
	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	Pipeline	Railways	Other		Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	1,729,936,900	219,615,950	34,696,200	5,930,960	667,700	7,084,400	1,902,000	0	3,413,600	232,694,620	2,235,942,330
Percentage Share	77.37%	9.82%	1.55%	0.27%	0.03%	0.32%	0.09%	0.00%	0.15%	10.40%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	778,471,626	98,827,182	15,613,339	1,542,050	333,850	1,771,100	1,236,301	0	341,360	151,251,518	1,049,388,326
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	22.867	22.867	22.867	22.867	22.867	22.867	22.867	22.867	22.867	22.867	
Special Levy	$17,801,311	$2,259,881	$357,030	$35,262	$7,634	$40,500	$28,270	$0	$7,806	$3,458,568	$23,996,262
Percentage Share	74.18%	9.42%	1.49%	0.15%	0.03%	0.17%	0.12%	0.00%	0.03%	14.41%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	1,654,876,610	189,012,990	35,484,700	6,419,120	617,900	7,204,420	1,971,380	n/a	3,249,600	205,149,980	2,103,986,700
Percentage Share	78.65%	8.98%	1.69%	0.31%	0.03%	0.34%	0.09%	n/a	0.15%	9.76%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	744,694,502	85,055,851	15,968,166	1,925,736	308,950	1,801,105	1,281,397	n/a	324,960	133,347,496	984,708,163
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	21.869	21.869	21.869	21.869	21.869	21.869	21.869	n/a	21.869	21.869	
Special Levy	$16,285,724	$1,860,086	$349,208	$42,114	$6,756	$39,388	$28,023	n/a	$7,107	$2,915,958	$21,534,364
Percentage Share	75.63%	8.64%	1.62%	0.20%	0.03%	0.18%	0.13%	n/a	0.03%	13.54%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

SEVEN OAKS SCHOOL DIVISION TEN

"SCHOOL DIVISION 10"

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 8

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

					----STATUTORY----			Institutional Higher Education			
	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	Pipeline	Railways	Other		Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	1,271,713,190	111,774,600	57,365,550	7,725,020	0	2,783,100	1,936,850	0	691,950	206,900,120	1,660,890,380
Percentage Share	76.57%	6.73%	3.45%	0.46%	0.00%	0.17%	0.12%	0.00%	0.04%	12.46%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	572,270,987	50,298,577	25,814,655	2,008,505	0	695,775	1,258,953	0	69,195	134,485,082	786,901,729
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	27.379	27.379	27.379	27.379	27.379	27.379	27.379	27.379	27.379	27.379	
Special Levy	$15,668,207	$1,377,125	$706,779	$54,991	$0	$19,050	$34,469	$0	$1,894	$3,682,027	$21,544,542
Percentage Share	72.72%	6.39%	3.28%	0.26%	0.00%	0.09%	0.16%	0.00%	0.01%	17.09%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	1,260,510,470	99,595,530	58,424,550	7,603,340	0	2,866,100	1,826,000	n/a	751,950	186,686,550	1,618,264,490
Percentage Share	77.89%	6.15%	3.61%	0.47%	0.00%	0.18%	0.11%	n/a	0.05%	11.54%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	567,229,777	44,817,995	26,291,211	2,281,002	0	716,525	1,186,900	n/a	75,195	121,346,263	763,944,868
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	26.788	26.788	26.788	26.788	26.788	26.788	26.788	n/a	26.788	26.788	
Special Levy	$15,194,951	$1,200,584	$704,289	$61,103	$0	$19,194	$31,795	n/a	$2,014	$3,250,332	$20,464,262
Percentage Share	74.25%	5.87%	3.44%	0.30%	0.00%	0.09%	0.16%	n/a	0.01%	15.88%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

TRANSCONA - SPRINGFIELD SCHOOL DIVISION TWELVE

"SCHOOL DIVISION 12"

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 9

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

					----STATUTORY----			Institutional Higher Education			
	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	Pipeline	Railways	Other		Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	794,512,180	16,832,100	12,269,500	4,972,471	774,300	9,032,400	208,800	0	2,382,000	404,384,821	1,245,368,572
Percentage Share	63.80%	1.35%	0.99%	0.40%	0.06%	0.73%	0.02%	0.00%	0.19%	32.46%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	357,530,517	7,574,449	5,521,337	1,292,842	387,150	2,258,100	135,720	0	238,200	262,850,142	637,788,457
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	21.098	21.098	21.098	21.098	21.098	21.098	21.098	21.098	21.098	21.098	
Special Levy	$7,543,179	$159,806	$116,489	$27,276	$8,168	$47,641	$2,863	$0	$5,026	$5,545,787	$13,456,235
Percentage Share	56.06%	1.19%	0.87%	0.20%	0.06%	0.35%	0.02%	0.00%	0.04%	41.21%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	754,154,580	15,230,550	12,261,400	4,942,191	716,900	9,151,300	205,610	n/a	2,292,300	371,314,481	1,170,269,312
Percentage Share	64.44%	1.30%	1.05%	0.42%	0.06%	0.78%	0.02%	n/a	0.20%	31.73%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	339,369,586	6,853,748	5,517,678	1,482,657	358,450	2,287,825	133,647	n/a	229,230	241,354,419	597,587,240
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	21.64	21.64	21.64	21.64	21.64	21.64	21.64	n/a	21.64	21.64	
Special Levy	$7,343,958	$148,315	$119,403	$32,085	$7,757	$49,509	$2,892	n/a	$4,961	$5,223,130	$12,932,010
Percentage Share	56.79%	1.15%	0.92%	0.25%	0.06%	0.38%	0.02%	n/a	0.04%	40.39%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

SEINE RIVER SCHOOL DIVISION FOURTEEN

"SCHOOL DIVISION 14"

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 10

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	----STATUTORY---- Pipeline	Railways	Institutional Other	Higher Education	Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	164,396,670	583,850	24,849,600	6,389,520	7,817,000	2,118,100	749,900	0	0	9,285,250	216,189,890
Percentage Share	76.04%	0.27%	11.49%	2.96%	3.62%	0.98%	0.35%	0.00%	0.00%	4.29%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	73,978,524	262,735	11,182,363	1,661,275	3,908,500	529,525	487,435	0	0	6,035,415	98,045,772
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	22.563	22.563	22.563	22.563	22.563	22.563	22.563	22.563	22.563	22.563	
Special Levy	$1,669,177	$5,928	$252,308	$37,483	$88,187	$11,948	$10,998	$0	$0	$136,223	$2,212,252
Percentage Share	75.45%	0.27%	11.40%	1.69%	3.99%	0.54%	0.50%	0.00%	0.00%	6.16%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	157,721,170	558,900	23,897,340	6,149,090	7,237,000	2,181,600	368,610	n/a	0	8,088,180	206,201,890
Percentage Share	76.49%	0.27%	11.59%	2.98%	3.51%	1.06%	0.18%	n/a	0.00%	3.92%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	70,974,572	251,508	10,753,846	1,844,727	3,618,500	545,400	239,597	n/a	0	5,257,319	93,485,469
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	22.087	22.087	22.087	22.087	22.087	22.087	22.087	n/a	22.087	22.087	
Special Levy	$1,567,615	$5,555	$237,520	$40,744	$79,922	$12,046	$5,292	n/a	$0	$116,108	$2,064,802
Percentage Share	75.92%	0.27%	11.50%	1.97%	3.87%	0.58%	0.26%	n/a	0.00%	5.63%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

INTERLAKE SCHOOL DIVISION TWENTY-ONE

"SCHOOL DIVISION 21"

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 11

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

					----STATUTORY----			Institutional Higher Education			
	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	Pipeline	Railways	Other		Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	134,350	0	0	655,150	0	852,700	23,900	0	0	13,600	1,679,700
Percentage Share	8.00%	0.00%	0.00%	39.00%	0.00%	50.77%	1.42%	0.00%	0.00%	0.81%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	60,458	0	0	170,339	0	213,175	15,535	0	0	8,840	468,347
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	20.068	20.068	20.068	20.068	20.068	20.068	20.068	20.068	20.068	20.068	
Special Levy	$1,213	$0	$0	$3,418	$0	$4,278	$312	$0	$0	$178	$9,399
Percentage Share	12.90%	0.00%	0.00%	36.37%	0.00%	45.52%	3.32%	0.00%	0.00%	1.89%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	129,100	0	0	580,150	0	878,800	23,850	n/a	0	13,600	1,625,500
Percentage Share	7.94%	0.00%	0.00%	35.69%	0.00%	54.06%	1.47%	n/a	0.00%	0.84%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	30.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	58,095	0	0	174,045	0	219,700	15,503	n/a	0	8,840	476,183
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2001 budget											
Special Levy Mill Rate	19.965	19.965	19.965	19.965	19.965	19.965	19.965	n/a	19.965	19.965	
Special Levy	$1,160	$0	$0	$3,475	$0	$4,386	$310	n/a	$0	$176	$9,507
Percentage Share	12.20%	0.00%	0.00%	36.55%	0.00%	46.13%	3.26%	n/a	0.00%	1.86%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “C” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

2002 SPECIAL LEVY MILL RATE

"TOTAL SCHOOL DIVISIONS "

SCHEDULE "C"
SPECIAL LEVY : PAGE 12

BASED ON 2002 BUDGET REQUIREMENTS AND 2002 ASSESSMENTS

					----STATUTORY----			Institutional Higher Education			
	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Farm	Pipeline	Railways	Other		Recreational	Other	Total
2002 Assessment	14,960,204,059	1,811,813,819	939,882,850	71,191,421	12,068,000	87,806,450	95,964,010	314,720,550	36,731,550	6,070,866,890	24,401,249,599
Percentage Share	61.31%	7.43%	3.85%	0.29%	0.05%	0.36%	0.39%	1.29%	0.15%	24.88%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	52.0	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	6,732,094,615	815,316,584	422,949,258	18,509,770	6,034,000	21,951,615	62,376,612	163,654,686	3,673,155	3,946,063,719	12,192,624,014
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy	157,850,354	19,610,609	10,022,236	427,703	131,819	519,165	1,354,050	3,989,568	77,544	95,412,005	289,395,053
Percentage Share	54.54%	6.78%	3.46%	0.15%	0.05%	0.18%	0.47%	1.38%	0.03%	32.96%	100.00%
2001 Assessment	14,422,453,541	1,597,773,541	922,672,009	71,969,536	11,170,800	89,533,650	429,059,970	n/a	34,584,840	5,300,672,117	22,879,890,004
Percentage Share	63.04%	6.98%	4.03%	0.31%	0.05%	0.39%	1.88%	n/a	0.15%	23.17%	100.00%
Portions	45.0	45.0	45.0	26.0	50.0	25.0	65.0	n/a	10.0	65.0	
Portioned Assessment	6,490,106,324	718,998,395	415,204,519	21,590,861	5,585,400	22,383,419	278,888,986	n/a	3,458,484	3,445,437,067	11,401,653,455
Rate / Levy / % Share Based on 2002 budget											
Special Levy	150,751,221	17,177,608	9,735,496	491,766	119,746	527,005	6,513,686		71,996	82,703,382	268,091,906
Percentage Share	56.23%	6.41%	3.63%	0.18%	0.04%	0.20%	2.43%		0.03%	30.85%	100.00%

Fin/tax/ bn/2002schsp
March 15/2002

Schedule “D” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002

2002 MILL RATES

Schedule "D"
Page 1 of 1

MILL RATES	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Res-3 Sch-exmpt	Farm ESL- exmpt	Farm Sch-exmpt	Statutory Pipeline	Statutory Railways	Other	Institutional Higher Education	Municipal Only	Recreational	Other
2002 General Municipal	29.686	29.686	29.686	29.686	29.686	29.686	29.686	29.686	29.686	29.686	29.686	29.686	29.686
2002 Education Support	6.669	6.669	6.669	0.000	0.000	0.000	17.031	17.031	17.031	17.031	0.000	17.031	17.031
2002 Special Levy													
1 - Winnipeg	27.211	27.211	27.211	0.000	27.211	0.000	27.211	27.211	27.211	27.211	0.000	27.211	27.211
2 - St. James-Assiniboia	17.641	17.641	17.641	0.000	17.641	0.000	17.641	17.641	17.641	17.641	0.000	17.641	17.641
3 - Assiniboine South	22.809	22.809	22.809	0.000	22.809	0.000	22.809	22.809	22.809	22.809	0.000	22.809	22.809
4 - St. Boniface	22.110	22.110	22.110	0.000	22.110	0.000	22.110	22.110	22.110	22.110	0.000	22.110	22.110
5 - Fort Garry	23.105	23.105	23.105	0.000	23.105	0.000	23.105	23.105	23.105	23.105	0.000	23.105	23.105
6 - St Vital	22.110	22.110	22.110	0.000	22.110	0.000	22.110	22.110	22.110	22.110	0.000	22.110	22.110
9 - River East	22.867	22.867	22.867	0.000	22.867	0.000	22.867	22.867	22.867	22.867	0.000	22.867	22.867
10 - Seven Oaks	27.379	27.379	27.379	0.000	27.379	0.000	27.379	27.379	27.379	27.379	0.000	27.379	27.379
12 - Transcona - Springfield	21.098	21.098	21.098	0.000	21.098	0.000	21.098	21.098	21.098	21.098	0.000	21.098	21.098
14 - Seine River	22.563	22.563	22.563	0.000	22.563	0.000	22.563	22.563	22.563	22.563	0.000	22.563	22.563
21- Interlake	20.068	20.068	20.068	0.000	20.068	0.000	20.068	20.068	20.068	20.068	0.000	20.068	20.068

2001 MILL RATES

MILL RATES	Residential 1	Residential 2	Residential 3	Res-3 Sch-exmpt	Farm ESL- exmpt	Farm Sch-exmpt	Statutory Pipeline	Statutory Railways	Other	Institutional Higher Education	Municipal Only	Recreational	Other
2001 General Municipal	32.140	32.140	32.140	32.140	32.140	32.140	32.140	32.140	32.140	n/a	32.140	32.140	32.140
2001 Education Support	7.913	7.913	7.913	0.000	0.000	0.000	17.834	17.834	17.834	n/a	0.000	17.834	17.834
2001 Special Levy													
1 - Winnipeg	27.243	27.243	27.243	0.000	27.243	0.000	27.243	27.243	27.243	n/a	0.000	27.243	27.243
2 - St. James-Assiniboia	17.188	17.188	17.188	0.000	17.188	0.000	17.188	17.188	17.188	n/a	0.000	17.188	17.188
3 - Assiniboine South	22.337	22.337	22.337	0.000	22.337	0.000	22.337	22.337	22.337	n/a	0.000	22.337	22.337
4 - St. Boniface	22.251	22.251	22.251	0.000	22.251	0.000	22.251	22.251	22.251	n/a	0.000	22.251	22.251
5 - Fort Garry	22.626	22.626	22.626	0.000	22.626	0.000	22.626	22.626	22.626	n/a	0.000	22.626	22.626
6 - St Vital	22.060	22.060	22.060	0.000	22.060	0.000	22.060	22.060	22.060	n/a	0.000	22.060	22.060
8 - Norwood	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	n/a	0.000	0.000	0.000
9 - River East	21.869	21.869	21.869	0.000	21.869	0.000	21.869	21.869	21.869	n/a	0.000	21.869	21.869
10 - Seven Oaks	26.788	26.788	26.788	0.000	26.788	0.000	26.788	26.788	26.788	n/a	0.000	26.788	26.788
12 - Transcona - Springfield	21.640	21.640	21.640	0.000	21.640	0.000	21.640	21.640	21.640	n/a	0.000	21.640	21.640
14 - Seine River	22.087	22.087	22.087	0.000	22.087	0.000	22.087	22.087	22.087	n/a	0.000	22.087	22.087
21- Interlake	19.965	19.965	19.965	0.000	19.965	0.000	19.965	19.965	19.965	n/a	0.000	19.965	19.965

16-Mar-02
sys/bn/schmmrtes

Schedule “E” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002

Ratio of Municipal & Combined School Mill Rates for Residential Properties for the Period Covering 1995 to 2002 by School

School Division	1995		1996		1997		1998		1999		2000		2001		2002	
	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL
1 - Winnipeg	52.39%	47.61%	51.42%	48.58%	51.07%	48.93%	50.30%	49.70%	49.49%	50.51%	48.63%	51.37%	47.76%	52.24%	46.70%	53.30%
2 - St. James_Assiniboia	58.88	41.12	58.57	41.43	59.12	40.88	58.87	41.13	58.46	41.54	57.40	42.60	56.15	43.85	54.98	45.02
3 - Assiniboine South	55.93	44.07	55.26	44.74	55.26	44.74	55.23	44.77	53.86	46.14	52.76	47.24	51.51	48.49	50.18	49.82
4 - St. Boniface	57.41	42.59	56.32	43.68	55.71	44.29	55.56	44.44	54.72	45.28	53.30	46.70	51.59	48.41	50.78	49.22
5 - Fort Garry	56.29	43.71	55.61	44.39	55.73	44.27	55.56	44.44	54.19	45.81	53.21	46.79	51.28	48.72	49.93	50.07
6 - St Vital	56.86	43.14	56.09	43.91	55.87	44.13	56.12	43.88	54.98	45.02	53.22	46.78	51.74	48.26	50.78	49.22
9 - River East	56.76	43.24	55.64	44.36	55.47	44.53	55.90	44.10	55.03	44.97	53.82	46.18	51.90	48.10	50.13	49.87
10 - Seven Oaks	54.59	45.41	53.98	46.02	53.36	46.64	52.50	47.50	51.35	48.65	49.73	50.27	48.08	51.92	46.58	53.42
12 - Transcona _ Springfield	57.08	42.92	55.29	44.71	55.63	44.37	55.53	44.47	55.08	44.92	53.85	46.15	52.10	47.90	51.67	48.33
14 - Seine River	58.32	41.68	57.93	42.07	56.95	43.05	56.14	43.86	54.76	45.24	53.21	46.79	51.72	48.28	50.39	49.61
21- Interlake	61.94	38.06	59.02	40.98	58.43	41.57	57.56	42.44	56.90	43.10	55.25	44.75	53.55	46.45	52.61	47.39

fin/tax/sys/bn/2002sch/school/%change in mill rate 95 to 2002
March 15/2002

Schedule “E” referred to in Clause 1 of the Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002 (continued)

Ratio of Municipal & Combined School Mill Rates for Other Properties for the Period Covering 1995 to 2002 by School Division

School Division	1995		1996		1997		1998		1999		2000		2001		2002	
	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL	Municipal	School & ESL
1 - Winnipeg	44.78%	55.22%	44.19%	55.81%	44.20%	55.80%	43.70%	56.30%	43.17%	56.83%	42.48%	57.52%	41.62%	58.38%	40.16%	59.84%
2 - St. James_Assiniboia	49.44	50.56	49.36	50.64	50.11	49.89	50.02	49.98	49.85	50.15	49.02	50.98	47.85	52.15	46.13	53.87
3 - Assiniboine South	47.34	52.66	46.99	53.01	47.31	52.69	47.37	52.63	46.47	53.53	45.60	54.40	44.45	55.55	42.70	57.30
4 - St. Boniface	48.39	51.61	47.76	52.24	47.63	52.37	47.61	52.39	47.11	52.89	46.00	54.00	44.50	55.50	43.13	56.87
5 - Fort Garry	47.60	52.40	47.25	52.75	47.65	52.35	47.61	52.39	46.71	53.29	45.94	54.06	44.27	55.73	42.52	57.48
6 - St Vital	48.00	52.00	47.59	52.41	47.76	52.24	48.03	51.97	47.30	52.70	45.94	54.06	44.62	55.38	43.13	56.87
9 - River East	47.93	52.07	47.27	52.73	47.46	52.54	47.86	52.14	47.34	52.66	46.39	53.61	44.74	55.26	42.66	57.34
10 - Seven Oaks	46.38	53.62	46.07	53.93	45.91	54.09	45.35	54.65	44.59	55.41	43.31	56.69	41.87	58.13	40.06	59.94
12 - Transcona _ Springfield	48.16	51.84	47.01	52.99	47.58	52.42	47.59	52.41	47.37	52.63	46.41	53.59	44.88	55.12	43.77	56.23
14 - Seine River	49.04	50.96	48.91	51.09	48.54	51.46	48.04	51.96	47.13	52.87	45.94	54.06	44.60	55.40	42.85	57.15
21- Interlake	51.57	48.43	49.68	50.32	49.61	50.39	49.08	50.92	48.71	51.29	47.44	52.56	45.95	54.05	44.45	55.55

fin/tax/sys/bn/2002sch/school/%change in mill rate 95 to 2002
March 15/2002

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002

Business Improvement Zones - Proposed Program, Zone Levy and Budget Estimates - 2002
Files FE (2002) (Vol. 1) and FL-7 (Vol. 5)

308 - 2. The Executive Policy Committee has been advised that The City of Winnipeg Act S.M. 1989-90, c.10 Subsection 200(8) and Subsection 200(6) state the following:

Approval of estimates

200(8) Subject to Subsection (6), Council may pass a by-law approving all or part of the Board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates and, upon that approval, may direct the payment to the Board or on behalf of the Board of an amount not exceeding the amount approved.

Notice of Objection.

200(6) The board's proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates shall not be forwarded to Council if the Committee of Council receives written notice of objection on or before the date specified under subsection 200(5)(b) from at least 1/3 of the businesses in the zone that represent at least 1/3 of the total business assessment in the zone.

The details and relevant dates in the annual budget process for the sixteen established Business Improvement Zones are outlined in Schedule "B". With the exception of the Grosvenor Square Business Improvement Zone, the processes followed by the remaining 15 Business Improvement Zones fully comply with the requirements of The City of Winnipeg Act and the respective By-laws of each Zone. Moreover, Council, on January 30 and February 27, 2002, adopted recommendations from the Executive Policy Committee for each Zone's proposed 2002 program, zone levy and budget estimates.

The Grosvenor Square Business Improvement Zone, in a letter dated November 6, 2001, notified the Executive Policy Committee that the Zone would not be submitting a 2002 Program and Budget for approval. They further advised that the 2002 Program and Budget was defeated by the general Grosvenor Square Business Improvement Zone membership at their Annual General Meeting on October 25, 2001.

Schedule "C" illustrates the 2001 to 2002 comparisons of the budget, zone levy, annual rental value and zone levy rates for each of the Business Improvement Zones.

As 15 Business Improvement Zones have complied with both the legislation and their individual by-laws governing the budget processes, Council is now required to adopt a by-law approving all or part of their proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates. In addition to the individual by-law for each zone, an encompassing by-law is required. The total number of by-laws requiring approval is, therefore, 16.

The Executive Policy Committee recommends:

I. That the 16 by-laws approving the programs, zone levies and budget estimates for each Business Improvement Zone be adopted and that Council impose the 2002 Zone levy for each of the Zones.

II. That the zone levy rates, as outlined on Schedule "A", be applied to the annual rental value of individual properties in each listed zone.

III. That Council direct the City Treasurer to make payment to the Board in each zone in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) 75% of the approved budget on June 30, 2002;
(b) 15% of the approved budget on August 31, 2002;
(c) 10% of the approved budget on October 31, 2002.

IV. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to effect the intent of the foregoing.

Copy of attachments referred to in the above clause are on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Report of the Executive Policy Committee dated March 20, 2002

Moved by His Worship Mayor Murray,
Adoption of the clause.

Carried.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

CONSIDERATION OF BY-LAWS

309 - On motion of His Worship Mayor Murray, the following by-laws were read a first, second and third time, the rule being suspended for the third reading of each by-law, and were passed and ordered to be signed and sealed, namely:

By-law No. 7985/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Downtown Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002.
File FL-7.4 (Vol. 8)

By-law No. 7986/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Exchange District Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.1 (Vol. 5)

By-law No. 7987/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Osborne Village Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.3 (Vol. 5)

By-law No. 7988/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Corydon Avenue Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.2 (Vol. 5)

By-law No. 7989/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the West End Winnipeg Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002.
File FL-7.7 (Vol. 4)

By-law No. 7990/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Norwood Grove Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.6 (Vol. 3)

By-law No. 7991/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the West Broadway – South Sherbrook Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002.
File FL-7.8 (Vol. 3)

By-law No. 7992/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Selkirk Avenue Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.5 (Vol. 3)

By-law No. 7993/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Osborne South Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.9 (Vol. 3)

By-law No. 7994/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Mosaic Market Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.10 (Vol. 3)

By-law No. 7995/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Academy Road Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.11 (Vol. 2)

By-law No. 7996/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Old St. Vital Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.13 (Vol. 2)

By-law No. 7997/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the Transcona Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.12 (Vol. 2)

By-law No. 7998/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the St. James Village Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002.
File FL-7.14 (Vol. 2)

Executive Policy Committee – Consideration of By-laws

By-law No. 7999/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to approve the proposed program, zone levy and budget estimates of the ZAC Quartier Francais – French Quarter Business Improvement Zone for the year 2002. File FL-7.17 (Vol. 2)

By-law No. 8009/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to levy a rate upon the assessed value of all the real property liable to taxation in the City to raise certain sums required for the 2002 annual estimates. Files FE(2002) (Vol. 1) and FL-2 (Vol. 15)

On motion of His Worship Mayor Murray, the rule was suspended and the following by-laws were read a first, second and third time, the rule being suspended for the third reading of each by-law, and were passed and ordered to be signed and sealed, namely:

By-law No. 8000/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to levy the 2002 Business Improvement Zone Levy for each of the Business Improvement Zones located in the City. File FL-7.5 (Vol. 5)

By-law No. 8010/2002, a by-law of The City of Winnipeg to fix and impose taxes to raise the amounts required in the year 2002 by The Public Schools Finance Board of the Province of Manitoba and by certain School Divisions for school purposes. File FL-3 (Vol. 11)

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE

QUESTION PERIOD

310 - Councillor Vandal requested an update on the future of Motor Coach Industries.

His Worship Mayor Murray advised that a letter of intent was tabled this morning which clarifies that it is not to reduce the hours and commitment to full-time experience workers there, but to have flexibility and not reduce the workforce to part-time status. He further advised that the Union has announced after a long discussion that they will be recommending a re-vote that will be supervised by the Labour Board.