STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT

QUESTION PERIOD

Minute No. 42
Councillor Steek inquired about the status of the report regarding the operations of the Planning, Property and Development Department, to which Councillor Vandal responded that he would investigate the matter and respond to the inquiry.

Councillor O'Shaughnessy questioned why Item 1 of the Report of the Standing Policy Committee on Property and Development, dated July 10, 2003, "Historical Building Listing - Cadomin Building, 280 Main Street" was referred back to the Standing Policy Committee on Property and Development.

Councillor Vandal responded that he followed the advice of Legal Services and stated the item would be discussed at the next meeting of the Standing Policy Committee on Property and Development.

Councillor Clement questioned why the aforementioned item was going back to the Standing Policy Committee on Property and Development instead of the Executive Policy Committee.

Councillor Vandal replied that he would prefer to have a thorough discussion on the issue back at the Standing Policy Committee level with city planners and legal counsel present.

Councillor Steek questioned if City Policy dictates that the Administration must bring all offers forward regardless of whether or not they were supportive of the offer, to which Councillor Vandal replied that he would like to get some legal counsel on the matter before responding with an unequivocal answer.

Councillor Steek asked the Chairperson to obtain from the administration and Legal Services a copy of the definitive policy for handling unsolicited offers, to which Councillor Vandal confirmed that he would speak with the Chief Administrative Officer and the Director of Planning, Property and Development.

Councillor Steek questioned if there should be a definitive policy relative to a reasonable timeframe to respond to an offer, to which Councillor Vandal replied in the affirmative.