

COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG

Thursday, December 17, 2020 (Un-audited)

The following text file was produced in real-time and may contain inaccuracies. A complete and corrected Hansard document for this meeting is being produced and will replace this document when completed.

To verify any parts of this document in the meantime, please visit www.winnipeg.ca/clkdms to listen to a complete Electronic Hansard of this meeting.

MADAM SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING, MR. CLERK. DO WE HAVE A QUORUM?

CITY CLERK: YES, MADAM SPEAKER, WE HAVE QUORUM.

MADAM SPEAKER: I CALL THIS MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL FOR DECEMBER 17, 2020 TO ORDER AND ACKNOWLEDGE THAT WE ARE ON TREATY 1 LAND AND THE TRADITIONAL HOME LAND OF THE MÉTIS NATION. WE WILL NOW HAVE THE OPENING PRAYER. WOULD ALL THOSE PRESENT ON THE FLOOR AND IN THE GALLERY PLEASE RISE IF YOU ARE ABLE. AND WE WILL HEAR FROM COUNCILLOR ALLARD WHO IS REMOTE. THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I AM JUST TRYING TO FIND MY REFERENCE. ONE SECOND. MY APOLOGIES TO COUNCIL. I WAS HAVING TROUBLE FINDING THE COUNCIL LINK. ONE SECOND. OKAY. AS WE GATHER HERE TODAY AS MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL, WE SEEK TO BE EVER MINDFUL OF OPPORTUNITIES TO RENDER OUR SERVICE TO FELLOW CITIZENS IN OUR COMMUNITY, KEEPING IN MIND ALWAYS THE ENDURING VALUES OF LIFE AND EXERTING OUR EFFORTS IN THOSE AREAS AND ON THOSE THINGS UPON WHICH FUTURE GENERATIONS CAN BUILD WITH CONFIDENCE. WE RECOGNIZE THAT WE ARE MEETING ON TREATY 1 LAND, THE TRADITIONAL HOME LAND OF THE MÉTIS NATION. LET US CONTINUE TO STRIVE TO MAKE A BETTER WORLD. (speaking French) WITH THAT, THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBERS, FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ALLARD. ROLL CALL, MADAM CLERK.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN. COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS AND COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MADAM CLERK. WE'LL NOW MOVE INTO MORNING ANNOUNCEMENTS. MR. MAYOR, YOU HAVE THE FLOOR

MAYOR BOWMAN: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING TO YOU AND TO MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES AND ALL THOSE THAT ARE WATCHING THESE PROCEEDINGS TODAY. 2020

HAS BEEN ONE OF THE CRUELEST AND MOST DIFFICULT YEARS FOR OUR COMMUNITY AND CERTAINLY FOR OUR COUNTRY. AS LEADERS WE HAVE BEEN TRYING OUR BEST TO NAVIGATE, TO LEAD THROUGH THE GLOBAL PANDEMIC AND WE HAVE BEEN OVERWHELMED AT TIMES WITH THE SUFFERING, BUT ALSO HAVE WITNESSED COMMUNITY HERES DEVELOP RIGHT BEFORE OUR EYES, GIVING US ALL HOPE. IT'S BEEN AN ABSOLUTELY HEART-WARMING PROCESS TO SEE THE EXTRAORDINARY EFFORTS PUT IN BY MEMBERS OF OUR COMMUNITY WHO CONTINUE TO LOOK OUT FOR ONE ANOTHER. AND AS WE APPROACH THE END OF 2020, WE FINALLY HAVE BEEN TREATED TO A GLIMMER OF HOPE FOR WHAT 2021 MIGHT HOLD FOR US AND OUR COMMUNITY. THAT GLIMMER OF HOPE CAME THROUGHOUT FIRST WEEK OF THE COVID-19 VACCINATION IN MANITOBA THIS WEEK. WE HAVE A LONG WAY TO GO BEFORE WE SEE -- WE ALL SEE THOSE VACCINATIONS BUT IT'S PROOF THAT THE END IS IN FACT ON THE HORIZON, MADAM SPEAKER. I WAS VERY PLEASED THIS WEEK TO ANNOUNCE THE BAY DOWNTOWN ADVISORY COMMITTEE WHICH WILL ADVISE ON OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE FUTURE USE OF HUDSON BAY COMPANY'S LANDMARK DOWNTOWN LOCATION. AND I THANK ALL THE MEMBERS INCLUDING COUNCILLOR ROLLINS AND ALL THE COMMUNITY LEADER WHOSE AGREED TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS EXERCISE AND I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO HEARING BACK FROM THEM IN DUE COURSE. YOU HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO VIRTUALLY ATTEND THE FINAL UNITED WAY CABINET MEETING, MADAM SPEAKER, FOR THE YEAR TO THANK INTER CREDIBLE VOLUNTEERS WHO ARE WORKING SO HARD TO GET THROUGH THEIR HOME STRETCH TO MEETING THEIR GOAL. I WANT TO THANK ALL OF THEM FOR THEIR EFFORTS TODAY. ALSO HAD THE OPPORTUNITY AS WITH MOST OF THE MEETINGS TO VIRTUALLY MEET WITH MY YOUTH ADVISORY COUNCIL, ALWAYS INCREDIBLY ENCOURAGING TO SEE THE STRENGTH AND THE LEADERSHIP SKILLS OF OUR YOUTH IN OUR COMMUNITY. MADAM SPEAKER, THE CITY OF WINNIPEG LOWERED OUR FLAG IN FRONT OF CITY HALL FOR THE NATIONAL DAY OF REMEMBRANCE AND ACTION ON VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN, SOMETHING THAT ISN'T JUST A ONE DAY EFFORT BUT IN FACT, IS THE REASON BEHIND MANY OF OUR ACTIONS RIGHT NOW, THROUGHOUT THE YEAR. AND DREW AWARENESS FOR VARIOUS DAYS INCLUDING WORLD AIDS DAY INTERNATIONAL DAY OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES AND INTERNATIONAL VOLUNTEER DAY. IN CLOSING, I WANT TO WISH EVERYBODY A JOYUS SEASON, IF YOU HAVE BEEN CELEBRATING HANUKKAH, CHRISTMAS, OUR COMMUNITY HAS GONE THROUGH SO MUCH THIS YEAR, I'M REALLY PROUD OF OUR COMMUNITY HAS STUCK TOGETHER. THROUGH MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE AND THOSE ON THE FRONT LINES AND TO ALL OF OUR GREAT WINNIPEGERS I WISH YOU PEACE, COMFORT AND HOPE IN A TIME AHEAD AND MY HOPE IS THAT WE SHALL BE REMINDED OF THE BLESSING WE HAVE EACH AND EVERY DAY. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. COUNCILLOR NASON, YOUR OPPORTUNITY FOR MORNING ANNOUNCEMENT

COUNCILLOR NASON: THANK YOU. I RISE TO THANK ALL THE FRONT-LINE WORKERS

FOR THEIR SERVICE TO OUR COMMUNITY DURING THIS HISTORIC GLOBAL PANDEMIC. NEWS OF AN IMPROVED VACCINE HAS BROUGHT NEW FOUND OPTIMISM TO OUR CITY. FROM OUR HEALTH CARE WORKERS TO GROCERY WORKERS TO RETAIL WORKERS TO FACTORY WORKERS TO TRANSIT WORKERS, TAXI DRIVERS, TRUCK DRIVERS, RAIL WORKERS, AND FARMERS, AND ALL THOSE THAT HAVE HELPED ENSURE OUR SUPPLY CHAIN OF FOOD AND GOODS REMAIN INTACT. FARMERS SPECIFICALLY HELP TO FEED OUR CITIES. HERE IN CANADA AND AROUND THE WORLD. THAT IS WHY I WAS DISTURBED TO LEARN THAT MANY OF MY -- FROM MANY OF MY CONSTITUENTS THAT ARE FROM THE INDIES SPOREA TELL ME OF PEACEFUL PROTESTING FARMERS IN INDIA WHO WERE BEING MET WITH TEAR GAS AND WATER CANONS BY STATE AUTHORITIES AS THEY PEACEFULLY MARCH TO THE CAPITAL OF NEW DELHI TO PROTEST NEW FARMING LEGISLATION. FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND FREEDOM OF PEACEFUL ASSEMBLY ARE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS IN ANY DEMOCRACY. ON BEHALF OF THE RESIDENTS OF TRANSCONA AND I HOPE I HAVE THE SUPPORT OF MY COLLEAGUE HERE IS AT CITY HALL, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT WE STAND UNITED WITH THE FARMERS IN INDIA AND THEIR RIGHT TO PEACEFULLY PROTEST. BECAUSE AS WE KNOW IF THERE ARE NO FARMERS, THERE ARE -- THERE IS NO FOOD. LASTLY, I WANT TO WISH TRANSCONA, INDEED EVERYONE, A MERRY CHRISTMAS, HAPPY HANUKKAH AND ALL THE BEST IN THE NEW YEAR. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR NASON. COUNCILLOR SANTOS.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: THANK YOU. I RISE TO SPEAK ABOUT ONE OF OUR STAFF MEMBERS FROM THE CITY CLERKS DEPARTMENT, MS. KIRSTIE TAN. HER LAST DAY AT CITY HALL IS TOMORROW AND I WANTED TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY ON BEHALF OF CITY COUNCIL AND THE CLERKS DEPARTMENT TO THANK HER FOR HER YEARS OF SERVICE. SHE SERVED AT CITY HALL SINCE 2015 AND HAS SEEN ONE CIVIC ELECTION, 3 BYELECTIONS, 56 CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS, WELCOMED 4 NEW COUNCILLORS AND MANY MORE EXECUTIVE ASSISTANTS THAN ANYONE CAN COUNT THROUGHOUT HER YEARS. I THINK I CAN SPEAK ON BEHALF OF ALL OF COUNCILLORS THAT WE HAVE ALL EXPERIENCED A FEW TIMES WHEN WE WOULD BE -- WHEN WE WOULD BE SHORT STAFFED DURING A PERIOD OF TIME AND MS. TAN WAS NOT REQUIRED TO ASSIST BUT RATHER, WILLINGLY AND GENEROUSLY SHARED HER PROFESSIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE AND ORGANIZATIONAL SKILLS TO ASSIST WITH ALL OF OUR COUNCIL DUTIES. SHE IS AN OUTSTANDING EMPLOYEE WHO CONSISTENTLY DISPLAYED A POSITIVE ATTITUDE, A COMMITMENT TO PROFESSIONAL GROWTH AND SHE PERFORMED HER DUTIES WITH EXCELLENCE. WHILE WE'RE ALL PERSONALLY SADDENED SHE IS SEEKING DIFFERENT EMPLOYMENT WE ARE VERY CONFIDENT THAT HER NEW EMPLOYMENT WITH THE

PROVINCE WILL GREATLY BENEFIT FROM MS. TAN'S TRUE PROFESSIONALISM. ADMINISTRATIVE SKILLS AND WILL BE AN ASSET TO ANY WORK ENVIRONMENT. I KNOW SHE'LL BE MISSED BY MANY OF US HERE AND SHE WAS A VERY INTEGRAL PART OF OUR DAYS. CONGRATULATIONS, MS. TAN

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR SANTOS FOR THOSE LOVELY REMARKS. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER, DO YOU -- WOULD YOU MOVE THE MINUTES FROM THE MEETINGS HELD NOVEMBER 26, 2020, BE TAKEN AS READ AND CONFIRMED

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: SO MOVED

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR - CONTRARY - CARRIED. WE'LL NOW MOVE INTO DELEGATIONS WHICH WILL ALL BE APPEARING VIA ZOOM TODAY. FIRST UP IS MR. SEAN HOGAN. HE IS THE INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR BUILD INC. WHO IS APPEARING BEFORE COUNCIL IN SUPPORT OF ITEM 12 OF THE REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE DATED DECEMBER 9, 2020 REGARDING SOCIAL AND SUSTAINABLE PROCUREMENT PRACTICES. WELCOME. YOU HAVE 10 MINUTES ON THE CLOCK THIS MORNING

SEAN HOGAN: THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. HOGAN, JUST IF YOU CAN PAUSE, WE CAN'T HEAR YOU.

SEAN HOGAN: HOW ABOUT NOW, CAN EVERYBODY HEAR ME?

MADAM SPEAKER: YES.

SEAN HOGAN: HELLO CITY COUNCIL. I'M SEAN HOGAN THE INTERM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF BUILD INC. BUILD INC. IS A NONPROFIT SOCIAL ENTERPRISE CONTRACTOR FOR WINNIPEG (INDISCERNIBLE) 2006 AND BUILD SPECIALIZES IN HIRING AND TRAINING INDIVIDUALS WITH MULTIPLE BARRIERS AND PREPARE THEM FOR LONG-TERM MEANINGFUL CAREERS IN THE TRADE. IN THE PAST 14 YEARS BUILD HAS PRIMARILY EXISTED BECAUSE A POLICY HAS BEEN -- WAS IMPLEMENTED PROFESSIONALLY, IT WAS IMPLEMENTED WITH THE INTENT IN NOT ONLY GETTING THE WORK THAT NEEDED TO GET DONE WITH HOUSING AT MARKET RATE BUT ALSO WITH THE INTENT THAT THE MARKET WOULD BE ENJOYING BACK-END SAVINGS IN THINGS LIKE REDUCTION TO THE CFS ROLES, REDUCTION TO THE INCARCERATION, AND REDUCTION TO THE WAIT THROUGH (INDISCERNIBLE) AND THEY HAVE MEASURED THOSE THINGS AND HAVE ENJOYED THOSE SAVINGS YOU KNOW, TO THE POINT WHERE THEY ARE VERY HAPPY WITH US AND HAVE, EVERY YEAR IN THE PAST SIX YEARS, EXPANDED THEIR PURCHASING WITH BUILD. AND A NUMBER OF OTHER SOCIAL ENTERPRISES IN WINNIPEG. WINNIPEG IS UNIQUE THAT THE CITY HAS NOT YET IMPLEMENTED ITS

SOCIAL PURCHASING POLICY, BUT THE SOCIAL ENTERPRISE SECTOR HAS ALREADY GROWN BECAUSE OF THIS OTHER SOCIAL PURCHASE. SO THERE ARE A NUMBER OF SOCIAL ENTERPRISES JUST LIKE BUILD WHO ARE POISED AND READY TO GO AND GROW. A COUPLE OF LITTLE FACTS HERE FOR BUILD. FOR THE PAST THREE YEARS, THE WORK THAT WE HAVE DONE WITH MANITOBA HOUSING HAS ALLOWED FOR BUILD TRAINEES TO EXIT, BUILD AND ENTER THE CONSTRUCTION TRADES WHICH HAS RESULTED IN OVER 200,000 HOURS WORKED IN THE CONSTRUCTION TRADES BY INDIGENOUS INDIVIDUALS WITH MULTIPLE EMPLOYMENT BARRIERS, PEOPLE WHO WOULD MORE LIKELY BE WORKING IN THE GANGS THAN WORKING ON A JOB SITE. MANITOBA, WINNIPEG, HAS A REALLY HIGH INDIGENOUS UNEMPLOYMENT RATE, ALMOST DOUBLE THE GENERAL POPULATION. AND, TO REALLY EMPHASIZE THE WORK THAT WE DO WITH MANITOBA HOUSING, EVERYTHING WE DO IS JUST MARKET RATE. SO THERE WAS -- WE USED TO HAVE A GUY -- ONE OF OUR COWORKERS HIS NAME IS LUCAS STEWART AND HE HAD AN EXPRESSION THAT SAID THAT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN US AND OUR DIRECT COMPETITION IS THAT WHAT WE DO WITH THE PROFITS. INSTEAD OF BUYING DECK SKIS WE ARE HIRING PEOPLE IN THE NORTH AND PROVIDING THE OPPORTUNITY. NOT THAT JET SKIS ARE BAD FOR THOSE WHO HAVE JET SKIS OF THEIR OWN, BUT.... (LAUGHING). I SAW THAT SMIRK, COUNCILLOR BROWATY. BUT THE WORK WE CAN DO, WE CAN CAPITALIZE AND LEVERAGE THE PROFITS AND WE CAN TURN IT INTO SOCIAL CHANGE. NOW, SOCIAL ENTERPRISES ARE NOT THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO HAVE BENEFITED FROM POLICY LIKE THIS. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF FOR PROFIT CONTRACTOR THAT IS ARE ALREADY DOING WORK. AND DOING WORK WITH THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. THEY HAVE HIGH (INDISCERNIBLE) RATES, THEY HAVE TARGETS FOR WOMEN IN THE TRADES, THEY HAVE TARGETS FOR INDIGENOUS EMPLOYMENT. BUT, WHEN THEY ARE BIDDING ON CITY CONTRACTS THEY ARE BIDDING AGAINST THEIR COMPETITION THAT IS NOT DOING THOSE THINGS AND THEY ARE WEIGHED EXACTLY THE SAME. AND A SOCIAL PURCHASING POLICY WILL ADDRESS THAT INEQUITY. IT WILL SAY THAT THERE IS -- THERE IS A REASON TO PUT WEIGHT TOWARDS THE HEALTH OF THE COMMUNITY UTILIZING THE (INDISCERNIBLE). IT PROBABLY -- THE MOTION TODAY WHICH IS ASKING FOR -- WHICH IS ASKING FOR A WORKING GROUP TO BE FORMED AND THEN IN 90 DAYS OF A MOTION TO BE BROUGHT FORTH TO CITY COUNCIL FOR ADOPTION, THIS IS A VERY, VERY POWERFUL THING. WE HAVE ALREADY MET THE (INDISCERNIBLE) DEPARTMENT. THEY KNOW YOU HAVE A SMART AND SOPHISTICATE PROCEED CUREMENT DEPARTMENT AT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. THEY ARE -- THEY KNOW HOW TO IMPLEMENT THINGS. THEY UNDERSTAND WHAT'S HAPPENING IN OTHER CITIES THIS IS NOT SOMETHING WE HAVE TO REINVENT THE WHEEL FOR. THIS IS BEING DONE IN WINNIPEG ALREADY THROUGH THE MANITOBA GOVERNMENT BUT ALSO IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS LIKE SASKATOON AND TORONTO. COUNTLESS OTHER CITIES ACROSS THE COUNTRY

HAVE ALREADY IMPLEMENTED THESE THINGS. WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO () DEVELOP THE PERFECT WINNIPEG-STYLE MOTION THAT WILL PASS AND WILL IMPACT SO MANY LIVES. AND NOT BY COSTING EXTRA MONEY, BUT BY ACTUALLY BEING BETTER STEWARDS OF THE MONEY WE'RE COLLECTING THROUGH TAXES AND SPENDING IT WISELY, WHICH IS ESSENTIALLY THE UNDERPINNING OF ANY SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY IS WE HAVE LIMITED RESOURCES AND WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO DECIDE, INSTEAD OF JUST DOING THINGS THE WAY THEY ALWAYS HAVE BEEN DONE, BUT TO ACTUALLY MOVE FORWARD AND USE THE MONEY INCREDIBLY WISELY TO GET BETTER BANG FOR OUR BUCK. WITHOUT ASKING FOR MORE MONEY. I THINK THAT'S ALL I HAVE. DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR ME?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. HOGAN FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. IF YOU COULD STEIN THE LINE AND WE'LL HEAR FROM THE NEXT DELEGATION AND COME BACK FOR QUESTIONS IF THERE ARE ANY. THANK YOU. OUR NEXT DELEGATION IS MR. SUDHIR SANDHU THE CEO OF MANITOBA BUILDING TRADES, HE IS ALSO IN SUPPORT OF ITEM 12 OF THE REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE DATED DECEMBER 9, 2020 AND IT'S REGARDING SOCIAL AND SUSTAINABLE PROCUREMENT PRACTICES. WELCOME MR. SANDHU IT'S NICE TO SEE YOU. CAN YOU HEAR US? COULD YOU UNMUTE AND TURN OFF YOUR YOU-TUBE, PLEASE. AND WE'LL HAVE –

SUDHIR SANDHU: YOU-TUBE IS OFF AND I HAVE UNMUTED THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: EXCELLENT. WE HAVE 5 MINUTES ALLOCATED FOR YOUR PRESENTATION.

SUDHIR SANDHU: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR AND YOUR WORSHIP AND COUNCIL MEMBERS AND THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO YOU THIS MORNING ON BEHALF 10,000 MEMBERS OF MY ORGANIZATION. AND MORE IMPORTANTLY, CITIZENS OF OUR LOVELY CITY, ON BEHALF OF THOSE CITIZENS WHO ARE LOOKING FOR OPPORTUNITIES FOR THIS COUNCIL TO CREATE GREATER ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY FOR THEM. SO YESTERDAY THIS COUNCIL PASSED THE CIVIC BUDGET THAT WAS NOT UNANIMOUS AND THERE WERE MANY QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT THE CITY CAN DO AND SHOULD BE DOING TO ADDRESS THE CORE NEEDS OF MORE OF ITS CITIZENS. YOU KNOW, NOT ONLY WERE THERE DISSENTING COUNCILLORS BUT THERE WERE DISSENTING CITIZENS WHO WANTED A DIFFERENT APPROACH TO THE BUDGET APPROVED YESTERDAY. TODAY YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THOSE CONCERNS THAT YOU HEARD. SOCIAL PROCUREMENT AND BENEFIT AGREEMENTS ARE AN ESTABLISHED PATHWAY TO EFFECT MEANINGFUL CHANGE AND AS SEAN POINTED OUT WITHOUT ADDING TO A GOVERNMENT'S FISCAL CHALLENGES OR IMPOSING ADDITIONAL COST. YOU HEARD THE DEMANDS, FOR EXAMPLE, TO DEFUND THE POLICE AND DIVERT FUNDING TO ADDRESS SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS THAT ARE THE CAUSES OF RISING LAW ENFORCEMENT BUDGETS. THE MOTION BEFORE COUNCIL TODAY IS THE SOLUTION.

COUNCIL HAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO USE ITS EXISTING PROCUREMENT AND CAPITAL PROGRAMS TO CREATE REAL ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY WITHOUT REMOVING A SINGLE DOLLAR FROM POLICING. AND THEY ARE VERY RARE OPPORTUNITIES THAT COME BEFORE A COUNCIL LIKE YOURS. AND THERE ARE MANY WHO PRESENT SOCIAL PROCUREMENT AND I WANT TO ADDRESS THAT YOU WILL HEAR SOME CRITICISM ABOUT SOCIAL PROCUREMENT AND COMMUNITY BENEFIT POLICIES. FOR EXAMPLE, THAT THEY IMPOSE ADDITIONAL COSTS, THAT THEY REQUIRE OR MANDATE THE UTILIZATION OR INVOLVEMENT OF UNIONS. NEITHER ONE OF THOSE FACTS ARE TRUE AND IN FACT THEY ARE CATEGORICALLY UNTRUE. THESE PROGRAMS ARE ABOUT SMARTER ECONOMIC CHOICES THAT END THE EVER DEEPENING TRAP AND NEGLECT IN THE SUBSEQUENT COST OF THAT NEGLECT. THESE PROGRAMS ALLOW CITIES LIKE OURS TO EXERCISE SOME CONTROL OVER ECONOMIC POLICY AND ECONOMIC DESTINIES. OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT HAVE BEEN LONG OFFLOADING AND MR. MAYOR, THIS COUNCIL AND OTHER CITY COUNCILS AND MAYORS ACROSS THE CITY -- ACROSS THE COUNTRY RATHER, HAVE EMPHATICALLY SPOKEN ABOUT MORE SUPPORT. THAT'S ONE PART OF THE EQUATION, TO GAIN MORE SUPPORT FROM OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. THIS IS DIRECTLY IN YOUR CONTROL. SOCIAL PROCUREMENT AND COMMUNITY BENEFIT AGREEMENTS ARE MEANS OF TAKING BACK SOME ECONOMIC CONTROL. THESE PROGRAMS WILL ALLOW YOU TO SHAPE ECONOMIC OUTCOMES FOR MORE OF OUR CITIZENS WHO YESTERDAY WERE SPEAKING OUT TO YOU AND ASKING FOR THAT SUPPORT. IN ADDITION, BY ADOPTING SOCIAL PROCUREMENT FRAMEWORK THIS COUNCIL WILL SEND A CLEAR SIGNAL THAT IT IS NOT SIMPLY A HELPLESS AND DEPENDENT ENTITY THAT REQUIRES OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT TO DO THINGS BEFORE IT CAN CHANGE THE AVENUES IT SEEKS AND DEPLOYS TO ADDRESS THE ECONOMIC SOCIOECONOMIC CONCERNS AND EXPECTATIONS OF ITS CITIZENS. THIS IS A NEW WAY FOR YOU TO ENGAGE WITH OUR CITIZENS, YOUR CITIZENS, SO THEY CAN BEEN GAUGED PARTICIPANTS IN OUR FUTURE. NOW, I URGE YOU TO ADOPT THIS MOTION WITH THE AMENDMENTS THAT ARE BEING PRESENTED THIS MORNING AND RECOGNIZE THAT THIS IS A PROCESS COMMUNITY BENEFITS AGREEMENT, SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICIES ARE A WAY FOR YOU AS A COUNCIL TO SQUEEZE EVERY POSSIBLE BENEFIT FROM EVERY SINGLE PROCUREMENT AND CAPITAL INVESTMENT DOLLAR YOU WILL EXPEND NOT ONLY IN THE COMING YEAR BUT RATHER, IN LONG-TERM INTO THE FUTURE. WE REPRESENT SKILLED TRADES WORKER WHOSE ARE HE WELL PAID MEMBERS OF OUR SOCIETY AND THEY CONTRIBUTE SIGNIFICANTLY TO OUR CITY AND TO OUR PROVINCE. WE WANT THIS OPPORTUNITY EXTENDED THROUGH YOUR PROCUREMENT POLICIES TO MORE CITIZENS WHO ARE JUST ASKING FOR NOTHING MORE THAN THE SAME OPPORTUNITIES TO COMPARE 'TIS PATIENT, TO BE EQUAL CITIZENS IN OUR COMMUNITY. SO, THIS HAS BEEN A DIFFICULT YEAR, WE ALL

RECOGNIZE THAT, YOU ARE NOW AT THE VERY END OF THE CITY (INDISCERNIBLE) TO MY KNOWLEDGE MAY BE THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING -- IT IS FOR YOU TO MAKE A MEANINGFUL LEGACY CHANGE AT CITY COUNCIL AND I HOPE ADOPTING THIS POLICY AND HAVING TIGHT REQUIREMENTS TO IMPLEMENT A POLICY FRAMEWORK THAT WILL ALLOW ALL OF THIS TO HAPPEN WILL REALLY BE AN ENDURING LEGACY FOR YOU AS A COUNCIL AND I'M CONFIDENT THAT FUTURE CITIZENS WILL LOOK BACK AT FUTURE COUNCIL ALSO LOOK BACK AND SAY THIS WAS ONE OF THE SMARTEST DECISIONS TO COME OUT OF 2020, NOTWITHSTANDING ITS CHALLENGES. MADAM CHAIR I WILL STOP THERE AND THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO YOU THIS MORNING.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. SANDHU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL FOR EITHER OF THE DELEGATIONS TODAY?
COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: YES, GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I JUST WANT TO HAVE -- POSE A QUESTION THROUGH YOU TO MR. HOGAN. IN CONVERSATION THAT WE HAD LEADING UP TO THIS VOTE, YOU WERE MENTIONING THAT YOUR WORKING ON A PROJECT WITH THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE WITH RESPECT TO YOUTH OFFENDERS AND BEING ABLE TO TRAIN INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE TRYING TO EXIT THEIR LIFE IN A GANG. CAN BE ELABORATE QUICKLY HOW A SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY WOULD ALLOW YOU TO WORK WITH THOSE YOUTH, TO GIVE THEM OPTIONS AWAY FROM A GANG LIFE?

SEAN HOGAN: YES. THE DISCUSSIONS WITH THE WINNIPEG POLICE ARE VERY MUCH IN THE EARLY DAYS RIGHT NOW BUT SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY, IT DOES -- IT GIVES US A LOT OF ABILITY. SO I CAN ONLY TAKE YOU SO FAR WHEN YOU ARE COMING UP TO TRAINING PEOPLE AND PREPARING THEM FOR TRADES WORK. AND WHAT I'M ESSENTIALLY SAYING IS MOST OF US WHEN WE STARTED OUR CAREER WE HAD A BASELINE THAT WAS DEMONSTRATED TO OUR PARENTS AND MENTORS. BUT, IF THAT BASELINE, WHICH IS -- WHICH -- IF THAT BASELINE IS SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER, WHICH IS INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE YOUNG OFFENDERS, PEOPLE WHO ARE HAVING FREQUENT AND PROFOUND CONTACTS WITH THE JUSTICE SYSTEM, THEY REQUIRE ADDITIONAL SUPPORTS AND INSTRUCTION IN ORDER TO GET UP TO THE ENTRY LEVEL BASELINE THAT THE REST OF US ENJOYED AS WE (INDISCERNIBLE) SO, SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY GIVES US -- WHAT (INDISCERNIBLE) WITH THE POLICY IS WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO TAKE A 6 WEEK TRAINING PROGRAM AND EXPAND IT INTO A 6-MONTH TRAINING. OUR WORK, EASE ESSENTIALLY TRAINING PEOPLE, IT ENDS AFTER SIX WEEKS IN THE CLASS ROOM. BUT THE SOCIAL PROCUREMENT THAT WE CAN LEVERAGE FROM THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA, WE ARE ABLE TO PUT NOW THESE TRAINEES ON TO THE DOCKSIDE AND DO REAL LIFE WITH HEAD LINES AND EXPECTATIONS AND BUDGETS, MENTORSHIP AND ON THE JOB TRAINING FOR UP TO ANOTHER 4-AND-A-HALF MONTHS THAT THEY WOULD NEVER BE ABLE TO DO WITHOUT SOCIAL PROCUREMENT. AND THEN

THE TRAINEE IS ABLE TO LEAVE WITH AN ACTUAL RESUME THAT SHOWS THAT THEY HAVE HAD EMPLOYMENT, THEY HAVE HAD ACTUAL TRAINING AND WHEN THEY HIT THE JOB SITE OF THEIR NEXT EMPLOYER WHICH IS USUALLY OUR COMPETITION, THEY ARE ABLE TO BUILD A CAREER. THAT'S THE BEGINNING OF THEM BUILDING AN ACTUAL CAREER. NOW, WITHOUT SOCIAL PROCUREMENT WE WOULD NEVER BE ABLE -- WE WOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO ANY OF THIS. THIS WOULD HAVE BEEN JUST A PIPE DREAM. WE WOULD HAVE BEEN LIKE OTHER TRAINING PROGRAMS (INDISCERNIBLE) DUSTED OFF RESUME AND (INDISCERNIBLE). DOING A GREAT JOB BUT THIS EXTRA TOOL GIVES US SO MUCH EXTRA ABILITY. I HOPE THAT HELPS. I HOPE THAT ANSWERS YOUR QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU. AND IF YOU COULD JUST RELATE THE STORY THAT YOU PROVIDED ME WITH RESPECT TO THE YOUNG LADY THAT WAS ABLE TO GET HER KIDS OUT OF CARE, BREAK THE CYCLE OF POVERTY AND BREAK THE CYCLE OF DROPPING OUT OF SCHOOL AND GETTING THE TRAINING THAT SHE NEEDS TO SUPPORT HER FAMILY, IF YOU COULD JUST SHARE THAT WITH COUNCIL AS WELL.

SEAN HOGAN: YES. THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION. THAT'S A VERY GOOD QUESTION. THE -- AND YOU KNOW, IT'S INTERESTING WHEN YOU ASK ME THAT QUESTION I HAVE TO THINK ABOUT WHICH YOUNG LADY ARE WE TALKING ABOUT BECAUSE THAT'S NOT AN UNCOMMON THING. AND IN FACT, THE OTHER DAY, WHEN EPC PASSED THE MOTION THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT TODAY, I WAS OUTSIDE HAVING MY PICTURE TAKEN BY THE WINNIPEG SUN AND UP CAME ONE OF THE WOMEN WHO USED TO BE A BUILD TRAINEE, TO SAY HOW GREAT SHE IS DOING AND HOW LONG SHE HAS BEEN SOBER AND HOW LONG -- THE SUCCESSES SHE HAS ENJOYED. NOW, I'LL TELL YOU, THAT YOUNG LADY AND I WON'T SAY NAMES, THAT YOUNG LADY WAS A HIGH-RISK -- EVERYONE HAS AN IMMOBILIZER IN THEIR CAR PARTLY DUE TO HER WORK IN HER YOUNGER DAYS. SHE WAS A HIGH RISK CAR THIEF. SHE HAD 5 LIFETIME BANS ON HER DRIVERS LICENCE. THAT'S A SEVERELY CAREER-LIMITING MOVE PLUS I BELIEVE THERE WAS 45, \$50,000 IN MPI FINES SHE HADN'T ADDRESSED YET AND HAD FIVE LITTLE BOYS THAT WERE IN THE CARE OF CFS. WHO HAD GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES, MARGINAL FOR WHAT A CHILD COSTS CFS IS OVER 50,000 A YEAR. SO THIS YOUNG LADY -- I WON'T SAY HER NAME, IT'S FOR PRIVACY. SHE WORKED WITH US, SHE DID REALLY WELL, SHE HAD SUCH SKILL, INNATE SKILL FOR CARPENTRY. WE TOOK HER ON A LITTLE BIT LONGER AND HIRED HER ON AS A FULL TIME EMPLOYEE AND ANYBODY WOULD BECAUSE SHE IS SUCH A HARD WORKER. IN HER TIME WITH US SHE BECAME A MENTOR FOR YOUNG PEOPLE LEAVING GANGS. SHE WOULD SPEAK AT ADDICTIONS MEETINGS, SHE BROUGHT ALL FIVE CHILDREN OUT OF CARE AND BECAME A MOM, ALL FIVE. NOW OFF CFS AND

GROWING UP WITH THEIR MOM. SHE WENT TO THE APPEALS BOARD, APPEALED HER LIFETIME BANS AND ON HER 30TH BIRTHDAY I DROVE HER TO A MPI AND I GOT THE HIGH FIVE AND SHE GOT HER DRIVERS LICENCE. AND SHE PAID BACK HER FINES TO THE GOVERNMENT. A FULLY SUCCESS STORY. TALK ABOUT AN INDIVIDUAL WHO WAS A NET DRAIN ON SOCIETY AND BECAME AN ACTIVE CONTRIBUTOR. YOU COULDN'T HAVE SOMEONE WHO IS A GREATER NET CONTRIBUTOR TO SOCIETY AND YOU KNOW, \$50,000 A YEAR SO THAT'S \$250,000, JUST IN CFS SAVINGS ALONE FOR THOSE BOYS NOT BEING RAISED BY CFS.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. HOGAN THANK YOU FOR THAT SUMMARY. ANYTHING FURTHER FROM YOU COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS? COUNCILLOR SCHREYER I SAW YOUR HAND UP.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU. LOOK, I'M WILLING TO WAIT FOR MORE INFORMATION COMING THROUGH THE PROCESS HERE AT CITY HALL AS WE AWAIT A FINAL REPORT, BUT, YOU SIR'S ARE HERE THIS MORNING TO MAKE A PRESENTATION SO I GUESS I DO HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. FOR THE RECORD AS WE BEGIN THIS PROCESS HERE AT CITY HALL, WHAT OTHER JURISDICTIONS CAN WE LOOK AT AS HAS BEEN MENTIONED IN THE PRESENTATION BY BOTH MR. HOGAN AND MR. SANDHU, WHAT OTHER JURISDICTIONS CAN WE LOOK AT, OTHER CITIES OR OTHER MUNICIPALITIES OR IS IT JUST IN CANADA WE'RE LOOKING AT IN TERMS OF EXAMPLES OR ARE THERE OTHER PLACES IN NORTH AMERICA WE'RE LOOKING AT AS OFFERING US SOME GUIDANCE AND PROCEDURAL CONVENTIONS?

>**SUDHIR SANDHU:** PERHAPS I CAN ADDRESS THAT QUICKLY. OUTSIDE OF CANADA, MR. HOGAN WILL TELL YOU THERE ARE MULTIPLE CANADIAN JURISDICTIONS BOTH CITY AND PROVINCIAL THAT ARE PURSUED ACTIVELY AND HAVE ADOPTED COMMUNITY BENEFITS AND SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICIES AND THE UNITED STATES IS ACTUALLY, SURPRISINGLY, AN ACTIVE USER OF COMMUNITY BENEFITS AND SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICIES AND THE FRAMEWORKS. LAST YEAR WE TOURED THE CITY OF SEATTLE WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE METRO WINNIPEG ORGANIZATION AS WELL AS THE NUMBER OF THE SOUTHERN CHIEFS ORGANIZATION AND WE WERE BLOWN AWAY IN TERMS OF WHAT THE CITY OF SEATTLE IS DOING. WE SUGGEST THAT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG CAN LEAD ON IT BUT THE REALITY IS WE ARE BEHIND. WE'RE SIGNIFICANTLY BEHIND MOST OF THE LARGER CANADIAN JURISDICTIONS LIKE THE CITY OF TORONTO, CALGARY, VANCOUVER, THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, SASKATCHEWAN AND REGINA IN PARTICULAR STARTED LOOKING AT COMMUNITY BENEFITS. SO COUNCILLOR, THROUGH THE CHAIR, THERE ARE JUST INNUMEROUS NUMBERS OF EXAMPLES OF JURISDICTION THAT IS ARE ACTIVELY USING COMMUNITY BENEFITS AND SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICIES TO BETTER THE LIVES OF THEIR CITIZENS. IT'S TOO LONG TO MENTION IN A SHORT COUNCIL MEETING.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. SANDHU. ANYTHING FURTHER? ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? COUNCILLOR NASON.

COUNCILLOR NASON: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. JUST A QUICK QUESTION. WE HAVE AN AMENDING MOTION THAT'S BEFORE COUNCIL TODAY TO TRY TO EXPEDITE THIS BECAUSE I KNOW WHEN THIS WAS BEFORE EPC THERE WAS DISCUSSION ABOUT 90 DAYS, 120 DAYS AND THEY SETTLED ON 120 DAYS. WE HAD A VERY YOU KNOW, INVOLVED DELEGATIONS WITH REGARDS TO BUDGET AND THE NEED TO CHANGE THE DIAL WITH REGARDS TO HOW MONIES ARE ALLOCATED IN THE CITY. DO YOU SEE THIS AS SOMETHING THAT IS EXTREMELY URGENT FROM NOT ONLY THE SECTOR BUT FROM THE COMMUNITY, THE CHANGE -- TO CHANGE HOW WE ARE PROCURING TO HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY FOR SOCIAL PROCUREMENT? I'M WONDERING IF YOU CAN SPEAK TO THAT?

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER MOVES EXTENSION. ALL IN FAVOUR - CONTRARY - CARRIED. IF WOULD YOU LIKE TO ANSWER THE QUESTION MR. HOGAN OR MR. SANDHU.

>>I WOULD JUST SAY THAT GIVEN THE JUXTAPOSING THIS AGAINST YESTERDAY'S BUDGET AND THE UNREQUIETED NEEDS OF OUR COMMUNITY THAT WAS CLEARLY EXPRESSED THROUGH CITIZENS AND A NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS, I THINK IT WOULD BE JUST A GREAT COUNTER BALANCE TO ADD A 90 DAY PROVISION AND TO EXTEND EXPEDIENCY AND URGENCY TO THIS MOTION SO THERE IS CONFIDENCE GENERATED THAT THE LEGITIMATE INTERESTS OF OUR CITIZENS AND OUR COMMUNITY MEMBERS ARE NOT JUST BEING PUSHED OUT SO WE SUPPORT 90 DAYS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. SEEING NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, GENTLEMEN, MR.SANDHU, MR. HOGAN THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATIONS TOO. ED MOVING FORWARD WITH REPORTS WE'LL HEAR FROM THE MAYOR ON THE REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE, DATED DECEMBER 9, 2020. NOTING WE HAVE AN AMENDING MOTION NUMBER 2 FROM COUNCILLORS NASON AND CHAMBERS ON ITEM 12.

MAYOR BOWMAN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I DO WANT TO THANK THE DELEGATIONS A FEW MOMENTS AGO FOR THEIR PRESENTATIONS. I INTRODUCE THE REPORT AND MOVE ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1-21.

MADAM SPEAKER: 12 WILL BE PULLED WITH THE AMENDING MOTION. ANY OTHER

REPORTS FOR DECEMBER 9EPC MEETING? SEEING NON-I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON 1 THROUGH 11, 13 THROUGH 21.

>>RECORDED VOTE PLEASE.

MADAM SPEAKER: CALL FOR RECORDED VOTE. WE WOULD HAVE TO, MADAM CLERK, INDIVIDUALLY CALL OUT -- WE CAN DO A CONSENT? OKAY THAT'S NEVER BEEN DONE IN THE CHAMBER BEFORE, MR. CLERK, ACCORDING TO MY RECOLLECTION. HAS THAT?

CITY CLERK: CERTAINLY ANY MEMBER CAN CALL FOR A RECORDED VOTE. SO CERTAINLY YOU CAN DO A RECORDED VOTE ON CONSENT FOR ALL 20 ITEMS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE MAYOR HAS CALLED CONSENT FOR ALL 20 ITEMS AND THAT IS IN ORDER SO IT WILL BE A RECORDED VOTE FOR ALL 20 ITEMS. COUNCILLOR KLEIN -- COUNCILLOR ALLARD. COUNCILLOR ALLARD? OH HE IS TRYING TO VOTE.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I'M TRYING TO VOTE BUT I SEE THERE ARE MORE DISCUSSIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY. COUNCILLOR KLEIN.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THANK YOU MADAM SPEAKER. AND JUST FOR CLARIFICATION IF WE ARE GOING TO DO A STAND AND BE COUNTED VOTE, AND WHAT WE HAVEN'T DONE BEFORE CAN WE GO BACK AND VOTE ON EACH ITEM SEPARATELY?

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. CLERK THE REQUEST HAS BEEN TO VOTE ON EACH ITEM SEPARATELY. AND THEY WERE NOT STOOD DOWN.

CITY CLERK: CURRENTLY THE MOTION IN FRONT OF COUNCIL IS TO VOTE ON THE CONSENT AGENDA ITEM 12 HAS BEEN PULLED IN REGARDS TO THE AMENDING MOTION SO THE MOTION BEFORE COUNCIL IS TO ADOPT THE CONSENT AGENDA 1-21 EXCEPT FOR ITEM 12.

MADAM SPEAKER: AGAIN NEW TERRITORY. THIS IS BEFORE US. THE MAYOR HAS CALLED FOR RECORDED VOTE ON ALL 20 ITEMS. COUNCILLOR EADIE?

COUNCILLOR EADIE: MADAM SPEAKER, JUST I'M GOING TO RISE AND SPEAK AS TO A POINT OF ORDER. USUALLY WHEN THERE IS A STANDING VOTE THERE IS ACTUALLY A DEBATE, AND SO I'M A LITTLE BIT CONCERNED ABOUT THE INTENTIONS OF CALLING FOR A STANDING VOTE IN REGARDS TO CONSENT. THERE IS A NUMBER OF REPORTS THAT I WOULD LOVE TO DEBATE BUT THEY ARE ALL BEING EXTENDED TO 180 DAYS, THERE IS SOME VARIOUS OTHER ONES THAT ARE DEBATABLE, BUT I DON'T THINK THEY

WERE WORTH A DEBATE TODAY. SO, I HAVE A REAL PROBLEM WITH HAVING A
STANDING VOTE ON THESE ITEMS. JUST BECAUSE I DON'T AGREE WITH SOME OF THE
REPORTS BUT THEY ARE ONLY EXTENSION THAT IS I'M VOTING FOR AND THE GENERAL
PUBLIC WILL NOT UNDERSTAND THAT. SO I THINK THIS IS A DIVERSION, MADAM
SPEAKER, TO TRY TO BE ABLE TO SAY TO PEOPLE, SOMEBODY VOTED FOR SOMETHING --

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR DO YOU WISH TO
RESCIND THE MOTION.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: POINT OF ORDER.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: MADAM CHAIR, THANK YOU. I HEARD MR. MARC LEMOINE
CLARIFY THAT IT'S IN ORDER SO I'M LOOKING TO YOU MADAM CHAIR TO PROCEED.

MADAM SPEAKER: I ASKED THE MAYOR A QUESTION. DO YOU WISH TO RESCIND THE
MOTION.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: I THINK YOU ARE DEBATING THE MOTION AND NOT -- AND
NOT PROCEEDING.

>>I THINK THE CHAIR IS OUT OF ORDER.

>>MADAM CHAIR POINT OF ORDER. I BELIEVE YOUR CONCERN IS YOU ARE CREATING
CONVENTION EVEN THOUGH IT'S ALLOWED IT'S CREATING A CONVENTION THAT WE
HAVE NOT HAD BEFORE THAN I WOULD UNDERSTAND WHY WE'RE HAVING THIS
CONFLICT. ARE WE CREATING A NEW CONVENTION BECAUSE WE HAVE DONE IT
BEFORE, MADAM SPEAKER, WHERE WE HAVE CONVENTION THAT IS AREN'T LOGICAL.
I'M NOT SAYING THAT IN THIS CASE AND I'M NOT BY ANY MEANS BEGRUDGING
COUNCILLOR ROLLINS POINT BUT.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I WOULD LIKE TO CHALLENGE THE CHAIR.

>>I'M CALLING FOR THE QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. CLERK I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A RECESS SO I CAN PROCEED
ON SOMETHING THAT'S NEW TERRITORY IN THIS CHAMBER.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I WOULD LIKE TO CHALLENGE THE CHAIR.

MADAM SPEAKER: CONSIDERING WHAT I JUST HEARD FROM COUNCILLOR EADIE I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A CHAIR.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: AM I NOT IN ORDER.

MADAM SPEAKER: I CAN TAKE A RECESS COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: ARE YOU ABLE TO DO THAT AFTER I CHALLENGE THE CHAIR?

MADAM SPEAKER: MY WANT TO TAKE A RECESS.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I UNDERSTAND WHO IS IN ORDER.

>>YOU CAN CALL THE QUESTION ON THE RECESS.

MADAM SPEAKER: I DON'T THINK WE NEED A QUESTION ON THE RECESS. WE CERTAINLY.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: CHALLENGE THE CHAIR. I ASKED THE QUESTION IN TERMS OF WHETHER OR NOT THAT IS IN ORDER. I CHALLENGE THE CHAIR BEFORE THE CALL FOR THE RECESS.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. CLERK IS THE CALL FOR RECESS TO GO TO A VOTE OR.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: WHY ARE WE DOING. (multiple voices).

MADAM SPEAKER: CAN THE CHAIR CALL A RECESS.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: WHY WITH WE DO THAT BEFORE ADDRESSING MY CHALLENGING OF THE CHAIR.

CITY CLERK: IT IS THE PRACTISE OF COUNCIL TO TAKE A RECESS WHEN SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS REQUIRED SO WE CAN TAKE A RECESS IF COUNCIL -- SOMEONE ON COUNCIL WISHES TO CALL A VOTE.

MADAM SPEAKER: I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A SHORT RECESS. >>I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR WHAT THE CLERK SAYS.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. CLERK COULD YOU PLEASE RESTATE YOUR COMMENTS.

CITY CLERK: IT IS THE PRACTISE OF COUNCIL THAT WE WOULD TAKE A RECESS WHEN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS REQUIRED FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE SO WE CAN DO SO, HOWEVER, IF COUNCIL DOES NOT WISH TO PROCEED DOWN THERE SOMEONE CAN CALL FOR A VOTE AND WE CAN HAVE A VOTE ON WHETHER OR NOT TO TAKE A RECESS.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR BOWMAN: MADAM SPEAKER, I'M TRYING TO SAVE EVERYBODY TIME THAT'S WHY I ASKED FOR -- SINCE THEY WERE ALL ABOUT TO BE VOTED ON JUST FOR RECORDED VOTE SO WE CAN ALL STAND AND BE COUNTED. IF IT IS EASIER TO DO THEM INDIVIDUALLY, THAT'S NOT A BIG DEAL FOR ME. I WAS JUST TRYING TO MAKE SURE WE ARE ALL BEING ACCOUNTABLE FOR OUR VOTES. I WOULD DEFER TO THE CLERKS. I AM NOT SEEKING TO CREATE NEW PRECEDENT IF IN FACT THAT IS THE CASE. JUST TRYING TO SAVE EVERYBODY TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR, HOW WOULD YOU LIKE TO PROCEED? WOULD -- WE CAN CALL THEM INDIVIDUALLY, THAT'S WHAT A COUNCILLOR ASKED FOR. JUST TO CLARIFY YOUR COMMENTS.

MAYOR BOWMAN: SURE. I DEFER TO THE RECOMMENDS FROM THE CLERKS WHETHER WE CAN JUST VOTE ON THEM ALL TOGETHER, IF THE CLERKS RECOMMEND THAT THAT'S PERMISSIBLE I WOULD SUGGEST DOING THAT, SAVING EVERYBODY TIME. IF IT'S NOT, THEN I WOULD VOTE ON THEM INDIVIDUALLY AND IF THE CLERKS NEED MORE TIME TO LOOK INTO IT, IF IT'S A SHORT RECESS, I WOULD RATHER NOT -- I WOULD RATHER NOT DELAY THE MEETING TO BE HONEST, I WOULD RATHER GET ON WITH VOTING.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY.

CITY CLERK: THE MOTION TO MOVE THE CONSENT AGENDA IS THE SAME AS EVERY OTHER VOTE A VOTE OF COUNCIL. EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL CAN VOTE YEA OR NAY VOTING ON THE CONSENT AGENDA, THE 20 ITEMS SPECIFIED IS IN ORDER SO WE CAN VOTE ON ALL 20 OF THEM TOGETHER AS A CONSENT AGENDA SIMILAR TO THE WAY WE WOULD IF WE HAD A VOICE VOTE, SIMPLY RECORDED VOTE INSTEAD OF A VOICE VOTE SO IT'S IN ORDER IF WOULD YOU LIKE TO RESCIND YOUR MOTOR VEHICLES WE CAN MOVE A MOTION TO CONSIDER EACH ITEM ONE BY ONE BUT YOU WOULD BE STANDING DOWN EACH ONE OF THE ITEMS ALLOWING DEBATE AND HAVING A SEPARATE VOTE ON EACH ONE.

MAYOR BOWMAN: IF I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY WE CAN ALL JUST DO A RECORDED VOTE ON ALL OF THEM? YEAH I PREFER TO DO THAT. I WASN'T PLANNING TO SPEAK TO ANY OF THEM.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY MR. MAYOR THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR BROWATY YOU MENTIONED THE VOTE WAS CALLED. IT'S CALLED FOR RECORDED VOTE ON ITEM 1. >>IF I MAY, COUNCILLOR ALLARD DID CHALLENGE YOUR POSITION ON THIS AND I DIDN'T HEAR HIS ACTUAL CHALLENGE.

MADAM SPEAKER: WE'RE NOT TAKING A RECESS ANY LONGER COUNCILLOR ALLARD DO YOU WISH TO STILL SPEAK OR SHALL WE MOVE ON.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I RESCIND MY CHALLENGE OF THE CHAIR IT WAS BASED ON MY UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU WERE NOT FOLLOWING THE PROCEDURE AND IGNORING MY QUESTION SO I WITHDRAW MY CHALLENGE.

MADAM SPEAKER: I WASN'T IGNORING YOUR QUESTION. THERE IS LOTS GOING ON IN THE CHAMBER HERE AS WELL.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: WELL YOU WERE GOING TO THE NEXT QUESTION BEFORE ADDRESSING MINE, SO I FEEL THAT THAT WAS MY QUESTION BEING IGNORED. BUT I WILL WITHDRAW MY CHALLENGE OF THE CHAIR.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY. WE HAVE A CALL FOR RECORDED VOTE ON ITEM 1 THROUGH 11 AND 13 THROUGH 21. ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE. COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER AND MADAM.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: YEAS 15 NAYS 1 (CLERK).

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT IS THE NOTICE OF MOTION MOVED BY -- PARDON ME ITEM 12, MADAM CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM 12 SOCIAL AND SEANABLE PROCUREMENT PRACTICES.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR BOWMAN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THE -- FIRSTLY I WANT TO THANK THERE IS A NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS -- COUNCILLOR NASON, COUNCILLOR KLEIN, COUNCILLOR ALLARD AND COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS AMONG OTHERS WHO HAVE BEEN LEADING AND TRYING TO GET SOCIAL PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE PRACTICES ADOPTED BY COUNCIL. I WANT TO THANK THEM FOR THEIR EFFORTS. I'M GOING TO BE SUPPORTING THIS. I'M GOING TO BE SUPPORTING THE AMENDMENT TO TRY TO SPEED UP THE REPORTING BACK TIME, AND ALSO, APPRECIATE THE DUE DILIGENCE ON THIS MATTER THAT'S BEING EXERCISED BY A NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS IN TERMS OF CONCERNS ABOUT COSTS AND OTHER ISSUES. I THINK IT'S A PROGRESSIVE STEP FORWARD AND I WOULD LIKE TO FIND A PATH FORWARD TO SUPPORT IT AND LOOKING FORWARD TO HEARING FROM MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES INCLUDING COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS WHO I KNOW HAS DONE A LOT OF WORK ON THIS, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: EXCELLENT. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'M RISING TO SUPPORT THIS PROGRESSIVE MOTION WITH RESPECT TO SOCIAL PROCUREMENT. AS YOU HEARD EARLIER FROM SEAN HOGAN, TWO QUICK STORIES ABOUT A POLICY LIKE THIS AND HOW IT CAN AFFECT PEOPLE'S LIVES AND BUILD COMMUNITY CAPACITY HERE WITHIN OUR CITY. I AM ATTUNED TO THE CONCERNS OF SOME OF MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES, OUR FINANCIAL CHAIR, COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM RECOGNIZING THAT THERE MAY BE A COST IN HOW WE PROCEED FORWARD WITH RESPECT TO BUSINESSES THAT WOULD BE PART OF PROVIDING A COMMUNITY BENEFIT, AND PART OF THE CONTRACT THAT THEY ARE SIGNING WITH THE CITY OF WINNIPEG ON WORK THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. AND HOPEFULLY WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE THAT WE CAN DO THE DUE DILIGENCE THAT'S NECESSARY TO DEMONSTRATE THAT IT'S NOT GOING TO COST THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TAXPAYERS ANYWAY ADDITIONAL COST IN THESE TYPES OF CONTRACTS, BUT RECOGNIZING THE ASSOCIATED REDUCTION OF COST WITH RESPECT TO THE SOCIAL PROGRAMS THAT INDIVIDUALS MAY BE ON OR PART OF THAT ADD TO THE COST OF TAXPAYERS. YOU HEARD SEAN HOGAN TALK ABOUT A WOMAN WHO GOT HER KIDS OUT OF CARE AND THE REDUCTION IN COSTS TO CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES. WHEN YOU LOOK AT OUR JUSTICE SYSTEM AND THE REDUCTION OF COST THERE FOR INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE REPEAT OFFENDERS BUT NOW HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY AND AN AVENUE AND A PATHWAY TO GET THE TRAIN THAT THEY NEED TO HAVE OPTIONS IN LIFE TO PROVIDE FOR THEMSELVES, THESE ARE GREAT THINGS THAT CAN COME OUT OF A SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY AND OUR RELATIONSHIPS WITH THOSE THAT HAVE BARRIERS TO EMPLOYMENT. I WANT TO TAKE THE TIME TO THANK MY COLLEAGUE

COUNCILLOR SEAN NASON WHO ALSO HAD A MOTION AND WE HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK COLLABORATIVELY TOGETHER AND HAD SEVERAL PHONE CALLS AND TEXT MESSAGES AND I THINK WE HAVE ALSO MET AND SPOKEN WITH THE SAME STAKEHOLDER GROUPS ON THIS ISSUE AND CAME TO A CONSENSUS ON WHAT WE WANT TO SEE MOVE FORWARD, SO, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK HIM FOR HIS COLLABORATION ON THIS, AND JUST SEEK COUNCIL'S WISDOM AND GUIDANCE IN PUTTING THIS MOTION FORWARD TODAY SO THAT WE CAN BE PROGRESSIVE IN OUR THOUGHTS AND OUR ACTIONS WITH RESPECT TO SOCIAL PROCUREMENT. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR NASON YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO AMENDING MOTION 2.

COUNCILLOR NASON: AND SPEAK TO THE MOTION AS WELL?

MADAM SPEAKER: YES.

COUNCILLOR NASON: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS FOR AGREEING TO SECOND THE MOTION. WE HAVE HEARD THROUGH OUR CONVERSATION THAT IS THE SECTOR IS READY. YOU KNOW, WHEN I HEAR THAT YOU KNOW, IT MAY BE A CHALLENGE WITH REGARDS TO TIMING TO GO FORWARD, WE ARE ALREADY DOING THIS AT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. WE'RE DOING THIS WITH MOTHER EARTH RECYCLING. WE HAVE DONE DUE DILIGENCE FROM MY UNDERSTANDING, WITH IMPLEMENTING THAT. SO WE HAVE ALREADY STARTED THE PROCESS. THE SECTOR IS DOING THIS WITH OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. THEY ARE YOU KNOW, BUILD -- I REMEMBER MEETING BUILD BACK ALMOST A DECADE AGO WHEN I WORKED FEDERALLY. I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO MEET WITH BUILD WHEN I WORKED PROVINCIALLY. I MET THEIR COUNTER AGENTS OUT IN BRANDON, BETTER KNOWN AS BEEP. THESE AGENCIES HAVE BEEN DOING GREAT WORK FOR MANY YEARS. SOME HAVE HAD CHALLENGES BUT IT'S THE CONSISTENCY TO BE ABLE TO FIND THE OPPORTUNITIES, TO BE ABLE TO PARTNER WITH GREAT PLACES LIKE US THAT HAVE THE ABILITY, THAT HAVE THE CALL FROM OUR RESIDENTS TO DO MORE. TO DO MORE FOR THOSE THAT ARE CHALLENGED ON THE STREETS OF WINNIPEG, TO GIVE THEM OPPORTUNITY, TO GIVE THEM HOPE, TO GIVE THEM THE HAND UP, THAT'S WHAT WE NEED TO DO AS A CITY, IS TO GIVE THAT OPPORTUNITY, TO GIVE THAT FUTURE VISION. AND I THINK THIS APPROACH, THIS SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY WILL HELP IN NO UNCERTAIN TERMS. IT WILL HELP THE SITUATION WE HAVE IN THE CITY NOT JUST TODAY BUT YOU KNOW, OUR HORIZONS STILL HAVE SOME DARK CLOUDS ON IT. WE NEED TO DO MORE AND I THINK WE'LL GET THERE, YOU KNOW, COLLABORATION, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS, THANK YOU, AGAIN, FOR THE OPPORTUNITY FOR THIS MINOR AMENDMENT BECAUSE WE HEARD FROM THE SECTOR THAT THEY ARE READY. I BELIEVE THEY ARE READY, WE NEED OUR PUBLIC SERVICE TO BE READY AND WILLING

TO WORK WITH THE INDUSTRY AND THE SECTOR. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR NASON. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: THANK YOU. IN ONE OF MY PREVIOUS LIVES, MY AGE IS COMING UPON ME, I WAS ACTUALLY REALLY LUCKY TO WORK WITH THE CARPENTERS UNION AND WITH ADULTS THAT ARE MARGINALIZED IN SOCIETY. AND SO TRY TO HELP THEM BRIDGE THE GAP INTO EMPLOYMENT. AND ALSO BRIDGE A GAP BACK INTO SOCIETY FOR SO MANY OF THESE PEOPLE. THAT SAID, IT WAS ONE OF THE MOST REWARDING EXPERIENCES OF MY LIFE. ONE OF THE MOST DIFFICULT. BECAUSE THE NEEDS ARE FOR MANY PEOPLE INCREDIBLY BROAD, FROM HOUSING TO TRANSPORTATION TO EDUCATION, TO RACISM, TO MANY, MANY DIFFERENT LEVELS. SO, AGAIN, I DO REALLY WANT TO PURSUE THIS AND I THANK EVERYBODY WHO WANTS TO PURSUE THIS NOTION. BUT AGAIN IT WILL BE A TEAM EFFORT. THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, TO MAKE THIS PROGRAM EFFECTIVE, WILL HAVE TO LOOK AT THINGS WITH THE PROVINCE, ESPECIALLY WITH THE PROVINCE. IT'S ALL VERY GOOD WE CAN SAVE MONEY FOR SOCIAL SERVICES BUT AGAIN, IF THAT COST WILL BE BORNE BY THE CITY -- BECAUSE THERE COULD WELL BE COSTS. THESE PROGRAMS TAKE MORE SUPPORT. WELL WORTH THE SUPPORT BUT THERE IS IN REALITY COSTS. SO AGAIN WE'LL NEED A PARTNER AT THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT FOR SURE, THROUGH EDUCATION AND TRAINING, THROUGH MANITOBA HEALTH, THROUGH SOCIAL SERVICES, TO GO ON THIS PROJECT SOCIALLY ON OUR OWN WE COULD DO IT BUT THE PROGRAM WON'T HAVE THE IMPACT IT NEEDS TO HAVE. SO AGAIN I SUPPORT THIS TO AT LEAST GET THE BALL ROLLING INCLUDING THE AMENDMENT BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY IT HAS TO BE AT LEAST A PARTNERSHIP WITH THE PROVINCE TO BE ABLE TO MAKE THIS PROGRAM REALLY IMPACTFUL AND BENEFICIAL FOR PEOPLE THAT REALLY NEED IT THE MOST.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU. AGAIN THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF THIS PROCESS AND SO THERE WILL BE SOME CONTINUED REAL DISCUSSION AND TRYING TO MAKE THIS WORK. I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT WHEN WE ARE IN A POSITION AS A GOVERNMENT AND AS A SOCIETY TO HELP DEVELOP PEOPLE'S ABILITIES SO THAT THEY CAN CONTRIBUTE AND HELP EMPOWER THEMSELVES AND BE PART OF OUR SOCIETY, TO THE EXTENT THEY CAN AND ARE WILLING TO. AND PROGRAMS LIKE THIS CAN BE EXTREMELY HELPFUL. I SUSPECT THROUGHOUT HISTORY OF HUMANITY WE HAVE HAD PROGRAMS LIKE THIS. WE CAN GET OVER BUREAUCRACY IN OUR SYSTEMS AS WE

ADVANCE OUR CIVILIZATION AND WE HAVE TO THINK OF WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO FOR THOSE THAT ARE CAPABLE OF HELPING ONE WAY OR ANOTHER. THEY JUST NEED ASSISTANCE IN THAT. IT'S NOT NEW TO HUMANITY IT'S JUST LOOK AT ALL THESE RULES WE WORK WITH, THE STATE OF INDUSTRIALIZATION AND HOW DO WE ALL FIT IN? SO WE HAVE TO TAKE A SECOND STEP, TAKE A LOOK AND SEE WHAT WE CAN DO. I GUESS MY CONCERN IS THAT REMEMBER, WE'RE DEALING WITH -- ANY WAY YOU LOOK AT IT WE'RE DEALING WITH WORKING CLASS PEOPLE. THEY ARE ALL DEPENDENT ON THEIR JOBS. AND SO WHEN WE DO THIS -- MY CONCERN IS WE DO IT FAIRLY, BECAUSE ALL PEOPLE ARE ENTITLED TO JOBS THAT THEY WANT AND ARE CAPABLE OF DOING. IN THEORY, PROVIDED WE'RE -- THE STATE OF OUR ECONOMY AND OUR ORGANIZATION, PEOPLE THAT WANT TO WORK AND ARE CAPABLE OF IT. IT'S A SHAME WHEN PEOPLE WANT TO WORK, THEY CAN, THEY ARE ABLE BUT CAN'T GET A JOB. THAT'S THE WORST THING THAT CAN HAPPEN IN SOCIETY, ONE OF THE WORST THINGS THAT CAN HAPPEN IN A SOCIETY IN THE ECONOMIC ASPECT OF THAT. IN THIS CASE, WE'RE TAKING SPECIAL CONSIDERATION FOR PEOPLE THAT NEED SOME SORT OF ASSISTANCE IN BEING ABLE TO EXPRESS AND DEMONSTRATE THEIR ABILITIES FOR THE COMMON BENEFIT AND FOR THEIR OWN BENEFIT. IN THE MINUTIAE OF THIS WE HAVE TO CONSIDER THOSE OTHER PEOPLE, THOSE OTHER WORKING PEOPLE THAT WILL BE -- LOSE OUT BECAUSE SOMEONE ELSE IS GETTING THAT JOB. THAT'S THE REALITY OF LIFE AND WE HAVE TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THAT THAT MIGHT HAPPEN HERE. BECAUSE ONE WAY OR ANOTHER YOU CAN ONLY HIRE SO MANY PEOPLE LET'S SAY IN A SPECIFIC JOB. WE'RE TRYING TO CROWD -- REMEMBER, MY -- CREATE -- REMEMBER MY POINT IS HUMANITY HAS ALWAYS DONE THIS, BUT, WE -- IN OUR CREATION OF OUR SOCIAL AND BUREAUCRATIC CONSTRUCTS. AND I DON'T MEAN JUST GOVERNMENT, I MEAN BUREAUCRATIC WHETHER IT'S PRIVATE INDUSTRY OR PUBLIC INDUSTRY. AND SO JUST TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION THAT THERE IS OFTEN OPPORTUNITY COSTS IN SOCIETY. YOU DO THIS AT THE EXPENSE OF THAT. IF YOU HAVE SOME MONEY, YOU SPEND YOUR MONEY ON THIS, IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY COST NOT HAVING SPENT IT ON THAT AND ONE WAY OR ANOTHER THERE WILL BE AN ECONOMIC SHIFT. I DID THIS, I COULD HAVE DONE THIS. THESE PEOPLE ARE GETTING THE MONEY, THESE PEOPLE ARE NOT. IT'S GOING TO AFFECT OUR ECONOMY, OUR SOCIETY. THAT'S JUST THE WAY IT IS. IT'S NOT WRONG IT'S JUST REALITY. SO, -- BUT WHEN IT COMES DOWN TO THIS, JUST REMEMBER, THAT OTHER PEOPLE WILL BE LOSING THEIR JOBS WHO ARE CAPABLE AND WILLING TO WORK. WE NEED TO CREATE A CULTURE WHICH IS NOT NEW, A CULTURE OF WORKING WITH PEOPLE AND TRYING TO BRING OUT THE BEST OF PEOPLE SO THEY CAN WORK TO THE BEST OF THEIR ABILITY. THAT'S WHAT THIS IS ABOUT. IT'S IMPORTANT, THE POSITIVE THING. BUT, IN THE PROCESS, BEAR IN MIND WHO IS BEING AFFECTED AND WHY DO I SAY THIS? MY CONCERN, TO BE HONEST, IS THAT THERE IS GOING TO BE CERTAIN SECTORS SPECIFICALLY, AND THOSE WORKING PEOPLE WILL BE LOSING THEIR JOBS AND WE NEED TO GIVE ATTENTION TO IN A BECAUSE LOSING YOUR JOB OR NOT GETTING THAT JOB FOR SOMEONE WHO IS CAPABLE AND WILLING THAT'S A SAD THING IN OUR SOCIETY. SO, I'M HOPING THAT WE GIVE CONSIDERATION TO BALANCE, THAT

IT'S NOT MERELY IN TERMS OF PUBLIC SECTOR JOBS. IN CREATE THAT CULTURE OF TRYING TO INCORPORATE AS MANY PEOPLE WHO ARE WILLING AND ABLE TO WORK, AND WORKING WITH THIS TYPE OF PROGRAM, THAT IF GOVERNMENTS, CITY OR PROVINCIAL, WE COULD TALK ABOUT THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN THIS, IF THEY ARE GOING TO BE INVOLVED, THAT WE TRY TO SEE FROM THE BEGINNING AND SEE HOW WE CAN EVOLVE THIS SO IT'S NOT MERELY ABOUT GOVERNMENT SECTOR POSITIONS BUT ALSO, ANY GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS THAT ARE PRIVATELY CONTRACTED, THAT IT'S WEIGHTED TOWARDS THOSE PRIVATE COMPANIES THAT ARE ALSO WILLING TO INCORPORATE THIS. AND I'M ONLY SAYING THAT BECAUSE IF WE DON'T HAVE A BALANCED CULTURE OF THIS, IF WE ONLY KEEP IT WITHIN A CERTAIN SECTOR, I HAVE GOT MY CONCERNS THAT IT GETS OUT OF BALANCE. IT HAS -- WE HAVE TO TRY TO INCORPORATE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN THROUGHOUT OUR ECONOMY AND IF GOVERNMENTS WANT TO BE LEADERSHIP IN THAT, THEN THE LEADERSHIP SHOULDN'T JUST BE IN THE EASY WAY OF SAYING HERE ARE SOME GOVERNMENT JOBS, WE'LL GIVE IT TO THIS PERSON INSTEAD OF THAT PERSON, WE WANT TO PROVIDE THIS SERVICE BY CONTRACTING IT OUT. THOSE COMPANIES THAT ARE NOT PUBLIC SERVICE BUT ARE GETTING THE CONTRACT TO PROVIDE A PUBLIC SERVICE, LIKE A CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, AS AN EXAMPLE, DO -- ARE ALSO PART OF THAT PROGRAM, ALSO PART OF THAT CULTURE, THAT HUMANITY HAS ALWAYS HAD. AND THAT'S NOT FORGOT FINANCE WE'RE GOING TO MAKE THIS WORK. IT'S A GREAT IDEA, IT REALLY IS, IT'S WONDERFUL. IT ISN'T EXACTLY NEW BUT WHATEVER WE CAN DO TO MAKE IT WORK ALL THE MORE, ALL THE POWER TO US. TO US, TO ALL OF US, THOSE OF US IN THIS ROOM AND THOSE OF US IN INDUSTRY AND THOSE PEOPLE THAT ARE WILLING AND ABLE TO WORK AND JUST NEED SOME ASSISTANCE. I KNOW THAT SOUNDS THEORETICAL BUT IT'S NOT BECAUSE WE'RE REALLY GOING TO BE DEALING WITH PEOPLE THAT WILL GET A JOB AND SOME PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT. AND MY FEAR IS SOME PEOPLE HAVE HAD A JOB FOR YEARS AND ACTUALLY WILL LOSE THEIR JOB AND THAT'S NOT THEORETICAL AT ALL. THERE IS NOTHING MORE REALLY DAY TO DAY REAL TO MEME THAN WHETHER YOU GET A JOB AND WHETHER YOU DON'T. SO I WANT TO KEEP THAT IN MIND BECAUSE WE'RE DEALING WITH SOMETHING THAT'S SENSITIVE. IT'S GOOD, I SUPPORT IT BUT WHEN IT COMES DOWN TO THE NITTY-GRITTY OF THIS -- AND I THINK ABOUT COUNCILLOR BROWATY SAYING THE DEVIL IS IN THE DETAILS KIND OF THING. YEAH IT'S A GREAT IDEA BUT WHAT HAPPENS? IN THIS CASE IT IS A GOOD IDEA JUST BEAR IN MIND THERE IS GOING TO BE SOMEWHERE DOWN THE LINE, THERE IS GOING TO BE SOME SUFFERING. AND I JUST WANT TO BEAR THAT IN MIND BECAUSE IT'S A GREAT IDEA AND TRY TO MITIGATE THAT WHEN WE TRY TO DEAL WITH THE FACT THAT YOU KNOW, OTHER PEOPLE ARE STILL GOING TO WORK AND LET'S TRY TO MAKE THE BEST OF IT IS WHAT I WANT TO SAY. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR KLEIN.

COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU MADAM SPEAKER AND THANK YOU COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, AND NASON AND OTHERS WHO WORKED ON THE MOTION. I KNOW AT EPC WE AMENDED IT TO INCLUDE GETTING COST ANALYSIS AS WELL AS COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS INDICATED THAT THAT'S IMPORTANT. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW JUST INDICATED THAT THERE WILL BE A COST TO THIS AND I THINK THAT IN PRINCIPLE, THE POLICY AND PRINCIPLE MAY BE SOMETHING THAT I DO SUPPORT, BUT I DON'T THINK THERE IS A NEED TO EXPEDITE THE TIME LINE TO GET THE REPORT BACK. IF WE GET THE REPORT AND ULTIMATELY IF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG IMPLEMENTS A SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY THAT WOULD BE IN PLACE FOR YEARS TO COME, SO I THINK IT'S BETTER TO TAKE THE 30 DAYS MORE TO MAKE SURE WE GIVE OUR STAFF ENOUGH TIME TO GET AS MUCH INFORMATION AS THEY CAN ON THE COST IMPACTS AND ANY OTHER IMPACTS TO IMPLEMENTING SUCH A POLICY. SO, I'LL SUPPORT THE MAIN MOTION BUT I WILL NOT BE SUPPORTING THE AMENDING MOTION BECAUSE I THINK IT'S BETTER AT THIS END OF THE PROCESS TO TAKE THE 30 DAYS MORE BETWEEN 90 DAYS AND 120, TAKE THE FULL 120 DAYS AND GET ALL THE INFORMATION WE CAN BEFORE WE PROCEED ANY FURTHER. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR KLEIN.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. ORIGINALLY I SUPPORTED COUNCILLOR NASON'S MOTION THAT WAS PUT FORWARD SOME TIME AGO BECAUSE OF HIS YEARS OF EXPERIENCE AND PASSION IN THE AREA OF SOCIAL PROCUREMENT. HE REALLY DID TAKE THE TIME TO EXPLAIN HIS VISION TO ME. I DID EXPRESS CONCERN SIMILAR TO WHAT WE HAVE HEARD FROM A COLLEAGUE JUST MOMENTS AGO. HE LISTENED AND HE HELPED TALK ME THROUGH THOSE AND I STILL HAVE SOME RESERVATIONS, BUT I THINK IT'S CERTAINLY IMPORTANT TO LOOK AT WHAT WE CAN FIND OUT AND DO SOME RESEARCH. AND I WAS ENCOURAGED BY THE FACT THAT HE SENT THE MOTION TO EACH OF US AS WELL AS THE MAYOR WELL BEFORE IT WAS TABLED AT THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING. AND THEN I WAS DISAPPOINTED AFTER SEEING ANOTHER MOTION COME FORWARD FROM A DIFFERENT COUNCILLOR ON THE SAME MOTION -- OR ON THE SAME TOPIC. AND WE JUST WITNESSED IT EARLIER THIS MORNING, THAT THE VIBE, THE DIVISION, WE'RE NOT ACCOMPLISHING MUCH WITH ALL THIS OTHER THAN -- I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S POLITICALLY MOTIVATED OR WHAT, BUT YOU KNOW, HERE SAY REALLY GOOD EXAMPLE BECAUSE EARLIER I WAS FRUSTRATED BY THIS AND VERY EMBARRASSED BY HOW IT WAS GOING THROUGH THE SYSTEM. EMBARRASSED THAT YOU HAVE TWO COUNCILLORS WORKING ON THE SAME OBJECTIVE, A VERY WELL THOUGHT OUT OBJECTIVE, I THINK. I CAN'T SAY FOR CERTAIN BUT I THINK THEY ARE BOTH COMING FROM A GOOD PLACE WITHIN THEIR OWN HEARTS

AND HERE WE ARE FIGHTING AMONGST EACH OTHER. I ASKED COUNCILLOR NASON AT THAT TIME IF ANYONE HAD TALKED TO HIM AND NOBODY HAD BUT THAT'S BEHIND US NOW. SO I WANT TO STAND HERE TODAY AND SUPPORT THE MOTION FOR THIS REASON, THAT I AM PROUD OF COUNCILLOR NASON AND COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS WHO WENT ON THEIR OWN AND TALKED ABOUT THIS MOTION. AS OPPOSED TO SUPPORTING ONE OR THE OTHER AS WE DID AND THAT HAPPENED AT A PREVIOUS STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE. THE TWO COUNCILLORS WENT ON THEIR OWN AND THEY DIDN'T MAKE DECISION -- THEY DIDN'T DECIDE WHO IS BETTER, WHO IS WORSE, WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED, WHO IS NO WE GET TO SAY THEY DON'T. THEY SAID OKAY MAYBE WE CAN WORK TOGETHER AND MAKE CHANGES HERE AND PUT FORWARD A MOTION. SO I'M PROUD TO SEE THAT THE TWO OF THEM TOOK THAT STEP AND HAVE PRESENTED THIS AMENDMENT TOGETHER. AND I CAN PROUDLY SUPPORT THAT TEAM WORK TO SEE THE REPORT AND REVIEW THE REPORT AND THEN WE CAN DIG DEEPER INTO IT. SO I THANK THEM BOTH FOR THAT AND I APPLAUD WHAT THEY HAVE DONE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR KLEIN. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS?

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. VERY LAUDABLE MOTION AND THE AMENDMENT IS GOOD TO MOVE THIS ALONG QUICKER AS WE ALL KNOW, OTHER CITIES CAN GET DONE ON A QUICKER BASIS. I JUST WANTED TO RISE AND SPEAK TO THIS. I AM FAMILIAR WITH MANY OF THE SOCIAL ENTERPRISE ORGANIZATIONS, TWO OF WHICH EXIST IN MY AREA -- ACTUALLY MORE. POLLOCKS HARDWARE CO-OP CONSIDERS THEMSELVES A SOCIAL ENTERPRISE AS WELL, WHICH THE MAYOR MENTIONED IT YESTERDAY AT THE BUDGET MEETING. BUT, I JUST WANTED TO EXPRESS SOMETHING THAT I THINK A LOT OF PEOPLE MISS. AND GOVERNMENTS AND THE PEOPLE WHO MAKE IT WORK, THE PEOPLE WHO WORK IN ADMINISTRATION AND IN THE PUBLIC SERVICE AT ALL THREE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT, ESSENTIALLY, WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT SOCIAL, GOVERNMENTS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR SOCIAL CONCERNS. AND I SEE THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AS A SOCIAL ENTERPRISE AS WELL. AND I THINK OVER TIME WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO SEE FIT TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE COULD INCLUDE. LOST AUDIO) MAKE IT THROUGH UNIVERSITY. IN THE PAST I CAN TELL YOU WINNIPEG'S SOCIAL ENTERPRISE THOUGH THERE WAS NEPOTISM INVOLVED, WAS ABLE TO PROVIDE GOOD PAYING JOBS FOR PEOPLE WHO DIDN'T HAVE HIGH EDUCATIONS BUT WERE TRYING TO RAISE FAMILIES IN THE NORTH END. THAT'S A HISTORY THAT I THINK A LOT

OF PEOPLE DON'T UNDERSTAND. BUT I SEEN IT WHEN I WAS A KID, THAT THERE WAS AN ABILITY FOR THOSE WORKERS TO MAKE A DECENT AMOUNT OF MONEY SO THEIR KIDS COULD GO TO UNIVERSITY AND GET SOMEWHERE. SO I DON'T WANT US ALL TO FORGET HERE THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE SOCIAL PROCUREMENT WHICH I THINK IS VERY IMPORTANT. BUT, WHEN WE ARE MOVING FORWARD AS A CITY AND WE HAVE DONE MANY THINGS TO IMPROVE THE ABILITY OF THE CITY TO GET OVER THE RACIST PRACTICES AND SO ON, AND WE ALL WANT TO SEE MORE PEOPLE OF COLOUR WORKING FOR CITY, WE ALL WANT TO SEE THE FACES IN OUR NEIGHBOURHOODS REFLECTED IN OUR WORKFORCES, WHICH YOU WILL FIND AT MANY OF THE SOCIAL ENTERPRISES. THEY ARE TRAINING THEM AND THEY NEED OPPORTUNITIES FOR THEM TO LEARN AND YOU KNOW, MAYBE WE CAN PICK THEM UP AND HIRE SOME OF THESE PEOPLE AFTERWARDS, TOO, LIKE I DON'T KNOW, SOCIAL ENTERPRISES PAY PRETTY GOOD DOLLARS BUT THEY DON'T NECESSARILY PROVIDE THAT KIND OF PENSION SUPPORT AND ALL THOSE KIND OF THINGS THAT HELP SOMEONE ALL THROUGH THEIR LIFE WHEN THEY RETIRE, THEY HAVE AN ABILITY TO RETIRE, NOT IN POVERTY AND SO ON. SO, I JUST WANT TO REMIND PEOPLE THAT THAT'S AN IMPORTANT CONCEPT AS WELL, TO KEEP IN MIND. AND AS WE MOVE FORWARD WITH THE SOCIAL PROCUREMENT. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR EADIE. GOING ON LINE VIRTUAL TO COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: YES, I HAD SECONDED THIS MOTION WITH COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS IN COUNCIL AND I WANT TO CELEBRATE THIS SPIRIT OF COLLABORATION WE'RE SEEING WITH THIS MOTION AND I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR NASON FOR SECONDING AND AMENDING THE MOTION AND ACCELERATING THE REPORT WITH COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS TODAY I WILL BE SUPPORTING THAT AMENDING MOTION AND I WANT -- I WANT TO MAYBE POINT OUT HOW YOU CAN MOVE THINGS FORWARD THROUGH COUNCIL BY WORKING BEHIND THE SCENES, BY WORKING WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE, BY WORKING WITH STAKEHOLDERS AND BY WORKING WITH YOUR COLLEAGUES AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS HAD DONE ON THIS MOTION AND I THINK SEEING COUNCILLOR NASON WORK WITH COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS IS ANOTHER POSITIVE AND I HOPE WE CAN UNANIMOUSLY SUPPORT THIS MOTION TODAY. AT EPC WE SET 120 DAYS BASED ON OUR UNDERSTANDING OF HOW QUICKLY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COULD DELIVER THE REPORT. I DON'T SEE A PROBLEM IN TRYING TO ACCELERATE THAT THOUGH AROUND THESE HALLS WE OFTEN SEE REQUESTS FOR EXTENSIONS OF TIME AND THAT'S A LITTLE BIT BEYOND OUR CONTROL SOMETIMES. BUT CERTAINLY PUTTING THE PRESSURE ON AND TRYING IT SEE THIS REPORT COME FORWARD SOONER IS SOMETHING WE CAN ALL SUPPORT AND I HOPE WE CAN SUPPORT THIS AMENDING MOTION AND THE MAIN MOTION UNANIMOUSLY TODAY. I THINK IT WILL PROVIDE TREMENDOUS OPPORTUNITIES. AND ONCE WE HAVE THIS PROCUREMENT POLICY FOR THE PEOPLE WHO VERY MOST NEED ACCESS TO

EMPLOYMENT. AND I THINK SOMETIMES WE'RE PENNY-WISE POUND-FOOLISH ON COUNCIL WHETHER WE'RE LOOKING AT ENFORCING CRIME VERSES THE CAUSES OF CRIMES AND THESE TYPES OF OPPORTUNITIES THAT WOULD BE PROVIDED THROUGH A SOCIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY, I THINK WE WILL BE HEADING TOWARDS A BETTER CITY AND WE'LL SEE YOU KNOW -- WE'LL SEE A HUGE RETURN ON INVESTMENTS IN TERMS OF REDUCTIONS IN EMERGENCY CALLS, CRIME, AND THOSE OTHER SIDES OF THE SERVICES THAT THE POLICE PROVIDE -- SORRY, THAT THE COUNCIL PROVIDES IN ORDER TO KEEP US ALL SAFE. SO I THINK OPPORTUNITY IS WHAT WILL MAKE OUR CITY BETTER AND I THINK A PROCUREMENT POLICY LIKE THIS ONE WILL GIVE THOSE PEOPLE THAT OPPORTUNITY WHO NEED IT. I RECALL SOMEONE TALKING ABOUT WORKING FOR GANGS OR WORKING IN CONSTRUCTION, I WOULD MUCH RATHER SEE PEOPLE WORK IN CONSTRUCTION THAN WORKING IN ORGANIZED CRIMES. SO I THINK THIS IS A PERFECT DIRECTION TO BE MOVING FORWARD IN AND I LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING THE MAIN REPORT AND I LOOK FORWARD TO SUPPORTING THESE MOTIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ALLARD. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? SEEING NONE, COUNCILLOR NASON TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR NASON: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'LL BE VERY BRIEF. WE HAVE HEARD THE CONCERNS ABOUT COSTS. THE SECTOR IS AWARE OF THAT. THEY HAVE SPOKEN ON THE MATTER WHAT THEY PERCEIVE. THEY HAVE THE SENSE OF URGENCY. I KNOW THEY ARE LISTENING. WINNIPEG IS LISTENING AS WELL. HEARING COMMENTS FROM MY COLLEAGUE PERTAINING TO OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT COMING TO THE TABLE, I HOPE THEY ARE LISTENING. IF THE SECTOR IS READY TO ROLL UP THEIR SLEEVES, I KNOW THE PUBLIC SERVICE HAS A LOT OF DEMANDS ON THEM AND I APPRECIATE THAT. I APPRECIATE THAT THE 30 DAYS LESS MAY INCREASE THE PRESSURE, BUT I'M SURE THAT THE SECTOR CAN ASSIST US AND BRING TO THE TABLE THE INFORMATION THAT WILL ASSIST THE PUBLIC SERVICE SO THAT WHEN THEY DO GET TOGETHER, THE BALL IS ALREADY ROLLING, THAT WE CAN GET THERE, THAT WE CAN MEET THESE AGGRESSIVE TIME LINES THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT SO THAT WE CAN START TO SEE SUCCESS. SO, I HOPE THAT THE 90 DAYS IS NOT PUTTING TOO MUCH UNDO STRESS ON THE PUBLIC SERVICE BUT I THINK THE SECTOR CAN ASSIST AND WORK TOWARDS MAKING WINNIPEG BETTER. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR NASON. AND COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO CLOSE ON THE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: PARDON ME. I'M SORRY. WE'RE GOING BACK TO THE MAYOR FOR THE CLOSE. THIS IS UNDER THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE.

MAYOR BOWMAN: IF IT WON'T TAKE A LOT OF TIME WITH THE CLERKS CAN I DEFER MY CLOSE TO COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS?

MADAM SPEAKER: YOU DID SO YESTERDAY MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR BOWMAN: I DEFER TO COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. LET HIM HAVE THE FAN FARE.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR, THANK YOU MADAM SPEAKER. I WANT TO THANK ALL MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES FOR THEIR COMMENTS AND RECOGNIZING THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS WORK THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. MOVING THE CITY OF WINNIPEG FORWARD TO HELPING THOSE THAT HAVE DEMONSTRATED BARRIERS TO EMPLOYMENT, THIS SPEAKS TO WHO WE ARE AS A CITY AND LISTENING TO THE VOICE OF OUR COMMUNITIES ESPECIALLY IN THE INNER CITY AREA WHERE A LOT OF THIS ACTIVITY IS HAPPENING THAT DETRACTS AND TAKES AWAY FROM BUILDING COMMUNITY CAPACITY. SO AGAIN THANK YOU COUNCIL COLLEAGUES FOR YOUR KIND COMMENTS THIS MORNING, YOUR SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION, AND I LOOK FORWARD TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE'S RESPONSE IN TERMS OF A REPORT THAT GETS THIS UNDER WAY. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. WITH THAT I'LL CALL THE QUESTION: COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS IS CALLING FOR A RECORDED VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR OF THE AMENDING MOTION 2, PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD AND BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN. COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS MADAM SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM AND SCHREYER.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE YEAS 14 NAYS 2.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. AMENDING MOTION 2 PASSES AND NOW A VOTE ON THE MAIN ITEM, ITEM 12 AS AMENDED. ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN,

COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE YEAS 16, NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 12 PASSES AS AMENDED. WE'LL NOW MOVE INTO A NOTICE OF MOTION THAT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR LUKES AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE. IT'S FROM THE NOVEMBER 26, 2020 COUNCIL MEETING REGARDING THE MAYOR'S OFFICE EXPENDITURE POLICY. THE MOTION IS INCLUDED ON THE AGENDA. COUNCILLOR LUKES YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO INTRODUCE YOUR MOTION.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER: MADAM SPEAKER IN THE 2014 ELECTION MANY COUNCIL MEMBERS IN THIS CHAMBER TODAY WERE ELECTED WITH THE MANDATE TO RESTORE TRUST IN CITY HALL. WE WERE ELECTED TO IMPROVE ACCOUNTABILITY, TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS AT CITY HALL. THERE WAS MUCH TALK ABOUT LIFTING THE VEIL OF SECRECY AND UNLOCKING THE DOOR TO CITY HALL AND NOW, 6 YEARS LATER THAT COUNCIL OF 2014 AND THE COUNCIL OF 2018 HAVE MADE MUCH PROGRESS ON CONTINUING TO WORK TO IMPROVE PROCESSES TO ENSURE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR THE TAXPAYERS OF WINNIPEG. WE HAVE CREATED THE ROLE OF THE INTEGRITY OFFICER, IMPLEMENTED THE WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ACT AND IMPROVED THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY REQUEST AND RELEASING DATA SETS ON THE STU'S OPEN DATA PORTAL TO NAME A FEW OF THE ACTIONS COUNCIL HAS MADE. JUST LAST MONTH'S COUNCIL MEETING THE OPEN GOVERNMENT ANNUAL REVIEW REPORT HIGHLIGHTED THAT WINNIPEG RANKS SECOND AS THE MOST OPEN CANADIAN CITIES ON THE OPEN CITIES INDEX FOR 2019. HUGE WORK OVER THE PAST 6 YEARS AND HUGE ACCOMPLISHMENTS. I WOULD LIKE TO COMMEND THE MAYOR AND ALL OF COUNCIL WHO CONTRIBUTED TO AND SUPPORTED THESE INITIATIVES. BUT COUNCILLORS AS YOU KNOW THERE IS ALWAYS MORE WORK TO DO. DEPARTMENTS ARE WORKING ON IMPROVING OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY ON THE PUBLIC PROCUREMENT PROCESS AND INCREASING THE PLATFORMS ON WHICH THE CITY INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE. AND ONE OF MY PERSONAL FAVOURITES, THE DEPARTMENT IS WORKING -- THE DEPARTMENTS ARE WORKING TO ENSURE THE USE OF PLAIN LANGUAGE WRITING STYLE TO BE USE ON CITY DOCUMENTS FOR PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS. COUNCILLORS, THE MOTION BEFORE YOU TODAY IS JUST ANOTHER STEP TOWARDS ENSURING IMPROVED OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY FOR WINNIPEG TAXPAYERS. CURRENTLY, THE WINNIPEG -- CURRENTLY, A MAYOR OF WINNIPEG HAS AN ANNUAL OFFICE BUDGET OF 1.8 MILLION TO USE AT HIS OR HER DISCRETION. I JUST WANT TO TAKE A MINUTE AND COMPARE WINNIPEG'S MAYORS' OFFICE BUDGET TO

OFFICE BUDGETS OF OTHER MAYORS ACROSS MAJOR CANADIAN CITIES. VANCOUVER MAYOR, 1.3 MILLION. REGINA, 1.2 MILLION. HAMILTON, 1.1. OTTAWA, 900,000. EDMONTON, 89,000. HALIFAX, 85.-- 857,000. CALGARY'S MAYOR HAS AN OFFICE BUDGET SIMILAR TO WINNIPEG'S OF 1.8 MILLION AND TORONTO'S MAYOR HAS AN OFFICE BUDGET OF 2.75 MILLION AND I THANK THE CLERKS FOR THIS INFORMATION. SO, COMPARED TO OFFICE BUDGETS OF THE MAYORS ACROSS CANADA, WINNIPEG'S MAYOR'S BUDGET IS ONE OF THE HIGHEST IN ALL OF CANADA. NOW WE RECOGNIZE THAT BUDGETS -- DIFFERENT THINGS COME FROM THE BUDGETS IN THE DIFFERENT CITIES BUT I THINK THAT THIS IS IMPORTANT THAT WE HAVE COUNCIL ADOPTED POLICY. QUITE SIMPLY IT'S ABOUT OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY ON THE USE OF TAXPAYER DOLLARS. WHILE THE MAYOR HAS CREATED GUIDELINES FOR HIMSELF ON HOW TO SPEND THE 1.8 MILLION OF TAXPAYER FUNDING IT'S NOT A COUNCIL-APPROVED POLICY. THERE IS A MISSING LEVEL OF PUBLIC SCRUTINY. YOU ARE ALL VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE VERY THOROUGH SCRUTINY WHICH IS A FLUORIDE THE COUNCILLORS WARD ALLOWANCE AND THE PUBLIC DISCLOSURES AND YESTERDAY WE PASSED A BY-LAW TO ALLOW PLUCK SCRUTINY TO GRANT PROCESS THAT WE HAVE IN THE WELLNESS PROGRAM AS WE HAVE OTHER IMPLEMENTED OTHER SCRUTINY ON THE FUNDINGS THAT WE ALLOW THROUGH OTHER BUDGETS, THROUGH OTHER GRANTING PROGRAMS. THE MAYOR'S OFFICE DOES NOT HAVE A COUNCIL APPROVED EXPENDITURE POLICY. THERE IS NO COUNCIL-APPROVED POLICY TO ENSURE EXPENDITURES COMPLY WITH PURCHASING AUDITING AND FINANCIAL POLICIES. THE GUIDELINE ARE POLICY AS IT'S CALLED, CAN CHANGE FROM YEAR TO YEAR WITH NO RECORD OF CHANGES, NO TRACK CHANGES AND THEN NO REVIEW OF THE CHANGES BY THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL OR THE ULTIMATELY COUNCIL. BUT IT'S IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT THE MAYOR'S EXPENDITURES ARE AUDITED WHICH IS A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. AND WE HAVE HEARD THE MAYOR STATE VARIOUS TIMES IT'S AUDITED AND THE AUDIT IS PUBLISHED AND I THINK THAT'S A GREAT INITIAL STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. BUT, I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO ENSURE A HIGHER LEVEL OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND THAT IT IS IMPORTANT TO IMPLEMENT A COUNCIL-APPROVED POLICY TO ENSURE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF 1.8 MILLION IN TAXPAYER MONEY. I CAN'T THINK OF A REASON WHY THIS SHOULDN'T BE A COUNCIL-APPROVED MAYOR OFFICE EXPENDITURE POLICY. IT'S JUST ANOTHER STEP IN OUR EFFORTS TO RESTORING TRUST IN CITY HALL. BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT WE WERE ELECT FORD TO IMPROVE ACCOUNTABILITY, TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS. FOR NOW AND FOR THE FUTURE. AND CHANGES COMING IN THE FUTURE AND WE MUST CONTINUE TO IMPROVE. MADAM SPEAKER, IF COUNCIL MEMBERS ARE OPPOSED TO THIS ADDED LEVEL OF OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY, I ASK THAT THEY STAND UP AND STATE WHY. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR LUKES. COUNCILLOR EADIE -- PARDON ME COUNCILLOR ROLLINS FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. SO NEW CITY COUNCILLOR, READ THE POLICY WITH RESPECT TO EXPENDITURES, CITY COUNCIL MEMBER EXPENSES. IT'S LOCATED ON THE WEB SITE. AND WHAT IS ALSO LOCATED THERE IN TERMS OF THE COUNCILLOR WARD ALLOWANCE FUND POLICY THAT I AM SUBJECT TO, IS THE MAYOR'S EXPENDITURE POLICY THAT HE IS SUBJECT TO. THAT INCLUDES VERY LENGTHY DOCUMENT AS IS OURS IN TERMS OF THE PROCESSES, THE ALLOWABLE EXPENSES, THE PROVISION OF TRANSPARENCY, THE PUBLIC'S RIGHT TO KNOW HOW PUBLIC FUNDS ALLOCATED TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE ARE SPENT. I KNOW -- NOTE IN MY ACCLAIMED COLLEAGUES, COUNCILLOR LUKES' POINTS THAT WERE MADE IN SUPPORT OF THE DEBATE, MADAM SPEAKER, A JURISDICTIONAL SCAN AND I APPRECIATE JURISDICTIONAL SCANS AS A POLICY ANALYST AND THE TOUR ACROSS CANADA CITIES OF 1.8, 1.3, 1.2, 900,000, BUT I ALSO NOTE, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT THE MOTION DOESN'T SUPPORT A REDUCTION NECESSARILY. THE MOTION IN MY OPINION, MADAM SPEAKER, DUPLICATES THAT WHICH IS THERE AND CALLS INTO QUESTION SOMETHING THAT IS INDEED PROVIDED FOR AS A MATTER OF POLICY. AND SO I THINK THIS MAYOR HAS AN EXPENDITURE POLICY. I THINK IT'S AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC, MADAM SPEAKER. I THINK AND I KNOW THAT IT IS AUDITED EVERY YEAR. AND I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT THERE WERE A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT, AS A NEW CITY COUNCILLOR I WISH I HAD THE RESOURCES TO PUT UP, INCLUDING THE CALENDAR OF MEETINGS, LIKE THE MAYOR DOES. I REALLY THINK THAT THE MAYOR'S THRESHOLD IS HIGHER THAN COUNCILLORS AND IN PART BECAUSE I STRUGGLE TO REPLICATE SOME OF THOSE. AND I MENTIONED ONE OF THEM, WHICH IS THE CALENDAR. YOU CAN'T SEE MY CALENDAR AND I ACTUALLY REALLY HAVE STRAINED RESOURCES BUT ONE DAY I WILL PUT IT UP RETROACTIVELY AND THAT'S WHAT MY OFFICE WITH MY ONE ASSISTANT PROVIDES FOR AND THAT'S WHAT I HOLD AS AN AMBITION TO PUT UP MY CALENDAR AS A MATTER OF TRANSPARENCY. SO, I WANT TO KEEP IT SHORT, MADAM SPEAKER, BUT I SEE HERE A DOCUMENT THAT IS -- THAT IS REALLY SEVERAL PAGES, INCLUDING APPENDIXES, OVER 30, THAT THE MAYOR HAS TO -- INCLUDING THE TRANSACTION LOG, THE PURCHASING CHANGES, THE SUPPORT FROM OUR FINANCE OFFICIALS, TO MAKE IT PLAIN TO WINNIPEGERS EXACTLY WHERE THAT 1.8 MILLION IS GOING. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: YES, THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'LL JUST BEGIN. I SECONDED THIS MOTION TO ASSIST WITH SOME OF THE NEWER PEOPLE, MADAM SPEAKER, OURSELVES BEING THE 2010 ELECTED AND WE DID RUN IN THE 2014. SO FIRST I WOULD JUST LIKE TO CLARIFY THAT IT WASN'T A PUSH FROM COUNCIL AFTER THE 2014

ELECTION THAT TRIED TO PUSH THE MAYOR'S OFFICE INTO BEING MORE FINANCIALLY ACCOUNTABLE TO THE PUBLIC AND TO COUNCIL. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT MOTIONS MOVED BY MYSELF AND OTHERS TO TRY TO MAKE THINGS MORE ACCOUNTABLE, OPEN AND TRANSPARENT. IT'S JUST THERE WASN'T ENOUGH VOTES TO BE ABLE TO GET IT DONE, MADAM SPEAKER. AND THEN, MADAM SPEAKER, I WOULD NOTE THAT IT TOOK QUITE SOME TIME TO FINALLY CONVINCE THE MAYOR'S OFFICE AND THE MAYOR TO COME UP WITH THE GUIDELINES THEY HAVE, AND THEY ARE GUIDELINES, AND THEY DID. IT'S TRUE. THE REALLY TROUBLESOME AND HARD TO DEAL WITH ISSUE IS THAT -- AND THE REASON I SECONDED THIS IS OUR COUNCILLOR OFFICES ARE TREATED WAY DIFFERENTLY THAN THE MAYOR'S OFFICE UNDER -- WELL IT'S UNDER THE GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE, REALLY, THAT PART OF THE PROBLEM EXISTS, IN THAT WE ALL KNOW THAT EVEN, MADAM SPEAKER, I KNOW YOU WOULD LIKE TO CHAMPION THE ABILITY FOR OUR WORKERS IN OUR COUNCILLOR OFFICES TO BE ABLE TO HAVE SOME KIND OF A PENSION. MY ASSISTANTS PUT IN WHAT, TEN YEARS OF HARD WORK, PASSIONATE HARD WORK. AND YOU KNOW, THE MAYOR'S OFFICE HAS SPECIAL PRIVILEGE IN THAT ANYBODY WHO WORKS IN HIS OFFICE ARE EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. AND YEAH, THAT MEANS THEY HAVE TO BE ACCOUNTABLE ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE SIDE OF THE STRUCTURE. BUT AGAIN, WHEN WE LOOKED AT THE EMPLOYEE CODE OF CONDUCT I VOTED AGAINST IT, REALLY. LIKE, I -- WHAT WAS COME UP WITH, TO MAKE THE CITY MORE ACCOUNTABLE, OPEN, TRANSPARENT AND AVOIDING ETHICAL ISSUERS AND SO ON AND SO FORTH, MADAM SPEAKER, IT'S PRETTY LOOSE COMPARED TO WHAT WE HAVE TO FACE AS ELECTED OFFICIALS. AND ACTUALLY, OUR OFFICE STAFF HAVE TO MEET THE SAME STANDARD OF CODE OF ETHICAL CONDUCT AS WELL. AND WE ALL KNOW THAT, JUST AS THE MAYOR'S OFFICE DOES, THAT'S WRITTEN IN THE GUIDELINES IN THE OFFICE EXPENDITURES AND STUFF. BUT WHAT COUNCILLOR LUKES IS GETTING AT HERE IS WE SHOULD HAVE SOMETHING THAT'S BASICALLY THE SAME. ALL 16 OFFICES ARE ELECTED. ALL 16. WE SHOULD ALL BE TREATED THE SAME, MADAM SPEAKER. AND SO, I HEAR A LOT ABOUT STANDING UP AND BEING ACCOUNTABLE FOR WHAT YOU ARE VOTING FOR AND SO ON AND SO FORTH. WELL, LET'S STAND UP FOR THOSE GUIDELINES THAT ARE THERE AND LET'S MAKE IT A MORE SOLID POLICY IN THE MAYOR'S OFFICE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR EADIE. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR BOWMAN: THANKS VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. SO, I APPRECIATE THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS FROM COUNCILLOR LUKES OF SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS THAT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG HAS MADE OVER THE LAST 6 YEARS IN TERMS OF IMPROVING OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY AND I DON'T WANT TO THANK ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL WHO HAD A HAND IN THAT AS WELL, BOTH THE PREVIOUS COUNCIL AND THE CURRENT COUNCIL. THE RECOGNITION THAT WE HAVE MOVED FROM I THINK IT WAS 20 OR 21 PLACE IN THE COUNTRY IN THE OPEN CITY'S INDEX UP TO SECOND PLACE IS SOMETHING THAT I HAVE PRIORITIZED AND LED ON AND WILL CONTINUE TO DO SO.

THERE IS A COUPLE -- THERE ARE A COUPLE -- I DO TAKE ISSUE WITH SOME INACCURACIES IN AT LEAST A PORTION OF THE STATEMENTS COUNCILLOR LUKES HAS MADE ON THE FLOOR TODAY. THE CITY WEB SITE CURRENTLY HAS THE MAYOR'S OFFICE EXPENDITURE POLICY AS WELL AS THE COUNCILLOR POLICIES POSTED ON WINNIPEG.CA AND MEMBERS WHO ARE FOLLOWING THESE PROCEEDINGS AND WHO MAY FEEL THAT THE POLICY DOESN'T EXIST OR CAN'T BE FOUND CAN SIMPLY VISIT WINNIPEG.CA TO SEE THAT. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR EADIE. HE ADVOCATED FOR IT EARLY IN MY FIRST TERM, AND YOU KNOW, WHAT RESIDENTS MAY NOT BE AWARE OF IS THERE WAS NO POLICY FOR THE MAYOR'S OFFICE EXPENDITURE POLICY. IT CERTAINLY WASN'T MADE PUBLIC, THAN ARE NO ANNUAL AUDITS IN THE PREVIOUS MAYOR'S ADMINISTRATION OR MAYORS BEFORE THEN. THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT I DIDN'T NEED COUNCIL TO TELL ME TO DO. THAT WAS A PROPER MEASURE THAT I THINK HAS SERVED TAXPAYERS AND SERVED THE RESIDENTS VERY WELL. SO THERE IS A POLICY, IT IS POSTED ON LINE, AND I MIGHT ADD IT IS IN SOME RESPECTS, IT'S DEFINITELY A HIGHER THRESHOLD OF ACCOUNTABILITY. THE ANNUAL AUDITS IS SOMETHING THAT ONLY BY VIRTUE OF THE FACT THAT THE POLICY IS SOMETHING THAT I AM ABLE TO WORK ON, IS AUDITED ANNUALLY, RESIDENTS WHO ARE FOLLOWING THESE PROCEEDINGS, I'LL HIGHLIGHT RECENTLY AND YOU VOTED AGAINST THIS, COUNCILLORS NOW ARE NOT HAVING ANNUAL AUDITS. PERHAPS THAT'S SOMETHING COUNCILLOR LUKES CAN VOLUNTARILY DO ON HER OWN. THERE ARE OTHER THINGS THAT I WILL POINT OUT. IN TERMS OF THE JURISDICTIONAL STAND, I WOULD HAVE BEEN INTERESTED TO HEAR FROM COUNCILLOR LUKES AND MAYBE THIS IS SOMETHING WE CAN DO IN NUT YEAR IS DO A JURISDICTIONAL SCAN OF NOT ONLY THE DOLLARS THAT COUNCILLORS HAVE BUT THE DISCRETION THEY HAVE WHICH IS PRETTY SIZEABLE IF YOU COMPARE IT TO MLAS AND MPs WHO REGULARLY TELL ME THEY ARE AMAZED AT THE DISCRETION THAT MEMBERS OF COUNCIL HAVE. SO, IF THAT'S SOMETHING THAT COUNCILLOR LUKES WOULD LIKE TO DEBATE AND STUDY FURTHER, I WOULD WELCOME THAT AS WELL. I HAVE LARGELY DEFERRED TO MEMBERS OF COUNCIL IN DEFERENCE TO THE COUNCILLOR POLICY AND MY VOTE IS A MATTER OF PUBLIC RECORD. BUT, I HAVE TRUST IN MY COLLEAGUES AND WAS DISAPPOINTED THAT THE ANNUAL AUDITS AREN'T OCCURRING BUT SOMETHING CERTAINLY I HAVE BY AND LARGE TO DATE DEFERRED TO MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES. THE RESPONSIBILITIES IN THE MAYOR'S OFFICE ARE DIFFERENT THAN MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AND THEY ARE DIFFERENT AMONGST DIFFERENT JURISDICTIONS. THERE ARE DIFFERENCES WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT, FOR EXAMPLE, IN EDMONTON, THEIR PUBLIC SERVICE HAS UPWARDS OF HALF A DOZEN IN THEIR GOVERNMENT EXTERNAL AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS DEMOCRATIC. WE DON'T HAVE THAT IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. THAT SERVICE IS PERFORMED BY MY OFFICE AND MANY OTHER THINGS. SO I

DON'T THINK IT'S REALLY APPLES TO APPLES. IT'S AN APPLES TO ORANGE COMPARISON. BUT WHAT I CAN TELL RESIDENTS RIGHT NOW IS THERE A POLICY THAT'S POSTED ON LINE AND I'M PROUD THAT IT'S AUDITED ON LINE UNLIKE MEMBERS OF COUNCILLOR AND THERE ARE OTHER MEASURES THAT I HAVE AND WILL CONTINUE TO DO, TO JUST IMPROVE THE OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY. RATHER THAN BRINGING MOTIONS FORWARD TO DATE I HAVE SOFTLY ENCOURAGED MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES TO DO, INCLUDING POSTING MY MEETING SCHEDULES. I DON'T KNOW WHO COUNCILLOR LUKES HAS MET WITH ON THE IMPACT OF FEES OR WHO COUNCILLOR LUKES MET WITH ON SOME OTHER THORNIER ISSUES. MY MEETING SCHEDULE IS POSTED ON LINE SO FOLKS CAN SEE IT AND I DO GET CHALLENGED BY THE IMMEDIATE WHY AND EVEN MEMBERS OF COUNCIL SOMETIMES ON WHAT WAS DISCUSSED IN CERTAIN MEETINGS AND THAT'S AN IMPORTANT MEASURE TIME PROVE OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY AND I WELCOME COUNCILLOR ROLLINS INDICATING SHE WOULD BE OPEN TO DOING THE SAIL AND AGAIN, RATHER THAN POLITICIZING IT AND BRINGING FORWARD A MOTION WHICH I COULD VERY WELL DO AND MAY CONSIDER GOING FORWARD, I WOULD JUST ENCOURAGE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL IF YOU SEE SOMETHING I AM DOING IN MY POLICY THAT YOU LIKE AND CAN SUPPORT, THAT'S SOMETHING I WELCOME IN YOUR OWN PRACTICES OR IN THE COUNCILLOR POLICY FAN INTEREST IS IMPROVEMENTS THAT CAN BE MADE TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE EXPENDITURE POLICY, I WOULD WELCOME THAT INPUT AND SCRUTINIZE IT IN AN OPEN AND TRANSPARENT WAY AS WELL. SO, I WON'T BE SUPPORTING THE MOTION FOR THOSE REASONS BUT WOULD WELCOME THE DISCUSSION NOT JUST WITH COUNCILLOR LUKES BUT WITH OTHER MEMBERS ON COUNCIL ON HOW WE CAN IMPROVE THE OPENNESS, THE TRANSPARENCY AND THE MAYOR'S OFFICE EXPENDITURE POLICY WHICH RESIDENTS CAN SEE ON THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WEB SITE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU MR. MAYOR. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'M NOT REALLY SURE ABOUT -- LIKE I REALLY DON'T KNOW WHAT EVERYONE'S MOTIVATIONS ARE HERE. TO ME IT SEEMS PRETTY SIMPLE. IT'S JUST ANOTHER ASPECT OF OPENNESS AND ACCOUNTABILITY CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WE TALK ABOUT AND WE'RE ALL TRYING TO ACHIEVE. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE PROBLEM S THE MAYOR SAID HE WOULD BE OPEN TO SUGGESTIONS ABOUT IT, BUT DOESN'T THIS ACTUALLY JUST OFFICIALIZE THAT PROCESS OF OPEN DISCUSSION? IT'S A LITTLE MORE THAN THAT, YEAH, BECAUSE IT'S NOT MERELY THE MAYOR MAKING THE DECISIONS BUT, I HAVE TO SAY A COUPLE OF THINGS. ONE, THREE PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM, -- WELL TWO -- WELL, THREE, YOU WILL RETURN. COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM, MAYOR BOWMAN AND MYSELF ALL VOTED, THE THREE OF US, ONLY VOTED AGAINST GETTING RID OF THE ANNUAL AUDIT. I'M NOT SURE -- WELL I DIDN'T PUT OUT A PRESS RELEASE SAYING THAT I VOTED WITH COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM AND MAYOR BOWMAN IN TERMS OF VOTING AGAINST GETTING RID OF THE ANNUAL AUDIT FOR COUNCILLORS. BUT WHY WOULD I? I HAVE

ALWAYS BEEN IN FAVOUR OF THIS SCRUTINY FOR THESE ASPECTS OF EXPENDITURE OF TAXPAYERS MONEY. ANYONE CAN TELL ME THERE IS ANYTHING THAT'S BEEN DONE THAT'S INCONSISTENT WITH THAT, WHAT IS IT? I HAVE NEVER QUESTIONED THE IDEA THAT ANY EXPENDITURES OF MINE TO THE TAXPAYER WERE SCRUTINIZED. NEVER OCCURRED TO ME THEY SHOULD BE. SO I HAVE ALWAYS BEEN OKAY WITH WHATEVER CHANGES WE HAD BECAUSE THEY HAVE BEEN CONSISTENT WITH THE IDEA OF OPENNESS AND SCRUTINY OVER TAXPAYERS MONEY. I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT FOR MYSELF. I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT FOR ANY MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL. HIS WORSHIP, THE FINANCE CHAIR COUNCIL, COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM AND MYSELF, CONSISTENT WITH MY POINT OF VIEW I VOTED WITH THEM OR THEY VOTED WITH ME, THE THREE OF US VOTED, TO MAINTAIN THAT SCRUTINY OVER COUNCILLORS' ANNUAL BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES OF TAXPAYERS' MONEY. SO, HOW -- IS THERE SOMETHING AIM DOING THAT'S INCONSISTENT THROUGHOUT MY ENTIRE 6 YEARS ON COUNCIL, WHAT AM I DOING INCONSISTENT BY VOTING FOR THIS MOTION BY COUNCILLOR LUKES AND COUNCILLOR EADIE? WHAT AM I DOING? AM I DOING SOMETHING CONTRADICTIONARY? I HOPE NOT. I BELIEVE WE'RE TRYING TO MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE THAT LEVEL OF SCRUTINY OVER TAXPAYER EXPENDITURE. HOW AM I BEING CONSISTENT? BECAUSE I VOTED WITH HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN. I VOTED WITH THE FINANCE CHAIR SCOTT GILLINGHAM TO MAKE SURE WE MAINTAINED THAT SCRUTINY ON COUNCILLORS' ANNUAL EXPENSES. NOW IT HAPPENS TO BE THAT THERE IS SORT OF A PATTERN ESTABLISHED WITH COUNCILLORS' EXPENSES BECAUSE THERE IS SO MUCH SCRUTINY. WE'RE NOT JUST TALKING ABOUT A POLICY, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT YOU KNOW, ON A MONTHLY BASIS A VERY DEFINITIVE DEMONSTRATION OF EXPENDITURE. SO, I DON'T KNOW. YOU KNOW, I HAVE TOLD YOU THIS, MY FATHER WAS PREMIER OF MANITOBA. WHAT KIND OF BUDGET DO YOU THINK HE HAD? THAT WAS UNDER LIKE HIS OWN JURISDICTION. I'M TELLING YOU, I WAS KIND OF SURPRISED THAT THIS EX-ILLSED AT CITY HALL. YEAH THAT WAS -- EXISTED AT CITY HALL. YEAH THAT WAS DECADES AGO BUT WHAT'S CHANGED? I'M SAYING THAT'S THE WAY I WAS RAISED. I WAS RAISED THAT WAY. GEEZ, MAN, I DON'T RECOMMEND THIS BUT IF WE WERE TO DO A TRIP ACROSS MANITOBA, A ROAD TRIP ACROSS MANITOBA TO TOUR THIS OR THAT AND WE'RE GOING WITH SOME SENIOR AND MIDDLE BUREAUCRATS, MY DAD MADE SURE THAT WE ALL SLEPT IN THE SAME HOTEL ROOM AND WE ALL GOT COTS. THAT'S NOT AN AXE EXAGGERATION. THAT'S AN UNDERSTATEMENT BECAUSE IT HAPPENED QUITE A FEW TIMES. NOW I'M NOT RECOMMEND THAT. IN FACT TO A CERTAIN EXTENT HE THINKS HE WAS -- WELL HE WAS MORE SELF SCRUTINIZING ON THE PUBLIC BUDGET THAN ANYBODY ELSE AND MAYBE MORE THAN HE SHOULD HAVE BEEN. I'M NOT RECOMMEND THAT FOR THE MAYOR'S BUDGET BUT I JUST DON'T SEE THE PROBLEM. I DON'T SEE THE REASON FOR RESISTANCE. LIKE WHAT IS IT? AND YOU KNOW, I GUESS

WE'RE ALL ENTITLED TO OUR OPINIONS ON THIS BUT FIRST OF ALL IF ANYONE CAN TELL ME HOW I'M BEING INCONSISTENT WITH MYSELF OR HOW I VOTED IN ANY TIME DURING MY 6 YEARS HOW I ALLOCATED. THEY SAY COUNCILLOR LUKES IN 2018 WAS THE LOWEST SPENDING CITY COUNCILLOR FOR THE WARD. I THOUGHT IT WAS ME. MAYBE -- I'M SURE I WAS SECOND. DEPENDS ON THE YEAR. ANNUALLY I'M THE LOW SPENDER. I DON'T HAVE TO BE THE LOWEST SPENDER. I JUST DON'T -- THIS IS THE WAY -- THE MAYOR IS UNDER BUDGET TOO BUT HONESTLY IF I HAD A 1.8 MILLION BUDGET I WOULD BE UNDER BUDGET AS WELL. I THINK THE MAYOR'S PORTION TO THAT BUDGET () IS ALMOST UNDER BUDGET AS I AM BUT I AM ONLY DEALING WITH 80,000. SO LOOK, THIS ISN'T AGAINST THE MAYOR PERSONALLY, THIS IS HOW I VOTED AGAINST MY FELLOW COUNCILLORS JUST A COUPLE OF MEETINGS AGO. SO THIS IS JUST THE WAY I ROLL. BUT I JUST DON'T UNDERSTAND THE RESISTANCE BY COUNCILLORS THAT ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL HAVE A CERTAIN CONSISTENT -- A CERTAIN TYPE OF CONSISTENCY IN SCRUTINY OF THE FINANCES AND HIS WORSHIP MENTIONS AND COUNCILLOR ROLLINS MENTIONS THE CALENDARS. YEAH, I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY. I KNOW IT'S DONE IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS. I DON'T KNOW HOW TO EXPLAIN TO PEOPLE THAT I MAKE THESE PHONE CALLS FROM DIFFERENT PHONES AND THESE ARE MY MEETINGS. I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY. ONLY -- I JUST DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY. AM I SUPPOSED TO GO HERE, GO THERE HOW DO YOU CONSTITUTE A MEETING AND HOW DO YOU CONSTITUTE A CASE WORK AND PHONE CALL. I DON'T KNOW HOW TO EXPLAIN THAT. THAT'S MORE COMPLICATED. A BUDGET ON A MONTHLY BASIS, WE KNOW THAT CAN BE SCRUTINIZED BECAUSE COUNCILS ARE AND LIKE HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, SCOTT GILLINGHAM, THE FINANCE CHAIR AND MYSELF VOTED TO MAINTAIN THAT STANDARD OF SCRUTINY SO I HOPE THERE WOULD BE SOME CONSISTENCY TO HAVE AT LEAST THAT LEVEL OF SCRUTINY THAT WE DO HAVE RIGHT NOW. BECAUSE SCOTT GILLINGHAM, BRIAN BOWMAN AND MYSELF WE LOST THE VOTE. SO THERE ISN'T AS MUCH SCRUTINY BUT LET'S FACE IT WE DEVELOPED CERTAIN CONVENTIONS. MY CONCERN -- AND THIS IS NOT ABOUT ANY PARTICULAR MAYOR JUST IN TERMS OF THIS BUDGET THAT WE OBTAINED THAT SAME CONVENTION OF SCRUTINY FOR THAT BUDGET. IT'S TREATED DIFFERENTLY AND I DON'T KNOW WHY. SO I HOPE YOU UNDERSTAND I'M JUST BEING I THINK CONSISTENT WITH HOW I VOTED, HOW I SEE THINGS, HOW I HAVE DONE THINGS AND ON THAT BASIS, OF THE WAY I SEE IT THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH THIS MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR LUKES AND COUNCILLOR EADIE, IT'S JUST ANOTHER WAY OF BEING OPEN AND TRANSPARENT HERE AT CITY HALL.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR KLEIN.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THANK YOU AND I WILL BE VERY BRIEF. I CERTAINLY ACKNOWLEDGE COUNCILLOR LUKES AND COUNCILLOR EADIE FOR BRINGING FORWARD THIS MOTION AND I THINK IT'S A MOTION OF EQUALITY. I REALLY HAVE THIS TO SAY.

WE HAVE THE GIFT REGISTRY, WE HAVE THE -- YOU KNOW, COMMISSIONER, INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER AND SUCH AND SOME PEOPLE FILL IT OUT AND SOME DON'T AND THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER HAS SOME POWERS AND SHE HAS LITTLE POWERS IN OTHER AREAS. A POLICY, -- TO SIMPLY HAVE A POLICY WITHOUT ANY MEANS OF ACCOUNTABILITY WHICH WOULD BE THE LISTING OF EXPENSES, IS MEANINGLESS. IT'S LIKE HAVING -- LIKE PLAYING A SPORT AND HAVING A NUMBER OF RULES BUT NOT HAVING A REFEREE. THERE IS NO NEED FOR IT. HAVING A POLICY IS A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION AND I AGREE AND APPLAUD WHAT HAS HAPPENED BUT IT DOESN'T GO FAR ENOUGH. IT'S GREAT FOR HEADLINES BUT I THINK WHAT THE MOTION DOES AND I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK TO MY COLLEAGUES BUT INTRODUCES ANOTHER LEVEL OF TRANSPARENCY WHICH I THINK IS THE MOST OVERUSED WORD IN THE CITY BUT TO BRINGS US TO A DIFFERENT LEVEL. AND I DON'T KNOW WHY WE WOULD BE AFRAID OF THAT. I'M LISTENING AND I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE FEAR OF THAT IS. SO I WILL SUPPORT THE MOTION PUT FORWARD BY MY COLLEAGUES AND I WOULD HOPE THAT WE WOULD SEE THIS JUST AS IT IS, JUST ANOTHER EQUALITY MOVE, A MOTION THAT IS REASONABLE AND AGAIN, IF YOU HAVE A POLICY YOU SHOULD HAVE ACCOUNTABILITY AND THAT ACCOUNTABILITY HAS TO BE MEASURED. BECAUSE IF YOU DON'T MEASURE SOMETHING YOU CAN'T MANAGE IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR KLEIN. COUNCILLOR NASON FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM.

COUNCILLOR NASON: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE REFERRAL TO GOVERNANCE.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY. COUNCILLOR NASON HAS MOVED REFERRAL TO GOVERNANCE. COUNCILLOR LUKES, YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO REBUT THAT FOR 3 MINUTES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: OKAY: SURE I WELCOME IT AT GOVERNANCE IT WILL END UP BACK HERE ANYWAYS BUT I STRONGLY BELIEVE THAT A 1.\$8 MILLION BUDGET OF TAXPAYER FUNDING WHILE THERE IS A AUDIT, IF THERE IS AN AUDIT, WHY SHOULDN'T THERE BE THE OTHER LEVEL OF SCRUTINY. THE OTHER LEVEL OF OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY. SO I WELCOME IN AT GOVERNANCE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. SEEING -- PARDON ME? YES. I'LL CALL THE VOTE ON THE REFERRAL TO THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MOVED BY COUNCILLOR NASON AND IT'S CALLED FOR RECORDED VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR.

CLERK: HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, NASON ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, MAYES, ORLIKOW.

CITY CLERK: VOTE YEAS 12 NAYS 4.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE MOTION BEFORE US NOTICE OF MOTION WILL BE REFERRED TO THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE. NEXT IS MOTION 3 A NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR SHARMA AND MAYES REGARDING THE VOTE INFORMATION SYSTEM AND IT WILL APPEAR ON THE JANUARY 28, 2021 COUNCIL AGENDA. MR. MAYOR ON THE BY-LAWS, PLEASE.

MAYOR BOWMAN: I MOVE THE -- I PROVE THAT RULE 10 SUB 3 OF THE PROCEDURE BY-LAW 2007 BE SUSPENDED AND 107.... BE READ.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU ALL IN FAVOUR - CONTRARY - CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAW NO. 107/2020.

MADAM SPEAKER: I WANT TO CLARIFY DO WE HAVE THE RIGHT DOCUMENTATION? OKAY. WE'LL GO BACK WE DID THE ADDENDUM FIRST. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR BOWMAN: THANK YOU I MOVE BY-LAW NO. 107/2020 BE READ A THIRD TIME AND IS SAME BE PASSED AND ORDER SIGNED AND SEALED.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? COUNCILLOR KLEIN IS RECORDED IN OPPOSITION. CONTRARY. CARRIED. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR BOWMAN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I MOVE THAT THE FOLLOWING BY-LAWS BE READ A FIRST TIME. 116/2020, 117/2020, BY-LAW NO. 121/2020, 122/2020, 123/2020, BY-LAW NO. 124/2020, BY-LAW NO. 125/2020 AND BY-LAW NO. 126/2020.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU MR. MAYOR. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAWS NUMBERED 116/2010, 117/2020 121/2020, 122/2020, 123/2020, 124/2020, 125/2020 AND BY-LAW NO. 126/2020.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR BOWMAN: I MOVE THE BY-LAWS NUMBERED 116/2020-117/2020 AND 121/2020-126/2020 BOTH INCLUSIVE BE READ A SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR - CONTRARY - CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAWS NUMBERED 116/2020 117/2020 AND 121/2020-126/2020 BOTH INCLUSIVE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR BOWMAN: I MOVE THE RULE BE SUSPENDED AND BYLAWS 116/2020, 117/2020 AND 121/2020-126/2020 BOTH INCLUSIVE BE READ A THIRD TIME AND THAT THE SAME BE PASSED IN ORDERED TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED.

MADAM SPEAKER: CALL FOR A RECORDED VOTE ON THE LAST SUSPENSION. ALL IN FAVOUR, PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLOR BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE. MR. CLERK, THE VOTE? >>CAN I BE RECORDED IN SUPPORT PLEASE.

MADAM SPEAKER: SORRY I DIDN'T -- OKAY. COUNCILLOR ALLARD, I THINK THE RULE IS YOU HAVE TO BE ON THE FLOOR OR PRESENT ON THE CAMERA AT THE TIME.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I RECALL COUNCILLOR SCHREYER CHANGING A VOTE AT A COUNCIL MEETING. I PREFER NOT DOING THAT. >>POINT MUCH ORDER. I DIDN'T CHANGE THE VOTE I CHANGED THE RECORD OF MY VOTE AND COUNCIL CHANGED THE RECORD.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR SCHREYER TO THAT POINT I WAS GOING TO SAY AT COUNCIL'S CONCURRENCE WE WILL ALLOW COUNCILLOR ALLARD TO RECORD HIS VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR - CONTRARY - CARRIED. COUNCILLOR ALLARD YOU WILL BE RECORDED IN SUPPORT.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: YES, THANKS.

CITY CLERK: YEAS 16 NAYS 0.

>>CLARIFY BY-LAW 126/2020. WHICH IS LISTED AS BEING IN THE RIEL COMMUNITY COMMITTEE AND I'M SURE THE PEOPLE ARE FINE CITIZENS I DON'T THINK THAT'S IN THE RIEL COMMUNITY COMMITTEE PERHAPS LATER TODAY WE CAN GET THAT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT'S BEEN NOTED.

>>(INDISCERNIBLE). THAT MIGHT JUST BE A CUT AND PASTE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR THAT COUNCILLOR MAYES. THAT'S BEEN NOTED BY OUR CITY CLERK AND HE CAN SPEAK WITH YOU OFF LINE ON THAT. OKAY QUESTION PERIOD FOR THE MAYOR. LET'S PUT 30 MINUTES ON THE CLOCK. ANY QUESTIONS FOR MAYOR BOWMAN? SEEING NO QUESTIONS LET'S MOVE ON TO THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT, HERITAGE AND DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT. COUNCILLOR GILROY ON THE REPORT DATED OCTOBER 16TH, 2020.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: YES WOULD I LIKE TO MOVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEM 11.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THAT'S BEEN STOOD DOWN MADAM CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM 11 BROADWAY NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE INSURANCE COSTS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: YES. THIS IS AN ORGANIZATION IN THE WEST BROADWAY NEIGHBOURHOOD THAT LEASES OUT THE BUILDING BUT THE PARK -- THE AREA THAT WE'RE REFERRING TO REQUIRES INSURANCE BUT THEY RUN PROGRAMS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY SO WE'RE HAPPY TO SEE THAT THE CITY WAS ABLE TO WORK OUT AN AGREEMENT. IT'S GOING TO BE NO COST TO THE CITY IT'S JUST BEEN ADDED TO THE INSURANCE COSTS AS THEY ARE NOT UNDER THE GENERAL COUNSEL OF WINNIPEG. SO OF COMMUNITY CENTRES. SO THIS WILL BE KIND OF A WIN/WIN FOR CONSTITUENT AND FOR THE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR GILROY. COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM CHAIR, MADAM SPEAKER. YES, THIS SAY GREAT ORGANIZATION AND I'M FAMILIAR WITH T I THINK COUNCILLOR GILROY AND OTHERS WERE AT THE -- I FORGET WHAT PARTY IT WAS, () IT WAS A PARTY OR OPENING AT THE SKATEBOARD PARK -- OR AT THE BMX PARK. I GUESS THE CHALLENGE THAT I HAVE -- AND I JUST HEARD IT NOW, THAT THERE IS NO CHARGE TO THE CITY AND THAT THE CITY WILL BE PAYING INSURANCE BUT I'M NOT QUITE SURE

HOW THERE IS NO CHARGE TO THE CITY. DOESN'T THE CITY PAY FOR INSURANCE? ANYWAYS I REALLY AM NOT COMFORTABLE MOVING THIS FORWARD BECAUSE I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IN A REPORT THAT THERE IS NO CHARGE. I HEARD NUMEROUS TIMES BEFORE THAT IT RANGED FROM 25-30-\$40,000 AND YOU KNOW, THERE IS JUST NOT ENOUGH CLARITY IN THIS REPORT THAT HELPS ME UNDERSTAND. AND I DID ASK THE DEPARTMENT BUT YOU KNOW THERE SAW LOT OF THINGS GOING ON AND I HAVE NOT HAD TIME TO DECIPHER THAT E-MAIL, YET. SO I WOULD ASK THAT THIS BE LAID OVER AGAIN TO THE NEXT MEETING UNTIL WE HAVE SOME FINANCIAL NUMBERS ASSOCIATED WITH IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. CLERK DID YOU SAY YOU MOVE LAY OVER?

>>YES.

CITY CLERK: SHE WOULD BE OUT OF ORDER BECAUSE SHE SPOKE TO THE MATTER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR NASON I'M JUST GETTING TO THAT. MR. CLERK, JUST -- SHE IS MOVING REFERRAL DURING HER SPEAKING TIME AND I JUST WANT TO GET TO YOUR INPUT ON THAT PLEASE.

CITY CLERK: CORRECT MADAM SPEAKER AS PER THE PREVIOUS BY-LAW LAYOVER MUST BE MOVED PRIOR TO SPEAKING TO THE ITEM.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT, COUNCILLOR KLEIN.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THANK YOU I MOVE WE LAY THE MATTER OVER.

MADAM SPEAKER: TO THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING?

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: YES, MA'AM, THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR KLEIN MOVES LAYOVER TO THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING. ALL IN FAVOUR? COUNCILLOR GILROY DO YOU WISH TO REBUT THAT?

COUNCILLOR GILROY: YEAH. I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO -- WE HAVE LAID IT OVER A FEW TIMES. TO THE COUNCILLORS THAT DID INQUIRE ON THIS, MR. RUTA DID SEND AN E-MAIL REFERRING THERE WILL BE NO COST TO THE CITY SO THEY HAVE IN IN E-MAIL FORM, I WAS CCed ON THAT E-MAIL, SO I THINK WE SHOULD BE GOING AHEAD WITH

THIS. WE HAVE LAID IT OVER MANY TIMES. I THINK THAT THIS IS A PARK THAT MANY FAMILIES ENJOY AND, OF COURSE, THIS IS NOT UNDER THE GENERAL COUNCIL OF COMMUNITY CENTRES SO THIS IS A LEASED PROPERTY FOR THE BUILDING AND THEY RUN LOTS OF GREAT PROGRAMMING OUT OF THERE ON BEHALF OF THE CITY ON SOMETHING THAT THE CITY COULD BE AND MAYBE SHOULD BE DOING BUT THIS GROUP IS RUNNING IT. BUT THE RINK AND THE BMX PARK, I THINK THAT IT IS SOMETHING THAT THE CITY SHOULD BE HAVING UNDER ITS INSURANCE. SO, I HOPE THAT EVERYONE SUPPORTS ME ON THIS. THANKS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR GILROY. WE WILL NOW MOVE TO A VOTE FOR COUNCILLOR KLEIN HAD MOVED.

>>POINT OF ORDER.

MADAM SPEAKER: MOVED LAYOVER.

>>CAN I SPEAK TO THAT?

MADAM SPEAKER: NO.

>>THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THERE IS A CALL FOR A RECORDED VOTE AND SO THE QUESTION IS COUNCILLOR KLEIN HAS MOVED LAYOVER OF THIS ITEM BROADWAY NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE INSURANCE COST TO THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING THE ALL IN FAVOUR OF THE LAYOVER PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS EADIE, KLEIN, LUKES, SCHREYER, MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE YEAS 5, NAYS 11.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE REFERRAL MOTION IS LOST AND WE WILL PROCEED WITH THIS ITEM AND I WILL BE ASKING FOR SPEAKING ORDER COUNCILLOR KLEIN TO CONTINUE.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THANK YOU. JUST A QUESTION FOR CLARIFICATION WOULD IT

BE PRUDENT AT THIS TIME TO ASK MR. RUTA OUR ACTING CAO TO COME DOWN AND ANSWER A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS?

MADAM SPEAKER: IF YOU HAVE A TIME SENSITIVE QUESTION FOR THE MATTER, YES CLARIFICATION QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: YES.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. RUTA -- MR. CLERK WHERE WOULD YOU LIKE MR. RUTA TO -- THE PODIUM. TAKE YOUR TIME. THANK YOU MR. RUTA I'LL GIVE YOU A MOMENT. COUNCILLOR KLEIN ONCE AGAIN IT'S A QUESTION SEEKING CLARIFICATION ON A MATTER IN FRONT OF US.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THANK YOU VERY MUCH MR. RUTA SORRY TO BRING YOU DOWN FROM UPSTAIRS. THE INSURANCE COST THERE IS TWO QUESTIONS THAT I HAVE TO IT. NUMBER 1 WOULD BE DO ALL COMMUNITY CENTRES HAVE THE SAME AFFORDED LUXURY WHERE THEY DON'T HAVE TO PAY THE INSURANCE FOR CLARITY THAT EVERY COMMUNITY CENTRE IS THE SAME, AND I'M AWARE THAT THERE WAS A POTENTIAL OF A SENIOR EXECUTIVE BEING ON THE BOARD OF THIS PARTICULAR COMMUNITY CLUB. DOES THAT IMPACT THIS IN ANY WAY SHAPE OR FORM?

MIKE RUTA: COUNCILLOR THANK YOU FOR YOUR QUESTION AND MADAM SPEAKER I WILL PROCEED TO ANSWER. JUST GENERALLY, OUR COMMUNITY CENTRES DO HOLD THEIR OWN INSURANCE POLICIES AND PROCEED THAT WAY. WE DO COVER LIABILITY INSURANCE ON MANY OF OUR COMMUNITY CENTRES WHERE REQUIRED. IN THE CASE OF THE BROADWAY NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE, THEY ARE NO LONGER A COMMUNITY CENTRE AND THAT WAS A DECISION THAT THE NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE TOOK MANY YEARS AGO. BUT, HAVING SAID THAT, THEY DO OCCUPY CITY LAND AND GENERALLY, THOSE ASSETS ARE CONSIDERED TO BE CITY ASSETS. NOW, THEY HAD INSURANCE ON ALL THEIR ASSETS IN TERMS OF THEIR OWN ARRANGEMENTS WITH AN INSURANCE COMPANY. BUT, IN THIS CASE, THESE THREE PIECES OF VENUE THAT ARE THERE, BASICALLY, THE SKATE PARK AND THERE WAS A BIKE PATH, AND ON TOP OF THAT THERE WAS A SKATING RINK AS WELL, THEY COULDN'T ARRANGE INSURANCE THROUGH THEIR INSURANCE COMPANY. SO, AS A RESULT, THE INITIAL THOUGHT WAS YOU KNOW, ONE OF THE WAYS TO DEAL WITH THAT BECAUSE THEY WERE STRUGGLING TO GET INSURANCE WAS FOR THE CITY TO -- YOU KNOW FOR THE CITY TO POTENTIALLY ARRANGE INSURANCE WITH OUR INSURANCE COMPANY DIRECTLY WITH THE

BROADWAY NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE, IN WHICH CASE THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN CHARGED A FAIR FEE OF SIGNIFICANCE. HOWEVER, ON REFLECTION, ONE OF THE THINGS WE WERE ABLE TO DO IS TO TALK TO OUR INSURANCE COMPANY AND ASK THEM TO TAG ON THE -- THESE PARTICULAR VENUES TO OUR INSURANCE POLICY. AND AS A RESULT THERE IS NO COST ASSOCIATED WITH IT. HAVING SAID THAT, WE HAVE TO ENTER INTO A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE AND THAT'S BEING DRAFTED AND ACTUALLY SUBMITTED TO THEM TO PROCEED. WE CAN DO THAT AS THE PUBLIC SERVICE IN PROCEEDING THAT WAY. AND PART OF THAT DISCUSSION THERE WILL BE THEM MAINTAINING THE ASSET WHICH THEY ABSOLUTELY ARE PREPARED TO DO, AND FOR US TO PROCEED WITH INSPECTIONS OF THE ASSET ON AN ANNUAL BASIS OR MORE OFTEN. AND IF OUR INSPECTIONS FIND THERE IS REQUIREMENTS TO MAKE IMPROVEMENTS THAT'S SOMETHING THAT THE NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE WOULD BE REQUIRED TO PROCEED WITH. SO, WE DO HAVE THAT ARRANGEMENT PROCEEDING AND THAT IS ABSOLUTELY ACCEPTABLE TO OUR INSURANCE COMPANY. THAT'S SOMETHING WE DIDN'T KNOW WHEN WE WERE GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS AND SO WE REVISITED THAT AFTER HAVING DISCUSSIONS IN TERMS OF THE COST. THE NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE BASICALLY SAID THE COST WAS TOO MUCH FOR THEM IN TERMS OF THE INSURANCE AND THIS IS A VERY GOOD SOLUTION, WHICH IS NOT COSTING THE CITY ANYTHING. HOWEVER, I SHOULD MENTION THAT OUR DEDUCTIBLE ON LIABILITY IS APPROXIMATELY \$250,000. IF THERE IS ANY CLAIMS IT WOULD COME AGAINST FIRST OF ALL OUR INSURANCE RESERVE FUND AND ANY RESIDUAL WILL BE COVERED BY THE INSURANCE COMPANY. IN TERMS OF YOUR QUESTION OF THE SENIOR PERSON BEING ON THEIR BOARD, I'M AWARE OF THAT. THAT PERSON HAS NOT BEEN CONSULTED. WE WENT INDEPENDENTLY THROUGH THIS DECISION WITHOUT THAT INPUT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR KLEIN SECOND QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THANK YOU MADAM SPEAKER. NO SECOND QUESTION. JUST THROUGH YOU TO MR. RUTA, I THANK HIM VERY MUCH FOR THE DETAILED RESPONSE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR EADIE FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: JUST THE CAO STILL ON THE FLOOR FOR QUESTIONS?

MADAM SPEAKER: YES.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU. MR. RUTA, I KNOW THIS MIGHT CATCH YOU OFF GUARD BUT I WOULD HAVE EXPECTED TO SEE A COMPARISON TO OTHER SIMILAR FACILITIES BESIDES COMMUNITY CENTRES. I'M NOT QUITE SURE BUT WE HAVE THE CLARA HUGHES RECREATION PARK WHERE A COMMUNITY CENTRE USED TO EXIST AND

THEN THERE IS THE FORKS SKATEBOARD PARK, BIKE THING, AND THAT'S CROWN LAND. AND THEN WE HAVE SOME OTHER SKATEBOARD PARKS. ARE THOSE ULTIMATELY I'M TRYING TO FIND OUT, ARE THOSE ALL COVERED UNDER THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S INSURANCE?

MIKE RUTA: THANK YOU FOR YOUR QUESTION, COUNCILLOR. I PROBABLY HAVE TO GO BACK AND DO MORE RESEARCH ON SOME OF THESE, BUT I WOULD SAY LIKE ANYTHING THAT -- WHERE THE CITY OWNS THE PROPERTY OR THE CITY OWNS THE ASSETS, THERE IS SOME RESPONSIBILITY FROM THE CITY TO BE INVOLVED. HOWEVER, WITH OUR COMMUNITY CENTRES -- AND I SHOULD GO BACK AND LOOK A LITTLE BIT FURTHER AT THE COMMUNITY CENTRES IN TERMS OF THE INSURANCE COVERAGE, BUT I DO KNOW THAT WE HAVE ASKED COMMUNITY CENTRES AND OTHER ORGANIZATIONS WHO ARE NONPROFITS THAT THEY MUST MAINTAIN THEIR OWN INSURANCE DIRECTLY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR EADIE. COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH MR. RUTA. AS YOU KNOW, YESTERDAY WE RECEIVED THE E-MAIL WITH THE DETAILS AT QUARTER TO 12:00 AND DUE TO THE SYSTEM THAT WE HAVE HERE I DID NOT HAVE TIME TO GO THROUGH AND CALL ANYONE AND ASK FOR FURTHER DETAILS. I HAVE TWO QUESTIONS I GUESS I WILL ASK THE FIRST ONE NOW. DID THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECEIVE THIS DETAILED REPORT THAT YOU SPEAK OF ON THIS?

MIKE RUTA: I'M SORRY COUNCILLOR.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: DID THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECEIVE THE DETAILED REPORT THAT YOU --

MIKE RUTA: NO I HAVE NOT SUBMITTED ANY TYPE OF REPORT. THE MOTION ACTUALLY JUST BASICALLY SAID THAT WE WOULD LIKE -- THE PUBLIC SERVICE TO MANAGE THE INSURANCE. SO WE WENT BACK AND WENT BACK TO REVIEW THAT AND DETERMINED HOW BEST TO DO THAT. IF WE COULD DO THAT WITHOUT COST THAT WAS THE OPTION. THE PUBLIC SERVICE WE WENT THROUGH LEGAL SERVICES IN TERMS OF THE PREPARATION OF A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING, AND BASICALLY, THAT WAS THE CONCLUSION THAT WE WERE ABLE TO PROCEED AS A PUBLIC SERVICE WITH THE BROADWAY NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE. SO, I HAVEN'T DONE A SPECIFIC REPORT BUT I HAVE DONE -- I HAVE DONE THE RESEARCH ON THAT IN TERMS OF THE ORIGINAL MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES COUNCILLOR NASON.

COUNCILLOR NASON: POINT OF ORDER MY COLLEAGUE REFERRED TO AN E-MAIL THAT WAS RECEIVED. ONLY BY SOME MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL AND I DON'T BELIEVE IT'S IN ORDER.

MADAM SPEAKER: CAN YOU CLARIFY YOUR POINT COUNCILLOR NASON.

COUNCILLOR NASON: AT 11:42 a.m. ON WEDNESDAY, SUBJECT MATTER BROADWAY NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE WAS SUBMITTED FROM MR. RUTA TO MYSELF, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, COUNCILLOR LUKES AND I BELIEVE COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM. SO ALL OF COUNCIL IS NOT AWARE OF THE CONTENT OF THAT E-MAIL AND I THINK THAT'S SOMEWHAT OFF SIDE TO BE DISCUSSING IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON TO –

>>I HAVE ONE MORE QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR LUKES POINT OF CLARIFICATION.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: YES. HAVE WE PROVIDED INSURANCE IN OTHER SITUATIONS LIKE THIS, THAT ARE THROUGH ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE FEATURES AND FACILITIES ON CITY PROPERTY, IS THIS UNIQUE OR COULD YOU CITE OTHER EXAMPLES AND IF YOU CAN'T CITE OTHER EXAMPLES CAN YOU E-MAIL THEM PLEASE.

MIKE RUTA: YES I WILL RESEARCH THAT A BIT MORE BUT I THINK IT IS UNIQUE IN THIS SENSE BECAUSE IT IS NOT A COMMUNITY CENTRE PER SE AND ASSOCIATED DIRECTLY WITH THE CITY BUT IS A SEPARATE ORGANIZATION. HOWEVER IT'S UNIQUE ALSO IN THE WAY THAT WE OWN THE LAND AND THERE IS CONSTITUENT ASSETS AND WE DO PROVIDE GRANTS TO THAT ORGANIZATION DIRECTLY FROM THE CITY. SO, I CAN TAKE A LOOK AND SEE WHAT ELSE WE CAN FIND OUT ABOUT THAT, COUNCILLOR, BUT WE ARE MOVING AHEAD WITH IT. AND BY THE WAY, I -- WE SENT IT AROUND TO THE COUNCILLORS AND HAVE BEEN ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. RUTA. COUNCILLOR NASON LAST QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR NASON: SORRY. MY TURN? THANK YOU. CONFUSED ON THE ORDER. YOU KNOW, IT'S CHALLENGING TO NOT RECEIVE A REPORT FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE. IT'S CHALLENGING TO NOT RECEIVE A REPORT THAT HAS THE HARD DOLLARS.

MADAM SPEAKER: YOUR QUESTION,.

COUNCILLOR NASON: AM I NOT ALLOWED PREAMBLE? IT'S MY FIRST QUESTION. SO WE HAVE ONE CENTRE THAT IS NOT PART OF COMMUNITY CENTRES. I HAVE THE SCOUT HALL THE YARD ACTION CENTRE RETIRED CITIZENS CENTRE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR NASON.

COUNCILLOR NASON: THE POLICY NOW -- IS THE POLICY NOW THAT CENTRE THAT IS ARE NOT PART OF GCWCC COVERED BY THE CITY OF WINNIPEG POLICY. >>WE DON'T HAVE A SPECIFIC POLICY. I WOULD SAY GENERALLY WE ARE NOT IN THAT TYPE OF SITUATION WHERE WE ARE LOOKING AT INDIVIDUAL ISSUES WHERE, FOR EXAMPLE, WE OWN THE ASSETS. WE OWN THE LAND AND THINGS LIKE THAT. BUT IN MOST CASES WHERE PEOPLE ARE LEASING ASSETS FOR US FOR \$1, THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE INSURANCE IN TERMS OF OCCUPANCY AND INSURANCE AND LIABILITY INSURANCE. IN TERMS OF -- IF WE OWN THE PROPERTY, WE ARE INSURING THE PROPERTY AS A CITY ASSET.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU MR. RUTA. I WANT IT REMIND EVERYONE THIS IS NOT QUESTION PERIOD FOR THE CAO. DO YOU HAVE A QUESTION TO SEEK CLARIFICATION? COUNCILLOR NASON?

COUNCILLOR NASON: MADAM CHAIR, THE CHALLENGES IS THAT WE HAVE A REPORT -- SORRY WE HAVE NO INFORMATION FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE.

MADAM SPEAKER: DO YOU HAVE A SPECIFIC QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR NASON: A YES OR NO RESPONSE PLEASE. IS THE POLICY NOW, IF THE CITY OWNS THE LAND AND BUILDING, THAT THE CITY WILL COVER THE INSURANCE COST? >>I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING WE HAVE TO TAKE BACK AND REVIEW. I PREFER TO DO IT THAT WAY AND HAVE A LOOK AT IT, BUT I THINK GENERALLY IT'S -- THE SITUATION VARIES AND OUR GENERAL APPROACH IS TO HAVE PEOPLE INSURE THEIR OWN PROPERTIES AND PROCEED THAT WAY. BUT I WILL TAKE THAT BACK AND CONSIDER IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THAT ENDS OUR QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR NASON: THAT WAS 2.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCIL NASON IT'S NOT THE SAME RULES AS QUESTION PERIOD WE ALLOW QUESTION FORCE CLARIFICATION. I THINK MUCH HAS BEEN ASKED OF MR. RUTA. COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS DID YOU HAVE YOUR HAND UP FOR A QUESTION?

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: BY OWNING THE BUILDING AND LAND DOES THAT INCREASE OUR LIABILITY IF SOMETHING HAPPENS WHEN SOMEBODY ELSE IS RUNNING A FACILITY FOR US?

MIKE RUTA: SORRY, COUNCILLOR, I DIDN'T QUITE UNDERSTAND.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: SO IF THE CITY OWNS THE BUILDING AND THE LAND WHERE SOMEBODY OR AN ENTITY IS RUNNING A PROGRAM ON -- OUT OF THAT BUILDING OR ON THOSE LANDS, DO WE ACCEPT THE LIABILITY IF SOMETHING HAPPENS TO AN INDIVIDUAL WHILE THEY ARE PARTICIPATING IN AN ACTIVITY THERE OR DOES THE PERSON RUNING THE PROGRAM ACCEPT THE LIABILITY?

MIKE RUTA: YES I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT IT A LITTLE BIT CLOSER WITH OUR RISK MANAGEMENT DIVISION ON THAT. BUT, JUST AS A GENERAL TOPIC, PEOPLE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THEIR OWN INSURANCE, OCCUPYING CITY PROPERTIES WHERE THEY ARE RUNNING PROGRAMS. AND WHAT KIND OF INSURANCE, WHETHER IT'S LIABILITY AND WHAT NOT, I CAN CHECK INTO.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU MR. RUTA FOR YOUR TIME. THANK YOU. MOVING ON WITH THE LIST. COUNCILLOR EADIE, YOU HAD ASKED TO SPEAK TO THE ITEM -- OR PARDON ME. LET ME -- WHO DID WE END WITH? COUNCILLOR KLEIN. COUNCILLOR EADIE YES FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I JUST WANTED TO RISE NOW THAT I HAVE CLARIFICATION I RISE IN SUPPORT OF THIS REPORT. -- WELL ACTUALLY, I RISE IN SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION REALLY IS ALL IT IS. THERE IS NO REPORT AND THAT WAS MY CONCERN, MADAM SPEAKER. BUT I DON'T THINK THAT THIS PROPERTY SHOULD BE TREATED ANY DIFFERENTLY THAN THE CLARA HUGHES RECREATION FACILITY. THERE ARE ONES THAT THE CITY COVERS. THE DIFFICULTY IS THERE IS NO CONSISTENCY WHEN IT COMES TO WHO PAYS FOR INSURANCE IN REGARDS TO COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS, DEPENDING ON WHERE YOU'RE AT AND MUSEUMS ALSO FALL UNDER THIS AND SOME MUSEUMS ARE TREATED DIFFERENTLY SO WHEN IT COMES TO INSURING THIS, IT SOUNDS TO ME THAT -- AND YOU KNOW, I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO ATTEND THIS AREA, MADAM SPEAKER, IN THE SUMMER. THERE IS A LOT OF GOOD THINGS HAPPENING AROUND HERE AND IF THEY NEED SOME SUPPORT TO CONTINUE MAKING THINGS HAPPEN FOR YOUNG AND OLD AROUND THERE, I THINK THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT SO I'LL BE SUPPORTING THIS MOTION TODAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR EADIE. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU. AND I APPRECIATE THE DEBATE TODAY AND I THINK IT COULD BE A DEBATE THAT'S FAIR. THIS IS A GOOD THING, MADAM SPEAKER, A GOOD SOLUTION FOR AN ORGANIZATION THAT'S PROVIDING RECREATION SERVICES ON BEHALF OF THE CITY, AND AN ORGANIZATION WHERE WE OWN THE LAND. IT IS NO LONGER A COMMUNITY CENTRE IT'S A NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE. WHY? THEY CAN GET MORE HELP TO THE PEOPLE THAT NEED IT MOST. THEY CAN DRAW DOWN FUNDING FROM DONORS. AND TO INCREASE SOME OF THE PROGRAMS THEY HAVE FOR WEST BROADWAY, PROGRAMS LIKE THE LIGHT HOUSE MISSION AND FUEL FOR SCHOOL THAT FEEDS CHILDREN. CHILDREN THAT USE THE SKATEBOARD PARK AND THE SKATING RINK. THE KIDS' COOKING. THAT IS A KEY PROGRAM THAT TEACHES TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE TO THE YOUTH AND MORE. IT'S A HARVEST HOST SITE THAT'S BEEN MOVING FOOD OUT TO THE COMMUNITY AND I WOULD LIKE TO THANK SPATCH, JACKIE, LAUREN, JASON, THE YOUTH FACILITATORS THAT HAVE BEEN DOING PROGRAMMING WITH YOUTH AND PIVOTING DURING THE PANDEMIC. THIS SAY YOUTH DROP-IN AND MOST COUNCILLORS KNOW IT AS SUCH. THEY KNOW IT BECAUSE OFTEN TIMES THEY COME TO COUNCIL, THEY KNOW IT BECAUSE MAYOR AFTER MAYOR, LIKE FORMER MAYOR SAM KATZ, WERE REALLY PROUD OF THE PROGRAMMING AS IS MAYOR BOWMAN, REALLY, REALLY PROUD OF THE PROGRAMMING THAT GOES IN AND AROUND THIS LITTLE CITY BLOCK, THIS SPECIAL SOCIAL SERVICE CITY BLOCK IN WEST BROADWAY THAT DOES SO MUCH. AND THE CITY BLOCK HAS A SKATEBOARD PARK THAT IS SO WELL USED AND AN ICE RINK THAT BEFORE THEIR WINTER FESTIVAL, THE SNOWBALL, WELL THEY CAME UP AGAINST THE MOST CLASSIC, HALL MARK CONFLICT THAT YOU WOULD EVER SEE IN THIS HALLMARK MOVIE CITY A PERMIT NOT BEING ISSUED OFTEN TIMES IS THE PLOT, BUT IN THIS CASE THEY COULDN'T CARRY ON WITH THE SNOWBALL BECAUSE THE CITY WOULDN'T INSURE THE RINK OR THE SKATE PARK AND IT HAD BEEN A WEIRD AGREEMENT. SO I APPRECIATE THE TIME AND PATIENCE THAT COUNCIL HAS HAD. AS YOU KNOW PATIENCE ISN'T ONE OF MY VIRTUES SO I THANK MY FELLOW COUNCILLORS FOR HOLDING ME UP THROUGH THIS BUT I'LL END WITH THIS. THIS IS A GOOD THING. A GOOD THING FOR THIS INNER CITY WHERE YOU WOULD EXPECT THE CITY TO PROVIDE FOR THE INSURANCE. AND AS WE HAVE HEARD FROM MR. RUTA, IT'S FREE OF COST, IT ADDS ON TO OUR INSURANCE FOR SURE AND FOR THAT THERE IS A RISK WITH RESPECT TO THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S DEDUCTIBLE THAT WE ALL FOUND OUT WHAT IT WAS, 250,000, INTERESTING. SO I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE ADMINISTRATION FOR THEIR TIME AND THE AGREEMENT AND AS ALWAYS THE BROADWAY NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE WHO HOLDS THE COMMUNITY APP THROUGH YOUTH FOR FREE TO SPECIALIZE IN INNOVATIVE PROGRAMMING. SO THANK YOU,

MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. THERE ARE SOME COMMITMENTS AT THE NOON HOUR SO IS IT THE WILL OF COUNCIL -- THERE ARE SEVERAL COMMITMENTS AT NOON HOUR IS IT COUNCIL'S WILL TO BREAK NOW OR TO CONTINUE?

>>CAN WE VOTE ON THIS ITEM.

MADAM SPEAKER: THERE ARE OTHER SPEAKERS YET. SO I WILL CALL RECESS NOW AND WE CAN RECONVENE AT ONE O'CLOCK. IS THERE A MOTION FOR THAT? MR. MAYOR, MOTION TO RECESS NOW AND RECONVENE AT ONE O'CLOCK AND LET'S JOIN MS. KRISTY TAM TO WISH HER WELL OVER THE NOON HOUR. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED). (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED).

MADAM SPEAKER: TESTING. (GAVEL RAP).

MADAM SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON. I WOULD LIKE TO RECONVENE OUR COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 17, 2020. WE ARE ON THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE OF HERITAGE AND DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT. IT'S A REPORT DATED OCTOBER 16, 2020 ITEM 11 THE BROADWAY NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE INSURANCE COSTS. COUNCILLOR NASON, YOU ARE THE NEXT SPEAKER.

COUNCILLOR NASON: THANK YOU. I HAD MORE QUESTIONS FOR THE ACTING CAO BUT WE WERE CUT SHORT SO HERE WE ARE DEBATING COVERING INSURANCE FOR A CENTRE. THAT WITHOUT DENYING THAT THE WORK THAT THEY PERFORM IS IMPORTANT AND CRITICAL TO THE COMMUNITY THAT THEY SERVE. BUT, I LOOK AT, AS A COUNCILLOR, WE HAVE OPTIONS IN OUR WARDS, YOU KNOW, PER CAPITA, LAND DEDICATION, COMMUNITY INCENTIVE GRANTS, THAT WE CAN UTILIZE AS COUNCILLORS. BUT WE HAVE NO REPORT ATTACHED TO THIS ITEM TO SHOW IF ANY OF THOSE OPTIONS WERE EXPLORED. WE DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH THE INSURANCE WAS GOING TO BE, BECAUSE IT WAS UNAFFORDABLE. BUT, I WANT TO SAY YOU KNOW, VOLUNTEERS ARE HARD TO FIND BUT, YOU KNOW, JUST MERE DAYS BEFORE THIS ITEM APPEARED ON THE COUNCIL AGENDA, WITH THE SAME MINIMAL INFORMATION WE HAVE TODAY A SENIOR PUBLIC SERVICE MEMBER BECAUSE AN EXECUTIVE OF THE CENTRE. WE HAVE BEEN INFORMED FROM THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER AS ELECTED OFFICIALS THAT WE ARE JUDGED ON WHAT -- YOU KNOW I AM NOT A LAWYER SO EXCUSE ME TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL THAT ARE. BUT THE TERM THAT'S USED REGULARLY IS REASONABLE, WELL INFORMED PERSON. MIGHT SEE THIS AS CONCERNING. SOME MEMBERS MAY CHALLENGE MYSELF BEING A REASONABLE, LIKELY MORE CHALLENGING TO BE WELL INFORMED BUT YET AGAIN I'M RAISING THIS AS A MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL. THE TIMING, THE LACK OF DETAIL, THE MISSING FULL

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE OF THIS MATTER IS TROUBLING. THE FACT THAT THIS BUILDING IS OWNED BY THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND HERE WE ARE DEBATING COVERING INSURANCE FOR AN ENTITY TO OPERATE. I LIKE THE IDEA, I DO AND IF IT PASSES TODAY, I SURELY DO EXPECT THAT ON THE NEXT INSURANCE RENEWALS FOR ENTITIES IN MY WARD OF TRANSCONA BEING THE TRANSCONA MUSEUM, THE ART ACTION CENTRE, TRANSCONA RETIRED CITIZENS CENTRE, TRANSCONA SCOUT HALL, CANTERBURY PARK DAY CARE, TRANSCONA NATIONALS, OH AND, OF COURSE, THE TRANSCONA GOLF COURSE. THESE ENTITIES ARE OPERATED BY NOT FOR PROFITS THAT SHOULD, IF I UNDERSTAND THIS MOTION, BE ENTITLED TO THE SAME SUPPORT AND COVERAGE THAT THIS MOTION IS OFFERING TODAY. AND I APOLOGIZE IF I MISSED AN ORGANIZATION IN MY WARD. I DID TRY TO GET TO THE ONES THAT WERE TOP OF MIND. BUT AGAIN, EACH AND EVERY ONE OF THESE ARE ON CITY LAND DELIVERING SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITY. SOME MAY BE REQUIRED TO BE LOOKED AT AS NOT AS CRITICAL BUT THEY ARE DELIVERING SERVICES. AND FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, SHOULD BE ENTITLED TO THE SAME SUPPORT WE'RE PUTTING FORWARD HERE TODAY. SO, I WILL PUT ON THE RECORD, I CANNOT SUPPORT THIS MOTION TODAY IN ITS CURRENT FORM. AND WITHOUT THE SUPPORTING REPORT OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE, I HOPE THAT MAYBE IF THERE IS MORE SPEAKERS THAT CAN CHANGE MY MIND BUT I DON'T SEE THAT TODAY. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR NASON. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I DO LIKE WHAT THIS ORGANIZATION DOES, IT'S EXCELLENT FOR THEIR PROGRAMS FOR YOUTH IN THE AREA, REALLY IS. I DO HEED, ABSOLUTELY, COUNCILLOR NASON'S REMARKS. I WANT TO VOTE FOR THIS BECAUSE IT'S A GREAT ORGANIZATION, ALWAYS HAS BEEN, IT CHANGES ITS DESIGNATION. YES, THERE IS AN ISSUE OF WHO IS ON THE BOARD AND THAT REALLY SHOULDN'T STOP ME. HOWEVER, HOW DO I DENY OR IGNORE COUNCILLOR NASON'S EXPLANATION IN TERMS OF THE PRECEDENT WE SET? THEREIN LIES MY DILEMMA BECAUSE WHERE DO WE GO FROM NOW ON, WHERE ARE WE? THAT'S MY QUESTION. I WOULD LIKE SOMEONE TO INFORM ME, IF WE GO THROUGH WITH THIS AND I REALLY WANT TO BUT COUNCILLOR NASON EVEN SAID MAYBE THERE COULD BE A GREATER EXPLANATION OR GREATER REPORT. WELL, I ADMIT, YOU DON'T KNOW ANY MORE ABOUT IT THAN COUNCILLOR NASON IN TERMS OF THE BACKGROUND, IN TERMS OF HOW IT'S COME ABOUT TO BE HERE AND WHAT ARE THE EXPLANATIONS AND WHAT ARE THE OTHER OPTIONS THAT WERE EXPLORED AND WHY WE ARRIVE AT THIS POINT HERE IN COUNCIL. I HAVE NOTHING AGAINST THIS. I HAVE GOT NOTHING AGAINST

INSURING IT. IT'S JUST THAT THEREFORE, WE'RE MAKING A DECISION TODAY THAT WILL DETERMINE ALL SORTS OF OTHER PROPOSALS AND MOTIONS CONSISTENT WITH THIS ONE. I BELIEVE AS COUNCILLOR NASON JUST POINTED OUT. SO I HAVE TO BEAR THAT IN MIND IN MAKING MY VOTE. IT'S CERTAINLY NOT A VOTE AGAINST YOU KNOW, THE WEST BROADWAY OR THE BROADWAY COMMUNITY CENTRE -- SORRY NOT COMMUNITY CENTRE. IT IS A -- CALLING IT A NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE. WHICH I'VE TOURED AND GLAD TO KNOW THE PEOPLE I KNOW THAT WORK THERE AND OTHERS FROM THE AREA THAT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO USE THE INVALUABLE RESOURCES THERE THAT ARE GREAT FOR KIDS. GREAT FOR YOUTH. AND SO, WHAT DO I DO? I WANT THIS TO HAPPEN BUT I HAVE TO BEAR IN MIND, IS COUNCILLOR NASON WRONG? HE IS ASKING GOOD QUESTION THAT IS I HAVEN'T HEARD THE ANSWER TO YET IN THIS DISCUSSION INCLUDING IN THE REPORT. SO WHAT DO I DO? WHAT I WANT TO DO IS MAKE SURE THIS GOES FORTH IN A WAY THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH EVERYTHING ELSE WE WILL HAVE TO DEAL WITH, IN TERMS OF INSURANCE OVER THE YEARS TO COME. SO WHILE SEAN NASON IS A CITY COUNCILLOR HE JUST MADE HIS POINT CLEAR AS DAY, TO THE POINT THAT HE EVEN APOLOGIZED THAT THERE ARE OTHER COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS HE HAS MISSED. SO WHAT DO I DO? I HAVE NO ANIMOSITY TOWARDS THOSE THAT WANT TO DO THIS, THAT WANT THE CITY. I'M NOT IN DISAGREEMENT. HOW DO WE DO THIS IN A WAY THAT WILL OFFER CONSISTENCY AS WE MOVE FORWARD? ARE WE GOING TO GET A HODGEPODGE OF MOTIONS COMING THROUGH CITY HALL, COMING THROUGH COUNCIL AND THE STANDING COMMITTEES OR COMMUNITY SERVICES IN PARTICULAR IN TERMS OF WHAT SHOULD AND SHOULD NOT BE TAKEN RESPONSIBLE -- RESPONSIBILITY FOR INSURANCE WISE BY THE CITY. THAT'S WHAT I NEED TO KNOW BEFORE I DO THIS, BECAUSE IT'S A GREAT IDEA. IT'S A GREAT ORGANIZATION, IT'S A GREAT NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE, IT'S JUST HOW DO WE DO THIS IN A WAY THAT DOESN'T CAUSE US PROBLEMS IN THE FUTURE AND WE DON'T -- THAT WE'RE CONSISTENT WITH SOME SORT OF PATTERN. I HOPE THERE IS SOMEONE CHAMPING AT THE BIT TO ANSWER THIS. I REALLY NEED A RESPONSE BECAUSE IF I DON'T GET ONE, UNFORTUNATELY BECAUSE I THINK IT'S UNFORTUNATE, I WON'T BE ABLE TO VOTE IN FAVOUR OF SOMETHING WHICH I THINK IS A GOOD IDEA. BUT GOOD IDEAS ARE GREAT BUT WHAT DOES IT MEAN FOR THE FUTURE IN TERMS OF TRYING TO APPLY ALL THESE OTHER GREAT IDEAS AS WE VOTE ON THIS ONE TODAY. THAT'S WHAT I NEED TO KNOW. HOPEFULLY SOMEONE CAN HELP US OUT AND IT'S NOT JUST ME THAT HAS THIS QUESTION AND NOT JUST SEAN NASON.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. I WASN'T GOING TO SPEAK TO THIS TODAY BUT I WILL BECAUSE I THINK THERE IS SOME PRETTY EASY ANSWERS FOR SOME COUNCILLORS TODAY. YOU MAKE A MOTION AS THIS IS IT DONE. THERE WAS A MOTION HERE THAT SAID THAT SPECIFICALLY WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO. OTHER COUNCILLORS WOULD LIKE TO HAVE ANOTHER EXAMINATION OF

OTHER LEASES. THERE ARE MANY DIFFERENT LEASES WE HAVE AND RESPONSIBILITIES AND INSURANCE AND THEY ARE ALL DIFFERENT DEPENDING WHAT IT S IN THIS CASE WE KNOW THAT WHAT HAPPENED IS THERE WAS A VACANCY OF POLICY AND BY-LAW AROUND THIS. IT WAS A ONE-OF, MAYBE A COUPLE MORE. SO YOU MAKE A MOTION TO HAVE THE CITY OF WINNIPEG EXPLORE OTHER AREAS. SOME COUNCILLORS MAY SAY I WANT TO WAIVE THE INSURANCE POLICY FOR EVERYBODY. WE CAN HAVE THAT DISCUSSION BUT THAT'S NOT HERE TODAY SO THAT SHOULDN'T NOT BE A REASON TO VOTE FOR THIS. THIS CAME THROUGH THE PROPER PROCESS WITH A MOTION AND SAYS RIGHT HERE, AGAIN, SO -- SO -- SORRY COUNCILLOR NASON TRYING TO DO SOME DEBATING. THAT'S FINE. JUST TOOK ME OFF A BIT. SO AGAIN, THE FINANCIALS AS -- THAT WAS GETTING CHIRPED IN MY EAR, THERE ARE NO FINANCIALS. WE EXPLAINED THAT. THAT'S -- THAT ANSWER WAS QUITE CLEAR. SO REALLY WHAT YOU'RE HERE TODAY TO SAY IS DO WE WANT THESE PEOPLE IN THAT AREA TO HAVE A SKATING RINK THIS WINTER? OR SHOULD WE WAIT UNTIL WE HAVE ALL THESE ANSWERS AND CONSPIRACY THEORIES ANSWERED BEFOREHAND AND MAYBE BY MARCH, APRIL, MAY, JUNE, WE CAN DO THIS. BUT NO. WE KNOW IT HAPPENED LAST YEAR, AN AREA THAT NEEDED A HOCKEY RINK, WE ALREADY GOT SOME INFORMATION TODAY THAT WE COULD DO THIS, SO, TODAY, WE ARE ASKING WITH THIS SPECIFIC PIECE. WE HAVE THE CAO UP, HE EXPLAINED IT TO US, THERE IS NO COST. WE GOT THAT. OTHER COUNCIL SAY WHAT ABOUT THIS, WHAT ABOUT THAT. FANTASTIC MAKE A MOTION. BUT DO YOU REALLY NOT WANT TO HAVE THOSE PEOPLE BE ABLE TO SKATE THIS WINTER? I DON'T THINK SO. SO AGAIN, WE HAVE HEARD WHAT WE HEARD TODAY, THE CAO CAME UP, THAT'S FINE. I AM SATISFIED. I AM MORE THAN HAPPY TO HAVE MORE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT LEASES AND INSURANCE RATES, BUT THE MOTION HERE IS VERY SPECIFIC. IT'S FOR THIS OBVIOUSLY THIS YEAR, TO MAKE SURE THAT KIDS WILL BE ABLE TO SKATE THIS WINTER. IF IT WAS MAYBE NO SKATING WE COULD WAIT UNTIL THE SUMMER FOR A SKATEBOARD PARK, OKAY MAYBE WE CAN DELAY IT BUT WE CAN'T. WE DELAYED IT ENOUGH. LET'S GET THIS DONE TODAY. THERE WON'T BE A COST. WE'LL GET THE KIDS, GET THE CENTRE RUNNING. IT'S WELL NEEDED IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD. EVERYBODY JUST HAS TO GO BACK TO THEIR SOCIAL MEDIA COUNCIL LAST YEAR WHEN WE HAD CARS PARKED AND CAME OUT WE FELT HORRIBLE BECAUSE IT'S A WEIRD SITUATION THAT DOESN'T HAVE POLICY BEHIND T SO THERE YOU ARE. THERE'S NOT MUCH MORE TO IT THAN THAT. SO I'LL BE SUPPORTING IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THERE IS A MOTION AMENDING MOTION. YES, I HAVE THAT ON MY LIST, THANK YOU. THERE IS AN AMENDING MOTION THAT'S BEEN CIRCULATED. MOTION NUMBER 6 MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KLEIN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LUKES. MR. CLERK JUST WANT TO BE SURE THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR

THE MOVER TO INTRODUCE THE MOTION AND THEN GO INTO THE SPEAKING LIST FURTHER.

CITY CLERK: CORRECT, MADAM SPEAKER, THE MOVER CAN MOVE THE MOTION AND ANYONE WHO HAS SPOKEN ALREADY CAN SPEAK TO THE MOTION ONLY, EVERYONE ELSE CAN SPEAK TO THE ITEM AND MOTION TOGETHER.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: POINT OF ORDER.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: IS THIS THE ONE YOU ARE REFERRING WHERE IT SAYS PCSP DECEMBER 20 ITEM 1 MOTION 6 TO BE RESOLVED ITEM 1 OF THE REPORT OF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE COMMUNITY SERVICES AND PARKS I THOUGHT IT WAS ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT HERITAGE AND DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES. THANK YOU FOR THAT CLARIFICATION COUNCILLOR SANTOS. OUR CLERK HAS TYPED IT UP INAPPROPRIATELY THE DATE. THANK YOU FOR CLARIFYING IT'S THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON HERITAGE AND DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AND THE REPORT DATED OCTOBER 16TH. ITEM 11. THANK YOU FOR THAT CLARIFICATION. COUNCILLOR KLEIN.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: MADAM CHAIR AS A POINT OF ORDER AS WE DON'T HAVE AN ACCEPTABLE MOTION ON THE FLOOR, SHOULD WE NOT BE CONTINUING WITH DEBATE ON THE MOTION, THE ORIGINAL MOTION?

MADAM SPEAKER: I THINK COUNCILLOR SANTOS HAS CLARIFIED AND I HAVE NOTED IT AND RECORDED IT FOR COUNCIL. PARDON ME? YES. AND WE'RE GOING TO CORRECT IT IN THE RECORD AND WE HAVE DONE THAT BEFORE. COUNCILLOR KLEIN TO PROCEED WITH INTRODUCING MOTION 6 AND JUST THE MOTION PLEASE.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM CHAIR AND THANK YOU TO MY COLLEAGUE, COUNCILLOR LUKES. WE HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS BECAUSE OF OUR FEAR THAT DECISIONS THAT WE MAKE HERE TODAY ARE NOT ONE OFS. DECISION THAT IS WE MAKE HERE TODAY ARE PRECEDENT SETTING. AND WHAT DOES THIS MEAN IN THE FUTURE? WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR OTHER COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS SUCH AS YOU KNOW, SOME THAT ARE IN MY WARD THAT STRUGGLE TO COVER INSURANCE POLICIES. SO, WHAT WE'RE ASKING FOR IS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT, I BELIEVE, BECAUSE AS COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW ALLUDED TO, THEY WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THEIR SKATING THIS YEAR AND I AGREE WITH THAT. I AGREE WITH THE REASONING BEHIND THAT. BUT THERE ARE SEVERAL COMMUNITY CLUBS, IF NOT ALL ACROSS THE CITY THAT ALMOST DID NOT HAVE THEIR SKATING THIS YEAR BECAUSE

THE CITY DOESN'T COVER THAT. THEY ARE CONSIDERED SEPARATE ENTITIES. SO THIS MOTION IS JUST SAYING OR ASKING THAT THE WINNIPEG PUBLIC SERVICE SIMPLY BE DIRECTED TO REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL WITHIN 60 DAYS AND IDENTIFY ALL NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS CURRENTLY OPERATING OUT OF OR ON CITY OWNED PROPERTY AND INDICATE WHO IS REQUIRED TO PAY FOR THEIR OWN INSURANCE OF THOSE GROUPS. I BELIEVE THAT WILL GIVE US A CLEAR PICTURE OF WHAT WE ARE FACING, SHOULD -- SHOULD THIS BECOME PRECEDENT SETTING, AND OTHER COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS START RINGING OUR PHONES AND ASKING FOR THE SAME PRIVILEGE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR KLEIN. I BELIEVE WE'RE GOING TO GO REMOTE AT THIS TIME TO COUNCILLOR BROWATY.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR BROWATY.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: YES.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR BROWATY HAS THE FLOOR. COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: SOME CLARITY ON THIS MOTION. CAN I ASK A CLARITY ON THE MOTION?

MADAM SPEAKER: IS IT A PROCEDURAL QUESTION?

COUNCILLOR GILROY: IT'S CLARITY TO MAKE SURE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE SAME THING. THIS IS JUST.

MADAM SPEAKER: NO COUNCILLOR GILROY I DON'T BELIEVE THAT'S IN ORDER. COUNCILLOR BROWATY.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE REFERRAL TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE FOR A REPORT AND THEN IT BE SENT BACK TO THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT AND DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. CLERK WE NOW HAVE A REFERRAL WHICH I BELIEVE IS IN

ORDER TO VOTE ON THE REFERRAL NOW. I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR TO MAKE SURE WE'RE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE. WE'RE VOTING ON THE REFERRAL THAT WAS JUST PUT FORWARD BY COUNCILLOR BROWATY, CORRECT?

CITY CLERK: AND THE MOVER OF THE ORIGINAL ITEM TO REBUT FOR 3 MINUTES.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: IF I MAY, MADAM SPEAKER IF WHAT WE'RE DOING, DOES IT IMPACT ON THE ABILITY FOR THE COMMUNITY CLUB TO GET WHAT THEY NEED TO OPERATE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. COUNCILLOR WORTH MOVED REFERRAL. -- COUNCILLOR BROWATY MOVED REFERRAL. COUNCILLOR GILROY YOU CAN REBUT.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: I DO NOT SUPPORT A REFERRAL AT THIS TIME. I AM CONCERNED THAT THE GROUP WILL NOT BE OPERATING. I AM GOING TO TRY TO ANSWER SOME OF THE QUESTIONS BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THEY ARE COMPARING APPLES TO APPLES. THIS IS NOT ON THE BUILDING. THIS IS ON CITY LAND. THIS IS ON THE RINK AND THE SKATEBOARD PARK. DOWN THE STREET FROM ME I HAVE A PARK, A SKATE PARK AND THE CITY OWNS THE LAND AND THEY DO THE INSURANCE ON T THIS IS THE SAME THING. THIS ISN'T THE BUILDING WHERE THE DAYCARE IS RUNNING OR THE BUILDING WHERE THE GROUP IS RUNNING. THIS IS JUST PURELY ON A SKATE PARK, A BIKE PARK AND A RINK. SO THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. SO IT ISN'T ON THE INSURANCE OF THE BUILDING ITSELF. THAT GROUP IS PAYING FOR THOSE COSTS. ALL IT IS ON THE PARK SPACE IS WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT COUNCILLORS ARE MAYBE UNDERSTANDING THAT. BECAUSE THAT MIGHT NOT HAVE BEEN CLEAR. SO, IN THIS MOTION HERE, THIS MOTION HERE, IT DOESN'T SAY THAT. THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT ALL NON-FOR PROFIT.

MADAM SPEAKER: YOU'RE REBUTTING A REFERRAL NOT THE MOTION AT THIS TIME.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: OKAY. I DON'T AGREE WITH IT BECAUSE I DON'T AGREE WITH THE MOTION THAT'S IN FRONT OF US HERE TODAY. I AM CONCERNED THAT THIS RINK WON'T BE UP AND RUNNING. THIS HAS BEEN AN ONGOING ISSUE.

MADAM SPEAKER: SO YOU DON'T AGREE WITH THE MOTION IN FRONT OF US, THE NEW MOTION.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: YES, I DON'T AGREE WITH THE MOTION FOR US TO DEFER. >>AND BASED ON THAT EXPLANATION I'M PREPARED TO WITHDRAW MY REFERRAL

MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY COUNCILLOR BROWATY WITHDREW THE REFERRAL MOTION. ANYONE ELSE ON THE SPEAKER'S LIST TO SPEAK TO THE AMENDING MOTION OR THE MAIN ITEM? NOW COUNCILLOR LUKES YOU HAVE SPOKEN ON THE ITEM BUT YOU CAN SPEAK ON THE AMENDING MOTION.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YES, SO IN REGARDS TO THE AMENDING MOTION, THERE ARE MANY PROPERTIES -- MANY ORGANIZATIONS, MANY NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATION THIS IS ARE LEASING LAND FROM THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO CONDUCT THEIR ACTIVITIES. WHAT IS BEING PROPOSED HEARD, FOR SURE WE WANT A SKATING RINK TO GET UP. FOR SURE WE WANT THEM TO SKATE. FOR SURE WE WANT PEOPLE TO PLAY CRICKET. FOR SURE WE WANT PEOPLE TO PLAY SOCCER. THERE IS EXAMPLES THAT I HAVE IN THE CITY THAT ARE -- I WOULD SAY ALMOST IDENTICAL TO THIS. SO, I THINK THAT THIS AMENDING MOTION IS VALID AND I THINK THAT'S ADDING CLARITY TO IT. IF YOU WANT ME TO WRITE ON IT OR WRITE IN ON IT ONLY ON LAND AND NOT BUILDINGS, WE CAN DO THAT.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: JUST ONE MOMENT HERE. I JUST WANT TO LOOK AT SOMETHING THAT'S BEEN CIRCULATED AND COUNCILLOR ALLARD, I KNOW YOU WANT TO SPEAK AND COUNCILLOR SCHREYER BUT GIVE ME A MOMENT HERE. SO WHAT'S BEING CIRCULATED IS JUST MOTION 6, WITH THE CLARIFICATION OF THE APPROPRIATE COMMITTEE AND THE DATE, THANK YOU TO THE CLERKS FOR DOING THAT. OKAY NOW BACK TO COUNCILLOR LUKES. YOU WERE PROPOSING A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT. IS THAT WITH THE CHAIR OR -- WE DEFINITELY HAVE DONE SUCH.

>>YOU CAN'T DO FRIENDLY AMENDMENTS ON COUNCIL FLOOR. THEY HAVE TO BE WRITTEN. >>I'LL WORK WITH THE CLERK TO REWRITE IT THEN.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR LUKES ARE YOU DOING --

COUNCILLOR LUKES: MADAM CHAIR MAY I FOR A MOMENT PLEASE. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW AND I WERE HAVING A BRIEF DISCUSSION AND HE POINTED OUT SOMETHING VERY VALID. THIS AMENDING MOTION -- AND I THINK THERE IS SOME CLARITY ISSUES GOING ON HERE. IF WE ALL TAKE A SECOND AND BREATHE, WHAT IT'S SAYING IS THAT WE WOULD AGREE -- EVERYONE WOULD AGREE TO ONE -- AGREE TO THIS WITH AT DIGS

OF 3. -- THE ADDITION OF 3. IT'S NOT STOPPING ANYTHING OR STALLING ANYTHING OR PUSHING PAUSE ON ANYTHING BUT ADD THAT WE WOULD GET A REPORT -- ADDING THAT WE WOULD GET A REPORT.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR KLEIN A NEED A MOMENT WITH THE CLERK THERE SAY LOT OF PAPER WORK GOING ON AROUND HERE. JUST GIVE US A MINUTE.

>>MADAM SPEAKER DO WE NEED TO TAKE A RECESS TO DEAL WITH THIS MATTER?

>>MADAM SPEAKER SHOULD WE TAKE A 5 OR TEN MINUTE RECESS TO RESOLVE THIS MATTER FRIENDLY?

MADAM SPEAKER: I THINK WE HAVE A MOTION THAT WILL BE CIRCULATED MOMENTARILY IS WHAT I SEE. WAS THERE ANYONE ELSE IN THE MEANTIME, COUNCILLOR ALLARD, DID YOU WANT TO BE ADDED TO THE SPEAKER'S LIST.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: SURE I CAN SPEAK TO THE MOTION I HAVE IN MY POSSESSION.

MADAM SPEAKER: JUST A SECOND. AND MR. MAYOR, DO YOU WISH TO SPEAK TO THE ITEM AT THIS TIME?

MAYOR BOWMAN: SURE NOTWITHSTANDING WHAT IMPROVEMENTS TO THE AMENDING MOTION ARE BEING MADE I SEE NO REASON NOT TO SUPPORT GETTING SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION EVEN IF IT SAY LITTLE MORE EXPANSIVE IN TERMS OF THE REAL ESTATE THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT IN TERMS OF INSURANCE: I PLAN TO SUPPORT THE AMENDING MOTION. I WOULDN'T WANT TO SEE IT DELAYED AS WELL SO I PLAN TO VOTE FOR THE AMENDING MOTION SUBJECT TO THE CHANGES I UNDERSTAND ARE BEING MADE AS WELL AS THE ORIGINAL MOTION SO WE CAN PROCEED AND GET ON WITH THE REST OF THE AGENDA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU MR. MAYOR. COUNCILLOR ALLARD DO YOU WISH TO ADDRESS COUNCIL AT THIS TIME.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: YES. I WAS GOING SAY THAT I THOUGHT IT WAS REASONABLE TO SUPPORT THE MAIN MOTION AND THE AMENDING MOTION BUT I DON'T KNOW WHAT CHANGES ARE FORTHCOMING BUT I AM PREPARED TO SUPPORT BOTH THE MAIN MOTION AND THE AMENDING MOTION AT THIS TIME UNTIL I SEE WHATEVER AMENDMENTS ARE COMING.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. JUST ONE MOMENT. MR. CLERK.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. AND SO I DO APPRECIATE THE EXPLANATION FROM COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW IT DOES GIVE SOME EXPLANATION. HAVING SAID THAT, THIS AMENDING MOTION FROM COUNCILLORS KLEIN AND LUKES, I BELIEVE AT LEAST IN MY CASE, DOES QUITE A BIT TO RESPOND AT LEAST THE BEGINNING OF A RESPONSE TO THE REASON WHY COUNCILLOR NASON'S CONCERNS HAD WEIGHT TO ME. AND ON THAT BASIS I'M WILLING TO SUPPORT THE AMENDING MOTION SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO TAKE A LOOK AT ALL NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS CURRENTLY OPERATING OUT OF OUR CITY-OWNED PROPERTY AND INDIAN -- TO INDICATE WHO IS REQUIRED TO PAY FOR THEIR OWN INSURANCE. SO THAT THAT LIST WILL INCORPORATE AS WELL THOSE ORGANIZATIONS THAT COUNCILLOR NASON APOLOGIZED BECAUSE HE MAY HAVE MISS SOD HOPEFULLY THOSE WILL BE INCORPORATED IN THAT LIST AS WELL AND WE CAN GO FROM THERE. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. WE HAVE ANOTHER MOTION THAT -- IS IT BEING CIRCULATED MR. CLERK OR HAS IT BEEN? OKAY, THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER HAS SPOKEN. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR ROLLINS YOU HAVE SPOKEN ALREADY.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: NOT THIS MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: NOT TO THAT MOTION RIGHT. THIS PAPER IS GETTING VERY MESSY. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: YEAH. THE MOTION BEFORE ME KNOWN AS MOTION NUMBER 6 BY COUNCILLOR KLEIN, MADAM SPEAKER, AND COUNCILLOR LUKES SECONDED, THAT READS BE IT RESOLVED ITEM 11 OF THE REPORT AND RESOLVES ESSENTIALLY THAT THE WINNIPEG PUBLIC SERVICE BE DIRECTED TO REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL WITHIN 60 DAYS AND IDENTIFY ALL NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING ON CITY OWNED PROPERTY AND INDICATE WHO IS REQUIRED TO PAY FOR THEIR OWN INSURANCE. AS AN ADDITION TO THE MOTION THAT I PUT FORWARD SOME MONTHS AGO, I'M SUPPORTIVE. I'M SUPPORTIVE, MADAM SPEAKER. AND YOU KNOW, SOMETIMES WHEN YOU DO MOTIONS AND YOU THINK I JUST WANT THE KIDS IN MY WARD TO BE ABLE TO SKATE, SKATE ON THE PARK AND A NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE THAT WAS A LONG TIME SINCE THE 1900s AND I HAVE TALKED ABOUT THIS BEFORE, WAS A LONG TIME COMMUNITY CENTRE IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, THAT WHEN THE CANADA REVENUE AGENCY CHANGED THEIR RULES, WELL, CHANGED THEIR RULES SO THAT

COMMUNITY CENTRES COULD NOT DRAW DOWN MONEY FROM THE PRIVATE SECTOR, AND OTHER TYPES OF GRANT MONEY, WELL THEY BECAME A NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE TO GIVE THEM FLEXIBILITY ON THEIR FUNDRAISING ESSENTIALLY. AND THERE WERE OTHER NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRES THAT DID THAT. AND SO THIS WAS A COMMUNITY CENTRE FOREVER IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, THAT'S WHY ALL THE ADJACENT PROPERTY AS COUNCILLOR GILROY POINTED OUT IS CITY-OWNED LAND. THAT'S WHY YOU KNOW, SIMILAR TO I THINK REALLY, SOME OF THE CLEAN UP WORK COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM DID, MADAM SPEAKER, WITH THE ST. JAMES SCHOOL DIVISION, YOU KNOW, THAT'S WHY I STOOD AND UPPED THIS MOTION FOR COUNCIL'S BENEFIT. I THOUGHT IT AN INNOCUOUS MOTION, MADAM SPEAKER. SO, I CAN BE SUPPORTIVE OF THE MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR KLEIN, COUNCILLOR LUKES THAT'S BEFORE ME AND I SEE SOMETHING ELSE THAT'S CIRCULATING SO I WILL WAIT TO SPEAK TO THAT MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY. NEXT SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR KLEIN.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: IF I MAY, I WOULD LIKE TO WITHDRAW MOTION 6 AND INTRODUCE MOTION 7 WHICH -- CAN I DO THAT?

MADAM SPEAKER: YES. SO THAT IS WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF YOUR SECONDER AND WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF COUNCIL. ALL IN FAVOUR, CONTRARY? CARRIED. >>I SHOULD HAVE ASKED FOR COUNTED VOTE. >>TOO SLOW. COUNCILLOR KLEIN IF YOU CAN INTRODUCE YOUR REPLACEMENT MOTION 7.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THIS SAY WORK OF LOVE. IT WAS A NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS THAT YOU KNOW WANTED A COUPLE OF CHANGES, COUNCILLOR GILROY, LUKES AND OTHERS, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW WITH THE CLARITY THAT HE AND I TALKED ABOUT SO REALLY, IT IS SUPPORTING THE MOTION, THE INTENDED MOTION BUT GETTING MORE INFORMATION BACK SO I THANK EVERYONE FOR WORKING TOGETHER TO GET THIS MOTION TO THIS STAGE WHERE WE CAN VOTE ON IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY. MADAM CLERK COULD YOU READ INTO THE RECORD AMENDING MOTION 7, PLEASE.

CLERK: MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KLEIN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LUKES TO BE RESOLVED ITEM 11 OF THE REPORT OF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT HERITAGE AND DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT DATED OCTOBER 16, 2020 BE AMENDED BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATION 3 AND RENUMBERING THE REMAINING RECOMMENDATION ACCORDINGLY. RECOMMENDATION 3 THE WINNIPEG PUBLIC SERVICE BE DIRECTED TO REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL WITHIN 60 DAYS AND IDENTIFY ALL NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS CURRENTLY OPERATING ON CITY-OWNED PROPERTY AND INDICATE WHO IS REQUIRED TO PAY FOR THEIR OWN INSURANCE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR NASON YOU HAD YOUR HAND UP.

COUNCILLOR NASON: I WAS PREPARED TO SPEAK TO NUMBER 6: WE ARE ON 7. I'M LOOKING AT THE TWO -- 6 IS DONE. SEVEN IS -- I DON'T KNOW. I LOOK AT THE SPEAKING NOTES THAT I SPOKE TO THE ORIGINAL MOTION AND I SEE NO DIFFERENCE, MADAM SPEAKER. THE SAME ENTITIES THAT I MENTIONED OPERATE ON CITY LAND. I HAVE THE TRANSCONA NATIONALS, I HAVE GOT THE GOLF COURSE. THESE ARE OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES. GOLF HAS A LOT OF LIABILITY. SO AS WE GO DOWN THIS ROUTE, BASED ON WHAT WE'RE VOTING ON TODAY AND I UNDERSTAND THE INTENT AND SPIRIT OF THE MOTION, BUT I'M HERE TO SPEAK ON THE AMENDMENT. THE CHALLENGES THAT I HAVE IS THAT WE ARE SETTING A PRECEDENT BY THIS. AS A FORMER CONVENOR AT A COMMUNITY CLUB, I KNOW ABOUT BURSARIES AND OPPORTUNITIES FROM FUNDER THAT IS PROVIDE FOR LESS FORTUNATE -- LIKE PEOPLE THAT CAN'T AFFORD THE REGISTRATIONS TO PLAY SPORTS. TRANSCONA NATIONALS DOES A LOT OF THAT. BUT YET, AS AN ENTITY THAT OPERATES OUT OF A CITY-OWNED PROPERTY, THEY PAY INSURANCE. I'LL WAIT FOR COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW TO PASS BY AS I SPEAK. THE CHALLENGES THAT WE HAVE ARE NOT UNIQUE TO ONE AREA OF THE CITY. POVERTY KNOWS NO WARD BOUNDARIES. SO, AS WE GO FORWARD -- AND I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THE AMENDMENT BUT IT GOES BACK TO THE CHALLENGE OF THE ORIGINAL MOTION. BUT, WE HAVE A CHALLENGE IN OUR CITY WITH REGARDS TO SUPPORTING NOT FOR PROFITS AND I LOOK FORWARD TO THIS REPORT COMING BACK IN 60 DAYS BECAUSE THIS INFORMATION SHOULD BE READILY AVAILABLE. WE SHOULDN'T HAVE TO WAIT 60 DAYS. MAYBE IT WILL COME BACK SOONER BECAUSE AS AN ENTITY OPERATING ON CITY OF WINNIPEG PROPERTY WE SHOULD GET THAT INFORMATION. IT SHOULD BE READILY AT HAND. NOW, HAD THE REPORT AS TO CAME UP THROUGH THE DIFFERENT LEVELS CONTAINED INFORMATION FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE, WE PROBABLY WOULDN'T BE HAVING THIS LONG, DRAWN OUT DEBATE. SO, I'LL CLOSE WITH -- I WILL BE SUPPORTING AT MENDING MOTION AND NOW THE ORIGINAL MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR EADIE ON THE AMENDING MOTION 7.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: AMENDING MOTION 7, THANK YOU MADAM SPEAKER. I THINK IT'S PRUDENT FOR EVERYBODY TO LEARN WHO PAYS WHAT AND WHAT HAPPENS, BUT -- AND -- TO GET CLARIFICATION. BUT YOU KNOW, JUST -- IF YOU WANT A REPORT FROM THE ADMINISTRATION LIKE EVERY MUSEUM, FOR EXAMPLE, HAS A DIFFERENT LEASE AGREEMENT AND THERE ARE DIFFERENT PROVISIONS, THERE IS ALL KINDS OF DIFFERENT LEGAL AGREEMENTS THAT ARE IN PLACE THAT DEVELOP OVER THE YEARS,

WAY BEFORE WE EVER GOT ON COUNCIL AND THERE IS A NUMBER OF ITEMS. AND I WOULD JUST ADD -- SO I WILL BE SUPPORTING THIS MOTION BUT I WILL ADD AN INTERESTING CONCEPT THAT BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONES ACTUALLY FOR THE ELEMENTS AND THINGS THAT WE DO ON THE STREET, ARE COVERED UNDER THE CITY'S INSURANCE. THE ONLY EXTRA INSURANCE A BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONE HAS TO PUT ON IS IF THEY ARE PUTTING ON AN EVENT AND THERE IS EXTENDED LIABILITY AS A RESULT OF THE ACTIVITIES THAT THE BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONES DO. SO,. THERE IS DIFFERENCES ALL OVER THE PLACE. BUT I HAVE TO SAY IT'S GOOD FOR EVERYBODY TO LEARN WHAT'S OUT THERE, WITH THE CITY AND YOU'LL PROBABLY FIND OUT YOU KNOW A DIVERSE WAIVE TREATING IT BUT I AGREE WITH THE POSITION OF COUNCILLOR GILROY ON THIS, THERE ARE OTHER TYPE FACILITIES THAT ARE TOTALLY COVERED BY THE CITY, ALL AROUND THE CITY. THANKS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR EADIE. COUNCILLOR GILROY, YOUR OPPORTUNITY -- FIRST OF ALL, COUNCILLOR -- I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE HERE. COUNCILLOR KLEIN CLOSE ON MOTION 7.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: YEAH WE'RE GOOD. AIM CONFUSED ON WHAT NUMBER WE'RE ON. WE HAVE HAD LOTS OF DISCUSSION ON IT SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR GILROY TO CLOSE ON THE MAIN ITEM.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: YES. I'LL BE SUPPORTING THE AMENDING MOTION. YOU KNOW, I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PUBLIC SERVICE IS HEARING BECAUSE I THINK THEY WILL STILL BE CONFUSED, I HOPE THEY ARE STILL LOOKING AT YOU KNOW, THE PARK LANDS AND NOT NECESSARILY THE LEASES. I SAT WITH COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM ENOUGH -- HE HAS RUBBED OFF ON ME A LITTLE BIT. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD BE PAYING INSURANCE COSTS ON SOME OF OUR DOLLAR LEASES. HE WOULD BE VERY HAPPY -- BUT IN TERMS OF SOME PARK SPACE, I DO THINK THIS IS WHERE WE SHOULD BE AS A CITY. WHAT WE SHOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR. SO, I HOPE EVERYONE SUPPORTS THIS AND THE AMENDING MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. CALL THE QUESTION ON AMENDING MOTION 7. CALL FOR RECORDED VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR, PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE YEAS 16, NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE MOTION PASSES NOW ON TO THE MAIN ITEM, ITEM 11 AS

AMENDED ALL IN FAVOUR? CALL FOR RECORDED VOTE. A LOT OF EXERCISE ON FAVOUR. PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE YEAS 16, NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ITEM 11 PASSES AS AMENDED. NEXT IS THE REPORT OF THE SAME COMMITTEE DATED DECEMBER 7, 2020, COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: YES. CAN I MOVE -- I WOULD LIKE TO PULL ITEM 18 AND MOVE 1 THROUGH 17 AND 19 AND 20 PLEASE.

MADAM SPEAKER: SO 18. AND WHAT WAS THE OTHER NUMBER, COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: THAT'S THE ONLY ONE.

MADAM SPEAKER: JUST 18. MADAM CLERK SORRY, THERE WAS AN ITEM PULLED THOUGH.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: JUST 18.

MADAM SPEAKER: OH YES, YES. SORRY 19.

>>NINETEEN.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR OF 1.

>>THROUGH 20.

MADAM SPEAKER: MAYOR CALLED RECORDED VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR OF 1 THROUGH 17 AND 20. ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

CITY CLERK: VOTE YEAS 16 NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEMS PASS. ITEM 18, MADAM CLERK.

CLERK: SUBDIVISION AND REZONING –

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: I HAVE BEEN ADVISED BY THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER THAT I NEED TO RECREWS MYSELF -- RECUSE MYSELF.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 18 COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW THANK YOU.

CLERK: ITEM 18 SUBDIVISION AND REZONING, 911, 913 AND 915 MCMILLAN AVENUE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU ,MADAM CLERK. COUNCILLOR GILROY IF YOU WOULD INTRODUCE ITEM 18.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: YES. THIS ITEM DID COME UP FROM COMMUNITY COMMITTEE AND COMMUNITY COMMITTEE WE DID CHANGE THE VOTE AT COMMUNITY COMMITTEE AND WENT WITH THE ADMINISTRATION'S RECOMMENDATION ON THIS. SO I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD. THIS WAS -- THIS ITEM WENT WITH THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN. THERE WAS A LOT OF BACK AND FORTH WITH THIS DEVELOPER. THEY WORKED COLLABORATIVELY WITH THE DEPARTMENT AND THERE WAS ALSO A PRE APPLICATION AND IT IS ON A MAJOR THOROUGH FARE SO THE COMMITTEE REALLY FELT THAT THIS WAS A GOOD PROJECT AND CONCURRED WITH THE ADMINISTRATION'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. SO, MADAM SPEAKER, I DID NOT SUPPORT THIS APPLICATION AT COMMUNITY COMMITTEE NOR DID I SUPPORT IT AT EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. AND MY VOTE IS NOT GOING TO CHANGE TODAY. AND BUT I WANTED TO PUT SOME WORDS ON THE RECORD BECAUSE IT'S NOT A FORT ROUGE, EAST FORT GARRY THING. I THINK THERE IS SOME BENEFIT TO DISCUSSING THIS APPLICATION ON THE PUBLIC RECORD AND IN PARTICULAR, IN ADVANCE OF THE INFILL GUIDELINES BECAUSE I THINK THERE IS SOME MERIT FOR COUNCILLORS TO CONSIDER EACH AND EVERY ONE OF THEIR WARDS. SO HERE IS WHAT YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER REGARDING 911, 913, 915 MCMILLAN. THE APPLICANT PROPOSED A CONSOLIDATION THAT ENABLES A CONSOLIDATION INTO WHAT THEY NOW CONSIDER A QUARTER LOT, THE ADMINISTRATION. THE CONSOLIDATION OF SITE SPANS TWO POLICY AREAS, A LOW RISE MIXED USE AREA B AND MEDIAN DENSITY RESIDENTIAL AREA. THE PDO DOES NOT LIMIT DENSITY IN THE LOW RISE MIXED USE

AREA, B, THE PDO STATES A MAXIMUM DENSITY FOR CORNER LOT DEVELOPMENT IN THE MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL AREA AND THAT'S 800 SQUARE FEET MADAM SPEAKER PER DWELLING UNIT FOR 913 MCMILLAN AND 911 MCMILLAN. OUR POLICY DOES NOT ALLOW THE CITY TO SPLIT ZONING SO THE ZONING CHOSEN WOULD AGREE TO THE ENTIRETY OF THE CONSOLIDATED LOT, IN THIS CASE RMU. NOW THE CORYDON PLAN HAS INCREASES IN HEIGHT AND/OR DENSITY ON A CASE BY CASE BASIS THROUGH RETT ZONING PROCESS IN THE MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL AREA AND THIS, MADAM SPEAKER, IS WHERE THE STORY BEGINS. THROUGH THE REZONING PROCESS THE APPLICANT SOUGHT TO INCREASE DENSITY BEYOND THE 800 SQUARE FEET PER DWELLING UNIT IN THE AREA AND BASED ON THE SUBMITTED DESIGN WHICH DID MEET THE PLAN POLICY, THE SITE IS LOCATED ON A CORNER WITH FRONTAGE ON STAFFORD AND THE URBAN PLANNING DIVISION AS COUNCILLOR GILROY STATED WAS SUPPORTIVE OF THE REZONING AND IS PROPOSED INCREASED REZONING OF 540 SQUARE FEET PER DWELLING UNIT ACROSS THAT AREA. SO THIS IS A VARIANCE AND DESPITE RETT ZONING TO PERMIT 500 MAXIMUM A.... A CAP OF 800.... IT WAS STILL REQUIRED TO ENABLE THIS PROPOSAL. REALLY IMPORTANT FACTS. NOW AS A CITY COUNCILLOR FOR FORT ROUGE EAST FORT GARRY WITH THE EMBARRASSMENT OF RICHES THAT IS THE CORYDON SECONDARY PLAN, I WILL TELL YOU AS THE CITY COUNCILLOR, I WAS EXCITED TO GET BEHIND THE WHEEL OF THIS PLAN. AND DRIVE IT PRETTY FAST I'LL TELL YOU. AND DRIVE SOME OF THE GOALS WITHIN IT. AND WHEN I SAY I HAVE AN EMBARRASSMENT OF PLANNING RICHES IN MY WARD THIS IS WHAT I MEAN. I USUALLY DRIVE THE CORYDON OSBORNE PLAN LIKE A GRAND PRIX IN MONTREAL AND THE CORYDON OSBORN PLAN IS THAT SLICK AND SPICY GTL, V6 BUICK ENGINE WITH INDIVIDUAL THROTTLE, BODY INJECTION, IF YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN. YEAH, YOU DO. THANK YOU MADAM SPEAKER. SOMETIMES BOTH THINGS CAN BE TRUE THOUGH, SOMETIMES AS A COUNCILLOR YOU WANT THE COMMERCIAL SPACE ON STAFFORD, I DO. THE CORYDON PLAN ALLOWS FOR CONSOLIDATION BUT HERE WE'RE PRESENTED WITH DIFFERENCES AND SPANNING OF TWO POLICY AREAS. IT'S GETTING NERDY IN COUNCIL, MADAM SPEAKER. IN TERMS OF SENSITIVITY AND PROTECTION, I COULDN'T SUPPORT THE PROJECT. AND I WANT DENSITY AND I WANT RENTAL SO THIS IS RARE FOR ME BECAUSE, I AM ALSO PROTECTIVE OF THE PLAN AND SOMETIMES YOU HAVE TO HAVE TRADEOFFS FOR RENTAL, FOR THE MIXED USE I WANT TO SEE ON STAFFORD. BUT I THINK THERE IS PUBLIC MERIT FOR YOU TO CONSIDER THIS CAREFULLY WITH ME. THE CORYDON OSBORNE PLAN MAP DOES NOT SHOW THE HOUSES DOWN MCMILLAN TO BE IN THE SAME ZONE AS THE PROPERTY ALONG STAFFORD. FACT. THE RMU'S ZONING PROVIDES MORE DENSITY THAN EITHER THE LOT ALONG STAFFORD AND ESPECIALLY THE OTHER TWO LOTS THAT ARE PROVIDED IN THE CORYDON OSBORNE PLAN. ONE LOT ADJACENT TO STAFFORD, THE MAXIMUM AREA PER DWELLING THE DENSITY IS 800

SQUARE FEET IN THE CORYDON OSBORNE PLAN. THAT'S A LOT. NEGOTIATED AND I LISTENED CAREFULLY TO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW, MADAM SPEAKER, WHEN HE SPEAKS ABOUT THIS. HE HAS EXPERIENCE NOT ONLY ON STAFFORD AS MY CONTIGUOUS COLLEAGUE, BUT BECAUSE HE LISTENED TO MY RESIDENTS ALONGSIDE COUNCILLOR GILROY, JENNY GERBASSI AS THAT PLAN EMERGED, THE AMBITIONS FOR THAT PLAN THAT I LIKE TO DRIVE LIKE THE V6 ENGINE IN THE MONTREAL GRAND PRIX. MOVING DOWN THE BLOCK INTO MCMILLAN, THE CORYDON PLAN IDENTIFIES TWO SEPARATE LOTS A MAXIMUM LOT AREA PER DWELLING IS MEDIUM RESIDENTIAL 1,250 SQUARE FEET. IMPORTANT. NOT AS DENSE. BUT BY CONSIDERING THE ENTIRE AREA CORNER LOT OF 12,000 SQUARE FEET AND PROVIDING THE PROPOSED RMU ZONING THE DENSITY IS 540 SQUARE FEET ALL OF A SUDDEN NOT 800 SQUARE FEET NOT 1,250 SQUARE FEET IT IS 8 -- IT IS TWO, 540 SQUARE FEET FOR THE OTHER TWO LOTS SO WHETHER YOU CONSIDER PROVIDING ME YOUR VOTE TODAY, MADAM SPEAKER THROUGH YOU TO MY FELLOW COUNCILLORS, THIS LAST POINT IS REALLY IMPORTANT AS EVERY MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL HAS HANDICAPS. EVERY MEMBER. THE PIVOT, THE WAY THIS PROJECT IS DOING SHOULD GIVE THEREFORE EVERY COUNCIL PAUSE. WHY? BECAUSE HERE IS WHY. THERE WAS A MOMENT -- THERE WAS A MOMENT WHEN THE APPLICANT SHOWED AN UGLY DECREPIT FENCE OF ONE OF THE HOUSE AS LONG STAFFORD. LOOK AT IT DERELICT WAS THE POINT MADE IN THE PRESENTATION. THE THING IS, THIS WAS THE APPLICANT'S PROPERTY. THEY HAD BOUGHT THE THREE HOUSES UP. THE FENCE THAT WAS FALLING WAS THE APPLICANT'S TO REPAIR. THIS IS AN ISSUE FOR INFILL AND OUR WINNIPEG COMPLETE COMMUNITIES AND OUR CITY PLANNERS. CORNER LOTS IN ALL NEIGHBOURHOODS WAY COUPLE OF HOUSES ADJACENT TO IT IS IN EVERY ONE OF OUR WARDS, MADAM SPEAKER. AND UNTIL THE HOUSES ARE DERELICT OR GET ZONING FOR THE CORNER LOT ONLY AT FIRST AND THEN AMALGAMATE THE LOTS TO GET ADDITIONAL RATES, THAT ISN'T REALLY IN THE PLAN OF ALL OF OUR RESIDENTS AND THAT'S WHERE THE PROTECTIVE CITY COUNCILLOR COMES IN. RESIDENTS ARE RIGHTLY ASKING, IS THIS PROPERTY, THESE PROPERTIES IN DISREPAIR INCENTIVIZING.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER MOVES EXTENSION. ALL IN FAVOUR. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: IS IT INCENTIVIZING THESE LARGER -- THIS ZONING. SO IN THIS CASE ALLOWING DENSE THAT IT'S ARE A HUNDRED PERCENT MORE DENSE THAN THE PLAN WOULD ALLOW IF THE LOTS WERE NOT AMALGAMATED, A HUNDRED PERCENT MORE, DON'T FORGET, THE CORYDON OSBORNE PLAN HAS A GREAT NUMBER OF ALLOWANCES. THIS PROCESS AND APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION WILL MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE TO CONVINCE RESIDENTS TO ACCEPT CHANGES ON CORNER LOTS, I FEAR. IT'S A FEAR. IF SUPPORTING DENSIFICATION OF CORNER LOTS EQUALS THE SUPPORT OF AMALGAMATING JOINING LOTS, GOING DOWN THE STREET, REGARDLESS OF THE PLAN, THE PLANS THAT I HAVE EMBARRASSMENT OF RICHES OF, AND WHAT THEY REFLECT, DOES THIS FOLLOW THE CORYDON OSBORNE PLAN, THE PERMISSIONS IN IT? I DON'T

THINK SO. FOR MY RESIDENTS, THE SET BACKS, DESIGNS AND MORE WERE OCCURRENCE. THE CORYDON OSBORNE PLAN REQUIRES INFILL OFF CORNERS AND HAVE SET BACK, THE PLAN DOES NOT CONFER TO THE PLAN AND THEY POINT IT OUT AGAIN AND AGAIN INCLUDING OF COURSE THE PRESERVATION OF MATURE TREES WHEREVER POSSIBLE. HOWEVER THIS REPORT INDICATED THAT UNFORTUNATELY ALL THE TREES ON THE PROPERTY WILL BE LOST DUE TO THEIR LOCATION IN THE PROPOSED BUILDABLE AREA. THE LARGE BUILDABLE AREA. MY COMMENTS ECHOED THEIR CONCERNS FOR THESE REASONS. THERE IS A LOT OF BEAUTY IN THE PLAN AND COUNCILLOR GILROY DID REPRESENT A LOT OF THE ARGUMENTS THAT I COULD HAVE MADE USING THE PRINCIPLE OF CHARITY AND WHY? THE GOOD PEOPLE DID THE POLICY WORK THEY DID. BUT, FOR ALL OF THESE REASONS AND MORE I THINK IT SHOULD GIVE COUNCILLORS PAUSE AND HOPEFULLY IN THE INTERVENING TIME THEY HAVE HAD TIME TO REALLY DO A DEEPER DIVE HERE, MADAM SPEAKER. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR LUKES. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR LUKES FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER AND COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. SO THIS IS AN INTERESTING ONE. I THINK ON -- I SIT ON THE PLANNING DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE AND I WATCH THINGS AS THEY COME UP THROUGH THE CHANNELS TO THE COMMITTEE AND I JUST WANT TO NOTE A FEW THINGS HERE. THE WARD OF WAVERLEY WEST IS GROWING THROUGH BOTH GREENFIELD DEVELOPMENT AND SOME GREAT INFILL ALONG MAJOR CORRIDORS. I THINK WE'RE UP TO THREE 16-STOREYS, 7 STOREY TOWERS. MORE TOWERS ARE IN THE PROCESS BUT PRIMARILY IT'S GREEN FILL. THE REPORT BEFORE US IS AN INFILL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT. THE SUBJECT PROPERTIES ARE CURRENTLY WITHIN THE AREAS OF STABILITY OR -- WHERE INFILL IS ENCOURAGED. WE HAVE HEARD COMMENTS FROM COUNCILLOR ROLLINS AND HER PERSPECTIVES. I'M NOT SURE WHAT HAPPENED WITH COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW HE WEIGHED IN ON IT AND VOTED ON IT BUT NOW I GUESS THERE IS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN GREAT TO HEAR FROM HIM ALSO. IN THE DRAFT VERSION THE PUBLIC SERVICE IS RECOMMENDING A GOAL OF DEVELOPMENT OF 50 PERCENT INFILL AND 50 PERCENT GREENFIELDS. I WILL BE SUPPORTING THIS PROJECT. THE URBAN PLANNING DEPARTMENT FEELS IT MEETS THE PLANS AND MEETS THE PDO AND MEETS THE THINGS SET OUT BY COUNCIL AND I WILL ADD THAT THIS PLAN IS ONE OF THE MOST INCREDIBLE INFILL DESIGNS I HAVE SEEN ON MY 6 YEARS ON COUNCIL IN COMPLIANCE TO THE NEIGHBOURHOOD WITH MUCH BEAUTY AS COUNCILLOR ROLLINS NOTES. AND I WILL SAY I RECOGNIZE IT'S FAR

MORE DIFFICULT TO DEVELOP INFILL PROJECTS IN YOUR NEIGHBOURHOOD, DOWN YOUR STREET, WHEREVER. BUT IF COUNCIL MEMBERS ARE NOT SUPPORTIVE OF PROJECT THAT IS MEET POLICIES AND DEPARTMENTAL RECOMMENDATION THENCE THE CITY WILL NEVER MEET THE INFILL DEVELOPMENT GOALS OF 50 PERCENT AND DEVELOPERS WILL SAY -- AND THEY HAVE AND SOME OF THEM HAVE JUST SAID FORGET INFILL WE'LL DO GREENFIELD. BECAUSE THERE IS NO CERTAINTY AND IT'S NOT WORTH THE EFFORT AND MORE GREENFIELD WILL OCCUR. AND SO THIS IS AN INCREDIBLE INFILL PROJECT. IT IS, I THINK, ONE OF THE BEST ONES I HAVE SEEN IN AN AWFULLY LONG TIME AND I WILL BE SUPPORTING IT BECAUSE AGAIN, YOU KNOW, WE WANT TO HAVE THIS BALANCE. WE WANT TO HAVE THIS BALANCE. I GET A LOT OF BACKLASH BECAUSE OF WAVERLEY WEST. I DON'T KNOW IF COUNCILLOR SHARMA GETS BACKLASH, COUNCILLOR MAYES FROM SUBURBAN DEVELOPMENTS, BUT WE HAVE TO ENABLE FORMS OF CERTAINTY. WE HAVE TO LISTEN TO OUR PLANNERS, INFILL IS HARD, I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT IF WE DON'T MOVE FORWARD AND LISTEN TO THE DEPARTMENT AND IF IT MEETS THE CRITERIAS, WHY AREN'T WE DOING THIS? THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR LUKES. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. COUNCILLOR EADIE AND THEN COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU. I'M NOT SURE AIM MORE PHILOSOPHICALLY IN TUNE WITH COUNCILLOR LUKES OR COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. I LIKE TO THINK I AGREE WITH BOTH OF THEM MOST OF THE TIME. BUT NOT ALWAYS AND THAT'S FOR SURE. AND THEREFORE THAT'S ALWAYS THE POINTS OF CONFLICT HERE AT CITY COUNCIL WHEN THOSE POINTS OF SPECIFIC POINTS OF CONFLICTS WE OFTEN HAVE CONSENSUS AGENDA ITEMS WE COULD ALL AGREE ON THAT UNANIMOUSLY AND THAT'S GREAT. BUT SOMETIMES WE HAVE ISSUES OF CONFLICT. IN THIS CASE FIRST OF ALL LIKE COUNCILLOR ROLLINS I WANTED TO POINT I TOO, AND PRO -- I TOO AM PRO DEVELOPMENT. ANYONE CAN SAY THAT BUT MY VOTES THROUGH COMMUNITY COMMITTEE SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES TO THE EXTENT THAT THIS LAST YEAR, I BELIEVE, THAT I APPROVED IN MY WARD AT THE CORNER OF TALBOT AND STAT CONA I BELIEVE THE MOST DENSELY -- I'LL USE THE WORD TO MY DETRIMENT. DENSELY PACKED, MULTIDWELLING UNIT OF SMALL APARTMENT UNITS. BUT THEY DO INCLUDE THEIR OWN INDIVIDUAL WATTER, WASHROOM AND KITCHENETTES BUT THEY ARE VERY SMALL AND I CAN'T REMEMBER THE SQUARE FEET RIGHT NOW BUT THE REASON IS THAT RIVER WOOD CHURCH PUT TOGETHER WHAT IS SOME FORM OF PATHWAY HOUSE TO HELP PEOPLE THAT NEED THE HELP OF LOW RENT, AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS, AFFORDABLE APARTMENTS, TO HELP THEM GROW IN THEIR LIFE. AND SO, I FELT IT WORTHWHILE AND SO WITH THE SUPPORT OF MY FELLOW COMMUNITY COMMITTEE MEMBERS COUNCILLOR BROWATY AND COUNCILLOR NASON WE APPROVED THIS IN THE WARD -- IT'S AN INDICATION OF SORT OF THE PRO DEVELOPMENT THAT I THINK IS GOOD FOR THE CITY, AND INCLUDES NOTABLE VARIANCES HAPPENS TO BE

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS AND I DISAGREE ON THE FORCLOSURES BECAUSE I -- THE FORKS BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO SEE THAT MUCH DEVELOPMENT OF A THOUSAND PRIVATE UNITS AT THE FORKS AND I SEE THAT AS SORT OF MY CONCERN OVER CONTINUED PRIVATIZATION OF THE FORKS. I AM A MINORITY VIEW HERE OF CITY COUNCIL OVER THAT, BUT THAT'S HOW I FEEL. HAPPENS TO BE -- AND OF COURSE ON THESE ISSUES I FIND WHEN IT COMES TO THESE DEVELOPMENT ISSUES THAT WE HAVE CONTENTION WITH AT CITY COUNCIL, 60/40. IT'S NOT THAT OBVIOUS. YOU HAVE TO MAKE A VALUE JUDGMENT AND IN THIS CASE I'M GOING WITH THE LOCAL COUNCILLOR, I SUPPORT COUNCILLOR ROLLINS WITH OR WITHOUT HER AMAZING METAPHOR OF -- AIM JEALOUS BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE A COMMUNITY PLAN YET. I DON'T HAVE A COMMUNITY OVERLAY OR AN OVERLAY PLAN. WE HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THAT WITH THE GLEN LABOUR ASSOCIATION AND (INDISCERNIBLE) CORPORATION TO HAVE A NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN FOR SOUTH HENDERSON, FOR GLEN EMM CHALMERS AND WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT. I DON'T CARE WHAT YOU CALL AT THIS JUST WANT ONE BECAUSE WE'RE ROLLING BY THE SEAT OF OUR PANTS AND -- IS THAT A MIXED METAPHOR? ANYWAY, SO WE HAVE TO TAKE THESE THINGS ONE ISSUE AT A TIME. I TOLD THE RESIDENTS THAT I WORK WITH THE LOCAL LEADERSHIP THAT I WILL TREAT OUR NEGOTIATIONS UNDER THE DISCUSSIONS AS IF WE HAVE ONE, BASED ON THE COMMUNICATION WE HAVE WITH THE COMMUNITY AND THEN THE CONSENT THAT WE CAN MUSTER AS IT GOES POINT BY POINT IN TERMS OF WHAT WE ALLOW. IN THIS CASE, GIVEN AN EXPLANATION FROM COUNCILLOR SANTOS IN TERMS OF WHAT WE WENT THROUGH AND WHAT COUNCILLOR ROLLINS WENT THROUGH HERE IN ALL DUE RESPECT TO COUNCILLOR LUKES IN TERMS OF HER EXPLANATION OF THIS, AND THAT'S WHY IT'S 06/40 AND WE HAVE TO MAKE A JUDGMENT CALL TODAY AND IT'S NOT THAT OBVIOUS ESPECIALLY WHEN AS COUNCILLOR LUKES POINTS OUT WHAT SHE CALLS AND TO PROBABLY MAKES SENSE TO ME, AN EXCELLENT DESIGN AND WE ALWAYS HAVE TO WEIGH OUT WHERE DOES DESIGN COME INTO WHETHER WE SHOULD HAVE IT OR NOT? AND SOMETIMES WHEN YOU ARE TRYING TO WEIGH IT OUT THE EXCELLENT DESIGN SORT OF PUTS IT OVER THE TOP AND IN FAVOUR OF THE DEVELOPMENT. HOWEVER, I HAVE DONE -- ALLOWED FOR A RATHER EXTREME DENSE DEVELOPMENT ON TALBOT AND WE WERE SWAYED AT COMMUNITY COMMITTEE BY NATURE OF THE DESIGN. WELL -- SO WE ALLOWED FOR THE VARIANCE OF THE REZONING. WELL IT HAPPENS TO BE THEY COULDN'T FOLLOW THROUGH. BUT WE ALREADY CREATED THE VARIANCE FOR IT SO NOW WE'RE NOT GOING TO GET THE DESIGN. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WE'LL GET BUT WE ALREADY CHANGED SORT OF -- WE ALREADY CHANGED THE ZONING. SO WE CAN BE STUCK WITH THAT AND THEN UNLESS DO I HAVE CONFIDENCE THAT ONE DAY THAT WILL BE BUILT PROPERLY, STILL HOPEFULLY ONE WAY ANOTHER WE HAVE TO COME BACK TO COUNCIL AT LEAST IN TERMS OF DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS.

NONETHELESS, WE HAVE TO WEIGH THIS OUT BASED ON HOW COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, HER CONCERN FOR IT IS SINCERE. HER EXPLANATION FOR IT METAPHORS OR NOT OF WHICH I'M ENENVIOUS DOES EXPLAIN HERSELF VERY WELL IN TERMS OF WHY THIS SHOULD NOT GO THROUGH DESPITE THE PROCESS THAT BROUGHT IT HERE AND THIS ONE WHETHER YOU WEIGH IT OUT AND I'M JUST GOING TO GO WITH THE LOCAL COUNCIL ON THIS ONE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'M RISING -- I'M ACTUALLY IN SUPPORT OF THE RECOMMENDATION COMING FROM THE STANDING COMMITTEE TO FOLLOW THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S ADMINISTRATION ON THIS PARTICULAR INFILL PROJECT. I JUST WANTED TO SPEAK TO THE BENEFITS AND TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT -- WELL, THESE KIND OF DEVELOPMENTS IN OTHER WARDS. WHAT I REALLY STRUGGLED WITH, MADAM SPEAKER, OVER THE YEARS, IS TRYING TO GET PRIVATE DEVELOPERS TO ASSEMBLE THE KIND OF LAND, PROPERTIES, ALONG MAIN STREET, FOR EXAMPLE, WHERE YOU GOT A BUILDING THAT'S SEEN ITS DAYS AND IT'S READY TO GO, IT WOULD BE GREAT FOR SOME DENSITY TO HAPPEN BUT NOBODY IS ABLE TO ASSEMBLE THE PROPERTIES BEHIND IT BACK TO SAY CHARLES AVENUE. AND IN AND AROUND THERE, YEAH, THERE ARE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES WHICH ARE YOU KNOW, SINGLE FAMILY DUPLEXES AND MULTIFAMILY, BUT YOU KNOW, THIS IS WHAT WE NEED TO HAPPEN ALONG THESE MAJOR CORRIDORS OR CORRIDORS LIKE STAFFORD. STAFFORD -- I MEAN IT'S NOT THE BUSIEST STREET OR WHATEVER, BUT THERE IS GREAT BUS SERVICE TO GET PEOPLE THERE. YOU KNOW, YOU WANT SOME DENSITY NEAR THERE. THE PROBLEM IS I DON'T KNOW DO PEOPLE STILL WANT TO HAVE CARS? I DON'T KNOW WHY IF YOU LIVE CLOSE TO A GOOD BUS SERVICE I DON'T KNOW WHY YOU WANT A CAR UNLESS YOU WANT TO GO OUT TO BIRDSHILL PARK OR ENJOY THE REST OF THE PROVINCE. BUT ULTIMATELY, THIS IS THE KIND OF THING I WOULD LIKE TO SEE HAPPENING ALONG SELKIRK AVENUE. IT'S NOT HAPPENING, SAD TO SAY. I THINK THIS PROJECT IS GOOD FOR THE AREA. IT DOES FIT WITHIN OUR TRYING TO BUILD INFILL WITH DENSITY. THIS IS NOT SIMILAR TO AN ISSUE LIKE IN THE GLENWOOD NEIGHBOURHOOD. BUT, I HAVE SEEN I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY CONTROVERSIAL DENSIFICATION PROJECTS ALONG ST. MARY'S ROAD, ALL THE WAY ALONG IT, IT'S A NARROWER REGIONAL STREET, SUCH AS STAFFORD. BUT YOU KNOW -- AND THEY GET CONTROVERSIAL ABSOLUTELY. BUT ULTIMATELY IT'S THIS KIND OF DEVELOPMENT WE NEED TO SEE IN ORDER FOR WINNIPEG TO GROW AND TO ACTUALLY BRINGS MORE PEOPLE INTO A NEIGHBOURHOOD AND IN THE LONG TERM THAT'S BETTER FOR ANY AREA, I WOULD SAY. AND SO, MADAM SPEAKER, IT'S FOR THESE REASONS, I'LL BE SUPPORTING IT. I THINK IT'S A DECENT PROJECT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: YES I WILL BE SUPPORTING THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION AND I THINK THIS IS THE TYPE OF DENSITY WE NEED IN THIS CITY. THE TYPE OF DENSITY REFERRED TO IN OUR CLIMATE ACTION PLAN AS COUNCILLOR LUKES MENTIONED IT'S EASIER TO DO GREENFIELD BUT INFILL THIS PROJECT COMES WITH THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND UNDERSTANDABLE THERE WOULD BE POTENTIAL LOCAL OPPOSITION TO THE PROJECT THOUGH I DO NOTE FROM THE REPORT, THERE IS ALSO QUITE A BIT OF SUPPORT. ALL THAT BEING CONSIDERED, I THINK WE SHOULD BE SUPPORTING THIS PROJECT IF WE AS A COUNCIL BELIEVE IN COUNCIL THAT COUNCIL CAN ACT ON CLIMATE CHANGE AND BE MORE RESILIENT FINANCIALLY AS WELL AS ON THE SUSTAINABILITY FRONT. THIS IS PRECISELY THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT THAT WILL BUILD THE TYPE OF CITY AND THE TYPE OF TAX BASE WE NEED TO ADDRESS OUR INFRASTRUCTURE DEFICIT, PROVIDE BETTER TRANSIT SERVICE, TO PROVIDE -- TO BUILD A LOCATION WHERE THERE IS A LOT OF EXISTING SERVICES ALREADY. SO FOR ALL THOSE REASONS I'LL BE SUPPORTING THIS STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ALLARD. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: DEBATE HAS ELEVATED IN THE LAST HOUR OR SO. WE HAVE HAD -- I'LL TRY TO KEEP THAT QUALITY GOING. HOPEFULLY RELATIVELY BRIEFLY. I WILL SUPPORT THE DEVELOPMENT WITH SOME RELUCTANCE FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS. BUT SOME OF WHAT'S BEEN SAID BEFORE NEEDS TO BE CLARIFIED. WE TALK ABOUT HOW WE HAVE TO GET TO THE 50 PERCENT INFILL, 50 PERCENT GREENFIELD TARGET WHICH IS IN A DOCUMENT WE HAVE YET TO APPROVE BUT LET'S SAY THAT THAT IS OUR PHILOSOPHICAL DISPOSITION AT THIS POINT. IT'S WORTH NOTING WE'RE ALMOST THERE NOW UNDER OUR CURRENT PRACTICES. ADDITIONALLY, THE 50 PERCENT INFILL IS WITHIN THE EXISTING BUILT UP AREA OF THE CITY. THAT'S BASICALLY ALL OF THE CITY EXCEPT FOR SAGE CREEK, PARTS OF WAVERLEY WEST AND I BELIEVE PARTS OF YOUR WARD, MADAM SPEAKER. IT IS NOT -- IT IS NOT 50 PERCENT INFILL HAS TO BE WITHIN THE EXISTING MATURE COMMUNITIES THAT IS THOSE COMMUNITIES THAT ARE PRE 1960 MORE OR LESS WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? IT MEANS MATURE COMMUNITIES TOTAL OF 80,000 DOORS REVEILLE A CIRCLE WITH SOME EXCEPTIONS AROUND THE CENTRE OF WINNIPEG BASICALLY THE NEIGHBOURHOOD BUILT PRE 1960. 80,000 DOORS THE EXISTING BUILT UP AREA IS ALMOST 300,000 DOORS. MUCH BIGGER AREA IN WHICH IF YOU BUILD IN RIVER PARK SOUTH THAT WOULD COUNT AS INFILL AND IF YOU BUILT IN FORT RICHMOND THAT WOULD BE INFILL SO THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PROJECTS AND SOME OF WHICH I HAVE APPROVED AND WE ALL HAVE, THAT WOULD COUNT AS INFILL BUT NOT WITHIN THE MATURE

COMMUNITIES WHICH IS A SMALLER AREA SO WE NEED TO REMIND OURSELVES OF THAT WHEN WE THINK OF THE TARGET AND THE SECOND POINT IS IN SUPPORT OF THE VIEW THAT WE'RE ALREADY ALMOST THERE NOW IS YOU WILL REMEMBER FROM PAGE 21 OF THE BUDGET VOLUME 1, I'M SURE COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM HAS THIS MEMORIZE VOLUME 1 SINCE THE MID 1970s THE AVERAGE DISTANCE FROM DOWNTOWN FOR DWELLING CONSTRUCTION REMAINED RELATIVELY STABLE AND TYPICALLY OCCURS BETWEEN 8-10 KILOMETRES FROM DOWNTOWN. SO WE HAVE HEARD MANY PEOPLE SAY WE'RE SPRAWLING UNCONTROLLABLY AND COUNCIL DOESN'T CARE AND THE COUNCIL HASN'T DONE ANYTHING THE ACTUALLY, IF YOU LOOK AT WHAT OUR OWN BUDGET DOCUMENT SAYS, SINCE THE MID 1970s THE AVERAGE DISTANCE FROM DOWNTOWN FOR DWELLING CONSTRUCTION HAS REMAINED RELATIVELY STABLE AND TYPICALLY OCCURS BETWEEN 8-10 KILOMETRES FROM DOWNTOWN. SO WHILE THERE IS CERTAINLY ACTIVITY IN SAGE CREEK THAT ALSO MEANS THERE HAS BEEN INFILL AT -- IT'S AN AVERAGE SO THAT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP IN MIND IT ISN'T ALL THE COSTS ARE COMING AT THE PERIPHERY. THIS IS ALL OF THE CITY'S FUNDING CHALLENGE, ALL TO BLAME ON THE PERIPHERY. WE'LL COME BACK TO THIS LATER WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE BIO SOLIDS ISSUE AND THE CAPACITY ISSUE. SO THOSE TWO THINGS NEED TO BE KEPT IN MIND ABOUT WE'RE ALREADY HAVING QUITE A BIT OF CONSTRUCTION THAT'S MAYBE NOT INFILL THAT'S WITHIN A KILOMETRE OF THE CITY CENTRE BUT WE CERTAINLY ARE SEEING SOME IN YOUR WARD, MY WARD, COUNCILLOR LUKES WARD, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS WARD WHERE IT'S WITHIN THE EXISTING BUILT UP AREA OF THE CITY. THIRD POINT TO MAKE IS THERE IS -- ON THIS I AGREE WITH COUNCILLOR ROLLINS THAT THERE HAS TO BE -- IF WE'RE TASKED WITH ACTING IN A QUASI-YOU JUDICIAL CAPACITY AT COMMUNITY COMMITTEE TO HAVE A PUBLIC HEARING ON THESE MATTER THERE IS HAS TO BE A ROLL FOR THE POLITICAL VOICE. WHY ON EARTH WOULD WE HAVE NIECE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE WE HAVE TO SAY TO PEOPLE I CAN'T TALK TO YOU, I CAN'T READ YOUR E-MAILS BECAUSE THERE IS A PUBLIC HEARING COMING JANUARY 4 AND I WILL HAVE TO MAKE AN ADJUDICATION. WHY WOULD WE THEN SAY WE HAVE TO LISTEN TO THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT. LOOKING AT BILL 37 ONE OF THE CRITIQUES IS THESE PEOPLE ARE SITTING AS A MUNICIPAL BOARD. WHAT DO WE SAY WE SHOULD SUBSTITUTE OUR HE WILL HE.NO THERE IS STILL A POLITICAL ROLE HERE, A VOICE IF WE ARE NOT SAYING THAT THEN WE REALLY ARE SAKE IT'S OUR UNELECTED PEEL AND WE WANT THEM TO MAKE THE DECISION RATHER THAN YOUR UNELECTED PEOPLE. SO I AGREE WITH COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. WE DON'T ALWAYS AGREE, BUT IF WE SIMPLY SAY WE SHOULD AGREE WITH OUR PLANNING DEPARTMENT ON ALL MATTERS WHY THEN HAVE THIS -- WHY THEN ALL THIS PUBLIC HEARING APPARATUS? WHY CONTINUE AS WE HAVE FOR THE PAST 20 PLUS YEARS? SO I THINK ON THIS ONE I WILL BE NOT SUPPORTING COUNCILLOR ROLLINS BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP THE CONTEXT. WE'LL BE COMING BACK TO THIS IN THE NEW YEAR BUT WE'RE PRETTY CLOSE TO GETTING THAT 50/50 SPLIT NOW AND IT'S NOT 50 IN THE MATURE COMMUNITIES BUT 50 PERCENT OF INFILL HAS TO BE WITHIN THE EXISTING BUILT UP AREA OF THE CITY. THERE IS SOME DEBATE ABOUT MY OWN VIEWER THE NORTH PART

OF SAGE CREEK HAS BEEN BUILT UP AND THEY ARE PAYING TAXES AND THEY CALL ME WITH VARIOUS DEMANDS. THAT WOULD BE A BUILT UP AREA OF THE CITY BUT WE'LL LEAVE THAT DEBATE TO JANUARY OR FEBRUARY. SO IN ANY EVENT I'LL BE SUPPORTING THE POSITION BUT IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THERE IS A LOT OF ACTIVITY GOING ON AND IT'S WORTH NOTING SINCE THE 1970s THE AVERAGE DISTANCE OF CONSTRUCTION HASN'T CHANGED THAT MUCH. IT'S 8-10 KILOMETRES FROM THE CITY CENTRE WHICH MEANS THERE IS A LOT OF CONSTRUCTION GOING ON WITHIN THE EXISTING BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR MAYES. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? SEEING NONE.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: RECORDED VOTE PLEASE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ALLARD. COUNCILLOR GILROY AT THIS TIME WILL HEAR THE CLOSE FROM YOU.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I DO HOPE YOU WILL SUPPORT THIS ITEM. I JUST WANT TO NOTE A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT MAYBE YOU DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THE PROJECT. IT'S GOING TO BE 22 UNITS AND IT IS ON A MAIN CORRIDOR WITH TWO GROUND COMMERCIAL UNITS AND THE CONSOLIDATION DID ALLOW FOR AN ELEVATOR AS WELL WHICH IS PRETTY IMPORTANT AND ALSO, TOO, THEY REALLY DID WORK -- THEY REALLY COLLABORATED WITH OUR DEPARTMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE STREET SCAPING COINCIDED WITH THE DIFFERENT HOMES ON THE STREET, SO IT COMES OFF OF STAFFORD AND THEN THERE IS A SMALLER BUILDING AND THEN EVEN A SMALLER BUILDING AND THAT IS THE SAME FRONTAGE AS THE HOME. SO THEY DID A LOT OF WORK COLLABORATING WITH THE DEPARTMENT SO I THINK THIS IS A GOOD PROJECT AND HOPE YOU WILL SUPPORT IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THERE IS A CALL FOR RECORDED VOTE ONCE AGAIN COUNCILLOR GILROY. ALL IN FAVOUR OF THE ITEM PLEASE RISE. ITEM 18.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, SANTOS AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, ROLLINS AND SCHREYER.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE MADAM SPEAKER YEAS 12 NAYS 3.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 18 PASSES. WE'LL NEED TO JUST WAIT MOMENTARILY FOR COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW TO RETURN. HE HAD DECLARED A CONFLICT OF INTEREST ON THIS ITEM. THANK YOU. THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW YOU DECLARED A CONFLICT OF INTEREST ON ITEM 18?

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: I RECUSED MYSELF ON THE OPINION OF THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR THAT. MOVING ON TO ITEM 19.

CLERK: ITEM 19 DECLARATION OF SURPLUS CITY OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED 145 OSBORNE STREET.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR GILROY TO INTRODUCE ITEM 19.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: I'LL WAIT TO HEAR FROM MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. SO THIS IS THE DECLARATION OF SURPLUS FOR A CITY-OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED AT 145 OSBORNE STREET. AND YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU ARE A DOWNTOWN COUNCILLOR AS I AM, COUNCILLOR SANTOS AND COUNCILLOR GILROY, YOU MUST ACKNOWLEDGE THAT SOME PROPERTIES IN SOME NEIGHBOURHOODS ARE OWNED BY THE WHOLE OF THE CITY, AND THIS IS A SUBJECT PROPERTY THAT I THINK IS WORTHY TO NOTE. SINCE 1965 THIS HAS BEEN AN OFF STREET PARKING PA SILT THAT MANY -- FACILITY THAT MANY WINNIPEGERS JOIN A 20 STALL SURFACE PARKING LOCALITY MANAGED BY THE WINNIPEG PARKING AUTHORITY. THE CITY PROPERTY HAS BEEN CIRCULATED TO ALL CITY DEPARTMENTS AND NO CIVIC NEED WAS IDENTIFIED. AND THIS IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE I THINK THAT WHILE IT IS AN IMPORTANT PARKING LOT, I MYSELF AS A CITY COUNCILLOR AND LOCAL WARD COUNCILLOR, AND A SHOPPER IN OSBORNE VILLAGE, I PARK THERE FROM TIME TO TIME. BUT, I WANT TO POINT OUT THAT PARKING LOTS IN AND AROUND OSBORNE VILLAGE COULD -- THIS ISN'T THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE. NOW, MY WARD RESIDENTS WOULD WANT ME TO SAY THIS, THAT THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE WOULD BE A PARK. THAT'S WHAT THEY WANT ME TO SAY. AND SO THERE YOU HAVE IT, MADAM SPEAKER. WHAT I WILL POINT OUT, THAT THIS -- THERE IS A STREET SCAPE AND ART INSTALLATION ON AND A HAVE A SENT TO THIS -- ADJACENT TO THIS PROPERTY FACING OSBORNE AND THAT STREET SCAPE IS MEANINGFUL TO THE

WARD AND WINNIPEGERS WILL KNOW IT WELL, THERE IS A COLLECTION OF ILLUMINATED LANTERNS HERE POTTED GARDEN FEATURES AND A SMALL SEATING AREA. AND THIS STREET SCAPING INSTALLATION FALLS WITHIN THE PROPERTY AND A LEASE AGREEMENT EXISTS RIGHT NOW BETWEEN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND THE BIZ AND OF COURSE I WANT TO KEEP IT IN A WAY. BUT, LIKE MANY OF THE SURFACE PARKING LOTS IN OSBORNE VILLAGE IT DOESN'T REFLECT THAT VIBRANCY OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD, THE GREENING OF THAT NEIGHBOURHOOD AND SO, MADAM SPEAKER, I WANT TO SAY THAT I AM SUPPORTIVE OF THIS DECLARATION OF SURPLUS REPORT AT 145 OSBORNE STREET AND I WANT TO PUT SOME WORDS ON THE RECORD TODAY. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? SEEING NONE. COUNCILLOR GILROY TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: CALL THE QUESTION. CAN WE HAVE A RECORDED VOTE PLEASE.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES ALL IN FAVOUR, PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE MADAM SPEAKER YEAS 16 NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT WE ARE DEALING WITH MOTION 1, THAT WAS CIRCULATED THAT'S MOVED BY COUNCILLOR ALLARD AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR GILROY REGARDING THE JARDIN ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT HERITAGE AND DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT (). WE'LL NOW MOVE INTO BY-LAWS FOR FIRST READING ONLY. COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT THE FOLLOWING BY-LAW NUMBER BE RED FOR THE FIRST TIME. BY-LAW NO. 108/2020, MAN -- SORRY. START OVER AGAIN.

MADAM SPEAKER: GO AHEAD.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: SORRY I THOUGHT THE CLERK WAS SUPPOSED TO SAY

SOMETHING. SORRY I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THE FOLLOWING BY-LAWS BE READ THE FIRST TIME. BY-LAW NO. 108/2020, BY-LAW NO. 112/2020, 113/2020, BY-LAW NO. 114/2020.

MADAM SPEAKER: I THINK WE ARE ON THE 82/2020. MAYBE MISSED A PARAGRAPH.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: OH, SORRY! YES SORRY I SKIPPED OVER.

MADAM SPEAKER: START AGAIN.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: YES I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT BY-LAW NO. 82/2020 BE READ A FIRST TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR - CONTRARY - CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAW NO. 82/2020.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: ALL RIGHT. NOW SORRY, ARE WE ON TO THE BY-LAWS NUMBER 108 OR STILL -- OKAY GOOD I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT THE FOLLOWING BY-LAWS BE READ FOR THE FIRST TIME BY-LAW NO. 108/2020, 112/2020, 113/2020, 114/2020, 115/2020, MAN 127/2020.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR - CONTRARY - CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAWS NUMBERED 108/2020, 112/2020, 113/2020, 114/2020, 115/2020 AND BY-LAW NO. 117/2020.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE BY-LAW NO. 108/2020, 112/2020, 115/2020 AND 127/2020 BE READ A SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR - CONTRARY - CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAWS NUMBERED 108/2020, 112/2020, TO 115/2020 INCLUSIVE AND 127/2020.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: AND I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THE RULES BE SUSPENDED AND BY-LAW NO. 108/2020, 112/2020, 115/2020, 127/2020 BE SIGNED AND SEALED.

MADAM SPEAKER: CALL FOR A RECORDED VOTE. PLEASE RISE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE YEAS 16 NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT SECTION PASSES WE NOW MOVE INTO QUESTION PERIOD FOR COUNCILLOR GILROY. ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR? SEEING NONE, NEXT COMMITTEE THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE -- COMMITTEE IS THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROTECTION COMMUNITY SERVICES AND PARKS REPORT OF DECEMBER 2, 2020. WE DO HAVE AMENDING MOTION NUMBER 4 FROM COUNCILLOR KLEIN -- PARDON ME, COUNCILLOR NASON AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KLEIN. SO WE WILL PULL THAT ITEM. AND THAT'S THE ONLY ITEM WE ARE DEALING WITH.

CLERK: ITEM 1 WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD BY-LAW REVIEW.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. SO ON SEPTEMBER 30TH, COUNCIL CONCURRED IN THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROTECTION COMMITTEE SERVICES AND PARKS AND ADOPTED A MOTION THAT REQUESTED AN UP TO DATE JURISDICTIONAL REVIEW REGARDING SECURITY CHECKS FOR THE PURPOSES OF SERVING ON THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD AND WHAT WE GOT BACK EXAMINES THE CANADIAN JURISDICTION REESE ACQUIRING A BACKGROUND SECURITY CHECK, THE AGENCY PERFORM THAT BACKGROUND SECURITY CHECKS, THE BREADTH OF THE BACKGROUND OF THE SECURITY CHECK AND THE STATED PURPOSE OF THE BACKGROUND SECURITY CHECK. NOW DURING THAT TIME THERE WAS YOU KNOW A FEW THINGS THAT HAPPENED INCLUDING THE POLICE SERVICES ACT REVIEW. THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT. DURING -- YOU KNOW SINCE THAT TIME THERE IS A NEW COMPOSITION ON THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE AND PROTECTION COMMUNITY SERVICES AND PARKS WE WELCOMED COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. AND AT ONE OF THE FIRST MEETINGS OF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE, WE CONSIDERED THE REPORT THAT COUNCILLORS HAVE BEFORE YOU. BUT WHAT WE ALSO CONSIDERED TO DO, THE STANDING POLICY WORK, WAS AN EXTENSION OF TIME, THAT OF 30 DAYS BE GRANTED TO THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE PROTECTION COMMUNITY SERVICES AND PARKS TO REPORT BACK ON THE MATTER AND WHAT HAPPENED AT THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE MADAM

SPEAKER IS THAT WE WENT THROUGH A FEW ITERATIONS OF A NEW MOTION. BUILDING ON THIS MOTION. AND SO WE WANTED TO KEEP THE MATTER OPEN. IT IS -- AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT I SUPPORTED AS CHAIR BECAUSE AS WE HAVE SEEN TODAY, SOMETIMES YOU CAN QUICKLY WRITE A MOTION AND SOMETIMES IT REQUIRES A BIT MORE THOUGHT. YOU DON'T WANT TO FOR INSTANCE GET THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE JURISDICTION WRONG, YOU DON'T WANT TO YOU KNOW -- YOU WANT TO LOOK AT EXACT WORDING. YOU WANT TO CONSIDER A FEW OTHER THINGS, FOR INSTANCE, THE COMPOSITION OF EACH OF THE POLICE BOARDS. PERHAPS IN CONSIDERATION OF WHAT THEY REQUIRE WITH RESPECT TO BACKGROUND CHECKS. SO, THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE HAD AN EXCELLENT DELIBERATION WITH RESPECT TO THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD BY-LAW REVIEW AND WHAT COUNCIL HAD CONSIDERED AND PROVIDED TO IT. WHAT YOU SEE IS AN ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT THAT IS ATTACHED. BUT WHAT YOU ALSO SEE SAY STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE REALLY WANTING TO DISCUSS THE MATTER AT THE NEXT MEETING AND CONSIDER THIS ITEM ONCE MORE. WE HAVE VERY THOUGHTFUL DISCUSSIONS AT THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROTECTION COMMUNITY SERVICES LIKE OTHER STANDING POLICY COMMITTEES DO. WE TAKE SOME OF THE WORK THAT MUCH OF THE WORK THAT IS GIVEN TO US WITHIN THAT -- WITHIN THE GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE WE HAVE QUITE SERIOUSLY, AND SO WE WANTED TO TAKE OUR TIME WITH IT. SO, TO THAT END, YOU SEE BEFORE YOU AN EXTENSION OF 30 DAYS. AN EXTENSION OF 30 DAYS TO CONSIDER THE REPORT FURTHER. THE CANADIAN JURISDICTIONS BACKGROUND CHECK, THE AGENCY THAT PERFORM THAT IS CHECK. THE BREADTH OF THE SECURITY CHECK AND THE STATED PURPOSE OF THE BACKGROUND CHECK AND OF COURSE YOU CAN SEE CITY THAT IS HAVE IT IN THE REPORT. AND THE RESPONSES THAT SHOW THAT THERE IS NO SINGLE OR CONSISTENT STANDARD REPLY BUT THERE IS FOR THE WORK THAT THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE WANTS TO CONSIDER. SO, YOU KNOW, SIMILAR TO OTHER ITEMS WE EXTENDED IT FOR 30 DAYS TO SUBSTANTIALLY DEAL WITH IT AT THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING. SO I ASK THROUGH YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, TO THE REST OF COUNCIL, TO REALLY LET THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE DO THE WORK. I KNOW THERE IS A MOTION ON THE FLOOR TO ACCEPT THIS AS INFORMATION. THAT ISN'T, OF COURSE, WHAT THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE WANTED. THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE WANTED FURTHER DELIBERATIONS. SO I -- YOU KNOW, I WON'T PRE SUPPOSE. I CAN SPEAK TO THAT MOTOR VEHICLES AS WELL, MADAM SPEAKER, SO I CAN SAVE SOME OF MY COMMENTS TO -- AFTER HEARING THE MOVER AND UNDERSTANDING IT FURTHER, MADAM SPEAKER. BUT WE WOULD LIKE, RESPECTFULLY, TO REQUEST THAT 30 DAYS AND FOR COUNCIL TO UPHOLD THAT THOUGHTFUL WORK AT THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE. THANK YOU MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER AND THANK YOU CHAIRPERSON SHERI ROLLINS -- (FEEDBACK).

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR SANTOS. COULD YOU RISE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: COUNCILLOR KLEIN MOVED AN AMENDING MOTION I BELIEVE AND DOESN'T HE GET TO INTRODUCE IT BEFORE HE STARTS SPEAKING ON THE REPORT?

MADAM SPEAKER: YOU ARE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. THANK YOU FOR THAT CLARIFICATION.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THIS ITEM IS IN ORDER BECAUSE IT IS THE STANDING POLICY REPORT.

MADAM SPEAKER: WHAT COUNCILLOR EADIE SAID IS YOU OPENED BUT I THUD BE GOING TO COUNCILLOR NASON INSTEAD OF COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS THANK YOU FOR WATCHING THAT.

>>YEAH, OKAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR SANTOS AND I THINK YOU WERE GOING TO SAY THE SAME THING. APPRECIATE THAT. COUNCILLOR NASON.

COUNCILLOR NASON: THANK HEAVENS IT'S THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING OF 2020 BECAUSE IT'S BEEN A MESS. YOU KNOW, WHEN I MOVED THIS MOTION IT WAS WITH SOME THOUGHT, THERE WAS SOME THOUGHT TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE BECAUSE WE HAVE BEEN UP HERE MANY TIMES AND WE HAVE HEARD TRUST IS PUBLIC SERVICE. THE PUBLIC SERVICE WAS ASKED TO DELIVER A REPORT. I BELIEVE THEY DELIVERED ON THAT REPORT. THEY DELIVERED A RECOMMENDATION WHICH WAS TO RECEIVE AS INFORMATION. THAT IS WHAT IS BEFORE US, TODAY. GREAT THAT THE COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE HAS CHANGED AND I UNDERSTAND THAT. ONE OF THE MEMBERS AS WAS MENTIONED BY MY COLLEAGUE JUST PRIOR TO ME SPEAKING IS THAT THE CHAIR OF THE POLICE BOARD IS NOW A MEMBER OF SAID COMMITTEE. TO ME WE HAVE HAD A SITUATION THIS YEAR WHERE A MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL WAS PUT FORWARD AND I KNOW THE MAYOR HAS SAID UNANIMOUSLY, ADOPTED BY THIS COUNCIL, TO BE OUR APPOINTEE ON THAT POLICE BOARD. UNFORTUNATELY, THERE WERE SITUATIONS THAT YOU KNOW, THROUGH THE SECURITY CHECKS THAT HAD BEEN LONG STANDING FOR A LONG PERIOD OF TIME THAT RESULTED IN THAT INDIVIDUAL NOT BEING ACCEPTED AS AN ELIGIBLE APPLICANT. WE SUBSEQUENTLY HAD MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUE FROM ST.

VITAL BE ACCEPTED TO THE POLICE BOARD. I BELIEVE THE PUBLIC SERVICE HAS COMPLETED THEIR TASK. IF MORE FISHING OR A DIFFERENT ANGLE OR THAT IS WANTING TO BE UNDERTAKEN TO GET THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THEY WANT, BECAUSE THE RECOMMENDATION IN THE REPORT THAT WE HAD BEFORE US IS TO RECEIVE AS INFORMATION, I THINK IF MORE OR DIFFERENT DIRECTION IS WANTED BY THE COMMITTEE, I THINK THEY SHOULD RESET THE BELL, RESET EXPECTATIONS WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE AND LET'S GET ON WITH OUR WORK.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR NASON. COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: YES THANK YOU MADAM SPEAKER. I RISE JUST TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE IS ALSO A RECOMMENDATION TO THE POLICE SERVICES ACT REVIEW THAT TALKS ABOUT BACKGROUND CHECKS AND WHETHER OR NOT EXTERNAL AGENCIES SHOULD BE DOING BACKGROUND CHECKS FOR BOARD MEMBERS OF THE BODY THAT THEY ARE OVERSEEING. SO, I BELIEVE THIS MATTER HAS BEEN DEALT WITH IN THAT CONTEXT. WE ALSO CALLED FOR A REVIEW OF THE BY-LAW WITH RESPECT TO BACKGROUND CHECKS. WE RECEIVED THE REPORT FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE. THE INCONSISTENCY IN TERMS OF THE JURISDICTIONAL SCANS THAT HAVE BEEN DONE AND SUPPORTED ALSO BY THE RECOMMENDATION TO THE MINISTER OF JUSTICE WITH REGARDS TO THE POLICE SERVICES ACT ON THIS MATTER. SO I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND EXACTLY WHAT IS BEING ACCOMPLISHED BY THE SUBMISSION OF THIS MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. COUNCILLOR SANTOS.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. MY REMARKS WILL BE BRIEF ON THIS ISSUE. I WANT TO TAKE THIS MOMENT TO DISCUSS WHY WE'RE HERE ASKING FOR A 30 DAY LAYOVER FOR THESE RECOMMENDATIONS. I WANT TO THANK THE ADMINISTRATION FOR ALL THE HARD WORK THEY HAVE DONE ON THIS FILE TO DATE. SO WHEN MR. DOUG BROWN FROM LEGAL SERVICES GAVE YOURS A BRIEF BACKGROUND ON HOW THEY CREATED THIS REPORT WHICH INVOLVED THE CURRENT PROVINCIAL REGULATIONS AND OUR CITY BY-LAWS AND THE 2013 MANITOBA POLICE BOARD REPORT AS WELL AS A CROSS JURISDICTION SURVEY. THE REPORT FROM OUR CIVIL SERVICE FOUND THERE WAS VERY LIMITED CONSISTENCY BETWEEN JURISDICTIONS ON BACKGROUND CHECKS FOR THE POLICE BOARD AND DIDN'T FIND AN EXAMPLE FOR THE BEST PRACTICE. AMONGST OTHER JURISDICTIONS. THERE IS ALSO NO CONSISTENCY AMONGST JURISDICTION ON WHETHER THE POLICE SERVICE BEING OVERSEEN DOES THE CHECK OR WHETHER THERE NEEDS TO BE A SECURITY CHECK AT ALL SO LIKE MR. BROWN STATED, TIMING IS EVERYTHING. THIS REPORT WAS SUBMITTED BY OUR CIVIL SERVICE ON NOVEMBER 2 AND THEN ON NOVEMBER 5, THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA RELEASED THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF THE MANITOBA POLICE SERVICES ACT. SO THIS REPORT HAD 13 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HOW OUR

MUNICIPAL BOARDS SHOULD FUNCTION BUT ONE OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS DOES DIRECTLY ADDRESS THE REPORT BEFORE US TODAY. I CAN QUOTE RECOMMENDATION 16 THAT BEFORE A PERSON IS APPOINTED TO A MUNICIPAL BOARD -- POLICE BOARD, THE APPOINTING AUTHORITY CONSIDER THE RESULTS OF THE POTENTIAL APPOINTEE'S RECENT POLICE RECORD CHECK AND THAT THE RECORD CHECK BE CONDUCTED BY AN AGENCY OTHER THAN THE POLICE SERVICE GOVERNED BY THE BOARD FOR WHICH THAT PERSON IS BEING CONSIDERED. SO BASED ON THIS NEW INFORMATION, THE COMMITTEE FELT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME TO LOOK OVER THIS PROVINCIAL REPORT. SO, I WILL NOT BE SUPPORTING COUNCILLOR NASON'S MOTION AT THIS TIME AND I HOPE THAT THIS COUNCIL WILL SUPPORT THE LAYOVER FOR 30 DAYS SO THAT THE COMMITTEE CAN REVIEW AND ASK THE PUBLIC SERVICE TO LOOK INTO THIS NEW RECOMMENDATION FURTHER. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR SANTOS. COUNCILLOR KLEIN.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. TODAY HAS ACTUALLY BEEN A VERY INVIGORATING COUNCIL. I BELIEVE. WE HAVE HAD LOTS OF GOOD DISCUSSIONS TODAY, LOTS OF DEBATE, ENGAGEMENT FROM ALL COUNCILLORS HAS BEEN VERY EQUAL. IT'S BEEN EXCELLENT. SO, I'M GOING TO TRY TO -- OR MAKE SURE THIS IS WITHOUT A MOTION AND THIS -- AIL JUST BASE THIS REALLY ON FACTS. THE CITY'S CRIME RATE IS SOARING, WE ALL KNOW THAT. THIS MOTION DOES NOT ADDRESS PUBLIC SAFETY AND PUBLIC SAFETY IS OUR MAIN CONCERN RIGHT NOW. AND I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD MAKE THIS NOTION POLITICAL OR A PERCEIVED POWER STRUGGLE OR ANYTHING. LET'S LOOK AT THE FACTS. COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS KNOWS JUST AS ANYONE WHO HAS SERVED IN THE POSITION OF CHAIR FOR THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD THAT THE WINNIPEG POLICE WILL BE REQUIRED TO BE INVOLVED IN ANY SCREENING PROCESS THAT IS JUST JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND THEY WOULD HAVE TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION. THAT'S A FACT. THAT'S ALSO KNOWN THAT THE FACT IS THAT THIS IS A SCREENING PROCESS BECAUSE THE SCREENING PROCESS IS REQUIRED UNDER THE JURISDICTION AND UNDER PROVINCIAL ORDERS. AND THE SCREENING PROCESS, NO MATTER WHO YOU HAVE DO IT, ALL USE THE SAME SHARED JUSTICE DATA BASES. ALL OF IT. THEY USE THE SAME ONE. AND THESE DATA BASES ARE IN PLACE TO ENSURE -- TO ENSURE THAT PEOPLE ARE NOT SUSCEPTIBLE TO POTENTIAL OUTSIDE PRESSURES FOR SUCH SECURITY CLEARANCES, THAT THERE IS NO POTENTIAL FOR ABUSE OF POWER AND SO ON. THERE IS A MULTITUDE OF REASONS FOR THIS AND A MULTITUDE OF REASONS FOR WHY IT'S BEEN SET UP THE WAY IT HAS BEEN SET UP ACROSS CANADA. I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT YES THE PUBLIC SERVICE PROBABLY DID NOT FIND ANY CONSISTENCY AMONG CITIES BECAUSE IT'S ACTUALLY NOT THE

JURISDICTION OF A MUNICIPALITY. IN EVERY PROVINCE ACROSS CANADA WE HAVE A POLICE SERVICES ACT. EVERY PROVINCE DOES THEIRS DIFFERENTLY. AND IN OTHER WORDS WE'RE PLAYING IN A BACKYARD THAT'S NOT OUR HOUSE. WE SHOULD NOT BE THERE. THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA IS CURRENTLY WORKING TO INTRODUCE A REVISED POLICE SERVICES ACT FOR POLICE BOARDS WHO REPORT TO THE PROVINCE AND MANITOBA JUSTICE. IT'S UNDER THEIR JURISDICTION. YES, THEY HAVE PUT OUT THEIR FINDINGS BUT THE FACT IS NOTHING HAS BEEN DECIDED YET. NOTHING HAS BEEN TABLED, NOTHING HAS BEEN BROUGHT IN UNDER THE POLICE SERVICES ACT. SO, I ASK YOU, AS PROFESSIONALS TODAY, WOULD IT NOT BE PRUDENT, RESPECTFUL OF OUR COLLEAGUES AT THE PROVINCE AND PROFESSIONAL, TO SIMPLY WAIT ON THE WHOLE THING NOT FOR 30 DAYS BUT FOR 180 DAYS, UNTIL MANITOBA JUSTICE FINISHES THEIR DUE DILIGENCE AND TABLES THEIR PROPOSED NEW GOVERNANCE THAT WOULD LEGISLATE OR THAT POLICE BOARDS WOULD HAVE TO FOLLOW. THAT WOULD BE THE PRUDENT THING TO DO. THEY ARE IN CHARGE OF THAT. THAT'S THEIR RESPONSIBILITY. I BELIEVE WE HAVE MORE IMPORTANT THINGS TO ADDRESS TODAY AND IN THE FUTURE. WE HAVE -- I WATCHED AS MANY DELEGATES SPOKE AT THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD MEETING THAT THEY HAD AND WE SAW SOME AT THE EPC MEETINGS. NOT ONE OF THEM ADDRESSED THIS AS A CONCERN OF THEIRS. NOT ONE TO INDICATE THE POLICE ISSUES. BUT ONE RESIDENT BROUGHT IT UP. I GET IT'S INTERNAL BUT WHY DON'T WE JUST TAKE A STEP BACK AND SAY YOU KNOW WHAT ANOTHER LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT IS RESPONSIBLE TO REWRITE THE ACT. THEY HAVE THE INFORMATION, THEY HAVEN'T MADE A DECISION YET, LET THEM REWRITE THE ACT AND THEN WE CAN TAKE ACTION ON THAT. BECAUSE THAT IS THEIR RESPONSIBILITY. I THINK WE'RE MUDDYING THE WATERS HERE AND I DON'T WANT IT TO BE PERCEIVED AS POLITICAL INTERFERENCE ON OUR PART. I DON'T WANT IT TO BE PERCEIVED AS A POWER PLAY ON OUR PART OR A POWER STRUGGLE. LET'S WAIT UNTIL THE REAL SYSTEM WORKS ITS WAY THROUGH. THAT'S WHAT I AM ASKING FOR TODAY, THAT IF WE DO ANYTHING LET'S JUST PUT THIS OFF UNTIL JUNE AND HOPE THAT THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT WILL PUT FORWARD THEIR NEW POLICE ACT, SERVICES ACT, EXCUSE ME, BY THEN.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR KLEIN. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'LL BE THE FOURTH MEMBER OF THE PROTECTION COMMUNITY SERVICES AND PARKS COMMITTEE TO STAND AND PROBABLY EXPLAIN TO YOU DIFFERENTLY WHY WE ASK FOR THE 30 DAYS, MADAM SPEAKER. I DON'T THINK THAT PRESENTATIONS FROM MY COLLEAGUES HAVE NECESSARILY REFLECTED MY CONCERN WHEN WE VOTED TO DO THE 30 DAYS. I WAS ACTUALLY VERY CONCERNED, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT WHILE THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S BY-LAW IN RESPECT TO THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD IS OURS AND IS OUR RESPONSIBILITY AS COUNCIL TO AMEND IF NEEDED OR WHATEVER, BUT WE HAVE TO DO IT WITHIN THE PARAMETERS OF THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATION AND WE CANNOT TAKE AWAY FROM THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATION, WE CAN ADD TO BUT WE CANNOT

TAKE AWAY AS A COUNCIL WITH THIS BY-LAW AND ACTUALLY, THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATION CALLS ON THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO CREATE THE BY-LAW. SO IN THE PARAMETERS THAT ARE IN THERE, THEY -- OUR BY-LAW REGARDING THE POLICE BOARD ARE THERE AS A RESULT OF RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATION. HOWEVER, WE HAVE ADDED A BIT THAT ADDED TO AT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD WHEN I WAS STILL SITTING ON THERE AND THE CHAIRPERSON WAS MR. ASPER. WE ASKED FOR THE ABILITY OF THE POLICE BOARD TO MAYBE, IF WE WANTED TO RECOMMEND TO ADD A COUPLE OF EXTRA BOARD MEMBERS TO THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD TO ASSIST US IN OUR ABILITY TO BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE THE GOALS AND THE MANDATE OF A WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD. SO, I, ALL ALONG BELIEVE AND STILL STRONGLY BELIEVE, THAT YES, THERE HAS TO BE COUNCIL REPRESENTATION ON THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD. BUT THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD RIGHT NOW IS SUPPOSEDLY THE GOVERNANCE OF THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE. THEY HAVE TO FOLLOW ALL THE CITY ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES AND STUFF WHEN IT COMES TO BUDGETS AND SO ON AND SO FORTH AND THAT'S WHERE THERE HAS BEEN CONTROVERSY AND DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT IS THE PROPER MEANINGS AND AS COUNCILLOR KLEIN AND ANYBODY WHO SERVES ON THE POLICE BOARD OR COUNCILLOR MAYES IS CURRENTLY SERVING ON THE POLICE BOARD AS WELL, YOU KNOW WE SHOULD GIVE THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD THE ABILITY TO SAY WHAT COULD MAKE GOVERNING THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE BETTER BECAUSE THEY ARE CHARGED WITH THAT. AND THERE IS TWO REPRESENTATIVES FROM COUNCIL ON THERE RIGHT NOW. AND I KNOW THEY HAVE EVALUATED THE REPORT THAT CAME FROM THE PROVINCE AND THEY ARE LOOKING AT WHAT THEY THINK IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CITY. AND I THINK THEY ARE THE ONES WHO SHOULD BE PROMOTING. SO OUR DIALOGUE, MADAM SPEAKER AT PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES, I WAS REALLY AFRAID THAT SOME MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE WANTED TO GET INTO THE CITY RECOMMENDING TO THE PROVINCE WHAT KIND OF CHANGES THEY SHOULD MAKE TO THE POLICE BOARDS IN THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA BECAUSE THAT REPORT DOES CONCERN ALL CITIES NOT JUST THE CITY OF WINNIPEG BUT ALL THE MUNICIPALITIES WHO HAVE TO HAVE A POLICE BOARD. AND THEY ARE REGULATED UNDER THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. THEY ARE NOT REGULATED BY THE CITY OF WINNIPEG OTHER THAN THE PROVINCIAL THAT IS WE HAVE IN OUR BY-LAW, WHICH DON'T GO ANYWHERE NEAR ALL OF THE GOVERNANCE ISSUES THAT THE POLICE BOARD HAS TO DEAL WITH. SO REALLY, THE 30 DAYS WAS -- I KEPT CHALLENGING OUR CITY LEGAL, DO YOU HAVE THE TIME TO EVALUATE THE PROPER WAY A POLICE BOARD OR POLICE COMMISSION SHOULD OPERATE BECAUSE IN OTHER PROVINCE THERE IS IS POLICE COMMISSIONS AND THE POLICE COMMISSIONS ARE THE EMPLOYERS, MADAM SPEAKER. AND THERE IS A WHOLE

HOST OF HUGE AMOUNT OF ISSUES THAT ONE WOULD HOPE ARE -- OUR PARENTAL GOVERNMENT, THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA, BEFORE THEY IMPLEMENT ANY RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THAT REPORT, THAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO THEIR DUE DILIGENCE TO ENSURE THAT PROPER THINGS CAN BE DONE. AND YOU KNOW, IF YOU WANT TO MOVE TO THE MODEL WHERE THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD IS THE EMPLOYER, THAT'S -- OUR TAXPAYERS, MR. MAYOR, WON'T LIKE IT BECAUSE I KNOW THE PROVINCE WON'T PROVIDE US THE MONEY TO HELP PROVIDE THE ADMINISTRATION TO OVERSEE THAT. BUT IT WILL BE THE PROPERTY TAXPAYERS WHO WILL PROBABLY END UP HAVING TO PAY FOR IT NOT THE PST TAXPAYERS OR THE INCOME TAXPAYERS IT WOULD BE THE PROPERTY TAXPAYERS. BUT ANYWAY I DON'T WANT TO GO THERE. SO, I BELIEVE IN A CITIZEN'S OVERSEEING THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE, GOVERNANCE OF. NO POLICE COMMISSION OR POLICE BOARD SHOULD BE INTO THE ACTUAL DAY TO DAY POLICING ISSUES AND THAT SORT OF THING. I AGREE THAT WE DO HAVE -- THERE IS THE PERCEIVED AND QUITE POSSIBLE, CONFLICT OF INTEREST FOR THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE TO DO A SECURITY CHECK -- NOT A CRIMINAL RECORDS CHECK IT'S A SECURITY CHECK. THERE IS A BIG DIFFERENCE. A BIG DIFFERENCE. AND A LOT OF THINGS THAT I HEARD AT THE POLICE BOARD, YOU REALLY DO NEED TO HAVE A SECURITY CHECK DONE NOT JUST A CRIMINAL RECORDS CHECK DONE. SO -- ANYWAY WHO DOESN'T, DOESN'T MATTER BUT A SECURITY CHECK DOES HAVE TO BE DONE. AND SO, THAT REPORT WAS PROVIDED BACK TO US FROM THE CITY ADMINISTRATION AND IT IS MY PREFERENCE NOT TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT HOW THE CITY'S ADMINISTRATION CAN WORK WITH THE POLICE BOARD AND THEN SOMEHOW WORK WITH THE PROVINCE AND THEM AS WELL, IF ANY COUNCILLOR HAS CONCERNS ABOUT HOW THEY THINK THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD SHOULD BE DONE, YOU ARE QUITE ENTITLED TO WRITE A LETTER TO THE MINISTER OF JUSTICE OR EVEN THE DIRECTOR OF THE POLICE COMMISSION TO LET YOUR VIEWS AND CONCEPTS AND BELIEFS ABOUT HOW YOU THINK A WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD SHOULD OPERATE. YOU ARE QUITE AS ANY CITIZEN IS ALLOWED TO DO. SO I WILL BE VOTING FOR COUNCILLOR NASON'S MOTION TO JUST RECEIVE IT AS INFORMATION. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR EADIE. SEEING NO FURTHER SPEAKERS.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR LARD YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: YES I WILL NOT BE SUPPORTING THIS MOTION. THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE I THINK HAS MORE WORK TO DO. I WOULD LIKE TO SUPPORT THAT REQUEST FROM STANDING COMMITTEE. I DON'T SEE THE RUSH IN TAKING THIS FOR MORE INFORMATION IF THERE ARE MORE QUESTIONS WHY NOT ASK THEY WILL AND LET THE STANDING COMMITTEE DO THEIR WORK. I UNDERSTAND THERE IS QUITE A BIT OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HOW THE POLICE ARE GOVERNED AND IF THE STANDING

POLICY COMMITTEE WOULD LIKE TO DO MORE WORK IN THAT REGARD I'M SURE THAT SOME COUNCILLORS AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WOULD BE INTERESTED SO FOR THOSE REASONS I WILL NOT BE SUPPORTING THIS INFORMATION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ALLARD. COUNCILLOR NASON OVER TO YOU FOR CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR NASON: THANK YOU. I WILL BE BRIEF. AT LEAST I HOPE TO. I HAVEN'T BEEN A CHAIR OF A COMMITTEE YET. ONE DAY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER WILL RELINQUISH THE REINS OF THE GAVEL FOR OUR COMMUNITY COMMITTEE AND I'LL TAKE OVER THAT. BUT YOU KNOW, FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF REPORTS, WE HAD A REPORT -- I'LL DELVE INTO BRIEFLY AT EKT IT WAS ON SPEEDING, IT WAS ON PHOTO RADAR ENFORCEMENT. WE RECEIVED IT AS INFORMATION. WE LET IT GO. WE DIDN'T KEEP IT GOING MONTH OVER MONTH OVER MONTH. BUT WE CAN AT ANY TIME AS A COMMITTEE BRING IT BACK. SO I LOOK AT THIS -- I SEE THE PUBLIC SERVICE THEY PRESENTED THE REPORT AS WAS ORIGINALLY DIRECTED AND NOW THE COMMITTEE SEEMS TO BE WANTING TO GO A DIFFERENT WAY TO ASK MORE, TO GO -- I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT, FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, THIS REPORT CAN BE RECEIVED AS INFORMATION AT ANY TIME. FROM MY UNDERSTANDING, I'M HAVING TROUBLE REMEMBERING WHO SETS THE AGENDA OF THE STANDING COMMITTEES IF IT'S THE CHAIR OR THROUGH THE MAYOR'S OFFICE. I'M NOT CLEAR ON THAT AND MAYBE ON CLOSE OF THE CHAIR SHE CAN RELAY THAT. BUT I DON'T SEE WHY THIS COULDN'T BE RESUSCITATED AT A FUTURE TIME WHEN THAT GOVERNMENT, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT REPORT IS OUT THERE, YOU HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE CLARITY ON DIRECTION. AND IF TO COMES DOWN TO IT THAT YOU ARE WANTING TO PUSH HARD AND PUSH HARD FOR A CHANGE WHERE THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE, WHERE YOU KNOW SOME MEMBERS OF COUNCIL MAY BE LOOKING AT THAT THEY DON'T HAVE THE INTEGRITY TO DO THE WORK THAT THEY ARE ENLISTED AND ENTRUSTED TO DO, THEN I GUESS WE GO DOWN THAT ROUTE AT THAT TIME. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR NASON. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS TO CLOSE ON THE ITEMS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. AND I WILL GET TO MY COLLEAGUE'S QUESTION, MADAM SPEAKER. SO YOU KNOW HERE IS WHAT THIS VOTE IS AND HERE IS WHAT IT ISN'T. IT'S NOT ABOUT TRUST IN THE PUBLIC SERVICE, MADAM SPEAKER. THAT'S NOT AT ISSUE HERE. IT IS INTERESTING THAT MY COLLEAGUE THINKS ABOUT IT IN TERMS OF SEEKING A DIFFERENT RECOMMENDATION AND THAT IS NOT

WHAT IS AT ISSUE HERE. THAT'S NOT WHAT THE EXTENSION IS ABOUT. CLOSER TO WHAT MY COLLEAGUE STATED IS THAT NOVEMBER 5 THE POLICE SERVICES ACT REVIEW WAS RELEASED AND TO CONSIDERS A KEY AREA AS ENHANCED POLICE BOARDS. AND MANITOBA IS FURTHER GOING TO INTRODUCE LEGISLATION NEXT YEAR AND YOU KNOW WHAT MADAM SPEAKER, ONE OF THE PEOPLE THAT THEY WOULD LIKELY APPROACH IS -- OUR LY ASON, COUNCILLOR JEFF BROWATY. THERE HE IS BECAUSE THAT'S ONE OF THE PLACES THE ASSOCIATION OF MANITOBA MUNICIPALITIES THAT IN THE PRESS RELEASE ON NOVEMBER 5 IT CITED AND COUNCILLOR BROWATY WILL THEN NOT BECAUSE COUNCILLOR BROWATY IS POLITICALLY INTERFERING, NO, NO, NO. IT'S BECAUSE THERE IS A SHARED UNDERSTANDING OF THE POLICY WORK AND THAT IS WHY COUNCILLOR BROWATY AND AT A AMM MEETING AT SOME POINT SOON BECAUSE OF THE NOVEMBER 5 RELEASE OF THE POLICE SERVICES ACT, BEING OF INTEREST TO MANITOBA MUNICIPALITIES, THAT COUNCILLOR BROWATY WILL LIKELY, ON BEHALF OF THIS COUNCIL, NEED TO SAY A FEW THINGS BECAUSE, MANITOBA IS GOING TO ASK AMM. AND CITY OF WINNIPEG IS A MEMBER OF AMM, AND HE IS OUR REPRESENTATIVE. IT ISN'T BECAUSE HE IS POLITICALLY INTERFERING, COUNCILLORS ON PROTECTION OF PARKS, MADAM SPEAKER ARE PROACTIVE, ENERGETIC, DYNAMIC AND YES, WE DISAGREE SOMETIMES. BUT WE'RE PAYING ATTENTION AND WE'RE PAYING ATTENTION TO THE POLICY WORK AND THE NEEDS OF THIS COUNCIL. SO TO ANSWER THE QUESTION OF MY COLLEAGUE, COUNCILLOR NASON ON WHERE DID IT COME FROM, DID IT COME FROM THE MAYOR'S OFFICE? NO IT DID NOT. IT CAME FROM THE FLOOR OF THIS COUNCIL, THE AGENDA WAS DEVELOPED RIGHT HERE, MADAM SPEAKER, THROUGH A MOTION OF COUNCILLOR ALLARD'S, I BELIEVE. SO, THAT IS MY CLOSING STATEMENT. LOOK FORWARD TO MORE POLICY WORK AT PROTECTION COMMUNITY SERVICES AND PARKS, MORE WORK WITH RESPECT TO THE GOVERNANCE AND MORE WORK BEING GENERATED, PERHAPS, ON THE FLOOR OF COUNCIL LIKE THIS MOTION WAS, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. WITH THAT I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON AMENDING MOTION 4. IT WILL BE RECORDED VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR OF AMENDING MOTION 4, PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS EADIE, KLEIN, NASON.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE YEAS 3 NAYS 13.

MADAM SPEAKER: MOTION 4 IS LOST. MOVING ON TO THE MAIN ITEM, ITEM 1, ALL IN FAVOUR. CALL FOR RECORDED VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS EADIE, KLEIN, NASON.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE MADAM SPEAKER YEAS 13 NAYS 3.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 1 PASSES. WE'LL NOW MOVE INTO BY-LAWS UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS -- OH THERE ARE NO BY-LAWS TODAY NOT UNDER THIS COMMITTEE SO WE HAVE QUESTION PERIOD AT THIS TIME. FIRST QUESTION COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER AND I ASK THROUGH YOU TO THE CHAIR, THERE WERE LIBRARY STAFF THROUGH COMMUNITY SERVICES THAT HAVE BEEN LAID OFF AS A RESULT OF THE PANDEMIC. AT ONE POINT WE WERE ADVISED THAT STAFF WOULD BE ABLE TO ACCESS THEIR EXTENDED BENEFITS, INCLUDING HEALTH, DENTAL AND ACCESS TO EAP. WE KNOW CERTAINLY DURING THIS TIME OF YEAR, AT THE HOLIDAYS IT IMPACTS DIFFERENT PEOPLE DIFFERENTLY. BUT ADD THE ADDED STRESS OF A PANDEMIC AND THEN BEING LAID OFF, PEOPLE ARE SEEKING RESOURCES IN NUMBERS LIKE NEVER BEFORE. SO I ASK THE CHAIR IF STAFF THAT HAVE BEEN RECENTLY LAID OFF AS A RESULT OF THIS PANDEMIC WILL STILL BE ABLE TO ACCESS THEIR EXTENDED HEALTH CARE BENEFITS INCLUDING THEIR EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PLAN?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. AND I WANT TO FIRST RECOGNIZE MY COLLEAGUE'S HEART AND THE DIFFICULTY THAT EVERYONE TOOK IN MAKING THIS DECISION AND THE ADMINISTRATION WHO SO SENSITIVELY DID TEAP IS INDEED SOMETHING THERE IS ACCESS TO AND I WILL CHECK ON THE SPECIFICS OF BOTH THE EAP BENEFIT AND THE HEALTH BENEFITS AND SEND TO ALL OF MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: YES. MADAM SPEAKER, OUR COMMUNITY COMMITTEE MOVED THE MOTION ABOUT THE WEST KILDONAN LIBRARY PICKUP AND DROPOFF POSSIBILITIES THERE, MADAM SPEAKER AND I EXPLAINED WHEN WE PASSED THAT AND APPROVED IT AND IT WAS TO GO ON TO PROTECTION COMMUNITY SERVICES AND PARKS I WAS TOLD THAT YEAH IT WILL APPEAR ON THE AGENDA FOR THE DATE THAT WE JUST VOTED ON. THAT IT WOULD APPEAR ON THAT AGENDA DATE, MADAM SPEAKER, AS LONG AS THE MAYOR'S OFFICE APPROVED IT TO BE ON THE AGENDA. SO I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE CHAIRPERSON OF PCSP ABOUT HOW COUNCIL CHOOSES WHAT'S ON THE AGENDA OR NOT?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR EADIE. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THIS COULD BE A VERY LONG ANSWER, MADAM SPEAKER AND IT CAN BE VERY SHORT AS WELL. YOU KNOW, WITH RESPECT TO AGENDAS, I TYPICALLY INQUIRE TO THE CLERKS AND THE COMMITTEE CLERK AS I HAVE SINCE I STARTED, WITH RESPECT TO WHAT IS UPCOMING. FOR INSTANCE, COUNCILLOR EADIE, MADAM SPEAKER, HAD SEVERAL PCSP AGENDA ITEMS THAT WERE COMING UP FROM THE PREVIOUS TERM, SO WHEN I FIRST STARTED THIS WAS A MATTER OF WANTING TO PREDICT AND DO SOME AGENDA MANAGEMENT MYSELF. IT IS TRUE THAT THE MAYOR'S OFFICE HAS THE SAME -- A SIMILAR PROCESS. AND I RELY ON THE MAYOR'S OFFICE TO CONSIDER THAT WITH ME AT THOSE MEETINGS, IN TERMS OF THE AGENDA MANAGEMENT PRE BRIEF MEETINGS THAT I HAVE, WITH PUBLIC SERVANTS. THOSE MEETINGS WE TALK ABOUT WHAT REPORTS ARE COMING UP, SOME REPORTS OF COURSE AS WE KNOW REQUIRE MORE TIME, AND SO THERE ARE TIMES WHERE I'M GIVEN A HEADS UP, THAT THE REPORT WILL REQUIRE MORE TIME. IN THIS CASE, MADAM SPEAKER, SPECIFICALLY, I HAVE NOT RECEIVED AN UPDATE THAT I RECALL, SO I WOULD NEED FURTHER WORK TO THE SPECIFICS TO THE LIBRARY IN QUESTION. I THINK COUNCILLOR EADIE WAS ASKING A BROADER QUESTION AND IN PART WITH RESPECT, MADAM SPEAKER, I'LL SAY IT'S NOT FOR ME TO ANSWER. IT IS IN PART FOR YOU AND THIS COUNCIL THROUGH GOVERNANCE, TO -- SO I WOULD BE HAPPY TO PROVIDE SOME OF MY TIME TO YOU AND OTHERS IF THEY SO WISH. BUT, I THINK SUBSTANTIALLY IT'S NOT NECESSARILY A QUESTION FOR THIS CHAIR SPECIFICALLY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. COUNCILLOR EADIE SECOND QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: YES MADAM SPEAKER. AIM WONDERING IF THE CHAIRPERSON RECALLS WHY I VOTED YES TO EXTENDING 30 DAYS PROTECTIVE COMMUNITY SERVICE

REPORT NUMBER 1 WE JUST VOTED ON.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: SO THANK YOU TO COUNCILLOR EADIE FOR THE QUESTION THROUGH YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. WHILE HE SUBSTANTIALLY TOLD THIS COUNCIL HIS CONSIDERATIONS AROUND THAT AND, MADAM SPEAKER AS YOU KNOW, SOMETIMES YOU VOTE FOR THINGS AND YOU MIGHT HAVE A MINORITY OPINION BUT YOU STILL THROW THE VOTE AND I THINK THAT WAS THE CASE THAT PCSP AT THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE BUT I'M HAPPY TO TALK OFF LINE WITH COUNCILLOR EADIE ABOUT THAT, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANYTHING FURTHER? FINAL QUESTION COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: MADAM SPEAKER AIM WONDERING IF THE CHAIRPERSON -- YOU KNOW WHAT MADAM SPEAKER I'LL STRAIGHTEN THIS OUT PERSONALLY BECAUSE I AM OFFENDED BY WHAT HAPPENED ON THAT LAST REPORT THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR EADIE. COUNCILLOR NASON.

COUNCILLOR NASON: 'TIS THE DAY BEFORE WE LEAVE FOR CHRISTMAS. OR WHATEVER IT IS. RECREATION HAS BEEN A HOT TOPIC AND A LOT OF DISCUSSION WITH REGARDS TO IT. WE HAVE A LOT OF CHALLENGES IN OUR COMMUNITY AND I'LL BE ASKING THE CHAIR OF WATER AND WASTE A SIMILAR QUESTION. BUT GIVEN THE FACT THAT WE HAVE EXTRA STAFF, IS THERE A POSSIBILITY -- AND I'M WONDERING IF THE CHAIR CAN RESPOND APPROPRIATELY, IS THERE A POSSIBILITY TO UTILIZE SOME OF THESE ICE TECHNIQUES WE MAY HAVE AT OUR DISPOSAL ALSO TO SUPPORT USE OF RECREATIONAL ICE ON RETENTION PONDS?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: SO A COUPLE OF THINGS IN INTEREST THAT I HEARD AND I MIGHT SEEK CLARIFICATION. WANTING TO KNOW IF THERE IS PUBLIC SERVICE STAFF THAT CAN BE DIRECTED TO BECOME ICE TECHNIQUES? AND SUPPORT RECREATION ICE ON RETENTION PONDS. SO THROUGH YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, I JUST PARA PHRASED THE QUESTION AND I'M GOING TO LOOK ACROSS TO SEE IF THAT'S INDEED THE QUESTION.

BECAUSE I'M JUST SEEKING CLARIFICATION IF THAT'S THE QUESTION, NUMBER 1 POINT. RECC STAFF, I CAN ANSWER IT IN PART BUT I'M CLARIFYING THE QUESTION. ARE YOU LOOKING.

MADAM SPEAKER: YOU CAN ASK COUNCILLOR NASON TO RESTATE HIS QUESTION -- RESTATE HIS QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR NASON: WITHIN THE AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY AS CHAIR -- AND I UNDERSTAND THIS IS AN OPERATIONAL MATTER, BUT RECREATION SERVICES FALL WITHIN HER RESPONSIBILITY. I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT IF THERE ARE STAFF THAT GENERALLY TAKE CARE OF ICE, FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE TO BE REDEPLOYED TO SUPPORT SAFE OPERATION AND USE OF RETENTION POND ICE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. RIGHTLY POINTED OUT THAT THIS IS A VERY OPERATIONAL QUESTION. AT FIRST THE CHARACTERIZATION OF EXTRA STAFF, SO THANK YOU FOR THE CLARIFICATION. IF THERE ARE STAFF THAT CAN TAKE CARE OF ICE SPECIFICALLY ON RETENTION PONDS. THAT I WILL ASK ADMINISTRATION. I THINK THEY HAVE A PERSPECTIVE ON THIS IN TERMS OF RETENTION PONDS AND I WILL GET BACK TO THE COUNCILLOR WITH RESPECT TO IF THERE ARE STAFF THAT CAN TAKE CARE OF ICE. WE KNOW THERE ARE SOME ICE-RELATED STAFF BUT I'LL LET -- I'LL LET THE ADMINISTRATION ANSWER THAT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. LET'S MOVE ON TO THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON INFRASTRUCTURE RENEWAL AND PUBLIC WORKS. COUNCILLOR ALLARD ON THE REPORT DATED DECEMBER 4, 2020.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE ITEMS 1 AND 2 AND EXPLAIN I GUESS PULL 3 TO EXPLAIN IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: JUST ITEM 1 AND 2.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: RECORDED VOTES PLEASE.

MADAM SPEAKER: 1, 2 HAS BEEN PULLED. ANY OTHER.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: SORRY I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE 1 AND 2 AND PULL 3 BUT I WANT A RECORDED VOTE ON 1 AND 2.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY. ITEM 3 HAS BEEN STOOD DOWN.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: THAT'S RIGHT.

MADAM SPEAKER: I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM 1 AND 2. ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE. CALL FOR RECORDED VOTE ON CONSENT AGENDA.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE YEAS 16 NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 1.

CLERK: ITEM 3.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES.

CLERK: DIRECTING TRANSPORTATION REQUEST TO THE 311 SYSTEM.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: ON THIS, LOOKING FOR COUNCIL'S AUTHORIZATION TO SEND THIS DOWN TO COMMUNITY COMMITTEE WHERE THE PUBLIC SERVICE HAS INDICATE THEY HAD WOULD MAKE THEMSELVES AVAILABLE FOR INQUIRIES. THE BASIC CONCEPT WHICH COUNCIL WOULD PERHAPS ENDORSE IF THERE IS CONCURRENCE AFTER THOSE DISCUSSIONS WITH COMMUNITY COMMITTEE IS THAT IN LIEU OF HAVING MOTIONS COMING FROM COMMUNITY COMMITTEES AND ASKING FOR THINGS LIKE FOUR-WAY STOPS, TRAFFIC CALMING AND VARIOUS REQUESTS OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT MANY OF WHICH COME FROM MY COMMUNITY COMMITTEE ACTUALLY THAT THESE REQUESTS COULD BE STARTED BY ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC OR ANY MEMBER OF COUNCIL USING THE 311 PROCESS SO IF WE DO SUPPORT THIS MOTION TODAY IT IS NOT SUPPORTING THE POLICY, ONLY SUPPORTING SENDING THIS TO COMMUNITY COMMITTEE WHERE COMMUNITY COMMITTEE CAN ASK QUESTIONS. THAT'S THE CONCEPT AND IF WE ACCEPT THIS WE ARE NOT ACCEPTING THE POLICY WE ARE ACCEPTING FOR THE DISCUSSION TO BE SENT DOWN TO COMMUNITY COMMITTEE. THAT'S MY PITCH ON THIS ONE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? SEEING NONE COUNCILLOR ALLARD DO YOU WISH TO CLOSE?

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I HAVE NO MORE CLOSING COMMENTS BUT I WOULD LIKE A RECORDED VOTE ON THIS AS WELL.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN, COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE YEAS 16 NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 3 PASSES. WE HAVE NO MOTIONS OR BY-LAWS FOR THIS COMMITTEE. WE'LL NOW MOVE ON TO QUESTION PERIOD. ANY QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR ALLARD? SEEING NONE, THE FINAL COMMITTEE FOR TODAY -- NOT QUITE YET. TWO MORE COMMITTEES. STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE. THERE IS NO REPORT. NO MOTIONS NO BY-LAWS. ANY QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM? MR. MAYOR?

MAYOR BOWMAN: THANK YOU MADAM SPEAKER. I KNOW IT'S BEEN ONLY 24 HOURS BUT I WONDER IF THE FINANCE CHAIR CAN LET US KNOW IF THE 2022 BUDGET IS BALANCED?

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM? GOOD QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM: YES, IT IS.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR AND A RECORDED VOTE: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? OKAY. FINAL COMMITTEE, STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON WATER RIVER, WASTE RIVER BANK MANAGE THE AND THE ENVIRONMENT. COUNCILLOR MAYES ON REPORT DECEMBER 1 NOTING THERE IS MOTION 5 ON OUR DESKS AND MOVED BY COUNCILLOR LUKES AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR NASON REGARDING RETENTION PONDS. IT'S AUTOMATIC REFERRAL SO WE WON'T GET TO THAT. >>I WAS ADVISED NUMBER 2 WILL BE PULLED SO I WILL MOVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1 AND 2 BUT I BELIEVE 2 WILL BE PULLED.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON ONE. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? RECORDED VOTE NEW PRACTICE TODAY. ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR BOWMAN,

COUNCILLORS CHAMBERS, EADIE, GILLINGHAM, GILROY, KLEIN, LUKES, MAYES, NASON, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE MADAM SPEAKER YEAS 16 NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 1 PASSES.

CLERK: ITEM 2 BIO SOLIDS TREATMENT CAPACITY FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR MAYES TO INTRODUCE ITEM 2 AND THEN WE'LL HEAR FROM COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM.

>>I BELIEVE IT WAS COUNCILLOR KLEIN.

MADAM SPEAKER: YOU ARE RIGHT, THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: BEFORE HEARING FROM COUNCILLOR KLEIN GOOD DISCUSSION ON THIS SPREAD OVER TWO MEETINGS OF THE WATER AND WASTE COMMITTEE. THE ISSUE IS TWOFOLD. ONE IS THE CAPACITY THAT'S LEFT IN THE CURRENT SYSTEM AND ALSO THE -- THEREFORE LEADING TO THE NEED TO GET GOING ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF PHASE 2 AT THE NORTH END SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. THAT PHASE 2 BEING THE BIOSOLIDS CAPACITY BUILDING. AS YOU WILL REMEMBER THE ORIGINAL \$795 MILLION VIEW OF THE NORTH END PLANT HAS NOW BEEN -- TIME HAS OVERTAKEN THAT LOOKING AT 3 DIFFERENT PHASES. PHASE 1 THE HEAD WORKS. THE BASICALLY MODERNIZEING THE OLDER PART OF THE FACILITY \$406 MILLION ALTHOUGH THE POWER SUPPLY IS 50 MILLION OF THAT SO YOU'LL SOMETIMES SEE 356 FOR THE FIRST PHASE. PHASE 2 IS THE BIOSOLID BUILDING USUALLY LISTED AT \$552 MILLION AND YOU WILL REMEMBER WHETHER WE STOOD HERE A YEAR AGO WE TALKED ABOUT OUR PRIORITIES, IT WASN'T DEBATED MORE OF AN ADVISORY DOCUMENT ON THAT I AGREED WITH THE MAYOR AND SOME OTHERS AND REALLY AN ADVISORY LISTING OF THE TOP 40 PRIORITIES AND NUMBER 1 ON THE LIST WAS THIS BUILD OF THE BIOSOLID BUILDING. WHEN WE TALKED ABOUT THAT I SAID WELL FOR YEARS THE STAFF SAID THAT'S A REGULATORY CHANGE THE PROVINCE IS CALLING FOR AND WHY NOW THIS SHIFT IN POSITION AND WHY IS IT GROWTH RELATED AND THE ANSWER IS BECAUSE IT SHIFTED THERES HAD BEEN MORE GROWTH IN THE CITY AND ALSO, AND ALSO WE'RE HAVING TO PROVIDE SPACE FOR SOME OF THE INDUSTRIAL USERS THAT MOVED IN AND THE OUTLYING RURAL MUNICIPALITIES. SO, I REALLY THINK STARTING LAST YEAR AT LEAST THE STAFF STARTED TO RING THE ALARM BELLS SAYING WE'RE START TO GO HAVE CAPACITY ISSUES FOR WASTE WATER. THIS LEN

LEADS TO THE REPORT YOU HAVE GOT IN FRONT OF YOU, SAYING LOOK, WE'VE GOT FIVE TO NINE YEARS REPORTED IN ONE OF OUR DAILY PAPERS. THAT SAYS FIVE? NO SOMEWHERE BETWEEN FIVE TO NINE YEARS LEFT. COMPLEXITIES. NUMBER 1 A REGULAR RESIDENTIAL GROWTH DOESN'T MATTER IF IT'S SUBURBAN OR INFILL EVERYBODY PUTS A DEMAND ON THE WASTE WATER SYSTEM. SO CONVENTIONAL RESIDENTIAL GROWTH. NUMBER 2 STREET GROWTH. WE HEARD OF AN INDUSTRIAL PLANT GOING IN THAT SOAKED UP THE SAME AMOUNT OF SPACE THAT THE CITY OF STEIN BECK USES FOR ITS WASTE WATER NEEDS. SO THESE ARE BIG INDUSTRIAL USERS. AND NUMBER 3, THE AGREEMENTS THAT WERE REACHED SOME YEARS AGO, SECOND DAY AS A COUNCILLOR ACTUALLY, DECEMBER 15TH OF 2011 WE VOTED ON THAT, OPENING UP SOME SPACE TO THE RM OF ROSSER AND THE RM OF WEST ST. PAUL. AT THAT TIME WE ALSO TALKED ABOUT WATER THAT WAS SUBSEQUENTLY SHUT DOWN BY THE IJC VIEW ON WATER SO JUST WASTE WATER, JUST SEWAGE, OKAY SO THERE ARE TWO RMs USING UP THE SPACE WE HAVE LEFT BUT THAT WAS AN AGREEMENT REACHED SOME YEARS AGO AT THAT TIME IN 2011-12 THE STAFF THOUGHT WE HAD A LOT OF CAPACITY LEFT. TIME IS NOW BECOMING MUCH MORE PRESSING. ISSUE 4, THE ODD AGREEMENT WITH THE ODD MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING REACHED BETWEEN THE 2014 ELECTION WITH THE RM OF ST. ANDREWS IN WHICH NOW THE ARM OF ST. ANDREWS USED FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL DOLLARS TO GET PIPES IN GROUND WITHOUT EVER HAVING A FORMAL AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF CALGARY. SO THERE YOU HAVE -- WITH THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. SO THERE YOU HAVE T WE ARE FACED WITH SOME INTERESTING DEBATES OVER WHAT TO APPROVE AND WHAT NOT TO APPROVE IN THE COMING YEARS. THE WAY OUT, THE SOLUTION IT WOULD SEEM IS TO GET GOING ON A NEW BIOSOLIDS BUILDING SO THAT'S THE LAY OF THE LAND. WE HAD A GOOD DEBATE ON TRYING TO GET MORE CONTROL BACK FOR COUNCIL ON THESE DECISIONS NOT DELEGATED AUTHORITY. AND WHAT TO DO ABOUT ST. ANDREWS SO PEOPLE FROM ST. ANDREWS SAYING GO TO SELKIRK AND THERE WAS A LONG INFORMATIONAL SESSION ABOUT NOW THERE IS PIPE IN THE GROUND ALREADY. THE SHIP HAS SAILED IN SEARCH OF A MET FOR EXAMPLE THE HORSE HAS LEFT THE BARN, COSTS HAVE BEEN ALREADY EXPENDED TO HAVE THAT WASTE WATER FROM ST. ANDREWS COME DOWN TO WINNIPEG SO HOW TO LIMIT THAT? SO, GOOD DEBATE I HAVE TO SAY AT WATER AND WASTE COMMITTEE AMONG THE 4 OF US AND IT WAS EVENTUALLY APPROVED AND IN AN AMENDED FASHION AND MOVED ON TO EPC AND THEN HERE. SO I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING FROM COUNCILLOR KLEIN. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF ISSUES HERE. IT'S HEADED TO A BIGGER PERHAPS MORE CONTENTIOUS DEBATE ABOUT HOW CAN WE APPROVE -- HOW CAN WE APPROVE ANOTHER PRECINCT IN THE CITY WHEN YOU HAVE CENTERPORT RIGHT THERE, THEY NEED THE CAPACITY AND HOW ABOUT THE WINNIPEG PART OF CENTERPORT THAT COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM HAS RAISED MANY TIMES. HOW DO WE RESERVE SPACE FOR THAT? SO MANY DEBATES TO COME BUT FOR TODAY ITS HE IMPORTANT -- IT'S IMPORTANT TO GET THIS ISSUE OUT, DEBATE IT, MOVE FORWARD AND RUNNING IN PARALLEL TO THAT IS THE DEBATE ABOUT GETTING THE BIOSOLIDS BUILDING DONE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR MAYES. COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR KLEIN.

COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER AND THANK YOU COUNCILLOR MAYES, HE JUST LAID OUT REALLY CLEARLY SOME OF THE CHALLENGES WE HAVE. MAYBE I'LL PICK UP ON HIS HORSE GETTING OUT OF THE BARN METAPHOR AND GO BACK TO MY CHILDHOOD. I GREW UP ON A FARM AND SOMETIMES WHEN THE CATTLE WERE LEAVING THE FARM A FEW WOULD TRY TO LEAVE AT THE SAME TIME AND TAKE THE DOOR OFF THE BARN BECAUSE THEY WERE ALL TRYING TO GET THROUGH THAT NARROW OPENING AT THE SAME TIME. WE HAVE ALL OF THIS COMING THROUGH THE PIPE AT THE SAME TIME. ADD TO ALL THE THINGS THAT COUNCILLOR MAYES JUST ADDED, BILL 37 WHICH IS GOING TO CALL UPON THE CAPITAL REGION MEMBERS TO WORK TOGETHER ON LAND USE PLANNING AND WHAT -- YOU KNOW, WHAT KIND OF PRESSURES WILL THAT PUT ON OUR WASTE WATER SYSTEM AND THE NORTH END PLANT. ADD TO THAT THE INFILL STRATEGY AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT COUNCILLOR MAYES ALSO TALKED ABOUT THAT I HAVE RAISED SEVERAL TIMES IS THE NEED FOR US AT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO TAKE A LOOK AT PUTTING PIPES IN THE GROUND AT CENTERPORT. THE CENTERPORT WINNIPEG PORTION. WE FACE A GOOD PROBLEM HERE COLLECTIVELY AND IT'S A PROBLEM OF A GROWING CITY. THE DECISIONS THAT WE'RE MAKING ON A REGULAR BASIS ARE THE DECISIONS TO FIND BEST WAYS TO DEAL WITH THE CHALLENGES OF A GROWING CITY AND THE RATE OF OUR GROWTH WILL EBB AND FLOW DEPENDING ON WHAT'S HAPPENING YEAR BY YEAR BUT NONETHELESS, WE ARE GROWING AND NOT SHRINKING. AND SO, THERE IS NEEDS THAT COME WITH THAT. COUNCIL WILL RECALL -- MY COLLEAGUES WILL RECALL THAT JUST LAST YEAR, I THINK IT WAS -- YES, EARLIER THIS YEAR, WE RELEASED THE EMPLOYMENT AND COMMERCIAL LAND STUDY. AND THE CITY OF WINNIPEG IS GROWING AND THAT LAND STUDY SAYS THAT WE ARE HEADING TOWARDS A SHORTAGE OF SERVICE INDUSTRIAL LANDS. WE HAVE LOTS OF COMMERCIAL LAND BUT SERVICED INDUSTRIAL LAND SHORTAGES AHEAD. AND WE NEED TO DEAL WITH THAT. THAT'S EMPLOYMENT LANDS. PEOPLE WILL NOT MOVE TO OUR CITY IF THERE IS NO PLACE TO WORK. PEOPLE WILL NOT BUY HOMES AND PAY TAXES IF THERE IS NO PLEASE TO WORK. I KNOW IT'S OFTEN A CHICKEN AND EGG DEBATE THAT I HAVE WITH MY COLLEAGUES. IS IT ABOUT SERVICES FIRST OR EMPLOYMENT LANDS FIRST. MY VIEW IS IF PEOPLE DON'T HAVE JOBS THEY ARE NOT COMING HERE. SO WE NEED TO MAKE SURE WE'RE TAKING CARE OF THE ECONOMIC GROWTH, NEEDS OF OUR CITY AND ONE OF THE WAYS TO TAKE CARE OF THAT IS TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE CAPACITY FREED UP AND PIPES IN THE GROUND AT PLACES LIKE CENTERPORT SO THAT CENTERPORT WINNIPEG,

SO THAT THERE IS PLENTY OF JOB LANDS AVAILABLE. JUST THIS MORNING WHILE WE WERE IN COUNCIL, CENTERPORT NORTH, CENTERPORT ROSSER JUST MADE ANOTHER ANNOUNCEMENT TODAY ABOUT A \$65 MILLION INVESTMENT IN CENTERPORT ROSSER. SOME SAY IS IT IN COMPETITION WITH ANOTHER MUNICIPALITY? NOT REALLY. WE CAN LOOK PAST THAT AND SAY BECAUSE OUR COMMUNITY AND OUR REGION IS GROWING WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE ENOUGH SERVICED LANDS TO ADD THE GROWTH REQUIRED AND THE JOBS AND THE EMPLOYMENT IN A IS -- THAT IS REQUIRED. AND THAT CAN'T HAPPEN WITHOUT ACCOMMODATING THE DEMANDS. AND SO WE NEED TO CERTAINLY DO THE UPGRADES AND AS COUNCILLOR MAYES SAYS, START ON THAT NORTH END BIOSOLID PROJECT AND THAT TAKES TO US SOMETHING WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT AT COUNCIL FOR A LONG TIME AND ALL OF US HAVE BEEN ADVOCATING AND OUR MAYOR HAS BEEN ADVOCATING AND THAT IS THE ISEP AFTER VOCATION WHICH HAS BEEN IN THE CUE AND GONE BACK AND FORTH IT FEELS LIKE A COUPLE OF TIMES () WITH THE PROVINCE. I BELIEVE THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO OUR POINT HAVE DONE OUR POINT. WE HAVE MADE THE DETERMINES. WE HAVE DONE WHAT HAS BEEN ASKED OF US AND NOW IT'S UP TO THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WHO HAVE BEEN GOOD PARTNERS IN THE PAST TO EXPEDITE THAT BECAUSE IT'S IN EVERYONE'S BEST INTERESTS ALL THREE LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT'S BEST INTERESTS TO GET THAT ISEP APPLICATION APPROVED AND GET STARTED ON THE NORTH END -- NORTH WINNIPEG COUNCILLOR EADIE, NORTH WINNIPEG TREATMENT PLANT SO THAT -- BECAUSE IT DOESN'T AFFECT JUST WINNIPEG AND COUNCILLOR EADIE IS RIGHT IT AFFECTS SO MUCH OF WINNIPEG AND SO THE GROWTH THAT IS HAPPENING, THE POLICY AGENDA ITEMS WE HAVE ON THE INFILL STRATEGY, THE EMPLOYMENT AND COMMERCIAL LAND STUDY, OUR OWN NEED TO GET EMPLOYMENT LANDS BUILT IN CENTERPORT SOUTH, ALL LEAD TO ALL THESE THINGS CONVERGING AT THE SAME TIME AND TRYING TO GET THROUGH THAT NARROW OPENING AT THE SAME TIME. WE NEED TO EXPAND THAT CAPACITY SO THAT WE CAN ACCOMMODATE THE GROWTH THAT WE'RE EXPECTING IN THE FUTURE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM. COUNCILLOR KLEIN FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR NASON.

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. AND I'LL TRY TO BE BRIEF. COUNCILLOR MAYES DID TOUCH ON A COUPLE OF POINTS AS DID COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM ABOUT THE NECESSITY OF LOOKING AFTER YOUR OWN IF YOU WILL AND THE CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED OVER THE PAST SEVERAL MONTHS IN THE ONGOING PUBLIC DISCUSSIONS ABOUT FUNDING AND THE ISEP APPLICATION. SO THIS IS WHERE I AM. SITTING BACK AND REALLY WATCHING IT FROM 30,000 FEET BECAUSE THERE HAS BEEN A LOT SAID AND LOTS OF THINGS HAVE CHANGED. WHEN I SAT ON THE WATER AND WASTE COMMITTEE, IT WAS NEVER RAISED THAT WE WERE AT THE CAPACITY LIMIT. AND THEN WITHIN MONTHS WE WERE AT CAPACITY LIMIT AND I THOUGHT WOW THAT CHANGED FAST. BUT LET'S SET THAT ASIDE. MANY OF US IN THIS

ROOM RECEIVED A CALL FROM MINISTER SQUIRES TO COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM'S INTRODUCTION OF THE ISEP APPLICATION AND THE MINISTER TOOK THE TIME TO ENSURE THAT WE WERE INFORMED OF WHAT THEY SAID WERE FACTS OF WHAT THE SITUATION TRULY IS AND THEN WE'RE HEARING FROM THE MINISTER THAT POSSIBLY, POSSIBLY IT'S BEING HELD BACK BY LEADERSHIP, POSSIBLY IT'S BEING HELD BACK BY THE MAYOR, POSSIBLY IT'S BEING HELD BACK BY COUNCIL. POSSIBLY, THESE ARE WHAT IS HAPPENING WITH THE MONEY. WE WERE TOLD -- I WAS TOLD LET ME NOT SPEAK FOR EVERYBODY, THAT THE MONEY IS SITTING THERE, THE PROVINCE, FEDS ARE READY TO GIVE IT. THEY ARE READY TO SIGN OFF AND NOT ONLY THE MONEY FOR THE FURS PROJECTS -- FIRST PROJECTS WITH THE TREATMENT PLANT THAT HAPPENS TO BE LOCATED IN NORTH WINNIPEG THAT REQUIRES WORK RIGHT NOW, THAT THERE IS WORK THERE -- OR MONEY THERE FOR THE SOUTH COMMUNITY CENTRE, SOUTH RECREATIONAL COMMUNITY CENTRE IN COUNCILLOR LUKES WARD. THERE IS MONEY THERE THAT'S BEEN PROMISED FOR CIVIC CENTRE UPGRADES WHICH I BELIEVE WOULD FREE UP MILLIONS OF DOLLARS FROM THE \$50 MILLION GRANT WE GOT FROM THE GOVERNMENT RECENTLY FOR THE PROPOSAL -- THE SPENDING PLAN AND BUDGET APPROVED YESTERDAY. SO THIS IS WHERE I'M AT BECAUSE IN THE END WE GOT AN E-MAIL FROM THE MAYOR EXPLAINING THE CHALLENGES HE HAS HAD AND WHERE HE BELIEVES THE SITUATION IS. SO, WE'RE HAVING ALL OF THESE DEBATES BUT WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT THE REAL PROBLEM. AND THE REAL PROBLEM SEEMS TO BE WE NEED TO GET TO WORK ON THIS TREATMENT PLANT THAT IS LOCATED IN NORTH WINNIPEG. AND SURELY I'M NOT THE ONLY ONE CONCERNED WITH ALL OF THE BACK AND FORTH AND PUBLIC NEGOTIATING OR PUBLIC DISCUSSION ON THE FUNDING. I BELIEVE THERE IS A CONCERN THE FUNDING COULD BE LOST, THAT IT COULD BE ANOTHER COUPLE OF YEARS IF WE DON'T HIT A CERTAIN WINDOW. I DON'T -- YOU KNOW WHAT I DON'T KNOW. I HAVEN'T SEEN ANY EVIDENCE FROM ANY SIDE. NO EVIDENCE FROM EITHER SIDE. SO, I'M -- I HATE TO SAY IT THIS WAY BUT SOMETHING DOESN'T SMELL RIGHT AND I THINK IT'S TIME WE DUG INTO THIS A LOT DEEPER AND FROM MY PAST I WOULD SAY STOP THE PRESSES AND LET'S HAVE SOME MORE DISCUSSION ON ALL OF THIS BECAUSE MY BIGGEST CONCERN IS YES, OKAY, LET'S HAVE CONTROL, COME BACK TO COUNCIL, LET'S THINK ABOUT WHERE WE NEED OUR EMPLOYMENT LANDS AND SO ON, BUT WE HAVE A MAJOR PROBLEM THAT IS IN FRONT OF US, AND IF WE COULD FIX IT BY SITTING DOWN WITH THE PROVINCIAL -- OR PROVINCIAL ASSOCIATES AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND GET SOME ASSURANCES AND MOVE THIS FORWARD, WHY WOULDN'T WE DO THAT TODAY? AND IF THAT'S NOT THE CASE, WHY ARE WE GETTING PHONE CALLS THAT IT IS THE CASE? I DON'T REMEMBER GETTING ANY COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR OR EVER ON ITEMS THAT ARE BEING DISCUSSED BETWEEN THE PROVINCE. NOW I DO. WE ALL DO. SO I THINK IT'S TIME THAT WE KIND OF JUST SAID OKAY WHAT'S REALLY

HAPPENING HERE? AS A GROUP, SIT DOWN, LET'S ALL SIT DOWN. WHAT'S HAPPENING HERE, HOW QUICKLY CAN WE GET THIS MONEY AND GET THESE PROJECTS GOING BECAUSE AGAIN, FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND -- AND I CAN ONLY GO BY WHAT I'M TOLD BECAUSE THERE ARE TWO SIDES TO EVERY STORY. WELL SOMETIMES THERE ARE 3. IN THE NEED YEAH WE -- IN THE MEDIA WE ALWAYS SAID THERE WERE 3 SIDES, SIDE A, B AND THE TRUTH. I WANT TO KNOW THE TRUTH. IF THERE IS FUNDING AVAILABLE AND GET TO WORK LET'S DO IT. IF IT'S SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE TAKEN CARE OF BY ADULTS LET'S GET IT DONE. I JUST WANT TO SEE THAT HAPPEN. I UNDERSTAND WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO WITH THIS MOTION I GET IT BUT LET'S LOOK AT THE BIGGER PROBLEM AND STOP DEALING WITH EVERYTHING LIKE A BAND AID. LET'S ADDRESS THIS ONCE AND FOR ALL. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON. I FOR ONE DON'T LIKE TO VOTE ON THINGS WHEN THERE IS OTHER QUESTION, BIGGER QUESTION, \$800 MILLION QUESTION THAT IS ARE NOT ANSWERED YET. THAT'S WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR FROM THIS COUNCIL IS LET'S GET THIS SOLVED TODAY. IT WON'T HAPPEN TODAY BUT LET'S SIT DOWN AND REALLY FIND OUT WHERE THE FUNDING ISSUES ARE. REALLY FIND OUT WHERE EVERYTHING IS AT BECAUSE AGAIN I WON'T SAY ONE SIDE IS RIGHT OR THE OTHER. I'M WAITING FOR EVIDENCE FROM EVERYBODY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR KLEIN. COUNCILLOR NASON.

COUNCILLOR NASON: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THIS CERTAINLY HAS BEEN A WELL TALKED ABOUT ITEM. I KNOW IT'S -- WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A PLANT THAT IS AGED. IN ANOTHER DECADE IT WILL BE A HUNDRED YEARS OLD. WE'RE RETROFITTING A 1.58 BILLION DOLLARS HUNDRED YEAR OLD PLANT. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT IN THE LONG TERM. WE HAVE REGIONAL COMPETITION AND TALKED ABOUT FUNNELING ALL DISH DON'T KNOW IF I CAN SAY CRAP BUT ALL THE STUFF COMING DOWN THAT PIPE INTO WINNIPEG. WHEREAS YOU FLIP THAT AROUND AND WHAT WE'RE SEEING, I WAS REMINDED TODAY, WHEN I GOT TO WORK, I -- A PRESS RELEASE FROM CENTERPORT, LOOK BACK JUST OVER A WEEK AGO, 12 NEW COMPANIES ESTABLISH OPERATIONS IN BROOKSIDE. TODAY ANOTHER \$65 MILLION INVESTMENT IN WHAT IS THAT, INKSPORT. WE DON'T SEE THESE INVESTMENTS IN THE CENTERPORT OF WINNIPEG PORTION. THE DEVELOPMENT ALL SEEMS TO BE HAPPENING IN THE RM OF ROSSER, RIGHT. AND I'LL NOTE IN ITEM 4, RECOMMENDATION 4, IT STATES THAT THE SEWER SERVICES -- SERVICE SHARING AGREEMENTS WITH THE RM OF ROSSER AND WEST ST. PAUL WHICH DO NOT HAVE CAPACITY LIMITS. NOW THE RM OF ST. ANDREWS DOES, 1800 UNITS OVER THE NEXT TEN YEARS. AND IT MAY BE ENOUGH FOR THEM, IT MAY NOT BE. THE REPORT WHEN IT TALKS ABOUT PEOPLE IT'S NOT TALKING ABOUT METRIC LITRES OR WHATEVER THE EXACT TERMINOLOGY IS REMEMBER THIS IS ONLY THE SECOND MEET THAT I HAVE HAD ON THIS COMMITTEE. BUT, WHEN THE REPORT CAME FORWARD IT'S A MATTER OF ECONOMISTS FACTORING IN ON IT. IT'S -- AND OUR WATER AND WASTE SATISFY -- STAFF BUT IT'S A GUESS OF THE WHEN WE SEE THE METRO REGION GROWING AND YOU KNOW, YOU JUST HAVE TO DRIVE ALONG THE

PERIMETER, IT'S GROWING. DRIVE OVER TO CENTERPORT WHICH I WANT TO DO. I HAVE TO PACK A LUNCH BUT DRIVE OVER THERE, TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT'S GOING ON JUST TO SEE THE GROWTH THAT'S HAPPENING OUTSIDE OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. WHAT I'M WORRIED ABOUT IS THAT WE COULD SCARE OFF INVESTMENT IN OUR COMMUNITY. RIGHT. WE ALWAYS HEAR -- WELL NOT WE. I HAVE HEARD SEVERAL TIMES WHEN DOWNTOWN VERSES THE SUBURBS. WELL NOW IT'S THE CITY OF WINNIPEG VERSES THE RMs AND IN -- FOR THOSE OF US THAT ARE ON THE CUSP, I HAVE THE RM OF SPRINGFIELD THAT'S NIPPING AT OUR HEELS, SETTING UP INDUSTRIAL PARKS. THEY AREN'T USING OUR SEWER SERVICES BUT THEY ARE A COMPETITOR. SO ARE WE ERODING OUR COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE? WE HEAR TIME AND TIME AGAIN AS WE GROW TO A MILLION, YOU KNOW, COUNCILS LONG BEFORE -- SOME COUNCILLORS ON THIS COUNCIL, WERE EVEN BORN, THIS PROBLEM WITH THE NORTH WINNIPEG NORTH END PLANT SHOULD HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED BUT NOBODY WANTS TO FIX A SEWER PLANT. THAT'S NOT A SEXY RIBBON CUTTING. NOW TIMES HAVE CHANGED AND YOU KNOW, ATTENTION TO CLIMATE AND THE ENVIRONMENT ARE IMPORTANT SO PUTTING ON THOSE RUBBER BOOTS, GETTING DOWN THERE IF THAT MUCK, THAT SLUDGE, THAT BILE, MAYBE IT IS THE GOOD OPPORTUNITY TO SHOW WE'RE GOING TO TRY TO SAVE LAKE WINNIPEG AND WE DO NEED TO BUT WE NEED TO DO IT SOONER. WE NEED TO GET THIS INVESTMENT GOING BUT I'M CONCERNED -- MY BIGGEST CONCERN IS HOW WE AS A CITY COULD GET HAMSTRUNG WITH GROWING WITH BRINGING STRONG INVESTMENT TO OUR CITY BY THE FACT OF REGIONAL COMPETITIVENESS. NOW, I WILL BE MEETING NEXT WEEK -- UNFORTUNATELY I COULDN'T GET IT IN THIS WEEK WITH THE METRO REGIONAL DIRECTOR TO HAVE A CONVERSATION NOW MY TWO MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE OR OUR COUNCIL ARE ON THAT BOARD, BUT I WANT TO HAVE THAT CONVERSATION SO I CAN HAVE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING WITH REGARDS TO HOW THE METRO REGION -- HOW WE'RE GOING TO DEAL WITH THIS PROBLEM. BECAUSE WE ALL NEED TO DEAL WITH THIS PROBLEM. BECAUSE IF WINNIPEG STALLS OUT, THE PROVINCIAL ECONOMY STALLS OUT. WE CAN'T CONTINUE ON. WE'RE BOUND BY OUR PERIMETER. IT'S CALLED THE NORTH END WASTE TREATMENT PLANT. IT'S NOT THE WINNIPEG METRO REGION WASTE PLANT BUT IT SHOULD BE. SO MAYBE COUNCILLOR EADIE WILL PETITION THE PROVINCE TO GET IT CHANGED BECAUSE I KNOW THAT'S A SORE POINT WITH HIM. BUT, FROM A PERSPECTIVE OF WHAT'S BEFORE US TODAY, I HAVE GRAVE CONCERNS OVER OUR COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE. RIGHT WHEN WE ARE ERE OUT TRYING TO -- ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT WINNIPEG IS OUT TRYING TO RECRUIT COMPANIES TO RELOCATE HERE, WELL THEY HAVE TO INVEST MONEY IN THAT, IN THAT PLANNING. AND IF WE'RE TELLING THEM RIGHT NOW, IN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD, WE MIGHT BE OUT OF SPACE FOR THEM TO SET UP SHOP, I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG CORPORATE ENTITIES, LARGE CORPORATE ENTITIES TAKE FROM A PLANNING AND

SCALABILITY PERSPECTIVE BUT THAT MAY SHUT US OUT FROM THOSE OPPORTUNITIES. NOT THE RM OF ROSSER BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE CAPACITY LIMITS SO THEY CAN GO TO CENTERPORT. SO, I HOPE THAT YOU KNOW, WE'RE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD ON THIS MOST LIKELY BECAUSE THERE IS LIKELY THE VOTES AROUND THE TABLE TO SUPPORT T I VOTED AGAINST IT AT STANDING COMMITTEE AND MOST DEFINITELY AS A REFLEX OF THE LACK OF CAPACITY LIMITS THAT WE SEE TIME AND TIME AGAIN, CENTERPORT FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF RM ROSSER SEEMS TO BE GOING FULL STEAM AHEAD WHEREAS WE'RE NOT AS NIMBLE SO I'M CHALLENGED BY THAT. SO THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR NASON. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK AND I FIRST OF ALL WANT TO THANK THE CHAIR, THE FURS -- THE FIRST ROUND OF MOTION THAT IS CAME FORWARD REGARDING THIS MATTER, REGARDING CAPPING -- ARBITRARILY CAPPING AGREEMENTS WHICH I'M NOT SURE IF WE HAVE LEGAL AUTHORITY TO DO AND A FEW OTHER AREAS IN THERE. WE HAVE O MODIFIED IN THE SECOND ROUND SIGNIFICANTLY, AND WHAT IT REFLECTS HERE IS WE HAVE TO WORK TOGETHER. WE CAN SAY I WISH I COULD TURN BACK THE CLOCK OF TIME AND PUT CAP LIMITS ON ROSSER AND OTHER -- THOSE OTHER SERVICE AGREEMENTS. WE DID NOT. THAT'S -- AND WE HAVE A LEGAL AGREEMENT WITH THOSE PARTIES. HOWEVER, ONCE WE RUN OUT OF CAPACITY WE RUN OUT OF CAPACITY AND IT WON'T MATTER WHAT THE LEGAL AGREEMENTS ARE BECAUSE THE PLANT WON'T BE ALLOWED TO FUNCTION BECAUSE OF THE PROVINCIAL REGULATIONS. SO, THIS IS -- AND THERE REALLY ARE -- WHEN WE FIRST STARTED THIS COUNCILLOR NASON TALKED ABOUT IT AND SOME OTHERS HAVE I WAS OBJECT -- ON THIS CONCERN LEVEL ABOUT HOW MUCH DEVELOPMENT ARE WE ENCOURAGING TO DEVELOP OUTSIDE OF THE CITY VERSE INSIDE THE CITY -- VERSES, INSIDE THE CITY. AND ONE OF THE DEVELOPMENTS IS A BIG HEALTH PLAN THAT WENT OUT DOWN IN ROSSER AREA. YOU KNOW WHAT, THE PULSE PLANT IS BETTER SUITED THERE () IT'S CLOSER TO THE HIGHWAYS AND BETTER THAN BEING IN THE MIDDLE OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND WE CAN USE SOME OF THOSE EXTRA LANDS WE HAVE NOW INSIDE TO CONVERT THOSE TO NEW INDUSTRIAL LANDS. SO THE CITY OF WINNIPEG INDUSTRIAL LANDS VERSES RURAL INDUSTRIAL LANDS ARE DIFFERENT BUT THEY DO PLY TOGETHER BUT I'M NOT HOPING TO HAVE A HUGE PULSE PLANT ON THE MIDDLE OF MCGILLIVRAY. THE TRAFFIC WOULD BE ASTRONOMICAL BUT WE DO WANT TO WORK WITH ROSSER AND OTHER NEIGHBOURHOODS AND MAYBE WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT TAX SHARING. I HAVE BEEN ON THE WINNIPEG NET REGION FOR QUITE AWHILE NOW AND WE HAVE THIS GREAT PLAN THAT'S COMING FORWARD. IT DOESN'T TALK ABOUT TAX SHARING AT ALL. VERY DISAPPOINTING. THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT WELL THIS AND THAT AND WE ALL WORK TOGETHER, COUPLE BUY YA, NO WE DO WANT TO WORK TOGETHER. WE ALL DO. BUT WE ALSO HAVE THE REALITY OF TAXES. THEY ARE -- IF THIS GROUP TAKES ALL THE TAX REVENUE FROM THE CITY OF

WINNIPEG OR VICE VERSEA SHOULDN'T WE BE WORKING TOGETHER WITH THE TAX REALM AS WELL THAT WON'T BE PART OF THE NET REGION'S INITIAL PLAN UNFORTUNATELY. I HAVE DONE WHAT I CAN TO EXPRESS MY CONCERNS BECAUSE REVENUE DOES DRIVE DECISION MAKING. HOWEVER, I HAVE COME AROUND SINCE MY DAYS OF EIGHT YEARS AGO OR WHATEVER IT WAS, THAT I DO BELIEVE THERE IS SOME DEVELOPMENT THAT PEOPLE WANT TO LIVE OUTSIDE OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. THEY DON'T WANT TO LIVE IN WINNIPEG FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS AND PEOPLE NEED TO HAVE SOME CHOICE. I'M OKAY WITH THAT. I ALSO KNOW SOME INDUSTRIAL PLANTS NEED TO BE OUTSIDE OF WINNIPEG NOT INSIDE. I'M ALSO OKAY WITH THAT. AND THAT'S WHAT THE REGIONAL PLAN HOPEFULLY WOULD HELP OUT TO DO. TO DIGNIFY SOME OF THOSE, (INDISCERNIBLE) SO I AM EXCITED AND I WILL BE COMING FORWARD WAY PLAN, WINNIPEG MET REGION'S PLAN WILL BE COMING FORWARD. THEY ARE IN THE DRAFT STAGE NOW AND WILL BE COMING FORWARD AGAIN BUT WE HAVE ALSO BEEN INFORMED THAT THE WINNIPEG NET REGION COUNCILLORS WHO ARE ALL COUNCILLORS AND REEFS WE DON'T WORK FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WHEN WE'RE THERE. WE WORK FOR THE MET REGION. (REEVES). >>I HAVE DIFFICULTY BECAUSE IF WE WORKED FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND ALL COUNCIL WORKED FOR THE MUNICIPALITIES AND WE WORK TOGETHER IT'S THE MET REGION SO IT DEPENDS HOW YOU WANT TO LOOK AT THAT ANGLE BUT AGAIN, WHAT I DO KNOW HAS HAPPENED IS WE DO HAVE AN AGREEMENT IN PLACE WITH SOME OF THE MUNICIPALITIES ABOUT SHARING -- JUST THE SEWER SERVICES AND WE HAVE TO ABIDE BY THAT BUT THEY ALSO TOGETHER CAN'T USE OF THE CAPACITY. THERE IS DEBATE ABOUT CAPACITY ANNOY WE DO A NEW DEVELOPMENT. WE DID A BIG DEVELOPMENT TODAY WAY GOLF COURSE AREA AND WE DID THE PARKER ONE RECENTLY AND WE HAVE DONE SOME OTHER ONES BUT BY THE TIME THEY HAVE BUILD WILL WE HAVE CAPACITY? THAT'S AGAIN LEGAL -- WORKING WITH COUNCILLOR MAYES WE'LL KEEP ADDRESSING THESE ISSUES AS WE GO FORWARD. NOW I AM QUITE CONCERNED THAT THE MUNICIPAL -- OR THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT HAS CALLED A FEW COUNCILLORS OUT OF THE BLUE AND TRIED TO MUDDY THE WATERS AND THAT'S WHY WE SHOULDN'T HAVE THESE CONVERSATIONS GOING ON. WE HAVE ONE PERSON IN CHARGE OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS AND THAT'S THE MAYOR AND THAT'S WHERE IT SHOULD STAND FOR CLARITY ON THAT. I NEVER GOT THE PHONE CALL FROM MINISTER SQUIRES. WHY NOT? I'M ON THE MET REGION AND THE BOARD OF THIS COMMITTEE. WHY? I DON'T KNOW WHY AND I DON'T EVEN WANT TO SPECULATE BUT SHE SHOULDN'T HAVE DONE THAT I DON'T BELIEVE THAT'S APPROPRIATE. THAT'S MY OPINION. SO THAT CONCERNS ME. THAT DOES RAISE A CONCERN FOR ME. ARE WE TRYING TO MUDDY THE WATERS MORE AND MAKE IT MORE CONFUSING? I DON'T KNOW. BUT AGAIN IT'S NOT THE PROPER PROCESS. THERE IS SOME CONFUSION I DO THANK THE MAYOR AND I TRUST THE MAYOR. HE SAID THIS IS WHAT

THE PROBLEM IS RIGHT NOW AND I AM WORKING TO GET CLARITY BETWEEN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BECAUSE ALL 3 PARTIES ARE SAYING SOMETHING DIFFERENT SO WE NEED SOME CLARITY. AGAIN, MR. MAYOR THAT IS YOUR JOB AND YOU ARE DOING IT SO I APPRECIATE THAT VERY MUCH BUT I ALSO KNOW WE WILL WORK TOGETHER WITH THE CAPITAL REGION TO TRY TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM TOGETHER. IT CAN'T BE A LEGAL THING. I THINK THEY HAVE A LEGAL RIGHT. WE SHOULD HAVE PUT A CAP IN WAY BACK IN THE DAY BUT WE DIDN'T. AGAIN, THAT IS LESSON LEARN. ST. ANDREWS, YES ST. ANDREWS IS -- THEY ALREADY PUT THE PIPES IN THE GROUND. THE MOU IS THERE. I DO NOT WANT TO EXTEND OUR CAPACITY TO ST. ANDREWS BUT WE HAVE TO. THE M OUT. IS JUST NOT SIGNED. BUT IT WAS ALL -- IT WAS AGREED TO, ALL -- TWO LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT PUT MONEY INTO IT AND THE MUNICIPALITY PUT MONEY INTO IT BUT WE PUT A CAP ON THAT. AND ROSSER IS THE BIG ONE. ROSSER, THEY ARE EXPANDING THEIR COMMERCIAL CAPACITY IN ROSSER, WE KNOW THAT. SO AGAIN LET'S WORK TOGETHER WITH ROSSER AND SAY YOU NEED TO PHASE US IN SLOWER. IT'S NOT GOING TO THROW AWAY A DEVELOPMENT WE'LL SAY YOU HAVE TO STAGE IT OVER TIME BUT WE'LL WORK ON THAT AND THAT'S WHAT THIS DOES SO THIS MOTION HERE TODAY IS NOT ABOUT SOME TYPE OF BIG STEP WHERE WE TIE IN ROSSER AND OTHER NEIGHBOURHOODS, YOU MUST DO WHAT WE SAY. WHAT THEY ARE SAYING IS WE NEED TO FIND A WAY TO WORK TOGETHER TO DEVELOP AND GROW. I APPRECIATE THIS MOTION, I APPRECIATE THE CHAIR WHO UNDERSTOOD THAT SOME OF THE LANGUAGE IN THE FIRST ROUND WAS A LITTLE BIT TOO -- LET'S SAY DIRECTIVE RATHER THAN COLLABORATIVE, SO THIS MOTION HERE, TO ME, TODAY IS A GOOD STARTING POINT TO HAVE THOSE DISCUSSIONS AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO HAVE THOSE DISCUSSIONS. NOW, THE DISCUSSION ABOUT THE WINNIPEG MET REGION AND THEIR PLAN THAT'S COMING I HOPE IN THE NEAR FUTURE. WE HAVEN'T FIGURED OUT HOW WE WILL COME TO COUNCIL BECAUSE REALLY, AND LIKE I SAID BEFORE, WE WORK FOR THE -- WHEN YOU ARE ON THAT BOARD YOU WORK FOR THE WINNIPEG MET REASONABLE NOT THE COUNCIL BUT AGAIN YOU ALL MUST SEE THE PLAN SO AGAIN, IN THE FUTURE WE'LL SEE THAT SOON. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR BOWMAN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WANT TO THANK OUR COMMITTEE CHAIR FOR THE WORK THAT HE AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS HAVE BEEN DOING ON THIS REPORT. THIS IS A CLEAN UP WORK, I WOULD SAY, IN THE LARGEST SENSE. WE HAD NOT CRITICIZING BUT I THINK TIMES HAVE CHANGED AND COUNCIL IN THIS DAY AND AGE EXPECTS TO BE MAKING DECISIONS THAT ARE OF THIS SCALE AND MAGNITUDE AND IMPORTANCE FOR THE FUTURE OF OUR CITY. BACK IN 2012, COUNCIL DELEGATED THE AUTHORITY TO THE CAO TO NEGOTIATE AND APPROVE SERVICE SHARING AGREEMENTS WITH THE WINNIPEG MET REGION MUNICIPALITIES. THIS RESCINDS THAT AND BRINGS IT BACK TO THE FLOOR OF COUNCIL AND A MORE OPEN AND TRANSPARENT WAY SO THAT ELECTED MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL CAN HAVE A

SAY ABOUT THE FUTURE OF THOSE AGREEMENTS. I THINK THERE WAS SOME EXCELLENT WORK DONE AT THE COMMITTEE IN TERMS OF JUST THE LEGAL DUE DILIGENCE AND IN TERMS OF WHAT ARE THE OPTIONS WITH RESPECT TO CURRENT AGREEMENTS AND WE DO WANT TO WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS WHO I AM IN REGULAR CONTACT WITH AND APPRECIATE THEIR EFFORTS TO GROW THEIR MUNICIPALITIES AS WELL. I WANT TO THANK OUR REPS ON THE WINNIPEG MET REGION, COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW, IT HAS NOT BEEN A LIGHT APPOINTMENT TO BE ON THE WINNIPEG MET REGION OVER THE LAST YEAR ESPECIALLY WITH BILL 48 AND NOW BILL 37 AND ALL OF THE RELATED ISSUES RESULTING FROM THAT PROVINCIAL INITIATIVE. THIS REPORT THOUGH, WHAT IT DOES IS IT PULLS INTO THE FLOOR OF COUNCIL IN THIS FORM AND IN AN OPEN AND TRANSPARENT WAY, DECISION MAKING ABOUT CAPACITY ISSUES. IT ALSO DOES PROVIDE GREATER OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY ABOUT WHAT THE CAPACITY IS FOR WINNIPEG TO GROW AS WELL AS FOR THE CAPITAL REGION THAT RELIES UPON THE NORTH END SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. THESE ARE INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT DEBATES THAT SHOULD BE HAPPENING ON THE FLOOR OF COUNCIL GOING FORWARD. WHAT WARMS MY HEART IS WHEN I HEAR MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, I KNOW SOME OF OUR LOCAL MEDIA ARE OFTEN -- THEIR TAG LINES TALK ABOUT GROWING TO A MILLION PEOPLE STRONG AND I LOVE THAT BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT I RAN ON, THAT'S THE VISION THAT I BROUGHT TO COUNCIL IS TO THINK ABOUT HOW WE GROW A CITY IN A MORE SUSTAINABLE WAY. HOW DO WE GROW A CITY IN A MORE SUSTAINABLE WAY SO THAT AS WE GET BIGGER WE ALSO GET BETTER FOR OUR RESIDENTS AND THAT'S WHY IT'S SO IMPORTANT THAT WE'RE HAVING ON THIS FLOOR DEBATES ABOUT SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANTS AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AND MANY OF THE ISSUES WE DEBATED YESTERDAY, PASSIONATELY IN THE DISCUSSION ABOUT THE NEXT -- NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET. SO IT'S GOOD THOSE DEBATES ARE HAPPENING ON THE FLOOR. WE'RE NOT ALWAYS GOING TO AGREE BUT WE NEED TO HAVE THOSE DEBATES AND DISCUSSIONS HERE. NOW, THE COMMENTS TODAY, IT WAS NOTED THAT MINISTER SQUIRES HAS REACHED OUT. I'M NOT AWARE THAT THIS LEVEL OF OUTREACH HAS OCCURRED ON A MATTER FROM THE MINISTER. WE HEAR COMMENTS ATTRIBUTED TO THE MINISTER WHICH I HOPE ARE INACCURATELY BEING CONSTRUED. I HOPE COUNCILLOR KLEIN CAN RETRACT HIS COMMENTS IN -- BECAUSE I BELIEVE WHAT -- WHEN WE LOOK BACK AT THE RECORDS HE IS MAKING COMMENTS THAT TRIBUTABLE TO THE MINISTER WHICH WOULD NOT BE APPROPRIATE. THE ISEP APPLICATION -- I'LL GO OVER SO IT'S ON THE PUBLIC RECORD. IN MAY -- MAY 29TH -- SORRY. BACK IN 19 --

COUNCILLOR KLEIN: I WOULD LIKE FOR CLARITY, OR POINT OF ORDER, HE IS ASKING -- IF THE MAYOR IS ASKING THROUGH YOU MADAM CHAIR THAT POINTS BE

CLARIFIED OR HE IS QUESTIONING SOME COMMENTS COULD HE BE CLEAR EXACTLY WHAT THOSE ARE.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES COUNCILLOR KLEIN. CONTINUE MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR BOWMAN: THANK YOU MADAM SPEAKER. I BELIEVE -- AND I WOULD HAVE TO CHECK THE RECORD TO HEAR AGAIN AND TO CONFIRM THIS BUT I BELIEVE THAT THE COUNCILLOR SAID AND I QUOTE, "MINISTER SQUIRES TOOK THE TIME TO ENSURE WE WERE INFORMED ON WHAT THEY SAID WERE THE FACTS AND WHERE THE SITUATION TRULY IS. POSSIBLY IT'S BEING HELD BACK BY LEADERSHIP, POSSIBLY IT'S BEING HELD BACK BY THE MAYOR. POSSIBLY BEING HELD UP BY COUNCIL." WELL THOSE ARE PRETTY -- THOSE ARE PRETTY INFLAMMATORY STATEMENTS TO MAKE. AND SO I HOPE THAT THE COUNCILLOR WAS MISSPEAKING ON BEHALF OF THE MINISTER. I DID HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH THE MINISTER THIS WEEK. I DID SEND AN E-MAIL TO ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL BECAUSE COUNCIL RECENTLY UNANIMOUSLY MADE SOME DECISIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE ISEP APPLICATION AND BASED ON THE FACT THAT THE MINISTER WAS CALLING FROM WHAT WE GATHER SELECTED COUNCILLORS I SHOULD LET ALL COUNCILLORS KNOW WHAT THE STATUS IS FROM MY PERSPECTIVE AND I INCLUDED A LETTER THAT I RECEIVED RECENTLY FROM MINISTER SQUIRES AND MINISTER REG HELWORTH AND TO MINISTER KATHERINE MCKENNA AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL AND MINISTER SQUIRE SEEKING CLARITY ON THE LETTER. I REMIND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AND THOSE WATCHING AND IT'S IMPORTANT TO READ THIS INTO THE RECORD, THAT FEBRUARY OF 2019 COUNCIL UNANIMOUSLY ADOPTED BY COUNCIL TO PROCEED WITH THE CAPITAL BUDGET OF \$408 MILLION TO DELIVER THE NORTH END SEWAGE PLANT UPGRADE. SEPTEMBER OF 2019 AGAIN COUNCIL UNANIMOUSLY AND APPRECIATE THAT LEVEL OF COLLABORATION, VOTED AND I QUOTE, TO SUBMIT THE FOUR PROJECTS LISTED FOR THE FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL CONTRIBUTION FUNDING UNDER THE INVESTING IN CANADA INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, THOSE WERE TWO RELATED TO THE NORTH END SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT PHASES 1 AND PHASE 2 AS WELL AS THE ST. JAMES CIVIC CENTRE FACILITY EXPANSION AND THE SOUTH WINNIPEG RECREATION CAMPUS PHASE 1 RECREATION CENTRE. AGAIN UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED BY COUNCIL. IN MAY OF THIS YEAR, COUNCIL VOTED UNANIMOUSLY, AGAIN, TO GRANT AN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR THE WINNIPEG TRANSIT MASTER PLAN TO BE SUBMITTED TO COUNCIL IN MARCH OF NEXT YEAR AND THIS OF COURSE WAS AFFECTED BY COVID AND OF COURSE THE DECISION WAS MADE BY COUNCIL TO AGAIN UNANIMOUSLY SUBMIT THAT TO COUNCIL BY MARCH NEXT YEAR AND MORE RECENTLY, DIRECTLY ON POINT, COUNCIL UNANIMOUSLY VOTED TO ENDORSE THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT'S REQUEST TO TRANSFER 321.24 MILLION OF FEDERAL FUNDS FROM THE TRANSIT STREAM TO THE GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE STREAM IN SUPPORT OF THE NORTH END SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT AND ONE OF THE CONDITIONS OF THE VOTE WAS THAT THE PROVINCE COMMIT TO NO FURTHER REDUCTIONS OF 203.6 MILLION OF WINNIPEG'S REMAINING FEDERAL TRANSIT ALLOCATION UNDER ISEP. THIS WAS IMPORTANT FOR

ALL THOSE THAT BELIEVE IN PUBLIC TRANSIT WHICH BY THE VOTE WAS EVERY MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL. AND SO I HAVE AND I WILL CONTINUE TO DEFEND THE UNANIMOUS SUPPORT OF EVERY MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL CHAMBER IN DEFENDING THOSE TRANSIT FUNDS. SO, WHEN I RECEIVED A LETTER RECENTLY THAT SAID, AMONG OTHER THINGS THAT THE PROVINCE DOES NOT INTEND TO ADVANCE ADDITIONAL PROJECTS FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG USING PETUS FUNDS AND WILL ENGAGE THE CITY IN FURTHER DISCUSSION AROUND THE CONCURRENCE TO TRANSFER ADDITIONAL FUNDS TO SUPPORT THE OVER PRESCRIPTION OF PRIORITY PROJECTS IN THE GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE STREAM, I WAS CONCERNED. AS I'M SURE EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL WOULD BE BECAUSE WHEN THE MASTER TRANSIT PLAN COMES OUT, WE NEED FUNDS TO IMPLEMENT IT OTHERWISE IT WILL BE A PLAN ON A SHELF. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THE VESTMENTS WE HAVE SEEN IN THE SOUTH END OF THE CITY ARE ALSO MADE IN OTHER CORNERS OF THE CITY WHERE RESIDENTS ARE ENTITLED TO A MODERN EFFICIENT TRANSIT SYSTEM. EIGHTS NOT JUST IMPORTANT IN AREAS LIKE THE SOUTH BUT ALSO, YES, TRANSCONA AND THE MAPLES, MADAM SPEAKER, AND EK AND THE NORTH -- LIKE, THERE ARE MANY AREAS OF THE CITY THAT NEED THAT. SO, I WILL DEFEND THOSE TRANSIT ALLOCATIONS WHICH ARE EARMARKED SPECIFICALLY -- I'LL WRAP UP SHORTLY.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE MOVES EXTENSION. ALL IN FAVOUR - CONTRARY - CARRIED.

MAYOR BOWMAN: THOSE FUNDS IN THE FEDERAL ACCOUNT ARE SPECIFICALLY EARMARKED FOR WINNIPEG'S PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM. THAT'S THEIR INTEND PURPOSE. THE MONEY IS THERE. WE HAVE INDICATED NUMEROUS TIMES TO THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT THAT WE INTEND TO ACCESS THOSE FUNDS WHEN THE MASTER TRANSIT PLAN COMES OUT. I'M HEARING TODAY AT LEAST ONE MEMBER OF COUNCIL IS WANTING -- IS SUPPORTIVE OF MOVING THOSE FUNDS OUT OF TRANSIT. I DID THAT THIS WEEK. I WROTE TO THE MINISTERS TO CONFIRM WHETHER THE BILATERAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE MANITOBA AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN AMENDED. I HAVE NOT BEEN PROVIDED THAT VERBAL OR WRITTEN ASSURANCE FROM THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT. I AM AWAITING THAT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WHILE AWAITING THE WRITTEN RESPONSE I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY, AS I MENTIONED TO SPEAK WITH THE MINISTER ON TUESDAY. MONDAY OF THIS WEEK DURING WHICH TIME SHE ADVISED THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S APPLICATION AS APPROVED BY COUNCIL INCLUDING NORTH END WASTEWATER POLLUTION CONTROL CENTRE PROJECT HAS BEEN FORWARDED TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WITH REGARDS TO TRANSFERRING ANY PORTION OF THE

REMAINING.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR KLEIN MOVES EXTENSION.

MAYOR BOWMAN: THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES. WITH REGARDS TO TRANSFERRING \$203 MILLION FROM TRANSIT TO GREEN STREAM, I REITERATED THAT COUNCIL HAS CLEARLY EXPRESSED THEIR COMMITMENT TO USING FUNDS FOR FUTURE TRANSIT INVESTMENTS. I COMMUNICATED TO THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT FOR SOME TIME. I HAVE ALSO REQUESTED WRITTEN CONFIRMATION WHEN THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED. I WAS ASSURED IT HAS BEEN. COULDN'T TELL ME WHEN. I HOPE TO GET THAT. I AM ASSURED WE WOULD EACH THAT. I WILL APPRISE THE COUNCIL WHEN WE GET THAT APPLICATION. FACTS ARE CLEAR, OUR VOTES, ENDORSEMENT, PLANS ARE MATTER OF PUBLIC RECORD. FOR THE REASONS SET FORTH WITH RESPECT TO THE BIOSOLID TREATMENT, I WISH THIS LEVEL OF DISCLOSURE HAD BEEN DONE YEARS AGO. WHAT I AM REALLY GLAD THAT THE COUNCIL IS PRIORITIZING THE NORTH END SEWAGE TREATMENT. IT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE WINNIPEG CAPITAL REGION. WE TOOK LEADERSHIP ROLE ON FIRST PHASE, REQUESTED FUNDING FROM PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS. WE ALSO LOOK AFTER THE NEEDS OF THOSE THAT RELY ON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION TO GET TO AND FROM WORK AROUND OUR GROWING CITY. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I WILL TRY TO BE BRIEF, MADAM SPEAKER. I CAN'T BELIEVE I AM GOING TO SAY THIS. RAH, RAH, MAYOR. WE HAVE VOTING ON THE SPECIFIC PLAN AS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED BY THE MAYOR AND OPENING ON THE REPORT. I JUST, YOU KNOW, THERE IS A COUPLE. SOME THINGS TO MENTION. I GET VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT. SOME OF THE HISTORY ABOUT HOW WE GOT TO THIS POINT THAT SOMEHOW WE ARE OVER CAPACITY. A LOT OF THIS. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT CENTREPORT AS A NAME OR THING. THERE ARE LOTS OF INDUSTRIAL M1OR WHATEVER ZONING TYPE BUILDINGS BEING BUILT. WITH THE CENTRE PORT, ORIGINALLY IT IS A PROVINCIAALLY CREATED ENTITY BY THE PROVINCE, AND BEFORE IT WAS ACTUALLY CREATED, I UNDERSTAND THERE WAS A DIALOGUE BETWEEN PREMIERS AND MAYORS AND ALL KINDS OF PEOPLE ABOUT PLANS TO MOVE FORWARD WITH WHAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT AS A TAX-FREE ZONE FOR THE INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION OF GOODS ACROSS NORTH AMERICA, WHICH IS WHAT CENTREPORT IS REALLY ABOUT PROVIDING FOR BUSINESS. ALTHOUGH THERE IS RESIDENTIAL SCHEDULED, I THINK, IN SOME SPACE SOMEWHERE IN THE CENTREPORT AREA. THERE IS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S SOUTH CENTREPORT, I THINK. I DON'T KNOW IF THE PROVINCE IS PROVIDING THE SAME LUXURIES FOR BUSINESSES IN THOSE ZONES THAT WILL BE EVALUATED. AS SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES MENTIONED, THESE ARE COMPETITORS.

THE THING ABOUT BILL 37, WHICH IS REALLY WAS IT IS CREATING AN UNELECTED LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT THAT WILL BE IN PLACE. THEY ARE GOING TO BE COMING UP WITH THE METRO WINNIPEG PLAN WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT AGREE WITH OUR WINNIPEG PLAN. THAT IS THE CONCERN, RIGHT? WE HAVE THESE MAJOR ISSUES HAPPENING. YOU KNOW, IT IS ALL HAPPENING AROUND, YOU KNOW, JUST BECAUSE ON A PIECE OF PAPER IT SAYS THE LICENSES NORTH END SEWAGE PLANT, YOU KNOW, YOU CAN CALL IT NORTH WINNIPEG SEWAGE PLANT. GO AHEAD. IT IS OKAY. IT IS REALLY IS NOTER METRO REGION SEWAGE PLANT. THE BIOSOLIDS WHERE WE ARE LOSING CAPACITY GETS SHIPPED IN FROM THE SOUTH AND WEST, FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND. THIS WHOLE CAPACITY THING IS REALLY DIFFICULT.

THE PROVINCE DID PAY FOR THE INTERCEPTER LINE TO ROSSER. THERE WAS A LOT OF WORK THE CITY DID UPFRONT. IT IS MY BELIEF THE PROVINCE DID FINALLY PAY US FOR THAT. I AM NOT SURE WHAT THE AGREEMENT TO PAY FOR SERVICES ARE WITH THE DEVELOPMENTS THAT ARE HAPPENING WITHIN ROSSER, WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE SUPPLYING US ENOUGH MONEY ON WATER AND WASTE BILLS TO COVER THE COST. I HAVE TO SAY FOR THE PROVINCE, WHO IS BENEFITING FROM CENTREPORT, AND THE LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT THAT WILL BE BENEFITING FROM ANY DEVELOPMENT AROUND THE METRO REGION AS WELL AS IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. I AM REALLY UPSET. IT IS DIFFERENT GOVERNMENTS IN PLACE NOW THAN THERE WAS BEFORE, BUT STILL, THAT IS A PROVINCIAL COMMITMENT TO PROVIDE GROWTH. IF IS NOTER WINNIPEG SEWAGE PLANT NEEDS MONEY, WHY WOULD THE PROVINCE NOT JUST -- THEY HAVE TO PUT UP ON THE TABLE. THEY ARE BENEFITING. THEY GET INCOME TAXES FROM PEOPLE WORKING IN THOSE AREAS. THEY ARE THE ONES THAT GET ALL OF THAT STUFF. THEY CAN, YOU KNOW, THEY SHOULD BE NOT TELLING US TO TAKE TRANSIT MONEY AND REDIRECT IT INTO IS NOTER WINNIPEG. LISTEN, WE AS COUNCILLORS, ALL OF US DEBATE ON SOME PRIORITIES OR WHATEVER. WE DO AGREE. WE ALL WANT WINNIPEG TO DO WELL. WE ARE NOT GOING TO, YOU KNOW, MAKE DECISIONS THAT ARE BAD FOR US. WE DO NEED MONEY FOR TRANSIT INVESTMENT, ABSOLUTELY. ACTUALLY TO MEET THE MASTER PLAN, I DON'T KNOW THE FEDERAL MONEY AVAILABLE THERE, YOU KNOW, IT IS A LOT OF MONEY, THANK GOODNESS. WE WILL SEE WHERE WE GET AND WHAT THE MASTER PLAN BRINGS FORWARD. GOING BACK TO THE ACTUAL REPORT WE ARE VOTING ON. IT IS TOO BAD THAT THERE WASN'T A REAL DECENT ANALYSIS OF CAPACITY. FROM THE PROVINCE, I DON'T KNOW WHEN THEY START THE DEBATE ON THAT, AND THERE IS THE WHOLE PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD INTERCEPTORS ARE PAID FOR BY THE TAXPAYERS. NOW, AGAIN, THE INTERCEPTER FOR CENTREPORT WAS PAID FOR BY THE PROVINCE, NOT BY THE WATER BILL PAYERS, BUT REALLY ALL OF THIS STUFF NEEDS TO BE WORKED OUT. I DO WANT TO WORK WITH THE METRO REGION. YOU KNOW, I HAVE A LOT OF FRIENDS

WHO DON'T LIVE IN WINNIPEG FOR DIFFERENT REASONS. I REMEMBER SOME OF MY FRIENDS MOVED TO EAST ST. PAUL BECAUSE THE PROPERTY TAXES WERE LOWER. THEN PROPERTY TAXES STARTED TO GO UP THEY HAD TO BUILD A STATE-OF-THE-ART SEWAGE POSSESSING OPERATION. ALL OF A SUDDEN MY TAXES. THAT IS WHY TAXES GO UP. OH, THAT IS WHAT THEY ARE PAID FOR. ANYWAY, I JUST END BY SAYING I AM VOTING FOR THIS REPORT. THERE WILL BE A LOT MORE DEBATE AND DISCUSSION OVER THE NEXT I THINK YEAR OR TWO YEARS OR THREE, I THINK, GOING TO THE FUTURE. ONCE THE UNELECTED METRO GOVERNMENT IS CREATED, THEY HAVE A COUPLE YEARS TO PUT TOGETHER THEIR PLAN. I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH POWER THEY ARE GOING TO BE GIVEN, BUT WATCH OUT. IT MIGHT HAVE WAY MORE POWER THAN WE DO, MAYOR. WATCH OUT FOR THAT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR EADIE. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WASN'T GOING TO SPEAK. THAT HAPPENS A LOT HERE. WE RELY ON EACH OTHER FOR INFORMATION AND TO CHALLENGE EACH OTHER HERE. I AM GOING TO CHALLENGE A LITTLE BIT HERE. I REALLY I GUESS I NEED TO TALK WITH HIS WORSHIP TO HAVE A CONVERSATION IN TERMS OF HIS PERSPECTIVE ON FUNDING SOURCES AND HOW THINGS MOVE AROUND IN TERMS OF DEALING WITH THE CITY'S PRIORITIES. I DO KNOW WHAT HAPPENED A COUPLE MONTHS AGO. WE HEAR IN THIS COUNCIL CHAMBER UNANIMOUSLY AGREED TO ASK FOR THE MONEY SET ASIDE FOR RAPID TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE. \$320 MILLION. I BELIEVE WE UNANIMOUSLY AGREED THAT WE WOULD REQUEST THAT IT BE MOVED TOWARDS WHAT WE CALL NOW THE GREEN PLANT FOR THE RENEWAL OF THE WINNIPEG, NORTH WINNIPEG SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. I BACK COUNCIL ON THIS. I SUPPORT THEM ALL THE WAY DESPITE THE FACT IT IS A SHIFT IN PRIORITIES FOR THE CITY, BUT IT IS A PROPER ONE. IT IS A GOOD ONE AND RESPONSIBLE ONE THAT I HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT FOR SIX YEARS. AM I MAKING THIS UP OR EXAGGERATING. I COULDN'T AFFORD THIS. ABOUT A HALF BILLION DOLLARS. WE COULDN'T AFFORD IT. IT WAS GOING TO SET US OFF COURSE WITH A BUDGET WHICH IS ALREADY STRAINED AND WOULD CONTINUE TO BE STRAINED REGARDLESS OF THE HALF BILLION DOLLAR BUS CORRIDOR OR NOT. THE REASONS WERE THAT I WASN'T AGAINST THE BUS CORRIDOR, BUT TRYING TO WORKOUT THE COSTS BENEFIT RATIO. GIVEN A MORE THAN FIVE YEAR FORECAST. OF COURSE, NOW WE HAVE GONE TO FOUR YEAR BUDGET FORECAST. I DIDN'T KNOW WE WEREN'T ALLOWED TO AS ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES LESS THAN FOUR OR FIVE YEARS IF NOT 10 OR 20 DOWN THE ROAD. AT LEAST WE WOULD PAY FOR IT FOR A GENERATION. I SAID WE COULDN'T DO IT. THEN THAT SAME YEAR I SAID HOW IS IT THAT WE ARE INCREASING OUR WATER RATES? INCREASING THE DIVIDEND WE TAKE FROM THE WATER RATES FROM 8% TO 12%? INCREASING RATES. INCREASING THE AMOUNT OF MONEY WE TAKE FROM PEOPLE THAT THEY PAY FOR THEIR WATER RATES. WE TAKE THAT MONEY AND PUT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE TO PAY FOR OTHER THINGS. THEREFORE WE ARE DEPLETING

THE RESERVE FUND FROM WATER AND WASTE. IN THE TIME OF HALF THE COUNCILLORS HERE. I SAID, HOW MANY PRESS RELEASES HAVE I PUT OUT SINCE CITY COUNCILOR? I PUT ONE OUT THEN BECAUSE IT WASN'T SUSTAINABLE. WE COULDN'T DO IT. LOOK WHERE WE ARE. HERE WE ARE WITH COUNCIL. NOT ME BUT TRYING TO EXPLAIN HOW THE RAPID TRANSIT CORRIDORS ARE GOOD. WE HAVE A RIGHT TO IT. FANTASTIC IF WE DIDN'T HAVE INCREDIBLE INFLATION TO MAKE IT ALMOST PROHIBITIVE GIVEN THE OTHER CONSTRUCTION WE HAVE TO DO LIKE THE COST OF A \$1.8 BILLION RENEWAL OF A SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. WHY THAT ONE OVER A BUS CORRIDOR? BECAUSE WE HAVE TO. NOT BECAUSE THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT SAYS WE DO. NOT BECAUSE WE ARE SURPRISED BY THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT AFTER YEARS OF PARTIES IN POWER. WE HAVE KNOWN THAT ADD MENSTRUATION TOLD -- ADMINISTRATION TOLD US WE NEED A NEW SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. NO SECRET THAT SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT WAS OVER 80 YEARS OLD, GOING ON 90 YEARS OLD, APPROACHING 100 YEARS OLD. WE ARE NOT SHOCKED BY THE FACT WE HAD AN 80-85-YEAR-OLD SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. HOW LONG CAN A SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT LAST? WE KNEW. I SAID SIX YEARS AGO WE WILL PAY THE PIPER BY SPENDING MONEY ON THE BUS CORRIDOR WHEN IT IS PROHIBITIVE. WE TOOK THE MONEY OUT OF SEWAGE AND WATER TREATMENT PLANT RESERVE FUND. WE TOOK THE DIVIDEND FOR PEOPLE PAYING WATER RATES TRYING TO REDUCE CONSUMPTION. WE INCREASED RATES ANYWAY AND INCREASED THE PERCENT WE TAKE OUT. PUT IT INTO GENERAL REVENUE. HERE WE ARE. WE HAVE NO MONEY FOR THE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. WE ARE STILL PAYING FOR THE BUS CORRIDOR FOR ANOTHER 20 YEARS. WE JUST STARTED PAYING FOR IT. THE FIRST LEG WE JUST STARTED PAYING FOR, BY THE WAY. NO MONEY FROM THE CITY OF WINNIPEG FOR THE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. WE DO THE RIGHT THING. WE REVERSE. I HAVE MISSED SOMETHING. I MADE A MISTAKE. I DID SOMETHING WRONG. MY UNDERSTANDING WE JUST HAVE BEGUN PAYING FOR THE FIRST LEG OF THE RAPID TRANSIT AT THE SAME TIME WE PAID FOR THE SECOND LEG. I AM NOT SURE IF THAT IS WHAT BRIAN IS REFERRING TO. THAT IS WHAT I WAS TOLD FROM THE CONVERSATION. NOW WE HAVE MADE THE RIGHT DECISION. IS IT A FORESIGHT FULL DECISION? NO. NOW WE ARE UP AGAINST THE WALL OF TIME. HERE WE ARE. WE MADE THE RIGHT DECISION. WE ARE TAKING THE MONEY THAT WOULD GO TO A BUS CORRIDOR AND PUTTING IT BACK INTO THE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. PUTTING IT BACK IN BECAUSE WE ARE TAKING IT OUT. DO THE CALCULATION. HOW MUCH MONEY HAVE WE TAKEN FOR THE GENERAL REVENUE FROM THE DIVIDEND FROM INCREASED RATES FROM THE WATER RATES? HOW MUCH DID WE PUT IN GENERAL REVENUE OVER THE LAST, NOT JUST SIX YEARS WE HAVE BEEN HERE BUT BEFORE THEN? ADD IT TOGETHER AND YOU GET OVER INCLUDING INTEREST, PUTTING IT INTO A FUN WITH INTEREST RATE. I ASK THIS

ALREADY AT STANDING COMMITTEE AND THE ADMINISTRATION SAYS YES, THAT RESERVE FUND WOULD ACCRUE INTEREST. OVER A BILLION DOLLARS. THERE YOU GO. SO WE TOOK A BILLION DOLLARS OUT OF PEOPLE'S WATER RATES THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN THERE FOR THAT RAINY DAY THAT WE MIGHT HYPOTHETICALLY MIGHT HAVE TO CREATE A NEW SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT? NO THE ONE WE KNEW WE HAVE TO DO. IT IS 80, 90 YEARS OLD. WE HAVE DONE THE RIGHT THING. TWO MONTHS AGO WE REVERSED THIS. WE ARE ASKING FOR THE MONEY FROM THE BUS CORRIDOR TO GO BACK TO THE SEWAGE TREATMENT WHERE IT BELONGS. THAT IS WHERE WE TOOK IT OUT AT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. THAT IS WHAT WE ARE DOING HERE. WHAT DO I DO? CITY HALL HAS DONE ABOUT FACE. I SUPPORT IT. IT IS THE RIGHT THING. CONGRATULATIONS. THERE IS MORE TO SAY BECAUSE COUNCILLOR EADIE ASKED US WHY ISN'T THE PROVINCE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS MOVES EXTENSION. CARRIED.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: WHY ISN'T THE PROVINCE PUTTING IN MONEY? THE PROVINCE SHOULD. THERE WAS A GOVERNMENT THAT MAY HAVE PUT HAD MORE IN. OF COURSE, YOU KNOW, THERE IS PROBLEM EITHER WAY JUST GIVEN THE CURRENT COST, WHICH IS BEYOND ANY OTHER INFLATION RATE OVER OUR LIFETIMES OF EVERYONE IN THIS ROOM WHEN IT COMES TO WHAT WE CAN AND CANNOT DO AND WHAT IS LESS AND LESS FEASIBLE MORE THAN ANYTHING ELSE. NOT POLICE PENSIONS. I AM NOT SAYING THAT IS NOT A FACTOR. IT IS NOT THE GREATEST FACTOR ON THE BUDGET. WHAT IS RIPPING AT THE SEAMS ARE THE PRESSURES. WHY WE ARE GETTING LESS SERVICES AND WE CAN'T BUILD AS MUCH AND MAINTAIN AS MUCH INFRASTRUCTURE. WELL, JUST A REALLY BRIEF HISTORY LESSON. IF YOU WANT TO TELL ME THIS ISN'T TRUE, TELL ME TO MY FACE AND WE WILL HAVE IT OUT. PIERRE TRUDEAU ADMITTED IN HIS LAST TERM AS PRIME MINISTER, LAST HALF OF HIS TIME. HE ADMITS IT. WHEN CORPORATIONS PAID HALF THE TAXES IN THIS COUNTRY FEDERALLY IT STARTED UNDER HIM AND HE ADMITS IT. UNDER MULRONEY IT WENT DOWN AND BECAME LESS THAN 15%. AT THE SAME TIME JUST TO MAKE IT WORK ON THE FEDERAL LEVEL, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS UNLOADED. THEY OFF-LOADED TO THE PROVINCES. PROVINCES WENT TO MUNICIPALITIES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY. THAT IS WHY WE HAVE DEBT AT THE CITY LEVEL WE HAVEN'T HAD BEFORE. WE SAY CITIES DON'T GO TO DEBT. THAT IS WHY WE ARE BORROWING MONEY FOR A BALANCED BUDGET THIS YEAR AND NEXT YEAR. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: SEEING NO FURTHER SPEAKERS, COUNCILLOR MAYES TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, VERY MAGNANIMOUS COMMENTS. NO ONE SAID ANYTHING WRONG. THERE IS NO CONNECTION TO THE RAPID TRANSIT CORRIDOR HERE. WE WILL COME BACK AND PICK UP ON THIS. THERE ISN'T ANY CONNECTION TO THE BRT. ADDITIONALLY, WE ARE PUTTING MONEY

IN. PUTTING A TON OF MONEY INTO PHASE ONE AND TWO. THE CHALLENGE HERE IS GETTING ALL THREE LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT ON SIDE. WE SHOULD SUPPORT THE REPORT IN FRONT OF US. THAT IS STRAIGHTFORWARD. IN TERMS OF THE LARGER AREA. THE MAYOR IS OUR LEAD ON INTER GOVERNMENTAL NEGOTIATIONS. I HAVEN'T BEEN AT THE TABLE TALKING ABOUT IT WITH OTHER LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT. THAT IS CERTAINLY ACCURATE. MAYOR IS LOOKING OUT FOR THE INTERESTS OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AS HE SHOULD. MY FRUSTRATION WITH THE PROCESS AND I HAVE A FUN ONE BUT A GOOD FORMULA ONE CAR RACING ANALOGY. MY YOUNGER SON HAS ME INTO THIS. THE START OF THE RACES LINE UP STAGGERED GRID 20 CARS. WHAT THEY DO IS RED LIGHTS GO ON TO START. WHEN THEY GO OUT YOU START. THEY SAY LIGHTS OUT AWAY WE GO AT WHATEVER RACE TRACK YOU ARE AT. I FEEL LIKE ALL OF THE LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT, ELECTED PEOPLE ARE THE CARS IN THE GRID READY TO GO. WE WANT IS NOTER END TO GO. WE HAVE MADE MORE PROGRESS UNTHIS MAYOR THAN BEFORE. WE HAVE THE FIRST PHASE APPROVED. IT HAS GONE THROUGH THE BUDGET. DEBT AUTHORITY HE WANTS OPEN OSHIE HAS SAID IT DIDN'T HAPPEN IN TWO FORMER ENVIRON MINISTERS. SOMETHING IS GOING TO HAPPEN ON MY WATCH. SHE WANTS IT TO HAPPEN. SHE IS PUSHING FOR IT. DOUG MCNEIL IS PUSHING FOR US TO GET STARTED ON PHASE ONE. JEFF AND THE TERRIFIC PEOPLE ON THE STAFF WANT THIS TO GO. WE ALL WANT IT TO GO. SOMETIMES IN THOSE RACES THE FIRST LAP IS CHAOS. IT WAS UPSIDE DOWN A COUPLE RACES AGO. WE NEED THE PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL BUREAUCRATS TO BE CLEAR WHERE IT STANDS. EVERYBODY SEEMS TO WANT TO DO THE PROJECT. IT IS GETTING CLEAR ON WHAT THE POSITIONS ARE. I AM NOT UNDERMINING THE MINISTER, NOT UNDERMINING THE MAYOR. I FEEL THE FRUSTRATION OTHERS MUST AROUND THIS ROOM. WE WANT TO GET GOING ON THIS. LET'S HAVE A DEBATE ON WHAT THE PROVINCIAL POSITION IS. I HAVE READ THE LETTER. I USED TO BE A POLICY ANALYST BEFORE SHE WAS. I WAS WITH THE ONTARIO GOVERNMENT. I FIND THAT LETTER HARD TO DECIPHER. WE COULD WRITE A CLEARER LETTER. GET CLARITY FROM THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT AND GO FORWARD. I AM NOT TRYING TO UNDERMINE ANYBODY. IT IS A HUGE PROJECT. I FEEL LIKE WE ARE CLOSE. I FEEL LIKE ALL OF THE ELECTED PEOPLE WANT TO GET GOING ON THIS. IT IS HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. TO THE CREDIT OF THE MAYOR AND OTHERS WE ARE GOING ON PHASE ONE. \$400 MILLION. WE ARE PUTTING IN MONEY, FEDS SET ASIDE MONEY. NDP ARE PUTTING IN MONEY. SO MANY DIFFERENT NUMBERS. 10s OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. THERE IS TREMENDOUS GOODWILL TO GET THIS GOING. WE NEED TO BE CLEARER AND TRANSPARENT ABOUT THE VARIOUS POSITIONS. I AM NOT GOING TO UNDERMINE ANYBODY HERE, I AM NOT GOING TO GO ON AND ON, HOPEFULLY, ABOUT IS NOTER END PLANT. WHAT A POTENTIAL LEGACY. THERE IS GOODWILL. TERRY DO

GOOD. COME TO THE RIBBON-CUTTING. I DON'T CARE IF I GO THERE. I HAVE BEEN TO ENOUGH. I WANT THERE TO BE A RIBBON-CUTTING. I WANT THAT TO HAPPEN. I HOPE THE MINISTER IS THERE. I WOULD LIKE TO GET GOING. WE JUST NEED TO GET CLARITY FROM THE PROVINCE SO WE DON'T HAVE DEBATES WHERE WE SAY I GOT THE PHONE CALL AND THE LETTER. WE HAVE TO GET CLARITY AND THEN WE CAN GO FORWARD, I THINK, WE HAVE MADE TREMENDOUS PROGRESS UNDER THIS MAYOR IN TERMS OF GETTING THIS AS CLOSE AS IT NOW IS. THERE IS A LINE. THE CBC CALLED ME AWHILE AGO ON THIS. I SAID IF YOU READ ABOUT DISCOVERY OF INSULIN TREMENDOUS INFIGHTING. SOMEBODY SAID, McLEOD WHICH SHARED IN THE NOBEL PRIZE. THERE IS GLORY FOR ALL. GET THIS DONE. THE PRIZE IS WORTH IT. THERE IS GLORY FOR AWFUL THAT IS MY PHILOSOPHY. I DON'T WANT THE CREDIT. IT IS BETWEEN PHASE ONE AND PHASE TWO. PHASE THREE IS SEPARATE DEBATE. IF WE CAN GET PHASE ONE AND TWO GOING. THAT IS BIGGER THAN ANY TRANSIT LINE. \$900 MILLION COMBINED. WHAT A OPPORTUNITY. THERE WILL BE GLORY FOR ALL IF WE GET THAT GOING. VOTE FOR THIS TODAY. GET CLEAR ON WHAT WE NEED TO DO OR MAYBE WE DON'T WANT TO TAKE WHATEVER OFFER IS ON THE TABLE BECAUSE IT ISN'T CLEAR. LET'S GET CLARITY WHAT THEY ARE SAYING AND DEBATE THAT. I THINK THE MAYOR HAS MADE EVERY EFFORT TO GET CLARITY ON WHAT IS THE PROVINCIAL POSITION. LET'S GET THAT CLEAR AND GET THE STARTING GRID LINED UP. LIGHTS OUT AND A WAY WE GO. WE WILL HAVE WHATEVER WE CALL IT. NORTH END, NORTH WINNIPEG. GET IT GOING. NUMBER ONE PRIORITY FROM LAST YEAR IN OUR INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMME. LET'S JUST THERE IS GLORY FOR ALL TO GET THIS GOING.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR MAYES. WITH THAT I CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM 12. ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: [ROLL CALL]

CITY CLERK: THE VOTE IS 16-0.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 2 PASSES. WE HAVE NO MOTIONS OR BY-LAWS UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. QUESTION PERIOD. LET'S GO BACK TO MOTION 5. I DID MENTION IT OUT OF ORDER EARLIER. MOTION 5 MOVED BY COUNCILLOR LUKES REGARDING RETENTION. AUTOMATIC REFERRAL TO THE WATER AND WASTE, RIVERBANK MANAGEMENT AND ENVIRONMENT STANDING COMMITTEE. MR. CLERK GETTING TO QUESTION PERIOD NOW. QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR MAYES? COUNCILLOR NASON.

COUNCILLOR NASON: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. YOU KNOW, THERE IS A LOT OF TALK ABOUT RETENTION PODS, NOT USING THEM. THEY ARE WITHIN THE PURVIEW THAT I AM TO ASK THIS QUESTION. MESSAGE HAS BEEN DON'T USE, DON'T USE, DON'T USE. I CIRCULATED AN E-MAIL THIS MORNING FROM THE C.E.O. GENERAL E-MAIL THAT

WE ARE TO USE WHEN WE HAVE QUESTIONS THAT MAY NOT FIT THE BILL, BUT IN THE WARD I HAVE A FACILITY CALLED LAKE SHIRLEY WHICH IS A RETENTION POND WITH A LEASE ARRANGEMENT HELD IN THE PAST TO ALLOW CAR RACING TO OCCUR ON IT IN THE WINTER. IN THE SUMMER IT IS USED FOR WATER-SKIING. WE HAVE RECREATION THAT OCCURS ON THESE RETENTION PONDS AND IT APPEARS TO BE CASE-BY-CASE BASIS. THE E-MAIL GOES ON TO SAY TO PROVIDE DUE DILIGENCE WE POST SIGNS WARNING OF POTENTIAL DANGER. PEOPLE THAT IGNORE THE WARNINGS DO SO AT THEIR OWN RISK. ARE PEOPLE ALLOWED TO BE ON RINKS OR NOT?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I THOUGHT WHEN RUSS WYATT LEFT I WOULD NEVER HAVE TO HEAR ABOUT LAKE SHIRLEY AGAIN. THEY ARE INTERESTED IN THE AFFAIRS OF LAKE SHIRLEY. I DON'T KNOW. PERHAPS I AM WORN OUT. I WILL LOOK INTO IT. I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER. IT IS A FAIR QUESTION. COUNCILLOR NASON IS A STEADFAST CHAMPION. WE WILL GET AN ANSWER AS SOON AS WE CAN.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. SECOND QUESTION. COUNCILLOR NASON.

COUNCILLOR NASON: A MATTER WE JUST DISPENSED WITH. IT IS RELATED TO IT. I WONDER IF THE CHAIR MIGHT BE ABLE TO ARTICULATE WITH THE RMs THAT WE PROVIDE REGIONAL WASTE SERVICES TO IF WE ARE ABLE TO INCREASE THE RATES THAT WE CHARGE TO SUPPORT TO BE EQUAL FOOTING WITH WINNIPEG?

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THAT IS A GOOD QUESTION. I AM NOT SURE. I DON'T THINK SO. THE REPORTS. THIS REPORT WITH THE 2012 APPROVAL. I REMEMBER THE 2011. IT WAS MY SECOND DAY HERE. JOHN WAS PART OF THAT DEBATE AS WELL, I REMEMBER. AS WERE SOME OTHERS IN THE ROOM. THERE COULD HAVE BEEN MORE SPECIFICS. I WILL PUT IT THAT WAY HOW MUCH ROOM WAS LEFT. I DON'T THINK WE GOT ENOUGH INFORMATION IN 2011 OR 2012. I DON'T THINK WE COULD SET AN ALTERNATE RATE. THE ISSUE AT COMMITTEE, AS YOU KNOW, HOW MUCH CAN WE LIMIT THIS? IS THIS UNLIMITED? THE ANSWER IS, YES, WE HAVE TO TALK TO THE ITEMS THAT IS WHAT WE PASSED. DIFFERENTIAL RATE IS A GOOD QUESTION. MAYBE WE CAN COME BACK TO THAT IN THE NEXT WATER COMMITTEE. WE ARE BOTH ON THAT, OF COURSE. I DON'T THINK SO BUT IT IS A FAIR QUESTION AND WE CAN ASK THEM. I AM SURE JEFF PATTON OR THE LEGAL DEPARTMENT COULD ANSWER THAT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. SEEING NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. COUNCILLOR

SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: I DO APPRECIATE COUNCILLOR MAYES. HE DOES MAKE THIS A BETTER PLACE. IT IS A PLEASURE AND TO WORK WITH HIM. WE HAVE OUR SPARS. IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE IN PUBLIC. IT IS SO IMPORTANT I DON'T MIND IT BEING IN THE OPEN LIKE THIS. I HAVE TO ASK THE QUESTION. THERE IS NO CORRELATION BETWEEN RAPID TRANSIT AND THE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. I WOULD SAY WE CHOOSE PRIORITIES. THERE IS ONLY SO MUCH FUNDING FOR PROJECTS. YOU DO THIS OR THAT. SOMETIMES EITHER OR. IN THIS CASE I WANT TO REFER. AT LEAST COULD WE AGREE ON THIS AT THIS POINT. AT LEAST IN TERMS OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BUS CORRIDORS AND THE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT IN OCTOBER. ITEM 22. TRANSFER FEDERAL FUNDING.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR YOU ARE GETTING TO THE QUESTION?

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: YES, THIS ISSUE HERE THAT THE MOTION THAT WAS PASSED IN THE OCTOBER MEETING. MAY I READ THAT?

MADAM SPEAKER: LET'S GET TO THE QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THAT IS THE QUESTION. THE MOTION WE PASSED THAT I MAY NOT READ. WE TALKED ABOUT MOVING THE REQUEST OF MOVING MONEY THAT WOULD BE ALLOCATED TO THE FUTURE BUS CORRIDORS THAT IT GO TOWARDS THE TREATMENT PLANT. WOULD YOU AGREE TO THAT?

COUNCILLOR MAYES: TWO FAIR POINTS THERE. THE VOTE IN OCTOBER, YES, IF YOU ARE MOVING MONEY THAT IS IN THE TRANSIT ENVELOPE OVER TO THE NORTH END IS A FAIR POINT, YES. WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY TRANSIT APPLICATIONS IN. SECOND POINT, YOU CAN MAKE AN INDIRECT CONNECTION. THAT IS FAIR. I DON'T THINK IT IS A BILLION. THERE CERTAINLY WAS A DIVIDEND INTRODUCED BY COUNCILLOR FIELDING DIRECTED FUNDS TO GENERAL REVENUE. IT WAS INCREASED SIX YEARS AGO, I BELIEVE. I DON'T THINK THE TOTAL AMOUNT TRANSFERRED AS DIVIDEND GOT TO A BILLION. YOU POINT TO THE INTEREST. I WOULD SAY THAT IS FAIR TO THE CREDIT OF COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM HAS RATCHETED IT DOWN. THE DIVIDEND WHICH WENT UP IS NOW COMING DOWN. YESSING AT THE PEAK IT WAS 30 SOME ODD MILLION EACH YEAR. THAT IS A BIT OF INDIRECT. IF WE HADN'T DONE THAT. AS I SAID, WELL, YES, IF WE DIDN'T TAKE THE DIVIDEND THAT IS \$30 MILLION HOLE AND 6% PROPERTY TAX INCREASE. YOU CAN'T SAY ONE WITHOUT ADMITTING THE OTHER. I THINK YOUR EARLIER POINT YOU COULD ARGUE THERE IS SOME FAIRNESS TO THAT. THIS MONEY WAS TAKEN OUT BEFORE ANY OF US GOT HERE. THE FIRST BUDGET WAS COUNCILLOR FIELDING. YES, I THINK THAT WAS DEFENSIBLE. YOU HAVE SEEN THE DOCUMENTS DURING THE VARIOUS BUDGETS. OTHER CITIES DO THIS. THIS IS WHERE THE

COUNCILLOR TALKS ABOUT LAND DRAINAGE SUBSIDIZED BY THE OPERATING BUDGET IN THE UTILITIES. THERE IS CROSS CONNECTION. HE IS TRYING TO RATCHET DOWN THAT DIVIDEND. THERE WASN'T BE A TAKE THE DIVIDEND TO BUILD THE RAPID TRANSIT LINE. NO DIRECT CORRELATION IN THAT SENSE. YOU DIDN'T SAY THAT, BUT, YES, THERE WASN'T WATER AND WASTE UTILITY MONEY THAT WENT TO BUILDING THE BRT. WE ARE PAYING FOR PHASE TWO FOR SOME TIME. I VOTED FOR IT. I DON'T APOLOGIZE. I THINK IT WAS THE RIGHT THING TO DO AT THE TIME AND UNDER THIS MAYOR VERY EARLY ON IN THE 14 TO 18 TERM, WE CAME UP WITH FUNDING FOR HOW TO PAY THAT WHICH APPROPRIATELY SPREADS THAT OUT OVER DECADES TO SOCIALIZE THE COST ACROSS GENERATIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR MAYES. SECOND QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: NOT THE CASE AS WELL IN TERMS OF CORRELATING THESE TWO DIFFERENT CAPITAL BUDGETS. WITH THE LIMITED BUDGET IT IS EITHER OR. NOT THE CASE AS WELL, WE ARE CONTINUING AS PRIOR PROJECTIONS DESPITE ASKING FOR FUNDING FROM OTHER LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT AND INCREASING THE PROPERTY TAXES, MILL RATE IN ORDER TO HELP FINANCE THE SPECIFIC FUNCTION .33% EVERY YEAR TO PAY FOR THE BUS CORRIDOR.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE QUESTION?

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: IS IT NOT TRUE WE ARE USING THE DIVIDEND TO GENERAL REVENUE. THAT DIVIDEND FROM WATER RATES COULD STAY WITH THE SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT RESERVE FUND WE HAVE TRANSFERRING 12% TO GENERAL REVENUE EVERY YEAR.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: WE ARE TRANSFERRING MONEY TO GENERAL REVENUE. IT PAYS FOR RUNNING TRACKS. YOU CAN'T PICK IT UP TO SAY IT IS PAYING FOR THAT. IT IS GENERAL REVENUE. YES, WE ARE CONTINUING TO PAY FOR BRT PHASE 2. I VOTED FOR IT. SOME ARE STILL HERE WHEN THAT DEBATE CAME. I AM PROUD MUCH IT. THE MAYOR GOT IT RIGHT IN 2014 AND WE DID LEAVE THAT DECISION TO THE NEXT COUNCIL. SOME MIGHT OBJECT. HOW ARE BE GOING TO PAY FOR THAT. THAT WAS LEFT TO THE NEXT COUNCIL. DECISION MADE. I AGREED. I STILL AGREE. I VOTED FOR EVERY BUDGET THAT HAD IT. .33, .30? THAT IS APPLIED TOWARD THAT. I DON'T THINK YOU CAN -- YES, THERE CONTINUES TO BE A DIVIDEND FOR ROUGHLY 10 YEARS, I BELIEVE, NINE YEARS, PRETTY CLOSE ON THAT. I DON'T THINK YOU CAN SAY THAT EXPRESSLY WAS PICKED UP TO PAY FOR THAT. IT GOES TO GENERAL REVENUE. IF WE DIDN'T HAVE

IT, AS I SAID WE WOULD INCREASE PROPERTY TAXES BY ANOTHER 6% PER YEAR. I THINK THE COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM STARTED THE LONG OR MEDIUM TERM WORK AT CHOPPING AWAY AT THE DIVIDEND.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. COUNCILLOR NASON. SECOND ROUND.

COUNCILLOR NASON: MY LAST QUESTION. I HAVE ONE QUESTION TO ASK OF THE CHAIR.

MADAM SPEAKER: YOU CAN ASK THREE MORE IF YOU LIKE SECOND ROUND.

COUNCILLOR NASON: I DON'T WANT TO BELABOR THIS. FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE PLANT AND THE RETROFIT, IS THERE A WAY TO ACCELERATE THE TIMELINE TO COMPLETE THE UPGRADES?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I SHOULD THANK COUNCILLOR SCHREYER FOR HIS COMMENTS. UPGRADE TO THE FIRST PHASE, SECOND PHASE OR THIRD PHASE?

>> NOW YOU WILL MAKE ME STAND UP. SO MUCH CLARIFICATION.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR NASON, DO YOU WANT TO RESTATE THE QUESTION?

COUNCILLOR NASON: FROM THE PERSPECTIVE WE CONTINUE TO POUR PHOSPHOROUS OR THINGS THAT LEAD TO PHOSPHORUS INTO LAKE WINNIPEG. IS THERE A WAY TO ACCELERATE WHAT WE ARE DOING TO STOP THAT FLOW?

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: RAISED THAT ABOUT INTERIM STEPS ON PHOSPHOROUS AND NIGHTTRO GENLAST YEAR THAT CREATED ACTION THAT IS PHASE 3 NUTRIENT REMOVAL OF THE PHOSPHORUS AND NITROGEN DEBATE. IT IS COMING SOON ON THE INTERIM SOLUTION. THE VARIOUS COMMITTEES ARE MEETING. SOMETHING IS COMING SOON ON THAT. IN TERMS OF ACSELLER RATING PHASE ONE OR TWO. I DON'T THINK THAT IS ON. PHASE ONE WE ARE ROLLING, WE ARE OUT AT REQUEST FOR RFQ, RFP. THAT IS A \$400 MILLION INITIATIVE. WE CAN'T ACCELERATE PHASE 2. WE DON'T HAVE THE MONEY. HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. I DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN GO FASTER. IF WE GET GOING WE WON'T RUN INTO THE THINGSOR SAY CENTRE POINT YOU CAN'T GO BECAUSE WE APPROVED PRECINCT J LAST WEEK. IF WE CAN GET ON IT SOON WE WILL AVOID HARD CHOICES. THE INTERIM SOLUTION WORKED FOR THE PHOSPHOROUS REMOVAL COMING SOON BACK TO COMMITTEE.

MADAM SPEAKER: SEEING NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. MOVE TO THE STANDING POLICY COMMISSIONTY ON INNOVATION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. THERE IS NO REPORT TODAY. ANY QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR BROWATY? COUNCILLOR NASON?

COUNCILLOR NASON: YOU KNOW, THE POOR CHAIR DOESN'T HAVE A LOT OF REPORTS TO BRING FORWARD. I WILL ASK A QUESTION. WE HAD A MOTION THAT WAS PUT ON THE NOTICE PAPER FOR NEXT MONTH. WE HAD INTERESTING VOTES AS A RESULT OF THAT MOTION. MY QUESTION FOR THE CHAIR OF INNOVATION AND I WILL ASK THROUGH YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, IF YOU WOULD HELP ME UNDERSTAND THIS NEW AND INNOVATIVE ELECTRONIC VOTING SYSTEM UTILIZED BY EPC. INNOVATION DEPARTMENT HAD A HAND IN IT, AS I UNDERSTAND.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: I HAVEN'T RECEIVED UPDATES. IT MUST PRECEDE MY TIME AS CHAIR. I WILL LOOK INTO IT. MOTION COMING FORWARD. I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO HELP.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. SECOND QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR NASON: AS THE CHAIR IS UTILIZING THIS TECHNOLOGY AS MEMBER OF THE MAYOR'S EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. CAN YOU ARTICULATE HOW THIS COMPLIES WITH THE ACCESSIBILITY FOR MANITOBA IN SUPPORT OF PERSON'S WITH VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS?

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: I THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION. I DON'T HAVE THE INFORMATION BUT I WILL LOOK INTO IT AND GET BACK TO YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR BROWATY. COUNCILOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: DID YOU KNOW THERE IS EXTENSIVE CONSULTATION WITH MYSELF IN REGARDS TO THE VOTING SYSTEM?

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, COUNCILLOR EADIE. I WAS NOT AWARE BUT NOW, I AM. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? SEEING NONE. LET'S MOVE ON. AS WE END THIS YEAR. I WANTED TO TAKE A MOMENT TO THANK CITY CLERKS FOR

SUPPORT THROUGH 2020. I KNOW COUNCIL APPRECIATES YOUR EXCELLENT WORK AND CUSTOMER SERVICE TO THE CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG AND THE COUNCIL. WE HAVE OFFERED VIRTUAL OPTIONS. WE HAVE TWO COUNCILLORS REMOTE TODAY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR EFFORTS. I WANT TO ECHO THE MAYOR'S SENTIMENTS AND WISH EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU A JOYOUS HOLIDAY SEASON. BE WELL AND BE SAFE. THANK YOU COUNCILLOR SANTOS WOULD YOU MOVE ADJOURNMENT.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: SO MOVED.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR. AYE. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. MADAM CLERK, ROLL CALL, PLEASE.

CLERK: [ROLL CALL]