Dear Honourable Mayor, Executive Policy Committee

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am writing in regard to the STR standing committee report, i have several questions that I am hoping will be ask or considered,

- Why did the Standing Policy Committee go against the initial recommendations of the administration which was calling for **fair and reasonable regulations**
- Standing Policy Committee was to meet with all the stakeholders in the Short Term Rentals and YET they have not met with any of the actual owners of STR's been to any of the properties. This is very disconcerting.
- They met with the Hotel association and its lobby group which is not a stakeholder in the STR but direct competition
- **The Competition Bureau of Canada** sent in a report to the Standing Policy Committee with a tool kit to follow when developing regulations that was ignored,
- Counsellor Lukes is advocating against STR in apartments when the owners are small business people but advocating for big corporate hotels to build shared living and hotel rooms in her ward, why?
- Standing Policy Committee made recommendations prior to the engage Winnipeg survey being implemented
- The engage Winnipeg surveys questionnaire was blatantly biased and misleading against Short Term Rentals
- Why is the Standing Policy Committee recommending the Review only of the Toronto Model especially when the City of Toronto is not a comparable city. All the western cities in with the exception of Vancouver and Victoria ALLOW STR's outside of principle residence.

The questions I am asking have been asked of the Standing Policy Committee with no response.

We expect openness, transparency and accountability from City Hall

Thank you for consideration

Godfrey L. Gottfredsen