Sent: Friday, February 10, 2023 8:31:51 PM

Personal information
Full name: David Grant
Email address:
Phone:
Job title:
Organization:
Address:
City: Winnipeg
Province or territory: Manitoba
Postal code:

Delegation information
Committee: Executive Policy Committee
Committee - council: N/A
Committee - other: N/A
Agenda item (number and title): PW-1 passes

Service inquiry: Register as a Delegation, Submit Comments in writing Participation preference: Via Zoom Comments in writing: I have seen that this idea has "momentum" but that the discussion thus far is missing several facts.
For those who do not want to bike or trudge to (and from) a bus stop, there are many options. Couples and singles who work from home or are retired need only go out a few times a month. For them, it is conventional to have a car in the driveway, ready to go. In such service, even an old car will last nearly forever. It is the lowest of the choices in GHG emissions. Council rules require you to weigh alternatives, based on the carbon emissions they produce. Car sharing is nowhere near the lowest carbon of choices.

When a city policy favors one company and not a dozen competing companies, that city is on thin ice, ethically. For many decades such favoritism has been unlawful. It is fairly obvious that this CO-OP is in direct competition with Uber, taxis, rental companies and other sharing arrangements.

Recall that short term home rentals directly compete with hotels and motels and can harm them. You are taking action to limit the harm they do. Note that you are not subsidizing the car options that compete with this co-op.
Carshares that arrive near your home, taxis, and Ubers are all operated for more km per trip than would your own car.
When we hear advocates of car sharing say that it is lower in carbon emissions than having your own car, we should bristle. With similar models of car, this is simply not true.
File: