Minute No. 516
Report - Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services - June 7, 2004

Item No. 2 Community Incentive Grant - Transcona Anti-Graffiti Campaign
File PR-2.6(33)

COUNCIL DECISION:

Council concurred in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services and adopted the following:

1. That the Community Incentive Grant to the Transcona Anti-Graffiti Campaign not be approved as the grant application did not meet the criteria established by Council.

2. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the intent of the foregoing.

Report - Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services - June 7, 2004

DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

Moved by Councillor Gerbasi,

That the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services be adopted.

Councillor Clement called for the yeas and nays, which were as follows:-

Yea: Deputy Mayor Eadie, Councillors Angus, Clement, Gerbasi, Pagtakhan, Steeves and Thomas. 7

Nay: Councillors De Smedt, Lubosch, Smith, Wyatt and Lazarenko. 5

and the motion for adoption of the item was declared carried.

EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On June 16, 2004, the Executive Policy Committee concurred in the recommendation of the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services and submitted the matter to Council.

STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On June 7, 2004, the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services did not concur in the recommendation of the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee and submitted the matter to the Executive Policy Committee and Council.

COMMUNITY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On May 4, 2004, the East Kildonan-Transcona Community Committee did not concur in the administrative recommendation and recommended that a Community Incentive Grant in the amount of $7,500.00 be approved to the Transcona Anti-Graffiti Campaign, subject to terms and conditions, and submitted its recommendation to the Standing Policy Committee on Protection and Community Services.

Winnipeg

COMMUNITY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM
APPLICATION EVALUATION

Applicant:	Transcona Anti-Graffiti Campaign	**Total Project Cost:**	$15,000.00
Project Description:	Transcona Anti-Graffiti Campaign	**Grant Requested:**	**$ 7,500.00**
		Maximum Allowed:	$ 7,500.00
Date of Application:	May 4, 2004	**% of Total Project Cost:**	50%

Funding Sources:	**Amount**	**Confirmed**
Transcona Anti-Graffiti Campaign	$5,000.00	YES
Transcona BIZ	$2,500.00	YES
Total	$7,500.00	

	Meets Program Eligibility and Selection Criteria	**Yes**	**No**
1a)	Organization is a **non-profit community organization offering sport or recreation services** or is a non-profit community organization that **contributes to the health and well-being of the community**;	X	
b)	through the development of a **sport or recreation capital project**;		X
c)	that is **accessible to the community**.	X	
2	Makes a significant contribution to the **long-term benefit** of the community at large in terms of **improved facilities.**		X
3	Does not compete with or duplicate other community facilities.	X	
4	**Benefits the community at large** and remains **accessible to all members of the community**.	X	
5	Includes confirmation of sufficient resources to maintain and operate completed projects.	X	
6	Clearly articulates a plan of activities including projected work schedules, material, labour, equipment and other project requirements. Project leads to the completion of a substantial physical product.		X
7	Is feasible within the project management capabilities of the applicant.	X	
8	Total project funding is confirmed.	X	
9	**Does not include any funds from the City**.	X	

Criteria Exceptions:

- The organization is a non-profit community organization offering sport or recreation services or is a non-profit community organization that contributes to the health and well-being of the community, **but not** through the development of a sport or recreation capital project, that is accessible to the community.
- The project does not make a significant contribution to the long-term benefit of the community at large in terms of improved facilities.
- The applicant clearly articulates a plan of activities including projected work schedules, material, labour, equipment and other project requirements. The project **does not** lead to the completion of a substantial physical product.

NOTE: The applicant is seeking funding for salaries, equipment, fuel, washes, audit, supplies and advertising costs.

Department Financial Implications:

Departmental Recommendations:
That this application be denied.

Prepared by: Community Services Department
Date: **May 4, 2004**