Agenda - Executive Policy Committee - September 8, 2004

REPORTS

Item No. 2 Plan Winnipeg Amendment: North-South Transcona Route Alignment
File EP-1.1(PW)

ADMINISTRATIVE RECOMMENDATION:

1. That By-Law No. 130/2004, a proposal to amend Plan Winnipeg 2020 Vision By-Law No. 7630/2000 by:

   A. Realigning the North-South Transcona Route, as part of the Inner Ring/Loop Route identified on Policy Plate C to the Bradley Street Alignment as shown on Attachment 1 in this report,

   be forwarded to Council for first reading to allow for a public hearing on this issue.

2. That Executive Policy Committee schedule a public hearing in accordance with Section 227 of the City of Winnipeg Charter to consider the proposed amendment.

Re: **Plan Winnipeg Amendment: North-South Transcona Route Alignment**

For submission to: **Executive Policy Committee**

Original report signed by: **Director of Planning, Property and Development**

Report date: **June 28, 2004**

Recommendation(s):

1) That By-Law No. xxyy, a proposal to amend *Plan Winnipeg 2020 Vision* By-Law No. 7630/2000 by:

    a. Realigning the North-South Transcona Route, as part of the Inner Ring/Loop Route identified on Policy Plate C to the Bradley Street Alignment as shown on Attachment 1 in this report,

    be forwarded to Council for first reading to allow for a public hearing on this issue.

2) That Executive Policy Committee schedules a public hearing in accordance with Section 227 of the City of Winnipeg Charter to consider the proposed amendment.

REPORT SUMMARY

Key Issues:

- The North-South Transcona Route is an eastern section of the Inner Ring Route, serving as a connection between Chief Peguis Trail, the Trans-Canada Highway and Bishop Grandin Boulevard.
- The alignment as it appears in Plan Winnipeg 2020 Vision (routed along Ravelston Avenue West and joining Plessis Road at the intersection of Plessis Road and Ravelston Avenue) posed several issues including route efficiency; neighbourhood and environmental impact; vehicle and pedestrian safety and capital costs. The alignment was reviewed by Public Works in March 2003.
- The Executive Policy Committee concurred with the review's recommendation that the North-South Transcona Route should be realigned to the Bradley Street Alignment (see map in Attachment 1). The proposed realignment has significant advantages over the existing alignment.

Implications of the Recommendation(s):

General implications:

	None
X	**For the organization overall and/or for other departments**
X	**For the community and/or organizations external to the City of Winnipeg**
	Involves a multi-year contract

Comment(s):

- The revised alignment will allow for the long range planning of the City's transportation infrastructure.

Policy implications:

	No
X	**Yes**

Comment(s):

- Revising the alignment in Plan Winnipeg's Policy Plate C will revise the alignment of the North-South Transcona Route.

Environmental implications:

X	**No**
	Yes

Comment(s):

-

Human resources implications:

X	**No**
	Yes

Comment(s):

-

Financial implications:

X	**Within approved current and/or capital budget**
	Current and/or capital budget adjustment required

Comment(s):

-

REASON FOR THE REPORT:

Under the City of Winnipeg Charter, Executive Policy Committee is the designated hearing body responsible for Plan Winnipeg.

HISTORY:

The North-South Transcona Route is a proposed regional street that is included in the Transportation Concept Plan of Plan Winnipeg 2020 Vision, for consideration beyond 2020. The route will serve as the eastern leg of the Inner Ring/Loop Route connecting to Chief Peguis Trail to the north, the Trans-Canada Highway and Bishop Grandin Boulevard to the south (see map in Attachment 1). The route is intended to accommodate regional and local traffic demands and to facilitate orderly traffic operation for future development in the area between Lagimodiere Boulevard and Plessis Road.

A 1992 review of alignment alternatives recommended that the route remain on a straight north-south alignment through the City-owned land that had been acquired directly adjacent to Bradley Street. In June 1993, the above-described alignment was shown on the Recommended Transportation System Plate of Plan Winnipeg Towards 2010.

The existing alignment was altered in Plan Winnipeg 2020 Vision. The portion of the route south of Ravelston Avenue West was eliminated and the route was redirected eastward to Plessis Road via Ravelston Avenue West. Although a review of transportation impacts was not completed, Plan Winnipeg 2020 Policy Plate C was adopted illustrating the revised alignment.

On March 11, 2003 the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works requested a review of the North-South Transcona Route Alignment (as identified in Plan Winnipeg 2020 Vision) and on December 9, 2003, recommended that the North-South Transcona Route be realigned to the Bradley Street Alignment and that Plan Winnipeg be amended to reflect the revised alignment (see map in Attachment 1).

The Executive Policy Committee concurred with this recommendation on January 14, 2004.

DISCUSSION:

Public Works administration conducted an extensive and comprehensive review of the North-South Transcona Alignment by evaluating the existing and proposed realignment in accordance with the following criteria:

- Route Location and Efficiency
- Neighbourhood Impact
- Environmental Impact
- Safety

- Property Requirements
- Capital Costs

The results of that analysis and the recommendation for alteration of the alignment are summarized in the Administrative Report to the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works dated November 26, 2003.

As a result of subsequent decisions to proceed with the realignment both from the Standing Policy Committee on Public Works and the Executive Policy Committee, an amendment to Policy Plate C in Plan Winnipeg 2020 Vision is required to implement the change.

Amending Plan Winnipeg to reflect the realignment will ensure that the pending secondary plan for the area will properly accommodate the North-South Ring Road and plan for any impacts associated with it.

Financial Impact Statement

Date: June 25, 2004

COMMENTS:

The recommendation in this report is that a by-law be forwarded to Council for first reading to allow for a public hearing on the issue of the North-South Transcona Route Alignment, which requires a Plan Winnipeg Amendment. There is no financial impact associated with this recommendation.

"Original Signed By"
Mike McGinn, CA
Manager of Finance

IN PREPARING THIS REPORT, THERE WAS CONSULTATION WITH AND CONCURRENCE BY:

Public Works Department – Transportation Division

THIS REPORT SUBMITTED BY:

Department: Planning, Property and Development Department
Division: Planning and Land Use
Prepared by: Michelle Richard

Attachments:

1: Proposed by-law and map showing revision to Policy Plate C – Transportation Concept Plan to 2020 and Beyond

Document name:
O:\Reports Directive\Planning and Land Use\Urban Planning Reports\2004 Reports\PlanWinnipeg\NorthSouthTransconaRouteAlignment.doc

ATTACHMENT 1: PROPOSED BY-LAW AND MAP

REFERENCE: FILE NO: XXX.X.X (PW /04)

THE CITY OF WINNIPEG

BY-LAW NO. /2004

A By-law of **THE CITY OF WINNIPEG** to amend By--law No. 7630/2000, a By-law establishing **PLAN WINNIPEG**, being a statement of the City's policy and general proposals in respect of development or use of land in the City.

THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, in Council assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The map titled "POLICY PLATE C, TRANSPORTATION CONCEPT PLAN TO 2020 AND BEYOND" attached to and forming part of By-law No. 7630/2000 is hereby amended as follows:

"Lands known as the "North-South Transcona Route" being the eastern section of the Inner Ring Route (running eastward along Ravelston Avenue to Plessis Road), shown outlined on the map below, are hereby amended for the purpose of route realignment (aligned to run along Bradley Street) and the said Plan Winnipeg Policy Area Map shall hereafter be read and construed as though the said lands were indicated thereon as amended."

RECEIVED FIRST READING on this _____ day of _____ A.D., 2004.

RECEIVED SECOND READING on this _____day of _____ A.D., 2004.

RECEIVED THIRD READING on this _____day of _____ A.D., 2004.

DONE AND PASSED in Council assembled this _____ day of _____ A.D., 2004.

_____
Mayor

_____
City Clerk

Certified as to Planning Details:

_____
for Chief Administrative Officer

Certified as to Form:

_____
for City Solicitor/Manager of
Legal Services

File No.

REVISION TO POLICY PLATE C

