Winnipeg | Indigenous Relations
Relations avec les Autochtones

JOURNEY OF RECONCILIATION
LES CHEMINS DE LA RÉCONCILIATION

ASSESSMENT: NAMING OR RENAMING CITY ASSETS AFTER INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS OF PEOPLE

Welcoming Winnipeg: *Reconciling our History* Policy
Committee of Community Members

REQUEST: _____

CCM MEMBER: _____

DATE COMPLETED: _____

To be completed by each member of the CCM.

EVALUATION RUBRIC

4 – Exceptional
3 – Good
2 – Satisfactory
1 – Engagement but does not meet minimum standard
0 – Unsatisfactory or none

CRITERIA	COMMENTS	SCORE out of 4
a) The promotion and reclamation of First Nations, Métis or Inuit language, rights, culture, spirituality and/or traditions.		
b) The contributions, achievements or interests of a person are relevant and connected to the municipal asset for which such name is proposed.		
c.i) They have demonstrated excellence, courage, or exceptional dedication to service in ways that made a significant contribution to the community, city of Winnipeg, province of Manitoba, Canada, or internationally.		

DRAFT

c.ii) They have volunteered and gave extraordinary help or care to individuals, families or groups, or supports community services or humanitarian causes.		
c.iii) They have fostered equality and reduced discrimination.		
c.iv) They have risked their life to save or protect others.		
c.v) They have demonstrated excellence, courage or exceptional dedication to service in ways that reflect the heritage and identity of a community within Winnipeg.		

DRAFT

Winnipeg | Indigenous Relations
Relations avec les Autochtones

JOURNEY OF RECONCILIATION
LES CHEMINS DE LA RÉCONCILIATION

CCM COMPLIATION of ASSESSMENT TOOL
NAMING OR RENAMING CITY ASSETS AFTER INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS OF PEOPLE
Welcoming Winnipeg: *Reconciling our History* Policy
Committee of Community Members

REQUEST: _____

DATE COMPILED: _____

Assessment scores are meant to guide CCM discussions. The final recommendation(s) of the CCM may not align with the scores outlined below.

CCM Member	A	B	C.i	C.ii	C.iii	C.iv	C.v	"C" Average
TOTAL AVERAGE for each criterion:								
Overall Score of Request (Weighted):								

1. Were there any large discrepancies in answers by CCM members? If yes, why?

2. Are there any considerations brought up by the committee that are not captured in the evaluation scoring and criteria? If yes, explain.