Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2024 8:17:14 PM

Personal information
Full name: David Grant
Email address:
Phone:
Job title:
Organization:
Address:
City: Winnipeg
Province or territory: Manitoba
Postal code:

Delegation information
Committee: Executive Policy Committee
Committee - council: N/A
Committee - other: N/A
Agenda item (number and title): pw 2

Service inquiry: Register as a Delegation, Submit Comments in writing
Participation preference: Via Zoom
Comments in writing: PE review. I support producing a report on the effects of PE on crash frequency. As you know, I have been asking the city to do this for about a decade. This is one of the most ironic city hall documents that I have ever seen. 2 of you are asking for a review. The city was obliged to do such a review of crash-reduction, at every PE location, every year since 2002. No such report has ever been shared with the public. Was one done, and kept secret? Have you asked? Has anyone ever asked for a letter from a city lawyer, giving their blessing to your decision to operate PE in violation of the law that enables it? The Motion begins asking about efficacy, but then presumes the results of the study, before any study has actually been done.
You are all new here. Did anyone ask why the city has never done a report? You have been reminded. Manitoba wrote an RFP in 2019 RFP for a review, just like the one you want. Did any of you know that staff were alarmed at the idea? Is that staff reaction why that RFP did not produce a report?
Most of the carnage that we see on TV is not due to "speeding". If done properly, an efficacy report could confirm that, whether it is done by Manitoba or the WPS. It is an ethical violation, and profoundly misleading, to say that speed is a causal factor, without evidence.
File:
Public engagement preference: Yes