COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG

Thursday, May 30, 2024 (Un-audited)

The following text file was produced in real-time and may contain inaccuracies. A complete and corrected Hansard document for this meeting is being produced and will replace this document when completed.

To verify any parts of this document in the meantime, please visit www.winnipeg.ca/clkdmis to listen to a complete Electronic Hansard of this meeting.

MADAM SPEAKER: I CALL THIS MEETING FOR MAY 30, 2024 TO ORDER AND ACKNOWLEDGE IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 11.1 OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG PROCEDURE BY-LAW NO. 50/2007, THE SPEAKER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT WE ARE IN TREATY ONE TERRITORY, THE HOME AND TRADITIONAL LANDS OF THE ANISHINAABE (OJIBWE), ININEW (CREE), AND DAKOTA PEOPLES, AND IN THE NATIONAL HOMELAND OF THE RED RIVER METIS. OUR DRINKING WATER COMES FROM SHOAL LAKE 40 FIRST NATION, IN TREATY THREE TERRITORY. WE WILL HAVE THE OPENING PRAYER. ALL THOSE PRESENT IN CHAMBER AND GALLERY PLEASE RISE IF YOU ARE ABLE. WE WILL HEAR FROM COUNCILLOR EADIE. DEPUTY SPEAKER.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WILL TAKE LIBERTY TODAY. IT IS MORE OF A REFLECTION OF SOME THOUGHTS I HAVE HAD. AS YOU ALL KNOW, MY MOTHER PASSED AWAY ON MAY 8TH, AND IT BRINGS TO MIND FOR ME THE THOUGHT OF, AND SHE HAD GOOD TIMES IN HER LIFE BUT IT REMINDS ME, AND WE HAVE TO THINK ABOUT THIS BECAUSE THERE ARE MANY WOMEN WITH FAMILY BREAKDOWN. ADDICTIONS, ALCOHOLISM, AND IT CAN BE VERY DIFFICULT WHEN THAT FAMILY BREAKS DOWN AND MUM HAS TO STEP UP TO TAKE CARE OF EVERYTHING WHEN SHE HAS RELIED SO MUCH ON THE MAN THAT SHE MARRIED AND HELPED HER MAKE HER KIDS. AND SO WHEN I ASK PEOPLE TO REFLECT WHEN WE HEAR ABOUT THE PROBLEMS WE HEAR OUT ON THE STREET THERE IS MANY INSTANCES OF FAMILY BREAKDOWN. THERE ARE YOUTH AND WOMEN. THEY ARE ALL STRUGGLING. TODAY WE ARE IN THE '70s WHEN MY MOTHER WAS EXPERIENCING THE MOST DIFFICULT TIME OF HER LIFE THERE REALLY WASN'T ANY WOMEN CENTRES AROUND. TODAY THERE IS. I REFLECT ON THESE KIND OF THINGS WHEN WE CONSIDER THE VERY SERIOUS ISSUES WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH AS A CITY COUNCIL AND THAT WE HAVE TO WORK WITH OTHER PARTNERS TO RESOLVE. NOW MY MOTHER LEFT ME SOME INSTRUCTIONS. SHE WENT UP TO THE SPIRIT IN THE SKY ON MAY 8TH. SHE ASKED ME, AND I WILL ACKNOWLEDGE SHE NEVER MISSED A CITY COUNCIL MEETING SINCE COUNCILLOR SHARMA I WERE ELECTED IN 2010, AND SHE LISTENED TO EVERY MEETING EVEN IF THEY WERE REALLY LONG. SHE WANTED ME TO EXPRESS HER THANKS TO COUNCILLOR SCHREYER, COUNCILLOR WYATT, COUNCILLOR MAYES, COUNCILLOR ALLARD AND COUNCILLOR LUKES. SHE SPENT A LOT OF TIME LISTENING TO US DEBATE AND DISCUSS THE ISSUES, AND SHE APPRECIATED THE BOMBASTIC COUNCILLOR WYATT. ANYWAY, ANOTHER INSTRUCTION THAT WAS LEFT, AND I AM NOT GOING TO SING THE WHOLE SONG. THE OTHER INSTRUCTION WAS ON HER WHERE SHE WAS DYING SAYING SHE WANTED TO PASS ON TO THE SPIRIT IN THE SKY, SHE LOOKED AT ME AND I COULD HEAR THE SMILE ON HER FACE. SHE SAYS, ROSS, IT'S JUST LIKE THE SONG. ¶ WHEN I DIE AND THEY LAY ME TO REST I'M GOING TO GO TO THE PLACE THAT'S THE BEST ¶¶ ¶ WHEN THEY LAY ME DOWN TO DIE, I'M

GOING ON UP TO THE SPIRIT IN THE SKY, GOING ON UP TO THE SPIRIT IN THE SKY. THAT'S WHERE I'M GOING TO GO WHEN I DIE, WHEN I DIE AND THEY LAY ME TO REST, I'M GOING TO GO TO THE PLACE THAT'S THE BEST ¶¶ MUM, IT'S DONE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE, OUR DEEPEST CONDOLENCES, COUNCILLORS SHARMA, GILLINGHAM, ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER AND WYATT.

WE HAVE TWO PAGES WITH US TODAY. PLEASE WELCOME KOEN LEE, SECONDAIRE OAK PARK HIGH SCHOOL, FROM THE CHARLESWOOD-TUXEDO-WESTWOOD WARD AND KOSI UDEH FROM COLLEGE ST. NORBERT COLLEGIATE, RESIDES IN THE ST. NORBERT--SEINE RIVER WARD. THANK YOU FOR JOINING COUNCIL TODAY. WE WILL MOVE TO MORNING ANNOUNCEMENTS. MR. MAYOR, YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU. I THINK ON BEHALF OF ALL US. I WANT TO APPRECIATE COUNCILLOR EADIE FOR THE REFLECTION ON HIS MOTHER AND THE IMPACT SHE HAS HAD. I'M SURE SHE IS WATCHING THIS COUNCIL MEETING, TOO. THERE WE GO. MAY THE MEMORY BE A BLESSING. LAST WEEK I WAS EXCITED TO ANNOUNCE THE WINNIPEG 150 MEDAL. CELEBRATING THE 150TH ANNIVERSARY AS CITY. WILL BE AWARDED TO 150 WINNIPEGGERS WHO MADE CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE COMMUNITY. PLEASE NOMINATE FRIENDS AND COLLEAGUES. THROUGH VOLUNTEER WORK, COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP. THEY DESERVE CELEBRATED. RECIPIENTS OF THE MEDAL WILL RECEIVE A MEDAL AND WILL BE PLANTING A TREE IN THEIR HONOR IN THE CITY PARK IN A CEREMONY LATER THIS YEAR. TO NOMINATE SOMEONE RESIDENTS CAN SUBMIT NOMINATION ONLINE BY JULY 15TH OF THIS YEAR. I WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO ACKNOWLEDGE PASSING OF FORMER CITY COUNCILLOR JAE EADIE FROM 1980 TO 1992 AND FROM 1992 TO 2006 AND MY CONDOLENCES TO HIS WIFE AND DAUGHTER AND FAMILY MEMBERS AND FRIENDS. WHEN I FIRST WAS CONSIDERING RUNNING FOR OFFICE IN 2014 IN ST. JAMES, I CALLED JAE EADIE. ALL THROUGH MY TIME IN OFFICE AS COUNCILLOR, I SPOKE TO JAE REGULARLY DECIDING TO RUN FOR MAYOR. I SPOKE TO JAE AND APPRECIATED HIS WISDOM AND ADVICE. JAE EADIE, IN FACT, WAS VERY INVOLVED IN THE FEDERATION OF CANADIAN MUNICIPALITIES AND FOR A TIME WAS PRESIDENT OF FCM AS WELL AND FORMER SPEAKER OF THIS CHAMBER. I DID ATTEND JAE'S FUNERAL. ON BEHALF OF ALL OF US WE EXPRESS CONDOLENCES TO HIS WIFE AND FAMILY. EVERY YEAR PRIDE WINNIPEG KICKS OFF WITH THE RAISING OF THE PRIDE FLAG AT CITY HALL. MANY OF YOU JOIN ME AND OTHERS TO CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE TRADITION LAST FRIDAY AND STAND IN SOLIDARITY WITH THE COMMUNITY. I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL FOR ADVOCATING FOR AN INCLUSIVE WINNIPEG. CONGRATULATIONS ON BARTLEY KEVIS, THE BEST LOCAL REPORTER ON THE CANADIAN SCREEN AWARDS. IT WAS GREAT TO SEE HIM RECOGNIZED. WINNING THE AWARD IS BEST. LOCAL REPORTER IN ALL OF CANADA. WELL DESERVED, BARTLEY. TODAY IS WORLD MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS DAY, WORLD MS DAY. I TOOK PART IN THE MS WALK ON SUNDAY. IT WAS GREAT WITH LARGE CROWD OVER 600 OR 700 PEOPLE WERE INVOLVED. TONIGHT THE SIGN WILL BE LIT RED IN RECOGNITION OF WORLD MS DAY. TWO LAST COMMENTS. CONGRATULATIONS TO SEA BEARS SOLD OUT HOME OPENER ON SATURDAY. THERE WERE A FEW COUNCILLORS THERE INCLUDING COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. IT WAS A GREAT START TO THE SEASON. IT FELT LIKE FINAL CHAMPIONSHIP GAME. WE WON ON THE TARGET STORE. IF YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO SUPPORT SEA BEARS LET'S DO THAT. BOMBERS FRIDAY NIGHT AND HOME OPENER NEXT WEEK. YESTERDAY WAS HONOUR TO BE INVOLVED ON BEHALF

OF ALL OF US IN ANNOUNCEMENT OF MEDIA EVENT. PILOT OF SUCCESS OF WAVE PROVIDES TRANSIT RIGHTS FOR THOSE IN THE COMMUNITY BE THAT NEED ACCESSIBILITY. TRANSIT SERVICES THAT IS A PILOT PROJECT MOST OF THIS COUNCIL THAT WAS HERE IN THE LAST TERM SUPPORTED. IT HAS GONE BETTER THAN WE COULD HAVE EVER IMAGINED. THIS YEAR WE ARE ON TRACK TO PROBABLY HAVE 20,000 RIDES THAT ARE SERVICED BY ACCESSIBLE TAXIS. THE PILOT PROJECT IS ON BUDGET. IT HAS BEEN A GREAT SUCCESS. LOOKING FORWARD TO PUBLIC SERVICE BRINGING FORWARD REPORT. THEY WILL BE IN TIME COMING FORWARD RECOMMENDING WE MAKE THE PROJECT PERMANENT SERVICE.

>> IT HAS MADE IT MUCH EASIER FOR THOSE THAT HAVE MOBILITY CHALLENGES OR USE WHEELCHAIRS TO GET ACCESS NOT ONLY TO TRANSIT BUT TO TAXI SERVICES SO THEY DON'T HAVE TO WAIT HOURS FOR A RIDE. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. COUNCILLOR DOBSON.

COUNCILLOR DOBSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AS MANY OF YOU ARE AWARE WE HAD DOORS OPEN WINNIPEG LAST WEEKEND. THIS SHOWCASES WINNIPEG UNIQUE HERITAGE, ARCHITECTURE AND RICH HISTORY. DOORS OPEN WINNIPEG 2024 WAS A HUGE SUCCESS EVEN THOUGH SATURDAY WAS A LITTLE CHILLY. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK HERITAGE WINNIPEG FOR PUTTING THIS ON AS WELL AS ALL HOSTS, SPONSORS, VOLUNTEERS AND PEOPLE THAT TOOK TIME TO COME AND PARTICIPATE IN THIS TWO DAY EVENT. I KNOW MANY OF US LOOK FORWARD TO DOORS OPEN EVENT NEXT YEAR IN 2025. I HOPE TO SEE YOU THERE. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR DOBSON. WE HAVE A CONDOLENCE MOTION FOR FORMER CITY COUNCILLOR JAY EADIE. AS THE MAYOR STATED. FORMER COUNCILLOR EADIE MADE A MARK ON POLITICS AT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. HE WAS A STATESMAN AND SERVED AS THE VERY FIRST SPEAKER OF WINNIPEG CITY COUNCIL. MADAM CLERK. WILL YOU READ THE MOTION INTO THE RECORD.

CLERK: MOVED BY DOBSON PROFOUND SORROW DEATH OF WILLIAM J EADIE APRIL 29, 2024. SERVED IN THE ST. JAMES WARDS IN THE ASSINIBOINE COMMUNITY FROM OCTOBER 22, 1980 TO OCTOBER 2022. WE EXTEND DEEPEST SYMPATHY AND CONDOLENCES.

MADAM SPEAKER: PLEASE RISE IF YOU ARE ABLE FOR A MOMENT OF SILENCE.

>> WE CONDOLENCE MOTION FOR IRENE JANET EADIE. PLEASE READ THE MOTION INTO THE RECORD.

CLERK: MOVED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER AND SECOND BY SHARMA. OCCURRED MAY 8, 2024. EXTENDS TO ROSS EADIE AND FAMILY DEEPEST SYMPATHY AND CONDOLENCES IN THEIR BEREAVEMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: PLEASE RISE IF YOU ARE ABLE FOR A MOMENT OF SILENCE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN WILL YOU MOVE THE MINUTES OF APRIL 25, 2024 READ AND CONFIRMED.

SO MOVED.

CARRIED.

>> FIRST DELEGATION CHRIS LORENC: PRESIDENT AND C.E.O. OF MANITOBA HEAVY CONSTRUCTION ASSOCIATION. OPPOSITION TO STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS. PERFORM OF NEW AND MODERN ASPHALT PLANT TECHNOLOGY TO FIGHT POT HOLES. HERE WITH US IN PERSON. WELCOME TO YOU. 10 MINUTES ON THE CLOCK PLEASE.

CHRIS LORENC: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL. I EXPRESS MY DEEP REGRETS AND CONDOLENCES TO COUNCILLOR EADIE AND HIS FAMILY AND COUNCILLOR ROSS EADIE. I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY THIS MORNING OF SPEAKING TO ITEM 1. TO RESPOND TO THE ASSERTIONS WITHIN THE MOTION, I BELIEVE OFFERING YOU THE WEIGHT OF FACT IS THE MOST USEFUL APPROACH. MOST, IF NOT ALL OF THE INFORMATION I SHARE TODAY IS PUBLIC AND THE FACTS WHICH FOLLOW DISABUSE THE MOTION OF MERIT ESPECIALLY ASSERTIONS. THAT THE CITY IS PAYING TOO MUCH FOR LESS THAN QUALITY RESPONSIVE SERVICE IN THE TENDERING TO THE PRIVATE SECTOR FOR THE PRODUCTION AND SUPPLY OF HOT MIX ASPHALT FOR PATCHING AND PAVING. THE CITY SEMI-ANNUALLY TENDERS TO THE PRIVATE SECTOR FOR PRODUCTION AND DELIVERY ROUGHLY 30,000 TONS OF HOT MIX ASPHALT FOR PAVING. IT IS ADVERTISED IN THE WINTER AND LOWEST BIDDER. CITY TENDERS FOR PATCHING WITH QUANTITIES FROM 2700 TONS IN 2019 TO 5,000 TONS IN 2024. AS TO PRICE, REVIEW OF THE THREE TENDERS FOR THE HOT MIX ASPHALT FOR AING IN 22-23 SHOWS COMPETITIVE RANGE OF BIDS FOR 30,000 TONS. 2.5 MILLION, 2.2 AND IN 2019 FIVE BIDS THE LOWEST WAS $2 MILLION. A REVIEW OF TENDERS FOR THE HOT MIX ASPHALT FOR PATCHING 2019, 22, 24 SHOWS COMPETITIVE RANGE. IN 2024, THREE BIDS. WINNING BID WAS $461,000 FOR 5,000 TONS. IN 2022. WINS BID WAS $287,000. FOR 3300 TONS. IN 25 I COULDN'T FIND BID DOCUMENTS. AWARD SPLITTED BETWEEN TWO CONTRACTORS $207,000 FOR 2700 TONS. IN 2019 THREE BIDS. WINNING WAS $216,000 FOR 2700 TONS. ALL IN, CITY PURCHASED HOT MIX ASPHALT FOR PATCH ANYTHING 2019 FOR $80 A TON. IN 2024 FOR $92 A TON OF 15% INCREASE OVER FIVE-YEAR PERIOD. ALL IN THE CITY PURCHASED HOT MIX ASPHALT FOR PAVING IN 2019 FOR 61 A TON AND A 32% INCREASE OVER FIVE YEARS. KEEP IN MIND BE THAT THE ABOVE PER TON PRICE INCLUDES INPUT COSTS. IN 2023, THAT INCLUDED ROUGHLY $45 PER TON FOR ASSAULT OIL, $25 PER TON FOR AGGREGATED. IN CASE OF PAVING TRANSPORTATION COSTS. THE COSTS TO INDUSTRY FROM THE PANDEMIC ON ESPECIALLY IN 2022 REFLECT SIGNIFICANT RISE IN COST OF FUEL OIL, AGGREGATES AND EQUIPMENT. ANYONE AWARE OF HOW GLOBAL AND MARKET PRICES SKYROCKETED WOULD SEE IMPACT NOT JUST ON THIS INDUSTRY BUT THE ENTIRE ECONOMY. THE NOTION PRICING IS EXORBITANT TO FEED SIGNIFICANT PROFIT MARGINS IS NOT EVIDENCED IN FACT. CITY PURCHASES HOT MIX ASSAULT BENEFITING BY COMPETITIVE MARKET. HOW? ECONOMIES OF SCALE CREATE EFFICIENCIES. INDUSTRY HAS INVESTED IN PLANT, WORK FORCE DEVELOPMENT, MARKET OPPORTUNITIES AND IS THEREFORE PRODUCING A SIGNIFICANT VOLUME OF ASPHALT, CAPACITY EXCEEDS A MILLION TONS PER YOUR FOR DIVERSE BUSINESS PROFILES FOR MUCH BROADER MARKET. IN OTHER WORDS, THE COST IS SHARED ACROSS MUCH GREATER VOLUME OF PRODUCTION. THIS CREATES MASS PRODUCTION AND THEREFORE EFFICIENCIES OF SCALE. TO THE MOTION'S SUGGESTION THE CITY IS AT THE MERCY OF INDUSTRY THAT IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS HAS SEEN CONSOLIDATION AND THEREFORE REDUCED COMPETITION, AGAIN, SOME FACTS. ONE COMPANY DARCO WAS SOLD TO COMPETITOR WHEN OWNER RETIRED. ONE COMPANY CLOSED OPERATIONS BORE LAND. TWO COMPANIES ENTERED HOT MIX MARKET. WIN TECH AND BAYVIEW. THERE ARE SIX PLIERS IN THE MARKET NOW. BAYVIEW, WIN

TECH AND NELSON RIVER AND FOR AS MARKET THE CITY'S SIZE THAT IS HEALTHY COMPETITION. INFLATION. WE HEARD REPEATEDLY STARTLING ASSERTIONS ABOUT INFLATION IN HEAVY CONSTRUCTION. IN THESE CHAMBERS EARLIER IT WAS STATED COST OF CONSTRUCTION HAS GONE UP IN LAST 30 YEARS 10 TIMES HIGHER THAN INCOME OF TAXPAYERS THAT PAY FOR IT. END QUOTE. THIS CHAMBER WAS GIVEN NO FACTS, NO DATA TO VERIFY THIS CLAIM. IN FACT, STATS CANADA DISAPPROVES THE ASSERTION. INCOME OF INDIVIDUAL'S TABLE RELEASED IN MAY 23 AND HANDS COMB CALCULATOR ONE OF THE LEADING CONSTRUCTION COST CONSULTANTS ABOUT CHANGES IN CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL AND LABOR COSTS, THE COST OF NONRESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION HAS INCREASED ABOUT 3-POINT SIX TIMES MORE THAN THE MEDIAN INCOMES IN WINNIPEG OVER THE MOST RECENT 30 YEAR PERIOD FOR WHICH DATA IS AVAILABLE. 3-POINT SIX TIMES OVER 30 YEARS NOT THE ALLEGED 10 TIMES. ABOVE ASSESSMENT IN MUCH GREATER DETAIL WAS PROVIDED TO THE COUNCILLOR WHO MADE THE ABOVE HIGHER MULTIPLE ASSERTIONS BY TAXPAYER WHO HAPPENED TO BE WATCHING PROCEEDINGS. FURTHER CITY'S 2023 ECONOMIC DEMOGRAPHIC FISCAL OUTLOOK REPORT CALCULATION OF CONSTRUCTION INFLATION IN MANITOBA A GAIN BASED ON STATS CANADA DATA STATES THAT FROM 1982 TO 2022 IT AVERAGED 3% PER YEAR. THIS INCLUDES THE 12.3% RATE IN 2022 FACTORS AND PRICES WHICH WERE ALLUDED TO EARLIER. BACK TO THE ACCUSATION WINNIPEG IS AT THE MERCY OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR WHICH GIVES PRIORITY TO ITS OWN NEED FOR ASSAULT AHEAD OF CITY NEEDS. AGAIN, FACTS SUGGEST OTHERWISE. CONTRACTING ENSURE REQUIRE TIMELY DEMAND SUPPLY AND DELIVERY THROUGH THE USE OF LIQUIDATED DAMAGES. FOR DELAY OF SUPPLY THE PENALTY IS $200 PER DAY. FOR CITY TRUCKS NOT LOADED WITHIN 30 MINUTES OF THEIR ARRIVAL, A PENALTY OF $85 FOR EVERY 30 MINUTES OF DELAY. BEFORE CONSIDERING THE MOTION TO REESTABLISH A PLANT SOME CONTEXTTUAL HISTORICAL FACTUAL INFORMATION. PURCHASING A MOBILE ASPHALT PLANT SOUNDS ATTRACTIVE. I AM ADVISED BY INDUSTRY THE PLANTS WOULD NOT HAVE THE CAPACITY TO PROVIDE AND PRODUCE THE VOLUMES OF ASPHALT FOR PAVING ONLY FOR PATCHING TO INCLUDE PAVING CAPACITY THE CITY WOULD IN ADDITION REQUIRE LAND, ZONED M3, STORAGE SILOS FOR PATCHING OPERATIONS, FUEL TANKS, POWER UNITS ABILITY TO INCORPORATE RECYCLED ASPHALT. THE PLANTS TO RECUSE TONNAGE 150 TONS PER HOUR WOULD BE MORE THAN $5 MILLION. THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE ANNUAL STAFFING, OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COSTS. THERE IS MORE. AS NOTED EARLIER, MAJORITY OF THE PER TON COST IS IN THE AGGREGATES AND AS FAULT EMUGSES. THESE WOULD HAVE TO BE PURCHASED THROUGH THE MARKET SUBJECT TO COMPETITION AND PRICE PRESSURES FACED BY EVERYBODY ELSE IN THE MARKET. COMPETITIVE PRICING FROM PRIVATE SECTOR IS GAINED THROUGH EFFICIENCIES OF SCALE. I WILL REMIND YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR MOVES EXTENSION TWO MINUTES. CARRIED.

IF I REMIND YOU LESSON LEARNED OF CITY'S LAST ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH A SPECIAL OPERATING AGENCY FOR SUPPLY OF AGGREGATES THEN AVAILABLE FROM THE PRIVATE SECTOR. AGAINST INFORMED CAUTION AND ADVICE, FORMER MAYOR ESTABLISHED SOA TO EXTRACT AGGREGATES IN THE PIT IN ARM OF SPRINGFIELD FOR CIVIC NEEDS. AFTER THREE YEARS OF OPERATION THE CITY LOST ESTIMATED $7 MILLION. NO ONE WAS HELD ACCOUNTABLE. THE IT WAS OFFERED FOR SALE AND PURCHASED BY PRIVATE SECTOR. TODAY IT CONTINUES COULD COMPETE PROFITABLY IN THE MARKET. PLEASE DON'T MAKE THE SAME MISTAKE. I URGE YOU TO REJECT THE RECOMMENDATIONS AND DEFEET THE MOTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION BE.

THANK YOU, MR. LORENC: WE HAVE QUESTIONS. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING. I WANT TO THANK THE LEADERSHIP OF COUNCILLOR SCHREYER IN THIS CHAMBER WHO HAS BEEN EXTREMELY CONSISTENT BE WITH REGARDS TO HIS ASSERTION OVER THE LAST NUMBER OF YEARS ABOUT CONSTRUCTION INFLATION AND THE ONGOING ISSUE THAT POSES TO OUR ABILITY TO FIX THE ROADS. THANKS TO HIS LEADERSHIP ON THIS AND CONSISTENCY IT HAS COME FULL CIRCLE HE IS PROVEN RIGHT OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN NOW. IT WAS ONE OF THE MAIN TOPICS OF THE LAST FINANCE COMMITTEE EVERYONE WEIGHED IN EXCEPT COUNCILLOR SCHREYER WHO DIDN'T HAVE TO. HE HAS BEEN RAISING THIS OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN. YOU MENTIONED THE ASSERTION MADE BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER 10 TIMES OVER THE LAST 30 YEARS. 10 TIMES HIGHER THAN GROWTH OF INCOME OF CANADIANS. AT 3-POINT SIX TIMES THAT IS EQUAL TO 360% ABOVE. WILL YOU AGREE WITH THAT?

I AM NOT GOING TO GET INTO A CALCULATION DISCUSSION. MADAM SPEAKER, THROUGH YOU. TO COUNCILLOR WYATT. I AM NOT GOING TO GET INTO CALCULATION DISCUSSION WITH YOU. I HAVE CITED EXERT OF COMMUNICATION PROVIDED TO COUNCILLOR SCHREYER BY A TAXPAYER WHO HAPPENED TO BE IN THE CHAMBERS, HAPPENED TO BE WATCHING THE DEBATE. HAPPENED TO HEAR COUNCILLOR SCHREYER AND WROTE TO HIM. THE NUMBERS ARE WHAT THE NUMBERS ARE.

I APPRECIATE THE RESPONSE. RESPECTFULLY, WE LISTENED A LOT ABOUT TONS AND PERCENTAGES ON TONS. 3.6% IS 360% ABOVE WHAT CANADIANS INCOME AS GROWN IN THE LAST 30 NUMBERS.

3-POINT SIX TIMES IS A LOT WHEN YOU LOOK AT IT AS SINGLE NUMBER. 30 YEAR PERIOD, COUNCILLOR. LOOK AT THE MINIMUM WAGES WERE, MEDIUM WAGES WERE 30 YEARS AGO TODAY AND THE COST OF HOUSING 30 YEARS AGO AND WHAT IT IS TODAY. LOOK AT WHAT THE COST OF GROCERIES, CHOCOLATE BARS 30 YEARS AGO AND TODAY. PLEASE BE REALISTIC AND REAL ABOUT THE IMPACTS OF COST INCREASES IN INFLATION. HOW THEY ARE SOURCED AND MANAGED AND CAN BE BETTER MANAGED.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: CANADIANS WOULD BE HAPPY TO GET 360% INCREASE IN INCOME. MY FINAL QUESTION, MADAM SPEAKER, ARE YOU AWARE OUR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS IN AUGUST SAID THE BIGGEST CHALLENGE IS WE DO NOT HAVE ACCESS TO AGGREGATE ON OUR OWN AND IT IS SET BY PRIVATE SECTOR AND THE FACT WE SOLD THE PITS, AS YOU MENTIONED, IS ONE OF THE GREATEST CHALLENGES THE CITY IS FACING IN TERMS OF INFLATIONARY COSTS THAT IS THE WORDS OF OUR OWN PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR. ARE YOU AWARE OF THAT?

I'M NOT AWARE OF MEETING OR COMMENTS THE DIRECTOR MADE. I WILL NOT GIVE ANY CREDENCE TO A SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION AT WHICH I WAS NOT PRESENT. I WILL ASK YOU. ARE YOU AWARE OF THE EFFORT?

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. LORENC: YOUR ANSWER TO QUESTIONS TODAY. YOU HAVE HAD YOUR QUESTION PERIOD.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE DISCUSSION CONTINUES MORE THAN EVER WHICH IS THE POINT OF BEING IN COUNCIL AND DISCUSSING IMPORTANT ISSUES. WHEN IT COMES TO INCREASING BURDEN ON THE TAXPAYER TO THE EXTENT WE HAVE TO RAISE EVERY TAX, FINE, FEE, LEVY RATE AND REDUCE SERVICES FOR CERTAIN ASPECTS OF INFLATION. NOT EVERYTHING. I DON'T KNOW WHERE TO START. I AM SO GLAD WE ARE HERE TODAY. CAN YOU TELL US BETWEEN THE TIME WHEN WE FIRST BUILT THE FIRST RIVER FLOODWAY AND 30 YEARS LATER WHEN WE REDREDGED AND DOUBLED THE CAPACITY OF THE RIVER FLOODWAY. CAN HE TELL US WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IN THE COST OF MOVING ONE TON OF EARTH WAS.

>> I HAVE NO IDEA.

>> NO IDEA IN THE CHANGE. THE BIGGEST CONSTRUCTION PROJECT IN THE WORLD WAS RIGHT HERE IN WINNIPEG AND MANITOBA AT THAT TIME. 30 YEARS LATER WE DOUBLED CAPACITY. WE DON'T KNOW THE STATS IN TERMS OF I AM RELYING ON THIS STUFF WHEN I COME TO THESE PERSPECTIVES. PEOPLE OFFERED ME THIS INFORMATION AND I AM GRATEFUL TO THOSE PEOPLE FROM THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY. CAN WE BE TOLD HOW LONG AND WHEN WINNIPEG OWNED ITS OWN ASPHALT AND GRAVEL QUARIES, IT WASN'T JUST UNDER GLENN MURRAY.

MADAM SPEAKER TO COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. I WASN'T HERE FOR FIRST CITY COUNCIL MEETING. I CAN'T TELL YOU WHEN THE CITY GOT INVOLVED IN THE BUSINESS OF PRODUCING ASPHALT. I CAN TELL YOU THAT THE CITY GOT OUT OF BEINGS BECAUSE IT WAS LOSE -- OUT OF BUSINESS BECAUSE IT WAS LOSING MONEY. ITS OWN TAXPAYERS WERE MAKING RISK INVESTMENT IN PLANT OPERATION WORK FORCE AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT WHICH MAKES SENSE.

MADAM SPEAKER: SECOND QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: I THINK EARLIER ON REPRESENTATIVE SAID TALKING ABOUT 1922 AND 1923. 100 YEARS LATER A LOT HAS HAPPENED IN TERMS OF COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION. IN TERMS OF THE WAY IN WHICH WE USE CALCULATIONS TO DECIDE WHAT IS THE RATE OF INFLATION COMPARED TO WHAT IS CANADIAN'S WEALTH? I PUT MINE IN FULL PAGE AD IN "WINNIPEG FREE PRESS." I USE STANDARD INDUSTRIAL WAGE INDEX IN TERMS OF INCREASES IN WEALTH BECAUSE IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING WHEN WE EQUATE WEALTH IN GENERAL IN THIS COUNTRY 80% OF THE WEALTH GOES TO THE TOP 20%. WHEN MY CALCULATIONS ARE CONTRADICTED IS IT THEN TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION OVER 80% OF THE WEALTH IS –

>> IS THIS RELATED TO ASPHALT PLANT OR NOT?

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: RELATED TO COMMENTS REGARDING CALCULATIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: QUESTIONS REGARDING TOPIC.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: CALCULAT IONS PRESENTED THIS MORNING RELATIVE DIRECTLY TO THE COMMENTS MADE AS WELL WHICH WERE ALLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT. WHEN THESE CALCULATIONS ARE MADE FOR AFFORDABILITY OF TAXPAYERS AND CANADIAN --

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I APPRECIATE COUNCILLOR SCHREYER'S PASSION AND WORK, BUT THE SECOND QUESTION HAS NO PREAMBLE. I AM HEARING A VERY LONG PREAMBLE.

MADAM SPEAKER: FIVE SECONDS, PLEASE.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: IS IT UNDERSTOOD IN THE CALCULATIONS 80% OF THE INCREASE IN WEALTH IS ACQUIRED BY 20% OF CANADIANS?

IF I SAID 1922 I APOLOGIZE. I MEANT 2022 IS MY ANSWER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR LUKES FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: THIS IS A DID YOU KNOW. DID YOU KNOW I AM JUST SO TIRED OF HEARING SUCH DEBATE ON WHAT THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY IS DOING THAT IS RIPPING OFF CITIZENS, WHAT THE HOMEBUILDERS ASSOCIATION IS DOING RIPPING OFF THE PUBLIC. WE ARE A CITY OF 750, 800,000. A PROVINCE 1.2, 1.4. WE HAVE TO WORK TOGETHER. I APPRECIATE THAT THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY IS A PARTNER IN BUILDING OUR CITY. WE HAVE PROCUREMENT METHODS AND WAYS TO KEEP IT COMPETITIVE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE ASPHALT PLANT.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I AM NOT SUPPORTIVE OF THE ASPHALT PLANT. I THINK WE ARE DOING A COMPETITIVE JOB, DID YOU KNOW THAT?

I DON'T REMEMBER ANYONE SAYING THEY ARE RIPPING OFF TAXPAYERS. IT IS UNSUSTAINABLE.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. LORENC: TO RESPOND.

I AM AWARE. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR LUKES.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT MOVES EXTENSION FIVE MINUTES. IN FAVOR, CONTRARY, CARRIED. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I AM RESPONSIBLE PERSON, BEING DEPUTY SPEAKER. I HAVE ONE REAL SIMPLE QUESTION TO ASK IN MR. LORENC: 'S PRESENTATION, MADAM SPEAKER. I HEAR A LOT OF DISCUSSION OF MAKING PROFIT. MY QUESTION IS DOES THE DELEGATION BELIEVE THAT A GOVERNMENT ENTITY SHOULD BE OPERATING TO MAKE PROFIT?

THAT'S A VERY HIGH LEVEL POLICY QUESTION. THAT BEGS A MUCH BROADER DISCUSSION. BECAUSE YOU NEED TO DETERMINE THE NATURE OF THE HYPOTHETICAL BUSINESS IS AN AREA OF BUSINESS

ENDEAVOR IN WHICH GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE INVOLVED. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT CASE HAS BEEN HIGHLIGHTED OR RAISED IN THE CONTEXT OF THE MOTION IN FRONT OF YOU AND THAT COUNCIL HAS ASKED AND ANSWERED THAT QUESTION MANY TIMES IN THE PAST. THERE IS NO CIVIC OPERATION THAT SHOULD BE OPERATING WHEN IT IS PROVIDING A SERVICE AT A LOSS. THERE SHOULD BE THE ABILITY OF EACHSIVE VISION OPERATION TO ESTABLISH REVENUE STREAMS AND SOURCES TO FUND THE OPERATION OF THE SERVICE BEING PROVIDED. THERE WILL BE EXAMPLES, FOR INSTANCE, YOU ARE NOT GOING THROUGH USER FEES COLLECT THE REVENUES THAT YOU NEED TO OPERATE A PARK. THAT IS A CORE SERVICE OR FUNCTION THE CITY PROVIDES. SIMILARLY SOCIAL SERVICES. BY AND LARGE, THE OPERATION OF THE DEPARTMENTS PROVIDING SERVICES AT MINIMUM SHOULD BE REVENUE NEUTRAL.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THROUGH YOU, MADAM SPEAKER TO OUR DELEGATION. IS THE DELEGATION AWARE JURISDICTION AFTER JURISDICTION ACROSS WESTERN EUROPE AND SCANDANAVIA AND PARTS OF CANADA MUNICIPALITIES ARE INVOLVED IN ASSAULT PRODUCTION AND DISCUSSION WITH THE PRIVATE SECTOR AND THE SKY HASN'T FALLEN. ARE YOU AWARE OF THAT?

I AM SURE COUNCILLOR WYATT IS AWARE THERE IS A CONSTRUCTION BRANCH IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THAT WAS A LAWYER'S ANSWER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: WE HAVE A CONSTRUCTION DIVISION. IS IT NOT FAIR TO SAY WE ARE NOT IN THE CONSTRUCTION BUSINESS ANY MORE BECAUSE WE GOT OUT OF THE ASPHALT BUSINESS AND ROAD CONSTRUCTION WE USED TO DO. UNLIKE OTHER JURISDICTIONS IN NORTH AMERICA AND WESTERN EUROPE AND SCANDANAVIA THEY SUCCESSFULLY WORK TOGETHER WITH PRIVATE SECTOR BUT THEY HAVE THEIR OWN CONSTRUCTION DIVISIONS INCLUDING ASPHALT PLANTS?

AGAIN, MADAM SPEAKER, THERE ARE ANY VARIETY OF SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS. THE MODEL THAT HAS WORKED SUCCESSFULLY FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG RECOGNIZES THAT WHERE THE PRIVATE SECTOR IS ENGAGED IN PROVIDING ROBUST AND COMPETITIVE SERVICES AND THE SERVICE DISCUSSED IS NOT A CORE FUNCTION, NOT A CORE SERVICE DELIVERY OF THE ENTITITY, THE CITY OF WINNIPEG DOESN'T BECOME INVOLVED. THE CITY DOES HAVE A CONSTRUCTION BRANCH THAT PROVIDES CONSTRUCTION SERVICES. THERE IS A BIT OF BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR MODEL IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG THAT APPEARS TO WORK RELATIVELY WELL.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: ON THE TERMS OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR. MY LAST QUESTION, MADAM SPEAKER, IS THE SPEAKER AWARE A LOT OF THOSE JURISDICTIONS HAVE A FAR BETTER STATE OF INFRASTRUCTURE THAN WINNIPEG IN LIGHT OF THE STATE OF ROADS AND UNDERGROUND SERVICE THAT WE HAVE ONE OF THE LARGEST INFRASTRUCTURE DEFICITS AS A CITY IN THE COUNTRY. IS THE DELEGATION AWARE OF THAT?

YES, YES, YES. HOW MANY TIME IN THIS CHAMBER AND BUDGET MEETINGS AND PRESENTATIONS AND DISCUSSIONS AND HOW MANY SUBMISSIONS ENCOURAGE THIS COUNCIL THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT AMM WINNIPEG METROPOLITAN REGION TO GET ON WITH THE BUSINESS OF SHAPING A NEW FISCAL DEAL.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT CONCLUDES THE QUESTION PERIOD.

I STILL LIKE THE TWO OF YOU EVEN THOUGH I DON'T AGREE WITH THE MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT TWO DELEGATIONS IN OPPOSITION OF ITEM 3 OF REPORT OF STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY SERVICES MAY 8 REGARDING SOUTH WINNIPEG RECREATION CAMPUS NEW RECREATION CENTRE BUDGET STATUS. FIRST IS TOM SCOTT JOINING US IN PERSON. NEXT IS SANDRA DUPUIS. WE WILL HAVE 10 MINUTES ON THE CLOCK.

GOOD MORNING.

THE CLARIFICATION. I DON'T WANT TO BE DISRESPECTFUL IS THE QUESTION TO BE PUT FORWARD TO THE COUNCIL?

MADAM SPEAKER: THROUGH THE CHAIR.

I WILL DO MY BEST TO RESPECT THAT. I AM HERE IN OPPOSITION TO THE INCREASE IN FUNDING TO THE SOUTH WINNIPEG RECREATION CENTER I FEEL IT IS TREATING OTHER COMMUNITIES VERY UNFAIRLY. THE CITY IS PROVIDING ONE COMMUNITY WITH AN EXCESS OF RESOURCE OF FUNDING AND PLANNING NOT EQUALLY GIVEN TO OTHER COMMUNITIES. THE ADMINISTRATION SUGGESTIONS TO REMOVE FUNDING STILL PROVIDING UNFAIR TREATMENT TO OTHER COMMUNITIES IS ACCEPTABLE WITH PLANNING THAT HAS GONE INTO THE PROJECT IN THE FIRST PLACE. TO PROVIDE NEW COMMUNITY WITH A PROJECT OF THIS SIZE YEARS FROM BEING COMPLETE DENYING OTHER COMMUNITIES WITH AMENITIES TO PROVIDE SERVICE FOR A FRACTION OF COST IS UNFAIR. NOW I DO PREFERENCE AND BASE THESE COMMENTS ON MY PAST EXPERIENCE WITH COUNCIL HERE AND WITH THE CITY'S PLANNING AND THE WAY THEY DO THINGS. I FEEL THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF OPPORTUNITIES IN THE CITY TO GIVE EVERY COMMUNITY BETTER AND FAIR TREATMENT OF BEING HANDLED WITH THE CITY. THE CITY IS VERY DISRESPECTFUL IN MANY WAYS. LOOKING AT 21 OR $23 MILLION IN THE PROJECT, I FORGET WHAT IT IS, YEARS DOWN THE ROAD AND CITY CAN'T CONTRIBUTE $100,000 TO A PROJECT THAT IS VIABLE FOR THE NEXT NUMBER OF YEARS. IT IS VERY UNFAIR. IT IS VERY UNEQUAL TO THE COMMUNITIES. THE CITY'S VIEW ON EQUALITY IS TO DENY A COMMUNITY SOMETHING SO THAT IT BRINGS IT DOWN TO THE OTHER LEVELS OF COMMUNITIES INSTEAD OF BRINGING THE OTHER COMMUNITIES UP. THAT IS ONE REASON WHY I FEEL THE FIRST PART SHOULD GO FORWARD. THEY SHOULD BE BROUGHT UP TO DEGREE NOT AT TOTAL EXPENSE OF OTHER COMMUNITIES. I ALSO FEEL THE AMOUNT OF PLANNING INTO THIS HAS NOT BEEN FAIR TO OTHER COMMUNITIES. OUR PROJECT THAT EVERYONE HERE KNOWS WE WERE FIGHTING FOR WAS BASED ON INFORMATION THAT WAS MAYBE 15 YEARS OLD OR WAS MISLED. WE WERE TOLD THERE WAS WATER ISSUES, WATER TABLE ISSUES. WE FOUND OUT THERE WAS A REPORT IN 2020. I MUST SAY WE HAD A

HARD TIME GETTING ANY INFORMATION CONTRARY TO THE OPEN POLICY THE PUBLIC SERVICE IS TO SHARE INFORMATION. WE CAME ACROSS A FIPA REQUEST ENGINEER REPORT THAT SAID THAT THE WATER TABLE LEVEL AND THIS REPORT WAS DONE IN 2020. IT SAID WATER TABLE AT HAPPY LAND POOL HAD NO IMPACT WHAT SO EVER. IN FACT, THE WATER TABLE HAS BEEN LOWERING EVERY YEAR. THAT IS NOT AN ISSUE. WE DO NOT KNOW WHY ONE COMMUNITY IS TREATED SO GRACIOUSLY IN FUNDING AND IN RESOURCES AND OTHER THINGS WHEN OTHER COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE CITY. I AM SURE EVERY COMMUNITY HERE WOULDN'T MIND $23 MILLION FOR THEIR COMMUNITY, FOR THEIR WARD TO DO THINGS. WE DON'T WANT $23 MILLION. WE WANT $100,000. WE DON'T SEE WHERE THAT IS EQUITABLE AND FAIR AND JUST AND HONEST TREATMENT. WE DO OPPOSE THIS. I WILL NOT GO INTO THE NUMBER OF TIMES THE CITY HAS EQUALITY AND PARTNERSHIP AND COLLABORATION AND EVERYTHING ELSE THEY HAVEN'T SHOWN TO US. THAT WILL BE MY REPORT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, TOM SCOTT. WE WILL TAKE QUESTIONS. STAY ON THE BENCH. WE WILL CALL YOU BACK. NEXT WE HAVE SANDRA DUPUIS IN OPPOSITION TO THE SAME ITEM. SHE WILL BE APPEARING IN PERSON.

GOOD MORNING. THANKS FOR LETTING ME SPEAK TODAY. I UNDERSTAND TODAY'S MEETS IS ABOUT APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL $23 MILLION ABOVE $70 MILLION TO WAVERLY WEST RECREATION CENTRE. I SUPPORT RECREATION IN ALL AREAS OF WINNIPEG. IT SEEMS OUTRAGEOUSLY UNFAIR ST. BONIFACE HAS TO CLOSE A COMPLETELY FUNCTIONAL SWIMMING POOL ESPECIALLY AFTER WE RAISED $85,000.

MADAM SPEAKER: I WOULD ASK YOU TO SPEAK TO THE REPORT IN FRONT OF US.

IT IS ALL INVOLVED. I DO SUPPORT COUNCILLOR LUKES AS WELL. YOU ALL SEEM TO BE OKAY WITH ST. BONIFACE TAX DOLLARS TO FUND $94 MILLION BUT YET YOU ARE HAPPY TO CLOSE OUR SMALL RECREATION FACILITY. OUR RESIDENTS ARE IN DISBELIEF HOW THE COUNCILLOR AND THE REST OF YOU INCLUDING THE MAYOR HAVE FORSAKEN US. I URGE YOU TO RECONSIDER YOUR DECISION AND KEEP HAPPY LAND POOL OPEN ESPECIALLY NOW THAT WE HAVE HEARD THE SAINT PATEL POOL MAY NOT OPEN THIS YEAR. HELP US MAKE ST. BONIFACE THRIVE. I HAVE SPOKEN TO THE CONSTRUCTION WORKERS THREE DAYS IN A ROW. THEY INFORM ME THE SAINT PATEL POOL WILL NOT OPEN THE CONCRETE IS BAD AND CRUMBLING.

TALKING ABOUT CLOSURES OF EXISTING POOLS THAT ARE BEING SERVICED. CAN YOU PLEASE CLARIFY.

MADAM SPEAKER: SPEAK TO THE TOPIC. COMMUNITY SERVICES MATTERS ARE INTERRELATED. WE HAVE HEARD FROM FOLKS BEFORE. SPECIFICALLY TO THE TOPIC, PLEASE, IF YOU COULD.

AS LUKES IS ASKING FOR MONEY. WE RAISED MONEY AND HAVE DONE EVERYTHING YOU ASKED. WE ARE ASKING YOU TO PLEASE REVERSE THAT AND OPEN HAPPY LAND POOL. IN EVIDENCE OF SAINT PATEL NOT OPENING. WE NEED SOMETHING. WE WANT TO THRIVE LIKE WAVERLY WEST AS WELL AT A FRACTION OF THE COST. THAT IS IT TODAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: MOVING SUSPENSION OF THE RULES. COUNCILLOR WYATT MOVES SUSPENSION OF RULES FOR INDIVIDUAL TO SPEAK IN FAVOR OF THIS ITEM. THERESA QUICK. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY.

THAT IS CARRIED. WE WILL TAKE THE QUESTIONS FIRST. WE WILL HAVE THE PRESENTATION FROM NEXT DELEGATION ON THE SAME TOPIC THEN QUESTIONS. THANK YOU. THERESA QUICK.

THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TIME TO SPEAK AT TODAY'S COUNCIL MEETING. I WOULD LIKE TO STATE I AM IN FAVOR OF PROVIDING WAVERLY WEST WITH THE ADDITIONAL $23 MILLION FOR THE RECREATIONAL COMPLEX. ALL PEOPLE NEED RECREATION IT WILL BE A GREAT BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY. I DO FIND IT HYPOCRITICAL COUNCIL IS ABOUT TO APPROVE $23 MILLION FOR RECREATION IN WAVERLY WEST WHILE IGNORING ST. BONIFACE. WE RAISED $85,000. WE SHOULD NEVER HAVE HAD TO FIGHT.

MADAM SPEAKER: ARE YOU IN SUPPORT? I WANTED TO BE SURE.

YES, I AM SAYING THIS IS ALL, YES. LET ME REMIND EVERYONE ST. BONIFACE RESIDENTS AND BUSINESSES PAY TAXES, TOO AND THEY WILL HELP PAY FOR THE NEW RECREATION COMPLEX IN WAVERLY WEST. THE CITY COUNCILS LACK OF MOTIVATION TO KEEP THE POOL OPEN IS STRONG INDICATOR TO SEE THE DEMISE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. I WILL ASK TO KEEP HAPPY LAND POOL OPEN. I BELIEVE COUNCILLORS WILL VOTE FOR HAPPY LAND POOL AS BOTH AMENITIES BENEFIT THE CITY. WAVERLY WEST WHEN BUILT AND HAPPY LAND POOL NOW IS READY BUILT AND READY TO USE. IT IS A STRONG POOL. YOU WERE ELECTED TO KEEP ALL AMENITIES AND AS SETS IN GOOD CONDITION AND REPAIR AND NOT DEMOLISH GOOD AMENITIES BECAUSE YOU WANT TO AND YOU CAN AND WHEN THEY RESPECT MANY GOOD YEARS OF -- THEY HAVE MANY GOOD YEARS IN THEM. I HOPE THE CITY WILL KEEP WAVERLY WEST IN GOOD REPAIR SO IT CAN LAST AS LONG AS HAPPY LAND POOL. THEY DON'T BUILD THINGS LIKE THEY USED TO. CITY HAS GOT THE MONEY'S WORTH WITH THIS POOL AND IT IS GOOD FOR MANY MORE YEARS. I BELIEVE HAPPY LAND POOL WAS NEVER ABOUT THE MONEY. CITY HAS MONEY. ANNOUNCED SURPLUS OF FUNDS IN BUDGET THIS YEAR. TAXES WILL BE GOING UP NEXT YEAR. WE GAVE THE CITY -- WE WOULD GIVE THE CITY $85,000. THERE IS ENOUGH MONEY FOR BOTH HAPPY LAND AND WAVERLY WEST. QUESTION WHY EVERYBODY IS AGAINST VOTING TO KEEP THE POOL OPEN. IT HAS NOT DONE ANY HARM. LEAST EXPENSIVE TO OPERATE. CURRENTLY GREAT BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY AS WAVERLY WEST WILL BE WHEN BUILT. ESPECIALLY NOW THAT WE HEARD SAINT PATEL MAY NOT OPEN THIS YEAR. WHY WOULD YOU NOT KEEP HAPPY LAND POOL OPEN? WHY WOULD YOU BE AGAINST USING THE POOL ONE MORE YEAR. YOU KNOW KEEPING THE POOL OPEN IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO. WHY ARE YOU SO AGAINST IT? YOU ARE GETTING RID OF OLDER POOLS IN LOW INCOME AREAS.

FOCUSING MORE ON SOMETHING ELSE. GET BACK TO SOUTH WINNIPEG REC CENTER.

SEVERAL TIMES SPEAKERS ARE INTERRUPTED TALKING ABOUT $23 MILLION IN DEBT FOR COMMUNITY SERVICES. THERE IS A DIRECT LINK. THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT EXISTING AMENITIES AND USE OF $23 MILLION. I WANT TO CHALLENGE THE CHAIR BECAUSE SEVERAL TIMES THEY HAVE BEEN INTERRUPTED WHEN THEY START TALKING ABOUT THE AMENITIES IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD. IT IS ON TOPIC WHEN YOU ARE ASKING ABOUT $23 MILLION IN DEBT AND THEY DO KEEP PIVOTING TO THE AMENITIES THEY WANT TO SEE SUSTAINED BY THIS COUNCIL IN COMMUNITY SERVICES.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. I NOTED THAT EARLIER. WE ARE HEARING FROM OTHER MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AS WELL.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: MADAM SPEAKER BECAUSE IT IS NOT A CORRECT. I WANT A RULING, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE RULING I WILL ALLOW HER TO FINISH THE PRESENTATION. AS I MENTIONED EARLIER THINGS ARE INTERRELATED, HOWEVER, THERE IS A FOCUS ON SOUTH WINNIPEG AS WELL. CONTINUE.

IT IS ALL CITY OF WINNIPEG MONEY.

MADAM SPEAKER: I UNDERSTAND AND I AGREE.

>> YOU ARE GETTING RID OF SEVERAL OLDER POOLS AND WADING POOLS WITHOUT REPLACING WITH SPLASH PAD. HAPPY LAND POOL DID NOT HAVE A NEW AQUATIC CENTRE FINALIZED AND BUILT. MONEY SAVED BY GETTING RID OF OLDER POOLS AND WADING POOLS IN LOW INCOME AREAS WILL PROBABLY GO TO THE NEW AMENITIES. LOW INCOME AREAS WILL NEVER BE ABLE TO AFFORD TO GET INTO THESE NEW AMENITIES. AS MAYOR AND COUNCILLORS YOU NEED TO LOOK AFTER THE PEOPLE, TOO, NOT JUST MONEY. THERE NEEDS TO BE A BETTER BALANCE OF AMENITIES THROUGHOUT THE CITY FOR ALL PEOPLE AND NOT JUST FOR SOME. WAVERLY WEST NEEDS THIS COMPLEX. WE NEED OUR POOL AND SO DO LOWER INCOME AREAS. I ASK YOU TO PLEASE DO WHAT YOU KNOW IS RIGHT AND HAVE COMPASSION FOR THE CHILDREN IN THE COMMUNITY AND VOTE TO KEEP THE POOL OPEN ESPECIALLY THE MAYOR AS I BELIEVE THE MAYOR HAS THE POWER TO OPEN THIS ISSUE UP AND GET VOTES AND SAVE THE POOL. I WANTED TO ADD THAT I AM FIGHTING FOR THIS POOL VERY HARD BECAUSE I CARE ABOUT THE PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY AND THE CITY. PERHAPS THAT IS WHERE WE DIFFER. I CARE ABOUT THE PEOPLE IN WAVERLY WEST AND THE PEOPLE IN THE NORTH END AND POINT DOUGLAS AND IN OUR AREA. I CARE ABOUT THE IMPACT THAT ALL OF YOUR DECISIONS MAKE ON ALL OF THESE PEOPLE, SOME WHO HAVE NOT SO MUCH TO BEGIN WITH. YOU MAKE THESE DECISIONS AND WONDER WHY THINGS IN THE CITY ARE SO BAD.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. THAT COMPLETES YOUR FIVE MINUTES. WE WILL TAKE QUESTIONS. SANDRA, AND TOM WOULD YOU COME UP.

I HATE THESE ARE YOU AWARE QUESTIONS. I WILL DANCE AROUND THAT A BIT. I SIMPLY CAN'T IT IS HERE AND HAVE PEOPLE SAY YOU DON'T LIKE ST. BONIFACE AND YOU ARE UNDERRING FUNDING ALL THE TIME. ARE YOU AWARE WE HAVE TWO TRACK OPENINGS, THE ONLY WARD IN THE WHOLE CITY THAT WILL HAVE TWO RUBBER TRACKED IS ST. BONIFACE IT OPENED JUNE 11TH NELSON MAC PUT MONEY IN THAT AND THE EFFORT AT WINDSOR PARK FIVE YEARS, PARENT ADVISORY, MONEY FROM MY MONEY AND ALLARD'S WARD ALL GOING INTO THESE AMENITIES BOTH IN ST. BONIFACE WARD. WE SHOULD ONLY HAVE ONE, THAT DOESN'T SEEM FAIR, BUILD THE TRACK IN WAVERLY WEST. I APPRECIATE FROM YOUR TAKE YOU ARE UPSET ABOUT HAPPY LAND POOL. I AM GOING TO HAVE TO PUT FACTS ON TABLE WE DON'T SHORT CHANGE ST. BONIFACE. WE PUT RECREATIONAL MONEY DUE

TO HARD WORK OF CURRENT COUNCILLORS AND PREVIOUS COUNCILLOR. ARE YOU AWARE IN THE NEXT TWO MONTHS TWO TRACK OPENING OVER $1.1 MILLION BOTH IN ST. BONIFACE WARD.

IS THAT A QUESTION?

MADAM SPEAKER: YES.

THROUGH THE CHAIR TO COUNCILLOR MAYES ARE YOU AWARE?

MADAM SPEAKER: THIS IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO ANSWER AND NOT POSE A QUESTION BACK.

I AM ANSWERING THE QUESTION. I WILL WORD IT DIFFERENTLY. WE ARE AWARE THAT THERE IS TWO POOLS PROPOSED TO BE CLOSED IN ST. BONIFACE LEAVING US WITH ONE POOL THAT WILL BE ONE-THIRD THE SIZE OF -- WINDSOR PARK WILL STAY OPEN TWO YEARS. IT WILL BE CLOSED. IT WILL LEAVE US WITH ONE POOL THAT WILL HOLD ABOUT ONE-THIRD THE PEOPLE OF SOME OF THE OTHER POOLS LIKE KILDONA POOL. WE WILL BE UNDERSERVED. WE ARE AWARE THAT ST. VITAL POOL IN 2015 GOT 3-POINT SOME MILLION DOLLARS. THIS YEAR THEY ARE REDOING THE POOL LINER. WE ARE AWARE WHAT GOES ON AND NO MONEY HAS GONE INTO ANY MAJOR AMOUNT INTO ANY POOL IN ST. BONIFACE. THE SPLASH PAD NEVER HAPPENED. WE ARE HERE WE WHAT THE CITY SAYS WILL COME FORWARD. NOT TALKING ABOUT TRACKS. WE DON'T CARE IF WE HAVE TWO TRACKS OR IF ST. JAMES HAS TWO INDOOR POOLS. WE HAVE AMENITIES NOT MAINTAINED AND TAKEN CARE OF BY CITY OF WINNIPEG AND WE ARE VIEWED AS HAVING THREE POOLS OR IN THE OLD DAYS THEY USED TO SAY FOUR. THAT IS LIKE SAYING A FAMILY OF 50 IS BEING FED BY ONE ROAST EACH WITHOUT TAKING INTO ACCOUNT ONE ROAST IS TWO POUNDS AND ONE IS 50 POUNDS. NOT THE NUMBER BUT THE SIZE OF THE POOLS AND IF THIS COUNCIL COULD UNDERSTAND THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND OUR REASONING AND FEELINGS OF JUSTIFICATION TO KEEP THE POOLS OPEN ST. BONIFACE CITIZENS PAID FOR AND CITYNEG COLLECTED IN UPKEEPING. NOT FAIR TO TAKE AMENITY AWAY TO MAKE IT EQUAL TO ANOTHER WARD.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: STATEMENT WAS MADE NO MONEY WAS PUT INTO A POOL IN ST. BONIFACE BECAUSE MILLIONS DO THE HARD WORK OF THE FRIEND COUNCILLOR ALLARD. MILLIONS ARE ABOUT TO GO IN TO THE POOL. YOU WOULD AGREE THAT IN ST. BONIFACE WARD?

YES, COUNCILLOR MAYES. WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT INDOOR POOLS. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT OUTDOOR POOLS.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR LUKES.

>> THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN EXPRESSING YOUR OPINIONS. THIS IS DID YOU KNOW QUESTION. COUNCILLORS HAVE HEARD THIS. I WILL SAY THIS RELATED TO THE ITEM. DID YOU KNOW THAT OUT OF ONE TAX DOLLAR THAT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG HAS, PEOPLE PAY TAXES. CITY TAXES, PROVINCIAL TAXES, FEDERAL TAXING. DID YOU KNOW THE FUNDING MODEL FOR ALLOCATION OF TAXES WORKS

OUT TO 10-CENTS CITY OF WINNIPEG, 40-CENTS TO MANITOBA AND 50-CENT GOES TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OUT OF OUR TAX DOLLARS WE ALL SPEND. PAGE 50 OF THE PROVINCIAL BUDGET THEY ARE LOOKING AT CHANGING THAT MODEL THAT WOULD BE GREAT. DID NOW BECAUSE OF THAT EXTREMELY OUTDATED FUNDING MODEL THAT WE ARE ALL IN A SITUATION HERE WHETHER FOR ROADS, RECREATION SERVICES, EVERYTHING WE DO, SEWER SYSTEMS, TRANSIT, IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO KEEP UP. I WOULD RECOMMEND TO ALL COUNCILLORS AND TO EVERYONE IN THE PUBLIC TO SAY, LOOK, PROVINCE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, WE CAN'T FUND ALL AMENITIES. WE CAN'T FUND THESE AMENITIES, THESE SERVICES WE DELIVER ADEQUATELY. DID YOU KNOW THAT WE GET 10 CENTS ON THE DOLLAR?

YES, WE WERE AWARE OF THAT AND ON PAGE 301 OF THE BUDGET THE MUNICIPAL ACCOMMODATIONS DEPARTMENT WHICH IS THE ONE WE UNDERSTAND TAKES CARE OF POOLS IS PROPOSING FOR 2024 A $5.9 MILLIONSURE PLUS. IF THEY HAVE THE SURPLUS LOOK IT UP. $5.9 MILLION SURPLUS AND EACH YEAR IN THE BUDGET. WE FEEL $100,000 OR LESS IS NOT A LOT OF MONEY TO ASK FOR. WHEN WE KNOW THERE ARE HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN RESERVES AND IN THE BUDGET. THERE IS MONEY COMING OUT OF CEMETERY RESERVE TO GENERAL FUND. WE KNOW WHERE THE MONEY IS. THERE IS A LOT OF MONEY AND EXPENSE TO THE CITY. THERE IS A LOT OF PLACES WHERE $100,000 COULD COME FROM. YEARS AGO WHEN THEY DID THE SHERBET POOL MILLIONS CAME OUT OF DIFFERENT DEPARTMENT IN THE CITY. THERE IS PLACES WHERE THE MONEY CAN COME. WE ARE NOT ASKING FOR $5 MILLION OR $3 MILLION OR NOT EVEN ASKS FOR $1 MILLION. WE ARE ASKING FOR LESS THAN $100,000. WE DO NOT FEEL THAT IS THE PROVINCE'S RESPONSIBILITY WHEN THEY ARE ALREADY PUTTING IN OVER $100,000, WE DON'T THINK IT IS FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITY WHEN THEY ARE PUTTING IN 100,000. IT IS THE CITY'S RESPONSIBILITY TO TAKE THE 100,000 OUT OF THE ACCOMMODATIONS BUDGET PAYING NOT ONLY FOR THE UPKEEP TO THE POOLS THIS YEAR FOR THE ONES CLOSED. THE OTHER ONES PROPOSED TO CLOSE BUT OPERATING COSTS. WE ARE WILLING TO HELP THE CITY WITH OPERATING COSTS. ALL WE WANT IS 100,000. YES, WE DO KNOW.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT IS COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN**:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. APPRECIATE YOUR PRESENTATIONS TODAY. SPEAKING TO THE SITE ITSELF. WITH AWARE BRIDGE WATER NEIGHBORHOODS AND BISON RUN NEIGHBORHOODS HAVE NO CITY RECREATION FACILITIES WHATSOEVER.

MADAM SPEAKER: DELEGATION CAN ANSWER.

YES, THEY ARE NEW. ST. BONIFACE IS ONE OF THE OLDER NEIGHBORHOODS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT MOVES FIVE MINUTE EXTENSION. IT IS CARRIED. DELEGATION.

TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION. WE ARE NOT SAYING THEY SHOULDN'T HAVE ANY. WE ARE SAYING AND I AM SAYING THE FEELING IS THEY DON'T NEED ANOTHER $23 MILLION WHEN WE ARE ONLY ASKING FOR $100,000. IT IS UNEQUAL. NOT SAYING COMMUNITIES SHOULD NOT BE TREATED EQUALLY. IN THIS CASE HAVING NO FACILITIES. I SUPPORT THE ORIGINAL $71 MILLION OR WHATEVER IT WAS. IT IS THE QUESTION OF THE EXTRA, OVER RUNS, GIVE THEM FACILITIES, LET US KEEP OURS. THE

DIFFERENCE IN COSTS IS MINIMAL. $100,000. I DON'T KNOW WHERE THE CITY CANNOT FIND $100,000 IN A $2.2 MILLION BUDGET. WE ARE TALKING EQUALITY, FAIRNESS AND COMMUNITIES BEING TREATED EQUALLY. WE ARE NOT TALKING WHETHER ONE COMMUNITY HAS TWO OF THIS AND ONE HAS THREE OF THAT. WE HAVE THAT. IT IS THE CITY'S RESPONSIBILITY TO KEEP IT UP AND MAINTAIN IT. WE BELIEVE THAT WE ARE JUSTIFIED IN ASKING FOR $100,000.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT QUESTION. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN**:** IN REGARDS TO INVESTMENT IN ST. BONIFACE. ARE YOU AWARE $12 MILLION WILL BE INVESTED IN THEIR POOL AND THERE IS A NORWOOD EAST FLASH PAD BEING BUILT?

YES, IT IS BEING BUILT NOT IN NORWOOD WHERE THE POOL WAS TAKEN DOWN. IT IS BEING BUILT IN CHAMPAGNE. THEY HAVE TO CROSS THE BUSY STREET. THE COMMUNITY IS NOT HAPPY ABOUT THAT. THE CITY FEELS THEY WANT TO PUT THE MONEY IN THERE INSTEAD OF PUTTING IT WHERE IT WAS EXPECTED TO GO. AGAIN, PATEL POOL MONEY IS THERE. IT IS INDOOR. WE ARE AWARE MONEY IS GOING TO ST. VITAL THIS YEAR, KALONA PARK POOL. WE ARE ASKING TO BE TREATED EQUALLY THAT WE GET SOME OF THAT $100,000. NOT MILLIONS ELSEWHERE. BE FAIR.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, FINAL QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN**:** TRYING TO ESTABLISH A PLAN FOR THE FUTURE OF RECREATION AMENITIES WHICH IS A FIGHT THROUGHOUT WINNIPEG. I HAVE 14 COLLEAGUES AND THE MAYOR HERE TODAY WITH THEIR PRIORITIES. ARE YOU SUPPORTIVE OF YOUR AREA COUNCILLOR'S PLAN TO EXPLORE AN AQUATIC PARK FOR ST. BONIFACE AS A WHOLE AND NOT BE PARTICULARLY NEIGHBORHOOD CENTRED BUT TO LOOK AT THE ENTIRE WARD WITH A PLAN AQUATIC FACILITY OR WHATEVER INVESTMENT WHEN IT COMES TO OUTDOOR AQUATICS?

>> WE EVENTUALLY WOULD BE, BUT FOR THE TIME BEING WE NEED OUR POOL TO STAY OPEN UNTIL IT IS UNDER WAY. THAT IS 10 YEARS DOWN THE ROAD. CHILDREN NEED SOMETHING TODAY AND TOMORROW. WHEN THE POOL IS OPEN WE WILL GO ALONG WITH WHATEVER HE ASKS FOR AT THAT TIME. AT THIS TIME OUR CONCERN IS TO KEEP HAPPY LAND POOL OPEN TODAY AND THIS SUMMER AND UNTIL SOMETHING CAN BE BUILT WE NEED OUR POOL.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: GOOD TO HEAR MEMBERS OF E.P.C. SUPPORT KEEPING HAPPY LAND OPEN. IN THE PAST WE HAD FACILITIES. WE DID IT IN THE CONTEXT OF OVERALL PLAN. IN OTHER WORDS REINVEST IN THAT FACILITY WHEN THE TIME CAME TO CLOSE OLD FACILITY. NEW FACILITY ONLINE BEFOREHAND. THAT DID NOT HAPPEN HERE. IS THAT AN APPROACH YOU WOULD SUPPORT IN TERMS WHAT TO DO? NOT TO CLOSE AN OLD FACILITY UNTIL THE NEW FACILITY IS ONLINE. THAT IS WHAT I AM HEARING.

CORRECT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: ARE YOU AWARE THAT IT WAS STATED THAT THE PROVINCE HAS ALL OF THE MONEY. THE CITY ALSO HAS IN THE LAST 30 YEARS FREEZING TAXES. IT IS A RED HERRING IN TERMS OF THE FACT WE DON'T BE HAVE MONEY BECAUSE OF OUR POLICIES AND BUDGETS. ARE YOU AWARE WE HAVE THE LOWEST PER CAPITA SPENDING ON PARKS AND RECREATION OF ANY MAJOR CITY IN CANADA?

>> I AM AWARE OF THAT, YES.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT CONCLUDES QUESTION PERIOD UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. THANK YOU BOTH FOR YOUR PRESENTATIONS. WE WILL MOVE TO REPORTS OF EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. WE HAVE ITEMS THAT WILL BE STOOD DOWN AS A RESULT OF AMENDING MOTIONS. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL LET YOU IDENTIFY THE REPORTS TO PULL-DOWN RELATED TO AMENDING MOTIONS, BUT I WILL INTRODUCE THE REPORT AND MOVE ADOPTION OF CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1-17.

MADAM SPEAKER: 1 IS PULLED DOWN CITIZEN MEMBER APPOINTMENTS, NUMBER 8. TEXT AMENDMENT TO RAPID ZONING BYLAW AND NUMBER 15 MARKET LANDS.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: NUMBER 12.

MADAM SPEAKER: PROPERTY TAX CREDIT. 14 AN APPOINTMENT OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER. THAT COVERS IT. COUNCILOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT. WINNIPEG JETS WHITEOUT PARTIES. I WILL CALL THE QUESTION ON 2-5 AND 6, 9-11, 16 AND 17. ALL IN FAVOR. AYE. THAT IS CARRIED. MADAM CLERK. ITEM 1.
CITIZEN MEMBER APPOINTMENTS. BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: WHICH MOTION GOES WITH THAT ONE? MOTION ONE MOVED BY MAYOR AND SECOND BY COUNCILLOR LUKES AMENDING MOTION. MR. MAYOR OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO ITEM AND AMENDING MOTION.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM**:** YES, JUST A MATTER OF CLARITY THAT THE POLICE BOARD IN THE WHEREAS THE POLICE BOARD DID RECEIVE SIGNED RESIGNATION LETTER FROM KYLE MASON. THAT CLARIFIES INTENT OF THE MOTION. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. ANY SPEAKERS ON THIS ONE? ITEM ONE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. I WILL CALL THE QUESTION. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN IN OPPOSITION. THANK YOU. NEXT ITEM, MADAM CLERK.

ITEM 7, HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND CAPITAL GRANT PROGRAMME.
MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR OPPORTUNITY TO INTRODUCE ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM**:** I HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY. I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM COLLEAGUES FIRST.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR. COUNCILOR ORLIKOW. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: ON THE RECORD THE REASON I STOOD THIS DOWN IS BECAUSE I AM IN SUPPORT OF THE FUNDING THAT IS BEING EARMARKED TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING. AS LONG TIME MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS ALONG WITH COUNCILLOR ROLLINS AND SOMEBODY WHO IN THE PAST SPEARHEADED AFFORDABLE HOUSING AS WELL AS WE SET ASIDE LAND. I WELCOME THE PROGRAMME AND WELCOME FUNDS FROM FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND ANNOUNCEMENT TO INCREASE FUNDING FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING ACROSS CANADA. FOR THE RECORD I HAVE MISGIVINGS HOW WE ARE GETTING THE MONEY. THAT IS ANOTHER STORY. PROGRAMME BEFORE US ON THIS REPORT SPEAKS TO THE APPLICATION PROCESS, EVALUATION PROCESS AND FINAL DETERMINATION OF THE ELIGIBILITY AS WELL IN TERMS OF THREE -- FOUR CATEGORIES SET ASIDE TO ALLOW FOR GRANTS TO FLOW. NO DOUBT AFFORDABLE AND HOUSING IS TOP PRIORITY. I AM GLAD TO SEE THIS GET OUT. THE STAFF ARE DOING A GREAT JOB. I WANT TO COMMEND THEM FOR IT. AS I SAID IN PREVIOUS SPEECH ON THIS MATTER I DO HOPE THAT EMPHASIS IS PLACED ON THE GRANT CATEGORY 2 AFFORDABLE HOUSING GRANTS PROGRAMME. NAMELY NEED FOR NOT FOR PROFITS TO GET IN THE MARKET TO PROVIDE AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THAT IS CRUCIAL ESPECIALLY RENT GEARED TO INCOME. I WAS HAPPY TO READ DETAILED REPORTS ABOUT DEFINITIONS THAT ARE DETERMINED BY THE BY-LAW IN TERMS OF THIS IE RENT GEARED TO MC. I AM HAPPY TO SEE THAT ESTABLISHED. GOOD PROGRESS WE ARE MAKING ON THIS. KEEP IN MIND WE DID AT ONE TIME HAVE A HOUSING DIVISION OF THE CITY THAT WAS ELIMINATED APPROXIMATELY 8 YEARS AGO. KEY DID A LOT OF AMAZING WORK UNDER FORMER MAYOR GLENN MURRAY WHO WORKED WITH THE FEDERAL PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT TO CREATE SINGLE WINDOW FOR APPLICATIONS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THE PROGRAMME HE SPEARHEADED MADE A BIG DIFFERENCE IN BUILDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING. WE RING OUR HANDS HOW TO BUILD THE UNITS AFFORDABILITY HOW CAN WE DO IT? IT WAS UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF MEMBER OF THIS CHAMBER'S FATHER ED SCHREYER IN 1970s WHERE THEY ROLLED OUT THOUSANDS OF NEW AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS EVERY YEAR, NOT OVER A DECADE OR TWO DECADES OVER A YEAR. IT CAN BE DONE IF THE WILL IS THERE. I HOPE THAT WE CAN SEE THESE FUNDINGS THROUGH. AGAIN, I WILL BE ON THE OTHER MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN STOOD DOWN ZONING, I SHARE CONCERNS THERE IN TERMS OF PROCESS. AND SOME OF THE DECISION MAKING IN TERMS OF THE SITUATION IN TERMS OF COMMUNITY RIGHT NOW OUT THERE. I PROBABLY WON'T SPEAK TO THAT. I WILL VOTE ON IT. I WANTED TO SPEAK TO THIS. IT IS IMPORTANT TO STAND IT DOWN AND RECOGNIZE THE WORK DONE BY OUR STAFF AND BE TO THANK THEM FOR THIS HARD WORK. I KNOW THEY HAVE BEEN A LOT THROWN AT THEM LATELY ON DIFFERENT ISSUES. THE STRESS LEVELS ARE HIGH AMONG THE STAFF THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO THIS MATTER.

I WILL BE QUICK. I DO APPRECIATE THE REPORT. I VOTED AGAINST THE HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS THERE IS A LOT OF CIRCUMSTANCES AND CAUSE EFFECTS HAPPEN BY TAKING MANDATE FROM FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AT THE SAME TIME WHICH I WILL GET INTO LATER. HOWEVER IN THIS ONE I DO LIKE IT. I HAVE TALKED TO THE MS. GEAR ABOUT IT. I AGREED I WANT IT ON RECORD I WILL BE ACCEPTING THIS REPORT AND APPROVING WITH COUNCIL IF THAT IS THE WILL OF COUNCIL. MY CONCERN WAS RAISED. IT WAS DEFEATED DURING THE HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND.

THE TARGET FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS LOW. IT SHOULD BE HIGHER. WE LOST THAT DEBATE. COUNCIL MOVED ON. THEY DIDN'T WANT TO MAKE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT MAD. I THOUGHT 40% WE STAYED AT 25%. IT IS A TEST. LET'S SEE HOW IT WORKINGS. I WILL BE SAD TO SEE IF ONLY 20% IS GOING TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING. HAVING SOME REPORT BACK TO SAY WHAT HAPPENED. THIS MONEY WENT OUT THE DOOR. WHERE DID IT GO? DID WE DO AFFORDABLE HOUSING WITH THIS OR NOT? SHE INDICATED A VALID CONCERN AND COMMENT AND WOULD COME BACK TO COUNCIL AT LATER DATE WITH THAT TYPE OF INFORMATION. I DIDN'T THINK I REQUIRED A MOTION TO DO THAT AGAIN I WANT IT ON THE RECORD THAT IS WHAT I AM EXPECTING. WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE WHEN THE MONEY GOES OUT THE DOOR IT MEETS GOALS WE WANT IT TO MEET. I REMEMBER IN MY SHORT TIME IN COUNCIL WE HAVE DONE THESE PROGRAMMES DOWNTOWN THAT HAVE NOT WORKED OUT VERY WELL AT ALL. HOUSING WENT TO WRONG SPOTS FOR PROJECTS ALREADY IN PLAY. I AM GOING WITH THIS ONE I HOPE WE WILL GET AFFORDABLE HOUSING SUPPORT IN THERE THAT IS DESPERATELY NEEDED IN WINNIPEG AND HAVING MORE PEOPLE DOWNTOWN AND FEET ON THE STREETs IMPORTANT. SUBSIDIZING SOME TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT DOWNTOWN IS IMPORTANT. IT IS A HIGHER COST JUST TO DO IT DOWNTOWN. I SUPPORT THE GRANT PROGRAMME. I WANT IT ON RECORD I AM EXPECTING A REPORT BACK IN THE FUTURE ONCE THE MONEY IS ROLLED OUT WHERE THE MONEY IS GOING, TOO WHO AND TO WHAT PURPOSE.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THIS REPORT INDICATES THIS IS THE FIRST TRAUNCH OF $122 MILLION. HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND WE ARE RECEIVE FROM FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THE FIRST $25 MILLION GOAL IS TO INCENTIVIZE DEVELOPMENT IN DOWNTOWN. FOCUS ON DOWNTOWN AND AFFORDABLE UNITS. THE GOAL IS 600 UNITS TO BE BUILT. 300 AFFORDABLE. 50% TARGET FOR AFFORDABLE AND GETTING FOCUS DOWNTOWN. WE SEE THE GRANT CATEGORIES LAID OUT HERE. I APPRECIATE COUNCILLOR HIGHLIGHTING SINGLE WINDOW IN THE PAST. I POINT TO THE VERY LAST PARAGRAPH ON PAGE 7 OF THE REPORT TALKING ABOUT THE FACT THAT OUR STAFF HAVE BEEN WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA TO STREAMLINE AND BRING INCENTIVE PACKAGES FOR HOUSING INTO ONE WINDOW. NONPROFIT IS BUILDING HOUSING THEY DON'T HAVE TO GO TO PROVINCE FOR INFORMATION AND CITY FOR INFORMATION AND CHMC. THIS WILL BE BROUGHT TOGETHER SO ALL PROGRAMMES CAN BE THROUGH ONE WINDOW TO MAKE IT MORE STREAMLINED FOR THOSE BUILDING ESPECIALLY AFFORDABLE UNITS. GOOD WORK ON BEHALF OF THE TEAM TO MAKE SURE WE CAN DO THAT AND PROVIDE A BETTER SERVICE FOR THOSE WHO ARE TRYING TO BUILD HOUSING. THIS IS ABOUT MORE THAN $25 MILLION. THIS LEVERAGES SIGNIFICANT OTHER MONEYS FROM THE PRIVATE AND NONPROFIT SECTOR. WE NEED TO KEEP IN MIND THAT WOULD ENCOURAGE US MADAM SPEAKER TO KEEP IN MIND THESE FUNDS LEVERAGE OTHER FUNDS. NON-GOVERNMENT FUNDS. THAT IS IMPORTANT AS WELL AS WE INVEST AND BUILD MORE FUNDING.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 7 IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. ITEM 8. TEXT AMENDMENT ON RAPID ZONING BYLAW AMENDS. THERE ARE AMENDING MOTIONS. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I BELIEVE THERE IS AT LEAST THREE AMENDING MOTIONS. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE TO SUSPEND THE RULES GIVEN COMPLEXITY OF THIS REPORT.

MADAM SPEAKER: I WILL CALL ON YOU. THANK YOU FOR THAT. THERE IS AMENDING MOTION 2

MOVED BY COUNCILOR ORLIKOW, SECOND BY DOBSON. THREE MOVED BY COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

TO THE WINNIPEG ZONING BYLAWS 204 OF 2024.

SUSPENSION TO DEAL WITH ADDITIONAL ITEM TO HAVE IT ON THE FLOOR AMENDING MOTION 5 MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE SECOND BY SCHREYER. ALL IN FAVOR OF SUSPENSION. THAT IS CARRIED. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. APPRECIATE THE DEBATE WE ARE GOING TO HAVE RELATED TO THIS MATTER. I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM COLLEAGUES.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILOR ORLIKOW YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO THE ITEM AND YOUR AMENDING MOTION. TWO AMENDING MOTIONS.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I RISE NOT IN GRAND SUPPORT OF THIS WHOLE PROGRAMME ROLLING OUT. SOME ASKED ME TRYING TO MAKE SURE IT IS VERY DIFFICULT PROCESS. WE ARE ALSO THE APPLICANT. I CANNOT SPEAK TO MY COLLEAGUES LIKE I WOULD LIKE TO ABOUT THIS BECAUSE THE BYLAWS SAY YOU ARE NOT SUPPOSED TO. I TAKE THAT TO HEART. WHY DID I DROP THIS ON THEM? I DIDN'T WANT TO WE ARE BOXED IN ON THE PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS. I WILL DO QUICK CLARIFICATION. FIRST ONE. I DID MEET WITH ADMINISTRATION AND THANK THEM WITH THE TIME PROVIDED. I WAS TOLD NO MORE QUESTIONS. YOU HAVE TO ASK IN PUBLIC HEARING. THAT WAS THE DIRECTION PROVIDED. I FOLLOWED THAT DIRECTION. PRIOR TO THAT I WAS ABLE TO ASK A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS. I DIDN'T LIKE THE ANSWERS BUT I THANK THEM FOR AT LEAST TRYING. ONE OF THE BIG ONES I WAS UPSET ABOUT WAS ONCE THE APPLICATION WAS POSTED WE DIDN'T TELL ANYBODY. FRIDAY 3:30 P.M. LONG WEEKEND. REGISTER OR PUT DELEGATION IN BY THE WEDNESDAY AT 12:00. FEW DAYS. WE DO MORE FOR PARK RENAMING THAN WE DID FOR THIS. THIS IS A MASSIVE ISSUE. FOR SOME AREAS IT DID NOT AFFECT. RIVER HEIGHTS IT IS MAJOR IMPACT. I WAS TOLD A LONG TIME AGO HOW DO YOU KNOW WHERE DEVELOPMENTS GO? FOLLOW MONEY? YOU CAN BUILD A NICE HOUSE IN RIVER HEIGHTS FOR TRIPLEX OR DUPLEX FOR MORE THAN IN OTHER PARTS OF WINNIPEG. YOU GET BIGGER RETURN. SAME BUILDING, DIFFERENT NEIGHBORHOOD. I DO STRONGLY BELIEVE THAT IF WE APPROVE THIS TODAY THE RIVER HEIGHTS FORT GARRY WARD WILL BE REALLY IMPACTED. NOT IN POSITIVE WAY. MORE HOUSING FOR ONLY PEOPLE TO MOVE THERE. RIVER HEIGHTS FORT GARY REI WILL SPEAK TO THAT. THIS IS NICE. WHAT IS IN IT FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD? NEW PEOPLE COMING TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. MORE FEET ON THE STREETS. OKAY. THIS IS NICE. MORE PEOPLE TO RIDE TRANSIT BUS. OKAY. NICE. THEY CAN USE COMMUNITY CENTRE MORE VIBRANT. FUNDING? NO MORE FUNDING. WHAT ABOUT ROADS? NO NEW FUNDING WITH THIS. MORE PEOPLE CAN LIVE IN RIVER HEIGHTS FORT GARRY WARD. BENEFIT OTHER PEOPLE CAN MOVE TO THE WARD AND BENEFIT FROM EVERYTHING WE HAVE DONE BUT WE ARE NOT GETTING ANYTHING IN RETURN. THAT IS A LITTLE HARSH. WE ARE TOLD LOT SPLITS. WHERE THAT COMES FROM. WE NEVER HAD CHANCE TO DISCUSS WHAT THAT MEANS. THE NEIGHBORHOOD DOESN'T GET A CHANCE TO TALK ABOUT THE FAÇADES? NEIGHBORHOOD DOESN'T KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON NEXT DOOR? YES. THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS CUT OUT COMPLETELY. WHEN I SUPPORTED BEFORE WE DIDN'T HAVE FOUR STORY FOURPLEXES THAT IS A HUGE CHUNK OF THIS. WE FIND OUT AND I ASKED FINANCE COMMITTEE HOW DO WE APPLY FOR

TRANSIT FUNDING? YES.

NO MARKING MINIMUMS, 800 METERS FROM FREQUENT TRANSIT. WE ARE GOING FORWARD WITH ALL OF THESE VARIABLES RIGHT NOW. STILL IN PLAY. COMING UP WE HAVE CORRIDOR MOTION, WE HAVE THE ZONING STUFF THAT IS ONE PARKING SPOT. THAT IS ELIMINATED. I GUARANTEE YOU HOW WE DID IT FIRST TIME WE ARE GOING TO ACCEPT TRANSIT MONEY AND SAY TO RIVER HEIGHTS FORT GARRY NO MORE PARKING MINIMUMS. TODAY WE WILL SUPPORT MINIMUM IN THE RAPID HOUSING AMENDMENTS. NOT REALLY REAL. IN A COUPLE MONTHS THAT WILL DISAPPEAR AND THEY WILL COME BACK TO AMEND THIS AGAIN. HOW CAN YOU HAVE A FOURPLEX THEN HAVE TRIPLEX TO DO CONDITIONAL USE. VERY CONCERNED THAT WE WILL SAY, OKAY, IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO HAVE A FOURPLEX. TRIPLEX AND DUPLEX CONDITIONAL USE. AGAIN, I ASKED ADMINISTRATION HOW WILL WE HAVE COMMUNITY INVOLVED? THEY ARE NOT GOING TO BE. THEY DON'T HAVE A SAY ANY MORE. I KNOW NO ONE LIKES APPEALS ON LOT SPLITS. WE DID SOME PRETTY GOOD WORK. TIN SIDING. APPEALS WE WANT TO MOVE IT A FOOT TO KEEP THE TREE. ARBORIST REPORT? NO. IT IS A RIGHT. YOU CAN'T. I WAS PROUD TO GET THAT IN THE GUIDELINES. THAT IS GONE. I THINK THERE IS TOO MANY BALLS IN PLAY FOR US TO GO TELL THE NEIGHBORHOOD PIECEMEAL THIS IS ONE PIECE. YOU WILL BE OKAY. NOT THAT BAD. COUPLE MONTHS LATER ANOTHER PIECE. A LITTLE MORE. A YEAR LATER HERE IS ANOTHER ONE. WE SHOULD BUNDLE TOGETHER. A DEBATE AT COUNCIL WITH THE WHOLE ZONING REQUIREMENTS AS ONE. THAT MAKES THE MOST SENSE. MOST HONEST AND MOST TRANSPARENT. THAT IS ISSUE ONE. NOT READY TO GO FORWARD WITH THIS TODAY. NUMBER TWO. DE LET 1D TWO AND REPLACE FOLLOWING. IT DOES NOT SAY WE CAN'T DO LOT SPLITS. DESTROYS CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. SIDE-BY-SIDES HAD RE-ZONING COST. THAT IS GONE. WE DON'T NEED LOT SPLITS. IN THIS MODEL IT IS LOT SPLITS AS OF RIGHT. THIS MOTION SAYS WE ARE TO GET RID OF SQUARE FOOT AGE. THEY CAN APPLY FOR LOT SPLITS WITH VARIANCE DEED. SAME THING. I TRY TO COMPROMISE AND SAY LEAVE LOT SPLITS AS IS. ALLOW THE NEIGHBORHOOD MOST CONTENTIOUS POSSIBLE. THESE ARE MY IDEAS. NOT SUPPORTED BY ADMINISTRATION. I APPRECIATE THEIR HONESTY. THEY GO, JOHN, WHAT ABOUT THE CONSULTING? YOU HAD 900 PEOPLE CONSULTING ON THE INFILL GUIDELINES OUT OF 130,000 POSSIBLE PARTICIPANTS, LESS THAN 1% OF THE PEOPLE PARTICIPATED. THAT IS REALITY. NOT A GRAND SUCCESS. NOT A VERY GOOD THING WITH A HIGH IMPACT ON THE NEIGHBORS PAYING THE TAXES INVESTING IN NEIGHBORHOOD FOR YEARS AND WE ARE GOING TO DISREGARD GETTING THE PROPER INPUT. NOT SAYING NO TO LOT SPLITS. I WOULD LOVE TO. KEEP THE LOT SPLITS AS THEY ARE. TWO. DON'T GO FORWARD WITH THIS TODAY. WAIT. WAIT UNTIL WE HAVE THE WHOLE PICTURE TOGETHER IN THE RESOLUTION FOR THE BUCKET THREE. PUT IT ALTOGETHER. EVERYTHING TOGETHER SO WE DO A BETTER JOB CONSULTING PRIOR TO THE REPORT GOING OUT INCLUDING SOMEBODY MAY REMEMBER TRAFFIC CIRCLES. THAT IS WHEN I GOT RE-ELECTED. WE TALK ABOUT GREAT IDEA. I DID THE WALK. I DID MY E-MAILS. 90% OF THE PEOPLE I SENT E-MAILS OUT TO DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON. WHEN I WENT DOOR KNOCKING TO GET THEM ENGAGED NO ONE KNEW. THIS WAS ONE PERSON OUT OF 120 HOMES AWARE THIS WAS GOING ON. THIS IS MONUMENTAL IMPACT CHANGE FOR NEIGHBORHOOD. NOT THAT WE DON'T GO FORWARD. THE WAY WE GO FORWARD IS PIECEMEAL, POOR CONSULTATION IF AT ALL. IT IS A PROBLEM. AGAIN, WE DO NEED TO DENSIFY CITY-WIDE. INFILL IS ECONOMIC DRIVER. WE SHOULD LOOK AT NEIGHBORHOODS. THAT WOULD HELP THE FORTH GEARY WARD TO SPREAD OUT PROFIT WHICH IS OKAY. SPREAD IT OUT MORE. I DON'T BELIEVE THE LAW OF DENSITY IN SOME AREAS BECAUSE OF RETURN ON INVESTMENT. THAT IS WHERE I'M AT TODAY. I LOVE TO HEAR PEOPLE'S FEEDBACK. I WISH I COULD TALK MORE. THIS IS THE PROCESS I HAVE BEEN DEALT

WITH. MAYBE THE COMMENTS WILL SPUR ON CHANGES FOR THE FUTURE. I TALKED AS MUCH AS I COULD. MY TIME IS UP.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS MOVES EXTENSION TWO MINUTES. THAT IS CARRIED.

WE HEAR COMMENTS THINK ABOUT THE CITY AS A WHOLE. HOUSING IS VERY, VERY IMPORTANT. MAKE IT ALL FOR EVERYONE NOT JUST RIVER HEIGHTS AND FORT GEARY AND ADD TO THE FOURPLEXES. ALL NEIGHBORHOODS. IT IS UNFAIR FOR MATURE NEIGHBORHOODS. I AM NOT SURE OF OTHER COUNCILLORS' OPINIONS. THEY ARE NOT IMPACTED. RIVER HEIGHTS AND FORT GEARY IN MY EXPERIENCE KNOWING THE WAY THE DEVELOPMENT TYPE OF WORKINGS RIVER HEIGHTS FORT GEARY IS GOING TO BE HIGHLY IMPACTED AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND RESIDENTS LESS INPUT WITH NO MONEY COMING BACK TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. NOT PART OF THE TAXES TO IMPROVE COMMUNITY CENTRES OR PARKINGS OR INTERSECTIONS. WE DON'T HAVE THAT. WE ARE JUST TO SAY YES, WE BELIEVE IN HOUSING, AFFORDABILITY. ONLY FOR THESE NEIGHBORHOODS NOT THE OTHER ONES. IMAGINE THE HUGE EQUITY ISSUE ON THAT. I WOULD LIKE TO SAY, OKAY, WE TRIED, PUT IT FORWARD. PAUSE ON THAT. MOVE IT TO BUCKET THREE. IF YOU DON'T WANT TO DO THAT TODAY, THAT'S FINE. I WON'T VOTE FOR THAT. AT LEAST TAKE THE LOT SPLITS AS IS. HAVE A DISCUSSION WHAT AS RIGHT MEANS. NO MOTION I SAW THAT TOLD ADMINISTRATION TO MAKE ANY ZONING CHANGES AS RIGHT. CONDITIONAL USE FOR A COUPLE OF THEM. THANK GOODNESS. NOT SURE WHAT WOULD HAPPEN WITHOUT THAT IN THE MOTION. THAT IS MY CONCERN. THANK YOU FOR LISTENING TO ME. I WISH WE COULD TALK ABOUT THIS MORE. THAT IS WHERE WE ARE AT TODAY.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MADAM SPEAKER IS THERE AN AMENDING MOTION?

MADAM SPEAKER: THIRD MOTION. COUNCILLOR EADIE FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I SPEAK TO THE REPORT AND AMENDMENT. FIRST I WILL START OFF -- WE DID SUSPEND THE RULES. MADAM SPEAKER, I AGREE WITH COUNCILOR ORLIKOW ABOUT THIS WHOLE PROCESS. I DON'T KNOW WHY WE ARE DOING THIS STUFF SEPARATE, MADAM SPEAKER. THE PROCESS IS AS IT WAS LAID OUT AND THIS IS WHAT WE ARE WORKING WITH SO I'M GENERALLY SUPPORTIVE OF THESE AMENDMENTS TO THE ZONING BYLAW ONE THING I NEVER HERE. OUR FAMILY RECENTLY DID THIS, MADAM SPEAKER. WHAT HAPPENED IS THERE IS A CERTAIN CHARACTER THAT A PARTICULAR PROPERTY HAD. IT LOOKS LIKE A PRIME TARGET FOR DEVELOPER TO BUY AND DIVIDE UP THE LOT AND BUILD SOMETHING BETTER, THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS REALLY GREAT. WORKING WITH THE FAMILY WHO OWNED THE PROPERTY AND WITH CONCERNED CITIZENS, WE -- WELL MY SON BOUGHT THE PROPERTY. IT IS GOING TO BE MAINTAINED BASICALLY AS THE BEAUTIFUL HOUSE AND YARD THAT IT IS. WHAT HAPPENS IS, MADAM SPEAKER, PEOPLE ARE SELLING THEIR HOUSES AND THE CHARACTER IS CHANGING. THIS HAS HAPPENED IN COUNCILLOR MAYES WARD, MADAM SPEAKER. I AGREE RIVER HEIGHTS IS QUITE A GOOD TARGET FOR DEVELOPERS TO MAKE A LOT OF MONEY, MADAM SPEAKER. AFTER ALL THAT IS WHAT IT IS ABOUT. WE BENEFIT, THE HIGHER THE VALUE OF THE PROPERTY, AND ADDING NEW PROPERTIES WE ON INFILL, MADAM SPEAKER, WE DO SEE AN INCREASE IN PROPERTY TAXES IN THOSE NEIGHBORHOODS. MADAM SPEAKER, THE BIGGEST PROBLEM WE KNOW IN WAVERLY WEST HOW DO WE TREAT THE NEW DOLLARS THAT COME IN? WHAT DO WE USE THAT MONEY FOR? I

AM NOT GOING TO GET INTO A BUDGET REVENUE DISCUSSION HOW THIS CITY HAS BEEN MAKING HUGE MISTAKE FOR QUITE A NUMBER OF YEARS WHEN IT COMES TO PROPERTY TAX REVENUE, MADAM SPEAKER. SPEAKING TO THIS REPORT, MY AMENDMENT, FOR THE LIFE OF ME THERE ARE RIVER LOTS ALL AROUND THIS CITY. FOR THE MOST PART LIKE YOU HAVE GOT A MINIMUM SQUARE FOOTAGE OF 4,000 SQUARE FEET. MINIMUM WITH 40 FEET TO ACCOMMODATE DRIVEWAYS, BUT ALONG SCOTIA I DON'T KNOW OF ANY RIVER LOT THAT IS PROBABLY UNDER 6,000 SQUARE FEET, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE ARE LOTS THAT HAVE 16,000 SQUARE FEET EVEN IF YOU WENT 25 ON THE WIDTH. FOR A SINGLE FAMILY HOME TO BE BUILT, I DON'T GET THE RATIONALE. THIS AMENDMENT IS ABOUT REDUCING THE WIDTH FOR SINGLE FAMILY HOMES TO BE BUILT WHEN A LOT IS SUBDIVIDED. WITH THE KIND OF DEPTH ON THE RIVER LOTS, HOUSES HAVE A BETTER SET BACK. THEY CAN'T GO RIGHT TO THE RIVER AND THEY HAVE OTHER PROBLEMS. ALONG MOST RIVER LOTS THERE ARE EXTRA CONDITIONS THAT STILL HAVE TO BE MET NO MATTER WHAT WHICH IS YOUR WHOLE GEOTECHNICAL STUDY YOU HAVE TO PAY IMPORTANT. IT HAS TO BE ABOVE THE FLOODPLAIN, HOW STABLE IS THE RIVERBANK? SO ON AND SO FORTH. THAT IS EXTRA THAT OTHER PROPERTIES DON'T HAVE TO DO, MADAM SPEAKER. THIS IS JUST A SIMPLE AMENDMENT THAT ACKNOWLEDGES THAT RIVER LOTS DO HAVE -- THEY ARE NOT, YOU KNOW, 100 FEET DEEP. THEY ARE PRETTY DEEP. MADAM SPEAKER. THAT IS WHAT THE AMENDMENT IS ABOUT AND I WANTED TO SAY AGAIN AND I WILL REPEAT IT. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW PRESENTS A VERY IMPORTANT CONCEPT IN DISCUSSION IN REGARDS TO THIS PROCESS. I DO HAVE TO SAY THAT I PROBABLY WON'T BE SUPPORTING IT. I WAS INCENSED WHEN I FOUND OUT WE ARE DOING ALL THE ZONING BYLAWS ONE HAS ABILITY TO BRING IN THE AMENDMENTS. THAT IS WHY I MOVED ORIGINAL AMENDMENT TO THE HALF REPORT TO ENSURE THAT IT IS THE CITY COUNCIL COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE THAT HERES FROM THE PUBLIC. THIS WILL OVER LONG-TERM CHANGE THE CHARACTER OF NEIGHBORHOODS. THANKS. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING ITEM. TO BRING AMENDMENTS TO SUBSTANTIALLY CHANGED WHAT WAS APPROVED AS BY-LAW YOU HAVE TO READVERTISE AND GO BACK OUT TO THE PUBLIC. I WAS TOLD THAT AT CONSIDERABLE LENGTH AND DETAIL. THAT WOULD ADD IN THE LAST AMENDMENT WOULD SERIOUSLY IMPACT PEOPLE IN MY WARD ON A COUPLE OF STREETS. THAT HAS A MATERIAL CHANGE IN MY SUBMISSION. I DON'T THINK COUNCILOR ORLIKOW IS MERELY REMOVING PROVISIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. CLERK. I WANT TO DEAL WITH THIS FIRST. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I APPRECIATE COUNCILLOR MAYES. I THINK WE NEED ADVICE FROM LEGAL BEFORE A RULING IN TERMS WHETHER COUNCILLOR EADIE'S MOTION IS IN ORDER OR OUT OF ORDER, PLEASE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT IS NOTED. DON'T KNOW IF LEGAL IS ON STAND BY. MR. CLERK IF YOU HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY.

CLERK: LEGAL IS ON STAND BY. WE CAN REACH OUT.

I THINK THIS IS INAPPROPRIATE TO CALL THIS MOTION OUT OF ORDER. WE HAVE IN THE PAST WE HAVE HELPED AMENDMENTS ON ZONING CHANGES OR EVEN TO SPECIFIC BY-LAWS RELATED TO OTHER

THINGS. THAT IS A RIDICULOUS ARGUMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR EADIE. NOT OUT OF ORDER WE WILL CHECK WITH LEGAL.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: LEGAL RATHER THAN SOMEONE CALLING ME NAMES.

MADAM SPEAKER: IT WOULD REQUIRE ANOTHER HEARING POSSIBLY. MR. CLERK FOLLOW THAT UP FOR US, PLEASE. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I AM SORRY.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: CLARIFICATI ON ON WHAT YOU JUST SAID. CAN WE SPEAK TO THE MOTION THAT COUNCILLOR EADIE MADE OR NOT?

MADAM SPEAKER: AT THIS TIME WE ARE, YES.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: UNTIL A DIFFERENT RULING?

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT'S CORRECT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I WANT TO SAY I WILL SUPPORT COUNCILOR ORLIKOW AND DOBSON'S MOTION. GROWING UP GOING CAMPING THERE IS A HOLE IN THE BUCKET. THE BUCKETS HAVE A LOT OF HOLES IN THEM. THESE BUCKETS WERE NEVER APPROVED. I DON'T REMEMBER COUNCIL IN NOVEMBER WHEN WE SAID WE WERE GOING FORWARD THAT WE WERE SEPARATING EVERYTHING IN FOUR BUCKETS. NOTHING CALLING FOR THAT. THE PUBLIC SERVICE WENT ON THEIR OWN TO DO THIS. I UNDERSTAND WHY. THEY CAN GET THINGS THROUGH COUNCIL. IT PUTS THE STANDING COMMITTEE THROUGH MORE HEARINGS THAN WHAT THEY SHOULD BE DOING. TO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW NO DIFFERENT THAN THE TRANSIT MASTER PLAN WHERE THE STAFF PUT AN ADVERTISEMENT ON THE WEBSITE. THE GENERAL PUBLIC DOESN'T HAVE A CLUE JUNE 2025 EVERYTHING WILL CHANGE. A FOURPLEX GOES UP ON YOUR STREET. WHERE WAS THE NOTICE? I DIDN'T SEE ANYTHING. ALL OF THIS BECAUSE WE ARE RUSHING FOR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO GET THIS DONE TO GET GREATER FUNDING. WE KNOW AS A FACT WE ARE STILL WORKING ON THE FIRST $25 MILLION. THAT TAKES TIME. NO NEED, MADAM SPEAKER, FOR US TO BE MOVING IN THE DIRECTION OF HAVING HEARING AFTER HEARING WITHOUT THE GENERAL PUBLIC KNOWING WHAT IS HAPPENING IN TERMS OF MAJOR CHANGES IN MATURE NEIGHBORHOODS ACROSS THE BOARD, MANY OF US REPRESENTING. IRONY I HAVE SPOKEN TO IN THE PAST. WHEN WE WANT AFFORDABILITY WE MAY DRIVE UP THE HOUSING PRICES OF THE VERY HOMES THAT PEOPLE CAN AFFORD TO BUY TO MOVE INTO. AS THIS CHAMBER MAY NOT BE AWARE. URBAN INSTITUTES SET UP SUBCOMMITTEE ON INFILL. QUALICO AND OTHERS ARE GETTING READY TO ENTER MARKET. AFFORDABLE HOMES PEOPLE CAN AFFORD TO BUY AS STARTER HOME IN OLDER NEIGHBORHOODS WON'T BE DIRECTLY AFFECTED. WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO BUILD? BASED ON WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE MARKET TODAY, CONDOMINIUM MARKET IS NOT TO BE FOUND. THEY WILL

BUILD RENTALS. IRONICALLY A HOUSE OWNED AND OCCUPIED WHICH I BELIEVE IS THE FOUNDATION OF CANADIAN DEMOCRACY AND THAT IS LAND OWNERSHIP, ALLOWING INDIVIDUALS TO INCREASE THEIR EQUITY IN THE FUTURE TO BE PART OF THE MIDDLE CLASS. THAT IS WHY CANADA HAS THE STANDARD OF LIVING IT HAS VERSUS MEXICO. OUR G.D.P. WAS THE SAME IN 1867. THEY HAD SHAREHOLDERS WITH GLOBAL LAND. WE DE-CENTRALIZED LAND. WE ARE MOVING AS THE FORMER GOVERNOR-GENERAL TOLD ME. SECOND FEUDAL SOCIETY. THAT CONCERNS ME. WE ARE TRIPPING OVER OURSELVES FOR NEW UNITS. NOT TALKING ABOUT OWNERSHIP. OWNERSHIP BRINGS COMMITMENT TO NEIGHBORHOODS AND TO THE WELL-BEING OF THOSE NEIGHBORHOODS AS WE HEARD EARLIER TODAY ON ANOTHER MATTER. NO DOUBT COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW IS RIGHT. HIS WARD WILL BE FIRST IN LIME. THERE WILL BE OTHERS, TOO. IT IS WILL COME AS SURPRISE. THE MARKET IS WHAT THE MARKET DOES AND IT WILL RESPOND. THERE WILL BE HUGE PRESSURE. COUNCILLORS ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THOSE PRESSURES I CAN SEE THAT CHANGING PRETTY QUICK, TOO. OVERNIGHT YOU WILL SEE A SITUATION WHERE INDIVIDUALS WHO LIVE IN COMMUNITIES ALL THEIR LIFE ARE ALL OF A SUDDEN SEEING ZONING CHANGES WITHOUT THEIR KNOWLEDGE. THERE IS NOT A LOT OF KNOWLEDGE WHAT IS TAKING PLACE HERE. I ATTENDED HAF SEMINAR THAT WAS THE LAST ONE ON FRIDAY AFTERNOON. ABOUT A MONTH AGO. I ASKED ABOUT A DOZEN OR SO QUESTIONS JUST BECAUSE I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY YEARS AGO TO IT IS ON STANDING COMMITTEE FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS. ADMINISTRATION SAID THEY WILL GET BACK. NO RESPONSE, NOTHING COME BACK. JUST SO YOU KNOW. I WANT IT IN WRITING PLEASE MAKE NOTE OF MY QUESTIONS. STUFF IS IN THIN AIR. NOBODY GOT BACK. MY EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT DOUBLECHECKED E-MAILS THIS MORNING. THAT CONCERNS ME. WHEN AN ELECTED MEMBER OF COUNCIL AND I WAS ASKING VERY LEGITIMATE QUESTIONS. THINGS LIKE DOES THIS GIVE ABILITY FOR ADMINISTRATORS TO ISSUE SHORT FORM SUB DECISIONS TO ALLOW THE ADMINISTRATOR ABILITY TO GO FROM FOUR TO FIVE UNITS. MULTIPLE FAMILY COMMERCIAL. IS THAT GOING TO HAPPEN HERE? WHAT ABOUT THE LAND DEDICATION RESERVE MONEY. IS THIS NOW TIED TO THE PERMIT STAGE BECAUSE IT IS BLANKET REZONING. MOST OF OUR LDR THAT GOES TO EXISTING PARKS AND PLAY GROUNDS COMES FROM INFILL. 10% OR MORE IS THERE. IT COMES FROM INFILL DEVELOPMENT. NO RESPONSE. IT IS NOT BEING ADDRESSED. SAY GOOD-BYE TO LDR IN THE FUTURE IF IT IS NOT TIED TO PERMIT STAGE. NO RESPONSE FROM ADMINISTRATION. I HAD OTHER QUESTIONS I WILL NOT STATE HERE. I WILL FOLLOW UP WITH THE ADMINISTRATION TO ASK WHY I DID NOT GET A RESPONSE. THEY COULD HAVE SAID WE ARE BUSY WE WILL GET BACK TO YOUR QUESTION SHORTLY. NOTHING LIKE THAT EITHER. THOSE ARE MY CONCERNS. I BELIEVE DENSIFICATION CAN BE DONE. I BELIEVE WE CAN MAKE IT POSSIBLE. I WOULD HAVE PREFERRED TO SAY, LOOK, WE NEED A PILOT PROJECT IN SOME NEIGHBORHOODS TO RAISE AWARENESS TO GET BY AND SUPPORT. THAT COULD HAVE BEEN DONE. I WOULD BE PREPARED TO GO TO MY COMMUNITIES AND SAY, LOOK, BENEFIT. YOU WILL SEE DES FICTION. IT CAN SELL AS A RIGHT OR FOURPLEX ON IT. WE WOULD HAVE TO GIVE ASSURANCE, ANOTHER QUESTION IN THIS MEETING. THE ASSESSMENT VALUES WILL BE FROZEN. NOT GETTING A BILL IN THE MAIL NOW YOU ARE ASSESSED ON FOUR FLEX BASIS. THAT REQUIRES LEGISLATION CHANGE FROM PROVINCE OF MANITOBA IN TERMS OF ASSESSMENT ACT.

MADAM SPEAKER: MOVES EXTENSION TWO MINUTES. IN FAVOR.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I HAVE TALKED TO THE MAYOR'S STAFF. THIS IS A REAL CONCERN. WE COULD PUSH PEOPLE OUT OF HOMES. EVEN IF IT GOES UP A SMALL PERCENTAGE. IT WON'T AFFECT EVERYBODY. THAT IS THE CONCERN I HAVE. IT WOULD BE NICE TO SEE ASSESSMENTS FROZEN UNTIL

THE PROPERTY CHANGES HANDS. MIGHT BE EXCEPTIONS IF THEY SELL TO A FAMILY MEMBER IT WOULD KICK IN THE NEW VALUE. THAT IS NOT RESPONDED TO. THOSE ARE MY CONCERN THAT ARE LEGITIMATE. IT IS IRONIC. I AM PREPARED TO STEP FORWARD IN MY COMMUNITY IN TERMS OF PILOT PROJECTOR -- PILOT PROJECT. THE OTHER BIG CHANGE NOT ADDRESSED. HAVING BEEN WITH ANOTHER HAT ON SIDE OF APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT IS UNDER GROUND. WE HAVE MASSIVE CSO ISSUE IN THE CITY. I CAN TELL YOU I FILED APPLICATIONS ON BEHALF OF FOLKS THAT WANTED A FOURPLEX. SORRY WE CAN GIVE YOU THREE TOILETS, NOT FOUR. IN THAT HAPPENS THE QUESTION WILL THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SAY YOU ARE PLAYING GAMES. IT IS NOT LIKE WE DID IT ON PURPOSE. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. NOW COME BACK TO SAY YOU CAN DO IT. WHY DID YOU NOT SIGNAL ALL OF THE TIME IN THE PAST? INTERESTING. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: DO WE HAVE A RULING?

MADAM SPEAKER: WE DO NOT. WE HAVE TEXTS FROM THE CHARTER. MR. CLERK.

CLERK: THE CITY OF WINNIPEG CHARTER READS FOR ALTERATIONS OF ZONING BYLAW IF AFTER HEARING COUNCIL PROPOSES TO ALTER BY-LAW SECOND HEARING MUST BE HELD IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION 2 TO RECEIVE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BY-LAW. SECOND HEARING NOT REQUIRED IF IT IS MINE OTHER THAT DID NOT CHANGE INTENT OF BY-LAW. IS IT MINOR OR SOMETHING SUBSTANTIVE?

MADAM SPEAKER: WORD FROM LEGAL WILL COME. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: MADAM SPEAKER, I BELIEVE THE CHARTER SPEAKS TO THAT. THIS IS A SPECIFIC AMENDMENT TO ONE SECTION OF A WHOLE BUNCH OF RULES BEING ESTABLISHED UNDER THE BY LAW. I WOULD POINT OUT THAT EVERY MEMBER HERE TODAY ON THIS MINOR CHANGE HAS AN ABILITY TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: NOT THE LEGAL STANDARD.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: CAN LEGAL RULE ON WHETHER THIS IS MAJOR OR MINOR.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I WILL HOLD MY COMMENTS. I HAVE NO IDEA WHY I WAS TOLD TO COME TO COMMITTEE LEVEL.

MADAM SPEAKER: TAKE A RECESS. WE WILL RECONVENE AT 1:15 P.M. THANK YOU.

GOOD AFTERNOON. I WOULD LIKE TO RECONVENE THE COUNCIL MEETING MAY 30, 2024. REPORTS OF EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. NUMBER 8. TEXT AMENDMENT TO RAPID ZONING BYLAW.

AMENDMENTS TO WINNIPEG AND DOWNTOWN WINNIPEG ZONING BYLAWS. COUNCILLOR MAYES. WE HAVE CLARIFICATION REQUESTED FROM THE CITY CLERK.

WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO CONFIRM WITH OUR LEGAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT. WHAT WE ORIGINALLY CONVEYED TO COUNCIL. THE TWO AMENDMENTS BEING MOTIONS 3 AND 5. IF THOSE AMENDMENTS ARE PASSED, THEY NEED TO BE REFERRED BACK. THEY ARE REFERRED BACK TO THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT TO CONSIDER JUST THOSE ITEMS. NOT ENTIRE ITEM REFERRED BACK THESE TWO AMENDMENTS.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

WE SHOULD KNOW IF IT IS MAJOR OR MINOR AMENDMENT. WE CAN'T PASS HALF BY-LAW IF THE OTHER HALF IS REFERRED BACK. IN ORDER. WE HAVE TO KNOW THAT SHOULD WE PASS THIS SOME OF IT AT LEAST WOULD BE REFERRED BACK. I AM SPEAKING AGAINST ALL AMENDMENTS. I WILL SEEK TO AMEND THE RIVER AMENDMENT IF IT IS REFERRED BACK TO STANDING POLICY. I WILL COME TO THAT. FIRSTLY, IT IS UNUSUAL FOR ME TO TALK ABOUT A PLANNING MATTER AND TO SPEAK WELL OF THE DEPARTMENT. I OPPOSE COUNCILLORS DOBSON AND COUNCILOR ORLIKOW. I HAD GOOD EXPERIENCES GETTING INPUT, FEEDBACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT, FROM MR. VEITCH, FROM RICHARD MAHAY, THE CLERK AND OTHERS. THIS IMAGE OF DIRECTOR BORIS SAYING SOMETHING IS WRONG WITH THE SOUND. IT SOUNDS LIKE MAYS IS PRAISING THESE PEOPLE IN PLANNING DEPARTMENT. I HAVE HAD A GOOD RUN WITH PLANNING ON THIS BUCKET TWO. I THINK IN OCTOBER IF YOU READ WHAT WE PASSED. IT DIDN'T USE TERM BUCKET. IT SAYS THESE WILL COME BACK IN A CERTAIN SEQUENCE. NOT MALLS AND CORRIDORS. THAT WASN'T PART OF THIS. NOT FOURPLEXES THAT ARE A CONTENTIOUS FIGHT. THAT IS FURTHER DOWN THE ROAD. THIS WAS ALWAYS GOING TO COME BACK. WHAT DO WE HAVE TODAY? WHAT IS BUCKET TWO? MINOR. CODIFICATION OF INFILL GUIDELINES FIRST PASSED. I VOTED FOR THEM JUNE 2021. I DON'T THINK I COULD HAVE STEERED THOSE THROUGH. GILROY DID, TO HER CREDIT. POINT OF AVERSION. NOT EVERYONE VOTED FOR IT. I DON'T THINK COUNCILLOR ROLLINS VOTED FOR THOSE I DID BECAUSE OF THE AMENDMENTS WHICH I THOUGHT WERE IMPORTANT TO MY WARD AND TO BETTER PLANNING. THAT IS WHAT WE HAVE TODAY. HOW DO YOU PUT INFILL GUIDELINES IN A FORM. IT IS IMPORTANT TO DO THAT. IN THE THREE YEARS SINCE WE AS COUNCILLORS ON APPEALS COMMITTEE HAVE BEEN ALL OVER THE MAP. SOMETIMES WE APPROVED THINGS TO MEET GUIDELINES, DIFFERENT WARDS TREATED DIFFERENT WAYS. THIS WILL APPLY TO MATURE COMMUNITIES REGARDLESS OF WARD AND WHO IS ON APPEALS. IT DOES SET UP AS OF RIGHT SITUATIONS. THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE APPEALS IN GLENWOOD HAVE BEEN LOT SIZE VARIANCE APPEALS. YOU GET A LOT SPLIT BECAUSE OF THE UNDERLYING LOT OF RECORD. 3500 SQUARE FEATURES SUPPOSED TO. YOU ONLY HAVE 2500 SQUARE FEET. YOU COME TO COUNCIL AND GET VARIANCE. THAT IS A THING OF THE PAST. THE STANDARD NOW WILL BE 2500 SQUARE FEET. I AM SUPPORTING THIS TODAY. I WANT TO THANK COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I PUT IN A POINT ABOUT REQUIREMENT FOR PAVED LANES. FOR A TRIPLEX THAT WAS PUT IN AND APPROVED AT E.P.C. AND HOPEFULLY TODAY IS RECOGNITION OF REALITY. CERTAINLY GOT A LOT OF CORRESPONDENCE HERE. PLANNING DEPARTMENT IS SAYING GRAVEL ROADS ARE NOT CONSIDERED PART OF FULL RANGE OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES REQUIRED TO INTENSIFY IN URBAN AREA. IF YOUR GRAVEL LANE IS A POINT OF ACCESS, YES SAME STANDARD. YOU CAN STILL DENSE FI. NOT ARGUING WITH THE ONLINE. YOU CAN PUT IN DUPLEX BUT YOU HAVE TO HAVE SOME SENSE OF REALITY. YOU SHOULDN'T DO TRIPLEX IF THE LANE IS GRAVEL. THE OTHER THING IN PASSING THAT I WANTED TO REFERENCE THAT I DID LIKE IN THE

MOTIONS I WANTED TO THE FIGHT IS FOURPLEX AND 800 METERS FROM TRANSIT STOPS. THIS IS THE FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTION IN NOVEMBER. MAPPED AREA BASED ON BUS STOPS NOT ROUTES. THE 800-METER AREA IS BASED ON INDIVIDUAL STOPS, NOT THE ENTIRE ROUTE. SOME AREAS AREN'T GOING TO BE COVERED YOU ARE BASING IT ON INDIVIDUAL STOPS, NOT ENTIRE ROUTE. I HAVE GREAT SYMPATHY FOR COUNCILOR ORLIKOW AND COUNCILLOR DOBSON. I WILL BE WITH THEM FOR THE FOURPLEX DISCUSSION DOWN THE LINE. COUNCILLOR EADIE'S MOTION I UNDERSTAND WHAT HE IS TRYING TO SAY. A NUMBER OF PROBLEMS. ONE, WE ARE TRYING TO ENCOURAGE THERE WERE THERE ARE LANES FOR LESS CONFLICT WITH PEDESTRIANS ON PAGE 19. THAT IS WHY THERE IS A DIFFERENT STANDARD. YOU DON'T WANT WINNIPEG THE FRONT YARD PARKING CAPITOL OF THE WORLD. YOU NEED A WIDER PROPERTY SO YOU CAN PARK IN THE BACK. WE WILL START DIFFERENT STANDARDS. ON THE RIVERSIDE KINGSTON CRESCENT THAN THE INSIDE WITH GRAVEL LANE. OUTSIDE THE MATURE COMMUNITIES. PAGE 135 THERE IS A PROVISION WE DISCONCERNING DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS SEEKING ENTITLEMENTS TO SEEK RISK OF FLOODING. WE HAVE TO AMEND THE AMENDMENT THIS APPLIES TO NOT ALL RIVER LOTS. SOME ARE OUTSIDE FLOODWAY. WE ARE BETTER OFF GOING WITH WHAT THE PLANNING COMMITTEE FIRST APPROVED. I DON'T FAVOUR: THAT. SECONDLY, I LIVE ON SADLER. I HAVE A LOT THAT IS 200 FEET DEEP. WHY AREN'T YOU TREATING ME THE SAME WAY? WHY DO I HAVE MINIMUM LOT WIDTH OF 40. NO RIVER. GREAT BIG LOT. WE AREN'T SAYING THAT. THERE IS A PROVISION ABOUT LOT SIZE, PROVISION ABOUT LOT WIDTH. IF YOU WANT TO COME SEEK A VARIANCE YOU CAN. TO SAY 33 RIVER LOT BECAUSE THEY ARE BIG BUT 200 FEET DEEP WITH NO BACK LANE OR RIVER LOT. IT IS VERY CONVOLUTED. I DON'T FAVOUR: THAT. IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER WHAT WE HAVE IN FRONT OF US IS IT ONLY TOUCHES UPON THE MATURE COMMUNITIES. THOSE MAPS ARE IN THE MATERIALS. SEVERAL WARDS ARE NOT TOUCHED AT ALL. I HAVE SYMPATHY COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. IT IS GOING TO IMPACT ALL WARDS. BE AWARE OF THAT. I DON'T FAVOUR: SPREADING THIS THROUGHOUT THE CITY. I HAVE SYMPATHY FOR WHAT COUNCILOR ORLIKOW IS TRYING TO SAY. THESE APPLY TO MATURE COMMUNITIES. A LOT OF WORK HAS GONE INTO THIS. I NEED A BRIEF EXTENSION.

SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR MOVES EXTENSION TWO MINUTES. CARRIED.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: POSITIVE STUFF ABOUT THE PLANNERS. THIS HAS BEEN A LONG PROCESS. INFILL GUIDELINES I THINK DID SOME OF WHAT THEY SET OUT TO DO. PEOPLE AT COMMITTEE ARE SAYING THE WORD COWARDLY WASN'T USED. MINOR. COME WORK IN MY OFFICE FOR A WEEK OR TWO AND LISTEN TO COMPLAINTS ABOUT THE WAY THIS DEVELOPMENT IS DONE. MAYBE YOU WILL AGREE WITH WHAT COUNCILLOR DOBSON AND COUNCILOR ORLIKOW SAY. YOU CAN PRETEND NO SIDE EFFECTS. IT IS NOT ALL A GREAT SUCCESS. GUIDELINES WERE GOOD FAITH EFFORT. SO FAR AT LEAST IN MY WARD IT IS A DECENT BALANCING ACT. GLAD TO SEE THEM PUT INTO A BY-LAW FORM SO THE OTHER INCONSISTENCIES OUT OF APPEALS COMMITTEE WILL BE DEALT WITH. TODAY PERHAPS IRONICALLY I WANT TO SPEAK WELL OF THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND EFFORTS TO CLARIFY MY QUESTIONS. UNFORTUNATELY NOT ABLE TO SUPPORT ANY OF THE AMENDMENTS. I DO REALLY HAVE CONCERNS IF WE GO FORWARD ON THE RIVER IT GOES BACK TO THE COMMITTEE. I WILL SEEK TO AMEND. ON AND ON IT WILL GO. I DON'T LOOK FORWARD BUT WE WILL LOOK FORWARD TO HOUSING ACCELERATOR BUCKET THREE IN A YEAR OR SO. IN TODAY I WILL BE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AS ORIGINALLY PUT FORWARD.

SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I RISE IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION COMING OUT OF PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT, STANDING POLICY AND EXECUTIVE LICY COMMITTEE. I WANT TO SAY NICE THINGS ABOUT THE MOVERS OF AMENDMENTS. I WON'T SUPPORT THE MOTIONS, HOWEVER. I WANT TO SPEAK SPECIFICALLY TO THE PATH FORWARDER. I DO ENVISION A SUCCESSFUL MOTION. MADAM SPEAKER, MY GLASS IS ALWAYS HALF FULL. I DO EXPECT US TO MOVE FORWARD. SPECIFICALLY TO THE CRITICISMS THAT I THINK ARE REALLY FAIR TO THINK ABOUT AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE, MADAM SPEAKER, FOLKS KNOW IN THE CHAMBER AND LISTENING THAT THE THREE BITES OF THE APPLE APPROACH. BUCKET ONE. BUCKET TWO WE ARE IN NOW AND BUCKET THREE IS DELIBERATIVE PROCESS TO SLOW THINGS DOWN TO GET THEM RIGHT. TO ENGAGE MORE PEOPLE IN THE POLICY PROCESS. THAT'S A DELIBERATIVE PIECE THAT I HAVE HAD TO SORT OF RECONFIRM FOR MYSELF ESPECIALLY WATCHING OTHER CITIES LIKE CALGARY GO THROUGH THE WHOLE KIT AND CABOODLE. THE ZONING BYLAWS AND THE WEIGHTY BY-LAW. IT ALLOWS MORE TIME TO BE DELIBERATIVE. YOU DON'T SEE IT IN CONTEXT BUT FOR SURE THE FINAL PHASE WE WILL INDEED SEE IT IN CONTEXT AND HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO AMEND IT IN THE WHOLE. I WANT TO PUT SOME WORDS ON THE RECORD WITH RESPECT TO THE THREE BITES OF THE APPLE THAT WE ARE TAKING WITH THE ZONING BYLAW ON THE TABLE READY FOR OPERATION AND THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE AT THIS FOR SOME TIME. YOU KNOW, JOKINGLY I ASKED RECENTLY FOR THE MAYOR FOR A PAY RAISE AS A RESULT OF THE WORK AHEAD. IT WAS A JOKE. IT IS MORE EFFORT TO DO IT THIS WAY IN SOME RESPECTS. I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE WORK OF PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT INCLUDING COUNCILLOR DOBSON WHO I NOTE HAS AN OPEN MIND BECAUSE HE VOTED TO SUPPORT THE AMENDMENTS. NOW HE HAS AMENDMENTS BEFORE THE FLOOR OF COUNCIL ALONG WITH COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. WE ARE SEEING PRESSURES IN MATURE COMMUNITIES AS A RESULT OF I FILL GUIDELINES. THAT IS WHY I ALONG WITH COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW AND OTHERS WERE UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THE GUIDELINE. I REMEMBER IT WAS WAGGING THE DOG. THE BY-LAW IS THE THING. IT IS WITH SOME MOMENTUM THAT I DO WANT TO SEE WHAT WE HAVE LONG SINCE PASSED LAST TERM THE INFILL GUIDELINES BE FINALLY CONFIRMED IN LAW. I WANT TO SEE IT BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, IN SPEAKING WITH HOUSING ADVOCATES, ONE OF THE CONCERNS COMES WITH GENTRIFICATION. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW AND OTHERS HAVE SPOKEN ABOUT THIS. RAPIDS ZONING WORK MAKING SURE MORE PEOPLE ARE AT THE TABLE THAN NOT AND MAKING SURE THAT PEOPLE UNDERSTAND WINNIPEG'S PLANNING PROCESS AS IT HAS GROWN UP OVER TIME AS WE WANT TO CHANGE IT. I THINK EVERYONE IN THE CHAMBER HOLDS AMBITIONS TO CHANGE IT. WE ARE LEARNING TOGETHER WITH RESIDENTS, WITH PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROCESS. I BELIEVE WE HEARD WHEN WE PASSED THE INFILL GUIDELINES THE PUBLIC SERVICE INDICATES THAT IS WHY THEY SUGGEST A PATH OF THIS GUIDELINE FIRST AND THEN BY-LAW AMENDMENTS LATER. WE WOULD LEARN AS WE GO. I REJECTED AT THE TIME AND WOULD PROBABLY REJECT IT NOW AS POLICY FRAMEWORK MISTAKE, BUT HERE WE ARE. USE OF THE POLICY TOOLS AVAILABLE WE HAVE TO PROTECT RESIDENTS AND PRESERVE HOUSING DIVERSITY IS AT ISSUE EVERY TIME WE DO THIS. WE HAVE BEEN DEVELOPING HOUSING POLICY FRAMEWORK. IT WAS NICE TO SEE COUNCILLOR WYATT CALL DOWN HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUN AND SPEAK TO HIS COMMITMENT TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THERE IS A LOT OF SUPPORT FOR THE WORK THAT WENT INTO THE AMENDMENTS OF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE. JUST AS THERE WAS SUPPORT EARLIER THIS YEAR WHEN COUNCIL VOTED IN FAVOUR: OF THE BY-LAW CHANGES DESIGNED TO CUT RED TAPE AND REDUCE NUMBER OF PROJECTS THAT REQUIRE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT. THIS RAPID ZONING BYLAW AMENDMENT DOES MORE THINGS, AMENDMENTS TO MAKE IT EASIER TO DEVELOP SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED DWELLINGS, TWO FAMILY DWELLINGS AND MULTI-FAMILY DWELLING FAMILIES UP TO

THREE UNITS. AMENDMENTS TO ALLOW DETACHED SECONDARY SUITES IN ESTABLISHED NEIGHBORHOODS. I KNOW THERE ARE MANY IN THE COMMUNITY THAT HAVE AMBITIONS TO DO THAT. AMENDMENTS TO PARKING REQUIREMENTS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND AMENDMENTS TO ADDRESS KNOWN DEFICIENCIES IN THE ZONING LIE LAW. THESE ARE THE CHANGES I HOPE WE PASS TODAY AND THAT REALLY MOVE US ALONG FORWARD IN WHAT I CALL THE SECOND BITE OF THE APPLE, MADAM SPEAKER. IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE WE ARE DOING THIS AT A CERTAIN PACE. I HAVE WARD RESIDENTS CONCERNED WITH THE CHANGES AND PARTICULARLY CONCERNED WITH THE FOUR UNITS. THEY ARE CONCERNED BECAUSE THEY HAVE EXPERIENCED CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD. SUMMERS WITH END LESS NOISE, MADAM SPEAKER, AND IN SOME CASES PROPERTY DAMAGE DUE TO INFILL GOING ON NEXT DOOR. IT IS WITH ALL OF THAT, CRITICISMS, CONSIDERATION OF WHAT IS NEXT THAT I THINK IT IS TIME TO PUT THIS IN GEAR IN THE ONE HAND AND ALSO MAKE SURE WE ARE DOING A DELIBERATIVE POLICY PROCESS TO GET MORE PEOPLE TO THE TABLE UNDERSTANDING THE ZONING BYLAW THAT IS COMPLICATED FOR THE CITY AND THAT WE TAKE OUR TIME IN PUBLIC HEARINGS, AT COUNCIL, IN CHAMBER AND STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE. I KNOW WHAT IS COMING NEXT IS JUST MORE. I WANT TO STAND IN DEFENSE OF THIS PROCESS THAT WE HAVE NOW. I AM CALLING IT THREE BITES OF THE APPLE, THREE BUCKETS, IF YOU WILL, IN PUBLICATIONS FROM PUBLIC SERVICE. I STAND IN SUPPORT OF WHAT STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE DID AND E.P.C. CONFIRMED THIS MONTH. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

NEXT SPEAKER. SEEING NONE. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I VOTED FOR THE HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND APPLICATION FROM CITY TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I MAY HAVE SAID MANY OF THE THINGS COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW DID AS WELL, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS AND OTHER COUNCILLORS. I VOTED FOR IT WITH SOME RESTRICTIONS AND CONDITIONS PER PUT ON US. I JUST FELT WE HAD TO DO THIS IN THE IMMEDIATE. I WAS TOLD VOTE AND WE CAN CHANGE IT LATER. THIS IS OUR OPPORTUNITY. WE HAVE TO BEAR IN MIND WHEN WE LOOK AT WINNIPEG'S RELATIONSHIP. ALL WINNIPEGGERS SHOULD CONSIDER NOT EVERY CITY IS TREATED THE SAME, NOT EVERY MUNICIPALITY IS TREATED THE SAME. DIFFERENT CONDITIONS AND DIFFERENT CITIES HAVE IMPOSED THEIR OPEN CONDITIONS AND GOT AWAY WITH IT. IT IS FUNNY AND I WAS TALKING TO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW BEFORE. COMING IN FOR FUNDING FOR A HOUSING CRISIS, AFFORDABLE, HOUSING FUNCTIONAL HOUSING. THEY PUT RESTRICTIONS ON THE SEAT. YOU HAVE TO DEREGULATE. THEY COULD HAVE SAID AND IT HAPPENED IN THE PAST. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SAYS HERE IS MONEY, YOU BETTER BRING UP CONDITIONS WHERE IT IS SPENT. BRING UP STANDARDS. MY TIME CITY COUNCIL. WE DE REGULATED IN NAME OF DENSITY. I HAVE DONE MY SHARE AS MUCH AS EVERY OTHER CITY COUNCILLOR IN MY OWN WORD. THEN WE HAVE THE FURTHER ASPECTS OF DEREGULATION FACILITATING DEVELOPMENT. WINNIPEGGERS HAVE CONCERNS OVER DEVELOPMENT AND THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD. I BELIEVE THAT THESE AMENDMENTS ADDRESS THAT. YOU KNOW, BACK A FEW DECADES AGO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND NOT THEIR JURISDICTION TO DEAL WITH HEALTH. THE FEDERAL PROVINCE SAID YOU OWE A LOT OF MONEY FOR HEALTHCARE. WE OBLIGE YOU TO RAISE STANDARDS FOR HEALTH CARE IN THIS COUNTRY. WE WILL GIVE YOU MONEY TO DO IT. THE CITY. OKAY, CITIES HERE IS THE MONEY. RAISE STANDARDS BY WHICH DEVELOPMENT CAN USE THIS MONEY. WE DIDN'T DO THAT. WE DID OPPOSITE. COUNCILLOR EADIE AND I TALKED ABOUT THIS. WE WOULDN'T MIND A PIECE OF THIS ACTION. ONE WORD NEVER MENTIONED SINCE THE BEGINNING THAT IS URBAN RENEWAL. I HAVE

NEVER HEARD A SERIOUS DISCUSSION ANYWHERE ON THE ISSUE USING THE MONEY FOR URBAN RENEWAL. UNFORTUNATELY, WE ARE IN THE GREAT AREA TO DO BETTER. IT IS TO MAKE THE CITY AND NEIGHBORHOODS BETTER. UNFORTUNATELY IN THIS CASE NOT ALL WRONG BUT NOT ALL RIGHT. RETURN ON INVESTMENT IS HIGHLY DISPROPORTIONAL INFLUENCE HOW THIS MONEY IS GOING TO BE DISTRIBUTED AND USED. IT IS NOT MY MOTIVATION. WE REPRESENT 750,000 PEOPLE OR SO. IT IS NOT OUR JOB TO SAY THIS IS YOUR MONEY, DE REGULATE. DEVELOPERS PROVIDE GREAT SERVICE. URBAN RENEWAL IS NOT PRIORITY FOR CITY HALL? IF THAT IS NOT OUR PRIORITY, WHOSE PRIORITY IS IT? THAT IS NOT HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION. IF CITY COUNCIL AND CITY HALL ISN'T DEALING WITH THE PRIORITY OF URBAN RENEWAL WHEN IT COMES TO OPPORTUNITY FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, WHOSE JOB IS IT? MAYBE IT IS OUR JOB. URBAN RENEWAL. UNFORTUNATELY THERE IS A TREND WHEN IT COMES TO FEDERAL FUNDING WE HAVE SEEN IN THE BUILDING OF THIS COUNTRY RAISING STANDARDS THAT MAY BEING THIS COUNTRY GREAT. I DON'T SEE THAT HERE. WHAT I DO SEE ARE A FEW AMENDMENTS THAT ARE TRYING TO MAKE THIS BETTER. I RESPECT THAT. IT IS A GOOD THING. I AM GRATEFUL FOR COUNCILLOR MAYES EXPLANATION. YES, IF A COUPLE AMENDMENTS ARE PASSED MORE TO DEAL WITH BACK IN THE COMMITTEE PROCESS. SO BE IT. ALLOW THAT TO HAPPEN. WE DEREGULATED THE DEVELOPMENT CODES OF OTHER CITY OVER MY DECADE HERE. WHEN IT COMES TO PROVIDING PUBLIC SERVICE, WHAT IS THE BEST WE CAN DO? I DON'T THINK WE WERE IT. WE WERE GIVEN DID CARROT AND STICK. I FELT I SHOULD VOTE FOR IT. THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE IT BETTER AND I ON THAT BASIS I AM GRATEFUL TO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW AND COUNCILLOR DOBSON AS WELL FOR SECONDING THE MOTIONS TO MAKE THE CAN CONDITION A LITTLE MORE FUNCTIONAL. THANK YOU. >>SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THE MOVER OF THE AMENDMENT.

COUNCILLOR EADIE IN REVERSE ORDER. FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. WE HAVE RAPID AMENDMENTS TO THE ZONING BYLAW. YOU KNOW, MADAM SPEAKER, NOWHERE IN THERE IT SAYS NO BACK LANE. DIFFERENT CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST IN DIFFERENT SITUATIONS. RIVER LOTS DO NOT HAVE PROPERTIES ABUTTING EACH OTHER WITHOUT A BACK LANE. MADAM SPEAKER, THERE ARE AND I HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO SEE FOR A LONG TIME. WELLINGTON CRESCENT IS INTERESTING. SCOTIA I REMEMBER, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE ARE LIVER LOTS WITH SINGLE FAMILY VEHICLES AND A DRIVEWAY AND IT LOOKS LIKE THE CARS ARE PARKED IN FRONT OF THE HOUSE. THIS COULD BE DEVASTATING. BRINGING PARKING TO THE FRONT? REALLY. COME ON. THAT IS INTERESTING. I HEARD ARGUMENTS THAT ONLY THIS PEOPLE WOULD GO BACK IN ANYTHING DID I SAY A DISCUSSION WHEN THEY TALK ABOUT NO BACK LANES IT IS ABOUT WHAT EXISTS ELSEWHERE. IT DOES CHANGE SOMETHING FROM 40 TO 33, MADAM SPEAKER. THOSE DO EXIST IN MY WARD. I DON'T SEE A PROBLEM WITH IT BECAUSE THEY ARE DEEP ENOUGH AND IT HAS A DIFFERENT LOOK AND FEEL THAN TWO PROPERTIES ABUTTING EACH OTHER WITH NO BACK LANE AND YOU ONLY HAVE YOU NEED 4,000 SQUARE FEET, THAT'S GOOD, MADAM SPEAKER. NO REASON TECHNICALLY THIS IS DISCRIMINATING AGAINST. NOWHERE DID ANYBODY CONSULT WITH ANYBODY OR HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE MATTER. YOU HAVE A HEARING AND PROPOSE THESE AMENDMENTS. WAS THERE A DISCUSSION? COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW REFERRED TO CUTTING. ALSO THERE IS A MISNOMER THERE. NOTHING IN THIS ZONING BY LAW CHANGES TRUMPS NOTHING TRUMPS THE LAWS IN PLACE RELATING TO FLOODPLAIN. GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS, NOTHING TRUMPS THAT. DON'T GET MISLED, MADAM SPEAKER. A LOT OF STUFF THROWN OUT THERE IT IS JUST NOT TRUE. WHAT IS THE BEST WAY TO FIND OUT? LET'S HAVE A HEARING. THIS IS ONLY FOR A SINGLE FAMILY BUILD. IT IS NOT AFFECTING

DUPLEXES OR TRIPLEXES. IF YOU READ THE WHOLE BY-LAW ON THE CHANGES. I URGE MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES. HERES ONLY ON THAT SPECIFIC CHANGE NOT ON THE WHOLE THING. ONE OTHER THING. PARKING. WHAT IS MUTE, I THINK, YOU KNOW WHEN WE GET THESE MULTI-FAMILY BUILDS. THIS ISN'T QUITE WHAT YOU ZONE HERE. WE ASKED FOR TRAFFIC DETAILS. WHO IS STUDYING WHAT IS HAPPENING WITH PARKING IN PARTICULAR NEIGHBORHOODS WHEN THEY BUILD MULTIFAMILY? GIVE THEM A RIGHT TO -- YOU ARE CAUSING A PROBLEM FOR TRAFFIC AND GETTING PEOPLE WHO VIOLATE PRIVATE PARKING RATES LIKE BUSINESSES WHO HAVE TO PAYS PEOPLE VIOLATING FOR CUSTOMERS. WE HAVE A VICTIM. WHAT DO WE DO AT THE CITY? YOU HAVE TO HAVE IT TOWED. WHO CAUSED THE PROBLEM THAT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH PARKING IN A PARTICULAR NEIGHBORHOOD. THERE SHOULD BE A PARKING STUDY. IT LOOKS AT THE RULES AND LAWS BEING BROKEN. ARE THERE VICTIMS? I THINK IT IS MUTE THROUGH THE RAPID ZONING AMENDMENTS. IF YOU BUILD-UP TWO MUCH. THE FOURPLEX OR TRIPLEX, THAT IS AT LEAST THREE CARS, AT LEAST. IS THERE PARKING FOR THREE FAMILIES? I HEARD IN A HOME WITHOUT A TON OF MONEY. THERE ARE FOUR CAR FAMILIES. KIDS ARE OLDER AND STILL LIVING AT HOME. PARENTS HAVE CARS AND KIDS HAVE CARS. WE HAVE TO CONSIDER THOSE THINGS. I URGE MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES, IT IS A HEARING ABOUT A SPECIFIC ISSUE ABOUT A SINGLE FAMILY BUILD. IT SHOULD GO TO HEARING. I HEAR MISNOMERS BEING SPOKEN. LET'S GET CLARIFICATION. THAT CAN BE DONE THROUGH A HEARING. THANK YOU.

SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILOR ORLIKOW: I WANT TO POINT OUT SOMETHING PLAIN AND SIMPLE. WE ALL SUPPORT HOUSING. NOTICE ONE SAID NO MORE MULTIPLEXES. MORE OPPORTUNITIES AROUND THE REST OF THE CITY. DON'T DO RIVER HEIGHTS, WE BELIEVE IN HOUSING. THE HALF AGREEMENT WAS QUITE EYE-OPENER FOR ME. IT IS A PRIORITY OF CITY OF WINNIPEG TO INCREASE HOUSING SUPPLY AND AFFORDABILITY. WE AGREE ON THAT. I HAVE HEARD NOTHING OTHER THAN PEOPLE AGREEING ON THAT. HOW DO WE GET THERE? THAT IS A DIFFERENT STORY. I AM GOING BACK TO INITIAL LINE. YES, WE DON'T NEED TO CUT ANY MORE. WE ARE READY TO CONSULT. YOU NEVER CONSULTED AS OF RIGHT. WHAT HAPPENED TO LDR? WHAT HAPPEN IF NEIGHBOR SAYS WHAT IS GOING ON BESIDE ME? I DON'T KNOW. AS OF RIGHT. WE HAVEN'T HAD THAT. THAT ONE WAS AN EYE POKER FOR ME. GOOD IDEA MAYBE, MAYBE ALTERNATIVES WITH AS OF RIGHT. DEVELOPERS WILL NO LONGER STRONGLY REQUEST TO TALK TO NEIGHBORHOOD. NOT GOING TO DO THAT. DON'T HAVE TO. MAYBE SOME OF THIS CAN BE MITIGATED WITH POLICY WORK IN THE BACKGROUND. NOT THERE TODAY. SURPRISE TO US AND NEVER CONSULTED ON. AS OF RIGHT THAT GETS TO ME A LITTLE MUCH. I WISH IT WASN'T THERE. IT WOULD MAKE THIS EASIER FOR ME. AS OF RIGHT THE SECONDARY SUITE I HAVE A PROBLEM ON. MOST CONTENTIOUS ISSUE IN RIVER HEIGHTS AND FORT GARRY IS LOT SPLIT. WHY? JUST POINT OUT I AM NOT SAYING GET RID OF LOT SPLITS, HAVE AN APPEAL PROCESS. IF WE HAVE APPEAL NOT CONSISTENT LOOK AT GOVERNMENT REVIEW. STANDING COMMITTEE FOR THE WHOLE YEAR. WE CAN DEAL WITH THAT. AS OF RIGHT DOESN'T WORK WHEN I HAVE TO SAY I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO LOOK FOR. TRUST ADMINISTRATION. THEY WILL BE FINE THAT IS RICH AT THIS POINT. IT IS THE MOST FORM BUILT VERSUS ZONING. I LIKE FORM BUILT. I WANTED SIDE-BY-SIDES OVER LOT SPLITS. SAME NUMBER OF UNITS. IT LOOKS AND FEELS LIKE A HOUSE. THAT WOULD BE MUCH MORE ACCEPTABLE TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAN LOT SPLITS. WITH LOT SPLITS YOU HAVE AS OF RIGHT AND OTHER ONES CONDITIONAL USE. DEVELOPERS ARE GOING TO KEEP HAMMERING DOWN ON LOT SPLITS. QUICKEST PATH TO SUCCESS. I AM NOT DEGRADING DEVELOPERS. THAT IS WHAT HAPPENED IN THE PAST. THAT IS

WHY IT IS ALL RE-ZONING AT THE TIME. CONDITIONAL USE. LEVEL PLAYING FIELD. DEVELOPERS CHOOSE SIDE-BY-SIDE OR LOT SPLITTED BUT AN OPPORTUNITY FOR APPEAL. WHEN WE ARE HERE TODAY AND PEOPLE MENTIONED GET RID OF LOT SPLITS. KEEP SAME SPACE. STILL APPLY VARIANCE. NOT SAYING NO. WE BELIEVE IN HOUSING SUPPLY AND AFFORDABILITY. I AM NOT SURE THAT HAPPENS IN RIVER HEIGHTS. SUPPLY IS IMPORTANT CITY-WIDE. I AM AN AREA COUNCILLOR. I LIKE THE IDEA I HEARD TODAY THE MOTION NUMBER 3 ABOUT THE LOT SIZE WOULD HAVE TO GET REFERRED BACK. FANTASTIC. PLEASE DO SO. I MAY BE WRONG. HAVE A DISCUSSION. WE ARE GOING TO TAKE OUR TIME AS COUNCILLOR ROLLINS SAID TODAY. ZONING BYLAW IS NOT GOING TO BE DONE FOR A LONG TIME. HAVE THIS DISCUSSION. DON'T YOU WANT TO KNOW WHAT IS HAPPENING TO THE LDR? WHAT INFORMATION THE NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD GET ABOUT THESE ITEMS. GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION. COULDN'T HAVE IT BEFORE BECAUSE WE ARE IN THE PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS. THAT SHOULD BE DONE IN PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS. THAT IS MY INTERPRETATION. I STRONGLY BELIEVE AND I WILL WORK ON THAT SHORTLY. ALL OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG NEEDS TO BE INVOLVED IN THIS. MAYBE YOU DON'T WANT LOT SPLITS EVERYWHERE? WHY NOT? FOURPLEX. CONDITIONAL USE OR TRIPLEX. OUTSIDE OF RAPID ZONING YOU HAVE TO GO FOR WHOLE RE-ZONING. FOUR STORY FOURPLEX AS OF RIGHT. TRIPLEX YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH RE-ZONING AND EVERY OTHER PART OF THE CITY EXCEPT MATURE NEIGHBORHOODS. HOW DOES IT MAKE ANY SENSE AT ALL? I ADVOCATED BRINGING IT ALTOGETHER WITH ONE DEBATE. SOME PEOPLE SAID THANK GOODNESS YOU ARE NOT CALGARY. THOSE PEOPLE ARE SHOWING UP AND TAKING UP YOUR TIME. THAT IS DISGUSTING. WE WANT PEOPLE TO SHOW UP AND EXPRESS THEIR VIEWS. THAT IS OUR JOB. I GO DOWN THREE BLOCKS AND FIND ONE PERSON THAT KNOWS WHAT IS GOING ON. WE CAN'T JUST SNEAK THIS THROUGH. I DON'T THINK THE PEOPLE WERE ENGAGED. THEY DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT IT. THAT WAS PART OF THE CONSULTATION PROCESS.

I SHARE THE LEGAL OPINION. SEND THEM BACK THOSE TWO AMENDING MOTIONS. PUT IT ALL-IN-ONE BIG BASKET. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I CAN'T GO AND THEY WILL SAY DON'T WORRY ONE-TO-ONE PARKING RATIOS. ZERO WHEN WE TAKE TRANSIT FUNDING. THAT IS ONE OF OUR PRIORITIES. EVEN WITH FOURPLEX NO CONSULTATION. PUBLIC HEARING. ARE WE NOT GOING TO TALK TO NEIGHBORHOODS AHEAD OF TIME TO TELL THEM WHAT IS IN STORE? WE DISAGREED TO ADDING TWO THINGS IN. DIDN'T TALK ABOUT CONSULTING. NOTHING IN THERE YET. MAYBE LATER WE WILL PUT THAT IN THERE. AT THIS POINT THE MAYBE LATER MODEL DOESN'T SIT WELL WITH ME. SO BIG AND SO IMPACTFUL WE NEED OUR TIME. NOT IN A RUSH. 2025 IS A LITTLE WAYS AWAY. TAKE A TIME. I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY. I WILL PROBABLY NOT VOTE ON MUCH OF THIS. I THINK THE MESSAGE TODAY IS YES, CITY COUNCIL BELIEVES WE NEED TO INCREASING HOUSING SUPPLY AND INCREASE THE AFFORDABILITY OF HOUSING IN WINNIPEG. THAT IS ONE THING WE HAVE TO DO. WE ARE ALL ON BOARD. IT SHOULD BE BIGGER. OTHERS MAY NOT. THAT THERE COME OUT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
>>SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR, YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, COUNCILLORS, FOR THE DEBATE. I WILL ECHO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW'S COMMENT THAT WE NEED MORE HOUSING. WE KNOW THAT. NO ONE IS DEBATING ON THAT. I HAD THE PRIVILEGE OF STOPPING INTO A ENGLISH CLASS THAT UKRAINIANS THAT JUST MOVED TO WINNIPEG ARE TAKING. ONE OF THE COMMENTS IS WE HAVE TROUBLE FINDING HOUSING RIGHT NOW. THAT MAKES THE CHALLENGE GREAT. I WILL ECHO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW WE NEED MORE HOUSING. HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND IS A MEANS BY WHICH WE CHOOSE TO PARTNER WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THEIR CONDITIONS TO GET MORE HOUSING BUILT AND MORE QUICKLY.

THIS IS THE FIRST BITE OF THE APPLE, HOWEVER YOU WANT TO TERM IT. WHAT WE ARE DEALING WITH TODAY IS THE RAPID ZONING BYLAW AMENDMENTS. JUST TO RESTATE WHAT WE KNOW FOR SURE. MAJORITY OF THE PROPOSED RAPID ZONING BYLAW AMENDMENTS HAVE BEEN CORRECTED BY PREVIOUS ZONING AMENDMENTS. SO MUCH WHAT IS BEFORE US TODAY IS WORK. IT IS PUTTING INTO BY-LAW OR CODIFYING. WHAT PREVIOUS COUNCILS DECIDE UPON AND IT IS A PRACTICE INCLUDING INFILL GUIDELINES. I APPRECIATE COUNCILOR ORLIKOW'S MOTIONS AND COUNCILLOR EADIE. I WILL NOT SUPPORT THE THREE AMENDMENTS. I BELIEVE THE HEARING PROCESS WE HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN DOES GIVE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW WHAT IS BEING PROPOSED, WEIGH IN ON WHAT IS PROPOSED, COMMENT ON WHAT IS PROPOSED. WE WILL FOLLOW THAT PROCESS HERE. ANOTHER HEARING AT LEAST TO COME ON FUTURE BUCKETS. IN DUE COURSE. I THINK WHAT WE THE SERIOUSNESS HOW THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS TREATING THIS. WAS REINFORCED WHEN THEY STATED THE CITY OF HOPEVILLE IN LINE FOR $25 MILLION WILL NOT RECEIVE $25 MILLION BECAUSE THEY RENEGED ON COMMITMENTS WITHIN HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND AGREEMENT THEY SIGN WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THIS IS IN LINE WITH THE FIRST PHASE OF THE CITY HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND APPLICATION. WE ARE DOING WHAT WE SAID WE WOULD DO IN PHASE ONE OF THE APPLICATION TO THE HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. IT IS IMPORTANT TO MAINTAIN THE TRAJECTORY AND FULFILL OUR OBLIGATION IN A TIMELY MANNER WE MADE IN ESTABLISHING OUR AGREEMENT WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I APPRECIATE DEBATE. I WILL SUPPORT THE MAIN MOTION NOT THE THREE AMENDING MOTIONS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. WE WILL VOTE ON THE MOTIONS IN REVERSE ORDER. ALL IN FAVOUR: OF MOTION 5. AMENDING MOTION 5 MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE. RECORDED VOTE. IN FAVOUR: OF AMENDING MOTION 5 PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS EADIE, ORLIKOW, SCHREYER, WYATT.

>>SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES. ROLLINS, SHARMA.

THAT MOTION IS LOST. AMENDING MOTION 3 MOVED BY COUNCILOR ORLIKOW AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DOBSON. IN FAVOUR: PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS DOBSON, EADIE, ORLIKOW, SCHREYER, WYATT.

THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM. COUNCILLORS ALLARD. BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DUNCAN. GILROY. MAYES, ROLLINS SANTOS AND SHARMA.

SPEAKER: THAT IS LOST. PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: DOBSON, EADIE, ORLIKOW, SCHREYER, WYATT.

THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: GILLINGHAM. ALLARD. BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DUNCAN, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS AND SHARMA.

AMENDING MOTION TWO PASSES. NOW THE MAIN ITEM AS AMENDED. ALL IN FAVOUR: . PLEASE RISE. PARDON ME. WE DON'T NEED THE VOTE BECAUSE NONE OF THE AMENDMENTS PASSED THE ITEM. NOT AS AMENDED. THE MAIN ITEM. CALLING THAT VOTE ALL IN FAVOUR: PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DUNCAN, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS AND SHARMA.

COUNCILLOR SHARMA: THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: DOBSON, EADIE, ORLIKOW, SCHREYER AND WYATT.

SPEAKER: ITEM 8 PASSES. ITEM 12, MADAM CLERK.

CLERK: PROPERTY TAX CREDIT PROGRAMME FOR FENCING INSTALLATION.

MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM COLLEAGUES.

SPEAKER: STOOD DOWN FROM COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. RECEIVING IT AS INFORMATION. WELL, MADAM SPEAKER, THERE IS A BUMPING OF THINGS WE COULD DO ALTERNATIVE TO THIS LIKE, FOR EXAMPLE, IN BRANDON SEND THE GARBAGE TRUCKS UP AND DOWN THE BACK LANE AND HAUL IT AWAY. IT WILL SAVE THE VICTIMS THE HASSLE. I MEAN THE VICTIMS OF THIS DUMPING. NOW, PEOPLE SAID THAT BUILDING ONE OF THOSE NEW CORE GATED METAL FENCES WILL GET DONE. THERE IS NO HOPE. BUT THE THING IS IF SOMEBODY DUMPS IT IN THE BACK LANE THE CITY HAS TO PICK IT UP. I WISH THEY HAD THE CLEANUP OF THE LITTER AS A RESULT OF GARBAGE BAGS RIPPED OPEN. IF THERE IS BULK WASTE IN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY IN THE BACK LANE THE CITY HAS TO HAUL IT AWAY. WHAT PEOPLE ARE DOING IS DUMPING ON OTHER PEOPLE'S PRIVATE PROPERTY. MADAM SPEAKER, APPARENTLY WE, THE CITY, HAD TO CAMERA PROGRAMME. WE WOULDN'T USE IT WHERE THERE WAS PRIVATE PROPERTIES ABUSED. WE DID IT ON OUR OWN PROPERTY, MADAM SPEAKER. AREN'T WE A WONDERFUL SERVICE TO OUR WINNIPEGGERS. THEY DID GET FINED AND PEOPLE DON'T WANT TO THROW WHERE CITY VIDEO CAMERAS SHOW THEM DUMPING IT IN THE BACK LANE, MADAM SPEAKER. WHO CARES ABOUT THAT PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNER? SOME AREN'T VICTIMS, SOME ARE BAD OWNERS OF PROPERTY DUMPING DAMAGE ON THEIR OWN LOT. YES, THAT HAPPENS. I AM SAD WE WOULDN'T LOOK AT PROPERTY TAX CREDIT IN NEIGHBORHOODS WITH THE GARBAGE DUMPING PROBLEM. I ASKED FOR CONSOLIDATION OF SOLID WASTE. WE ARE WAITING FOR IT TO LEACH. IN THE IDEAS OF HAD IF YOU DO CONSOLIDATING FOR COLLECTING GARBAGE YOU CAN CHARGE FEES IN A DIFFERENT MANNER TO SUPPORT THE STREET BEAUTIFICATION LITTER PROGRAMME WHICH WE DON'T PUT OUT ENOUGH BINS FOR PEOPLE TO THROW GARBAGE IN. NOT THAT THAT IS GOING TO NECESSARILY STOP THINGS BUT APPARENTLY WE DON'T HAVE THE MONEY. GO TO THE WEBSITE ABOUT THE LITTER PICKUP. WE CAN'T AFFORD TO DO ANY MORE LITTER BINS BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE MONEY. DID WE HEAR THAT IN BUDGET? WE TALKED ABOUT FUNDING DOWNTOWN AND PROBLEM AREAS WHICH IS GOOD. I AM NOT OPPOSED. IN THE PAST THE CITY OFFERED PROPERTY TAX CREDIT FOR PEOPLE TO FIX UP THE FRONT OF THE HOUSE SO

NEIGHBORHOODS LOOK GOOD AND PEOPLE DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE MONEY TO DO THOSE THINGS. THAT IS IN THE PAST. WE SUPPORTED IN A MONETARY WAY TO PUT IN LOW FLUSH TOILETS. WE HAD A PROGRAMME FOR SEWER BACK UP BALLS IN SUMP PUMPS. WE CAN'T DO THAT, MADAM SPEAKER? THIS IS WHAT WE GET BACK FROM EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THIS MOTION IS PUT TO A PREVIOUS MOTION REGARDING HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. HOW BIG IS THE ACCELERATOR FUND ACROSS CANADA? OVER A BILLION DOLLARS. WE ARE NOT TALKING URBAN RENEWAL. MY CONCERN IS THAT THE MONEY IS GOING TOWARDS FOR THE DEVELOPERS TO GET BEST RETURN ON INVESTMENT. THAT IS NOT ALL WRONG BUT NOT ALL RIGHT. HERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY WITH EXTRA FUNDING FOR URBAN RENEWAL. WE DID NOT TAKE THANK OF THAT. URBAN RENEWAL THAT WOULD MAKE IT SAFER. THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY. WE ARE NOT DOING WITH ACCELERATOR FUND. WE COULD DO IT HERE. WHAT IS THE LONG-TERM IN TERMS OF USING TAXPAYER'S MONEY TO PREFER AREAS OF THE CITY OVER OURS? WHAT IS THE LONG-TERM EFFECT? BY VIRTUE OF OUR CONSCIOUS DECISIONS AT CITY HALL SOME NEIGHBORHOODS PREFERRED OVER OTHERS. I WILL TELL YOU WHERE I LIVE IN ELMWOOD WE ARE NOT GOING AWAY. WE WERE THE FIRST PORTION TO JOIN WINNIPEG IN 1906. WE ARE EXPERIENCING URBAN DECAY IN SOME AREAS MORE THAN OTHERS. WE ACCEPTED THE HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. LOOK WHAT WE ARE WILLING TO DO TO BRING AFFORDABLE HOUSING TO THE CITY. IF WE ARE SERIOUS ABOUT MAKING IT AFFORDABLE, WOULDN'T WE MAKE SOME MORE CONSCIOUS DECISIONS IN TERMS OF PLACEMENT SUCH AS OTHER CITIES? JURISDICTIONS AND CONDITIONS WHERE THE DEVELOPMENT IS TAKING PLACE. I DO UNDERSTAND AND IT IS NOT LOST ON COUNCILLOR EADIE WHY THERE IS CERTAIN ASPECT OF DYSFUNCTION WHEN IT COMES TO GO GRAPHIC ALLOCATION FROM HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. WHAT WE HAVE TO CONTEND WITH NOT JUST AS INDIVIDUAL COUNCILLORS IN THE INNER CITY CORE AREA AND IF WE ARE NOT GOING TO DEAL WITH URBAN DECAY WITH THE HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND, WHAT ARE OUR LONG-TERM OBJECTIVES OTHERWISE? THIS IS PART OF THE SOLUTION TO MAKE THE NEIGHBORHOODS CLEANER, SAFER MORE PLEASING FOR THE TAXES PEOPLE PAY FOR SO THAT PEOPLE DON'T THIS DOESN'T HAPPEN IN EVERY NEIGHBORHOOD THAT I HAVE LIVED IN WINNIPEG OR ELSEWHERE. CERTAIN NEIGHBORHOODS HAVE GREATER CHALLENGES WITH HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. HOW DO WE MITIGATE THAT? HOW DO WE PLAN FOR THE FUTURE. WE DIDN'T CONCENTRATE ON URBAN RENEWAL. THIS IS ONE PIECE TO MAKE THE NEIGHBORHOODS USING TAXPAYER'S MONEY FOR HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. TAXPAYERS' MONEY IN PREFERRED NEIGHBORHOODS. ARE WE GETTING OUR BANG FOR THE BUCK ON THAT? IT WOULD MAKE MORE SENSE IF WE ARE GOING TO COVER AFFORDABLE HOUSING TO MAKE HOUSING MORE AFFORDABLE THAT WOULD MEAN A PLAN? THAT IS TAXPAYERS MONEY TO MAKE NEIGHBORHOODS SAFER, CLEANER, MORE ENJOYABLE FOR FAMILIES. I THINK IT IS OBVIOUS. THANK YOU. >>SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I RISE IN SUPPORT OF COUNCILLOR EADIE'S REQUEST FOR THE PROJECT. I WAS ON THE COUNCIL BEFORE WHEN WE HAD A HOME RENOVATION TAX ASSISTANCE PROGRAMME BY-LAW THAT WAS FUNDING MANY DIFFERENT THINGS IN THE CITY AT ONE TIME IN TERMS HOW HOMEOWNERS MAINTAIN PROPERTY. VERY SUCCESSFUL. COUNCIL DECIDED NOT TO CONTINUE WITH IT. I WOULD HOPE IT IS NOT TOO LATE. MEMBERS OF E.P.C. IT SEEMS WE HAVE A PATTERN HERE. E.P.C. MEMBER'S IDEAS GOOD. NON-E.P.C. MEMBER IDEA BAD. HAS TO BE REJECTED. I WAS TOLD BY A MEMBER OF COUNCIL WHO WAS ELECTED IN A HIGH POSITION. HE CAME TO MY OFFICE. WORK ON

THIS ONE. I ENCOURAGE MEMBERS OF E.P.C. TO RECONSIDER A DIFFERENT APPROACH TO BE TAKEN HERE. REALITY IS NOT JUST COUNCILLOR EADIE'S WARD. I DRIVE THROUGH AND SEE WHAT IS GOING ON. IT IS INTERESTING TO SEE WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE CITY THESE DAYS. NOT THE SAME NEIGHBORHOODS. THERE IS A REAL CONCERN THAT I HAVE AND THE FACT WE HAVE HAD HUNDREDS OF FIRES LIT, MUCH ARSON SINCE BEGINNING OF THIS YEAR. RECORD NUMBERS. SOMETHING IS GOING ON. WE HAVE TO BE COGNIZANT OF THAT. ESPECIALLY WHEN THIS IS NOT THE SCENARIO OR SOLUTION. INTENT IS RIGHT. I THINK HE IS SINCERE IN TRYING TO ADDRESS THE LONG-STANDING PROBLEM. I ENCOURAGE E.P.C. MEMBERS NOT TO FALL INTO THIS. THAT IS PERCEPTION AND REALITY. I WOULD HOPE THIS COULD BE RECONSIDERED BEFORE VOTING.

SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR, DO YOU WISH TO CLOSE?

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR EADIE. THE CHALLENGES FOR PROPERTIES NOT KEPT UP OR REMAINED PILES OF RUBBLE AFTER FIRES. A FEW WARDS TO GET PROPERTY OWNERS TO CLEAN UP PILES OF RUBBLE AND SECURE PROPERTIES AND MAKE SURE NEIGHBORHOOD BLIGHT IS REDUCED. I DO NOT QUESTION THE SINCERITY AND WORK COUNCILLOR EADIE IS DOING HERE. I CAN CERTAINLY SAY THAT MY REASON FOR VOTING AGAINST IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH WHETHER MEMBER WHO BROUGHT IT UP IS ON EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE OR NOT. I BELIEVE THERE MAY BE OTHER WAYS. THIS IS NOT AN INITIATIVE THAT I AM VOTING AGAINST. WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO WORK TOGETHER AS COUNCIL TO TRY TO FIND WAYS TO REDUCE NEIGHBORHOOD BLIGHT, TO GET PROPERTIES CLEANED UP. LAST WEEK I HAD MY REGULAR MEETING WITH THE PREMIER. ONE OF THE ITEMS ON THE AGENDA WAS DERELICT PROPERTIES AND CLEANUP. I ASK FOR THE PROVINCE TO FIND WAYS TO WORK WITH US, TO MOVE QUICKER ON GETTING NEIGHBORHOOD BLIGHT REDUCED. CLONE UP RUBBLE AND CLEANUP PROPERTIES THAT HAVE BURNED. I KNOW COUNCILLOR SANTOS IN HER WARD IS DEALING WITH THAT IN SIGNIFICANT WAYS AS ARE OTHER PROPERTIES. WE CONTINUE TO WORK ON THIS MATTER. NOT ASLEEP OF THE SWITCH. I DO NOT SUPPORT THE INITIATIVE HERE PROPOSED.

SPEAKER: WITH THAT I WILL CALL THE QUESTION.

DOES POINT OF ORDER. VOTING FOR THIS REPORT AS OPPOSED TO THE ORIGINAL MOTION? VOTING AGAINST IT IS IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION AS PROPOSED.

TO RECEIVE IT AS INFORMATION.

SPEAKER: VOTE FOR THE REPORT AND YOU ARE RECEIVING AS INFORMATION. ALL IN FAVOUR: TO RECEIVE INFORMATION. AYE. CONTRARY. HOW MANY IN OPPOSITION? THREE. YOU ARE NOTED. ALL IN FAVOUR: PLEASE RISE TO RECEIVE THIS INFORMATION.

GILLINGHAM, ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, GILROY, LUKES MAYES, ORLIKOW. ROLLINS AND SHARMAN.

OPPOSED. DUNCAN, EADIE, SCHREYER AND WYATT.

VOTE 12-4.

ITEM 12 CARRIES.

CLERK: WINNIPEG JETS WHITEOUT PARTIES AT THE FORKS.

MR. MAYOR TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUES.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: CALL QUESTION.

CALL QUESTION ON ITEM 13. ALL IN FAVOUR: .

AYE.

CONTRARY. ALL IN FAVOUR: OF RECEIVING AS INFORMATION. CONTRARY. THAT'S 2 IN OPPOSITION AND THAT IS CARRIED. COUNCILLOR EADIE AND COUNCILLOR WYATT IN OPPOSITION.

ITEM 14. APPOINTMENT OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER. >>SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I RISE TO WELCOME, ASSUMING THIS PASSES TODAY. WOULD WELCOME TRACEY GRAHAM TO THE POSITION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER. I WANT TO THANK THE COMMITTEE THAT WAS INVOLVED IN THE WHOLE PROCESS OF IDENTIFYING AND INTERVIEWING CANDIDATES AND MAKING THE RECOMMENDATION TO OUR COUNCIL TODAY. IT IS A LOT OF WORK. EXTRA MEETINGS AND THEY PUT HEART AND SOULS INTO THE PROCESS. ONE OF FOUR STATUTORY OFFICERS. IT IS A VERY BIG DEAL. THE CFO IS A VERY DEMANDING TASK AND IT IS SIGNIFICANT WORK. PLEASED TO SEE HER AND I LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH HER AS COUNCIL WORKS WITH HER AS WELL. I TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY NOW TO THANK CATHERINE WHO HAS DONE REMARKABLE WORK. SHE IS OUTSTANDING CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER FOR US. WE WISH HER THE BEST. AVERAGE WINNIPEGGER DOESN'T THINK ABOUT OUR STATUTORY OFFICERS. THE 1,800 OFFICERS ARE PROFESSIONALLY SERVED. HER LEADERSHIP IS A BENEFIT TO THE COUNCIL AND TO THE PEOPLE OF WINNIPEG AS WELL. I LOOK FORWARD TO VOTING IN FAVOUR: OF THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE COMMITTEE TODAY. >>SPEAKER: 14 SPEAKERS, BROWATY, FOLLOWED BY WYATT.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: I ENCOURAGE YOU TO SUPPORT TRACEY GRAHAM AS THE NEXT CFO. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK CAO MICHAEL JACK AS WELL AS CORPORATE HR STAFF FOR TAKING TIME TO GO THROUGH THE INTERVIEWS AND REVIEW APPLICANTS. STAFF HIGHER AND FIRE MOST OF THE STAFF. THE POLICE BOARD HIRES AND FIRES POLICE CHIEF. OTHERWISE, THE ONLY FOUR BOSSES COUNCIL HAS TO RATIFY, CIO, CFO, CITY CLERK AND AUDITOR. ANY COMMENTS PLEASE FEEL FREE TO COME FORWARD. >>SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT FOLLOWED BY CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I AM IN SUPPORT. I LOOKED OVER THE RESUME OF MS. TRACY GRAHAM. IT IS EMPRESSSIVE. LIQUOR AND LOTTERIES FOR SIX YEARS, PAST CHAIR CORPORATE GOVERNANCE OF YOUNG ASSOCIATES. SENIOR MANAGER AT BERNSTEIN YOUNG IN TERMS OF SKILLS WITH AIR CANADA. I WILL CONGRATULATE COMMITTEE ON INTERVIEW AND HIRE.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: I WANT TO RISE IN THE SUPPORT OF TRACEY GRAHAM. I WANT TO THANK HER FOR YEARS OF SERVICE FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. I WAS ON THE PANEL THAT ASSISTED IN HER HIGHER WITH MAYOR GILLINGHAM. SHE HAD IMMEDIATE IMPACT IN TERMS OF JUST GETTING FAMILIAR WITH THE CITY OF WINNIPEG PROCESSES WITH HER EXPERTISE FROM HER FIELD SHE IS

THROUGH DRUG A VERY DIFFICULT TIME AS WE WERE EMERGING FROM COVID. CONGRATULATIONS ON HER RETIREMENT. WE HOPE THIS WILL BE AS REWARDING AS WELL.

I WANT TO THANK KATHERINE FOR HER YEARS HERE OF HARD WORK AND DEALING WITH TOUGH QUESTIONS NOT JUST FROM ME BUT TOUGH ISSUES. IT IS NOT EASY DEALING WITH GOVERNMENT BUDGETS. I WANT TO WELCOME OUR NEW CFO TRACEY GRAHAM AND SAY GOOD LUCK WITH THAT. NEW PREMIER, NEW FINANCE MINISTER, NEW BUDGET ON PROVINCIAL LEVEL. IT IS NOT JUST MY HEART. MORE THAN MY SYMPATHY. MY EMPATHY GOES TO ALL NEWLY APPOINTED LEADERS. IN THE FINANCIAL ASPECT OF PUBLIC SERVICE AND GOVERNMENT. MY RESTRICTIONS ARE THINGS ARE NOT GETTING EASIER. THEY ARE NOT. WE HAVE CHALLENGING TIMES TOGETHER. I WISH TRACEY GRAHAM THE BEST. WE CAN DO OUR BEST TOGETHER. ALL OF US. THANK YOU. >>SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE ON THE ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: CALL THE QUESTION.

SPEAKER: IN FAVOUR: . CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. NEXT ITEM, MADAM CLERK.

Clerk: 15. MARKET LANDS PUBLIC REALM PROJECT BUDGET. >>SPEAKER: AMENDING MOTION FOUR MOVED BY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS AND SECOND BY THE MAYOR. MR. MAYOR INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL LET COUNCILLOR ROLLINS CLAIM THE MOTION. I AM GLAD TO SUPPORT IT. IT IS EXCITING TO SEE THIS RISING FROM THE GROUND BECAUSE OF WHERE OUR OFFICES ARE COMING AND GOING FROM CITY HALL DAILY. GET A CHANCE TO SEE DEVELOPMENT COMING TOGETHER FINALLY. INTERESTING. OUR OWN CITY OF WINNIPEG ARCHIVES ON SOCIAL MEDIA POSTED THE MARKET LANDS FROM WHATEVER IT WAS 100 YEARS OR 75 YEARS AGO. A PHOTO OF IT EXCITING TO SEE WHAT IT WILL BECOME. MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS LOCATED ON THE PROPERTY ACROSS THE STREET FROM US. LOOKING FORWARD TO THAT. THIS IS A SMALL AMENDMENT. I LOOK FORWARD TO SUPPORTING IT AND THE AMENDING MOTION AS WELL.

THANK YOU. I WILL CALL DID QUESTION. NO, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS YOU ARE NEXT TO INTRODUCE THE AMENDING MOTION.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: YES, I AM HAPPY TO CALL THE QUESTION. I DO WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT UW DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION THAT IS LED BOY JEREMY REEK HAS BEEN WORKING COUNCIL A LOT OF FUNDING. IF COUNCILLOR SCHREYER NEEDS PROOF ANGI'M GOING TO RISE TO THIS CHALLENGE RIGHT ACROSS THE STREET AND THE DOLLARS GOING INTO THAT PROJECT. >>SPEAKER: FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR ROLL LENS DO YOU WISH TO CLOSE?

CALL THE QUESTION.

AYE CARRIED. MAIN ITEM IS CARRIED. THAT CONCLUDES OUR REPORTS UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. WE HAVE A MOTION. MOTION 7 MOVED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT SECOND BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER COMMUNITY ESTABLISHMENT AUTOMATIC REFERRAL TO EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. NOTICE OF MOTION. NEXT ON THE AGENDA OF THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING. WE'LL WILL MOVE.

TO CLARIFY WAS THERE TWO OR ONE SENT OUT AND WITHDRAWN AND ANOTHER ONE SENT OUT.

THE FIRST ONE WAS CUT OFF ON THE SIDE. WE SHOULD HAVE COPIED THAT.

THEY ARE BOTH THE SAME. ONE IS MORE VISIBLE.

SPEAKER: GOOD QUESTION. BY-LAWS OF EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE COUNCILLOR WYATT.

2673 AND 78. CONFLICT 74.

SPEAKER: OTHER THREE FIRST AND LEAVE 74 FOR WHEN YOU LEAVE THE CHAMBER. MR. MAYOR.

I ME THE FOLLOWING BY-LAWS BE READ A FIRST TIME. 73-2024, 28 OF 2024. >>SPEAKER: IN FAVOUR: . CONTRARY, CARRIED.

26, 73, 78 OF 2024.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT. JUST THE FIRST READING. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: 74 OF 2024 FIRST SOMETIME.

IN FAVOUR: , CONTRARY. CARRIED.

74 OF 2024.

SPEAKER: WE WILL MOVE TO NEXT SECTION. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: 38 OF 2024 BE READ A SECOND TIME.

SPEAKER: FAVOUR: CONTRARY. CARRIED.

38 OF 2024.

SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: 38 OF 2024 BE READ THIRD TIME AND PASSED AND SIGNED AND SEALED.

SPEAKER: IN FAVOUR: , CONTRARY, CARRIED. MR. MAYOR. THREE READINGS.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: FIRST TIME 63, 64, 75 AND BY-LAW 77 ALL OF 2024.

SPEAKER: IN FAVOUR: .

AYE.

THAT IS NOTED FOR 63 COUNCILLOR WYATT.

63, 64, 75, 77 OF 2024. I MOVE 63, 64, 75 AND 77 OF 2024 BE READ SECOND TIME.

IN FAVOUR: . I. NOTED FOR 6 COUNCILLOR WYATT.

63, 64, 75, 77 OF 2024.

I MOVE RULE SUSSPENDED BY-LAWS 63, 64, 75, 77 OF 2024 BE READ THIRD TIME AND PASSED TO BE SIGNED AND SEED.

AYE. CARRIED. 63 NOTED FOR COUNCILLOR WYATT. >>SPEAKER: SPEAK NEXT IS QUESTION PERIOD AT 3:00 P.M. THAT IS WONDERFUL. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I UNDERSTAND POLITICAL PERSPECTIVES OR THOUGHTS, MADAM SPEAKER. I WONDER IF THE MAYOR UNDERSTANDS IT IS JUST NOT MYNARSKI WARD THAT DEALS WITH PEOPLE VICTIMIZED WITH PEOPLE DUMPING GARBAGE ON LOTS. IT HAPPENS IN ELMWOOD, POINT DOUGLAS AND IN DANIEL MCTIRE. IS THE MAYOR AWARE OF THAT?

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: YES, I AM AWARE OF THAT. >>SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT, QUESTIONS.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MADAM SPEAKER, LAST FRIDAY WE WERE AT COUNCILLOR MEETING ABOUT CHANGES TO GRANT PROGRAMME. IS MAYOR AWARE GRANTS WE GIVE TO TRUE NORTH, FOOTBALL CLUB ARE NOT AFFECTED, HOWEVER THE ORGANIZATIONS THAT WERE LAST THIS YEAR ELIGIBLE FOR $3.5 MILLION IN GRANTS ARE NOW NO LONGER THE FUNDING NEXT YEAR DROPS TO 1.3 WHAT WHAT WE WERE TOLD IN SEMINAR.

BECAUSE I GET ME HAND SLAPPED. WAS THAT NOT CONFIDENTIAL?

COUNCILLOR WYATT: NO NDA.

I WANT TO KNOW FOR THE FUTURE.

SPEAKER: WE WILL ASK THE CLERK TO CLARIFY RESTATE THE QUESTION KEEPING THAT IN MIND.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I DIDN'T SIGN A NON DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT. >>SPEAKER: CODE OF CONDUCT. JUST A MOMENT. COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: THE WORDING IS NO INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC SHOULD BE SHARED. THAT IS PROBABLY WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. PART OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT.

SPEAKER: CLERK IS LOOKING UP SPECIFICS OF CODE OF CONDUCT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: BUDGET WAS PASSED. INFORMATION IN BUDGET IS THERE. SEMINAR WAS HELD AS OUTCOME OF PAST BUDGET VOTED ON BY THIS COUNCIL. THIS COUNCIL VOTED MAJORITY VOTED TO REDUCE GRANTS PUBLICLY. THIS IS PUBLIC. FROM $3.5 MILLION TO 1.3. THE SEMINAR WAS THEN HELD TO SAY THESE ARE THE ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO REDUCTION. I THINK THAT IS SOMETHING THAT IS PUBLIC INFORMATION. >>SPEAKER: PROCEED WITH THE QUESTION. KEEPING IT RELEVANT TO WHAT IS IN THE PUBLIC SPHERE.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I WON'T NAME ORGANIZATIONS. A WHOLE HOST OF ORGANIZATIONS WE WERE PROVIDED AT THE SEMINAR AFFECTED BY THE REDUCTION IN FUNDING. WILL THE MAYOR ASSURE THE CHAMBER THAT IN ADVANCE OF THE APPLICATION PROCESS, THESE ORGANIZATIONS WILL BE REACHED OUT TO TO BE INFORMED THERE IS A REDUCTION OF FUNDING PER COUNCIL DECISION ON THE BUDGET IN ADVANCE.

SPEAKER: THINK ABOUT YOUR SECOND QUESTION IN THE BUDGET. NUMBERS WERE THERE. IT WAS TOLD NEW GRANT PROCESS.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THEY HAVE TO BALANCE BOOKS. IT WOULD BE NICE TO KNOW IN ADVANCE. QUESTION IS ARE WE INFORMING THE GROUPS AFFECTED IN ADVANCE OF THE APPLICATION?

TWO-PART ANSWER. ONE. WE DON'T HAVE A REPORT. OUR BUDGET PROCESS. THE BUDGET WE ADOPTED REQUESTED THAT THE CITY STAFF COME BACK WITH REPORT ON GRANT INTAKE PROCESS.

NOTHING HAS COME FORWARD TO THIS POINT. SECOND I WOULD SAY TO YOU COUNCILLORS WORK IN GOOD FAITH, MOST COUNCILLORS. COUNCIL SEMINAR PROCESS IS IN GOOD FAITH. KEEP CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS TO PROVIDE COUNCILLOR'S INFORMATION. WHEN THAT GOOD FAITH IS BROKEN IT COULD JEOPARDIZE NUMBER OF COUNCIL SEMINARS PROVIDED IN THE FUTURE. IF WE WORK TOGETHER AS COUNCILLORS, WE OWE IT TO ONE ANOTHER TO MAINTAIN THAT GOOD FAITH. HAVING SAID THAT MY QUESTION IS THIS, MADAM SPEAKER. THE INFORMATION WAS VERY IMPORTANT. WHEN IS THE REPORT OF MAYOR TO COME FORWARD? WE WERE TOLD IN THE SEMINAR. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHEN IS THAT REPORT COMING TO COUNCIL FOR CONSIDERATION.

SPEAKER:

WHEN THE PUBLIC SERVICE IS READY. I DON'T KNOW IF IT IS FULLY PREPARED. NO DATE WHEN THAT REPORT MAY COME FORWARD.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: SCHREYER QUESTION WAS ANSWERED.

SPEAKER: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. NEXT IS THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS. COUNCILLOR LUKES. THE REPORT DATED MAY 7.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE ITEMS 1-5.

SPEAKER: FOUR CONTRIBUTION AGREEMENT. NORTH WINNIPEG PARKWAY. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: 1. CONTRACT WINNIPEG TRANSIT PLUS.

SPEAKER: QUESTION ON 2, 3, 5. ALL IN FAVOUR: . CONTRARY. CARRIED. ITEM 1. AWARD A CONTRACT FOR PROVISION OF TRANSIT PLUS 1006-2023.

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUE.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I HEARD THE NICE WORDS AND THEN REALIZED I AM ABOUT TO SPEAK. IT IS TIME TO GO. I WANT TO COME BACK TO THE FIRST REPORT UNDER THE NEW SYSTEM. COUNCILLOR NASON WAS AROUND WE VOTED 22-23% OF TRANSIT PLUS IN HOUSE. IT WAS DECIDED NOT TO DO THAT IS I LOST THAT VOTE. THERE IS A NEW SYSTEM WHERE ALL SERVICE CONTRACT WE DIDN'T GET ANY OF THEM. THAT IS POSITIVE. PROVISION THAT SAID NO MORE OF THIS INDEPENDENT OR DEPENDENT CONTRACTOR STUFF. EVERYONE WILL BE AN EMPLOYEE. THEY WILL GET AT LEAST MINIMUM WAGE LEGALLY. GET AWAY FROM THE FOG MACHINE. THE DEEPEN DEBT CONTRACTOR MODEL. WE SHOULD GO TO 8 OR 9 CONTRACTING. SO MANY FIGHTS. I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT TWO THINGS. WE KNOW THESE ARE EMPLOYEES. I ASKED AND GOT RESPONSE. WHAT IS THE WAGE TO BE PAID TO THESE PEOPLE. WE DON'T KNOW AND WE CAN'T TELL YOU. I THINK THERE WAS CONFUSION ABOUT MY COMMENT. WE ARE TOLD BY STAFF WE ARE NOT GOING TO TELL YOU THEIR WAGE IT IS HARD VOTE ON THE LIVING WAGE FOR OUR EMPLOYEES AND FOR THE EMPLOYEES

OF PEOPLE WE CONTRACT WITH. TO ACCEPT THIS IS TO SAY, YES, WE THE ELECTED PEOPLE WE RESPECT NOT ALLOWED TO KNOW THE AGENCY BE ARE PEAKING. ONE MIGHT RESPOND THE SOLUTION IS WHAT COUNCILLORS GILROY AND ALLARD. WE NEEDED TO KNOW THE WAGES. ONE, REMEMBER THE MOMENT WHEN WE COME TO LIVING WAGE IN A MONTH OR TWO I SUPPOSE. WE HAD LONG BANK AND FORCE ABOUT THE MAGNITUDE OF COST OF SERVICES IN HOUSE. THERE IS A BASELINE. KEEP IT PRIVATE ANNUAL INCREASE? WE WERE FIRST TOLD 2%. MS. FISHER DID WORK ON THAT I WANT TO THANK HER. HOW MUCH HAS THIS INCREASED SINCE WE LAST AWARDED THIS CHUNK OF WORK. THIS REPLACES TWO PREVIOUS CONTRACTING TO AB TRANSIT AND I AM NOT PICKING ON THEM. LOOK AT IT THE NUMBER YOU HAVE TO MAKE THAT AND TO STAFF. INCREASE HAS GONE FROM $4.9 MILLION. 59.5. ROUND UP 0. FIVE-YEAR CONTRACT 12% A YEAR. IN HONOR OF COUNCILLOR SCHREYER NO BARGAINING AGENT. NO UNION EMPLOYEES FOR CITY OF WINNIPEG 12% INCREASE. COUNCILLOR LUKES POINTED OUT MORE THAN WAGE INCREASE. PERHAPS IT IS MORE THAN 12%. WE DON'T KNOW THE NUMBER THEY GOT BEFORE OR NOW. COSTS ARE GOING UP 12%. WE ARE TOLD IN THE REPORT IT IS A SIMILAR CALL VOLUME, NUMBER OF VEHICLES, YES. FINE FOR TODAY. COSTS HAVE GONE UP. I SEE COUNCILLOR LUKES READY, COSTS HAVE GONE UP. WON'T GET INTO THAT TODAY. REMEMBER THIS IN A MONTH OR TWO WHEN WE DEBATE ABOUT BRINGING GARBAGE OR RECYCLING SERVICES PARTLY IN HOUSE. IF YOU ARE TOLD YOU HAVE GOT TO LEAVE IT PRIVATE IT IS ONLY GOING UP 2% OR WORD TO SAY THAT EFFECT. REMEMBER THAT BY LEAVING IT ALL PRIVATE IN TRANSIT PLUS WE ARE NOT LOOKING AT 2% OR 10% INCREASES IT IS MORE THAN THAT. NOT MUCH TO BE DONE IN THIS SERVICE. WE DECIDED TO LEAVE IT ALL IN PRIVATE HANDS. WHEN WE GET TO GARBAGE CONTRACTING REMEMBER WHAT OTHER CITIES DO. CALGARY MIXED, OTTAWA MIXED, SOME PRIVATE SOME PUBLIC. NOT LIKE OTHER CITIES DON'T DO THIS. WHEN THERE IS A VOTE TO BRING IT IN HOUSE OR NOT ON THE GARBAGE AND RECYCLING COLLECTION SCRUTINIZE NUMBERS COMING FORWARD. I AM GOING TO SUGGEST WE CAN'T BANK ON THE ANNUAL INCREASES LEAVING IT PRIVATE. VERY SMALL NUMBER. LOOK AT THE INCREASE IF WE BRING IT IN HOUSE. WE OWE IT TO OURSELVES AND TAXPAYERS AND WORKERS TO TAKE A CRITICAL HOUSE ON THE GARBAGE IN HOUSE DEBATE. MAYBE THIS WOULD PAY OFF. AT LEAST GET NUMBERS TO SAY WITH SOME CONFIDENCE, YES, IT ISN'T JUST GOING UP ONE OR TWO PERCENT. HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT ABOUT GARBAGE? MAYBE ONLY UP 1 TO 2%. THAT IS PUBLIC INFORMATION. IN OCTOBER 2016 IT WAS $25 MILLION PER YEAR. WHEN WE EXTENDED THE GARBAGE CONTRACTING EARLIER THIS YEAR IT WAS $40 MILLION PER YEAR. REMARKABLY WENT UP 60% LIKE THE INCREASE IN OTHER CONTRACTING. 7 YEARS, 5 YEARS REGARDLESS OF THE BASE A 60% INCREASE WHEN YOU KEEP IT ALL PRIVATE THAT'S NOT INFLATION. THAT IS NOT WHAT WE ARE PAYING WORKERS, IT IS FAR MORE THAN THAT. WHEN IT COMES TO GARBAGE 60% INCREASE IN SERVICE DELIVERY COSTS 2016 AND EARLIER THIS YEAR. REMEMBER THAT ANNUAL INCREASE WHEN WE HAVE THAT DEBATE IN A FEW MONTH'S TIME. THANK YOU.

SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER. SEEING NONE. COUNCILLOR LUKES TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR MAYES FOR HIS POINTS HE IS MAKING. I DID WORK WITH THE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT TO CLARIFY SOME POINTS. I WANT TO SPEAK TO THE LIVING WAGE AS WE KNOW THE CAO INDICATES THE LIVING WAGE DISPOSITION IS ONGOING TOPIC OF DISCUSSION. IN SECTION D-13 OF THE 2023 CONTRACTING IT REQUIRES ALL CONTRACTED DRIVERS TO BE EMPLOYED WITH THE CONTRACTORS. EMPLOYEES ARE PROTECTED BY THE MANITOBA EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS CODE. ANOTHER SECTION SPEAK TO CALCULATING INFLATIONARY INCREASES FOR CONTRACTING INCLUDING PROVIDING WAGE RELATED INCREASES. ANOTHER SECTION

REQUIRES CONTRACTOR UPON CITY REQUEST PROVIDE EVIDENCE THEY HAVE INCREASED WAGES PAID TO EMPLOYEES FOLLOWING INFLATION RATE INCREASE. PROHIBITS CONTRACTORS FROM DECREASING EMPLOYMENT WAGES. NOT THE LIVING WAGE. THIS IS TO ENSURE ALL CONTRACTORS THE CITY OF WINNIPEG HIRES MUST ADHERE TO THE MANITOBA STANDARDS CODE. RELATED TO OVER ALL INCREASING COSTS. THE INCREASES IN COSTS RELATED TO MARKET CONDITIONS INCLUDING COST OF VEHICLES, LABOR, MAINTENANCE, FUEL COSTS AND MORE. EXAMPLE COST OF VEHICLE IN 2019 WAS $27,000. 2024 THE VEHICLE IS $32,000 OR $33,000, 18% INCREASE. THE NEW CONTRACT STARTED WITH HOURLY RATE OF $39.68 IN 2024 REPLACING CONTRACTING WHOSE RATE WAS $28.30 HOURLY. 37-40% INCREASE. DEPARTMENT SAYS THEY KNEW THE CONTRACTING WOULD BE HIGHER THAN PREVIOUS BIDS. IT IS A TREND ACROSS INDUSTRY. STAFF PROJECTED NEW CONTRACTING 30% HIGHER THAN THE ONES THEY REPLACED. THIS OUTSOURCE MODEL IS MORE COST-EFFECTIVE THAN IF WE BROUGHT THE PROGRAMME INTERNALLY. INCREASE IN RECENT COSTS ARE NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO WAGES. THEY ARE GENERAL ECONOMIC INCREASES RELATED TO MARKET CONDITIONS. THE BOTTOM LINE IT IS STILL MORE COST-EFFECTIVE TO TAXPAYER WHEN WE BRING IT IN HOUSE. COUNCILLOR MAYES' POINT I LOOK FORWARD TO GARBAGE DEBATE WHEN WE LOOK AT IN HOUSE AND EXTERNAL. GOOD POINTS TO KEEP EVERYONE ON TOES.

SPEAKER: CALL THE QUESTION IN FAVOUR: . CONTRARY. CARRIED. COUNCILLOR MAYES AND ALLARD NOTED IN OPPOSITION AND COUNCILLOR GILROY. WE WILL HAVE RECORDED VOTE. THERE IS FOUR. ALL IN FAVOUR: OF ITEM 1 PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: GILLINGHAM, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, LUKES, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SHARMA.

SPEAKER: OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ALLARD, EADIE, GILROY, MAYES, SANTOS, SCHREYER AND WYATT.

Clerk: 9-7.

SPEAKER: ITEM 1 PASSES. ITEM 4, MADAM CLERK.

CLERK: CONTRIBUTION WITH CITY OF WINNIPEG TRANS-CANADA TRAIL AND THE STUDY.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR LUKES ITEM 4.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUE.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE.

I ASK THIS TO STAND DOWN. NOT TOO LONG, MADAM SPEAKER. I AM HAPPY TRANS-CANADA TRAIL IS CONTRIBUTING $30,000 EXTRA TO THIS STUDY ON NORTH WINNIPEG PARKWAY WHEREAS WE ALL KNOW THE LONGEST STANDING ACT OF ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION TRAIL ON RECORD IN THE CITY IS NOT BUILT YET. STILL NOT FINISHED, MADAM SPEAKER. NOT FINISHED. I UNDERSTAND IT COSTS A FIRE BIT OF MONEY TO GET THE STUDY DONE. WHAT IS THE PROPER ROUTING SYSTEM? WE ARE DEALING WITH HOW DO YOU GET UNDER THE BRIDGE OR OVER THE REDWOOD AVENUE? ANYWAY, MONEY THROWN AT THIS. WE NEED THAT FINISHED. WE NEED TO START MOVING. NO ACTUAL CAPITAL ESTIMATE FOR THAT PROJECT WHICH WAS VERY DISCONCERTING TO ME IT WASN'T THERE, MADAM SPEAKER. I HAD TAKEN THE ESTEEMED MAYOR BEFORE HE WAS MAYOR TO HELP UNDERSTAND OUR

PART OF THE CITY. TALK HIM ON THE ROUTE. HE AGREED IT NEEDS DONE. I APPRECIATE THE TRAIN IS CONTRIBUTING THE $30,000 NEEDED TO COMPLETE THE STUDY AND GET THE ESTIMATES TOGETHER. >>SPEAKER: FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR LUKES TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I RISE TO SUPPORT WHAT COUNCILLOR EADIE SAID. I REMEMBER BEING INVOLVED IN THIS IN 2004. BIKING AND WALKING AND FALLING INTO THE MUD, THE WHOLE BIT. WE ARE REALLY IN A HOLING PATTERN BECAUSE OF THE PROPERTY THAT IS STILL SITTING THERE. INCREDIBLE CHOKEPOINT IN THE NETWORK. GRATEFUL THE TRANS-CANADA TRAIL FOLKS ARE PROVIDING THE FUNDING.

SPEAKER: IN FAVOUR: OF ITEM 4. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. NEXT. NOTICE OF MOTION BEFORE US. THAT IS ON THE DIGITAL SYSTEM. BEFORE THAT THERE WAS ANOTHER ITEM. ON THIS PARTICULAR REPORT WE JUST PASSED. PUBLIC WORKS I WOULD ASK COMMITTEE TO RESCIND ITEM 3. COUNCILLOR DOBSON WANTED TO SPEAK TO THE ITEM. CALL THE QUESTION ON RESCINDING ITEM 3. IN FAVOUR: . CONTRARY. CARRIED. COUNCILOR LUKES OPPORTUNITY TO INTRODUCE ITEM 3.

CLERK: MY COLLEAGUE WILL SPEAK TO IT.

COST RECOVERY BETWEEN CITY OF WINNIPEG FOR TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL AT 1315 ST. JAMES STREET.

SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

ADDITION OF LANE IN EITHER DIRECTION TO THREE LANES AND PART OF THAT PROCESS THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA HAS CREATED BERMS ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE PERIMETER HIGHWAY BETWEEN ST. MARY'S AND THE RED RIVER TO THE WEST OF IT. AS A RESULT THE RAINS WE EXPERIENCED HAVE BEEN COLLECTING ON RESIDENTIAL FRONTS AND FLOODING AND IMPACTING THOSE TREES. WILL THE CHAIR OF PUBLIC WORKS CONTACT TO PROVIDE SENSE OF WHAT IS GOING ON BECAUSE IT IS GREATLY IMPACTING RESIDENTS IN MY WARD. THE BERMS THEY CREATED ARE KIND OF LANDLOCKING THE PROPERTIES. RAIN DOESN'T FALL ON JUST ONE SIDE. IT COLLECT ON THE INTERIOR SIDE OF THE BERMS AND FLOODING PROPERTIES. COULD THE CHAIR OF PUBLIC WORKS CONTACT MTI TO PROVIDE SOLUTION TO DRAIN PROPERTIES? I WAS OUT THERE YESTERDAY. THE RESIDENTS OF THE PROPERTIES POOLED MONEY TO BUY PUMPS COMING UP TO $2600 AND ARE SEEKING REIMBURSEMENT FOR THE COST THEY HAVE OUTLAID AND TRYING TO REMEDIATE THEIR PROPERTIES ACCORDINGLY. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

WE HAVE THAT ISSUE AND OTHER ONES IMPACTING CITY ROADS. WELL DIRECTLY RELATED TO THAT PERIMETER THE LEAKING ON TO KILKENNY. I WANT A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING WHAT ROAD CLOSURES THERE WILL BE. WE ARE DOING COMPLETE RUBBLIZATION. THERE IS A LOT OF CHALLENGES TO THE EASTERN SIDE OF THE CITY. FOR SURE THREE ITEMS.

SPEAKER: NEXT QUESTION.

THROUGH THE CHAIR BOTH YOU AND I HAVE BEEN EXPERIENCING E-MAIL RESIDENTS IN SAINT GERMANE WITH RESPECT TO ROAD CONDITIONS. YOU TALKED ABOUT POTHOLES EVERY TIME PUBLIC WORKS GOES OUT TO GRADE AND BLADE THE ROADS WE GET SUBSTANTIAL ROADS BACK TO CONDITIONS BARELY PASSIBLE IS THERE A PLAN TO ADDRESS THE ROAD CONDITIONS IN THE ST-

GERMAIN AREA? PAUL BOULEVARD, FORBES, FRASER TO THE EXTENT WHERE AFTER THE RAIN THEY ARE NOT BACK TO SQUARE ONE WITH REGARD TO POTHOLES AND WASH BOARD CONDITIONS?

THOSE ROADS WITH SIMILAR TO BRADY ROAD THAT WENT TO THE -- THAT GOES PAST GRADY LANDFILL TO THE BARRIER PARK. THE ROADS ARE OLD AND NEED OVERHAUL. THEY HAVE INDICATED THEY WILL DO ROUND THE CLOCK ROADS AFTER RAINFALLS. IT IS EVERY TIME IT RAINS ROADS SEEM TO CRUMBLE AGAIN. BIG CHALLENGES IN YOUR AREA, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. YOU ARE A GOOD MAN KEEPING UP WITH THE CALLS. >>SPEAKER: THAT CONCLUDES QUESTION PERIOD UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. NEXT IS STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE. NO REPORT, NO MOTIONS, NO BY-LAWS. ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR? COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: YES, MADAM SPEAKER. WHEN THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA PASSED THEIR RECENT BUDGET FOR WHAT IS IT 24-25, THE CITY'S SHARE WHAT WE MIGHT HAVE EXPECTED IN THE BUDGET DIDN'T -- WELL IT CAME TO FRUITION ON ONE SIDE I THINK ON THE CAPITAL BUT NOT ON THE OPERATING OR OTHER WAY AROUND, MADAM SPEAKER. IN THE BUDGET PROJECTIONS THERE WERE A NUMBER OF THINGS. I DID SEND AN E-MAIL TO CATHERINE, OUR NOW RETIRED CFO ABOUT WHAT EFFECT IS THAT GOING TO HAVE ON OUR BUDGET. I AM WONDERING IF THE CHAIR OF FINANCE HAS SEEN A REPORT ON WHAT EFFECTS THAT MIGHT HAVE ON OPERATING AND CAPITAL BUDGETS.

THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: THROUGH YOU TO COUNCILLOR EADIE. THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION. I LIKE QUESTIONS. FIRST OF ALL. YOU ARE RIGHT. WE WERE FORECASTING, HOPING THE BOTTOM, THE FLOOR IN TERMS OF OPERATING GRANT GROWTH BASED ON COMMENTS BY THE NDP DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN IN 2023 WAS 3%. LOW. WE HOPE IT WILL BE BETTER. UNFORTUNATELY IT CAME IN AT 2.5% FOR THE 24-25 YEAR. THAT SAID, FOR THIS YEAR WE IN SOME WAYS HAVE BEEN MADE WHOLE WITH ADDITIONAL MONEY FOR FIREFIGHTING WE WERE NOT EXPECTING AS WELL AS FACT THE FUEL TAX IS EXTENDED ADDITIONAL THREE MONTHS. WE DON'T GET COMPOUNDING EFFECT IN FUTURE YEARS. CERTAINLY SOME WORK TO BE DONE IN THE UPDATE FOR THE MULTIYEAR BUDGET 2025 TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT DURING THAT TIME. I HAVE NOT SEEN A REPORT. WE GET A MONTHLY UPDATE THROUGH CORPORATE CONTROLLER ON GRANTS WHAT MONEY COME FORWARD. THAT IS KEPT CURRENT. IF YOU WANT ME TO STEP THROUGH REVISIONS SINCE THE BUDGET WE CAN GO THROUGH THOSE WITH PROBABLY CORPORATE CONTROLLER. WE ARE IN TRANSITION. IT WOULD BE BEST.

STANDING POLICY PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT.

ITEMS 1-7.

SPEAKER: TWO IS STOOD DOWN. ONE WAY FINDING SIGNAGE CITY HALL. THREE. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. SEVEN. SECONDARY PLAN AMENDMENT REPEAL OF HENDERSON HIGHWAY CORRIDOR SECONDARY PLAN. THAT LEAVES 4, 5, 6. IN FAVOUR: . AYE. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

ITEM 1. WAYFINDING SIGNAGE AT CITY HALL. I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUES.

COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I RISE IN SUPPORT OF THE INTENT OF THE REPORT. MY CONCERN THIS IS SOMETHING I MOVED SOME TIME AGO. WHEN I TRY TO GET THE WAYFINDING SIGNAGE I AM GLAD IT IS MAKING PROGRESS. KIND OF THING THAT THE NUMBERS ARE 54 SIX. THIS IS ONE-TIME EXPENSE. IT IS IMPORTANT. I JUST WOULD HOPE WE COULD DO IT RIGHT IS MY CONCERN IN TERMS OF COURTYARD. IN TERMS OF ISSUE OF PEOPLE CONSTANTLY COMING HERE WHERE DO THEY PAY THE WATER BILL, TAXES. SIGNAGE. I SEE THE DIAGRAMS IN TERMS OF INTENT. I WOULD MOVE BILL PAYING TO THE TOP. THAT IS MY TAKE. PEOPLE LOOK AT THE TOP. NOT THE BOTTOM RIGHT AWAY. SECOND THING THEY LOOK AT. MY CONCERN IS THE BUDGET. I THINK WE SHOULD GIVE FLEXIBILITY TO THE CLERK WORKING IN PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT TO GET THIS IMPLEMENTED. ONE-TIME EXPENSE. I HAVE TRIED TO DO IT RIGHT THE FIRST TIME. I HAVE TO DO IT AGAIN LATER AND PAY AGAIN. I APPRECIATE WORK DONE BY STANDING COMMITTEE, CHAIR, PUBLIC SERVICE. I WOULD SAY THAT $35,000 VERSUS 54. IF THEY NEED FUNDS TO DO IT RIGHT WORK WITH THEM TO MAKE IT HAPPEN. ONE-TIME STANDING ISSUE. GOOD TO SEE IT FINALLY ADDRESSED. THANK YOU.

SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: AGREED, MADAM SPEAKER WITH EVERYTHING COUNCILLOR WYATT SAYS. PROPOSED SIGN IS BEING REDESIGNED. IT IS ON THE WAY. WE WILL HAVE THE SIGN AT CITY HALL HERE. WE HAD A BUDGET ALLOCATION $35,000. I BELIEVE IT WILL BE SOMETHING PROUD OF IT IS IN KEEPING WITH CITY HALL CAMPUS. SO MOVED, MADAM SPEAKER.

SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR: AYE ITEM 1. CONTRARY. CARRIED. ITEM 2, MADAM CLERK.

CLERK: REQUEST TO RESCINDS PROPOSED SALE OF PROPERTY TO MANITOBA POSSIBLE. SPEAK PEAK AMENDING MOTION MOVED BY.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: AND SECONDED BY YOURSELF. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO INTRODUCE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: I WILL INTRODUCE THIS BY THANKING THE ST. BONIFACE COMMUNITY AND IN PARTICULAR THANK THOSE THAT ARE COMING TO SUPPORT PAN VOLUNTEER TIME ON THE NEW BOARD. THAT IS GOING TO BE HOPEFULLY APPOINTED BY COUNCIL WHICH IS THE AMENDING MOTION FORWARDED BY MAYOR GILLINGHAM AND MYSELF. I THANK THE AREA COUNCILLOR AND THE COUNCILLORS THAT WILL BE SERVING ON THE BOARD. LET'S ELECT THE BOARD.

MAYOR FIRST AS THE MOVER OF MOTION. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THE MOTION DOES INDICATE THE INDIVIDUALS WHO WOULD SERVE ON THIS GROUP, THIS COMMITTEE. COUNCILLOR ALLARD, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS AND MARK AS WELL. THANK YOU.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: I WOULD LIKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS MY COLLEAGUES IN FRENCH. [SPEAKING FRENCH]

SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ALLARD. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: [SPEAKING FRENCH]

SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS ON THIS ITEM? MAYOR, DO YOU WISH TO CLOSE ON THE AMENDING MOTION? COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: [SPEAKING FRENCH]

SPEAKER: THANK YOU. I WILL CALL THE QUESTION ON AMENDING MOTION 6. ALL IN FAVOUR: . CONTRARY. CARRIED. THE MAIN ITEM 2 AS AMENDED. ALL IN FAVOUR: . CONTRARY. CARRIED. MADAM CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM 3 APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: COULD I REQUEST COUNCILLOR MAYES EXPLAIN THIS. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO SPEAK IF I UNDERSTAND THE INTENT.

SPEAKER: ITEM 3. COUNCILLOR MAYES, DO YOU WISH TO TAKE THE FLOOR?

COUNCILLOR MAYES: WE HAVE A REGIME WHERE EVEN IF A VARIANCE HAS BEEN DENIED BY THE APPEALS COMMITTEE IF VARIANCES ARE DENIED THERE WAS A YEAR OF COOLING OFF PERIOD. THAT IS NO LONGER IN PLACE DUE TO PROVINCIAL LEGISLATION AND THE WORD TO REJECT AND REFUSE. IN SHORT, APPEALS COMMITTEE HAD TO HEAR ONE FROM RENFREW A FEW MONTHS AFTER APPEALS COMMITTEE DENIED SAME APPEAL. 4-0 VOTE AT THE WATER COMMITTEE SITTING AT APPEALS TO SAY, LOOK, THIS IS DEALT WITH. HARDLY FAIR TO HAVE CITIZENS COME BACK OUT HERE AGAIN WITH SAME ARGUMENTS ON WHAT IS A VIRTUALLY IDENTICAL PROPOSAL. WHAT TO DO? GOOD WORK BY LEGAL DEPARTMENT SAYING I WANT IT IF YOU HEARD IT YOU OWN IT FOR A YEAR, BASICALLY. YOU ARE THE APPEALS COMMITTEE. STAFF CAME UP WITH THIS IDEA OF IF IT IS COMING BACK AGAIN, THIS TIME NOT JUST DIRECTOR MAKING ORIGINAL DECISION IT IS COMMUNITY COMMITTEE TO PRESUMABLY REJECT AND THEY CAN APPEAL. YOU HAVE GOT TO WIN 3-1 INSTEAD OF JUST GETTING A TIE. YOU HAVE TO WIN THE VOTE TO WIN THE APPEAL. ATTEMPT TO REDUCE THE JUDGE SHOPPING, DIFFERENT TERMS, I WILL CALL IT JUDGE SHOPPING COMING BACK UNTIL THEY GET TWO VOTES. IT IS A TIE AND APPEAL LOSES AWAY WE GO. THERE SHOULD BE A COOLING OFF PERIOD WHEN YOU HAD THE VOTE. POOR DOBSON HAD TO HEAR THE SAME THING TWICE AND VOTED CONSISTENTLY. I DON'T HATE PROPOSAL. NOT THE WAY TO DO THIS. STAFF ARE TRYING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH A MODEL WHERE WE WOULDN'T HAVE PEOPLE FIRING IN EVERY FEW MONTHS HOPING TO LAND BE ON APPEALS COMMITTEE WHERE THEY WILL GET THE RIGHT DRAW AND GET IT THROUGH.

SPEAKER: ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR ROLLINS TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: A REPORT IN 90 DAYS. I WANT TO POINT OUT FOR COUNCILLORS WHO MAY BE IN DISCUSSION THAT AT THE SAME TIME THERE IS A PROCESS BEING ENGAGED IN AT THE MANITOBA GOVERNMENT LOOKING AT BILL 34. YOU KNOW, WE WILL CONTINUE TO WORK ON THIS AND GET A REPORT BACK. WE WILL LOOK INTO THE MATTER WITH RESPECT TO PUBLIC HEARINGS AND THE HEARING BODY. MORE TO COME.

SO MOVED.

SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR: . CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. NEXT ITEM, MADAM CLERK. ITEM 7. SECONDARY PLAN AMENDMENT REPEAL OF HENDERSON HIGHWAY 3215-82. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: IT IS NOT LOST ON ME WHY THIS ITEM IS STOOD DOWN. I WANT TO PREEMPTIVELY SAY I AM GOING TO EXTEND SOME TIME AND EFFORT TO LOOK AT THE MATTER OF PDO ALSO REQUESTED BY COMMUNITY COMMITTEE COMING UP THROUGH THE STANDING POLICY ON PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT. WE DID DELETE THAT RECOMMENDATION. IT IS BECAUSE THERE IS A SEPARATE PROCESS FOR FUNDING. FOR WORK ON PLAN DEVELOPMENT OVERLAYS THAT I AM HAPPY TO LEAN INTO AND ALERT THE LOCAL COUNCIL WHEN THE TIME COMES TO BE DISCUSSING ALL THE DEPARTMENT HAS ON THEIR PLATES. THIS IS OTHERWISE A FIRST READING OF A BY-LAW GOOD NEWS STORY FOR THE SECONDARY PLAN AMENDMENTS WITH RESPECT TO THE HENDERSON HIGHWAY CORRIDOR SECONDARY PLAN.

SPEAKER: ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? ITEM 7. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: I LOOK FORWARD TO THAT. I HAVE TO ADMIT I AM NOT IN COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE PROCESS IS FROM HERE ON IN. I AM TOLD FIRST READING. WE ARE DISREGARDING THE AMENDMENT THAT WAS MADE BY COMMUNITY COUNCIL REGARDING THAT FOR PLAN DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY. COUNCILLOR FOR THE AREA. SHERRY ROLLINS SAID GOOD NEWS STORY. ON THAT BASIS I LOOK FORWARD TO THAT. FOR THE LAST 8 YEARS WE HAVE BEEN LOOKING FOR CHANGING THE MAKING CHANGES TO THE SECONDARY PLAN SINCE 2016. I DID TAKE A PHOTO IN 2017 AT FORT GARRY PLACE. WE HAVE BEEN LOOKING FOR YEARS FOR A NEW SECONDARY PLAN. IT IS UNUSUAL BECAUSE THE SECONDARY PLAN NEGOTIATIONS TEND TO TAKE PLACE WITH A BUSINESS, SUCH ORGANIZATIONS WE DID NOT HAVE. WE HAD THE RENEWAL CORPORATION AND RIVER WOOD CHURCH AND NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION WITH NUMEROUS MEETINGS WITH THE CITY OF WINNIPEG PLANNING PROPERTY OFFICE. WE WERE HOPING TO GET THE NEW SECONDARY PLAN. WE WERE TOLD WE COULDN'T BECAUSE THERE WAS NO FUNDING TO DEVELOP THAT PLAN. THAT WAS BACK IN 2016-2017 THIS. IS ON RECORD. THERE MIGHT BE SOME MISUNDERSTANDING OR OTHER PERCEPTION AS TO WHAT TOOK PLACE SINCE 2016 OR 2017. THAT IS WHAT I WAS TOLD. THAT IS WHAT MEMBERS OF THE GROUP WERE TOLD WHEN THEY MET WITH PROPERTY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT AT FORT GARRY PLACE OVER THE YEARS. IT HAPPENS TO BE THAT I SAW THIS COMING. THERE WOULD BE A MOVE TO RESCIND THE CURRENT SECONDARY PLAN WHICH IS THE OLDEST OR SECOND OLDEST SECONDARY PLAN IN THE CITY WHICH STARTS FROM THE EARLY 1980s, 1981 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. NO DOUBT IT IS TIME AND WE FELT TIME. WE FELT SINCE MY FIRST TIME THAT IT WAS TIME TO AMEND THE SECOND OLDEST SECONDARY PLAN IN THE CITY. WE COULDN'T DO THAT. OTHER SECONDARY PLANS WERE CREATED IN OTHER PARTS OF THE CITY INCLUDING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OVERLAYS. WE COULDN'T GET ONE. I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS SINCE 2016-2017. I OFFERED TO USE WARD BUDGET TO HELP PAY FOR A PLANNER SO THAT MEMBERS OF OUR COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS IN THE COMMUNITY COULD CONTINUE NEGOTIATING ON WORKING WITH THE PROPERTY AND PLANNING DEVELOPMENT OFFICE IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE SECONDARY PLAN AS THERE WERE NUMEROUS NEW SECONDARY AND AMENDED SECONDARY PLANS THAT DECADE DURING THE 2010s. REASONS FOR US TO WANT THIS. IN FACT, THE GLENN HILL NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION WAS RE-GALVANIZED AND REFORMED BECAUSE OF ISSUES OVER ZONING AND COMMUNITY PRIORITIES RELATED TO SECONDARY PLAN. ONE WAS HAVING A PAWNSHOP AT CORNER OF HENDERSON. I WAS REMINDED BY NEIGHBORS OF MY NEIGHBORHOOD THAT EVERY OTHER

SECONDARY PLAN IN THAT DECADE HAD STATED NO NEW PAWNSHOPS. THE PAWNSHOPS THAT DID EXIST ARE THERE BECAUSE THEY WERE GRANDFATHERED. THIS IS DISCUSSION WITH THE CITY OVER LAST 8 YEARS. WHAT COULD I SAY? IT WAS FAIR. REALISTIC AND IT WAS MIMICKING CONCERNS OF OTHER COMMUNITIES AND NEIGHBORHOODS ENCOURAGED AND SUPPORTED BY LOCAL CITY COUNCILLORS WHEN IT CAME TO SUCH PRIORITIES. WE WEREN'T ASKING FOR MUCH DIFFERENT. I DON'T KNOW THE RESULT OF HAVING THAT SECONDARY PLAN WOULD BE. I DO KNOW THAT THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE PUT IN A LOT OF TIME IN TERMS OF WANTING THE NEW SECONDARY PLAN. HAPPENS TO BE 8 YEARS LATER WE HAVE GOT A MOTION TO RESCIND THE SECONDARY PLAN WITHOUT A NEW ONE EVEN THOUGH IT WAS OLDEST. WORKING ON THIS FOR 8 YEARS. HERE WE ARE. HAPPENS TO BE IN GOOD FAITH, GOODWILL WHICH I HAVE NEVER SEEN BEFORE. STILL AN ACT OF GOODWILL MY FELLOW COUNCILORS IN MY COMMITTEE MOVED A MOTION AGAINST THE LOCAL COUNCILLOR A REPORT IN 365 DAYS TO THE COMMITTEE ON PLANNED OVERLAY FOR THAT VERY AREA OR PART OF THAT AREA. IN THIS CASE ALTHOUGH I DO APPRECIATE THE CONCERN HERE AS EXPRESSED IN THAT AMENDMENT, TO ME I HAVE TO STAND IN JUDGMENT OF THE PROCESS IN WHICH WE WERE DOING EVERYTHING WE COULD TO AMEND THE SECOND OLDEST PLAN, SECONDARY PLAN IN THE CITY. I THINK PEOPLE CAN UNDERSTAND THAT WAS JUSTIFIED. WE DIDN'T DO THAT. ALL WE HAVE IS RESCINDING OF THAT SECONDARY PLAN. SOME WOULD SAY AND I GET IT. THEY WOULD SAY HAVING NO PLAN IS BETTER THAN HAVING THIS CURRENT SECONDARY PLAN. YEAH, I KIND OF AGREE. IT IS JUST IT HAPPENS TO BE THAT WASN'T THE CASE FOR OTHER SECONDARY PLANS CREATED IN THE SAME DECADE. ON THAT BASIS IT IS INCONSISTENT. IT DOESN'T SAY WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A NEW SECONDARY PLAN OR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY. ON THAT BASIS IF THAT MIGHT BE THE CASE WHICH IS NOT OBVIOUS TO ME. I DON'T KNOW WHEN THAT IS GOING TO BE. I STAND IN JUDGMENT ON THE PROCESS SAYING THIS IS NOT ADEQUATE. BY THIS TIME WE COULD HAVE HAD A NEW SECONDARY PLAN. WE DIDN'T. ALL WE HAVE IS NO SECOND PLAN AND NO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY. IT DIDN'T HAVE TO BE THIS WAY. I DISAGREE. I BELIEVE I WILL BE VOTING ALONE I WILL VOTE AGAINST THE RESENDING OF THE SECONDARY PLAN FOR HENDERSON HIGHWAY CORRIDOR.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR BROWATY FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: I RISE IN SUPPORT OF THE REPORT AND AMENDMENT TO ASK PUBLIC SERVICE TO LOOK INTO DOING THE OVERLAY AND THAT IT PROCEED WITHOUT THAT REQUIREMENT. CONTRARY TO COUNCILLOR SCHREYER'S COMMENTS, I WOULD SAY THE MAJORITY OF HENDERSON HIGHWAY IS IN NORTH KILDONAN WARD. IT STRETCHES AS FAR NORTH AS THE CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL. SINCE I HAVE BEEN ON COUNCIL AND SCHREYER HAS BEEN ON COUNCIL. THIS COUNCIL ADOPTED OUR WINNIPEG AND COMPLETE 2.0 DIRECTION STRATEGY. IT HAS A LOT OF THE PLANNING PRINCIPLES THAT ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE AN ORDERLY DEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA OF HENDERSON HIGHWAY. AGAIN, THERE IS A LOT OF THINGS THAT ARE IN THE SECONDARY PLAN AT THIS TIME CONTRARY TO OUR OVERALL PLANNING DOCUMENTS. I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT AT THE PUBLIC HEARING THERE WAS ONLY SUPPORT FOR THIS APPLICATION. NO PARTIES REGISTERED IN OPPOSITION. SOME GROUPS THAT HAVE IN THE PAST IMPRESSED CONCERNS WERE SUPPORTIVE. THEY RECOGNIZE 2.0 IS BETTER THAN PLANNING PRINCIPLES IN THE DATED SECONDARY PLAN. I AM NOT SAYING I SUPPORT LOOKING AT PLAN DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY. I DON'T THINK NORTHERN PARTS UNDER THE SECONDARY PLAN SHOULD HAVE IT. THE PREVIOUS REPORT WAS SECONDARY PLAN OLDER THAT HAD THE TRAIL NORTH. I AM EXCITED TO SEE THAT RESCINDED. IT IS MORE REGRESSIVE COMPARED TO PLANNING PRINCIPLES. I

ENCOURAGE EVERYBODY TO VOTE FOR THIS. I WOULD WAGER THAT THE MAJORITY OF AREA COVERED BY THE SECONDARY PLAN IS IN NORTH KILDONA WARN NOT EAST KILDONA.

SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. WHY IS CITY COUNCILLOR FROM THE MYNARSKI WARD. I HAVE SAT ON APPEAL HEARINGS RELATED TO THE SECONDARY PLAN RESCINDED, MADAM SPEAKER. I WANTED TO JUST SPEAK IN CONTEXT TO THE BIGGER PICTURE. WE HAVE THIS WHAT DO THEY CALL IT PACKAGE NUMBER 2 OF ZONING AMENDMENTS FOR MALLS AND CORRIDORS. SOCCER ACADEMY IS A CORRIDOR, HENDERSON HIGHWAY IS A CORRIDOR. ST. MARY'S ROAD AND ON AND ON I COULD GO, RIGHT? I DON'T KNOW WHAT IS COMING OUT OF THAT SECOND PACKAGE OF AMENDMENTS. A NUMBER OF THEM I KNOW I AM NOT GOING TO LIKE. GOOD-BYE LDR. WHICH ARE COMMUNITY COMMITTEES HIGHLY DEPENDENT ON BECAUSE WE DON'T PUT ENOUGH MONEY IN THE REGULAR BUDGET TO TAKE CARE OF OUR PARKS, REC FACILITIES AND SO ON. WE HAVE A HIGH NEED TO UTILIZE THAT MONEY, BUT I JUST WANTED, YOU KNOW, I BELIEVE THERE IS GOING TO BE A NEW PDO CORRIDOR'S PDO. IT IS GOING TO BE APPLIED TO THE CORRIDORS OF WINNIPEG. IN THAT CASE THEN WHAT IS THE POINT OF HAVING A SECONDARY PLAN ALONG HENDERSON HIGHWAY WHEN SOMETHING NEW IS COMING DOWN THE PIKE NOT TOO LONG FROM NOW. THANKS. >>SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER. SEEING NONE. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: GOOD COMMENTS BY EVERYONE. IT IS THE INTENT TO BE THE CONSISTENT WITH LAND USE COUNCIL DIRECTED. THERE IS AN IMPLICIT CHALLENGE HERE THAT IS PRESENT IN THE MOTION THAT COMMUNITY COMMITTEE SENT UP TO PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT. I HAVE MIXED FEELINGS ABOUT WHAT WE DID. I THINK IT IS OKAY TO SAY THAT. I HAVE TO LEAN TO THE WORK. I AM NOT GOING ANYWHERE FOR THE NEXT MONTH OR SO. I HAVE MIXED FEELINGS. IT COULD HAVE GONE EITHER WAY AT COMMITTEE. FOR SURE THE MAIN PART OF WHAT WE ARE DOING TODAY IS SUPPORTED AND THAT IS GOOD TO HEAR WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS MORE TO DO, I HAVE A MORE GRANULAR NATURE TO SUPPORT PLAN DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY I AM FOR SURE OPEN TO THAT AS NEXT STEP. SO MOVED FOR TODAY.

SPEAKER: THANK YOU. I CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM 7.

CAN WE GET CLARIFICATION? I BELIEVE I HEARD COUNCILLOR ROLLINS MENTION WHAT IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE MOTION THAT WAS MOVED AT COMMUNITY COMMITTEE? I AM NOT CERTAIN WHETHER THE AMENDMENT MOVED IS PART OF THE MOTION. I WANT A CLARIFICATION ON THAT.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THAT IS FAIR. I MAY HAVE MISSPOKEN. THE MOTION FROM COMMUNITY COMMITTEE THAT RECOMMENDED RECOMMENDATION 3 TO RENUMBER WITH RESPECT TO THE PDO IS NOT INCLUDED. WHAT IS INCLUDED, OF COURSE, IS THAT WE GIVE FIRST READING TO THE BY-LAW WHICH IS TO REPEAL THE HENDERSON HIGHWAY CORRIDOR ACTION PLAN AND CONTINUE IN THAT FASHIONABLE LINED WITH COMPLETE COMMUNITIES 2.0, MADAM SPEAKER. I HEARD A LOT OF SUPPORT FOR THAT TODAY IN CLOSING. LIKE I SAID, I AM INTERESTED IN CONTINUING LOOKING AT THE MOTION THAT THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE AND E.P.C. DIDN'T MOVE FORWARD AND SEPARATE PROCESS TO TALK ABOUT DEVELOPMENT OF PDOs THAT ARE DESIRED AND SOME THAT ARE UNDERWAY LIKE THAT OF COUNCILLOR SANTOS WITH POINT DOUGLAS SECONDARY PLAN UNDERWAY. >>SPEAKER: QUESTION ON ITEM 7. IN FAVOUR: . CONTRARY. CARRIED. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER IN OPPOSITION.

I WOULD LIKE TO WAIVE THE RULES TO NOT WEAR A JACKET.

>>SPEAKER: THANK YOU. IN FAVOUR. THAT IS CARRIED. WE WILL MOVE TO BYLAWS UNDERPERFORMED P AND D FOR FIRST READING ONLY.

I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE FOLLOWING BYLAWS.

COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: 67 A CONFLICT.

WE WILL DEAL WITH OTHERS FIRST. MADAM CHAIR.

I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE BY-LAW 60, 61, 65, 66, 68, 69, 70, 7 9 ALL OF 2024. >>SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR: .

AYE.

SPEAKER: CARRIED. 60, 61, 65, 66, 68, 69, 70, 79 OF 2024.

THANK YOU. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE BY-LAW 67 OF 2024. >>SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR: . CONTRARY. CARRIED.

67 OF 2024.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: MOVE THE FOLLOWING BY-LAWS READ SECOND TIME. 139, 80, 87 OF 2023.

SPEAKER: IN FAVOUR: CARRIED.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: 139, 65 OF 2023. 80 OF 2023, 87 OF 2023.

MOVE THAT BY-LAWS 139, 65, 80, 87 OF 2023 BE READ THIRD TIME AND PASSED AND SIGNED AND SEALED.

SPEAKER: IN FAVOUR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. WE WILL HAVE QUESTION PERIOD UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. ANY QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. COUNCILLOR EADIE FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: YES, MADAM SPEAKER. I FIND MYSELF THINKING WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE WITH AMENDMENTS TO THE BY-LAWS AS COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW REFLECTED. I WAS THINKING OF THESE. THERE IS 75-FOOT FRONT LOTS ALONG SKOTIA, FOR EXAMPLE, WITH 200 FEET DEEP. 15,000 SQUARE FEET WHICH MEANS THE FOOTPRINT OF ANY BUILDING TO BE BUILT ON THERE IS 4500 SQUARE FEET ON THE MAIN FLOOR. YOU COULD HAVE TWO, 2250-FOOT HOMES. THEN ON SECOND FLOOR YOU COULD HAVE TWO, 2250 SQUARE FOOT HOMES. WHEN THE RIGHT FOUR UNITS COMES ALONG. DON'T YOU THINK IT WOULD BE BETTER IF WE ALLOWED PEOPLE DEVELOPING LOTS TO DO NOT DO THAT BUILD A SINGLE-FAMILY HOME ON A BIT LESS WIDE OF A LOT?

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS?

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: MADAM SPEAKER, THE POINT OF MY CONSIDERATION IN LOOKING AT LOTS IS TO NOT MATERIALLY DECREASE THE VIABILITY OF THE DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL ON THE LOT. AND I CAN SEE THAT RIVER LOTS CAN BE MORE COMPLICATED. THERE'S A GREAT DIVERSITY OF RIVER LOTS IN THIS CITY. I THINK OF THOSE ALONG RIVIERA CRESCENT, WHERE I REPRESENT. I THINK OF THOSE ALONG HOUSTON ROAD THAT COUNCILLOR MAYES REPRESENTS AND THOSE ALONG SCOTIA. SO IT'S DIFFICULT TO TALK ABOUT RIVER LOTS, BECAUSE THEY ARE JUST NOT ALL, MADAM SPEAKER. THAT'S SORT OF WHAT I THINK ABOUT WHEN I'M CONSIDERING AMENDMENTS AND WHEN I'M CONSIDERING, YOU KNOW, DISCUSSING THE SUBJECT WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE, WHICH I'M HAPPY TO GO FORWARD AND DO AGAIN. CERTAINLY, THERE HAS BEEN SOME DISCUSSIONS ALREADY GIVEN THE PUBLIC HEARING, AND THE CONSIDERATION THAT I TRY TO GIVE TO EACH ONE OF THE COUNCIL MEMBERS, THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. YOU KNOW, SO YOU KNOW, MADAM SPEAKER. YOU KNOW, WHEN I'M IN A PUBLIC HEARING. EVEN IF I DON'T HOLD THE POSITION MYSELF, MY TYPICAL PROCESS IS TO WRITE A MOTION SO I HAVE A SENSE OF WHERE WE MIGHT BE GOING. SOME ARE EASIER TO WRITE THAN OTHERS. AND CERTAINLY, RIVER LOTS, BECAUSE THEY ARE JUST NOT LIKE ALL A LOT LIKE THE LOTS IN THE CITY. IT'S NOT ONE SIZE FITS BUT IN TERMS OF PRINCIPLE, I CAN START OFF THAT WAY, SAYING I CONSIDER WHAT WOULD MATERIALLY IMPACT THE VIABILITY OF DEVELOPMENT ON THE LOT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT QUESTION. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

MADAM SPEAKER, I'M WONDERING IF THE CHAIR PERSON THINKS WHETHER OR NOT THAT WOULD CHANGE THE CHARACTERISTIC OF THE STREET BECAUSE THERE WOULDN'T HAVE TO BE ANY APPEALS. THERE'S NO VARIANCES OR ANYTHING. DO YOU THINK THAT WILL CHANGE THE CHARACTER OF THOSE STREETS?

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS?

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: SORRY, MADAM SPEAKER. I'M GOING TO NEED COUNCILLOR EADIE TO REPEAT THE QUESTION. I HAD A FEW PEOPLE IN MY EAR AT THE TIME. I APOLOGIZE. PLEASE RESTATE THE QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: MADAM SPEAKER, I CAN BUILD A TWO-STOREY HOUSE. I CAN GET A FOOTPRINT FOR A BUILDING AND I'M WONDERING, AGAIN I DID TALK ABOUT IT, BUT DOESN'T THESE CHAIR PERSON THINK THAT THAT WOULD CHANGE THE CHARACTER OF THE STREET BECAUSE THERE'S NO NEED FOR VARIANCES OR ANYTHING. THEY CAN JUST GO AHEAD AND DO IT.

I DIDN'T HEAR THAT WE WERE STILL SPECIFICALLY TALKING ABOUT RIVER LOTS.

COUNCILLOR: BUT I DO HAVE A HANDY DANDY SHEET I CREATED MYSELF. I THINK ONCE I CONFIRM THIS DAY IT, I'M HAPPY TO FORWARD IT ON. I THINK IT'S GOOD FODDER FOR CONVERSATION. BUT YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER, YOU KNOW, A FEW VARIABLES. AND THAT IS, YOU KNOW, TO HAVE THESE CONVERSATIONS, YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER WHAT WE'RE DOING ON THE PROPERTY AND WHAT THE LOT FRONTAGE IS, WHAT THE LOT LENGTH IS, THE LOT SIZE TO BEGIN WITH, AND THEN MOVE ONTO OTHER VARIABLES, PERHAPS, LIKE HEIGHT OR SIDE YARD, OR WHAT THE FRONT YARD IS, AND MAKE SOME -- MAKE SOME REALLY SPECIFIC THOUGHTS ON WHAT WE'RE DOING, WHAT BACKYARD MINIMUMS ARE. SO WITHOUT SAYING WHAT WE'RE BUILDING, YOU STOREY. SORRY, COUNCILLOR EADIE SAID TWO STOREY, 30% COVERAGE. BUT I MISSED THE LOT SIZE. SO I THINK THE BEST WAY TO GO ABOUT A CONVERSATION LIKE THIS IS TO REALLY LOOK AT THE HANDY GRID THAT I DEVELOPED IN AREA

ONE AND TWO, TO KIND OF LOOK AT WHAT WE'RE DOING THAT'S RIGHT, WHAT IS REZONED OR WOULD BE A CONDITIONAL USE, AND GO FROM THERE, AND PERHAPS, YOU KNOW, ALL OF COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO ENGAGE IN A GRID. I KNOW, YOU KNOW, I'M REALLY GRATEFUL TO HAVE DEVELOPED THIS FOR MYSELF. I THINK I'LL SHARE IT WITH ALL THE COUNCIL, SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. IN CONTEXT OF THE NEXT RESCINDING OF THE SECONDARY PLAN, IN THE CONTEXT OF THE COUNCILLOR'S REMARKS. IN THE DISCUSSION WITH THE CITY, WITH PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT, WE NEVER SAID THAT IT HAD TO BE MAINTAINED IN THE PLAN. COULD IT JUST BE FOR ELMWOOD, EAST KILDONAN. IF IT WAS BEING FULLY SUPPORTED BY THESE PEOPLE, THE QUESTION WOULD BE RESCINDING THE PLAN. IT WAS ALWAYS ABOUT AMENDING IT. COUNCILLOR MENTIONED THE FACT IT GOES CONTRARY TO WINNIPEG, WHY SOME OF US WANTED TO AMEND THE PLAN. HAVING SAID THAT, IF THE ISSUE IS BEING CONSISTENT WITH THE PLAN OF WINNIPEG AND IT'S PUT INTO QUESTION OUR WISH AND WILL TO HAVE A NEW SECONDARY PLAN, DOES THAT, THERE THE INTO QUESTION THE RELEVANCE OF THE SECONDARY PLAN THAT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED IN THE LAST DECADE?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS?

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. IT'S NICE TO HAVE NICE LIGHT QUESTIONS. NO. OVER THE PAST FEW MONTHS I'VE BEEN REALLY LOOKING AT THE SECONDARY PLANS WE HAVE QUITE BEAUTIFUL WORK THAT'S BEEN DONE OVER TIME. THAT FORM-BASED CODE YOU GOT IN TRANSCONA. IS THAT IS AN INTERESTING POLICY DOCUMENT, SLASH, WHAT I WOULD CHARACTERIZE AS A PICTORIAL ACADEMY ROAD CORRIDOR. I BELIEVE THIS IS THE CASE HERE. IT IS TO SAY THAT IT IS IMPORTANT THAT, YOU KNOW, I THINK IN THE DISCUSSION THAT YOU CAN READ IN THE MINUTES AND THE REPORT, THAT THE EAST KILDONAN AND TRANSCONA COMMUNITY SEEM TO BE LOOK AT A PLAN TO CONSIDER THE AREA COVERED BY THE HENDERSON HIGHWAY CORRIDOR SECONDARY PLAN AND ALSO MAYBE BEYOND. I'M INTERESTED IN THAT WORK. YOU KNOW, AND TO CONSIDER FURTHER WITH BOTH THE AREA COUNCILLORS, AND THERE'S MORE THAN ONE THAT SHARES HENDERSON AS A CORRIDOR. BUT IT IS THE CASE THAT THIS COUNCIL AND PREVIOUS COUNCIL DIRECTED SOME HEALTHY POLICY OF OUR WINNIPEG COMPLETE COMMUNITIES. IT IS SPECIFIC TO HENDERSON AS A CORRIDOR, AND IT DOES CONTRADICT THE COUNCIL'S STRATEGIC PLAN. AND SO IT'S NOW WITHIN A REPEAL PROCESS. BUT THAT REPEAL PROCESS HAD BEEN A LONG TIME COMING. I UNDERSTAND, TOO. SO THAT'S IMPORTANT TO SAY, AS WELL. BUT IT DOESN'T NEGATE, I THINK, SOME OF THE REALLY GOOD WORK WE HAVE IN OUR POLICY FRAMEWORK THAT INCLUDES OUR WINNIPEG COMPLETE COMMUNITIES, THAT INCLUDES THE BYLAWS THAT WE HAVE, AND INCLUDING THE SECONDARY PLANS AND THE PLAN DEVELOPMENT OVERLAYS. THE HERITAGE DESIGNATION BYLAWS THAT DONE TO TRY AND CITY BUILD. SO THAT'S ALL REALLY IMPORTANT WORK, AND, YOU KNOW, THERE'S A LOT OF QUITE BEAUTIFUL WORK THAT IS GRANULAR, THAT REFLECTS THE NEIGHBORHOOD DESIRES TO CITY BUILD AND BUILD DENSITY. AND GET GOING. SO IT DOESN'T NEGATE WHAT WE'VE DONE IN THE LAST DECADE AT ALL. AS A MATTER OF FACT, A LOT OF IT IS REALLY FIRMING, BUT I APPRECIATE THE QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU. ON THAT BASIS, WOULD IT BE IRRELEVANT FOR THE STAKEHOLDER OF THE CORRIDOR TO CONTINUE WORKING WITH PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT TO CREATE A SECONDARY PLAN.

CERTAINLY THINK THAT MY DOOR IS OPEN TO THE PLANNING PROCESS. I'VE TALKED EARLIER WHEN WE WERE CLOSING UP THE PUBLIC HEARING THAT IT'S MY INTENT TO TRY AND GET MORE PEOPLE TO THE PLANNING TABLE THAN NOT. THAT'S WHY, YOU KNOW, I'M IN FAVOUR OF THE CURRENT PROCESS THAT WE HAVE, THAT THE DEPARTMENT IS LEADING US THROUGH TO, WITH RESPECT TO THE WORK ON REZONING AND THE BASKET WORK. OR WHAT I'M CALLING THREE BITES OF THE APPLE, TO GET TO A NEW ZONING BYLAW FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. THERE IS ALWAYS LOCAL WORK. I HAVE SECONDARY PLANS, AND I, YOU KNOW, THAT WAS WELL WORTH IT. I JUST RENEWED -- DID A REALLY BRIEF RENEWAL OF ONE OF THEM, AND I OBVIOUSLY THINK THAT WAS WORTH IT, AND I THINK THE OSBORNE VILLAGE, AS WELL AS OSBORNE VILLAGE FELT IT WAS WORTH IT. SO THAT, TO EACH HIS OWN, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: FINAL QUESTIONS. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER?

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS HAS SECONDARY PLANS. WE DO NOT. IT'S BEING RESCINDED. I WAS TOLD IT'S UP TO THE COUNCILLOR TO THINK WHETHER IT'S A WASTE OF TIME FOR THE COMMUNITY TO WORK WITH A SECONDARY PLAN. WHAT CAN I SAY? I NOW HEAR IT WAS A LONG TIME COMING THAT THIS WOULD BE RESCINDED. WOULD IT BE ACKNOWLEDGED FOR COUNCILLOR WHY WHY I TAKE THE STAND? I DO ON THIS. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: I WOULD INVITE THE COUNCILLOR TO TALK TO ME ABOUT THE PARTICULAR STANCE THAT HE'S TAKING. I SEE A BROADER APPEAL HERE IN THE MOTION THAT WAS WRITTEN BY STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE, SOMETHING BROADER THAN THE CURRENT HENDERSON CORRIDOR, THAT, YOU KNOW, REPEALING AND SO THAT, YOU KNOW, I, OF COURSE, IN ACKNOWLEDGING OF A LOCAL COUNCILLOR THAT WANTS TO SEE AND GET INVOLVED IN THE PLANNING PROCESS AND THINK THAT THEIR RESIDENTS HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY WITH RESPECT TO THE PLANNING PROCESS THAT CAN'T BE FOUND IN THE COMPLETE COMMUNITY'S DIRECTION AND THE POLICY THAT WE'VE ADOPTED IN COUNCIL. SO INSOFAR AS THAT WE WORK TO ENSURE THAT CITY DEVELOPMENT ANDS-RELATED DECISIONS ARE UNDERSTOOD AT THE LOCAL LEVEL, I THINK THAT THAT'S REALLY EASY FOR ME TO ACKNOWLEDGE AS CHAIR, PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NO FURTHER SPEAKERS AT THIS TIME? NEXT IS THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON WATER AND WASTE AND DEVELOPMENT. JUST BEFORE WE DO THAT, COUNCILLOR MAYES, WE DID HAVE OTHER MOTIONS CIRCULATED UNDER THE PREVIOUS COMMITTEE. I'LL ASK YOU IT TAKE A SEAT FOR THE MOMENT. IT IS AMENDING -- PARDON ME, MOTION 8 MOVED BY AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DOBSON. THIS WILL BE AN AUTOMATIC REFERRAL TO THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE. THE TOPIC IS AFFORDABLE HOUSING. SECONDLY, MOTION NINE MOVED BY COUNCIL, SECOND BY COUNCILSON REGARDING A FEE FOR NEIGHBORHOODS. THAT IS ALSO AN AUTOMATIC REFERRAL. NOW THE COMMITTEE ON WATER AND WASTE. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. EVEN I WOULD SAY THERE ARE SOME THINGS YOU SHOULDN'T DO IN HOUSE. LIQUID OXYGEN WOULD PROBABLY BE HIGH ON THAT LIST AS SOMETHING WE CAN CONTRACT OUT. SO I WILL MOVE ITEM NUMBER ONE, WHICH IS A CONTRACT

WITH THE WONDERFULLY AND NONIRONICALLY NAMED AIR LIQUIDE CORPORATION TO PROVIDE US WITH LIQUID OXYGEN.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR THAT. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. NO ONE IS DISAGREEING WITH YOU. NEXT IS THE -- WE'LL MOVE ON TO QUESTION PERIOD NEXT UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. ANY QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR MAYES? SEEING NONE, STANDING POLL THE TEE ON COMMUNITY SERVICES. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. I'D LIKE TO MOVE ITEMS ONE THROUGH FOUR AND ITEM SIX ON THE REPORT OF MAY 8TH STANDING POLICY ON COMMUNITY SERVICES.

MADAM SPEAKER: THREE WILL BE STOOD DOWN. THERE'S AMENDING MOTIONS. RECREATION CAMPUS. ANY OTHERS? COUNCILLOR GILROY. THAT IS THE STRATEGIC PLAN? ITEM ONE. WINNIPEG PLAY SPORTS STRATEGIC PLAN. ANY OTHERS? I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON 2, 4, 5. PARDON ME?

MADAM SPEAKER, ITEMS 1-4 AND 6. I UNDERSTAND THERE ARE OTHERS THAT ARE STOOD DOWN. I'D LIKE TO REFER TO ITEM NUMBER 5.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR THAT. I'LL CALL THE ITEM 2 4, AND 6. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED. MADAM CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM ONE, 2024 WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD STRATEGIC PLAN UPDATE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN ON THE REPORT.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'LL WAIT TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: I'M IN SUPPORT OF THIS. I JUST WANTED TO RISE AND TALK ABOUT ISSUES WE'RE HAVING IN OUR COMMUNITY AROUND SAFETY, AND REALLY, A KEY ROLE THAT I FEEL THE POLICE BOARD NEED TO TAKE IN TERMS OF YOU KNOW, TRYING TO BRING TOGETHER GROUPS THAT ARE REALLY FRACTIONED. THAT WOULD BE A LITTLE BIT ON THE ONUS, I THINK, ON US COUNCILLORS AS WELL. PART OF THAT FRACTION IS A COMMUNITY THAT'S FEELING FRACTIONS AGAINST OUR POLICE FORCE. AND ALSO, ANOTHER SIDE OF IT IS MAYBE OUR POLICE FORCE FEELING A LITTLE BIT FRACTIONED AGAINST OUR COMMUNITY AND AGAINST US AS COUNCIL. ON DECISIONS THAT WE'RE MAKING. SO I REALLY THINK THAT WE NEED TO DO SOME UNITY WORK AROUND OUR SAFETY IN OUR COMMUNITY, AND AROUND POLICING. AND SO I'M REALLY HOPING THAT THROUGH THE CHAIR -- I KNOW THAT COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS IS OUR POLICE BOARD CHAIR. JUST REALLY HOPING THAT THE BOARD REALLY INVESTS IN THIS WORK, BECAUSE WE ARE SEEING MORE AND MORE PEOPLE THAT ARE REALLY STRUGGLING OUT THERE. AND IN THIS STRUGGLE, THEY ARE DOING THINGS THAT REALLY THE INTERVENTION OF THE POLICE, UNFORTUNATELY. AND WHEN THAT HAPPENS, THERE'S A FRACTION WITHIN OUR COMMUNITY THAT REALLY FEELS THAT, YOU KNOW, THE POLICE SHOULDN'T BE INTERACTING. HOWEVER, THERE IS A SAFETY NEED FOR THE PUBLIC. SO I FEEL THAT WE REALLY NEED TO BRIDGE THE GAP BETWEEN THOSE FRACTIONS, AND I THINK THAT THERE IS FRACTIONS WITHIN OUR POLICE SERVICE FROM THE WORK THAT WE DID IN DEALING WITH OUR PENSION. AND REALLY BRING INTO LIGHT THAT WE ALSO SUPPORT THE WORK THAT THEY ARE DOING EVERY DAY. A LOT OF THEM

PUT THEMSELVES ON THE LINE, AND OFTEN, HAVE FELT THE STRUGGLE OF THE COMMUNITY THAT ARE REALLY STRUGGLING AGAINST THE POLICE RIGHT NOW. SO I THINK A LOT OF WORK NEEDS TO BE DONE TO BRIDGE THOSE GAPS, AND TO BRING GROUPS TOGETHER TO REALLY WORK ON WHAT WE'RE SEEING AS A REALLY INTERESTING TIME IN OUR COMMUNITY IN TERMS OF SAFETY. SO I HOPE THAT COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS TAKES THOSE WORDS BACK AND ANYTHING I COULD DO TO HELP IN THOSE REGARDS, I'D BE HAPPY TO. I'M REALLY FEELING IT WITHIN MY COMMUNITY, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE'S PEOPLE THAT DON'T WANT THE POLICE, AND THERE'S PEOPLE THAT DO WANT THE POLICE. I FEEL THE POLICE ARE REALLY TORN EVEN BETWEEN POLICIES AND ISSUES THAT WE ARE TAKING ON AS COUNCIL AND NEED SOME DIRECTION. AND THAT'S WHAT WE, AS LEADERS, ARE HERE TO DO. THROUGH YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, THROUGH THE CHAIR TO COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. I HOPE YOU BRING THAT BACK. I WANT TO THANK THE BOARD FOR THE WORK THEY ARE DOING. THIS IS TOUGH TIMES. REALLY HARD ISSUES AND COMPLEX ISSUES THAT YOU'RE DEALING WITH. I WANT TO THANK THEM AND KNOW THAT I AM HERE TO HELP WITH ANYTHING THAT MAYED TO TAKE ON, BECAUSE WE ARE LEADERS HERE IN THE CITY, AND I THINK WE OUGHT TO STEP UP AND HELP MITIGATE THE ISSUES WE'RE SEEING AROUND SAFETY. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU COUNCILLOR GILROY. COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR AND THROUGH YOU TO COUNCILLOR GILROY. I APPRECIATE THE WORDS YOU HAVE SPOKEN AND PUT ON THE PUBLIC RECORD. THE BOARD, IN TERMS OF THIS STRATEGIC PLAN, IT'S SIMPLY AN UPDATE IN TERMS OF THIS YEAR, 2024. WE, AS A BOARD, HAVE DECIDED TO PUT OFF OUR FOUR-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN, YOU KNOW, FROM 2025 AND ON WARDS TO WORK WITH THE NEW CHIEF. ONCE THAT NEW CHIEF IS HIRED AND MAKE SURE THERE'S NEW INPUT FROM THAT STANDPOINT. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I CAN SAY IN TERMS OF PARTNERSHIPS AND COLLABORATIONS IS THE BOARD HAS REACHED OUT TO ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL TO PROVIDE A SEMINAR, OR PROVIDE A LUNCH AND LEARN, OR SOME OPPORTUNITY WHERE WE CAN GET THE FEEDBACK AND INPUT FROM EACH OF THE 15 COUNCILLORS AND MAYOR THAT MAKE UP THIS COUNCIL. SO WHEN THE OPPORTUNITY DOES PRESENT ITSELF, I HOPE YOU TAKE IT AS A PRIORITY TO ATTEND A MEETING WITH THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD SO THAT WE CAN HEAR YOUR INPUT, AND YOU CAN GET AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE WORK WE'RE DOING IN COLLABORATION WITH THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE. YOU KNOW, IT'S BEEN SAID THAT MAYOR GILLINGHAM HAS TALKED ABOUT A COMMUNITY SAFETY PLAN. THE PREMIER HAS TALKED ABOUT A COMMUNITY SAFETY PLAN. EARLIER THIS MONTH, I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO ATTEND A SUMMIT ORGANIZED BY THE PREMIER'S OFFICE WITH REGARDS TO A COMMUNITY SAFETY PLAN. AND THE COLLABORATION THAT CAME OUT OFS TRULY INVALUABLE. THERE WAS A LOT OF TALK ABOUT COMMUNITY -- OUT OF THAT WAS TRULY INVALUABLE. THERE'S A LOT OF TALK ABOUT PARTNERSHIPS, AND A LOT OF WORK THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO ADDRESS THOSE WHO ARE VULNERABLE IN THE COMMUNITY, GETTING THEM THE HELP AND SUPPORT THEY NEED, SO WE CAN WORK TOGETHER AND MAKE SURE THERE'S AFFORDABLE HOUSING, THAT THERE'S ADDICTIONS TREATMENT THAT WILL HELP STABILIZE INDIVIDUALS. AND THEN THE NATURAL TRANSITION TOWARDS STABILIZING THEM AND GETTING THEM BACK INTO CONTRIBUTING MEMBERS OF OUR SOCIETY. SO AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR YOUR KIND WORDS. I KNOW THAT IT DOES MEAN' LOT TO THE MEMBERS THAT ARE OUT THERE ON A DAILY BASIS AND FACING HOSTILITY FROM CERTAIN SEGMENTS OF OUR POPULATION. IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE, AS A COUNCIL, ACKNOWLEDGE THE WORK THEY DO, RECOGNIZING NOT EVERY POLICE OFFICER SHOULD BE A POLICE OFFICER. BUT FOR THOSE THAT WORK, THAT ARE DEDICATED TOWARDS HELPING OUR COMMUNITY AND MAKING SURE

THAT THEIR SERVICE IS EXEMPLARY, WE SHOULD BE SUPPORTING THOSE ACTIONS. SO THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR KIND WORDS. AND LIKE I SAID, WHEN THE BOARD REACHES OUT TO ORGANIZE A MEETING, I HOPE THAT YOU TAKE IT AS A PRIORITY TO SIT IN ON THE MEETING THAT TAKES PLACE, AND THAT YOU PROVIDE THE VALUABLE INPUT THAT YOU GLEAN FROM YOUR WORDS. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR WYATT?

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU. I DIDN'T PLAN ON SPEAKING, BUT JUST IN TERMS OF THE RULES AND RESPONSIBILITIES AS A BOARD, I KNOW I APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD MORE THAN ONCE, ALONG WITH COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. AND THERE'S A SECOND BULLET IN THERE, RULES AND, ASSURING THE COMMUNITY'S NEED VALUES AND EXPECTATIONS ARE REFLECTED. A STRATEGIC PLAN WITH ONGOING CONSULTATION WITH COMMUNITY GROUPS. IT WAS RAISED IN THE STANDING COMMITTEE. I WANT TO NOTE THE ONGOING ISSUE OF TWO DISTRICT OFFICES THAT REMAIN CLOSED FROM THE PANDEMIC TO THE PUBLIC IS AN ONGOING CONCERN THAT I WANT TO PUT ON THE RECORD AGAIN. AND WE SPOKE ABOUT IT MANY TIMES. WE HAVE BEEN BEFORE THE BOARD A NUMBER OF TIMES, THAT WAS SUGGESTED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. SO FAR, THE ISSUE HAS GONE ADDRESSED. HAVING SAID, THAT I CAN APPRECIATE THE SERVICE HAS DIFFERENT CHALLENGES. IN TERMS OF FUNDING, I WILL SAY WE WERE VERY EXPERIENCED -- WE REQUESTED THAT IT BE DONE NOT AFFECTING FRONT LINE SERVICES OR EVEN BACKLINE SERVICES, IF YOU WANT TO CALL IT. THAT THE SECOND THING I'D LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE IN THE REPORT, WHICH I THINK IS GREAT. I WILL BE SUPPORTING. THEAL HEALTH SERVICES AND THE SURVEY THAT WAS DONE IN 2021 AT THE WINNIPEG SERVICE MENTAL HEALTH AND CULTURAL SURVEY OF THE MEMBERS. THERE'S NO DOUBT THAT WITH THE URBAN HEALTH CRISIS, AS I CALL IT, GOING ON IN THE STREETS OF THE CITY, THIS IS HAVING AN IMPACT ON THE MEMBERS OF THE WIPS. I KNOW MANY HERE ARE IN THE CHAMBER. IF YOU DO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO GO TO CALGARY, MEET WITH CITY OFFICIALS. CALGARY RIGHT NOW LEADS THE COUNTRY WHEN IT COMES TO PUTTING EMPLOYEES IN TOUCH WITH MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, GETTING THEM THE HELP THEY NEED. SO I ENCOURAGE MEMBERS TO LOOK INTO THAT. SECONDLY, I WOULD SAY THIS. THAT IN JUNE, IT'S EXACTLY ALMOST FIVE YEARS NEXT MONTH, JUNE 28TH, 2019. AT TIMES I'VE BEEN CRITICAL OF THE FORMER MAYOR, BUT I'LL GIVE HIM CREDIT FOR THAT. HE SET UP TASK FORCE AT ALL THREE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. THEY GAVE COMPREHENSIVE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THAT REPORT. WE DO NOT HAVE TO REINVENT ANYTHING. THE REPORT IS THERE SITTING ON A SHELF FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS. BUT THEY DID NOT IN THE REPORT, STATE WHO, WHAT, WHEN, WHERE, WHY. WHEN I ASK ONE MEMBER, WHO WAS APPOINTED TO THE COMMITTEE, TO BE PART OF THE TASK FORCE, HE SAID RUSS, THAT'S WHAT THE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT WANTED. THEY ARE SITTING THERE. WE CAN START ACTING UPON THEM. WE CAN START TURNING AROUND THE CITY WITH REGARDS TO THE URBAN HEALTH CRISIS THAT'S HAPPENING OUT THERE ON A DAILY BASIS. SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE US TO, MEMBERS OF EPC, I KNOW. I UNDERSTAND THE MAYOR'S OFFICE HAS HIRED SOMEBODY TO WORK ON THIS FILE. IF THAT'S THE CASE, SITTING RIGHT. AND CAN WE GET INVOLVED AS A CITY? WHAT CAN WE IMPLEMENT? WHERE CAN WE PUSH IT? I'VE OFTEN USED THE EXAM -- A LOT OF FOLKS SAY THIS, AND IT'S TRUE. URBAN HEALTH CRISIS. THAT'S PROVINCIAL. THAT'S FEDERAL. WHY IS IT US? I WOULD RESPOND TO THAT BY SAYING THIS. AT THE HEIGHT OF THE DEPRESSION WHEN IT HIT IN THE 1930s, THERE WAS NO E.I. THERE WAS NO WELFARE. FAMILIES WERE GOING TO LITERALLY STARVE, 25% UNEMPLOYED. BACK AT A TIME,

MADAM SPEAKER, WHEN THERE WAS ONE INCOME EARNER IN THE FAMILY, LITERALLY. GUESS WHO CAME TO THE TABLE TO SAVE FAMILIES ACROSS THIS COUNTRY? CHURCHES. BUT BY AND LARGE, IT WAS MUNICIPALITIES. MUNICIPALITIES LITERALLY SHAMED THE GOVERNMENT TO STEP UP TO THE PLATE AND BRING ABOUT THE PROGRAMMES WE NOW ENJOY TODAY IN THIS COUNTRY, AS WHAT WE CALL A SOCIAL SAFETY NET. I THINK WE LITERALLY HAVE TO THING TODAY WHEN IT COMES TO ADDICTIONS AND MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS IN OUR STREETS. WE HAVE TO LEAD. IT'S COSTING US MONEY. EVERY DAY IT'S COSTING US MONEY IN TERMS OF PAIR MEDICS THAT ARE OUT THERE. OUR POLICE OFFICERS. IT'S COSTING US ISSUE IN TERMS OF BYLAW ENFORCEMENT. EVERYTHING TODAY WE HEARD THAT WE WERE DEBATING ABOUT. ACROSS THE BOARD, IT'S AFFECTING THE CITY. IT'S ENOUGH, MADAM SPEAKER. SEND THEM THE BILL. MAYBE SIMPLER, AS THE FORMER PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES SAID, SEND THEM THE BILL. BUT LET'S STEP UP AND START TO DO IT UNTIL WE SHAME THE GOVERNMENT TO START DOING WHAT IS THEIR RESPONSIBILITY IN TERMS OF THOSE FOLKS WHO, YES, DON'T VOTE LIKE THEM. BUT THEY STILL ARE CITIZENS, AND THEY ARE BEING TREATED RIGHT NOW LIKE THROWN OUT RUBBISH. ANYWAY, THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: FURTHER SPEAKERS? SEEING NONE. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WANT TO THANK THE POLICE BOARD CHAIR AND HIS COMMITTEE. IT IS AN UPDATE. I WANT TO KEEP IN MIND AND INVITE ALL MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES TO TAKE THE TIME AND CALL UP YOUR DISTRICT COMMANDER AND GO ON A RIDE ALONG WITH A UNIT. DON'T ASK FOR THE FLUFFY EXPERIENCE. ASK FOR THE REAL EXPERIENCE TO GET A GOOD UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT'S GOING ON ON THE STREETS OF WINNIPEG. IF YOU DON'T SEE IT THAT NIGHT, ASK FOR ANOTHER ONE. THERE ARE HUNDREDS OF CALLS IN THE CUE. THERE ARE OFFICERS THAT ARE ON MENTAL HEALTH LEAVES FOR WHATEVER VARIETY OF REASONS, NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS TO BECOME A WINNIPEG POLICE OFFICER ARE DOWN. AT TIMES, THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE IS DEMORALIZED. WE NEED TO SUPPORT THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE PROTECTING US DAY IN AND DAY OUT. THE FIRST PRIORITY FOR THE POLICE FORCE NEEDS TO BE THE SAFETY OF WINNIPEGERS. THANK YOU AGAIN TO OUR BOARD CHAIR, AND I'D LIKE YOU TO CALL A QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN OF I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM ONE. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. ITEM THREE.

CLERK: SOUTH WINNIPEG RECREATION CAMPUS NEW RECREATION CENTRE BUDGET STATUS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THERE IS AN AMENDING MOTION WITH THIS ITEM. MOTION TEN. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, OR CHAIR, YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: YEAH, I'LL WAIT TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUE WHO PULLED THE ITEM.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I HAVE READ IT. I'M NOT DISPUTING THE NEED FOR -- BUT THE ISSUE IS MONEY. I ACTUALLY JUST GOT AN EMAIL TODAY ABOUT A SMALL DOG PARK ADDITION TO THE DOG PARK. THAT'S $400,000, OUR INITIAL ESTIMATE BECAUSE WE HAVE SOME MULLING RECENTLY, AND A LOT OF COMPLAINTS ABOUT THERE'S NO PLACE FOR SMALL DOGS. WHERE AM I GOING TO GET THAT MONEY FROM? SO AGAIN, THERE IS THE ISSUE OF MONEY. THERE'S ALSO THE ISSUE IN THIS IDEA, IT'S A POOREST MAT. IT'S VERY NERVOUS ABOUT DOING CLASS FOUR AND FIVE.

WE'VE SEEN THE TROUBLE STARTING THAT AND LAYING MONEY INTO IT. ALL OF A SUDDEN, IT KEEPS GOING UP AND UP AND UP. I AM CONCERNED. WHAT I'M MOSTLY CONCERNED ABOUT OFTEN, TOO, I DON'T SEE ANYWHERE IN THE REPORT WHERE IT'S REFLECTED. THEY LOOK AT DOING, AND MAYBE I TALKED TODAY. I THINK I WANT TO HAVE THAT. I THE THAT IN THE COMMUNITY. WHAT HAS TO HAPPEN? ALL HAVE TO DO THIS. REDUCE A WHOLE BUNCH OF THINGS. JUST AGAIN BECAUSE IT'S GOING OVER THE BUDGET. RATHER THAN JUST DIVING INTO DEBT AND SAYING OK, WE'RE GOING TO GIVE THAT MUCH MONEY TO ONE PROJECT BECAUSE IT'S GOING OVERBUDGET. I DON'T THINK IT WILL BE THE LAST OVER BUDGET BECAUSE AGAIN WE'RE CLASS FOUR. SO I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THIS MOVE BACK TO THE COMMITTEE SO MAYBE THEY ARE BEING REDUCED. I DON'T SEE THAT IN THE REPORT. I AM LEERY ABOUT CLASS FOUR. SO THAT'S WHY THE MOTION IS HERE TODAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. IF I RECALL, BOTH I THINK THE MAJORITY -- I THINK THE WHOLE COMMITTEE, MADAM SPEAKER, FELT THAT APPLYING VALUE ENGINEERING WOULD NOT PROBABLY BE IN THE BEST INTEREST. IT IS INTERESTING THAT YES, IT IS CLASS FOUR, AND IT MAKES IT DIFFICULT. BUT AS THE PROJECT IS MOVING ALONG, THIS IS HOW WE HAVE BEEN BUDGETING, MADAM SPEAKER, SO THAT WE DON'T -- SO THAT OTHER PROJECTS THAT REQUIRE IT AREN'T OVERLY PADDED. WE DID DO THE RAPID PHASE TWO RAPID TRANSIT CORRIDOR, THE BUDGETING THERE WAS FROM THE PRIVATE SECTOR PERSPECTIVE, WHICH IS -- APPLIED A DIFFERENT BUDGETING CONCEPT. EVEN THOUGH THEY WERE AT LOWER CLASSES, WHAT THEY DID WAS THEY OVERPADDED WHAT IT WAS GOING TO COST. AND BASICALLY, KIND OF LOOKS LIKE THE P-3, THE PRIVATE SECTOR'S IS BETTER AT DOING THINGS. ULTIMATELY, IT'S THE WAY YOU'RE BUDGETING. SO, YOU KNOW, PART OF THE PROBLEM WITH THIS WAS RECREATION CAMPUS. THIS ISN'T EVEN INCLUDING THE POOL, RIGHT? SO INFLATION IS THERE AND THE COSTS ARE UP. BUT THIS WAS -- THIS WAS COMMITTED TO BY THE CITY OF WINNIPEG YEARS AGO. NOW BECAUSE REVENUE SIDE DECISIONS WERE NOT HELPFUL TO INFLATIONARY CIRCUMSTANCES, MADAM SPEAKER, THERE WAS NO ABILITY TO SET ASIDE ALL THOSE NEW TAX DOLLARS FROM WAVERLY WEST. ACTUALLY, WHAT THEY DID WAS -- ALL THEY DID WAS CAUSE IT SO WE DIDN'T RAISE PROPERTY TAXES TO DEAL WITH THE EXPANSION OF ALL THE WORK, INFLATION FACTORS, JUST FOR THE WORK WE WERE DOING. AND THEN WE ADDED ON MORE WORK. WE HAVE A MONETARY PROBLEM. THIS IS THE BUDGETING ASPECT. ULTIMATELY, I'M SUPPORTIVE OF THIS BECAUSE THEY DO, YOU KNOW, WINNIPEGERS, NO MATTER YOUR, YOU DESERVE YOUR SERVICES. ED THERE IS A REDUCTION. WE HEARD SOME SPEAKING ABOUT THIS. SO IT'S CUTTING IT TO DEATH. I DON'T IMAGINE SOME MEMBERS OF COUNCIL ARE VERY HAPPY IT TAKES US CLOSER TO OUR DEBT CEILING, WHICH I STILL HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF A PROBLEM. WE HAVE AN ABILITY TO GO TO 110% AND WE DIDN'T. THIS IS A LONG-TERM ASSET. HOPEFULLY, IT'S BUILT BETTER THAN SOME OF THE OTHER THINGS THAT ARE BEING BUILT OVER THE YEARS, AND THE LAST PERIOD OF TIME WE MAINTAIN IT. SO ANYWAY, MADAM SPEAKER, I'M JUST SUPPORTING THIS. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD REFER AND DELAY. WE NEED TO CONFIRM THAT MONEY IS THERE, SO THAT THIS PROJECT CAN PROCEED IN A TIMELY THE THANKS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR. COUNCILLOR WYATT?

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU. I RISE IN OPPOSITION TO AMEND THE MOTION. I APPRECIATE THE CONCERN EXPRESSED BY THE MEMBERS OF THE AMENDING MOTION AND THE CONCERN IN TERMS OF BUDGET EXPECTATIONS OR THE IMPLICATIONS OF THAT IS FOR CHAIR FINANCE. I CAN APPRECIATE THAT. AND SO I THINK THE INTENT IS HONOURABLE. I SUPPORTED THIS IN THE STANDING COMMITTEE, AND I WISH TO CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THIS PROJECT. I KIND OF REFLECTED ON IT, IN THAT IT'S INTERESTING HOW WE REFER TO INFRASTRUCTURE RELATING TO RECREATION AND PARKS HAS BEEN REFERRED TO IN THE PAST AS SOFT INFRASTRUCTURE, VERSUS HARD INFRASTRUCTURES PIPES, AND STUFF LIKE THAT. IT KIND OF IMPLIES ONE IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN THE OTHER, IN MY MIND. YET, IN THE PAST, I HAVE NOT HESITATED IN TERMS OF PROVOCATIONS TO MOVE STUFF AWAY FROM ROADS, OR SPLASH PAD OR PLAYGROUND, BECAUSE IT SPEAKS TO WHAT I WAS TALKING ABOUT EARLIER. WE WANT A HEALTHY CITIZENRY. WE ARE SO FAR BEHIND IN TERMS OF WHAT WE'RE DOING IN TERMS OF RECREATION. I WAS FORTUNATE WHEN THE COUNCIL, I THINK, WAS VERY FORTUNATE WHEN WE DID THE PLESSY UNDERPASS. THE HARBOUR GOVERNMENT AT THE TIME HAD A REQUIREMENT. YOU WANT OUR FUNDS? SPEND IT WITHIN TWO YEARS OR LOSE IT. WE HAD TO GET AN EXTENSION FOR ONE YEAR. BUT THAT BROUGHT DOWN A HAMMER ON US. IT GOT THINGS MOVING. I WISH WE WOULD HAVE IT HERE ON THIS, AS COUNCILLOR LUKES ALLUDEDS WE REALLY -- MY VIEW IS THAT OUR STAFF ARE STRUGGLING. LET'S JUST BE FRANK. WE HAVEN'T BUILT A REC FACILITY LIKE THIS, THIS SIZE AND SCALE, SINCE THE PAN AM FACILITY. MY ADVICE TO THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD BE FIND THE BEST AND THE BRIGHTEST OUT THERE WHO BUILD THESE THINGS IN THIS COUNTRY, OR WHO HAVE DONE IT RECENTLY IN THE PAST AND HIRE THEM. LET THEM RUN IT. HIRE THEM ON A TEMPORARY CONTRACT. LET THEM RUN IT. BRING IT IN. THEY KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING. THEY HAVE DONE IT ELSEWHERE IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS. FIND THOSE INDIVIDUALS AND BRING THEM IN, BECAUSE RIGHT NOW, THAT EXPERTISE IS NOT THERE. THIS IS A SCALE THAT'S TOTALLY DIFFERENT FOR OUR FACILITY. I DON'T WANT TO SEE IT TURNING INTO -- I DON'T WANT TO SAY THIS, BUT POLICE HEADQUARTERS SCENARIO WHERE IT GOES -- NOT TO SAY THERE'S ANY KIND OF OTHER STUFF GOING ON, BUT RATHER, INFLATIONARY COSTS THAT ARE RISING, JUST BECAUSE OF THE DELAYS. AND HAVING SAID THAT, KEEP IN MIND, EVEN IF IT IS DEBT, THIS IS A FACILITY THAT'S GOING TO LAST INTERGENERATIONALLY. AND THEREFORE, MORE THAN ONE GENERATION SHOULD ASSIST WITH PAYING FOR IT. AND THAT'S THE INHERENT THING ABOUT DEBT. WE CAN SECURE DEBT STILL, EVEN THOUGH INTEREST RATES ARE HIGHER. THE REALITY IS THEY ARE NOWHERE NEAR WHAT I REMEMBER WHAT MY AGE AND SOME OF US SIMILAR. IN THE EARLY 90s, 11,ING 12% HIGHER. IN THE EARLY 80s OVER 20%. WE CAN SECURE INTEREST RATES STILL VERY COMPARABLY TO THAT, VERY GOOD. MORE THAN ONE GENERATION PAYING FOR THIS MAKES SENSE. AND YES, WE HAVE TO BUILD THAT INTO OUR PROPERTY TAXES, WHICH IS SOMETHING WE HAD NOT REALLY GOTTEN TO YET. BUT I THINK IT WILL OPEN -- WINNIPEG CHALLENGES FACE. NOT JUST WITH HARD INFRASTRUCTURE, ALSO SO-CALLED SOFT INFRASTRUCTURE. AND WE SAY THIS IS WHERE IT'S GOING TO. I WOULD ARGUE THE MAJORITY OF THE CITIZENS AGREE AND SUPPORT IT IF WE PRESENT THAT IN A TRANSPARENT WAY, VERY CLEAR. AND THAT'S WHY WE HAVE TO ADDRESS OUR EXISTING REVENUE SIDE IN TERMS OF PROPERTY TAXES. SO THOSE ARE MY THOUGHTS, AND I WOULD HOPE THAT MEMBERS OF COUNCIL DON'T, YOU KNOW, AND THIS IS THE OTHER THING. WE'RE BUILDING A FACILITY THAT IS INTERGENERATIONAL. IT WILL BE LASTING FOR DECADES. LET'S NOT -- I WON'T GO SAY NICKEL AND DIME, BUT LET'S NOT PUSH IT DOWN. AND DUMB IT DOWN, MAKE IT LESS THAN WHAT IT REALLY SHOULD BE. FOR ANY OF US WHO HAVE BEEN THROUGH OUR CONFERENCES, WE HAVE ATTENDED SEEING FACILITIES IN OTHER FACILITIES. IT'S AMAZING. AND WHAT IS AMAZING AS WELL SILT I SAW IN EDMONTON A NUMBER OF YEARS AGO, WAS THEY DID A BUSINESS, VERY CONSERVATIVE

IN TERMS OF THEIR NUMBERS AND THE REVENUE COMING IN. THEY WERE OVERWHELMED WITH THE REVENUE COMING INTO THE FACILITY THAT WENT FAR BEYOND THEIR EXPECTATIONS. A FACILITY THAT'S RUN, OWNED AND OPERATED BY THE CITY OF EDMONTON. I WANT TO SAY IT WAS THE TWILLIGER CENTRE IN EDMONTON. NOT EDGE DO THEY HAVE A FULL-SCALE INDOOR ARENAS, POOLS AND GYM. THEY ALSO HAVE A VIP CATEGORY FOR THE GYM IF YOU WANT TO PAY A BIT MORE FOR A SOMEWHAT MORE PRIVATE GYM EXPERIENCE. THAT WAS ACTUALLY OFFERED IN A MUNICIPAL FACILITY, WHICH I WAS SURPRISED ABOUT. BUT THEY ACTUALLY HAD A LOT OF UPTAKE AND A WAITING LIST. THE REVENUE COMING IN SURPASSED THEIR EXPECTATIONS, OF WHAT THEY HAD PREDICTED. AND I THINK THAT WOULD BE -- IN TERMS OF WHAT THEY HAD PREDICTED. WITH THE NEW REGIONAL FACILITIES WE'RE LOOKING AT. I THINK THE DEMAND IS HUGE. THE PENT-UP DEMAND IS MASSIVE. AND WE JUST NEVER BUILT ANYTHING LIKE THIS. AND WHEN WE DO FINALLY BUILD IT, I THINK IT'S GOING TO SAY TO WINNIPEG AND SAY US TO, WHY DIDN'T YOU DO THIS SOONER? AND AS FAR AS AN INDOOR POOL, I WOULD ADD INDOOR POOL EVEN NOW, EVEN WITH THIS COST INCREASE. YOU KNOW, BECAUSE LET'S DO IT RIGHT THE FIRST TIME. THAT WHOLE ARGUMENT. SO THOSE ARE MY THOUGHTS. I'M SUPPORTING THIS VERY STRONGLY. I KNOW COUNCILLOR LUKES TOLD ME PRIVATELY. SHE DIDN'T HAVE TO. I WOULD HAVE SUPPORTED IT NO MATTER WHAT BECAUSE I BELIEVE IN THIS STUFF IN TERMS OF BUILDING A HEALTHY SOCIETY. AND MADAM SPEAKER, LET'S NOT HESITATE GOING THE AGAIN, SAY STEP UP A BIT. THROW MORE MONEY IN. IT'S COSTING US MORE, BUT YOU'RE GOING TO BENEFIT TOO. YOU'RE RUNNING HEALTH CARE. YOU'RE PAYING FOR HEALTH CARE, MANITOBA. YOU'RE GOING TO BENEFIT, TOO. SO YOU HAVE A GREATER STAKE IN THIS ACTUALLY THAN WE DO. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. MAYOR GILLINGHAM?

MAYOR SCOTT GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I RISE. I WILL NOT BE SUPPORTING MOTION TEN. I BE SUPPORTING THE MAIN REPORT AND THE REQUEST FOR THE ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT. ALL OF US WHO HAVE BEEN AROUND FOR A WHILE AND SERVE ON COUNCIL, COUNCILLOR LUKES KNOWS. SHE TALKS ABOUT THE POPULATION OF WAVERLY WEST. SO THAT SHE DOESN'T HAVE TO, BUT SHE PROBABLY WILL. I'LL MENTION IT AGAIN. BECAUSE I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR US TO JUST THINK ABOUT THE FACT THAT THERE ARE OVER 60S IN THAT WAVERLY WEST AREA RIGHT NOW AND IT CONTINUES TO GROW. AND THERE IS NOBODY AROUND THE CHAMBER THAT IS DOUBTING THE NEED FOR THIS RECREATION FACILITY. BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT WHEN WE LOOK AT THE OPPORTUNITY OR THE IDEA OF DESCOPING THE PROJECT. I REMEMBER BACK IN 2012, BEFORE I WAS EVER ON COUNCIL, I WAS SERVING ON A BUILDING COMMITTEE FOR THE STURGEON HEIGHTS COMMUNITY CENTRE, WHICH THEN UNDER COUNCILLOR SCOTT AT THE TIME IN 2012. IT WAS PART OF THE SILVER HEIGHTS COMMUNITY CENTRE TO FORM THE STURGEON HEIGHTS COMMUNITY CENTRE. I REMEMBER BEING ASKED TO SERVE ON THE BUILDING COMMITTEE. I REMEMBER VERY VIVIDLY ONE DAY WHEN THEY CAME TO US, AND THE PROJECTED, WE NEED A LITTLE BIT MORE MONEY, OR YOU HAVE TO DESCOPE THE BUILDING, DESCOPE THE PROJECT. SO I REMEMBER ASKING THE QUESTION, IF WE DESCOPE THE GYMNASIUM, CAN YOU FIT TWO VOLLEYBALL COURTS IN THERE? NO. IF WE DESCOPE IT, CAN WE FIT -- IS IT GOING TO WIDE ENOUGH FOR THIS AND THAT? THEY SAID NO, IT WILL JUST BE A LITTLE BIT TOO SHORT. I REMEMBER AS A COMMITTEE MEMBER OF THE BUILDING COMMITTEE, I SAID DO NOT DESCOPE THIS BUILDING, BECAUSE THE DEMAND FOR SERVICES IN THIS BUILDING IS ONLY GOING TO GROW WHEN IT OPENS, AND WE'RE GOING TO BE REGRETTING THE DECISION TO DESCOPE

THE SIZE OF THIS BUILDING. TODAY, LAST FORWARD 12 YEARS, ALL THROUGH THE WINTER, THERE ARE THREE ACTIVE PICKLEBALL GAMES HAPPENING ALMOST EVERY DAY OF THE WINTER. GOOD LUCK GETTING ON. AND ALWAYS WATCH OUT IF YOU 5-YEAR-OLD WITH A KNEE BRACE WHEN WANTS TO TAKE YOU ON AT PICKLEBALL, YOU'RE GOING TO GET WHIPPED. THE POINT OF IT NOW IS THERE ARE OFTEN TWO VOLLEYBALL GAMES GOING ON, OR IF IT'S NOT BEING USED BY VOLLEYBALL, THREE PICKLEBALL GAMES IN THAT FACILITY. IT WAS THE RIGHT DECISION TO NOT DESCOPE THE SIZE, CREDIT TO THEN COUNCILLOR FIELDING, WHO WENT OUT AND FOUND DIFFERENT WAYS TO FIND SOME MORE FUNDING TO MAKE SURE THAT FACILITY WAS BUILT TO ITS ORIGINAL SCOPE AND SIZE. WE'VE GOT A POPULATION OF OVER 60,000 PEOPLE IN THAT SOUTHWEST QUADRANT OF THE CITY THAT HAS NO RECREATION FACILITY AT THIS TIME. I, TOO, AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE PRICE AND THE COST. REMIND US ALL THAT THIS PROJECT IS ONE OF THE PROJECTS THAT IN THE LAST TERM OF COUNCIL, AGREED TO FUND WITH THE FEDERAL PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS THROUGH INVESTING IN CANADA INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMME, THE ICE IT PROGRAMME. THAT FUNDING FORMULA FOR GRAM IS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WILL PAY 40% OF THE COST. THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT, 33.3% OF THE COST AND THE CITY 27% OF THE COST ON ELIGIBLE COSTS. WE ALL KNOW THAT IN THAT TIME, THE COST ALL OUR CAPITAL PROJECTS THAT ARE FUNDED BY ISIP HAVE GONE UP. WE TALKED CONSISTENTLY ABOUT THE IMPACT ON THE NORTH END PLANT. THERE'S BEEN AN IMPACT ON THIS FACILITY AS WELL. I WILL, AS BEHALF OF COUNCIL, CONTINUE TO MAKE THE CASE WITH PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS ASKING THEM TO INCREASE THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS TO GET BACK TO THE 40%, 33-20% FUNDING RATIO THAT THEY COMMITTED TO. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS SAID THAT THAT ISIP PROGRAMME WAS CLOSED AND FULLY PRESCRIBED. I MADE A CASE IN THE LAST BIG CITY MAYOR'S CAUCUS TO THE MINISTERS, DOMINIC LeBLANC. I MADE THE CASE IF THAT ENVELOPE IS GIVE CITIES PERMISSION IN FUTURE FUNDING ENVELOPES TO ALLOCATE FUTURE FUNDS TO PREVIOUSLY COMMITTED TO PROJECTS. BECAUSE WE CAN'T GO ON TO RUN AFTER A WHOLE BUNCH OF NEW LARGE PROJECTS THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT MAY WANT TO DO OR THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT MAY WANT TO DO WITHOUT FIRST MAKING SURE THE FEDERAL-PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS WHO ARE PARTNERS IN THIS PROJECT AND OTHER PROJECTS IN WINNIPEG, DO THEIR PART TO INCREASE THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS SO WE CAN FUND THESE FACILITIES. AND SO I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT THOSE DIFFICULT TO MAKE A DECISION TO ADD MORE DEBT FINANCING TO THIS PROJECT. I THINK THE LONG-TERM DECISION NEEDS TO BE KEPT IN MIND. I THINK THERE WILL BE A REGRET IF WE DESCOPE THE SIZE OF THE PROJECT. NOW CAN WE VALUE ENGINEER? ABSOLUTELY. WE SHOULD BE LOOKING AT, IF THERE'S SOMETHING THAT CAN BE DONE, AS FAR AS PRODUCTS USED TO NOT IN ANY WAY DIMINISH THE QUALITY OR THE LONGEVITY OF ASSET, BUT IF THERE'S A WAY WE CAN FIND LESS EXPENSIVE MEANS BY WHICH WE CAN BUILD IT AND LOOK AT DIFFERENT {FURN} {ISHGZ} OR WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE -- FURNISHINGS, OR WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE, THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. IT ALWAYS SHOULD BE DONE. BUT IT WOULD BE A MISTAKE IN MY MIND TO REDUCE THE SIZE OF THIS PROJECT BECAUSE THIS IS A GROWING COMMUNITY. WE'RE BUILDING THIS FACILITY FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, Mr. MAYOR. COUNCILLOR LUKES, FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: THANK YOU. SO I RISE TO SPEAK TO THE ACTUAL BUILDING ITSELF, AND I WANT TO REFER PEOPLE TO OPTION TWO IN THE REPORT, AND IT TALKS ABOUT REDUCTION OF THE SCOPE. SO I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR THAT THE BUILDING IS THE SAME I WAS A PART OF IN SOUTH RIVER WARD. THIS BUILDING IS NOT MUCH DIFFERENT THAN THE DAKOTA FIELD HOUSE. EVENTUALLY, IN A POOL IS ADD OR A LIBRARY ADDED, IT WILL BE' SUPER-COMPLEX, WHICH SO THE BUILDING ITSELF AGAIN IS LIKE

THE DAKOTA FIELDHOUSE. IF THE SCOPE IS REDUCED, IF THE THREE VOLLEYBALL COURTS ARE TAKEN OUT, OR THE BASKETBALL COURTS ARE TAKEN OUT AND REDUCED TO TWO THAT MEANS THE RUNNING TRACK IS REMOVED. IT MEANS OTHER SMALLER UNITS, OTHER SMALLER FACILITIES ARES AS NOTED IN OPTION TWO. SO IT ACTUALLY TALKS ABOUT WHAT WOULD BE REMOVED IF THE SCOPE IS REDUCED. WHAT'S THE POINT? THIS IS A REGIONAL FACILITY TO SERVE 120,000 PEOPLE. 60,000 OF THEM ARE THERE. I HAD SOME STATISTICS CRUNCHED. THE 2021 STATISTICS, WHICH ARE QUITE INTERESTING, AND I'LL GLADLY SHARE THEM WITH YOU. THE POPULATION OF YOUTH FROM 0 TO 29 IS THE HIGHEST IN THE WAVERLY WESTWARD. 25,500 YOUTH IN ZERO TO 29 YEARS OLD. THE SECOND CLOSEST IS IN THE OLD KILDONAN WARD AT 22,000 IN THAT SAME RANGE. RIGHT NOW, THESE KIDS ARE CLIMBING OVER THE SIGNS, YOU KNOW, WELCOME TO WAVERLY WEST, THE SOUTHPOINT SIGN, BRIDGEWATER SIGNS. THERE IS NOTHING IN THE WARD. SO I DO SUPPORT THE THOUGHT, THE CONCEPT OF GENERATIONAL DEBT. THIS IS GENERATIONAL DEBT. I CAN GO ON ABOUT WAVERLY WEST. WE'VE ALL HEARD ABOUT WAVERLY WEST FOR DECADES. WE ALL VOTED, EXCEPT THE TWO PEOPLE THAT ARE NEW, COUNCILLOR DOBSON HAS COME BACK AND COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. WE ALL VOTED FOR 50% GREENFIELD, 50% INFIELD. HERE'S YOUR GREENFIELD. HERE'S THE CHALLENGES GREENFIELD BRINGS. HERE'S THE CHALLENGES THAT OUR 10 CENTS ON THE DOLLAR BRINGS. I DON'T REALLY HEAR MANY COUNCILLORS TALKING ABOUT THE SHARE OF FUNDING THAT WE GET FROM THE DIFFERENT LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. I DON'T REALLY HEAR ANYONE TALKING ABOUT THAT. I HEAR TOM BROADBACK TALKING ABOUT IT. I HEAR CHRIS TALKING ABOUT, IT THE MAYOR TALKING ABOUT IT. WE ALL KNOW THAT OUR ROAD SEWER CONDITIONS, OUR RECREATION CONDITIONS, RECREATION FACILITY CONDITIONS NEED MORE FUNDING TO SUPPORT. MAYBE WE DO RAISE TAXES, WE CONTINUE TO RAISE TAXES LIKE OTHER CITIES ACROSS CANADA HAVE DONE. THAT WILL CHANGE OUR AFFORDABILITY. THAT WILL CHANGE DYNAMICS IN OUR CITY. I'M ASKING YOU NOT TO SUPPORT THE DELAY. THIS PROJECT HAS BEEN SITTING ON HOLD NOW FOR ABOUT FIVE MONTHS. THE COSTS ARE GOING UP BECAUSE ARCHITECTS HAVE BEEN HIRED. PLANNING PEOPLE HAVE BEEN HIRED. IT'S ALL BEEN PUT ON HOLD. IT'S UNFORTUNATE THAT THE PANDEMIC HAPPENED BECAUSE THAT DELAYED THE PROJECT. IT'S UNFORTUNATE THAT THE PROVINCE DELAYED THE PROJECT BY NOT SIGNING OFF IN TIME. BUT THINGS ARE MOVING FORWARD. I'M ASKING YOU TO SUPPORT THIS, NOT THE MOTION, BUT -- NOT THE AMENDING MOTION, BUT TO SUPPORT THE REPORT. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR LUKES. COUNCILLOR GILROY, FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER, THEN COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: THROUGH YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, LET THIS BE A LESSON THAT MAY BE CLASS THREE WHEN WE MAKE A DECISION IN BUDGET, IT SHOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT. MANY OF US CAN PUT PROJECTS IN THROUGH THE BUDGET, AND THERE'S MANY THAT ARE PROBABLY SITTING ON THE DOCKET RIGHT NOW THAT CAN ALL GO OVER BUDGET AND ASK FOR A BUDGET REQUEST. AND THAT'S NOT THAT I'M OPPOSED TO THIS. I ACTUALLY WOULD JUST LIKE TO HEAR MORE ABOUT IT, SO I DO LIKE MY COUNCILLOR COLLEAGUE'S IDEA ABOUT HAVING NICKELBACK. THIS IS $20 MILLION ASK. SO I DON'T THINK IT'S UNREASONABLE WE SPEND A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME TALKING ABOUT IT. I THINK YOU ARE GOING TO SEE' LITTLE BIT OF A DIVIDE HERE. BETWEEN THE RULE, AND THAT'S TOO IS USUALLY HOW IT GOES. AT THE OLDEST COMMUNITY CENTRE IN THE CITY, THE BIG LIST. AND I HAVE ONE BOARD FOR MY THREE COMMUNITY CENTRES. AND THE ONE BOARD WITH MY COMMUNITY CENTRES THAT IS A LITTLE DIFFERENT THAN SOME OF THE URBAN CENTRES WITH THEIR

ONE BOARD, THEY GET -- THEY CAN APPLY TO A GRANT ONCE PER YEAR. MINE CAN'T. IT CAN ONLY GET ONE PER BOARD. EVEN THOUGH THEY HAVE THREE FACILITIES. SO YOU KNOW, WE REALLY DO NEED TO ENSURE THAT WHEN WE ARE DECIDING TO APPROVE PROJECTS, WHICH WE NEED, MADAM SPEAKER, AND I AGREE WITH COUNCILLOR LUKES ON THAT. AND SHE'S BEEN WAITING A LONG TIME AND HAS BEEN ADVOCATING FOR A LONG TIME. WE'RE ALSO GOING TO NEED ONE IN COUNCILLOR WYATT'S WARD, MADAM SPEAKED SEEKING -- YOU'RE RAISING YOUR HAND AND SEEING SOMETHING WE'RE IN, RIGHT? BUT AT THE SAME TIME, WE ARE LOOKING AT TAKING AWAY GRANTS AND SUPPORTS AND SERVICES WITH AN INNER CITY COMMUNITIES. WE ARE NOT INCREASING THE AMOUNTS THAT COMMUNITY CENTRES GET, SO THEY, TOO, CAN HAVE REC FACILITIES IN THEIR COMMUNITIES THAT ARE ALLOWING PICKLEBALL, THAT ARE ALLOWING BASKETBALL. MY OLD COMMUNITY CENTRE DOESN'T EVEN HAVE A REGULAR-SIZED GYM TO ALLOW FOR PROPER TEACHING OF DIFFERENT SPORTS THAT ARE AVAILABLE. AND SO WE HAVE CHALLENGES. SO YOU ARE SEEING THIS DIVIDE. BUT I DO THINK, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT I SUPPORTED COUNCILLOR LUKES IN THE PAST BECAUSE I DO BELIEVE SHE DOES NEED REC-PLEX. SHE DOES NEED HER COMMUNITY. IT IS GROWING, AND THEY DO NEED IT. BUT I DO THINK WE SHOULD BE ASKING THE TOUGH QUESTIONS WHEN IT COMES TO $20 MILLION, ABOUT THE SCOPE OF PROJECTS. MOST OF US, WHEN WE HAVE PROJECTS LIKE WHEN WE'RE OVERBUDGET AT OUR HOUSE, WE DO HAVE TO MAKE DECISIONS ON RESCOPING, OR GOING AHEAD WITH THE PROJECT. I THINK WE'RE AT A TIME WHEN WE NEED TO HAVE THOSE DISCUSSIONS HAPPEN. AND ALLOW FOR THAT DEBATE TO OCCUR. SO THAT'S WHERE I'M AT. I THINK THAT'S WHERE MY COMMUNITY WOULD BE ASKING IN TERMS OF THIS BIG PROJECT. SO AGAIN, I'M NOT AGAINST IT. I MAY BE SUPPORTING IT MOVING FORWARD, BUT I DO SUPPORT MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES IN ASKING FOR A PAUSE, A LITTLE BIT OF A DEBATE OVER $20 MILLION. SO THAT'S WHERE E STAND. I MAY AS WE LOOK FORWARD IN THE FUTURE. I JUST DO THINK IT SHOULD GO BACK TO COMMITTEE, SO THERE COULD BE A THOROUGH DISCUSSION ON IT. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR GILROY. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. TO REMIND OURSELVES OF THE GRAVITY OF THIS ISSUE, I'M NOT SAYING IT'S ALL ABOUT THE REC CENTRE, BUT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT COST OVERRUNS. IS ANYBODY SURPRISED? WE WERE TALKING ABOUT WHO HAS BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS IN THE PAST. WELL I'M NOT SURPRISED. I'M NOT SURPRISED. ARE WE SHOCKED? I'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS FOR A DECADE. WELL, COMING UP ON A DECADE. SO I'M NOT SURPRISED SURPRISED? THESE THINGS HAPPEN. THESE THINGS ARE HAPPENING. THESE THINGS ARE GOING TO HAPPEN AGAIN AND AGAIN. IS IT A PROBLEM? WELL, WE'RE HAVING QUITE THE DEBATE ON THIS. WE EVEN HAVE AN AMENDMENT TO DEAL WITH THIS BECAUSE WE HAVE A COST OVERRUN AGAIN. IN THIS CASE OF $23 MILLION, AND IT'S GOING TO TAX -- EXCUSE THE PUN -- IT'S GOING TO TAX OUR BORDERING CAPACITY. WELL, THAT'S THE SIGN OF THE TIMES. IT'S BEEN LIKE THIS FOR A WHILE. SO THIS IS JUST ANOTHER EXPRESSION OF IT, THAT'S ALL. IT'S ANOTHER EXPRESSION OF IT. I DON'T NEED TO TRIVIALIZE IT. THIS DEBATE HERE IS IMPORTANT. WE'RE RUMINATING OVER THE FACT WE'VE GOT A SIGNIFICANT COST OVERRUN. COUNCILLOR GILROY SAYS, LET THIS BE A LESSON IN TERMS OF WHEN WE MAKE OUR COMMITMENTS, AT WHAT STAGE OF OUR BUDGET ESTIMATES. YEAH, OK. WELL MY PREDICTION IS BASED ON WHAT'S HAPPENED IN THE PAST, IT'S GOING TO HAPPEN ANYWAY. WHY? WELL, THE QUESTION IS, WHY ARE WE CONSTANTLY GOING TO HAVE SURPRISINGLY CONSTRUCTION INFLATION? NOT SURPRISING TO, NECESSARILY, ANY OF US INDIVIDUALLY, BUT COLLECTIVELY IN TERMS OF THE

WAY WE MAKE DECISIONS HERE AT CITY HALL. WE'RE OVERBUDGET. SO IT'S GIVEN THE PROCESS OF ALL THE PROFESSIONALS, ALL THE INTELLIGENCE, ALL THE EXPERIENCE, ALL THE DISCUSSION AND PROCESS EVOLVED OVER, LET'S SAY, 150 YEARS OF THE CITY, AND ONCE AGAIN, BY NO SURPRISE, YET AGAIN, WE'RE OVERBUDGET ON A NOTABLE CAPITAL PROJECT. WELL LET THAT BE THE LESSON TO US. IT'S NOT JUST THIS ONE. AND I DON'T WANT TO PICK ON THIS ONE BECAUSE THIS IMPORTANT -- IT'S AN IMPORTANT RECREATION CENTRE. AND MANY PEOPLE HAVE MADE THAT POINT. IT'S AN IMPORTANT RECREATION CENTRE. YOU KNOW, I'M AT THE OPPOSITE END OF THESE THINGS IN A TRADITIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD IN WHICH I'VE GOT A HALF A DOZEN COMMUNITY CENTRES, A HALF A DOZEN. WITH FOUR SPLASH PADS KIND OF THING. WELL COUNCILLOR LUKES' WARD DOESN'T HAVE SIX COMMUNITY CENTRES, TO MY KNOWLEDGE, BUT WE'RE TOLD WE'RE DOING THINGS IN A NEW WAY, IN THE NEW DEVELOPMENTS, IN THE NEW NEIGHBORHOODS, AND WE'RE GOING FOR LESS, BUT BIGGER AND BETTER. THAT'S COOL. I LIKE THAT IDEA. I'VE LEARNED A FEW THINGS ABOUT HOW MAYBE WE CAN MAKE USE OF THAT CONCEPT WITH THE FACT THAT I'VE GOT SIX COMMUNITY CENTRES IN MY WARD. I WOULDN'T BE SURPRISED, YOU KNOW, IF ONE OF THEM GOES IN THE NEXT DECADE KINDS BECAUSE THERE'S THE REALITY. HOW DO WE SUSTAIN THESE THINGS? BUT THAT'S THE QUESTION. IT'S ABOUT SUSTAINABILITY, AND ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY. SUSTAINING THE FINANCING OF IT. COUNCILLOR WYATT MADE THE POINT THAT WE BORROW FROM THE FUTURE BECAUSE THESE THINGS ARE A BENEFIT FOR THE FUTURE AND THAT'S TRUE. AND HOW DO YOU MEASURE THE BENEFIT OF WHAT WE'RE CALLING SOFT INFRASTRUCTURE. I DON'T NEED TO EXPLAIN THAT ANY MORE THAN WHAT'S BEING SAID TO DATE. WE ALL KNOW THE VALUE OF COMMUNITY CENTRES. I'M NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT THAT. WHAT I AM GOING TO TALK ABOUT IS THE ISSUE OF, AND IT'S TRUE. WE BORROW FROM THE FUTURE BECAUSE IT BENEFITS THE FUTURE. I REALLY DO WANT TO MAKE A POINT ON THIS BECAUSE IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT. IT'S GOING TO AFFECT THE REST OUR TIME IN GOVERNMENT. AND BELIEVE ME WE FINISH THIS CURRENT FOUR-YEAR BUDGET CYCLE, AND THAT IS, IT HAS BEEN RIGHT ESPECIALLY TO BORROW FROM THE FUTURE, GOING INTO DEBT, PAYING FOR IT OVERTIME BECAUSE THE GENERATION COMING UP THAT'S PAYING FOR IT WAS BETTER OFF. THAT'S BEEN THE CASE SINCE WORLD WAR II. THAT IT WAS RIGHT TO BORROW MONEY, TO CREATE THINGS FOR THE FUTURE BECAUSE THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BE PAYING FOR IT WOULD BE A GENERATION THAT WAS BETTER OFF THAN THE PREVIOUS GENERATION. AND THAT'S AN IMPORTANT POINT WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT FINANCING THINGS INTO THE FUTURE. BUT WE GOT TO ASK THE QUESTION. IT'S NOT ABOUT THE COMMUNITY CENTRE. IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT. IT'S GOOD FOR PEOPLE. IT'S GOOD FOR THE FUTURE. IT'S GOOD FOR THE YOUNGER GENERATION. IN GENERAL, SINCE WE'RE HAVING THIS DEBATE ON THIS BECAUSE OF A COST OVERRUN, WE'VE GOT TO ASK THE QUESTION. HOW LONG CAN WE JUST BE SURPRISED SURPRISED BY COST OVERRUNS, SURPRISED BY CONSTRUCTION INFLATION? WHEN WE'RE AT A POINT NOW WHEN WE CAN NO LONGER SAY THE NEXT GENERATION IS GOING TO BE BETTER OFF, BUT THE NEXT GENERATION IS GOING TO HAVE MORE DISPOSABLE INCOME? I'M GOING TO SAY WE CAN'T SAY THAT ANYMORE. I'M NOT THE FIRST PERSON TO SAY THAT. I'VE HEARD THAT FROM ALL SORTS OF PEOPLE FROM ALL POLITICAL PERSUASIONS COMING IN FROM PUBLICATIONS, MAGAZINES, NEWSPAPERS, OVER THE LAST DECADE, IF NOT LONGER, I WOULDN'T EVEN CONSIDER HAVE A LEFT-WING BENT. JUST ACKNOWLEDGING THE NEXT GENERATION ISN'T GOING TO BE FINANCIALLY WELL OFF. WHEN CAN WE COLLECTIVELY ACCEPT THAT AS A FACT? NOW IN THIS CASE, THIS IS IMPORTANT. A RECREATION CENTRE, RECREATION CAMPUS. THERE'S NOTHING LIKE IT IN MY WARD, BUT IT'S STILL A GOOD THING. NOW I DON'T WANT TO DEPRIVE THE I DON'T WANT TO DEPRIVE

ANY NEIGHBORHOOD, ANY REGION OF THE CITY OF SUCH A WONDERFUL OPPORTUNITY OVER DECADES. WE'VE BUILT OVER HALF A DOZEN COMMUNITY CENTRES IN MY WARD. OVER TIME, I'D LIKE TO SEE WHAT KIND OF SPECIALIZATION EACH ONE CAN HAVE BECAUSE WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE -- IT WON'T BE THE NATURE OF EAST KILDONAN AND OTHER TRADITIONAL COMMUNITIES TO HAVE THIS KIND OF OPPORTUNITY. THAT'S OK. I WANT TO SEE HOW THIS WORKS. BEAR IN MIND, MY FELLOW COUNCILLORS, PLEASE. THIS IS NOT A SURPRISE WE'RE DEBATING THIS ANOTHER COST OVERRUN. THIS IS LIFE IN GOVERNMENT. THIS IS STATUS QUO. THAT'S THE PROBLEM. BUT YOU CAN'T SOLVE THE PROBLEM. YOU CAN'T ACKNOWLEDGE COLLECTIVELY JUST IN TERMS OF THE BARE FACTS, REGARDLESS OF YOUR VALUES AND YOUR PRIORITIES. THIS IS NOT EVEN CLOSE TO MY WARD, BUT IT'S A GOOD IDEA. IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO.

THE RUN, IT'S UNFORTUNATE. YOU SAY LET THIS BE A LESSON. I'VE BEEN SAYING THAT FOR A DECADE. WHEN WE THINK ABOUT FUTURE FINANCING, BORROWING FROM THE FUTURE WHAT WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO FOR 50 YEARS OR SO WITH IMPUNITY IS BECAUSE MAKING A FUTURE GENERATION PAY WAS THE GENERATION THAT WOULD BE BETTER OFF THAN THE GENERATION BEFORE IT, AND WE CAN NO LONGER SAY THAT. WE KNOW THAT'S GOING UP. SO NOT ONLY ARE THEY BETTER OFF, THEY HAVE GOT MORE DEBT TO PAY. BARE THAT IN MIND. NOT ON THIS. WE GOT TO GET THROUGH THIS. PEOPLE LEARN THEIR LESSONS. APPARENTLY, IT TAKES MORE THAN ONE TO LEARN A LESSON, BUT WE'LL KEEP GOING.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. COUNCILLOR MAYES FOLLOWED BY ROLLINS, ACTUALLY.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I WILL NOT BE IN FAVOUR OF THE MOTION. I WILL BE VOTING FOR THE REPORT THAT CAME OUT OF COMMITTEE. A COUPLE OF THINGS. LOOKS LIKE COUNCILLOR LUKES HAS BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS FOR AS LONG AS SHE'S BEEN HERE, TEN YEARS. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER MADE SOME GOOD POINTS. VARIOUS SPEAKERS HAVE MADE GOOD POINTS. IT'S NOT RURAL AND URBAN, WHICH ALL THE URBAN AREA, A LITTLE BIT OF RURAL AT THE FRENCH. MAYBE SOUTH OF THE PERIMETER, OR SOME OTHER AREAS. BUT WE DO. WE HAVE VOTED FOR A STRATEGY FOR SOME. I THINK THAT'S THE MATURE THING TO DO. THAT DOESN'T MEAN WE HAVE PEOPLE LIVING IN NEWER AREAS. WE SHOULD HAVEN'T RECREATIONAL FACILITIES FOR THOSE PEOPLE. IT WOULD SEEM INCONSISTENT TO SAY WE WANT IT TO GO TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS ON THE OTHER ICIP PROJECTS WHICH I HAVE LOBBIED FOR IN THE NORTH END. NO, NOT ON THIS ONE. YES, WE WILL NEED TO SPEND MORE. HOPEFULLY, NOT QUITE AS MUCH. HOPEFULLY, WE'LL GET FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL HELP ON THIS PROJECT. FOR CLARITY, THERE HAVE BEEN SOME STATEMENTS MADE ABOUT, THERE'S NO REC FACILITIES IN THIS WARD. THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WAVERLY WEST AND WHITE RIDGE 7000. LONDON RIDGE, 1800 PEOPLE. THERE'S A FAIR NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN THIS WARD WHO LIVE IN COMMUNITIES THAT ARE ACTUALLY SHRINKING OR HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED FOR SOMETIME. WE ALSO HEARD, THERE'S NOWHERE FOR THE KIDS TO GO. THERE'S NOTHING IN THE WHOLE WARD. WELL, NO. THERE'S A WHITE RIDGE COMMUNITY CENTRE, AND THERE'S THE WAVERLY CAMPUS SOUTH WINNIPEG. SO JUST FOR CLARITY, I WANT TO POINT THAT OUT THERE. ARE OVER 60,000 PEOPLE IN THIS WARD. THAT IS TRUE. THEY DESERVE THIS. THERE ARE 57,000 PEOPLE IN MY WARD ALSO BIGGER THAN BRANDON. THE NEW GROWTH IN MY WARD IS ABOUT 40% AND HAS BEEN HOLDING AT THAT THAN WHAT YOU SEE IN WAVERLY WEST. SO I'M HOPING WE REMEMBER ALL THIS WHEN WE COME AROUND TO TALK ABOUT THE BONAVISTA REC CENTRE IN MY WARD. COUNCILLOR SANTOS CAN MAKE THE SAME

POINTS. SHE HAS ELOQUENTLY, ABOUT HER WARD. I CAN'T REMEMBER, IS IT TINDELL? I'VE MADE THIS SPEECH SO MANY TIMES NOW. IN ANY EVENT, OTHER EVENTS HAVE THESE NEEDS. IT'S IN THE BUDGET. STUD I HAD TO DO A SOUTHEAST REC COMPLEX. YOU'LL FIND IT'S BEEN IN SEVEN SEPARATE BUDGETS. WE STILL HAVEN'T DONE THE STUDY. I AM TRYING. SO KENDALL HAS BEEN AROUND FOR ABOUT THAT THE SO YES, THIS WARD NEEDS -- NOT UNIQUE TO THIS WARD. IT ISN'T ALL NEW DEVELOPMENT IN THIS WARD. THERE ARE COMMUNITY CENTRES IN THE WARD. THAT SAID THOUGH, IT'S TELLING WHEN WE TRY TO PUT MONEY INTO ARENAS IN EVERY SINGLE WARD. A RATHER BEGINISHTIVE I GOT. THERE IS NO ARENA IN THIS WARD. WE HAVE TO DUMP ONE INTO ANOTHER. SO YEAH, THIS WARD IS I THINK, INARGUABLY DEFICIENT. IT DOESN'T MEAN THEY HAVE ZERO BITS DEFICIENT. SO THINK WE SHOULD DO THE RIGHT THING. IT DOES COME AT A COST. OTHER WARDS HAVE NEEDS AS WELL, WHICH WE ALL LOBBY FOR BUT I'M PREPARED TO SUPPORT THIS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS:THANK YOUER. SO IT'S A LARGE OVERRUN. I WANT TO RESPOND TO GETTING HELP FROM THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT. I DO THINK THAT MEANS CAREFUL CONSIDERATION ON OPTIONS. PRIOR TO ASKING THE PROVINCE AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR ADDITIONAL HELP. IT MAY BE THE CASE THAT WE WOULD ARRIVE EXACTLY WHERE WE'RE AT NOW, AND SOME MIGHT SAY, WELL TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE. THIS ISN'T SET TO BE BUILT TALL. 125. UNDOUBTEDLY, TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE, BUT IT CAN LAST. WE CAN MOVE REFERRAL FOR ONE ADDITIONAL MONTH. UNDOUBTEDLY, IT IS IMPORTANT IT TAKE CARE FOR THE WELL BEING OF EVERYONE IN THE CITY. AND I AM REMINISCENT OF MY TIME IN COUNCIL LUKES' MOM VAN. THIS IS ONE OF THE FIRST INTRODUCTIONS. SHE BROUGHT ME INTO HER VAN, AND WE STARED AT THE EMPTY FIELD THAT WOULD BE THE SOUTH END COMMUNITY CENTRE. YOU KNOW, IT WAS AN INSPIRING DAY, MADAM SPEAKER, AS NEWLY ELECTED AND NEW CHAIR OF COMMUNITY SERVICES. I SHARED THE IMPORTANCE. I SHARED THE IMPORTANCE OF THE THEN-ACCLAIMED COUNCILLOR, NOW DEPUTY MAYOR, AND WE DO, I THINK, HAVE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT CONTEXT TODAY AND BE CAREFUL ABOUT IT. AND I TRY TO BE CAREFUL WHEN TALKING. BUT WE DO HAVE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE BROADER CONTEXT AS COUNCILLOR MAYES POINTS OUT EAST OF THE RED PLEX, FOR INSTANCE. SOMETHING I SPENT A GREAT DEAL OF TIME PROBABLY MORE SO THAN I HAVE IN THIS TERM WITH COUNCILLOR WYATT SAYING I'M STILL ON THIS PROJECT. STILL SAYING THERE'S A NEED. BUT OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT ARE STEPPING UP FOR OTHER PROJECTS LIKE THE St. JAMES COMMUNITY CENTRE, WHICH WAS A PROJECT THAT WE, AS A COUNCIL, WAS MORE NIMBLE IN ADD DISTRESSING PRIORITIES BECAUSE, WELL, YOU KNOW, ANOTHER LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT STEPPED FORWARD. SO IT'S WITH SOME CONCERN FOR GETTING THE CARE AND CONCERN OF OPTIONS ON THE TABLE. OPTION TWO IS DISCUSSED IN THIS PAPER, BUT OPTION TOO WITH RESPECT TO OPTIONS THAT THE PUBLIC SERVICE COULD CONSIDER, AND YET HASN'T NECESSARILY CONSIDERED. A LOT OF AND-OR STATEMENTS. I'D LIKE TO HEAR MORE ABOUT THAT. WE ALSO HAVE A BROADER CONTEXT LIKE THE ONES WHERE THE SPEAKERS CAME FORWARD THIS MORNING. RECREATION CENTRES THAT HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED OVER TIME OR POOLS, FACILITIES, LIKE WHERE A GROUP HAS RAISED $85,000 JUST TO KEEP IT. AND REALLY, I COULD CONSIDER ANY ONE OF US, PERHAPS CONSIDER OUR REC SYSTEM THAT HAS GROWN UP OVER TIME. AND WE ALSO DON'T HAVE NUMBERS ON THE OPERATIONAL COSTS FOR THE REC FACILITY. THESE ARE JUST THE OVERRUNS OF THE CAPITAL COSTS. AND I'M REALLY INTERESTED IN THE OPERATIONAL COSTS. WHY? BECAUSE THE BUDGET WORKING GROUP, I FEEL, COMPLETELY IGNORED THE REALITY IN WEST BROADWAY, WHICH IS

THE OPERATIONAL COST ASSOCIATED WITH THE WEST BROADWAY NEIGHBORHOOD CENTRE, LEAVING THEM CUT OFF AND WITHOUT A SOLUTION NEXT YEAR, THE YEAR AFTER, AND THE NEXT YEAR. SO WHEN I CONTEMPLATE, JUST THE DEBT SERVICE OF THE 23 OF THE OVERRUN, I DO HAVE QUESTIONS. I KNOW THIS WON'T BE ALL BECAUSE WE'LL HAVE TO STAFF THESE THINGS, JUST LIKE, YOU KNOW, THERE WAS A MODEL I HAD TO WORK ON AS CHAIR COMMUNITY SERVICES IN YOUR WARD, MADAM CHAIR. WHERE YOU WERE GOING TO TAKE ALL THIS STUFF FROM WEST KILDONAN LIBRARY. SOMETHING THAT'S COMING UP NEXT MONTH ON THE PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT. GOOD WORD BY COUNCILLOR EADIE, WHO PUT IN HIS OWN MONEY IN THIS WARD, TO LOOK AT THE BUILDING ENVELOPE, TO LOOK AT WHAT MORE IS NEEDED. SO I CONSIDER THIS MIGHT NOT BE AN URBAN OR A SUBURBAN OWE I COMMITTED IN BUDGET TO DO BETTER AND NOT LEAN INTO THOSE BATTLES. BUT UNDOUBTEDLY IMPORTANT IN THE WORDS OF COUNCILLOR MAYES THAT WE TAKE CARE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE BROADER CONTEXT. WHETHER IT'S EAST OF THE RED, OR OF THE BROADWAY CENTRE, I THINK THERE'S SUFFICIENT THE ATENSION TO THE COMPLEX COMMUNITY SERVICES AND OPERATIONAL COSTS APPLIED AND DECISIONS WE'RE MAKING. OR IN THE CASE OF THE WEST BROADWAY NEIGHBORHOOD, COMPLETELY LEAVING OUT AND NOT HAVING A FUNDING MODEL OR AN ANSWER. AND THAT PIECE IS VERY DIFFICULT. MY COLLEAGUE COUNCILLOR GILROY, STOOD UP AND SAID, MY COMMUNITY SENTERS ARE PENALIZED BECAUSE THEY HAVE DONE SOMETHING THAT ONCE UPON A TIME, THIS COUNCIL, YOU KNOW, REALLY WANTED TO SEE AMALGAMATIONS. WHAT DID WE DO? WE DIDN'T SUPPORT THEM. IN FACT, WE PENALIZE. IF YOU AMALGAMATE, YOU HAVE TO THEN DILUTE YOUR ASKS, WHEREAS THOSE WHO NEVER AMALGAMATED, I DON'T HAVE TO, AND I HOPE THAT AS A COUNCIL, WE ENDEAVOUR TO FIX THAT. I THINK COUNCILLOR DUNCAN AND I HAVE THOUGHTS TOWARDS THAT END. BUT IT'S ALL TO SAY THAT I THINK THAT FOLKS NEED TO BE PAYING ATTENTION TO THE FINANCES. FOR THE WHOLE OF THE CITY, NOT JUST RECREATION AMENITIES THAT ARE LONG AWAITED OR MEGACENTRES, BUT WHAT THE RECREATIONAL STRATEGY TOLD US REALLY WELL, THAT WINNIPEGERS ALSO LOVE THEIR BELOVED CENTRES. THAT HAVE EXISTED OVER TIME, LIKE BROADWAY NEIGHBORHOOD CENTRE SINCE 1947. AND -- AND THEIR NEW AMENITIES. THE FOCUS ON NET NEW AMENITIES BY THE COMMUNITY SERVICE CHAIR IS COMMENDABLE. IT REALLY IS. I THINK THAT IT'S EXCITING. IT'S WHY I VOTED AND SUPPORT A BUDGET, IN PART. BUT THE SHARP JUXTAPOSITION BETWEEN THE BELOVED AMENITIES THAT PEOPLE HAVE ENJOYED LIKE THE BROADWAY NEIGHBORHOOD CENTRE AND INNER CITY CENTRE THAT HAS BEEN AND EXISTED SINCE 1947, THAT DOES VERY IMPORTANT PROGRAMMING IN THIS CITY, THAT IS UPHELD BY LAW ENFORCEMENT, AS ONE OF THE SOLUTIONS, LIKE THE POLICE BOARD CHAIR JUST CIRCULATED TO ALL OF COUNCIL WITH RESPECT TO THE NEED FOR SOCIAL SERVICES IN CITIES, TOO. I THINK WE NEED TO TAKE ALL OF THAT INTO ACCOUNT WHEN WE'RE THINKING ABOUT THE $23 MILLION. IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE WOULD MAKE A DIFFERENT DECISION THAN WE WOULD TODAY, BUT THAT'S WHY THE PROPONENT OF COUNCILLOR'S MOTION TO MOVE REFERRAL. THAT'S WHY I VOTED THE EMAT EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE AND THAT'S WHY I LIKELY WON'T HAVE MY SUPPORT TODAY. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE TO AMENDING MOTIONS AT ECP. THEY FAILED. I'M REALLY LOOKING FOR SOME ADDITIONAL SUPPORT ON THE WHOLE OF THE REC AND LEISURE FACILITY CONVERSATION AT COUNCIL. I HOPE FOLKS DO CHANGE THEIR MINDS AND SUPPORT THE AMENDMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR. COUNCILLOR ALLARD?

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: YES, MADAM SPEAKER. THANK YOU. I'LL TRY TO BE BRIEF. I DON'T KNOW HOW THAT WORKS SOMETIMES. SO I WILL BE SUPPORTING THE MAIN MOTION, AND AS I'VE

COMMUNICATED WITH MY COLLEAGUE AND SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES, I'LL BE SUPPORTING THE MAIN MOTION. I'LL BE SUPPORTING THIS INVEST RACE IN WINNIPEG, AND I'D LIKE TO THANK MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES FOR INCLUDING IN THE BUDGET FOR St. BONIFACE AN ADDITIONAL PARK AND OUTDOOR POOL, AS WELL AS CONFIRMING AND $50,000 CONSULTATION ON THE FUTURE OF POOLS IN St. BONIFACE. THAT BEING A CORPORATE INITIATIVE. SO I'M VOTING FOR THIS TODAY BECAUSE I DO BELIEVE THAT WE NEED TO INVEST IN COMMUNITY SERVICES. PERHAPS INVEST MORE THAN WE'RE INVESTING IN COMMUNITY SERVICES. WE KNOW THERE ARE NEEDS ACROSS THE CITY. AND SOME, YOU KNOW, SOME WARDS WERE BLESSED WITH MORE AMENITIES THAN OTHERS. COUNCILLOR LUKES HAS CERTAINLY MADE THE CASE OVER THE YEARS, THAT THERE IS A DEARTH OF SILTIES IN THE COUNCILLOR'S WARD. AND I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THIS COUNCIL TO SEE WHAT IS POSSIBLE FOR THE FUTURE OF St. BONIFACE, AQAUTICS, AND WITH THAT IN MIND, I WANT TO SUPPORT THIS INVESTMENT TODAY, AS I HOPE TO INVEST IN OTHER RECREATION INVESTMENTS IN THE FUTURE. I KNOW THERE WILL BE TOUGH DECISIONS AND MONEY IS SCARCE. BUT I THINK THAT THIS ONE IS FAR ENOUGH ALONG THAT WE SHOULD CONTINUE GOING THE DIRECTION. AND IN TERMS OF THE COST ESCALATION, I DON'T THINK IT COMES AS A SURPRISE, GIVEN THE RECENT INFLATIONARY PRESSURES WE'VE SEEN THE LAST NUMBER OF YEARS. SO WITH THAT, THANKS AGAIN, COUNCIL FOR THEIR SUPPORT AND THE BUDGET AMENDMENTS OF St. BONIFACE. I GUESS I'LL BE KNOCKING AT YOUR DOOR, BUT SOMETIME IN THE FUTURE IN TERMS OF WHAT I HOPE TO BE IN FUTURE MANDATES IN St. BONIFACE. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ALLARD. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, YOUR YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO CLOSE.

MADAM SPEAKER: JUST A MOMENT. YES, COUNCILLOR FIRST ON THE AMENDING MOTION.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I JUST WANT TO RISE. I THANK YOU ALL FOR YOUR COMMENTS TODAY. DUE DILIGENCE IS NOT A HORRIBLE WORD. IT REALLY ISN'T. I HEAR THE MAYOR SAYING, WE SHOULD ALWAYS REACH FOR THE STARS AND PUT THE PLATINUM AND GOLD OUT THERE. ALL OF THAT SHOULD BE GOOD BECAUSE IT'S INTERGENERATIONAL. IT'S IMPORTANT. WE ALL HAVE TO MAKE TOUGH DECISIONS. THERE ARE TOUGH DECISIONS. THEY ARE NOT EASY. I WOULD LOVE TO GIVE WAVERLY WITH 100 ON, INCLUDING THE LIBRARY, THE POOL, EVERYTHING THEY WANT. BUT AGAIN, FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY REQUIRES THAT WE NEED TO TAKE A LOOK AT THINGS, THAT ARE OVER THE COST. THEY ARE ALL COST OVERDUE RIGHT NOW FROM THE CENTRE PORT, TO THE GARAGE. THEY ARE ALL HEADING UPWARDS. AGAIN, WE CAN'T JUST KEEP SAYING THAT'S OK. I LIVED THROUGH THAT IN THE CITY COUNCIL WHEN WE HAD SO MUCH DEBT, WE WERE SPENDING SO MUCH ON SERVICING COST FOR DEBT. WE HAD TO REALLY BUCKLE DOWN AND PUT THE LEVEL OF DEBT PLATFORM ON, SO WE DIDN'T JUST TAKE THE EASY ROOT. JUST DO DEBT. IT'S INTERGENERATIONAL. IT'S FINE. I PROBABLY WON'T BE HERE IN FOUR YEARS ANYWAY. THAT AGAIN, NOT SAYING ANYTHING AGAINST THE PROJECT. I'VE ALWAYS BEEN ADVOCATING THE FACT WE NEED TO -- BEFORE THE BUDGET. ANYBODY. COUNCILLOR BROWATY LISTENED TO ME A FEW THE IS WHAT HAPPENS. YOU PUT A CLASS FIVE IN THERE. THEY ANNOUNCED THE BUDGET HAS X AMOUNT OF DOLLARS, AND THEN IT KEEPS ESCALATING. SOMETIMES DOUBLE. IT LOOKED OUT MONEY. WE ALREADY STARTED, SO WE MUST CONTINUE. THAT, AGAIN, IS NOT THE WAY WE SHOULD BE DOING BUDGETING. WHAT WE SHOULD BE DOING IS LOOKING AT THINGS AND GOING OK CLASS REACH IS ONLY IN THE BUDGET. THAT IS NOT MY PREVIEW. THAT'S WHAT

I ADVOCATE FOR. AGAIN, IT DOESN'T ALWAYS HAPPEN. IN THIS CASE, WE HAVE A CLASS FOUR. AGAIN, I KNOW THE PANDEMIC HAPPENED. I KNOW THE NORTH END POLICE STATION WAS OVER. THE GARAGE WAS OVER. CENTRE PIPELINE IS OVER. AGAIN, WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH THESE THINGS. ALL I'M SAYING I AM A LITTLE BIT OF FISCAL CONSERVATIVE ON THIS. WE HAVE TO TAKE A LOOK. THERE'S THIS KIND OF VERBIAGE GOING ON OUT THERE, OH, WE'RE SAYING NO TO THE COMPLEX. NO, WE'RE NOT. WE'RE SAYING MAYBE THEY DON'T GET A TRACK. MAYBE THEY HAVE ONLY ONE COURT, THAT'S WHAT THEY ARE SAYING. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE LOOK AT. MAYBE WE WON'T AGREE WITH THAT, BUT WE SHOULD TAKE A HARD LOOK AT THAT BECAUSE THAT $23 MILLION IN DEBT IS GOING EXCLUSIVELY TO ONE PROJECT. ONE PROJECT. I'M VERY EXCITED MYSELF, PERSONALLY, ABOUT THIS. I'M NOT SURE COUNCILLOR WAS TALKING ABOUT THIS MEGAPLEX I'M TALKING ABOUT AGAIN VICTORIA COMMUNITY CENTRE. IT DOESN'T HAVE A COMMUNITY CENTRE RIGHT NOW. THE THING WAS SHUTTERED. I HAD TO SPEND MY OWN MONEY TO GET BUILDING TAKEN DOWN. I HAD TO TAKE LDO TO USE THAT TO TAKE DOWN AN OLD BUILDING THAT DERELICT ON THE PROPERTY. THAT'S WHAT I HAD TO DO BECAUSE IT WAS SUCH A BLIGHT FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AGAIN. THEY DON'T EVEN HAVE ONE. NOW I HAVE THE OTHER PHASE OF THE PROJECT. THANK YOU COUNCIL FOR ENDORSING THAT. AGAIN, I HAVE NO MONEY FOR THAT. I USED ALL MY LDR TO TRY TO FUND THIS THE THING TO DEAL WITH THE EXPANSION. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO. WE DON'T HAVE A COMMUNITY CENTRE IN THE AREA. AGAIN, IT'S NOT ABOUT WAVERLY WESTMENT IT'S ABOUT BUDGETING PROPERLY AND DOING OUR DUE DILIGENCE. DUE DILIGENCE REQUIRES US TO REALLY LOOK AT ALL THE DETAILS. FURNITURE. IT COULD BE MAYBE ONLY GET HALF OF A FLOOR, HALF OF LIKE ONE FLOOR, NOT TWO FLOORS. I DON'T KNOW ALL THE DETAILS. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS SAID IT'S NOT GOING TO DELAY THE PROJECT. WE NEED TO HAVE A MOMENT TO LOOK AT IT, TO SAY COUNCIL, FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE COUNCIL WILL SAY, OK, WOW. THAT'S REALLY BIG. WE NEED TO MAYBE CHANGE IT OR MAYBE COUNCIL SAYS NO, WE DON'T. BUT WE SHOULD SEND IT BACK SO WE CAN BE THE FISCAL PRUDENT PROCESS. A, THE FISCAL PRUDENT PROCESS IS NOT TO PUT PROJECTS AT CLASS FOUR AND FIVE INTO OUR BUDGET. AGAIN, BUDGET WE ALL GO TO THE BUDGET. THAT'S PREROGATIVE. AGREE WITH THAT. BUT WHEN WE HAVE THESE KIND OF ISSUES, DOES IT NOT MAKE SENSE THAT WE STEP BACK AND SAY, WE'RE NOT GOING TO TAKE THE EASY ROUTE, WHICH IS TO SAY WE'RE GOING TO STRADDLE OR INTERGENERATIONAL INVEST, IF YOU WANT TO SAY IT, INTO OTHER PROJECTS. WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT THIS PROJECT. MAYBE THERE IS SOME TWEETS. MAYBE WE CAN SAVE A COUPLE MILLION HERE. MAYBE A MILLION THERE. I DON'T KNOW. BUT ALL THOSE ONE MILLION, TWO MILLION, $5 MILLION. THAT MONEY CAN BE INVESTED IN OTHER PLACES. THEY ARE DESPERATE. NOW COUNCIL IS SAYING, WE DON'T CARE. WE WANT TO DO INTERGENERATIONAL. I'M VERY EXCITED ABOUT BRINGING FORWARD AN ARENA PROPOSAL. SO WE'RE GOING TO LOSE CHARLIE BARBER ARENA SHORTLY. THERE ARE NO INDOOR ARENAS. ONE SHEET. AGAIN, IF WE'RE SAYING IT'S INTERGENERATIONAL AGAIN IT'S OK. SO LET'S KEEP PILING ON DEBT. OK, THAT'S COUNCIL'S PREROGATIVE. I WILL JUMP ON THAT. OK, LET'S DO THAT AGAIN. I'M GOING TO SAY THIS IS MY PLATINUM PROJECT. WE CAN'T CHANGE IT BECAUSE INTERGENERATIONAL. WE HAVE TO SHOOT FOR THE STARS. AGAIN, THAT'S BEAUTIFUL STATEMENTS. BUT REALLY, THE REALITY IS WE ONLY HAVE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF DOLLARS. THE MAYOR HAS ALWAYS BEEN VERY CLEAR WHAT OUR TAX RATE BELIEVE IT SHOULD BE. COUNCIL HAS ENDORSED THAT SO FAR. AGAIN, I UNDERSTAND THEM. I HAVE A MOTION TODAY TO SAY WE NEED TO FIND DIFFERENT REVENUES. WE NEED TO FIND SOME SOURCES OF REVENUES BECAUSE WHAT WE HAVE NOW, LIKE I SAY, WHAT WE GOT? $40,000 TO DECOMMISSION WAITING POOLS. THAT'S NOT EVEN CLOSE TO HOW MUCH A WADING POOL IS IN MY WARD. I'M GOING TO HAVE TO USE MY LDR TO DECOMMISSION STUFF. I'M NOT BUILDING ANYTHING

NEW. I'M PAYING MONEY TO TAKE THINGS AWAY. SO AGAIN, THAT IS WHY I COME FROM, MY HEART. ON THE FISCAL ONLY HAVE SO MANY DOLLARS. WE CAN TAKE AN EASY ROUTE ALL THE TIME OF SAYING DEBT, DEBT, DEBT. WE'RE GOING TO GET CAUGHT IN A DEBT LOOP. YOU'RE GOING TO BE SPENDING SO MUCH MONEY ON SERVICE CHARGES, WHICH MAY BE OK. BUT SHOULDN'T WE FIND SOME SAVINGS POSSIBLE? I THINK WE SHOULD. THAT'S MY OPINION. I THINK WE SHOULD GO FORWARD HAVING A LOOKSY. IT'S NOT GOING TO DELAY THE PROJECT. IT'S FISCALLY PRUDENT TO DO THAT. DON'T JUST TAKE THE LAZY EASY ROUTE TO SAY WE'RE JUST GOING TO DO DEBT. THERE ARE DECISIONS WE ALL HAVE TO MAKE. I HAVE TO MAKE A CALL WITH THE MONEY I WANTED FROM VICTORIA COMMUNITY CENTRE TO DECOMMISSION A BUILDING. I HAD TO PUT THAT MONEY. IN BUDGET WORKING GROUP SAID NO. WE'RE NOT GIVING YOU THAT. THAT'S OK. BUT THAT'S THE LDR MONEY I HAD TO PUT IN. SO AGAIN, THERE ARE NEEDS IN ALL THE WARD. WE HAVE HAPPY THE WE HAVE -- EVERYBODY HAS THEIR OWN ISSUES. SO AGAIN, IF WE'RE SAYING TODAY WE'RE GOING TO DO PLATINUM, THE EAST RIVER PLEX AND WE'RE GOING TO GO PLATINUM AND USE DEBT. THAT'S A CONCERN. AGAIN IF WE'RE GOING TO PUT PROJECTS IN CLASS FOUR AND FIVE ESTIMATES, THAT'S VERY CONCERNING. ALL I'M ASKING IS NOT TO KILL THE WINNIPEG REC PLEX, BUT MAYBE THERE'S A MILLION HERE, $5 MILLION THERE. MAYBE POSTPONE SOME PROJECTS IN THE FUTURE. AGAIN, THAT'S ALL POSSIBLE. IT IS. BUT I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S POSSIBLE. THAT'S WHY I WANT TO SEND IT BACK TO HAVE A PEEK. THEY SAY, WHAT YOU KNOW WHAT THAT ISN'T POSSIBLE BECAUSE OF THIS REASON. I DON'T TRUST ADMINISTRATION. AT THIS POINT, I JUST FEEL WE'RE TAKING THE ROUTE OF LEAST RESISTANCE. WE'RE SAYING JUST DO DEBT. I REMEMBER THOSE DAYS WHEN WE FIRST STARTED. WITH HE DID AED THAT'S WHAT GOT US IN SO MUCH TROUBLE. I HOPE TO SUPPORT THE AMENDMENT. I WILL LIKELY BE SUPPORTING COUNCIL, REGARDLESS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NOW ONTO COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO CLOSE ON THE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: GREAT. THANK YOU, AGAIN. THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW FOR CLOSING US OUT HERE. JUST REGARDING THE FUNDING PIECE OF IT. MANY MEMBERS ON THIS COUNCIL DECIDED THAT THE $71 MILLION RECREATION FACILITY CAMPUS WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA WITH THE INVESTMENT IN CANADA INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMME, WHICH HAD A BREAKDOWN OF 21 -- SORRY, $21.6 MILLION FROM THE CITY. $31.7 MILLION FROM THE GOVERNMENT CANADA, AND $13.2 MILLION FROM THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. SO AFTER AN UNTHED COST ESCALATION OVER THE LAST -- WHAT DO WE WANT TO CALL IT? THREE YEARS? FOUR YEARS? WHATEVER IT IS. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER, YOU PROBABLY KNOW BEST. 80 YEARS. WE ARE NOW SITTING AT A COST OVERRUN OF $23 MILLION. SO IF WE LOOK AT THAT AND BREAK THAT DOWN, TO THREE EQUAL LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTING WHAT THEY COMMITTED TO ORIGINALLY, WOULD YOU STILL HAVE THE SAME OPINION IF I TOLD YOU THAT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WOULD BE ON THE HOOK FOR A GRAND TOTAL OF $30.45 MILLION? THAT WOULD BE AN INCREASE OF.$5 MILLION TO THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, $10.3 MILLION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND $4.3 MILLION ADDITIONAL TO THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. I DON'T THINK WE WOULD BE HAVING THAT BIG ARGUMENT. SO I WOULD INVITE YOU TO CONTACT, TO START LA DAVID PANCRAS AND LET HIM KNOW WE NEED HIS SUPPORT IN THIS. CONTACT LARRY DOGOOD AND LET HIM KNOW THIS MIGHT BE A HOT BUTTON ISSUE IN THE FEDERAL ELECTION AND WE NEED HIS SUPPORT IN THIS. YOU KNOW, I ALSO LOOK BACK IN HISTORY, AND COUNCILLOR MAYES POINTED OUT. THERE ARE SEVERAL COMMUNITIES THAT MAKE UP WAVERLY WEST. BUT A LOT OF THE FOLKS AROUND THIS TABLE RIGHT NOW AND I AM ONE OF THEM, HAVING APPROVED DEVELOPMENT, NOT ONLY AT

THE PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT LEVEL STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE BUT AGAIN AT EPC AND AGAIN AT COUNCIL. WE HAVE THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF THE BRIDGEWATER NEIGHBORHOODS. WE HAVE SOUTHPOINT. WE HAVE THE EXPANSION OF BISON RUN. GROWTH COMES WITH COST. RIGHT? WHAT'S MORE IMPORTANT IS THAT MOST PEOPLE AROUND THIS TABLE SAID GREAT. LET'S BUILD MORE. LET'S PUT UP SOME MORE MULTIFAMILY. LET'S PUT UP SOME MORE SINGLE FAMILY. FILL IN THOSE GREEN FIELDS. ABSOLUTELY. WINNIPEG IS GROWING. WE GOT A NEW CITY BIGGER THAN THE SIZE OF BRANDON NOW. NOW IT'S LIKE WHOA, WHOA, WHOA. I DON'T WANT TO PAY FOR ANY RECREATIONAL. THEN I COME BACK TO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW'S POINT ABOUT OUR FUTURE OF THE CITY, WITH OUR POTENTIAL FUNDING FOR HOUSING ACCESS RATEOR OWE TO ACCELERATOR FUNDS. NOBODY IS TALKING ABOUT COMMUNITY CENTRES, PLAYGROUNDS, ATHLETIC FIELDS, WHATEVER. HERE'S A PERFECT EXAMPLE, FOLKS, AS WE MOVE FORWARD. MAYBE WE NEED TO PUT ASIDE MONEY FOR THINGS LIKE THIS BECAUSE IT CLEARLY, CLEARLY WAS NOT A PRIORITY AT THE TIME UNTIL COUNCILLOR LUKES CONTINUED TO ADVOCATE AND ADVOCATE AND BANG ON PEOPLE'S DOORS, AN MAILS, CALLS AND LOBBYING OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT IT FINALLY SEE THIS THROUGH. NOW $23 MILLION IS A PROBLEM. FOR A COMMUNITY THAT CONTINUES TO GROW. I DRIVE OUT THERE FREQUENTLY TAKING ROUTE 90 OUT TO THE PERIMETER TO GET TO THE SOUTH. IT'S GOING TO CONTINUE TO GROW AND CONTINUE TO NOT HAVE A RECREATION CAMPUS IN IT. IF WE DON'T GET MOVING. AND WHAT A CRITICISM THAT WE SEE OVER AND OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN TO COUNCILLOR WYATT'S POSITION ON THIS. HOW MUCH SLOWER CAN WE GO AS A CITY, RIGHT? LET'S NOT GO ANY SLOWER. LET'S GET THIS DONE. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. I'LL CALL THE VOTE ON THE AMENDING MOTION. TEN. ALL IN FAVOUR? YES. ALL FOR RECORD VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR OF THE AMENDING MOTION, PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS GILROY! ROLLINS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED, PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN. EADIE, LUKES, MAYES, SANTOS, SCHREYER, WYATT. MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

THE VOTE MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: AMENDING MOTION TEN IS DEFEATED. ONTO THE MAIN ITEM. ALL IN FAVOUR OF ITEM THREE? ALL IN FAVOUR OF ITEM THREE, PLEASE RISE.

Clerk: HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ORLIKOW, SANTOS, SCHREYER, WYATT. AND COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED, PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

THE VOTE MADAM SPEAKER YEAS 15, NAYS 1.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ITEM THREE SOUTH WINNIPEG RECREATION CAMPUS BUDGET STATUS PASSES. THANK YOU. NEXT IS STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE. JUST ONE MOMENT. YES. ITEM FIVE. THANK YOU.

CLERK: NUMBER FIVE COMMUNITY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAMME AND BYLAW.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

Councillor.

I'D LIKE TO MOVE THE ITEM BACK TO STANDING POLL COMMUNITY SERVICES.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? WITHOUT INSTRUCTIONS. IF YOU WISH, YOU DO HAVE A FEW MINUTES TO EXPLAIN MUCH. SUMMARIZE ONE. OR JUST MOVE REFERRAL.

I'D LIKE TO MOVE REFERRAL.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. WE HAVE A -- IS THERE ANY MOTIONS, MR. CLERK? NOT AT THIS TIME? NO. OK. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. BYLAWS, PLEASE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I'D LIKE TO MOVE BYLAW 72-24 BE READ A FIRST TIME. MY APOLOGIES.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES, THANK YOU. SO WE HAVE NO THE THAT'S RIGHT. LET'S HAVE QUESTION PERIOD FOR THE CHAIR. ANY QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR DUNCAN? COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW? FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN PROBABLY WON'T HAVE AN ANSWER MAYBE OVER IN THE BUCKET OF COUNCILLOR. THE COMMISSIONING WADING POOLS HAVE STARTED. SOME OF MY NEIGHBOURS AREN'T TOO PLEASED. THAT'S FAIR. NOT KNOWING THEY ARE GETTING IN RETURN. WE HAVE OR TRIED TO DEVELOP A FUND THAT WOULD ALLOW US TO HAVE SOME MONEY THIS THE POCKET, A TRANSITION FUND THAT -- SO WE'LL HAVE SOME KIND OF FUND AVAILABLE TO DO STUFF WITH. IT DIDN'T HAPPEN. IN THE BUDGET WE HAVE, IN MY CASE, TWO POOLS ARE TO BE CLOSED. I WAS UNDER THE UNDERSTANDING, AND NOW I'VE BEEN IT'S NOT THE $90,000 PER SITE I THOUGHT IT WOULD BE. IT MAY, AND IT MAY SO FAR, TO $40,000 FOR A SITE. I DECOMMISSIONED ONE BUILDING IT. COST ME $300,000 OF MY OWN LDR TO DO THAT. SO MY QUESTION TO THE CHAIR PERSON IS, WHEN THESE COST OVERRUNS HAPPEN ON DECOMMISSIONING A WADING POOL, WHO SHOULD I RESPECTFULLY ASK FOR THE FUNDING THAT COULD BE ABOVE THE $40,000?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THERE IS -- THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE QUESTION, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW, THROUGH YOU MADAM CHAIR. THERE IS $40,000 THAT HAS BEEN ASSIGNED FOR DECOMMISSIONING COSTS FOR EACH OF THESE WADING POOLS. COST OVERAGE. I CAN'T PREDICT THE FUTURE, BUT DEFINITELY SOMETHING TO CONSIDER, A VALID POINT THERE AND JUST ON A NOTE FOR YOUR COMMUNITY, IN PARTICULAR, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR HAVING ME TO THE OPENING OF CRESCENT WOOD COMMUNITY CLUBS NEW SPRAY PAD AND PLAYGROUND. IT IS SOMETHING TO BEHOLD. I ENVY YOU OUT THERE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD THERE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'VE HAD A LENGTHY DISCUSSION WITH THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN St. BONIFACE AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO GOING TO OTHER OUTDOOR POOLS IN ORDER TO, YOU KNOW, THEY DON'T HAVE AN OPTION, SO THEY HAVE TO GO TO St. BONIFACE PARK. MADAM SPEAKER, OR ACTUALLY, THE DEL WERE HERE, THEY HAVE BEEN GOING TO St. PATEL POOL, WHERE THEY SAY THERE'S A LINER AND IT'S GOING TO BE READY. HOWEVER, IT SOUNDS LIKE THERE WAS INFERIOR CONCRETE. DON'T KNOW IF THAT WAS A VALUE ENGINEERING THING BACK IN 2015. BUT APPARENTLY, THE DEPTH OF THE CONCRETE IS INFERIOR AND BEING ALL CHOPPED OUT. AND IT SOUNDS LIKE THE WORK IS GOING IT TAKE LONGER THAN THE OPENING, WHICH I BELIEVE, THE FRIDAY OF THE JULY 1st LONG WEEKEND. IT DOESN'T SOUND LIKE THAT'S GOING TO AN OPTION FOR THE PEOPLE AROUND HAPPY LAND. NOBODY IS SURE YET QUITE HOW THE WINDSOR PARK POOL IS GOING TO FARE. SO MY QUESTION IS, I'M WONDERING IF THE CHAIR I SENT PICTURES TO THE CHAIR PERSON -- CHAIRPERSON, GIVING HIM AN UPDATE. SO WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE St. PATEL PARK OUTDOOR POOL, WHICH SOUNDS LIKE IT'S REQUIRING MORE THAN JUST LINER REPLACEMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. THROUGH YOU. THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION, COUNCILLOR EADIE. DID YOU SHARE THOSE PICTURES. I LOOKED INTO IT. THE POOL IS SCHEDULED IT OPEN JUNE 21st. REPAIRS WILL BE COMPLETED. OBVIOUSLY, THE HEAVY RAINS HAVE NOT BEEN A FRIEND TO THE CONTRACTOR'S WORK. HOWEVER, THEY HAVE BEEN PERMITTED AND ENCOURAGED TO WORK WEEKENDS AS WEATHER PERMITS. THE UPDATED NEW SKIMMER BOXES HAVE BEEN INSTALLED, AND THE CONCRETE REPAIRS ARE EXPECTED TO ACTUALLY BE COMPLETED, WEATHER PERMITTING THIS WEEKEND. AND THE LINER INSTALLATION WILL THEN BEGIN. OF COURSE, WE CAN'T PREDICT THE WEATHER. BUT THE ONLY OTHER FACTOR THAT COMES INTO PLAY IS ONCE EVERYTHING IS SET UP AND READY TO GO, THERE IS UNKNOWN MECHANICAL CIRCULATORY POTENTIAL ISSUES. THEY ALWAYS WANT TO BE CAUTIOUS, ONCE THE POOL TANK IS FILLED. THAT WILL WAIT UNTIL THE WORK IS DONE. THE DEPARTMENT HAS GIVEN A DATE AND MAINTAINED JUNE 21st.

THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? COUNCILLOR EADIE?

COUNCILLOR EADIE: JUST TO CONFIRM, THE PUBLIC SERVICE IS TELLING US IT'S MORE THAN A LINER REPLACEMENT, IS THAT CORRECT?

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE PUBLIC SERVICE HAS INDICATED THAT THERE WERE REPAIRS BEEN DONE REGARDING CONCRETE WORK. I WOULD ANTICIPATE WHEN A LINER COMES THINGS ARE INSPECTED, AS WELL AS THE DECK OF THE POOL, AND THOSE REPAIRS WILL BE MADE. IT WILL ONLY MAKE SENSE TO PATCH THINGS UP, AS THE LINER WOULD BE OUT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR WYATT?

YES, THE SAME LINE OF QUESTIONING. IN THE EVENT WITH THE WEATHER BEING THE WAY THE WEATHER HAS BEEN, THE WORK CANNOT BE COMPLETED. JUNE 21st ACTUALLY ONLY LEAVES FIVE WORKING DAYS LEFT IN THE JUNE SCHEDULE, BASED ON THE CALENDAR I LOOK AT. IN TERMS OF GETTING OPEN FROM THE BEGINNING OF JULY. THE WAY THAT IT EXPANDS OVER A COUPLE OF

WEEKENDS, THE WAY IT'S SITTING ON THE SCHEDULE. IN LIGHT OF THAT FACT AND ALSO, IN LIGHT OF THE FACT FOR A LONG PERIOD OF TIME THAT AND IN LIGHT OF THE COUNCIL TO A SOUTH WINNIPEG REP COMPLEX. CAN WE THINK ABOUT KEEPING HAPPY LAND OPEN FOR ANOTHER SEASON OR AT LEAST UNTIL WE BUILD A NEW REC FACILITY IN St. BONIFACE, WHO IS PUSHING HARD FOR THAT. THE PEOPLE St. BONIFACE. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR. SO JUST THINK ABOUT THAT. JUST THINK ABOUT IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, IF YOU WISH TO RESPOND.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I'M THINKING. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE COMMENTS THERE. I'M CONFIDENT THAT THE POOL WORK WILL NOT BE DELAYED FOR THE ENTIRETY OF THE SUMMER. I KNOW YOU'RE NOT THE HOWEVER, THE COMMITMENT TO WORK SEVEN DAYS A WEEK. I DIDN'T FOLLOW YOUR FIVE DAYS OF WORKING IN JUNE OF I'M CONFIDENT WE WILL WORK TO THAT AND HAVE THE AMENITIES AVAILABLE FOR THE FOLKS IN St. BONIFACE, AND CITY OF WINNIPEG AS A WHOLE, AS THESE POOLS ARE NOT JUST FOR THAT AREA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? COUNCILLOR ROLLINS?

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS:I APOLOGIZE FOR NOT SENDING THIS IN ADVANCE. CAN YOU SUBSTANTIATE RUMOURS WE HAVE A SURPLUS OF LIFEGUARDS THAT AREN'T BEING USED? ONCE UPON A TIME DURING THE PANDEMIC. WE HAD A DEFICIT OF LIFEGUARDS. AND WE ENDEAVOURED COMING IN, TRAINED, DEVELOPED. CAN YOU SUBSTANTIATE AT ALL OR GET BACK US TO WE TRAINED OR WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH FACILITIES WORK FOR LIFEGUARDS?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU, THROUGH YOU MADAM CHAIR. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE QUESTION. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, I CANNOT SUBSTANTIATE THAT, BUT I HOPE OUR SENIOR LEADERSHIP WILL BE LISTENING AND PROVIDE YOU AN UPDATE ON THAT. IF WE DO HAVE EXCESS LIFEGUARDS, MY EXPECTATION WOULD BE THAT WE WOULD BE UTILIZING THOSE LIFEGUARDS, AS WE HAVE HEARD THROUGH THE PROJECT PROCESS, THAT SOME OF THE NUMBERS IN ATTENDANCE WERE AFFECTED DUE TO THE LACK OF LIFEGUARDS. SO IF THAT IS THE CASE, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, I WOULD HOPE THAT WE COULD STAFF UP AND GET AS MANY PEOPLE TO THE POOLS. WE NEED THE WEATHER TO COOPERATE. WE'LL GET THERE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, BECAUSE I'VE RECEIVED LITERALLY NOTHING, NOT A WORD FROM CITY STAFF ON THE St. PATEL POOL, WHETHER THEY ARE JACK HAMMERING CONCRETE OR PLAYING POKER OUT THERE. I HAVE NO IDEA WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR DUNCAN FOR ALL THOSE DATES AND INFORMATION. NO ONE SAID ANYTHING TO ME FROM THE STAFF. IT'S A SORE SPOT BECAUSE THAT POOL WAS CLOSED FOR THE ENTIRETY OF 2024 AND ENTIRETY OF 2015, WHICH SHOULD NEVER HAVE HAPPENED, AS SOME RETIRED STAFF LATER ADMITTED TO ME. THOUGH COME TO WYATT'S CREDIT. PERHAPS HE'S DELIVERING A MEMORY OF WE DID REALLOCATE THE OPERATING BUDGET FOR 2015 WHEN COUNCILLOR WAS HERE AND PUT IT INTO THE FORKS, WHICH NONCITY FUNDED WATER PARK AT THE TIME. SO SHOULD IT BE CLOSED AGAIN? LET'S

THINK ABOUT IT. SO THERE'S NO REAL QUESTION. I WITHDRAW THE QUESTION BECAUSE THERE WAS NO QUESTION AT ALL.

YEAH, ABSOLUTELY. SO THE St. PATEL POOL ALONG WITH THE KILDONAN POOL IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT BOTH POOLS ARE HAVING LINER WORK BEING DONE. THIS IS AN ONGOING CAPITAL WORK THAT IS REQUIRED WITH AGING INFRASTRUCTURE THAT IS WITHIN THE EXISTING M.A. BUDGET. SO WE'RE CONTINUING TO WORK TO KEEP AS MANY OF THESE OPEN AND OPERATIONAL AS WE CAN.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. WITH THAT, THAT CONCLUDES OUR QUESTION PERIOD OF THIS COMMITTEE. NEXT IS THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL.

MADAM SPEAKER?

MADAM SPEAKER: YES. Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER WILL TAKE THE CHAIR.

YOU WANT ME TO TAKE THE CHAIR?

MADAM SPEAKER: TAKE THE CHAIR.

ALL RIGHT. I'LL TAKE THE CHAIR. I'D LIKE TO ACTUALLY REFER THE ITEM BACK TO GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE JUST TO SEEK FURTHER CLARIFICATION ON AN AMENDMENT.

OK. ALL RIGHT.

MADAM SPEAKER: CALL THE QUESTION.

YEAH, IT'S JUST THE REFERRAL WITH NO INSTRUCTIONS. OK. ALL THOSE IN FAVOUR? IS ANYBODY OPPOSED? THAT IS CARRIED. SO THAT MEANS NO BYLAWS THEN.

MADAM SPEAKER: THERE'S NO BYLAWS. THANK YOU ALL.

THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. WOULD YOU MOVE TO ADJOURNMENT, MADAM CHAIR?

MADAM SPEAKER: I CERTAINLY WILL. I MOVE ADJOURNMENT. STAY FOR ROLL CALL, PLEASE. THANK YOU.

CLERK: MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA. HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, GILROY, MAYES, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER AND WYATT.

MADAM SPEAKER: MEETING ADJOURNED.