

COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG

Thursday, March 27, 2025 (Un-audited)

The following text file was produced in real-time and may contain inaccuracies. A complete and corrected Hansard document for this meeting is being produced and will replace this document when completed. To verify any parts of this document in the meantime, please visit www.winnipeg.ca/clkdms to listen to a complete Electronic Hansard of this meeting.

IT IS WONDERFUL TO SEE CLERK BRENDON HARRIS IN THE CHAMBER FOR THE FIRST TIME TODAY. WELCOME. GIVE HIM A ROUND. [APPLAUSE]

WE WILL NOW INTRODUCE OUR PAGES FOR TODAY'S MEETING. SALLY LEASK AND VLADYSLAV TANASICHUK. THANK YOU FOR SERVING COUNCIL TODAY. [APPLAUSE]. MOURNING ANNOUNCEMENT. COUNCILLOR MAYES. MR. MAYOR, FIRST.

I CAN GO EITHER WAY. THAT IS FINE.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: GOOD MORNING. I WANT TO BEGIN BY WISHING EVERYBODY IN ADVANCE A WONDERFUL AND SAFE SPRING BREAK NEXT WEEK. MANY PEOPLE WILL BE TAKING A BIT OF TIME TO BE RENEWED AND REFRESHED. THE CENTRES AND INDOOR POOLS OFFER VARIETY OF FUN AND COST-EFFECTIVE ACTIVITIES FOR KIDS. CONGRATULATE TO BALLET ON THE FUND-RAISING EVENT. MY WIFE AND I WERE PLEASED TO ATTEND BE THE BALLET FIRST ANNUAL MASQUERADE BALL. 85 YEARS OF DANCE. EARLIER THIS WEEK GRAHAM AVENUE IN FRONT OF ROYAL BALLET CAMPUS WAS ANDRE LEWIS WAY IN RECOGNITION OF EXTRAORDINARY 50 YEAR CAREER WITH THE RWB. LEADERSHIP AND ARTISTIC VISION SHAPED THE RWB TO ONE OF THE PREMIER DANCE COMPANIES. IT WAS GREAT TO PAY TRIBUTE TO HIS LEGACY. WELCOME TO CHRISTOPHER STOWELL FROM SEATTLE TO TAKE OVER THE REIGNS AS RWB NEW ARTISTIC DIRECTOR. THANK YOU TO THE WINNIPEG CHAMBER OF COMMERCE FOR ANOTHER SUCCESSFUL STATE OF CITY LUNCHEON. I MET WITH BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO DISCUSS PRIORITIES, REVITALIZING DOWNTOWN. IMPROVING PUBLIC SAFETY, DRIVING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND INVESTING IN INFRASTRUCTURE. FOCUSES THIS COUNCIL PLACED EMPHASIS ON OVER THE PAST TWO PLUS YEARS. ALSO, I WANT TO NOTE AS STATED IN THE STATE OF CITY. THIS FEDERAL ELECTION UPON US IS A TIME FOR US, A TIME OF OPPORTUNITY. I WILL BE FOCUSED ON IN THIS ELECTION CALLING FOR FURTHER BAIL AND CRIMINAL CODE CHANGES TO KEEP REPEAT VIOLENT OFFENDERS OFF OUR STREETS. FURTHER INVESTMENT AND STRATEGY RELATED TO REHABILITATING THOSE COMING OUT OF PRISON SO THAT THEY HAVE A BETTER CHANCE OF SUCCESS LESS LIKELY TO REOFFEND. I INVITE ALL TO JOIN ME. FINALLY, TODAY, ON BEHALF OF ALL COUNCIL, I WANT TO OFFER MY DEEPEST CONDOLENCES TO THE FAMILY OF ASHLEY AND THE COUNCIL FIRST NATION. I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK WITH ASHLEY'S PARENTS, THERESA AND ALBERT, AND OFFER CONDOLENCES ON BEHALF OF ALL OF US AND OFFER OUR SUPPORT. ASHLEY, MORGAN, MERCEDES AND REBECCA MATTERED. THEIR LIVES AND LOSS OF THEIR LIVES CONTINUES TO BE FELT THROUGH THE CITY, ACROSS THE PROVINCE AND COUNTRY. AS MAYOR I SUPPORT THE SEARCH OF THE LANDFILL SO ASHLEY CAN BE RETURNED HOME. MORE TO COME RELATED TO SEARCH AND THE DETAILS OF THAT ARE YET TO BE WORKED OUT. AS I SAID. WHEN I SPOKE TO ALBERT AND THERESA, ASHLEY'S PARENTS, I OFFERED MY CONDOLENCES AND CONDOLENCES OF EVERY MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL TO THEM.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES, FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU, MAYOR, FOR THOSE COMMENTS. SERIOUS MATTER. I DON'T WANT TO TAKE AWAY FROM THE SERIOUSNESS. TWO HOURS. FOUR HOURS WATCHING VARSITY FINALS, DAKOTA AND BOTH ENDS OF THE DOUBLEHEADER. I WILL RISE TO CONGRATULATE JUNIOR VARSITY GIRLS. I SHOULD HAVE GONE TO THAT GAME. I WAS INVITED. THE JUNIOR GIRLS WERE THE CHAMPIONS IN BASKETBALL. A FEW WORDS FOR ONE OF THE SENIOR CIVIL SERVANTS HERE FOR MANY YEARS. LIVE WHITE MAN PASSED AWAY RECENTLY -- CLIVE. WE WORKED WITH HIM. NOBODY ARGUED HIM MORE THAN ME. ALL HE DOES IS PREFER INNER CITY KIDS. I LAUGHED. ALL HE DOES IS PREFER SUBURBAN KIDS. I ACCUSED HIM OF THE OPPOSITE ONLY CARING ABOUT INNER CITY KIDS. I SAID TO C LIVI. HE CARED ABOUT ALL KIDS. HE HAD A JOB AND HAD TO BALANCE INTERESTS. IF HE WAS DOING A PRETTY GOOD JOB. I WAS ABLE TO SHARE THAT BEFORE HE LEFT THE CITY. CLIVE DID A GOOD JOB FOR THE CITY. SOMETIMES WE ARGUE WITH CIVIL SERVANTS. HE CARED DEEPLY. I REMEMBER SAYING IN ABOUT 2010 WITH COUNCILLOR BROWATY. DO NOT CUT YOUTH FUNDING. THAT IS THE BEST MILLION DOLLARS WE DID. THAT IS HIS INFLUENCE.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. EPBE ENCOURAGING DEVELOPMENT OF YOUTH IN SPORTS. I WOULD LIKE TO GLOAT AND CONGRATULATE THE REEVERS QUAD RUPLEA FOR A PERFECT SEASON. WINNING PROVINCIAL CHAMPIONSHIPS ON MONDAY NIGHT. THIS IS THE SECOND PROVINCIAL TITLE IN SCHOOL HISTORY. FIRST SINCE 1990. CONGRATULATIONS TO THE COLONIAL EAST VARSITY BASKETBALL AND GIRLS FOR HAVING A GOOD SEASON AND TRYING HARD AS WELL. MOST IMPORTANT THING. I WANT TO CONGRATULATOR COUNCILLOR BRIAN MAYES FOR SITTING THROUGH THE ENTIRE CHAMPIONSHIPS ON MONDAY. THE FACT THEY BEAT THE DAKOTA LANCERS 67-52 FOR THE PROVINCIAL FINALS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. IT IS THREE YEARS SINCE FAMILIES HAVE ASKED THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO SEARCH LANDFILLS. I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE FAMILY AND COMMUNITY IN MOURNING. I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE MMIWG IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE IN MANITOBA FOR STANDING BY THE FAMILY'S SIDE AND COMMUNITY'S SIDE. I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THE INITIAL DECISION OF THIS CITY WAS NOT TO SEARCH. CONSIDER THE WORDS OF CHIEF BOWERS IN ACKNOWLEDGING THAT FACT. THE REMAINS OF MORGAN HARRIS, BO DAM AND MERCEDES AND ASHLEY HAVE HAD SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE FAMILIES AND THE COMMUNITY. WHILE WE HAVE HAD TIME FOR REFLECTION IN THIS NEARLY IT FEELS LIKE FOUR YEARS, MADAM SPEAKER, WE CAN'T UNDO THE PAST. IT IS IMPORTANT TO STAND AND ACKNOWLEDGE HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO PRIVILEGE DIGNITY AND PRIVILEGE THOSE THINGS THAT WOULD RESOLVE THE VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN AND GIRLS. ONE OF THOSE THINGS IS ON THE AGENDA TODAY. WELCOMING WINNIPEG INITIATIVE. WE ALSO HAVE OPPORTUNITY AS THE WHOLE CITY DOES ON THE STRUCTURE FOR RECONCILIATION OF THE INDIGENOUS ACCORD WE HAVE CO-DEVELOPMENT WITH BIODIVERSITY PLAN. THE MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT. MADAM SPEAKER, WE HAVE STRUCTURES RECONCILIATION THAT WOULD PREVENT THE GENOCIDE THAT IS MURDERED AND MISSING INDIGENOUS WOMEN AND GIRL ALSO. IT IS TIME TO LEAN IN TO ALL OF THESE THINGS. I DO WANT TO

ACKNOWLEDGE THE WORDS OF THE CHIEF JUST DAYS AGO. IT IS A NEW DAY FOR FAMILIES THAT HAVE THEIR LOVED ONES BACK. I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT, MADAM SPEAKER.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: .

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I WOULD LIKE TO RISE. LAST NIGHT THE RAILERS EXPRESSED HOCKEY TEAM IN TRANS CONE NO TIED THE SERIES AGAINST THE HAWKS. DOWN TO BEST OF SEVEN. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN AND I HAVE HONORABLE COMPETITION. WHEN, OF COURSE, THE TRANSCONA RAILERS WIN THEY WILL WEAR THE JERSEY IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER AT THE NEXT MEETING. THE AGREEMENT WAS THAT HE WILL BE PURCHASING A LUNCHEON. I WILL ATTEND WITH HIM AT DELLS RESTAURANT IN TRANSCONA. IN THE UNLIKELY EVENT THE HAWKS SHOULD WIN I WILL WEAR THE HAWKS JERSEY A MONTH FROM NOW ALONG WITH PURCHASING HIM A LUNCH IN CHARLESWOOD. GREAT SERIES. LAST NIGHT PLAYERS WERE UNFORTUNATELY INJURED. MY THOUGHTS ARE WITH NATHAN TURNER. WE KNOCKED OUT THE ST. JAMES CANUCKS. WE WILL WIN THE SERIES. IT IS GOOD HOCKEY. GREAT HOCKEY TO WATCH. WE HAD A PACKED ARENA LAST NIGHT AT EAST END ARENA. I LOOK FORWARD TO ATTENDING THE NEXT GAME IN CHARLESWOOD TO FANS OF BOTH SIDES. ALL OF THE BEST TO THE PLAYERS, FAMILIES AND KIDS PLAYING WITH THEIR HEARTS AND MINDS. IT IS A WONDERFUL SPORT TO WATCH WITH THIS ENTHUSIASM ON THE ICE. MAY THE BEST TEAM WIN. WE KNOW THIS TEAM THAT IS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: I RISE TODAY TO PAY CONGRATULATIONS TO THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA'S WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL TEAM WHO WON A NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP FOR THE FIRST TIME IN 11 YEARS. THEY DID SO ON HOME COURT. SUCH A GREAT FEAT. THE COACH BENTLEY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL TEAM HAS TWO DAUGHTERS. DAUGHTER AND STEPDAUGHTER PLAYING ON THE TEAM IN FINAL YEAR. I KNOW THIS IS TREMENDOUSLY EXCITING FOR HIM AS RESIDENTS OF THE SAINT NORBERT AND SAINT RIVER WARD. CONGRATULATIONS TO WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL WINNING NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA 2025 NATIONAL CHAMPIONS. AGAIN, CONGRATULATIONS.

THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. NOW MOVING TO THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETING HELD ON FEBRUARY 27, 2025. TAKEN AS READ AND CONFIRMED. COUNCIL CHAMBERS.

MOVE.

IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED.

DELEGATIONS. REQUEST TO SUSPEND RULES FOR ADDITIONAL DELEGATIONS. COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

I PROVIDED LIST SUGGESTION PENSION OF THE RULES TO ALLOW 10 PEOPLE TO SPEAK.

THOSE NAMES ARE MR. CLERK I BELIEVE YOU HAVE IT. I HAVE A COPY HERE. TRACEY TURNER, DR.

SHELLY SWEENEY, ERIC REDLER, WILDERNESS COMMITTEE, DR. LOEN. PATRICK WHEELING. STACY GOSMAN AND PAT.

ONE MORE. KATRINA. FIVE MINUTES EACH.

MADAM SPEAKER: FIVE MINUTES REGARDING PP AND D MOTION ON LEMAY FOREST.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: TRACEY TURNER.

MADAM SPEAKER: FIVE MINUTES NO QUESTIONS. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. FIRST DELEGATION. MR. CLERK.

CLERK: FIRST DELEGATION IS DR. ERIN MILLIONS, WELCOMING WINNIPEG COMMITTEE OF COMMUNITY MEMBERS IN OPPOSITION ITEM 1. EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE NOTICE OF MOTION. WELCOMING WINNIPEG POLICY REVIEW.

MADAM SPEAKER: DELEGATION IS WITH US HERE TODAY. WELCOME.

GOOD MORNING, MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLOR. I AM DR. ERIN MILLIONS. FACULTY MEMBER AT UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG. I RESEARCH AND TEACH INDIGINOUS HISTORIES. PART OF MY WORK AS HISTORIAN IS TO TRANSLATE HISTORICAL RESEARCH DONE PRIMARILY BY ACADEMICS TO PUBLIC AUDIENCES. I HAVE DONE THAT THROUGH A VARIETY OF SETTINGS, THROUGH PARKS CANADA, CMHR, CANADIAN GEOGRAPHIC, BBC AND MANITOBA INDIGENOUS TUBERCULOSIS HISTORY PROJECT. I HAVE BEEN MEMBER OF THE WELCOMING WINNIPEG COMMITTEE OF COMMUNITY MEMBERS SINCE FOUNDING IN AUGUST 2020. CCM IS COMMITTEE VOLUNTEERS APPOINTED BASED ON EXPERTISE IN RELATION TO WELCOMING WINNIPEG POLICY. ONLY CITY COMMITTEE WORKING BY CONSENSUS. OVER THE YEARS I HAVE MET AND SPOKEN TO SEVEN OF YOU IN PERSON ON THE PHONE BY E-MAIL IN RELATION TO RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY THE CCM FOR WHICH I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND YOUR INTEREST. NOW, I HAD PREPARED A DIFFERENT SPEECH TODAY. ONE THAT RESPONDS TO THE MOTION AND BASED ON CONVERSATIONS THE CCM HAD WITH MAYOR GILLINGHAM IN A PRODUCTIVE MEETING THIS MONTH. THOSE SPECIFIC DETAILS ARE AVAILABLE IN A WRITTEN SUBMISSION THAT YOU CAN LOOK AT. BECAUSE INSTEAD AS WE CONTEMPLATE FUTURE OF WELCOMING WINNIPEG INITIATIVE. I WILL BEGIN IN PRESENT. THIS TIME I BEGIN WITH FOUR NAMES. MERCEDES, MORGAN, REBECCA AND ASHLEY. IN DOING SO I HONOR THE LIVES THE WOMEN AND PAIN THEIR FAMILIES HAVE GONE THROUGH. I ALSO WANT TO THANK MAYOR GILLINGHAM FOR HIS COMMENTS YESTERDAY. MY HUSBAND IS IN THE AUDIENCE. FIRST NATIONS ARCHEOLOGIST AT THE EXCAVATION. HE BROUGHT MY COMMENTS TO MY ATTENTION, MAYOR. I ALSO WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE TRUTH PART OF TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION. REMINDER NOT JUST RECONCILIATION. TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION. THE FOUR WOMEN'S TRAGIC MURDERS ARE PART OF THE MISSING AND MURDERED WOMEN AND GIRLS IN TWO SPIRIT EPIDEMIC IN WINNIPEG. IN WINNIPEG SPECIFICALLY, PART OF THE ROOTS OF THAT EPIDEMIC BEGAN IN 1870. FOLLOWING THE ARRIVAL THOSE TROOPS ENACTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS AGAINST FIRST NATIONS WOMEN WITH IMPUNITY. THEIR COHORT CONDONED ACTS OF VIOLENCE AGAINST INDIGENOUS WOMEN. MANY OF THOSE SAME TROOPS WHO ENACTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS OR

CONDONED SEXUAL ASSAULTS REMAINED IN WINNIPEG AND BECAME THE CITY'S FOUNDING FATHERS AFTER 1870. INCLUDING LEADING BUSINESSMEN, SHERIFF OF MANITOBA, NORTHWEST MOUNTED POLICE OFFICER, MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, MANITOBA MAYORS, MANITOBA ATTORNEY GENERAL. THAT IS A PARTIAL LIST. SO THOSE SAME MEN WHO HAD ARRIVED TO ACT VIOLENCE AGAINST INDIGENOUS PEOPLES IN RETALIATION AGAINST THE SUPPORTERS STAYED TO ESTABLISH THE STRUCTURES OF POWER AND AUTHORITY IN OUR PROVINCE THAT HAS CONTINUED TO MARGINALIZE INDIGENOUS WOMEN, INCLUDING LACK OF CARE FOR THEIR DEATHS. NOW IN THE PRESENT AGAIN BE WHEN WE LOOK AT THE EPIDEMIC OF UP HOUSED PEOPLED IN OUR CITY AND DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH NUMBER OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE. THAT IS THE DIRECT RESULT OF DISPOSITION OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLES FROM THEIR LANDS. IN WINNIPEG SPECIFICALLY FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S FAILURE TO ACT HONORABLY IN MEETING THE TERMS OF THE MANITOBA ACT OF 1870 TO DISTRIBUTE LANDS PROMISE THE CHILDREN AND HONOR THE COMMITMENTS IN THE TREATIES. ALSO RESULT MUCH INTENDED FAMILY AND COMMUNITY DISRUPTIONS THAT WERE INTENDED BY THE RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS SYSTEM, DAY SCHOOL SYSTEM AND CHILD WELFARE SYSTEM. I WILL NOTE SO FAR ONLY ONE OF THE FOUR RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS THAT EXISTED IN WINNIPEG HAS BEEN COMMEMORATED. UNWELCOMING WINNIPEG FOR INDIGENOUS PEOPLES IS ROOTED IN THESE SAME HISTORIES. IN THE ERASURE OF THE INDIGENOUS HISTORIES OF THE CITY BY SAME MEN WHO ARRIVED WITH THE TROOPS AND ENACTED VIOLENCE AND PERPETUATED POWER AND SUBSEQUENT LEADERS WHO FILLED THE ROLES AFTER THEM. WINNIPEGGERS BENEFITED FROM THE DISPOSITION OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE FOR AT LEAST 150 YEARS. THE ERASURE FROM THE HISTORIES OF OUR CITY IS PART OF THE SAME SYSTEMS OF RACISM AND DISCRIMINATION AND COLONIALISM THAT RESULTED IN EPIDEMIC OF MISSING MURDERED INDIGENOUS MURDERED WOMEN AND UNHOUSED INDIGENOUS PEOPLE IN THE CITY. AS WE CONTEMPLATE THE WELCOMING WINNIPEG POLICY. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT MORE THAN WHAT THE PARK IS NAMED OR NOT NAMED. I AM SPEAKING AGAINST THE MOTION AS PRESENTED TODAY. WE HAVE TO SPEAK FOR OR AGAINST. WE SPEAK SPECIFICALLY AGAINST THE MOTION AS PRESENTED NOW BECAUSE WE HAVE ASKED THE MAYOR TO AMEND HIS MOTION TO MORE SPECIFICALLY REPRESENT THE MANDATE OF WELCOMING WINNIPEG. TO ALLOW CCM TO SUBMIT WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE MAYOR'S REPORT ON RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO WELCOMING WINNIPEG POLICY. CCM DOES, HOWEVER, AGREE CHANGES TO THE WELCOMING WINNIPEG POLICY ARE NECESSARY. WE HAVE ALREADY RECOMMENDED SEVERAL AMENDMENTS OVER THE YEARS THAT HAVE NOT GONE FORWARD FROM COUNCIL. WE HOPE THESE CHANGES WILL ADDRESS SPECIFIC POINTS OF FRICTION IN THE POLICY. WE ALSO FULLY SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT BUDGET LINE BE DEDICATED TO WELCOMING WINNIPEG POLICY. DR. ALBERT ARGUES NOT FUNDING THE POLICY IS A POLICY IN ITSELF. MOST IMPORTANTLY THE EXPERIENCES AND CONTRIBUTIONS IN THE HERITAGE TO MAKE WINNIPEG A MORE WELCOMING PLACE FOR DIMMING DIMMING PEOPLE REMAIN INTACT. TO DO THIS AT MOMENT OF LIMITED FINANCIAL RESOURCES SUPPORT FOR TRUTH THROUGH NAMING COMMEMORATION MUST PRIORITIZE FIRST INDIGENOUS HISTORIES AND SECONDLY OTHER WINNIPEG COMMUNITIES WHOSE HISTORIES ARE UNDERREPRESENTED IN WINNIPEG. THE CCM HAS SUPPORTED THROUGHOUT OUR MANDATE INCLUDING COMMEMORATIONS SOUTH ASIAN AND OTHER COMMUNITY IN WINNIPEG. COMMUNITIES USED TO HEARING YES, WHOSE HISTORIES ARE OVER REPRESENTED IN WINNIPEG MUNICIPAL SPACES BECAUSE OF OPERATIONS OF SYSTEMS OF POWER REFERRED TO ARE GOING TO NEED TO HEAR NO FOR A WHILE. THEY ARE GOING TO HEAR NO, BECAUSE WE HAVE A LOT OF CATCHING UP TO DO TO ACHIEVE

A MINIMAL BASELINE OF 18% NAMING OF PARKS. JUST PARKS IN WINNIPEG TO REPRESENT THE 18% AND GROWING POPULATION OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE IN WINNIPEG. THE CCM FIRST AND FOREMOST NEEDS MAYOR AND COUNCIL TO BE ON BOARD WITH AND PROVIDE LEADERSHIP ON SAYING NO TO PEOPLE USED TO HEARING YES. BECAUSE THAT IS LEADERSHIP IN RECONCILIATION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. [APPLAUSE].

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. DR. MILLIONS THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO ASK QUESTIONS. DR. ERIN COME BACK TO THE PODIUM. NO REPRESENTATIONS FROM THE GALLERY THROUGHOUT PROCEEDINGS TODAY. WE HAVE ANOTHER DELEGATION. WE WILL CALL YOU BACK FOR QUESTIONS. NEXT IS DR. MARY LeMAITRE IN OPPOSITION TO THIS ITEM. FIVE MINUTES ON THE CLOCK. THEN WE WILL TAKE QUESTIONS FOR BOTH DELEGATIONS. WELCOME.

HI. GREETINGS, GOOD MORNING, MAYOR GILLINGHAM AND COUNCIL AND MY FELLOW CITIZENS. I AM DR. MARY LeMAITRE PROFESSOR AT UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG SPECIALIZING IN ANALYSIS OF SOCIAL DISCOURSE. EVERYTHING THAT IS SAID, WRITTEN AND ILLUSTRATED IN SOCIETY AT A PARTICULAR POINT IN TIME. MY RESEARCH IS ON STEREOTYPES ABOUT INDIGENOUS PEOPLES IN CANADA. WHERE THOSE STEREOTYPES COME FROM, HOW THEY AFFECT OUR RELATIONSHIP, HOW THEY HOLD COLONIAL STRUCTURES IN PLACE AND HOW WE CAN CHANGE THEM. I AM ALSO THE COMMUNICATIONS PERSON AND VICE-CHAIR OF KIROS, CHURCHES AND BLANKET EXERCISE WHICH YOU MAY HAVE ALREADY DONE. LAST BUT NOT LEAST AS YOU KNOW I AM MEMBER OF WELCOMING WINNIPEG. HERE IN CANADA WE HAVE A HISTORY OF ERASING INDIGENOUS LANGUAGES AND VOICES AND PEOPLES AND HISTORY FROM THE COMMON SPACE. SOME OF THE POLICIES CANADA HAS CREATED THAT HAVE DONE THIS ARE THE INDIAN ACT WHICH PUTS INDIGENOUS PEOPLES IN A SEPARATE LESS FUNDED SYSTEM. IT WAS USED TO CREATE RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS, IT WAS USED TO CREATE PASS SYSTEM AND THE PEASANT FARMING ACT. INDIGENOUS PEOPLES ALTHOUGH HERE BEFORE WE ARRIVED, AND ARE STILL HERE. WERE MADE TO BE INVISIBLE BY THESE POLICIES. WE DIDN'T LEARN THE HISTORY OR CULTURES OR ANYTHING ABOUT INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND THEIR LANGUAGES. WHEN WE THINK ABOUT OUR HISTORY HERE IN CANADA AND HISTORY OF WINNIPEG, FOR EXAMPLE, WE USUALLY START WITH WHEN WE ARRIVE. BEFORE WE ARRIVED THERE WERE INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND THEY MADE TREATIES WITH US, INVITED US INTO THE FAMILY RELATIONSHIP. THEY ARE OUR PARTNERS. FOR THE LONGEST TIME WE DID NOT SEE THEM. IT WAS ONLY PROBABLY IN 2010 WHEN WE HAD OUR TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION COMMISSION THAT PEOPLE BECAME AWARE OF PART OF INDIGENOUS HISTORY. I KNOW I DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS BEFORE 2010. IN 2012, INDIGENOUS VOICES, INDIGENOUS HISTORY, INDIGENOUS LANGUAGE AND CULTURE STARTED TO BECOME VISIBLE TO US. IN 2015, MCLANES DECLARED WINNIPEG TO BE THE MOST RACIST CITY IN WINNIPEG. THE CITY RESPONDED. WE CREATED THE INDIGENOUS ACCORD. PART OF THE REASON THAT WE GOT STUFF LIKE THAT DONE IS BECAUSE, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU KNOW. WE HAVE THE MOST AMAZING INDIGENOUS PARTNERS HERE TREATY PARTNERS HERE IN WINNIPEG. THEY CREATE NEW SYSTEMS, NEW AND BETTER AND MORE JUST SYSTEMS ADOPTED ACROSS THE COUNTRY. IF YOU WONDER IF YOU ARE FORTUNATE TO LIVE IN WINNIPEG WITH THE TREATY PARTNERS. THE ANSWER IS YES. IN 2015 WAS THE CLOSING OF THE TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION COMMISSION. THERE ARE THREE CALLS PERTINENT TO WHAT YOU DO AS CITY COUNCIL AND WHAT WELCOMING WINNIPEG DOES. FIRST IS CALL TO ACTION 57 WHICH IS PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRAINING FOR PUBLIC SERVANTS SO THAT THEY LEARN ABOUT

THIS HISTORY AND UNDERSTAND WHY IT IS SO IMPORTANT TO BE MAKING CHANGES FOR THINGS THAT INCLUDE INDIGENOUS PEOPLE AND INDIGENOUS HISTORY. MAKE THEM VISIBLE FOR EVERYONE. WE ALL ARE LEARNING. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU AS CITY COUNCILLORS HELP TO PROMOTE THAT LEARNING ENVIRONMENT.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER MOVES EXTENSION TWO MINUTES. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

OKAY. CALL TO ACTION 79. INCLUDING INDIGENOUS REPRESENTATIONS. THAT IS MONUMENTS, PARKS, THAT SORT OF THING. CALL TO ACTION 92 WHICH IS INCLUDING INDIGENOUS PEOPLE IN DECISIONS AND EMPLOYMENT AND THAT SORT OF THING IN BUSINESS. WHY HAVE THEY ASKED, BY THE WAY GO TO CATHOLICS TRUTH RECONCILIATION 57. BE PROUD OUR INDIGENOUS ACCORD IS EXAMPLE OF GOOD 57. WHY ARE THEY ASKING? WHY IS THE CALL OF ACTION ASKING US TO DO THESE THINGS? BECAUSE INDIGENOUS PEOPLES HAVE BEEN EXCLUDED. THEY HAVE BEEN INVISIBLE. THEY SHOULD NOT BE. THEY ARE OUR PARTNERS. WE WANT TO WALK BESIDE EACH OTHER. WE WANT TO INCLUDE THEM. THAT IS WHY WELCOMING WINNIPEG, YOU KNOW, IS RENAMING THINGS SO THAT THE INDIGENOUS PART OF OUR COMMUNITY AND OUR HISTORY IS VISIBLE TO PEOPLE. THAT WE ARE WALKING TOGETHER IN A GOOD WAY AS PARTNERS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

MADAM SPEAKER: NOW WE HAVE QUESTIONS. I WILL ASK BOTH SPEAKERS TO BE AT THE PODIUM. COUNCILLOR WYATT FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU FOR COMING HERE TODAY. ON A PERSONAL NOTE I AM BLESSED WITH TWO SONS, ONE IS INDIGENOUS. IT MEANS A LOT TO ME. THANK YOU FOR YOUR HISTORY, DR. MILLIONS TAKING US BACK TO THE FOUNDING OF THE PROVINCE AND HISTORY WE HAVE NOT HEARD. I WANT TO NOTE AS WELL THAT I ARRIVED ON COUNCIL BACK IN 2002 UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF MAYOR MURRAY. HE BROUGHT FORWARD WHAT WAS REFERRED TO AS ABORIGINAL PATHWAYS. ADVOCATED MANY THINGS. ONE THING IT ADDVO INDICATED FOR WAS YOU ARE -- ADVOCATED FOR WAS URBAN FIRST NATIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: QUESTION, COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: IT WAS CONTROVERSIAL. COUNCIL CAME TOGETHER VOTED UNANIMOUSLY. THANK YOU FOR THE WORK YOU ARE DOING WELCOMING WINNIPEG. ARE YOU AWARE SOME OF US FEEL THERE IS DISCONNECT. WE WANT TO HAVE A BETTER CONNECT. SOMEBODY FROM MY WARD IN THIS TERM ALONE WE HAVE PASSED A NUMBER OF SUBDIVISIONS. A WHOLE LIST OF PARKS UNNAMED. I WOULD LIKE TO BRING TO YOUR COMMITTEE. I HAVE A SMALL LIST OF PARKS IN MY WARD THAT COMMUNITY MEMBERS HAVE COME FORWARD BECAUSE THEY HAVE DONE THINGS, LOST SOMEBODY TO A CAR ACCIDENT, WANT IT NAMED. TALKING ABOUT FIVE OR SIX NAMES. I AM NOT EXAGGERATING. 12 OR 15 OR MORE PARKS MAYBE 20 IN NEW NEIGHBORHOODS COMING OPPORTUNITY FOR WELCOMING WINNIPEG. WHAT IS THE PROCESS FOR ME TO FOLLOW THAT I COULD FORWARD TO YOU MAYBE INFORMALLY EVEN. ONE OF THE PARKS FOR EXAMPLE HAD LAND SET ASIDE WE WANT TO CALL IT MOTHER EARTH NATURE PARK. WE HAVE DESIGN INDIGENOUS WORKING WITH LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS TO SHARE WITH YOU. WE ARE SO FORMAL HERE. HOW COULD I DO THIS INFORMALLY?

WHAT DO YOU SUGGEST?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION.

THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WYATT. I AGREE ON THE DISCONNECT IN THE RELATIONSHIP BUILDING. WHEN WE MET WITH THE MAYOR IN THE FALL AND WITH THE MAYOR EARLIER IN THE YEAR AND ONE OF OUR KEY POINTS OF RECOMMENDATIONS IS THAT A DISCONNECT HAS DEVELOPED BETWEEN COUNCIL AND THE CCM. WE NEED TO DO SOME RELATIONSHIP BUILDING TO BRING US BACK ON THE SAME TEAM FOR THAT. I AM NOT GOING TO OFFER SPECIFIC POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS. THAT IS NOT MY FORTE. I AM A HISTORIAN. I WILL SAY CCM AND IRD HAS OVER THE YEARS MADE SEVERAL RECOMMENDATIONS TO REDUCE POINTS OF FRICTION THAT HAVE BECOME A PROBLEM. SOME OF THAT IS RECOMMENDED IN THE REPORT. THERE IS OPPORTUNITY. I THINK WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT IS THAT THE CCM, IRD, MAYOR AND COUNCIL ALL COMMIT TO MOVING FORWARD WITH WELCOMING WINNIPEG IN A WAY TO REDUCE POINTS OF FRICTION BUT CONTINUES TO CENTRE MANDATE OF WELCOMING WINNIPEG. I WILL NOTE THAT I MENTIONED WE ARE THE ONLY CITY COMMITTEE WORKING BY CONSENSUS. ASKING US TO REGISTER TO SPEAK FOR OR AGAINST DOESN'T REFLECT NUANCE WHERE WE WORK. WE ARE INDIGENOUS LED COMMITTEE 50% OF MEMBERS ARE INDIGENOUS. IN THE PAST OUR DING DITCH MEMBERS HAVE COME TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL. IN THIS INSTANCE, MARY AND I AS NON-INDIGENOUS MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL HAVE COME TO HAVE THE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT AMENDMENTS AND HOW WE CAN COME TOGETHER TO MOVE FORWARD. I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT IRD TAKE THE LEAD IN RECOMMENDING POLICY CHANGES. WITH CONSULTATION WITH THE CCM BECAUSE THAT IS NOT OUR SPECIALTY. WE CAN OFFER ADVICE FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE AND EXPERIENCE. I WOULD BE HAPPY NOT TO HAVE TO CALL OR E-MAIL YOU ANY OF YOU EVER AGAIN IN RECOMMENDATION IN SUPPORT OF ONE OF OUR RECOMMENDATIONS. NOT THAT I DON'T ENJOY TALKING TO ALL OF YOU. I DIDN'T KNOW THAT IS WHAT I SIGNED UP WHEN I VOLUNTEERED FOR CCM. I CAN OFFER REFLECTIONS. I NEED TO LEAVE SPECIFIC POINTS OF POLICY TO IRD. ONE OF THE THINGS IN CANADA BECAUSE OF STEREOTYPES AND COLONIAL STRUCTURES IS THAT INDIGENOUS PEOPLES BECOME PEOPLES THAT WE TALK ABOUT INSTEAD OF ENTERING INTO RELATIONSHIP AND TALKING TO. JUST MAKE SURE IF YOU ARE -- YOU HAVE IDEAS FOR NAMING THE PARK GET IDEAS FROM INDIGENOUS PEOPLE SO THEY CAN GIVE YOU INPUT SO IT IS A PARTNERSHIP RELATIONSHIP.

MADAM SPEAKER: SECOND QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I APPRECIATE YOUR RESPONSES. ON THAT NOTE I WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO IDEALLY FORWARD SOMETHING INFORMALLY TO THE COMMITTEE. PUTTING TOGETHER THE VARIOUS AREAS APPROVED FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS IDENTIFYING THIS FOR A, B, C, D TO LOOK AT FOR NAMING. THE NAME I WAS MENTIONING ON REMAINING EXISTING PARKS IN MY COMMUNITY WHICH ARE NON-INDIGENOUS RELATED. SIX OF THOSE. 12 AND UP TO 20 IN THE NEW NEIGHBORHOODS. HOW WOULD YOU SAY I FORWARD THAT INFORMALLY, LETTER, SPEAK TO YOUR MEETINGS, EXPLAIN IT IF THERE ARE QUESTIONS. WHAT DO YOU SUGGEST IN TERMS OF THAT DIALOGUE?

FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD LOVE IF MORE COUNCILLORS CAME TO TALK TO US AT OUR MEETINGS. WE

WOULD LOVE TO DO THAT RELATIONSHIP BUILDING. HOWEVER, I DON'T WANT TO RECEIVE ANY OF THAT WHICH IS NOT TO SAY IT IS NOT IMPORTANT. THIS IS WHY CAN WE HAVE BEEN CONSISTENTLY RECOMMENDING A SPECIFIC BUDGET LINE FOR WELCOMING WINNIPEG IN PART TO SUPPORT THE MASSIVE AMOUNT OF WORK THAT IRD HAS TAKEN ON WITHOUT ADDITIONAL RESOURCES. THERE ARE POINTINGS OF PROCEDURE THAT WE HAVE RECOMMENDED THAT WE CONTINUE TO WORK THAT WE WORK ON AS WE AMEND THE POLL SEE AND ADDRESS THOSE CONCERNS. WITHOUT DOWNLOADING ADDITIONAL WORK ON TO THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS BECAUSE WE ARE AT THE END OF THE DAY VOLUNTEERS THAT ARE PROVIDING EXPERTISE TO THE CITY. IT IS IRD THAT NEEDS ADDITIONAL SUPPORT AND RESOURCES AND BUDGET LINE FOR WELCOMING WINNIPEG TO HELP ADDRESS THAT WITH YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: FINAL QUESTION, COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: IRD HAS BUDGET LINE. NO BUDGET PERTAINING TO WELCOMING WINNIPEG?

THEY RECEIVED TO ADDITIONAL RESOURCES TO SUPPORT WELCOMING WINNIPEG OR CCM. WITHIN THEIR EXISTING BUDGET TO ACCOMMODATE THE SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN WORKLOAD RELATED TO WELCOMING WINNIPEG.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: WITH RESPECT TO A MOTION, I WAS CONFIRMING WITH THE MAYOR. I THINK THERE IS ONE IN THE WORKS. I WANT TO CONFIRM WITH YOU. ONE, YOU ARE LOOKING AT ASKING FOR AN AMENDMENT TO ALIGN CLOSELY WITH WELCOMING WINNIPEG'S PURPOSE. TWO, ALLOW FOR A RESPONSE FROM THE CITIZEN COMMITTEE OPPOSITE MARILYN'S REPORT. WHAT TIME USUALLY WE POINT TO TIME TO ALLOCATE THIS. NORMALLY WE DIRECT THE PUBLIC SERVICE WHICH IS IRD. I THOUGHT IT WAS A BIT IRONIC WE WOULD BE DIRECTING SOMETHING TO IRD THAT THEY HAD ACTUALLY REPORTED TO COUNCIL THOUGHTS OVER THE YEARS ON WELCOMING WINNIPEG. I WANT TO ASK YOU FOR THAT TIMELINE BECAUSE YOU ARE VOLUNTEERS. YOU HAVE HELD YOUR HAND UP TO VOLUNTEER FOR THE CITY FOR THIS SPECIFIC PURPOSE OF WELCOMING WINNIPEG, IE PLACE MAKING. IF YOU COULD SHAPE THAT MOTION FOR ME, MY FIRST QUESTION IS WHAT WOULD BE YOUR TIMELINE? I WILL START WITH THAT QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. RESPONSE.

THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. THERE ARE A COUPLE ANSWERS. I WILL SPEAK SPECIFICALLY TO THE RESPONSE TO THE REPORT.

MADAM SPEAKER: EXTENSION OF TWO MINUTES. CARRIED.

IT IS ON HOLD. I AM NOT CURRENTLY MEMBER OF THE CCM BECAUSE WE ARE WAITING FOR OUR TERMS TO BE RENEWED AND NEW COMMITTEE MEMBERS TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO CONTINUE THAT WORK FORWARD. IN PART WE NEED THAT TO HAPPEN. THE CCM IN COLLABORATION WITH IRD COULD

CREATE RESPONSE TO REPORT WITHIN 60 DAYS. I WANT TO POINT OUT NOT IN OPPOSITION TO THE REPORT. WE AGREE WITH MANY KEY RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE REPORT. WE HAD REQUESTED TO BE ABLE TO READ DRAFT, PROVIDE FEEDBACK TO MAKE SURE KEY RECOMMENDATIONS WERE REFLECTED IN THE REPORT. SOME ARE. SOME ARE NOT. IT IS AN ADDITION TO THE REPORT AS OPPOSED TO AGAINST THE REPORT.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU FOR THAT CLARIFICATION. ARE YOU AWARE OUR PROCEDURAL BY-LAW WHEN COMMITTEE MEMBERS ARE APPOINTED AND THEY ARE NOT REPLACED THAT YOU IN FACT CONTINUE. SOMETHING I LEARNED AS HUMAN RIGHTS CHAIR RECENTLY WHEN I NEITHER APPOINTED PEOPLE IN THE TERM AND HAD TO CALL A BUNCH. ARE YOU AWARE OF THAT?

I AM NOT AWARE. THANK YOU FOR THAT.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: TO CONFIRM ONCE MORE YOU THINK 60 DAYS OR A COLLABORATIVE DRAFT TO PROVIDE FEEDBACK WITH RESPECT TO THE REPORT I COULD ACTUALLY SUPPORT A MOTION LIKE THAT. I COULD SUPPORT THE BASIC I WAS LOOKING FOR A BUDGET FOR A LONG TIME FOR IRD AND WELCOMING WINNIPEG. I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO SUPPORT PART 2 OF THE MOTION AS PRESENTED. IT CONTRADICTS THE PURPOSE OF WELCOMING WINNIPEG AND MARILYN'S REPORT. LET'S SEE WHAT CAN BE DONE HERE.

THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILOR SCHREYER. WILL YOU MOVE TWO MINUTE EXTENSION.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: YES.

MADAM SPEAKER: CARRIED. LAST SPEAKER MAYOR GILLINGHAM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: AN OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND TO COUNCILLOR ROLLINS QUESTION, I GUESS.

MADAM SPEAKER: DO YOU WISH TO RESPOND?

I WILL PASS, THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WANT TO THANK COLLEAGUES FOR EXTENSION. THANK YOU BOTH FOR YOUR PRESENTATION AND ONGOING WORK AND APPRECIATE THE DIALOGUE WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO HAVE. I THINK DOCTOR MILLION YOUR WORDS OF POINTS OF FRICTION FOR SURE ARE EVIDENT. THAT IS A GOAL WE HAVE BEFORE US HERE TO MOVE FORWARD IN A WAY THAT WE CAN REALLY ACHIEVE THE ULTIMATE GOALS OF RECONCILIATION. I APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS AND PRESENTATION AS WELL. THANK YOU TO YOU, TO ALL OF THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR ALL OF YOUR WORK. THANK YOU TO IRD. SOME MEMBERS ARE HERE WHO DO THE WORK. I HAVE TWO QUESTIONS.

ONE I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ASSISTANCE IN THE MOTION I BRING FORWARD TODAY. FIRST PART IS SPECIFICALLY THE WORDS YOU JUST SPOKE RESTRUCTURING OF THE POLICY TO FOCUS EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE CONTRIBUTIONS TO GET BACK TO THE POINT WE ARE TRYING TO NEED TO ACHIEVE. THE SENTIMENT. I ECHO AND SHARE THAT WE AS COUNCIL NEED TO WORK IN PARTNERSHIP WITH YOU AND WITH US TOGETHER, COLLECTIVELY WE SHARE A VISION AND GOAL REALLY TO REALLY DO THAT. TO ESTABLISH THE POLICY THAT REALLY DOES DEAL WITH AND ADDRESS THE ABSENCE OF INDIGENOUS EXPERIENCE AND CONTRIBUTIONS. MY FINAL COMMENT OR QUESTION QUICKLY. WE ARE CALLING IN THE MOTION FOR REPORT BACK FROM PUBLIC SERVICE IRD IN 120 DAYS. WOULD YOU BE BEING TO SUBMIT YOUR RESPONSE TO MARILYN'S REPORT TO ALL COUNCIL AND TO THE IRD TEAM SO THAT IT COULD BE CONSIDERED WHEN THEY ARE DEVELOPING THE REPORT?

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE MOVES EXTENSION TWO MINUTES. THAT IS CARRIED.

WE HAVE HAD CONVERSATIONS ABOUT WHAT THE MANDATE OF THE WELCOMING WINNIPEG NEEDS TO BE. I THANK YOU FOR YOUR LISTENING AND RESPONSE TO CONCERNS ABOUT THE WORDING OF THE MOTION. OUR GOAL IS TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE WRITTEN RESPONSE, YES, TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE REPORT BECAUSE IT IS TO BE CONSIDERED AS THE FOUNDATION FOR CHANGES TO WELCOMING WINNIPEG. MY ANSWER IS YES. WE CAN PROVIDE THAT WRITTEN RESPONSE.

ARE YOU AWARE THAT IF THINGS GO, IF I HAVE MY WAY THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WOULD NEVER APPEAR BEFORE COUNSEL AGAIN. THE MOMENTS YOU HAVE BEEN HERE I THOUGHT THIS IS NOT WORKING. THIS IS NOT THE WAY THE STRUCTURE SHOULD BE SET UP. YOU AS COMMUNITY MEMBERS WOULD HAVE TO COME AND ADVOCATE FOR YOUR OWN REPORT. IF IT WORKS WELL AND GET THE OUTCOME I HOPE WE GET, THAT WOULD NEVER HAVE TO HAPPEN AGAIN. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION TODAY. OUR NEXT DELEGATION IS KELLY RYBACK APPEARING ON TWO ITEMS LISTED ON THE DELEGATIONS PAGE OF OUR AGENDA. WELCOME. 10 MINUTES ON THE CLOCK. FOLLOWING THAT IS DIANE BOUSQUET AND LOUISE MAY. WELCOME.

THANK YOU. PLEASURE TO BE HERE TODAY. FIRST I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO THE MOTION FOR THE HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. COUNCILLOR MAYES' MOTION TO HAVE EVERY WARD HAVE A PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE LAST DISCUSSION. I HAVE BEEN ENGAGED WITH THIS ITEM SINCE THE FALL OF 2023. I ATTENDED FIRST ZOOM MEETING. I ATTENDED OPEN HOUSE. I ATTENDED TWO OF COUNCILLOR MAYES' SESSIONS. WHAT IS APPARENT FIRST IS THAT SEVERAL RESIDENTS DON'T KNOW THE EXISTING PROCESS. THEY ARE NOT SURE OF WHAT THIS HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND UP TO FOUR STORIES MEANS TO THEIR COMMUNITY, NEIGHBORHOOD, TO THE PROPERTIES ADJACENT OR OTHER OPPORTUNITIES. SECONDLY, THERE IS INFORMATION THAT IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE PRESENTATION ONLY COME OUT IN QUESTIONS OR NOT BEEN ADDRESSED THAT COULD ONLY HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE BROUGHT FORWARD IN CONTINUANCE OPEN HOUSES. SPECIFICALLY AT THE WARD LEVEL. I WANT TO SITE EXAMPLE WHEN NEIGHBORHOOD OPEN HOUSES WERE HELD FOR THE MASTER TRANSIT PLAN THAT ANOTHER ITEM I ENGAGED IN SINCE APRIL OF 2021 THROUGH MY EFFORTS AND IN PROMOTING AND PROVIDING INFORMATION TO THE ST. JAMES COMMUNITY, AT THE ST. JAMES CIVIC CENTRE EXCELLENT TURNOUT. AS A RESULT OF THAT THERE WERE CHANGES TO THREE ROADS

RECEIVING TRANSIT SERVICES THAT WAS GOING TO BE DISCONTINUED. THAT IS THE BENEFIT OF THE COMMUNITY COMMITTEE MEETINGS. IT IS A BETTER OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO BE ENGAGED. MY CONCERN SINCE THE PUBLIC SESSIONS LAST WEEK AS WITH MY CONCERN WITH THE COMMUNICATION ON THE TRANSIT MASTER PLAN, THERE IS STILL INFORMATION OF CONCERN. SPECIFIC TO IF I CAN READ OFF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WEBSITE. WINNIPEG NEEDS MORE HOUSING SO EVERYONE CAN HAVE A HOME THEY CAN AFFORD. WE CAN MAKE CHANGES TO THE BY-LAW. THAT IS NOT ACCURATE. THOSE OPPORTUNITIES EXIST TODAY THROUGH HEARING PROCESS. THIS IS TO IMPROVE AND DECREASE AMOUNT OF TIME TO HAVE CHANGES MADE. THAT IN ITSELF IS INACCURATE STATEMENT. TO GO FURTHER ON THE WEBSITE PUBLIC INFORMATION SESSIONS HAPPENED MARCH 17, 1819, UPDATES SOON. IT IS OVER A WEEK SINCE THAT. MARCH 17 ZOOM THERE WAS 120 ATTENDEES WITH 200 QUESTIONS. MANY DUPLICATED. TOOK PLACE ON THE NEXT ZOOM AND OPEN HOUSE AT CONVENTION CENTRE. WHY SHOULD IT TAKE A WEEK FOR VIDEOS TO BE POSTED AT A MINIMUM. FIRST SWEEP AT CONSOLIDATED QUESTIONS? WE ARE OVER FOUR WEEKS AWAY FROM QUESTION PERIOD BEING CLOSED BEFORE WE GO INTO FINAL RECOMMENDATION WHICH WOULD LEAD TO JUNE HEARING. I WOULD ENCOURAGE THAT WE GIVE RESIDENTS EVERY OPPORTUNITY TO LEARN, EVERY OPPORTUNITY TO BE REPRESENTED TO COUNCIL. I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY COUNCILLORS TOOK IN ZOOM MEETINGS, REVIEWED INFORMATION OR ATTENDED OPEN HOUSE. I KNEW A FEW DID. THIS IS BIGGEST PROPERTY CHANGE AND PEOPLE ARE PASSIONATE ABOUT THEIR PROPERTY. I HAD A GOOD CONVERSATION WITH A GENTLEMAN TODAY ON MONCTON IN ELMWOOD. INFILL PROJECT BESIDE HIM WAS VERY CONCERNING TO HIM. NOT HERE TO DEBATE HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO APPROVE THE MOTION AND PROCEED WITH NEIGHBORHOOD, WARD OPEN HOUSES AND EDUCATION SYSTEMS. THE MOTION IS DEFEATED I ENCOURAGE YOU TO INDEPENDENTLY HOLD INFORMATION SESSIONS AND DO ALL YOU CAN TO EDUCATE AND GIVE RESIDENTS AN OPPORTUNITY TO VOICE THEIR CONCERNS, OPINIONS. I HAVE ITEMS TO BRING FORWARD. I WILL ASK YOU TO SUPPORT IT AND DO YOURSELF IF IT DOESN'T. THE OTHER ITEM ON 311 CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN. I HAVE BEEN ENGAGED SINCE OCTOBER 21. FOR THOSE COUNCILLORS NOT IN OFFICE AT THE TIME. 20 YEARS OF MY CAREER INVOLVED CALL CENTRES. 12, I LED. 50 HOURS OF FREE CONSULTING TO HELP WITH IMPROVEMENT PLAN. MY CONCERN WITH THIS REPORT IS TWO-FOLD. NUMBER ONE, THAT CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PLANS SHOULD HAVE BEEN A LIVE DOCUMENT SINCE APRIL 28, 2022 WHEN THE FIRST REPORT CAME OUT. THAT REQUIRED TWO EXTENSIONS. WHY IS IT NOT CONTINUOUS PLAN LIVE BACK THEN ON SIMILAR AGENDA? SECONDLY, THE REPORT THAT WAS PUBLISHED AND DISCUSSED AT EPC LAST WEEK WAS INCONCLUSIVE. DID NOT FULFILL REQUEST TO PROVIDE EXTENSIVE CONTINUOUS PLAN WITH VALUE FOR THE MONEY. THE REPORT PRESENTED ABOUT SIX PARAGRAPHS DESCRIBING THE 12 NEW HIRES AND THE IRONY IS THAT SAME INFORMATION WAS PROVIDED AT THE JANUARY E.P.C. MEETING AT THE SAME TIME THE EXTENSION FOR SAID REPORT WAS ASKED FOR. THIS REPORT INCLUDED TWO THINGS. IN MARCH LAST YEAR 180 DAY REPORT WAS REQUESTED. TWO EXTENSIONS WERE REQUIRED. 359 DAYS SINCE REQUEST FOR REPORT YOU RECEIVED SIX PARAGRAPHS OF OLD NEWS. SECONDLY. IT DID NOT GO INTO CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN. WHAT WE DON'T KNOW AS TAXPAYER OR YOU AS COUNCILLORS HOW 311 IS LED. DO THEY HAVE OPPORTUNITY AT HR LEADERSHIP LEVEL TO IMPROVE PERFORMANCE SO MORE WORK CAN BE DONE BETTER. I PHONED 311 SEVERAL TIMES AS REPRESENT AS YESTERDAY. GREATER THAN 50% OF THE TIME THOSE PHONE CALLS ARE A PROBLEM AND DO NOT GO AS THEY SHOULD. AS SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT WITH EXTENSIVE EXPERIENCE IN CALL CENTRES, GOING THROUGH BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION MYSELF FOR HUGE

BENEFIT OF CUSTOMER AND EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE THAT I DON'T SEE EVIDENCE OF PROPER CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN. NOW COMPARED TO THREE, THREE AND A HALF YEARS AGO, I DON'T HAVE 50 HOURS FREE CONSULTATION AS I DID THEN. I OFFERED ON THREE OCCASIONS. YOU CAN GO BACK AND SEE POWER POINT PRESENTATIONS. GOING FORWARD IS THAT COMPREHENSIVE ENOUGH STUDY AND PLAN FOR COUNCIL TO CONSIDER. I WOULD OFFER IF YOU WANT ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSION. IF YOU WANT ME TO TAKE 5,000-FOOT LEVEL LOOK HOW 311 OPERATES I COULD PROVIDE INSIGHT. THERE COULD BE OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT. I ASK YOU TO REJECT THIS REPORT AND GO BACK TO DRAWING BOARD TO PROVIDE CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN IDENTIFYING OPPORTUNITIES AND ACTIONS TO IMPROVE 311. RIGHT NOW AS CITIZEN TAXPAYER SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT I AM NOT CONVINCED WE ARE RECEIVING VALUE FOR OUR MONEY AND THAT DEPARTMENT IS OPERATING AS EFFICIENTLY AND EFFECTIVELY AS IT CAN BE.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU. I WOULD BE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THAT REGARDING THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S OPERATIONS IN GENERAL. THE FACT ALL OF THE FINES, FEES, LEVEES, TAXES, RATES GO UP REDUCED SERVICES. WE SPENT RESERVES SELLING MORE DEBENTURES GOING FURTHER INTO DEBT. I CAN'T SAY WITH 311 WORKERS ON THE PHONE THAT THEY INDIVIDUALLY ARE PART OF THE PROBLEM OF INEFFICIENCIES AND THE PROBLEM WHICH I STATED WHICH IS GRAVE. I TEST THE SYSTEM OF 311 ON A REGULAR BASIS, MADAM SPEAKER. I KNOW THE COUNCILLORS HAVE THEIR OWN WAY OF ACCESSING 311. AS CITIZEN USING DIFFERENT TELEPHONES AND TEST THE SYSTEM BECAUSE I HAVE TO. INDIVIDUALLY, PERSONALLY, FOR FAMILY OR ON BEHALF OF OTHERS. I AM ASTOUNDED HOW WELL THE WORKERS, PEOPLE TAKING THE CALLS DEAL GIVEN THEIR CIRCUMSTANCES. IT GIVES ME HOPE. AT LEAST THE PEOPLE THAT ANSWER THE PHONE GIVE ME HOPE ON A REGULAR BASIS IN TERMS HOW IT IS STRUCTURED THAT IS A GOOD QUESTION OPEN TO DEBATE. THAT IS WHY MR. RYBACK IS HERE. SOMETIMES CALLS DON'T GO AS EXPECTED OR DESIRED. SINCE HE IS TALKING ABOUT THIS OVER YEARS. EXAMPLES HOW THE CALL DOESN'T GO AS EXPECTED.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. MR. RYBACK.

ONE RECENT CALL BECAUSE OF DANGEROUS DRIVING ON OVER DALE STREET BETWEEN PORTAGE I PHONED IN TO REQUEST IT BE CLEARED. RUTS WERE SO DEEP COMPACT CAR COULD HAVE DIFFICULTY DRIVING THE THROUGH AND VEHICLES WERE SLIDING THROUGH THE INTERSECTIONS. AS I PRESENTED THAT INFORMATION TO 311 OPERATOR. I AM NOT CRITICAL OF OPERATORS OR CLERKS. I AM CRITICAL OF LEADERSHIP OR PROCESSES. THAT CALL TOOK 33 MINUTES. PROBLEM WITH THE PROCESS. THAT CALL SHOULD HAVE BEEN AT MOST 10 MINUTES. IT WAS 33 MINUTES. SECOND ONE I PHONED INTO IDENTIFY GRAFFITI ON A PARK BENCH THAT WAS COMMEMORATING NOTEWORTHY ARTIST FROM WINNIPEG. 16 MINUTES. IT WAS PAINFUL. I FREQUENTLY CALL IN ABOUT DOGS AT LARGE IN THE SCHOOL YARD NEAR WHERE I LIVE. WHEN IT GOES WELL IT IS LESS THAN FIVE MINUTES. TWO OFTEN IT IS A 15 MINUTE CALL PLACED ON HOLD, I PROVIDED ALL OF THE INFORMATION NO REASON FOR THAT CALL ON HOLD. VERY SIMPLE CALL. I HAVE BEEN ON THE CALL LIKE THAT FOR AS LONG AS 20 MINUTES. BEST CASE LESS THAN FIVE. THERE ARE TIMES IT GOES WELL. YESTERDAY I REPORTED THE STOP SIGN IN BRIDGE WATER. THE CALL WAS FANTASTIC. WHY WAS THE STOP SIGN SCREWED UP FOR TWO

MONTHS? THAT IS BASIC. TOO OFTEN PUT ON HOLD BECAUSE THEY NEED SUPPORT. IF I AM CRITICAL OF IS THE LEADERSHIP. TWO EXAMPLES OF LEADERSHIP RELATED TO THIS MOTION WITH 311 AND E.P.C. MADAM SPEAKER, IN JANUARY SECOND RESOURCE WAS ADDED FOR EXCLUSIVE COUNCILLORS 311 SERVICES TO ALLOW THAT SERVICE TO BE PROVIDED OVER THE WEEKEND. IT WAS UNKNOWN THAT COUNCILLORS WERE NOT NOTIFIED THEY COULD CALL ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY. MADAM SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR LUKES ASKED IN JANUARY COULD WE RECEIVE AN E-MAIL OF THE NEW HOURS OF OPERATION FOR EXCLUSIVE COUNCILLOR 311 RESOURCE. THE DIRECTOR SAID SHE WOULD DO THAT. LAST WEEK'S MEETING COUNCILLOR LUKES STATED THE SITUATION AND EXPRESSED REQUEST FOR NEW HOURS OF OPERATION E-MAIL. TWO MONTHS LATER THE INITIAL REQUEST HADN'T BEEN DONE. SECOND CONCERN WHEN I TALK ABOUT LEEPEDDER SHIP. BACK IN JANUARY. -- LEADERSHIP. BACK IN JANUARY COUNCILLOR LUKES SAID IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE CALL DATA SO WHEN RESIDENTS PHONED THE WARD OFFICE AND COMPLAINED THEY CAN'T GET TO 311 OR IT IS UNRESOLVED. COUNCILLOR LUKES ASKED FOR DATA TO EXPLAIN. LOOK AT THE MAGNITUDE OF WORK THEY ARE DOING AND ASK FOR CALL DATA. DIRECTOR RESPONDED NOT AVAILABLE ONLINE OF WHICH NEXT DAY I PROVIDED COUNCILLOR LUKES WITH LINK TO ONLINE DATA. WHEN WE HAVE A SITUATION WHERE IT'S TWO EXTENSIONS TO PROVIDE REPORT THAT DOESN'T ANSWER AND NOT UNDERSTOOD. AGAIN AS SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT, I DON'T KNOW IF LEADERSHIP IS ACTUALLY LEADING 311. WONDERFUL DECREASE IN CALL ANSWER TIME. THAT IS DONE. WHEN WE JUST THROW RESOURCES AT IT. WE DON'T KNOW ONCE THE PHONE CALL IS ANSWERED, IS IT EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT AND FOLLOWED UP ON. OUR STAFF DO THEY HAVE THE BEST TOOLS AT THEIR DISPOSAL? IS THEIR WELL-BEING ADDRESSED? THIRDLY, ARE THEY WORKING TO THE POTENTIAL CAPACITY THEY COULD BE CAPABLE OF OR STATUS QUO AND NOT VALUE FOR THE MONEY NOT AT HIGH PRODUCTIVITY RATE? COUNCILLORS DO NOT KNOW THAT. IT IS A SCIENCE TO RUN THE CALL CENTRE. I HAVE NOT SEEN EVIDENCE THAT IT IS BEING FOLLOWED. THAT IS REPORTS THAT MADAM SPEAKER COUNCIL HAS RECEIVED ARE INSUFFICIENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU FOR YOUR ONGOING INTEREST IN HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND AND THE MATTER COMING TO US IN JUNE. YOUR COMMENTS WILL BE DEBATED WHETHER TO HAVE ADDITIONAL MEETINGS IN-PERSON MEETINGS LATER TODAY. YOU INDICATED NEED FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING. I ASSUME YOU ARE AWARE THE FOURPLEX PART OF THIS DEBATE IS NOT REQUIRED TO BE AFFORDABLE HOUSING. NO LINKAGE BETWEEN FOURPLEX AND OTHER PART OF BUILDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

I AM AWARE. I KNOW IN MALLS AND CORRIDORS WHERE THERE IS 21, 23 PROJECTS LISTED THAT RECEIVED \$10 MILLION JUST FOR ONE PROJECT. \$50 MILLION ACTUALLY. THERE ARE COMPONENTS OF THE UNITS IN THE APARTMENTS THAT ARE 70% REDUCTION IN MARKET RENT. YES, I AM AWARE NONE OF THE AFFORDABILITY APPLIES TO FOURPLEXES, UP TO FOURPLEXES. ONE PROJECT SIX PLEX. RENT \$1,800.202200 A MONTH. NOT AFFORDABLE. WE ARE TAKING HOMES AND CREATING UNAFFORDABLE RENTAL UNITS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION.

THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: DIANE BOUSQUET WARMING SOULS WITH SOUP TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF ITEM 1. STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT. NOTICE OF MOTION EXPROPRIATION AND RE-ZONING ON ON THE LEMAY FOREST.

THANK YOU, CITY COUNCIL AND MAYOR. I AM SHINING FLOWERING THUNDERBIRD WOMAN. I STAND NOT BEFORE YOU AS VOICE OF INDIVIDUAL. VOICE OF MY ANCESTORS, COMMUNITY AND GENERATIONS YET TO COME. I AM HERE TO SPEAK ABOUT LEMAY FOREST. SECRET SPACE THAT ROLLED REMAINS OF 3383 CHILDREN THAT PERISHED. THIS IS NOT JUST A FOREST. IT IS A BURIAL GROUND, CEREMONIAL SPACE WHERE OUR PEOPLE HAVE COME FOR YEARS. NOW IT IS UNDER THREAT. OUR PEOPLE ARE GATHER ORDER THIS LAND OVER 40 YEARS IN THE CEREMONIES. THIS IS NOT JUST ABOUT LAND. THIS IS ABOUT TRUTH AND JUSTICE. FOR DECADES THE LEMAY FOREST IS PLACE OF HEALING FOR OUR PEOPLE. SWEAT LODGES THERE HAVE BEEN FORCED TO STOP SINCE DECEMBER. SILENCING CEREMONIES THAT HAVE BEEN A LONG PART OF OUR SPIRITUAL AND CULTURAL SURVIVAL. THE VERY LAND THAT HAS EMBRACED OUR ANCESTORS HAS BEEN STRIPPED WITHOUT CONSENT, WITHOUT OUR VOICES BEING HEARD AND WITHOUT JUSTICE THE SACRED SITE DESERVES. DEVELOPER CLAIMS HE HAS ENGAGED IN THE INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES, BUT TRUE CONSULTATION DEMANDS RESPECT COLLABORATION AND MOST IMPORTANTLY CONSENT. WHAT WE SEE IS A FAMILIAR PATTERN COMPANY PUSHING AHEAD PUSHING INDIGENOUS NATIONS UNDER THE TABLE. NOT A LEGAL LOOPHOLE, THE DUTY TO CUT. THE RIGHTS OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE IS IN OUR LAW. CANADIAN GOVERNMENT AND MUNICIPAL POLICIES HAVE DUTY TO CUT AND ACCOMMODATE INDIGENOUS PEOPLE WHENEVER DEVELOPMENT AFFECTS OUR LAND, RIGHTS AND CULTURAL PRACTICES. THE CONSULTATION IS NOT CONCEPT. CONSULTATION MUST ALLOW FOR POSSIBILITY FOR US TO SAY NO. OTHERWISE, IT IS NOT MEANINGFUL. YET TIME AND TIME AGAIN WE SEE DEVELOPERS AND GOVERNMENTS TREATING CONSULTATION AS FORMALITY, CHECK BOX TO MARK OFF BEFORE PUSHING FORWARD WITH THE PROJECT DECIDED. THE FOREST MUST BE PROTECTED. THE GOVERNMENT MUST WORK WITH INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES TO PROTECT AND MAINTAIN BURIAL SITES OF INDIGENOUS CHILDREN AND FAMILIES. INSTEAD OF SEEING HONORING OF THIS RESPONSIBILITY DEVELOPER ACTIVELY SILENCED INDIGENOUS VOICES. CONTROLLING ACCESS TO OUR SWEAT LODGES. RESTRICTING THOSE WHO HAVE SPOKEN OUT, ACTIVELY SELECTIVELY ALLOWING OTHERS. CLAIMS TO SUPPORT RECONCILIATION WHILE PROFITING OFF ERASING INDIGENOUS CULTURE. IT MUST BE ON INDIGENOUS TERMS NOT DICTATED. ANYTHING LESS IS RECONCILIATION. COLONIALISM. WE DEMAND ACTION NOW. WE ARE NOT ASKING YOU GUYS FOR A FAVOR. WE ARE DEMANDING JUSTICE, ASKING THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO EX APPROPRIATE THE FOREST AND PROTECT IT. RECOGNIZE AND RESPECT INDIGENOUS BURIAL SITES BY HALTING DEVELOPMENT IMMEDIATELY AND CONDUCT FULL NONINVASIVE SEARCH OF AREA WITH CONSULTATION OF FIRST NATIONS AND M ATAI ALIKE. ENSURE SWEATED LODGES REMAIN ACTIVE WITHOUT THREAT OF DESTRUCTION, RESTRICTION OR THREATS. CITY OFFICIALS YOU HAVE A CHOICE TO MAKE HERE. THIS IS A TEST OF WHO WE ARE AS A CITY, COMMUNITY AND AS HUMAN BEINGS. WILL YOU STAND FOR TRUTH, JUSTICE AND RECONCILIATION OR WILL YOU ALLOW ANOTHER SACRED SITE TO BE ERASED. YESTERDAY I LISTENED AS CITY OFFICIALS STOOD UP DURING PRESS CONFERENCE FOR SEARCH THE LANDFILL SAYING PATH FOR RECONCILIATION IS THERE. YOU NEED TO STOP, LISTEN BE AND ACTION IT. THAT TIME IS NOW. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER. I WANT TO REMIND EVERYONE TO BE RESPECTFUL. NO PRESENTATIONS ARE PERMITTED FROM THE GALLERY. SECOND CAUTION TODAY. THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER IS LOUISE MAY.

GOOD MORNING. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS AND ALL OF YOU FOR ALLOWING ADDITIONAL PRESENTATIONS ON THIS IMPORTANT SUBJECT. LEMAY FOREST IS UNDER THREAT TODAY. REQUIRES CRISIS INTERVENTION PLAN TO ENSURE IT DOES NOT BECOME LEMAY MEADOWS AS THE OWNER AND LAWYER THREATENED IN WORDS IN THE MEDIA UNDER OATH AND PROVEN WITH TREE-KILLING ACTIONS. IT ALSO REQUIRES DEFINED END GAME MOVE THAT ONE GOVERNMENT OR ANOTHER IS NOW REQUIRED TO MAKE. CITY OF WINNIPEG CAN TODAY MAKE CORRECT CHOICE AND EX APPROPRIATE THIS PROPERTY WITH PLAN OF MAKING IT MEMORIAL FOREST. SINCE DECEMBER 23, 2024 COMMUNITY OF PEOPLE WHO CARE MOST ABOUT THE FOREST HAVE BEEN THROWN INTO CRISIS. WITH FIRST ACRE OF TREES CUT. IT BECAME A RACE TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO STOP DEVASTATION. IMMEDIATE ANSWER CAME ON JANUARY 8 WHEN I WAS ADVISED BY MY LAWYER THAT I COULD TAKE ACTION PERSONALLY AND FILE A STATEMENT OF INFORMATION THAT I WITNESSED THE CUTTING OF TREES IN A KNOWN CEMETERY WHICH IS AGAINST THE LAW. THIS LED TO SUMMONS OF TWO PERSONALLY. THIS IS UNDER REVIEW BY MANITOBA PROSECUTION SERVICES AND NEXT COURT DATE BEING APRIL 15. THE THREAD OF POSSIBLE ARREST WAS ENOUGH TO STOP THE IMMEDIATE CUTTING. IN THE INTERVENING THREE MONTHS WITH TREMENDOUS WORK BY SEVERAL HISTORIANS AND STRONGEST LOBBY I HAVE EVER SEEN. THERE IS A MANDATE FROM CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG TO SAVE LEMAY FOREST. THE LINCHPIN IS FOUND IN THE FACTS. WE KNOW CONSULTING COMPANY HIRED BY DEVELOPER DID NOT ACCESS ARCHIVES IN CURSIVE HANDWRITING IN FRENCH. SOME IN QUEBEC TO KNOW THE TOTAL COUNT OF DECEASED CHILDREN IS 3,383. WE NOW KNOW THAT ONLY A SMALL MUST BE OF ADULTS, 15 IN TOTAL, WERE EXHUMED AND MOVED. THESE ARE TWO OF THE FACTS. GIVE THE HISTORIANS TIME TO SPEAK. THEY WILL GIVE YOU MANY OTHER DISTURBING FACTS. THERE CAN BE NO DOUBT THAT THE DEVELOPERS APPLICATIONS FOR PERMITS AT CITY AND PROVINCE WERE GROSSLY INADEQUATE, NONFACTUAL AND UNBINDING IN THE COURT OF LAW. LET'S NOT HAVE TO GO THERE. END GAME. THIS FOUR REST MUST BECOME PERMANENTLY PRESERVED. CHILDREN BURIED HERE ARE RECOGNIZED FOR LEAVES LOST. THEIR LIVES MATTER. THEY GUIDE US TO BECOME MORE CARING AND JUST SOCIETY. THIS IS ESPECIALLY TIMELY AS WE SEE THE LARGER REGRESSIVE SOCIAL WAVE BREATHING DOWN UPON US WISHING TO HARM WOMEN AND CHILDREN ESPECIALLY THOSE MOST VULNERABLE. NO DOUBT THE DECISION TO SEARCH LANDFILL IS THE ETHICALLY CORRECT DECISION. CONTINUUM SPANNING OVER 125 YEARS OF THESE TWO GRAVE SITES. GRAVEYARD OF ORPHANAGE OF CHILDREN WHOSE LIVES APPARENTLY DIDN'T MATTER TO INDIGENOUS WOMEN MURDERED BY CONVICTED SERIAL KILLER SET OUT LIKE GARBAGE. THERE COULD BE NO ONE WHO DOES NOT SEE THIS CONTINUUM AS PART OF THE SAME SOCIAL FAILURE. WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THIS PRECIOUS PLAYS WITH 237 YEAR-OLD TREES AND HABITAT AT RISK ECOSYSTEM OF RED AND LASALLE RIVER GOES TO BECOME GEMSTONE IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG CROWN IN COLLECTIVE PURSUIT OF RECONCILIATION THROUGH HEALING. BOTH FAIR AND REASONABLY NECESSARY TO EX APPROPRIATE. CURRENT PROPERTY OWNER KNEW IT WAS UNDEVELOPER, LAND LOCKED, WOULD INQUIRY ZONING DIFFICULT TO ACHIEVE. HE MAY NOT HAVE KNOWN EXTENT OF IMPORTANCE OF GRAVEYARD. HE KNEW THERE WAS GRAVEYARD. MAY NOT HAVE KNOWN THE WOODPECKER IS PROTECTED. HE KNOWS IT IS

HOME TO SWEAT LODGES HEALING INDIGENOUS PEOPLE OVER 40 YEARS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT MOVES TWO MINUTE EXTENSION. CARRIED.

THERE IS NO NEED TO WAIT FOR MUNICIPAL BOARD HEARING. LAWYERS TELL US THE OWNER MAY CHOOSE TO CONTINUE TO FIGHT THIS BATTLE ALL THE WAY TO THE SUPREME COURT. IS THAT A BATTLE THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WISHES TO UNDER TAKE? I THINK NOT. WHAT IF MUNICIPAL BOARD FOR SOME REASON RULES IN FAVOR OF DEVELOPER? WOULD WE SIMPLY ROLL OVER AND ACQUIESCE? I WON'T AND OTHERS WON'T EITHER. YOU HAVE THE POWER TO MAKE A SIGNIFICANT HISTORICAL CHANGE TODAY HERE NOW. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: WE WILL TAKE QUESTIONS. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATIONS TODAY. I WAS BLESSED TO HAVE BEEN RAISED IN A FAMILY WHICH IS MIDDLE CLASS, STABLE FAMILY. AT THE AGE OF 3 MY PARENTS DECIDED TO MOVE TO A STREET CALLED ALMIE AVENUE BETWEEN TRANSCONA AND EAST KILDONAN. THERE WAS A LARGE FOREST. MY FATHER WAS A BUSINESSMAN AND MOTHER NURSE. WE PLAY UNDERSTAND THE FOREST, RIDING BIKES, BUILDING FORTS. I CAN'T SPEAK TO THE GROUNDING AND MENTAL HEALTH AND APPRECIATION FOR NATURE, ALL OF THE THINGS YOU HAVE SAID TODAY. THE HISTORY OF THE SITE, THE TRAGIC HISTORY OF THE SITE AND BURIALS. THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE A DEVELOPER WHO WANTS TO CUT DOWN THE FOREST. BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY IN TERMS OF MENTAL HEALTH. BENEFITS THE SETTLER AND INDIGENOUS HISTORY COMBINED. THE MOTION MOVED BY MYSELF AND SECOND BE BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. NOTHING WOULD MAKE MY HAPPIER THAN TO SEE THAT MOTION PASS OR SEE THE MAYOR OF CITY OF WINNIPEG WANT TO MOVE A SEPARATE MOTION TO GET THIS GOING, SECURE IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THOUGHTS ON PROCESS. ARE YOU SAYING YOU WANT TO SEE THE LEMAY FOREST SAVED AND WORK IT OUT WITH CITY HALL, EXPROPRIATION, GET THE BALL ROLLING SAVE THE FOREST IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING TODAY?

THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT WE ARE SAYING.

I WOULD ADD WE HAVE MECHANISM IN FRONT OF US RIGHT NOW. MOTION ON THE TABLE WITH ALL OF YOU PRESENT, ABLE TO VOTE. THERE WILL BE CONSEQUENCES NO MATTER WHAT THE EVENTUAL END GAME IS. THERE ARE GOING TO BE CONSEQUENCES. WE ARE ALREADY FEELING THOSE CONSEQUENCES PERSONALLY. I CAN SAY. THERE WILL BE LEGAL REPERCUSSIONS. MAKE THIS PART OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG.

MADAM SPEAKER: SECOND QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU FOR ORGANIZING THAT EVENT AT UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG. I

FOUND AMAZING THE FACT THAT THERE IS SO MUCH INFORMATION ABOUT THE LEMAY FOREST. I LEARNED THAT NIGHT. NOBODY CAN BE EVERYWHERE AT ONE TIME. I REALLY APPRECIATE YOU DOING THAT. MY QUESTION IS THIS. WE GET BOGGED DOWN IN THE CITY WITH REGARDS TO HOW TO DO THIS. WHERE IS THE MONEY COMING FROM? ISN'T IT SIMILAR THAT WHERE DID THE MONEY COME FROM FOR ACID.PARK? EVERYBODY LOST SEATS BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T THINK OF IT IT WAS VISION AND LEADERSHIP. THEY FOUND THE MONEY TO BUY THE LAND. WE ARE AT CROSSROADS OVER 100 YEARS LATER FOR THE EXACT SAME THING. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT IS THE CASE?

YES, WE MAKE DECISIONS ALL OF THE TIME THAT REQUIRE RESOURCES. THIS IS A MOMENT IN TIME TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE. LET'S DO IT. LET'S TOGETHER FIND A WAY TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN. YOU CAN GUARANTEE YOU HAVE A STRONG LOBBY TO FOLLOW IN ON THE HEALS OF THIS TO MAKE SURE ALL OF THOSE PIECES COME TOGETHER. WE WILL FIGHT WITH YOU TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN.

THANK YOU FOR COMING TO THE STANDING COMMITTEE MORE THAN ONCE ON THIS MATTER. FOR MEMBERS OF COUNCIL THAT ARE NOT ON THE STANDING COMMITTEE. THE STANDING COMMITTEE DID MOVE THE ADMINISTRATION WORK COOPERATIVELY WITH MINNESOTA HABITAT SOCIETY WITH FUNDING IDENTIFIED UP UNTIL THE END OF THE MONTH FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL TO MAKE AN OFFER ON THE LAND. ARE THERE GROUPS PREPARED TO HELP WINNIPEG COME TO THE TABLE IF WE ARE WILLING TO CREATE CITY PARK WE CAN DO IT IF THE LEADERSHIP IS FROM THE CITY? WE NEED THAT LEADERSHIP TO GET US TO THE TABLE TO MAKE PRIORITY SO THEY HEAR FROM US PRIORITY. THEY HAVE MONEY ON THE TABLE, IS THAT NOT CORRECTED WHAT YOU ARE HEARING?

I VERY MUCH BELIEVE PROVINCE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, LET'S HOPE, WILL DEFINITELY COME TO THE TABLE TO HELP PARTICIPATE WITH THIS. WE HAVE MANY OF THE GROUPS THAT ARE REGULARS IN THESE KINDS OF DISCUSSIONS. BOTH INDIGENOUS GROUPINGS, ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS HAVE COME TOGETHER TO GIVE SUPPORT TO THIS. I THINK YOU WILL SEE A STRONG LOBBY TO FIGURE OUT A WORKABLE PLAN FOR THIS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. TO THE DELEGATIONS THAT SPOKE THIS MORNING, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I AM LOOKING BACK AT REPORT JUNE 24, 2024. THIS WAS BROUGHT TO THE COMMITTEE. IT SAYS THAT THE DEVELOPER PLANS TO DO 2500 UNITS, 5,000 BEDS WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF THREE BUILDINGS. THIS TO ME SUGGESTIONS A FULL BUILD OUT OF THE ENTIRE 22 ACRES. IT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED THROUGH APPLICATIONS THAT THE DEVELOPER HAS SUBMITTED THAT THEY DIDN'T PLAN TO BUILD WHERE THE GRAVES WERE AT ONE POINT IN TIME. AGAIN, 5,000 BEDS, 2500 UNITS SUGGESTIONS FULL BUILD OUT OF THE ENTIRE PROPERTY. MY QUESTION TO SUIIS THE CITY OF WINNIPEG CAME BACK WITH A PLAN TO REDUCE THAT QUITE SIGNIFICANTLY.

THE FACT THE DEVELOPER DIDN'T DO DUE DILIGENCE. THEY ARE BUILDING THIS OUT. THE FULL 22 ACRES BASED ON WHAT THEY HAVE HERE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: WHAT ELSE IS NEEDED TO REFUTES WHAT DEVELOPER IS PLANNING WITH RESPECT TO 2500 UNITS?

I THINK BECAUSE THE DEVELOPER CHOSE TO GO THROUGH WITH MUNICIPAL BOARD HEARING HE IS SERIOUS ABOUT THIS PROPOSAL. HE DID NOT TAKE THAT AVENUE OFF WHERE HE COULD HAVE TO SAY, GREAT, I WILL COME BACK WITH 174 UNIT THAT HAD BEEN SUGGESTED. EVEN THAT DOESN'T MEAN IT IS GOING TO GET APPROVED. HE DID NOT GO THAT DIRECTION. WHAT DO YOU DO WITH THE SITUATION LIKE THIS? WE DON'T HAVE A WILLING AND REASONABLE COUNTERPART TO HAVE THIS DISCUSSION WITH. I THINK THE BETS ARE ALL OFF. I JUST THINK THAT THE ONLY COURSE OF ACTION IS EXPROPRIATION. THEY ARE NOT WILLING TO SELL. WE KNOW THAT. THEY DON'T WANT \$8 MILLION OR \$10 MILLION. THEY WANT HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF CONTINUOUS ONGOING CASH REVENUE FROM 5,000 BED UNIT. THAT IS WHAT THEY WANT.

MADAM SPEAKER: SECOND QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: IT IS RECOGNIZED A LOT OF INVESTMENT WOULD BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO ANY SHOVEL GOING IN THE GROUND TO RECOVER CONSTRUCTION COST. AGAIN, IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT AGAIN AGGRESSIVENESS OF THIS PLAN IS BASED ON THE FACT THAT THERE WOULD BE ALL OF THE INVESTMENT NECESSARY PRIOR TO DEVELOPMENT?

I HAVE HEARD THAT NUMEROUS TIMES.

MADAM SPEAKER: FURTHER QUESTIONS? SEEING NONE. APPRECIATE YOUR DELEGATION TODAY.

ONE LAST THING. THESE ARE CRAFTS MADE BY PEOPLE WHO CAME OUT TO OUR ART BUILD ONE NIGHT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. OUR CLERK WILL TALK WITH YOU AT THE SIDE THERE. THANK YOU. ADDITIONAL DELEGATIONS, MR. CLERK, I BELIEVE. STARTING WITH TRACEY TURNER, MANITOBA HISTORICAL SOCIETY. SAME TOPIC. TRACEY TURNER, COME FORWARD.

HELLO.

MADAM SPEAKER: WELCOME.

THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME. I AM PLEASED TO BE HERE. I WILL SITUATE THIS THAT I FEEL THIS IS PROBABLY THE MOST IMPORTANT HERITAGE ISSUE I HAVE EVER BEEN INVOLVED IN MY CAREER AS HERITAGE PROFESSIONAL. I BRING KNOWLEDGE AS FORMER PARKS CANADA ARCHEOLOGIST AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF MANITOBA HISTORICAL SOCIETY AND MUSEUM CURATOR TODAY. IN MAY OF 2021, REMAINS OF 215 CHILDREN STUDENTS OF THE INDIANS RESIDENTIAL SCHOOL. MADE NATIONAL NEWS. TURNED BELIEFS UPSIDE DOWN TO LOOK AT INSTITUTIONS AND HISTORY WITH FRESH AND TRAGIC EYES. RIGHTLY SO. THAT DISCOVERY PROVIDED MATERIAL EVIDENCE OF ONE OF THE DARKEST

TIMES IN CANADIAN HISTORY. WEEKS AFTER STILL A STAGGERING 751 UNMARKED GRAVES WERE DISCOVERED IN SASKATCHEWAN. THE FIRST NATION SAID IT IS WAS MOST SIGNIFICANTLY SUBSTANTIAL TO DATE IN CANADA. SOON, MANITOBA WILL MOURN THE DEATH OF THOUSANDS OF CHILDREN BURIED IN MASS GRAVES IN LEMAY FOREST. I CALL UPON MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL TO TAKE ACTION TODAY. HELP US GET AHEAD OF THE NATIONAL STORY TO SHOW THE CITY OF WINNIPEG IS FORWARD THINKING ABOUT HUMAN RIGHTS, DIGNITY, HONORING HISTORY AND THE ENVIRONMENT. WHILE THE CONTEXT OF THE LEMAY IS NOT RESIDENTIAL SCHOOL. IT IS A CONTEXT OF INSTITUTION IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG THAT REFLECTS OUR LACK OF CARE FOR WOMEN, CHILDREN, POOR, DISENFRANCHISED. WE MUST ENSURE THESE SOULS ARE TREATED WITH DIGNITY AND CARE. MARCH IS WOMEN'S HISTORY MONTH. WE CAN DO BETTER FOR WOMEN THAT SUFFERED AND CHILDREN FORGOTTEN IN THE FOREST. THE MANITOBA HISTORICAL SOCIETY STRONGLY SUPPORTS THE CITY OF WINNIPEG REZONE AND IMMEDIATELY EX APPROPRIATE ALL OF THE PRIVATELY HELD LANDS AS LEMAY FOREST, LANDS TO CREATE NEW CITY OF WINNIPEG REGIONAL MEMORIAL PARK. THE LEMAY FOREST AND CEMETERY IS NATURAL HERITAGE AND CULTURAL SITE. ORPHAN NAME AND HOME FOR UNWED MOTHERS FROM 1904-1948. WE KNOW CHILDREN, UNWEDNESDAY MOTHERS, SISTERS AND OTHERS CONNECTED TO THE COMMUNITY WERE BURIED IN THE CEMETERY IN THE FOREST. NEW RESEARCH BY THE SOCIETY HISTORY HAS DOCUMENTED 16 REGISTERS THAT TOGETHER RECORD 3,383 DEATHS OF CHILDREN. I REPEAT. 3,383 DEATHS. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THEY WERE EXHUMED. RECENTLY RESEARCH CONFIRMED REMOVAL OF 15 NAMED MARKED GRAVES. RECENT REPORT COMPILED BY RESEARCH TEAM, COLLEAGUES, IDENTIFIED MANY ERRORS, MANY, WERE MADE IN REPORTS HISTORIC RESEARCH BRANCH BASED RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ALLOWED THE PERMIT. THE BRANCH HAS STATED HISTORICAL BURIAL PATTERNS IN CEMETERIES ARE KNOWN TO OCCUR IN AND AROUND CEMETERY GROUNDS. IT IS POSSIBLE MOTHERS OR FAMILIES WHOSE INFANTS DIED COULD HAVE PRIVATELY BURIED CHILDREN OUTSIDE FORMAL BOUNDARIES OF THE CEMETERY. FURTHER, DE-FORESTATION WILL IMPACT THE CEMETERY AND DEPOSITION OF HUMAN REMAINS THERE. LEMAY FOREST AND ROOT SYSTEMS HELP PRESENT EROSION AND DISTURBANCE OF HUMAN REMAINS. A MUST BE OF CHILDREN WERE FRENCH CANADIAN, INDIGENOUS, SCOTT, FRENCH AND UNKNOWN HERITAGE. COMPLETE LACK OF THIS. DEVELOPMENT MAY VIOLATE UNITED NATIONS RIGHT OF ACTS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. WE HAVE NINE ADDITIONAL PRESENTATIONS.

I HAVE TWO SENTENCES. MAY I CONCLUDE, PLEASE. THIS BEARS WITNESS TO ABANDONMENT OF BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. PLATFORM FOR COLLECTIVE MEMORY. PRESERVING THE SITE IS ESSENTIAL. OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO FOSTER FUTURE WHERE THIS SITE CAN SERVE AS BEACON FOR HUMAN RIGHTS. CITY CAN AND MUST DO RIGHT THING. PRESERVE THIS FOREST. HONOR THE TRAGIC CHILDREN IN THE FOREST AND MEMORIALIZE THE HISTORY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. WE WISH YOU A GOOD DAY. NEXT SPEAKER IS DR. SHELLY SWEENEY. I WILL REMIND EVERYONE NO CLAPPING OR CHEERING FOR PRESENTATIONS AT ALL. THANK YOU.

I AM DR. SHELLY SWEENEY. MEMBER OF HOURS WINNIPEG. GREEN SPACE ADVOCACY GROUP. I AM ALSO ADJUNCT PROFESSOR. TRACEY TURNER SKETCHED THE ISSUES WITH CEMETERY. IT IS INTERESTING

BECAUSE A LOT OF RECORDS OF CHILDREN, FOR EXAMPLE, IN OTHER INSTITUTIONAL CONTEXTS WERE DESTROYED. REGULARLY DESTROYED. WHEREAS IN THIS CASE. THROUGH THE LAROSA WERE CAREFUL TO MAINTAIN RECORDS. THEY TOOK CAREFUL RECORDINGS AND THOSE RECORDS ENDED UP WITH. [INAUDIBLE] WE HAVE UNUSUAL, UNPARALLELED OPPORTUNITY TO FIND OUT WHO WAS ADMITTED INTO THE ORPHANAGE AND THE HOME FRONT WITH MOTHERS AND WHO DIED. THIS IS HOW THEY WERE ABLE TO FIGURE OUT THAT 3,383 CHILDREN DIED BECAUSE THERE ARE RECORDS WITH NAMES WITH PARENTAGE, IN SOME CASES ETHNICITIES. THIS IS AN UNUSUAL SITUATION. ONE OF THE ASPECTS OF THIS TRAGIC STORY THAT THEY WERE ABLE TO PIN DOWN 43 ETHNICITIES OF CHILDREN THAT WERE ADMITTED. WHAT WAS THE COMMON GROUND? THEY WERE POOR. POOR PEOPLE. MOSTLY IN WINNIPEG. MOSTLY WINNIPEG GIRLS, PREGNANT GIRLS AND YOUNG WOMEN WHO WERE TOO POOR TO AFFORD ANY SERVICES. BASICALLY THEY WORKED OFF THEIR PREGNANCY, AT THE HOSPITAL BY WORKING AT THE ORPHANAGE LOOKING AFTER THE CHILDREN. SO MANY OF THE GIRLS, WOMEN, BABIES CAME THROUGH THE HOSPITAL. THOSE WERE A LARGE NUMBER OF THOSE WHO DIED WERE THEN BURIED IN LEMAY FOREST. WE KNOW THAT THE SISTERS LEFT IN 1948. IN 26 YEARS THE CEMETERY WAS IN TOTAL DISARRAY. PRIVATE PROPERTY WITH PUBLIC ACCESS. NOBODY IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MEMORIALIZING AND LOOKING AFTER THAT CEMETERY. BIGGISH ISSUE THERE IS EVIDENCE OF PIT GRAVES OUTSIDE OF THE CEMETERY. WHICH MEANS THAT NOT ALL OF THE CHILDREN WERE BURIED IN THE GROUNDS OF THE CEMETERY. WE ALSO KNOW THAT ACCORDING TO PAST PRACTICE OTHER PEOPLE WOULD BRING THEIR CHILDREN TO BE BURIED CLOSE TO CONSECRATED GROUND. WE HAVE AN IDEA THAT THERE ARE MORE THAN JUST THE CHILDREN FROM THE BURIED THERE. WHILE IDENTIFIED REMAINS AND FEW OTHERS WERE EXHUMED AND MOVED IN 1974 BY THE FUNERAL CHAPEL, ACCORDING TO THE ARCHIVES THE CHILDREN WERE NEVER MOVED. THERE IS TESTIMONY FROM SOMEBODY WHO WAS ACTUALLY THERE AT THE EXHUMEMATION THEY WERE UNAWARE THERE WERE CHILDREN THERE. WE HAVE RECORDS FROM CATHEDRAL THAT THE STATES THERE WERE ONLY 15 BODIES MOVED. WE HAVE RECORDS FROM THE SISTERS FROM THE ARCHIVES IN MONTREAL CONFIRMED THE CHILDREN WERE NOT ON THE LIST OF THE HUMAN REMAINS NOT MOVED. CHILDREN WERE FORGOTTEN OVER TIME. WE KNOW ABOUT THEM NOW. THEY SHOULD NOT BE FORGOTTEN AGAIN AND NOT BE LYING IN A CEMETERY ON PRIVATE LAND PROTECTED IN PUBLIC STATE AND GIVEN IN DEATH THE ATTENTION THEY DID NOT RECEIVE IN LIFE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. NEXT SPEAKER ERIC. WELCOME.

THANK YOU. ERIC RAIDER. I HAVE FIVE QUOTES FOR YOU HERE TO START THIS OFF. BUILT BRIDGES SPANNING IDEAS, CULTURES, IDENTITIES AND GENERATIONS ON FOUNDATION OF TRUTH, UNDERSTANDING AND RIGHT SPACED RECONCILIATION. DEVELOP MEANINGFUL RELATIONSHIPS AMONG AND BETWEEN INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS AS FOUNDATION OF TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION. SELF-DETERMINATION. INTELLECTUAL UNDERSTANDING AND RESPECT OF RIGHTS, RESPONSIBILITIES AND VALUES AND KNOWLEDGE AND PROTOCOLS. ANYBODY RECOGNIZE THAT? WE ARE GOING TO GET INTO THAT. I SUGGEST THAT THE -- I AM NOT ALLOWED TO ASK. [INAUDIBLE]

IT IS A TOUGH ISSUE TO KEEP DECORUM. I CAUGHT IT. MADAM SPEAKER, I AM NOT GOING TO ASK TO RETRACT BUT I WILL CALL POINTS OF ORDER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. THIS IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE PRESENTATION. NOT ASK QUESTIONS TO COUNCIL AND CERTAINLY NOT TO CONSULT COUNCIL.

IT IS EXPLICIT ASSUMPTIONS MADE AS TO KNOWLEDGE OF MEMBERS OF COUNCIL INCLUDING THIS MORNING THAT IS FAIR. I SEE IT WAS A LITTLE PUSHY. BEAR THAT IN MIND. WE ARE HERE TO BE EDUCATED.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. THE PRESENTATION ONLY. NO QUESTIONS. IT IS A SENSITIVE ISSUE.

MY APOLOGIES FOR BRINGING THAT UP.

MADAM SPEAKER: START OVER. CLOCK AT FIVE MINUTES.

I SUGGEST THE ISSUE OF LEMAY FOREST SHOULD BE DISCUSSED IN PUBLIC FORUM, TOWN HALL, HOSTED SO THAT THE CITY COUNCILLORS CAN BE PRESENT TO LEARN AND ANSWER QUESTIONS. MOVING TO THE NEXT QUOTE. GREATER ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND DISRUPTION OF SOCIAL SYSTEMS OF PRIVILEGE AND COLONIZATION THAT CONTINUED TO CONCENTRATE POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC POWER AND INFLUENCE IS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EQUITY. THESE SYSTEMS INFLUENCE EVERY DECISION MADE WHETHER REALIZED OR NOT. LEFT UNCHECKED GAP BETWEEN RICH AND POOR WILL GROW LARGE AND PERPETUATE ITSELF. THAT IS IN THIS SITUATION BECAUSE WE HAVE FOLKS WITH MONEY, BULLYING AND HARASSING INDIVIDUALS, COMMUNITIES. COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS AND ELECTED POWER ON THE LEMAY FOREST ISSUE. GOING TO MY THIRD QUOTE. WE NEED TO PROTECT AND RESPECT LAND THROUGH CITY'S RESPONSIBILITY TO PROVIDE CLEAN AIR, WATER, BIODIVERSITY, LOCAL FOOD SYSTEMS, ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION, COOLING URBAN HEAT EFFECTS, OPPORTUNITIES FOR INDIGENOUS LAND-BASED PRACTICES. OPPORTUNITIES FOR INDIGENOUS LAND-BASED PRACTICES. REPEAT. IMPROVED MENTAL AND PHYSICAL HEALTH. QUOTE FOUR. PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR HERITAGE EDUCATION, TRUTH TELLING, RECONCILIATION THROUGH CONSERVATION AND STEWARDSHIP TANGIBLE AND INTANGIBLE HERITAGE RESOURCES INCLUDING INDIGENOUS LAND BASED PRACTICES, ARTIFACTS AND PUBLIC NAMES AND ARCHIVED. FIFTH. DEVELOP INDIGENOUS LENS TO ROSE, RESPECT AND ADVANCE MULTIPLE FIRST NATIONS RIGHTS, KNOWLEDGE AND PERSPECTIVE. APPLY THROUGH LEADERSHIP AND GOVERNANCE PROCESSES, POLICIES, PROGRAMMES AND PRACTICES TO ELIMINATE ELEMENTS KNOWINGLY OR UNKNOWINGLY ENABLE EXCLUSION OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE. THAT IS THE DIRECTIVE TO MAKE DECISIONS ON THE LAND AS I UNDERSTAND IT IN THE CITY. THIS IS THE FOURTH TIME I PRESENTED IN THE LAST 80 DAYS SINCE I STARTED WORKING ON LEMAY FOREST. I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON LANDS 19 YEARS IN THE PROVINCE. THIS MOST IMPORTANT. THIS IS APPARENT IN THE LAST 80 DAYS. I SAID TO THE MEDIA YESTERDAY I DELIVERED 1,000 LETTERS TO MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: AND THE PREMIER'S OFFICE. MASSIVE 237-YEAR-OLD TREES TO HABITAT PROTECTED SPECIES, TO HISTORY, TO SACRED INDIGENOUS LODGES FOR MORE THAN 25 YEARS TRAFFICKING OF IMPREGNATED RESIDENTIAL SCHOOL SCHOOLS LARGEST UNMARKED BURJULIES 383 CHILDREN. UNLIKE ANY OTHER PLACE IN THE CITY IRREPLACEABLE. QUESTION. WHERE IS THE

LEADERSHIP? LEADERSHIP ON THIS ISSUE IS THE WOMEN SITTING IN THE GALLERY. THOSE ARE LEADERS ON THIS ISSUE. THIS IS A ISSUE OF REMATRIATION. DIANE PRESENTED FIRST. NOT TO TALK ABOUT INDIGENOUS VALUES. SHE HAD TO TALK ABOUT COLONIAL PROCESSES AFFECTING HER. WE DON'T ASK THE OPPRESSED TO TALK TO TEACH ABOUT OPPRESSION. THAT IS WRONG. UNFORTUNATE DIANE HAD TO DO THAT. YOU NEED TO SHOW COURAGE TO BE LEADERS ON THIS ISSUE. THIS IS YOUR PLACE, THREE MONTHS AGO WE COULD SAY PEOPLE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THIS ISSUE. THAT IS NOT THE CASE NOW. WE NEED YOU TO LEAD. FOLLOW YOUR OWN POLICIES. TO SAVE THE LEMAY FOREST.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. NEXT IS DR. ANN LOWEN.

GOOD MORNING. FELLOW CITIZENS THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING US TO HAVE THESE EXTRA DELEGATIONS. I WORK IN MORRIS AND WINNIPEG. I HAVE BEEN A GP IN RURAL MANITOBA. BEFORE THAT IN NEWFOUNDLAND FOR 35 YEARS. I LIVE IN ST. NORBERT. I WAS FORTUNATE TO GO UP AND JOIN IF FOREST THERE. THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXPRESS MY FAVOR FOR RE-ZONING THE LAND AS A PARK KNOWN AS LEMAY FOREST. OPEN TO THE PUBLIC. GREAT HEALTH IMPLICATIONS FOR CITIES IN RELATION TO PUBLIC. THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT. I WILL HELP YOU FURTHER THAT UNDERSTANDING IF YOU WISH LATER. URGENT NEED TO PRESERVE AND PROTECT LAND FOR MANY REASONS KNOWN AS LEMAY FOREST. DIRECTLY RELATED TO HEALTH OF CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG. HEAT RELATED DEATHS ARE INCREASING RAPIDLY. IN BRITISH COLUMBIA 2021, 600 PEOPLE DIED IN HOMES OF HEATSTROKE IN 30-DAYS. THAT IS 20 PEOPLE A DAY. IMAGINE IF 20 PEOPLE A DAY DIED OF COLD EXPOSURE IN WINNIPEG. URBAN HEATH ISLAND EFFECT IS WELL DOCUMENTED. TREES ARE ESSENTIAL INFRASTRUCTURE TO MITIGATE THIS. CITY MUST PROTECT CITIZENS FROM THIS THREAT. LEMAY FOREST IS ESPECIALLY PRONE TO FLOODING. 12 FEET LOWER AND SURROUNDED BY DIKE WHICH IMPEDES WATER DRAINAGE. WITH THOUSANDS OF TREES AND VEGETATION TO ABSORB WATER, LOTS LOST BASEMENTS TO OVERLANDS FLOODING AND SEWER BACK UP DURING HEAVY RAINFALLS. REMOVAL OF VEGETATION AND PAVING LAND WOULD MAKE THIS PROBLEM WORSE. THIS DAMAGE HAS GREAT PERSONAL IMPACT FROM GREENING TO FINANCIAL HARDSHIP TO INDOOR MOLD. CITY MUST PROTECT THE CITIZENS. FREE ACCESS TO NATURAL SPACES IS ESSENTIAL TO MENTAL, SPIRITUAL HEALTH. PEOPLE FLOCK TO GREEN SPACES FOR RELIEF FROM ANXIETY AND CHANCE TO BE IN THE COMPANY OF OTHERS, LEARN AND UNDERSTAND AND BE AT PEACE WITH THE LIFE FORMS OF THE FOREST. PHYSICIANS CAN PRESCRIBE NATURE FOR THIS REASON. PAR X, WALK WITH THE DOG. FELLOW CITIZENS USE THE LAND FOR SWEAT LODGES, IMPORTANT PART OF SPIRITUAL EXPRESSION AND HEALING AND RECOVERY. CITY MUST PROVIDE READY ACCESS TO NATURAL SPACES FOR EVERYONE'S HEALTH. LEMAY FOREST IS JEWEL IN OUR MIDST. WHY WOULD WE DESTROYING IT. IT IS THE TIME OF GRIEVING, PANDEMIC, OPIOID CRISIS, ISOLATION. LOSSES OF 3,383 CHILDREN OF THE CEMETERY REPRESENT ADDITIONAL HARM OUR SOCIETY GRIEVES. SEPARATING CHILDREN FROM MOTHER, ATTACHMENT AND OBJECT OF LOVE IN EARLY LIFE. THOSE 3,383 CHILDREN DID NOT ONLY DIE OF INFECTIOUS DISEASE AND LACK OF ADVANCE MEDICAL CARE. THEY DIED OF NEGLECT. NO ONE TO LOVE THEM. BABIES AND YOUNG CHILDREN WILL WHITHER IF THEY DO NOT ACHIEVE CONSTANT SECURE AND LOVING ATTACHMENT TO A SINGLE INDIVIDUAL. THIS WAS WELL DOCUMENTED IN OTHER FANS AFTER WORLD WARS IN EUROPE. CANADA IGNORED THIS WE SEPARATED CHILDREN FROM MOTHERS. WE KNOW THE TRAGIC OUTCOMES OF ORPHANAGES AND FORCED ADOPTIONS, RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS, 60s SCHOOL AND CHILD PROTECTION. RAMPS ARE REPLETE WITH SURVIVORS HOMELESS ON THE STREET OF WINNIPEG. THEY POPULATE

EMERGENCY ROOMS AND CLINICS I CAN TELL YOU FOR A FACT. THE SURROUNDING WOODS ARE A PLACE OF HISTORICAL AND CURRENT SOCIETY SADNESS AND GRIEVING. IT IS A PLACE OF REGENERATION AND ABUNDANT LIFE. TO PRESERVE SPACE GIVES US OPPORTUNITY TO GROW, GAIN KNOWLEDGE AND EXPRESS EMPATHY. THIS IS A WAY TO LET LOSSES BE TRANSFORMATIVE IN THEIR POWER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS PATRICK HELAND.

FIRST TIME I HAVE BEEN HERE. IF NO ONE TOLD YOU THEY LOVE YOU. I LOVE EVERYONE AND APPRECIATE EVERYBODY HERE. I AM HERE TO SAVE LEMAY FOREST FOR HEALING. IT HELPED MANY PEOPLE THE LAST COUPLE YEARS. PLACES WE NEED TO PRESERVE AND HELP AND SAVE NOT ONLY FOR THE CULTURE BUT WAY OF LIFE. JUST LIKE THE FIRE. YOU CAN SEE THE CIRCLE. SAME PREMISE. YOU HAVE THE GRANDFATHERS AND FIRE IN THE MIDDLE AND COUNCIL AROUND IT TO SPEAK. ALL DISCUSS THINGS BEFORE MAKING DECISIONS. SIMILAR TOP WHAT IS THERE. FIRE HAS A WAY TO GATHER PEOPLE TOGETHER FOR MANY PURPOSES. HERE YOU ARE GATHERED AND HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO HELP US. WE NEED YOUR HELP. WE CANNOT DO IT ALONE. EACH OF YOU HAVE THE POWER TO SAVE THE FOREST FOR THE EAGLES AND BEARS AND WOODPECKERS AND SWEATS THAT HELP SO MANY. I HAVE RAN INTO SO MANY PEOPLE THAT GOT NAMES THERE. WHEN YOU GET YOUR SPIRIT NAMES YOU ARE 10 FEET TALLER. HELPS YOU STAY SOBER. MANY STRUGGLING WITH ADDICTIONS, MENTAL ILLNESS. CEREMONIES HELPS THEM. WE NEED MORE OF THESE CEREMONIAL PLACES. THIS IS WHERE THEY GO TO HEAL. I APPRECIATE YOU BRINGING UP NAMES. AT LEMAY FOREST WE WORKED WHEN NOBODY BELIEVED. WE CAN HEAR THE SPIRITS CALLING FOR HELPS. NOW SPIRITS ARE ASKING YOU TO HELP. I WILL LEAVE THAT WITH YOU. DON'T WORRY ABOUT CONSEQUENCES. ALL WAYS DO THE RIGHT THING. PEACE AND RESPECT TO ALL. HAVE A AWESOME DAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER. I PROPOSE WE FINISH DELEGATIONS THEN RECESS FOR LUNCH.

THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME TODAY. I WILL SPEAK FROM MY HEART. I AM ANTHROPOLOGIST, ARCHEOLOGIST AND CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT WHO WORKED IN EVERY MUSEUM IN WINNIPEG. I SPENT 30 YEARS TRYING TO PRESERVE HERITAGE IN THIS COMMUNITY ONLY TO SEE AT THIS TIME DESTROYED LEFT, RIGHT AND CENTRE. EVERY SIDE OF CONSCIENCE RELATED TO INDIGENOUS HERITAGE IS UNDER THREAT AND THAT RISK OF BEING LOST IN THE CITY. I HAVE BEEN HERE MANY TIMES OVER THE LAST 30 YEARS. THIS IS ONE OF THOSE PLACES THAT IS JUST SO INCREDIBLY SPECIAL. IT REQUIRES SPECIAL CONSIDERATION. IT IS NOT THE TIME AND PLACE TO ERASE THE PAST. WHAT I HOPE IS THAT PEOPLE LEARNED FROM THE PAST AND DON'T MAKE THE SAME MISTAKE AGAIN. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER IS STACY GOSSMAN.

GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING US ALL TO SPEAK. I AM A RESIDENT OF WINNIPEG CURRENTLY LIVING IN SAINT NORBERT. I LIVED IN CHARLESWOOD AND ST. PATEL AND WAVERLY LOTS. I LIVED NEXT TO THE SCHOOL. WHEN I DROVE PAST A FEW MONTHS AGO. I AM ASKING YOU TO IMAGINE WINNIPEG IN 10 YEARS WHERE THE LEMAY FOREST IS PROTECTED FOR MEMORIALIZATION AND ECOLOGICAL SUSTAINABILITY. FOR THE REASONS IN YOUR CLIMATE POLICIES, COMMITMENT FOR

RECONCILIATION AND FRANKLY TO KEEP WINNIPEG THE INTERESTING AND LOVABLE CITY WE KNOW IT TO BE. THIS PAST SUMMER WINNIPEG CELEBRATED 150TH BIRTHDAY WITH MANY EVENTS. ONE IN ST. NORBERT. IT WAS DAY ABOUT COMMUNITY, NEIGHBORS GATHERING, HONORING SHARED HISTORIES AND ENVIRONMENT. RE-ENFORCING RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT IT. I LISTENED TO THE PRESIDENT SPEAK ABOUT THE ROLE IN MANITOBA BIRTH. ELDER BEAR AND THE SINGING WOLF SINGERS ON THE HISTORY AND CONNECTION TO THE FOREST. I HEARD MR. MAYOT HIGHLIGHT HISTORICAL WORTH AND REPRESENTATIVES FROM ALL THREE LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT TO BUILD A CITY WE CAN BE PROUD OF. WE CELEBRATED INDIGENOUS PEOPLE'S DAY WHILE SWEAT LODGES IN LEMAY FOREST FACE DESTRUCTION. WE PLANTED THE TREE STANDING WITH 18,000 TREES ON THE CHOPPING BLOCK. WE LEARNED MUCH MORE ABOUT THE CEMETERY. I AM IN SHOCK TO KNOW I HAVE WALKED NEAR THE CEMETERY FOR YEARS WITHOUT KNOWING THE HISTORY. IT SHOULD NOT BE PRIVATELY OWNED. FEATURE OF HOUSING COMPLEX. HAVE YOU BEEN TO A SOLD OUT SHOW AT THE BURTON THEATER? MOST OF US THINK BACK. WE REMEMBER WHAT IT IS LIKE TO BE PART OF THE CROWDED PEOPLE OF 1600 PEOPLE. NOW IMAGINE EVERY SINGLE PERSON DIDN'T MAKE IT HOME. DOUBLE IT. THAT IS HOW MANY CHILDREN DID NOT LEAVE. WINNIPEG NEEDS DENSIFICATION NOT AT THE COST OF LOSING SOMETHING THIS UNIQUE OR BUILDING OVER A CEMETERY. HIGH DENSITY HOUSING SHOULD BE BUILT WHERE IT MAKES SENSE. AROUND THE CORE. ALONG RAPID TRANSIT. WINNIPEG NEEDS NATURE IN PLACE, POCKETS, WALKABLE NEIGHBORHOODS LIKE HEART TRAIL, KILDONAN PARK. RESIDENTS FROM ALL SOCIOECONOMIC BACKGROUNDS NEED GREEN SPACES NEAR HOME FOR MENTAL WELL-BEING. WE SHOULD NEVER HAVE TO DRIVE TO PARK. CONSIDER SIGNIFICANCE AS A SYMBOL OF PRESERVING ENVIRONMENT AND HISTORY AND CITY WELL-BEING. DON'T LOSE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO ALIGN ACTIONS WITH 150 BIRTHDAY. ELDER NORTH BEAR SAID SOMETHING POWERFUL THAT DAY. WE GOT TO GET STUFF DONE NOW. BY MY COUNT THIS MARKS 14TH TIME LEMAY FOREST APPEARED TO THE COUNCIL AGENDA. 14 TIMES YOU DEDICATED VALUABLE TO THIS DISCUSSION. AS ELECTED OFFICIALS YOU EXAMINED ISSUES AND MADE DECISIS DECISIONS. LET YOUR DECISIONS STAND ONCE AND FOR ALL. BE THE STEER COUNCIL WITH THE COURAGE TO STAND UP TO MAKE THE NEXT AS SIN BONE FOREST. DO NOT LET IT BECOME A STORY WHAT WE FAILED TO PROTECT. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT KEN HARRISON.

GOOD MORNING, MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL. THE COUNCIL OF CANADIAN, WINNIPEG CHAPTER URGES YOU TO RECOGNIZE THE SIGNIFICANT. HOME TO ABANDONED CEMETERY WHERE 3,383 CHILDREN TRAGICALLY DIED BETWEEN 1904 AND 1948. MANY OF THEM BURIED IN UNMARKED GRAVES. PRESERVING THIS FOUR REST OUT OF RESPECT FOR THESE GRAVES IS MORAL IMPERATIVE. I URGE YOU TO CONSIDER THE LESSONS WE LEARNED FROM THE TRAGIC STRUGGLES SURROUNDING SEARCH FOR REMAINS OF MISSING AND MURDERED INDIGENOUS WOMEN. CONTROVERSY REGARDING PRAIRIE GREEN LAND FILL. THE PREVIOUS GOVERNMENT UNDER PREMIER HEATHER WOULD NOT PERMIT FUNDING SEARCH FOR REMAINS OF INDIGENOUS WOMEN BURIED IN THAT LANDFILL. THEIR HESITATION WAS A FAILURE TO ACT SWIFTLY WITH RESPECT AND CARE THOSE FAMILIES DESERVED. SIMILARLY, WE NOW FACE CRITICAL MOMENT WHERE CITY OF WINNIPEG HAS OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A CHOICE. WE TAKE ACTION TO HONOR GRAVES OF THESE ORPHAN CHILDREN OR WILL WE REPEAT MISTAKES OF NEGLECT AND INACTION PLAGUED INDIGENOUS FAMILIES FOR YEARS. THE FAILURE TO ACT IN TIMELY RESPECTFUL MANNER WHEN IT COMES TO LAND WHERE THESE CHILDREN ARE BURIED

COULD BE SEEN AS CONTINUATION OF HISTORICAL INDIFFERENCE SHOWN TO MARGINALIZED COMMUNITIES. FURTHERMORE THE FOREST SERVED AS IMPORTANT SITE FOR FIRST NATIONS SERMON THE NES. IT IS ESSENTIAL THIS TRADITION CONTINUES. CULTURAL SPIRITUAL IMPORTANCE OF THE LAND CANNOT BE UNDERSTATE THE. IT ENSURED FUTURE GENERATIONS CAN HONOR PRACTICES. CITIZENS WITH CORPORATE GREED AND INFLUENCE OF DEVELOPERS WE MUST PROTECT SPACES LIKE LEMAY FOREST. CLEAR EXAMPLE TO STAND AGAINST THE PROFIT OVER WELL BEING OF COMMUNITIES AND SHARED HERITAGE. WHEN CORPORATE INTERESTS THREATEN IMPORTANCE OF LAND MARKING IT IS CRUCIAL TO PROTECT PUBLIC SPACES FOR THE PEOPLE OF WINNIPEG. BY SUPPORTING EXPROPRIATION OF THE LAND WE HAVE CHANCE TO CORRECT WRONGS AND SEND STRONG MESSAGE THE CITY IS COMMITTED TO JUSTICE, REMEMBRANCE AND HEALING. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO CONSIDER HOW THIS DECISION REFLECTS VALUES AND CAN HELP US MOVE FORWARD WITH INTEGRITY. LEARNING FROM OUR PAST MISTAKES. FOR THESE REASONS WE URGE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO IMMEDIATELY EX APPROPRIATE ALL PRIVATE LANDS HELD KNOWN AS LEMAY FOREST TO WORK TO DESIGNATE LEMAY FOREST AS HERITAGE SITE AND TAKE NECESSARY STEPS TO ENSURE IT BECOMES PROTECTED PUBLIC PARK. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT CAT GOCHE.

GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME ALLOWING OUR DELEGATIONS. I AND MANY OTHERS HAVE BEEN WORKING ON SAVE LEMAY FOREST FOR FIVE YEARS NOW. I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR EVERYONE IN THE GALLERY. I KNOW MOST OF THEM HAVE HAD TO TAKE TIME OFF WORK, TAKE VACATION DAYS TO BE HERE. REARRANGE SCHEDULE FOR ELDER OR CHILD CARE. SOMEONE HAS CHOSEN TO BE HERE TODAY ON THEIR BIRTHDAY. I AM GOING TO MAKE THIS SHORT TO AVOID BEING REPETITIVE. FIRST OF ALL, THERE IS VERY LITTLE SUPPORT FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THIS LAND. THERE IS AN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT OF SUPPORT FOR SAVING LEMAY FOREST AND ITS GROWING AND FAR-REACHING AS PEOPLE LEARN, GROW, GRIEVE WITH US. MORE RESEARCH NEEDS TO BE DONE ON THE CEMETERY. FUNDS HAVE BEEN SECURED TO CONTINUE THE REMAINING RESEARCH, DIGITIZATION AND REPORTING OF 16 REGISTERS. THE CITY AND PROVINCE HAVE POWER AND LEGAL AND MORAL RESPONSIBILITY TO DESIGNATE LEMAY FOREST. I BELIEVE IN VISION AND BIG PICTURE THINKING. YOU CAN BE LEADERS FOR A SUSTAINABLE LIVABLE STATION TO PROTECT NATURE AND HERITAGE AND CULTURE AND TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION AND DO IT RIGHT.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS MOVES MOTION TO MOVE PAST 12:00. CONTINUE WITH PRESENTATIONS. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. WELCOME.

THANK YOU FOR MAKING TIME AND SPACE TO LISTEN TO OUR PRESENTATIONS. I AM CATALINA. DOCUMENTARY FILM MAKER WITH SPECIAL INTEREST IN SOCIAL JUSTICE AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE. PLEASE NOTE MY STRONG SUPPORT TO MOTION ITEM 1 EXPROPRIATION RE-ZONING LEMAY FOREST. IT IS IRREPLACEABLE IN ITS VALUE. 22.5-ACRE GREEN SPACE NEEDS TO RECEIVE HERITAGE STATUS AND BE RE-ZONED AND PRESERVED AS PUBLIC HERITAGE PARK IN PERPETUITY. I AM PART OF INCREASING NUMBER OF ORGANIZATIONS OPPOSING ANY FURTHER DESTRUCTION OF THE FOREST FOR DEVELOPMENT. MANITOBA HISTORICAL SOCIETY, HERITAGE WINNIPEG AND ECOLOGICAL SOCIETY CALL FOR COMPLETE MORATORIUM ON ANY INCURSION AND DAMAGE ON THE GROUNDS IN THE HERITAGE

AREA IMMEDIATELY. RECENT RESEARCH BY RESPECTED ARCHIVIST ABOUT 60% CHILD MORTALITY AT THE ORPHANAGE 1904-48 REVEALED DEATH OF 3,383 OF 3,065 ADMITTED CHILDREN. FURTHER RESEARCH IS NEEDED. CHILDREN AND MOTHER REMAINS ARE BURIED IN THE LEMAY FOREST AND BEYOND THE CEMETERY IN THE FOREST. THIS FACT ALONE SHOULD CONVINCE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL THIS LAND SHOULD NEVER BE DEVELOPED AND ABSOLUTELY NEEDS TO BE ACQUIRED BY ANY LEGAL MEANS POSSIBLE RE-ZONED TO REMEMBER THESE FORGOTTEN CHILDREN WHO DIED BECAUSE OF POVERTY AND INADEQUATE CARE. THESE CHILDREN WERE ALL NATIONALITIES. MANY ALSO WERE OF INDIGENOUS HERITAGE. THE MANITOBA METIS FEDERATION CALLED TO REZONE LAND IN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF CULTURAL CONNECTION BETWEEN THE FOREST AND RED RIVER. MMF FURTHER SUPPORT NATIONAL URBAN PARK FRAMEWORK TO HONOR THIS LAND HISTORICAL CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE. OWNER'S APPLICATION FOR RE-ZONING SUBDIVISION ARE REJECTED FOUR TIMES BECAUSE THE APPLICATIONS CONTRAVENE EXISTING CITY BY-LAWS. THIS PROPERTY IS NOT SUITABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT. IT IS LAND LOCKED IN THE FLOODPLAIN AND UNSERVICED. HOUSING SHOULD BE BUILT ON PROPERTIES MORE SUITABLE THAT DO NOT REQUIRE REMOVAL OF MATURE HEALTHY TREES. IT IS MY UNDERSTAND \$1.7 MILLION CITY VALUE. \$2.25 MILLION BY THE CONSERVANCY CLAIMING INFLATED VALUE OF \$8 MILLION. DEVELOPER THEN PROCEEDED TO CLEAR-CUT ONE ACRE OF TREES WITHOUT ANY PERMITS TO BUILD. ACTION THAT DOES NOT REPRESENT ACTING IN GOOD FAITH, IN MY OPINION. THE ACQUISITION OF THIS GREEN SPACE NEEDS TO BE PART OF CLIMATE ACTION PLAN. IT TAKES 20 TO 30 YEARS FOR TREES TO MATURE. WE NO LONGER HAVE THIS TIME IN THE ACCELERATING CLIMATE CRISIS. OUR ACTIONS NEED TO REFLECT REALITY OF INCREASING PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS. INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES HAVE BEEN PERFORMING SWEAT LODGE CEREMONIES IN THE FOREST OVER 30 YEARS. THESE SACRED GROUNDS MUST NOT BE DISTURBED FURTHER. THE MUCH NEEDED HEALING CEREMONIES NEED TO BE GUARANTEED FOR RECONCILIATION. I HAVE PROVIDED A LINK TO A TRAILER TO MY DOCUMENTARY FILM WHICH SHOWS THE KEEPER OF ONE OF THE SWEAT LODGE ES, TERRENCE BRUCE TALKING ABOUT IMPORTANCE OF THE SWEAT LODGE CEREMONY. I WAS INVITED TO PARTICIPATE IN ONE OF THE SWEAT LODGE CEREMONIES. PART OF THESE SWEAT LODGE CEREMONIES WERE THREE INDIGENOUS WOMEN WHO TOLD THEIR STORIES. MAY I CONTINUE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS MOVES TWO MINUTE EXTENSION. CARRIED.

THESE WOMEN'S STORIES WERE ALL ABOUT INTER GENERATIONAL TRAUMA AND HURT AND THEY TALKED ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF THESE SWEAT CEREMONIES TO THE HEALTH AND CONTINUATION OF STAYING SOBER. I HAVE FOLLOWED THIS LEMAY FOREST STORY MORE THAN A YEAR NOW. I WOULD LIKE TO URGE COUNCIL TO MAKE THE STORY HAVE A HAPPY ENDING. I RESPECTFULLY URGE THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL TO SUPPORT MOTION 1 TO REZONE LEMAY FOREST AND TO DECLARE IT A MEMORIAL FOREST AND TO PLEASE GUARANTEE CONTINUED ACCESS FOR THESE SO IMPORTANT HEALING CEREMONIES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. THAT CONCLUDES DELEGATIONS. WE WILL NOW TAKE A RECESS. WE WILL RECONVENE AT 1:40 P.M. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: TAKE YOUR SEATS, PLEASE.

MADAM SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON. I WOULD LIKE TO RECONVENE OUR COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 27, 2025. WE ARE ON REPORTS OF THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. MR. MAYOR. THE REPORT DATED MARCH 18.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I MOVE THEN. I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE REPORT. I WOULD MOVE ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1 TO 14.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NUMBER 8 HAS BEEN FILLED. FRENCH LANGUAGE SERVICES. NUMBER TWO. MILL RATES FOR EDUCATION SUPPORT LEVY. THAT IS ALL. COUNCILLOR MAYES PULLED 2. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

MADAM SPEAKER: ONE, FIVE, SIX. I WILL CALL QUESTION ON REMAINING. ITEMS 3 AND 4, 7, 9, 11 AND 12. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. MR. CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM 1 APPROVAL OF BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONE LEVIES. 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR:

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUES.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: YES, I WANT TO RISE TO SPEAK TO THIS BRIEFLY TO THANK MY TRANSCONA BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONE FOR THE REGARD WORK THEY CONTINUE TO DO. THE BUSINESS ZONE RECENTLY CONDUCTED A SEARCH. WE REQUIRED A NEW ED. I BELIEVE THAT IS GOING TO BE ANNOUNCED SHORTLY. TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE ZONE. I WANT TO THANK ALL OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BUSINESS ZONE. THEY DO IMMEASURABLE FOR THE COMMUNITY. ONE OF THE BIG PROJECTS I AM INVOLVED WITH IS THE TRANSCONA COMMUNITY CLEANUP. WE GET STUDENTS FROM SCHOOLS THROUGHOUT COMMUNITY, RAISE ENOUGH FUNDS TO GIVE EVERY CHILD A T-SHIRT BRIGHT IN COLOR SO THAT NOBODY CAN TRY TO INCREASE SAFETY WHEN CLEANING STREETS. OVER A WEEK WE SEE HUNDREDS AND HUNDREDS OF STUDENT CHILDREN FROM ALL OVER THE COMMUNITY CLEANING STREETS. THIS HAD ITS GENESIS IN A NUMBER OF YEARS BACK. TAKE PRIDE WINNIPEG WHAT IS THE DIRTIEST AREA OF THE CITY? ONE YEAR IT WAS TRANSCONA. HEADLINE WAS NOT TRANSCONA DIFFERENT SLOGAN I WON'T SAY. I HIT THE ROOF. WE TURNED IT AROUND. WORKING WITH TAKE PRIDE WINNIPEG AND STARTED THIS ANNUAL CLEANUP WHICH LASTED TO THIS DATE. THERE WAS DURING THE PANDEMIC WE DIDN'T HAVE IT, BUT WE MANAGED TO BRING IT BACK. IT REALLY IS AN AMAZING THING TO DO. AS WELL TRANSCONA BUSINESS DOES THE PROGRAMMING IN THE SQUARE. SYMPHONY ORCHESTRA, YOGA, FARMERS MARKET IN THE SQUARE. CANDIDATES IN THE CASH. I CUT A CAKE WITH BRIAN BOWMAN. WE LOOK FORWARD TO HAVING THE MAYOR THERE. IT IS A WONDERFUL PLACE. IT IS THE FOCUS OF BUSINESS WHO OPENED NEW OFFICES ON DAY STREET. WE HAVE SLOWLY INSTEAD OF GROWING OUR TAX LEVY, AS YOU KNOW, BUSINESSES RECEIVE REVENUE BASED ON THE LEVY, PERCENTAGE ON TOP OF A R.V. ACTUAL RENTAL VALUE. CALCULATION TO DETERMINE BASED ON BUSINESS TAX. FOR THE BUSINESS TAX. WE HAVE MANAGED TO MAINTAIN OR LEVY RELATIVELY SPEAKING AND GROW THE ZONE. IN OTHER WORDS, GROW THE ROLLS OF THE ZONE WITH EXPANSION

OF PARK CITY COMMONS. THAT AREA JOINED THE BIZ. WHEN I FIRST ARRIVED ON COUNCIL THE OVERALL BIZ LEVY WAS AROUND \$100,000. NOW IT IS \$432,714 TO 2025. WE EXPANDED THE ZONE. ONE BUSINESS IN THE AREA QUITE WELL-KNOWN BUSINESS DIDN'T WANT PRESENCE KNOWN. SOMETHING TO DO WITH SUBSTANCE OTHER THAN ALCOHOL CREATED IN THE ZONE GROWING. OUR OFFICIALS DIDN'T KNOW THAT IT WAS THERE. I HAD TO SEND ASSESSMENT OFFICE A COUPLE TIMES TO TRACK THEM DOWN. THEY ARE ON THE ROLLS. THEY CONTRIBUTE TO THE ZONE. I WOULD LIKE TO ADD SOMETHING THAT MAY BE WE DON'T LOOK AT NOW IN LIGHT OF TRADE WAR GOING ON. CNR RAILWAY DOES NOT PAY BUSINESS TAX. AN OLD EXEMPTION. WHY THEY DON'T PAY BUSINESS TAX. MAIN COMPETITORS NOT CP. IF YOU ASK WHO MAIN COMPETITOR IS. IT IS TRUCKING. ASK TRUCKING WHO MAIN COMPETITOR IS, IT IS RAILWAY. TRUCKING INDUSTRY PAYS THE TAX BUT THE RAILWAY DOESN'T. BACK IN THE DAY THEY WERE ABLE TO SAY WE ARE FEDERAL CROWN CORPORATION AND SHOULD NOT HAVE TO PAY BUSINESS TAX. LAST TIME I CHECKED THEY ARE NOT A FEDERAL CROWN CORPORATION ANY MORE. TIME IS FOR US TO REVISIT THAT. THAT WOULD BRING REVENUE. MAYOR IS LOOKING FOR REVENUE. MAYBE AS I MENTIONED OR MAYBE NOT AT THIS POINT IN TIME WITH THE TRADE WAR GOING ON UNFORTUNATELY BETWEEN CANADA AND UNITED STATES. I WANT TO SAY THE BIZ IS CONTINUING TO DO GREAT WORK IN THE COMMUNITY. IT IS A CENTRE POINT FOR MANY RESIDENTS IN THE COMMUNITY WITH PROGRAMMING. THEY PUT OUT THE BIZ BULLET BEYOND TRANSCONA, INTO EAST KELOWNA AND ELMWOOD. WAY TO ADVERTISE BUSINESS WITH DIRECTORY OF BUSINESSES. SHOWCASES BUSINESSES. IT IS EXCITING. SOMETHING POSITIVE AND WE HAVE REALLY MANAGED TO BUILD THE BIZ ORGANIZATION UP OVER THE YEARS. WE HAVE STORE FRONT REVITALIZATION WITH MATCHING GRANTS TO ENHANCE THE STOREFRONT. IT IS WONDERFUL. I WANT TO THANK THEM. HAPPY TO PROVE THE LEVY TODAY. I DON'T TALK ABOUT THIS THAT OFTEN. I FELT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO STAND IT DOWN AND SPEAK ABOUT IT NOW ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF NEW EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR COMING ONLINE SHORTLY IN THE MIDDLE OF APRIL. WE ARE REALLY EXCITED ABOUT THAT. I WANT TO THANK ALL VOLUNTEERS WHO IT IS ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONE. THE LAST TIME WE HAD AGM WE WERE IN COUNCIL MEETING ON PLANNING HEARING THAT WENT UNTIL 11:00. THAT WAS A 1:00 IN THE MORNING ONE. I COULDN'T ATTEND. I GAVE PRINTED WORDS THAT WERE READ. I WANT TO THANK THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, PRESIDENT, SECRETARY, TREASURER. I WANT TO THANK MARTIN. AMAZING GUY WITH PLANNING DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY. AMAZING LIAISON TO US. ALWAYS THERE AT BOARD MEETINGS ANSWERING QUESTIONS AS RESOURCE FOR THE BIZ. BACK TO THE CITY. IF WE DIDN'T HAVE MARTIN, I DON'T WHAT WE COULD DO. INSTITUTIONAL KNOWLEDGE IS TREMENDOUS. I WANT TO THANK HIM AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT FOR THAT SUPPORT. WITH THIS I WOULD LIKE TO CLOSE ON THOSE REMARKS. THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: IT IS A LONG DAY. THIS AN OPPORTUNITY THAT I AM INSPIRED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT TO SAY A FEW WORDS IN TERMS OF THE BIZ. IT IS MY FIRST FEW MONTHS AS COUNCIL REP TO THE BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONE. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR EADIE FOR REMMING ME TO COUNCIL FOR THIS YEAR TO BE THE REPRESENTATIVE. I LIVE HALF A BLOCK FROM THE NORTH END BIZ. LIVED PART OF MY LIFE IN THE AREA. PLEASURE TO HAVE MONTHLY MEETINGS WITH EXCELLENT MEMBERS OF THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY IN THE NORTH END. SALT OF THE EARTH PEOPLE THAT HAVE

TRULY IN THEIR OWN WAY BEST INTERESTS OF OUR COMMUNITY IN MIND. I WANT TO THANK RIGHT NOW KEITH AND ANTHONY, TOM, CARLA FOR DOING AN EXCELLENT JOB OF REPRESENTING NORTH END BUSINESSES IN CHALLENGING TIMES. MARTIN AS WELL. I WANT TO STATE THAT IT GIVES ME EXPERIENCE IN THE CASE THERE IS A BIZ ON MY SIDE OF THE RED RIVER. THERE IS CONSTANT WORKING TO FORM A BIZ ALONG HENDERSON HIGHWAY WITH THE MEMBERS OF THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY AND MEMBERS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION AS WELL AS CHALMERS. I WANT TO STATE THE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS IN THE AREA HAVE TAKEN UP THE SLACK AND THE CHALLENGE TO WORK WITH THEM OVER TIME ON ISSUES THAT WOULD BE BIZ ISSUES. I WANT TO THANK THE LOCAL ORGANIZATIONS. THE RENEWAL CORPORATION, NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION FOR BEING THERE FOR THE COMMUNITY. DEALING WITH THESE ISSUES. HAPPENS TO BE JUST YESTERDAY I HAD A MEETING WITH PETER OF THE PONY CORAL. NEW NEIGHBOR. IN FACT AUTO PARTS. DOUG WILSON ARE PUTTING ON A CANDIDATE CELEBRATION AND IT IS MY PLEASURE TO WORK WITH THEM. NOT ONLY ARE THEY EXCELLENT NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESSES. TO BRING PRIDE TO EAST ELMWOOD. HAPPENS TO BE AS WELL THAT ON RECORD PETER JUST SAID YESTERDAY. HE IS IN TO CREATING A BIZ ON MARIN AVENUE. THESE ARE GOOD THINGS. I WANT THE POSITIVE REPORT AND ESPECIALLY MY ENTHUSIASM NOW THAT COUNCILLOR EADIE HAS OFFERED ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE A COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVE TO THE NORTH END BIZ. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NO FURTHER SPEAKERS. MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: CALL THE QUESTION, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. MR. CLERK. 2025 MILL RATES FOR EDUCATION SUPPORT LEVY AND SPECIAL LEVY.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: PROPERTY OWNERS ARE IMPACTED BY THE CHANGES THAT SCHOOL DIVISIONS MAKE TO EDUCATION TAXES. OF COURSE, WE KNOW WELL THAT UNDER THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATION PUBLIC SCHOOLS ACT OUR COUNCIL IS REQUIRED TO FIX AND IMPOSE EDUCATION SUPPORT LEVY. REQUIRES US TO SEND OUT TAX BILLS. OUR STAFF MAKES VERY CLEAR ON THE PROPERTY TAX BILL WHICH PORTION IS MUNICIPAL AND WHICH IS EDUCATION TAXES. STILL COUNCILLORS SHOULD KNOW THIS. YOUR OFFICE AND MY OFFICE GETS THE CALLS OR 311 STAFF GETS CALLS WHEN THERE IS CONFUSION OR PEOPLE DON'T SEPARATE JUST READ BOTTOM NUMBER AND HAVE QUESTIONS. THE CHANGES SCHOOL DIVISIONS ARE MAKING THIS YEAR TO EDUCATION TAX LEVEL COMBINED WITH PROVINCE'S CHANGES WILL HAVE IMPACT ONE WAY OR THE OTHER ON MANY, MANY PROPERTY OWNERS. APPRECIATE REPORT STAFF DID BRING FORWARD TO CLARIFY WHAT REALLY ARE THE CHANGES THAT SCHOOL DIVISIONS ARE MAKING WITH THEIR SUBMISSION NOW OF PROPERTY TAX CHANGES. WITH THAT I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING COUNCILLOR COLLEAGUES ON THIS ONE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: NOTING WE ARE IN FOR A LONG DAY. I WILL NOT GO ON FOR TOO LONG.

IMPORTANT TO TALK ABOUT THIS. THERE IS UNFORTUNATE COMMENTS IN THE MEDIA IN THE PAST WEEK ABOUT CITIES KNOWING KNOCKING INCREASES 16% FROM SCHOOLS. NOT MILL RATE INCREASE. LOOK, WE MADE PROGRESS IN THE YEARS I HAVE BEEN HERE. I WILL TAKE A LITTLE CREDIT. WE HAVE MADE PROGRESS IN DEALINGS WITH SCHOOL BOARD. FORMER MAYOR BOWMAN CREATED POSITION FOR SCHOOL BOARD LIAISON. WE SHOULD DO MORE OF THAT. WE HAVE A LOT OF GOOD PARTNERSHIP WORK. RUNNING TRACKS WE HAVE SEVEN OAKS, RIVER EAST, PROJECT WITH WINNIPEG SCHOOL DIVISION AT GRANT PARK. THAT IS ONE ITEM ALONE. WE ARE DOING GOOD WORK WITH SCHOOL DIVISIONS. THEY ARE EXPANDING WITH ENROLLMENTS AND NEEDS. WE TOOK THEM TO THE COURTS IN TERMS OF WHETHER CERTAIN ITEMS SHOULD BE EXEMPT FROM PROPERTY TAXES. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS THOUGHT CITY POSITION WAS WRONG AND I AGREED WITH HER AND COURTS AGREED WITH THAT. WE KNOW WE HAVE TO IMPLEMENT THIS. PEOPLE CAN SEE IT ON THE PROPERTY TAX BILL. OUR TAXES ARE GOING UP 5.95% PLUS GARBAGE FEE EQUIVALENT OF 8% PROPERTY TAX INCREASE. NOT HARD MATH. UP AROUND 14% IF YOU ARE IN THE MIDDLE INCOME. IF YOU ARE IN LOWER INCOME GETTING THAT FEE, EQUIVALENT WHAT YOU PAY IN TAXES AND FEES IS UP OVER 14%. MAYBE WE SHOULDN'T BE TOO RIGHTEOUS ABOUT THE SCHOOL DIVISION OR OTHERS ABOUT INCREASES THEY ARE PROPOSING. ADDITIONALLY, I THINK IF THE TRUSTEES FROM WINNIPEG DIVISION WERE HERE THEY WOULD SAY, YEAH, WE ARE TRYING TO PUT LESS USER FEE ON PARENTS. WE ARE MOVING AWAY FROM THAT. WE HAVE TO DO MORE ON PROPERTY TAXES. THAT SEEMS MORE EQUITABLE. WHAT DO YOU WANT FROM US? I WOULD HOPE WE HAVE MORE PARTNERSHIP COOPERATION PHILOSOPHY RATHER THAN TRYING TO CLAIM, LOOK, THEIR TAX INCREASES ARE HIGHER THAN OURS. THERE ARE PRESSURES EVERYWHERE. THAT IS WHY OUR TAXES ARE GOING UP, THEIR TAXES ARE GOING UP. THE ITEM TO COME BACK TO SOMEDAY. WE HAVE INEQUITY OF SCHOOL PLAY STRUCTURES OUT THERE. PROVINCE WON'T FUND IN NEW SCHOOL, OLD SCHOOL. RANDOMLY CITY FUNDED AT CERTAIN SCHOOLS. SCHOOL DIVISIONS HAVE DIFFERENT APPROACHES. DSM WE WILL PUT IN MONEY IF YOU CAN FIND MATCHING MONEY. WE HAVE TO WORK OUT SOMETHING ON THIS PROBLEM OF SCHOOL PLAY STRUCTURES. THAT IS NOT FOR TODAY. TODAY I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR THE TRUSTEES, THANK YOU FOR THE WORK YOU ARE DOING. THERE ARE PROPERTY TAX INCREASES HERE BUT THEY ARE STRAIGHTFORWARD. WE WILL VOTE FOR THEM TODAY AND HOPEFULLY KEEP THE PROGRESS THAT WE HAVE MADE, HOPEFULLY CONTINUE WITH THE PROGRESS WITH THE SCHOOL OFFICIALS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR BROWATY.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: WOW. THESE ARE THE HIGHEST THAT I HAVE SEEN IN 18 YEARS ON CITY COUNCIL. 10 TO 18% FOR SCHOOL DIVISIONS ACROSS -- NO, IT IS 10 TO 18% MORE PER SCHOOL DIVISION THEY ARE TAKING IN. THIS IS RECESSMENT YEAR. SOME WILL SEE EXTRA IMPACTS. PLUS BIG CHANGE IN THE WAY EDUCATION TAX CREDIT IS COMING FORWARD. YEAH HALF PEOPLE ARE AHEAD. FAIRLY AVERAGE HOMES WHERE NEW SCHEME 5-\$1,500. VERSUS 50% PLUS \$350 OLD SCHEME. THIS IS A BIG SPOTLIGHT MILL RATES ARE DIFFERENT IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. THE TRAILS WHERE IT IS 10.8%. VALUE OF THE HOUSE BEFORE THE CHANGE NEGATIVELY IMPACTS YOU IS HIGH. HOUSE HAS TO BE CLOSE TO HALF A MILLION DOLLARS. SEVEN OAKS SCHOOL YOU ARE INTO THE MID 300,000S WHERE YOU ARE WORSE OFF. REMEMBER THE CITY OF WINNIPEG ARE COLLECTING IT. WHEN YOU SEE YOUR DEDUCTION FOR TIF PAYMENT ON BANK STATEMENT. DOESN'T SAY SEVEN OAKS, THE TRAILS. IT SAYS CITY OF WINNIPEG TIF PAYMENT. AVERAGE HOMES IN THE CITY. IT VARIES BY

NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE OF THE MILL RATES IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG HOW IT IMPACTS PEOPLE. 5.95%. ONE OF THE LARGER INCREASES IN WINNIPEG. SCHOOL INCREASES ARE DOUBLE OR MORE. CHANGES IN EDUCATION TAX CREDIT. THERE IS FAIRLY AVERAGE HOMES \$1,000 MORE PER YEAR. I WAS RUNNING A LOT OF EXAMPLE ALSO. \$200 TO WINNIPEG. \$800 MORE IN EDUCATION TAXES BECAUSE OF THIS CHANGE. MY HOUSE \$500 MORE FOR THE YEAR. ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS TO THE SCHOOL DIVISION. WHY IS MINE LOWER? MY ASSESSMENT WENT UP LESS THAN AVERAGE. 6 OR 7% AS OPPOSED TO 9.5% CITY AVERAGE. LOOK AT THE BILLS IN THE FIRST WEEK OF MAY. YOU WILL GET A LOT OF PHONE CALLS. SOME FURTHER AHEAD. QUARTER OF PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BE HIT HARD. HOMES IN RIVER HEIGHTS ARE GOING TO BE HIT PRETTY HARD. AGAIN, THESE ARE BIG INCREASES. A LOT OF MOVING THINGS THIS YEAR IMPACTING THINGS DIFFERENTLY. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO STAY ON TOP OF IT. MAKE SURE YOUR MLSS ARE TAKING THE BLAME FOR THE CHANGES FOR MOST PEOPLE. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. FIRST THING I WANT TO DO IS CLEAR UP SOMETHING. THE NEW WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE IF WE HADN'T HAD TO RECOVER LAST YEAR'S 4% TAX EQUIVALENT TO THE 28.2 EQUIVALENT TO 4%. WE ARE COLLECTING THAT NOW BECAUSE THERE WAS NO MONEY COLLECTED. YOU COULD SAY THAT THIS WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE IS AN 8% INCREASE. ULTIMATELY IT IS EQUIVALENT TO 4% PROPERTY TAX INCREASE, MADAM SPEAKER. THE COST IS 28.2. 1% INCREASE IS EQUIVALENT TO \$7 MILLION OR THEREABOUTS. ANYWAY, I AM GOING TO SPEAK TO THIS. I HEAR THIS DEBATE AND A NUMBER OF US HAVE BEEN SCHOOL BOARD TRUSTEES. BRIAN WILL STAND UP TO SPEAK. THEY HAVE A MISSION TO GRADUATE OUR KIDS SO THEY CAN HAVE SUCCESS IN THEIR LIVES IN THE FUTURE AS WELL AS SOCIALIZE AND BE GOOD PARTICIPANTS IN SOCIETY. IT IS ALL ABOUT ASSESSMENT BASE IN SCHOOL DIVISIONS. I NOTICE THAT YOU WANT TO TARGET TO SAY THE WINNIPEG SCHOOL DIVISION, THEY SAY 5% INCREASE. INCREASE IN THE ASSESSED VALUES OF PROPERTIES THAT DERIVES MORE MONEY TO INCREASE SCHOOL DIVISION BUDGET. I WILL POINT OUT THE TRAILS SCHOOL DIVISION DIDN'T HAVE TO RAISE THEIR SPECIAL LEVY BECAUSE THE ASSESSED VALUE IN THE PEMONA TRAILS EASIER. ASSESSED VALUES ARE WAY LARGER EXCEPT FOR WHAT MIGHT COME CLOSE IS NORTH KILDONAN. ULTIMATELY IF YOU LOOK AT EVERGREEN SCHOOL DIVISION WHICH HAS WEALTHIEST CAPABILITY OF RAISING MONEY. THEY HAVE A HUGE ASSESSMENT IN EVERGREEN. GINLEY HAS HUGE CORPORATE PROPERTY TAX PAYER PRODUCING CANADIAN LIQUOR. EVERYBODY SHOULD BUY IT IF THEY DRINK. I DIGRESS. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT IN THE PAST SO PEOPLE DON'T LIKE THE SPECIAL LEVY. I AM A SENIOR CITIZEN WHY SHOULD I PAY EDUCATION TAX? WE HAVE THAT KIND OF SITUATION TO TALK ABOUT. IT IS ABOUT CONTINUING GOOD FUTURE OF THIS CITY. COUPLE THINGS YOU COULD DO TO REDUCE THE PROBLEM. MAYBE THE TRAILS AND ALL OF THE SCHOOL DIVISIONS WHO ARE DOING SPECIAL LEVY IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG BOUNDARIES MAYBE THEY SHOULD GET TOGETHER AS SCHOOL DIVISIONS. WHAT IS THEIR NEED TO PROVIDE THE EDUCATION FOR OUR KIDS? WHAT THEY COULD DO IS IT WOULD BE ONE EDUCATION LEVY AGAINST THE TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG SO IT WOULD BE FAIR. YOU COULDN'T SAY THIS SCHOOL DIVISION. LOOK HOW THEY ARE JACKING IT UP. DISCREPANCY IN ASSESSED VALUES. I WOULD POINT OUT THAT WHERE THE BIGGER GROWTH, SEVEN OAKS SCHOOL DIVISION IS BENEFITING FROM THE SECOND FASTEST GROWING PART OF THE CITY. WHEREAS THE TRAILS THAT PRETTY WELL COVERING ALL OF WAVERLY WEST WHERE THERE IS A HUGE INCREASE OF NEW ASSESSMENT TO HELP PAY FOR THE SCHOOL THAT

OUR KIDS NEED. THAT'S ONE IDEA. IN THE PAST BEFORE I GOT ON TO CITY COUNCIL, THE MANITOBA ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOL BOARD SUPERINTENDENTS CAME UP WITH A PLAN. ACTUALLY THE CURRENT DEPUTY MINISTER WAS AT THE CRUX OF THAT. POINTED OUT THAT YOU COULD GET RID OF SPECIAL LEVY IF YOU COMBINED ALL PROGRAMMES THAT THE PROVINCE IS PUTTING OUT RELATED TO SCHOOLS. IF YOU MIXED IT ALL YOU COULD HAVE GOT RID OF SPECIAL LEVY. THERE WAS NO IMPETUS FROM PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT TO FOLLOW THAT TRACK. WE CAN DEBATE THIS ALL WE WANT. ULTIMATELY IF WE ARE GOING TO PROPERTY TAXES FOR EDUCATION LEVY IT IS THE MOST EFFICIENT WAY TO USE THE ONE SYSTEM THAT SENDS OUT THAT PROPERTY TAX BILL TO ALL OF OUR HOME OWNERS. THAT IN ITSELF KEEPS COSTS DOWN. IT KEEPS BUREAUCRACY DOWN. THAT IS A GOOD THING, MADAM SPEAKER. I WILL LEAVE IT AT THAT. I AM SUPPORTING THESE LEVY. I WILL CONCLUDE THIS IS ABOUT EDUCATING OUR KIDS, GRAND KIDS SO THAT THEY AND ALL OF US WILL HAVE A REALLY GOOD FUTURE.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: COUPLE THINGS ON THE RECORD. I WANT TO SAY EVERYTHING COUNCILLOR MAYES SAID IS CORRECT IN TERMS OF HARD WORK AND SPIRIT OF THE TRUSTEES. I THINK THEY HAVE THE BEST INTEREST OF DIVISIONS AT HEART. THE EDUCATION OF OUR YOUNG PEOPLE. I ALSO WANT TO RECOGNIZE WHAT COUNCILLOR BROWATY SAID. WE HAVE THE HIGHEST INCREASES WE HAVE SEEN IN A LONG TIME ACROSS THE CITY. 15.6% OR 15.9% IN TRANSCONA SCHOOLS ALONE. WHAT IS FRUSTRATING IS THAT THE MUNICIPALITIES THAT LOBBIED THE PROVINCE BE TO REDUCE EDUCATION TAXES WITH OFF THE PROPERTY BILLS. THE PREMIER PALLISTER SAID WE WILL REMOVE EDUCATION OF THE PROPERTY TAX. PREMIER CANOE CONFIRMS WITH CAP OF \$1,500 PER HOUSE HOLD. WE CREATED WHAT WE WANT TO CREATE. WE AS PART OF AMM LOBBIED HARD FOR THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. WE DID THIS TO BACKFILL PART OF THAT DIVIDEND. NOT TAKE ALL OF IT. SAY TO RESIDENTS OF WINNIPEG WE HAVE OUTSTANDING ISSUES WE HAVE GOT TO FUND. WE HAVE TO DO THIS AND THAT. WE NEED MONEY TO DO THIS. HERE IS THE PLAN FOR THE NEXT 10 YEARS. BRING PROPERTY TAXES UP. TAKE 50% DIVIDEND OVER 10 OR 15 YEARS. CAP IT. \$750 IN YOUR POCKET AT THE END OF IT ALL. IRONY NOW THE SCHOOL DIVISION IS GOING TO EAT THAT UP AT THE RATE THEY ARE GOING AT. WE WILL HAVE NONE OF THE DIVIDEND WE LOBBIED SO HARD FOR ALL OF THOSE YEARS TO ESTABLISH COMING BACK UNLESS WE AND I HATE TO SAY THIS. DOG IN THE YARD. MARK OUR TERRITORY, SO TO SPEAK. COMES DOWN TO THAT. WE HAVE TO DO THIS. COMMUNICATE. YES, WE ARE GOING WITH THIS IN TERMS OF PROPERTY TAX. THIS PER SEPT SENT -- THIS PER CENT IS TO BUILD RAPID TRANSIT. BE FRANK IN THE BILL. LET EVERYBODY SEE. THIS IS OUR PLAN. MULTIYEAR BUDGET FOR THAT REASON THAT WE SHOULD HAVE A LONG-TERM PLAN. NOT ONLY CONTROLLING SPENDING BUT TAXATION TO TELL CITIZENS THIS IS WHY WE NEED TO GO. SCHOOL DIVISION IS DOING IT. NOT HESITATING. NOT BLINKING AN EYE. I GET CALLS LIKE COUNCILLOR BROWATY AND OTHERS. GO TO THE BOARD OFFICE. THEY WOULD COME TO US IF WE PROPOSED 16% TAX INCREASE. GO TO THE SCHOOL BOARD. SPEAK YOUR MIND. THAT'S DEMOCRACY. THAT IS WHERE YOU NEED TO GO. PROBABLY CALL YOUR MLA. I HAVE A LOT OF SYMPATHY FOR THE TRUSTEES. I KNOW THAT 95%. MAYBE THAT IS HIGH. THE LION'S SHARE OF THE BUDGET IS WAGES. WAGES. PAYROLL. THEY ARE SOMEWHAT LIMITED IN THAT. NOT ONLY THAT, THEY ARE LIMITED BY THE FACT TEACHERS DON'T HAVE THE RIGHT TO STRIKE. GOES TO ARBITRATION. LIMITED BASED ON WHAT THE ARBITRATORS SIDE. THEY LOOK AT THE PAST. THAT IS PRETTY

AGGRESSIVE ON SOME FRONTS IN TERMS OF INCREASES. YOU COULD MAKE THE ARGUMENT RIGHT TO STRIKE. FUNDAMENTAL FOUNDATION OF THE RIGHTS OF LABOR. SOME PUBLIC SECTOR UNITS GIVE YOU THE RIGHT TO STRIKE. NO, I DON'T WANT THAT. I WILL KEEP ARBITRATION. ULTIMATELY, MADAM SPEAKER, COUSINS ARE TEACHERS. THEY COME TO ME. WHAT IS INTERESTING, THEY CAME TO ME. WE DON'T WANT THAT BILL PALLISTER IS BRINGING IN. PRIVATELY THERE ARE A LOT OF THINGS I LIKE IN THAT BILL. WE HAVE THE LOWEST PUBLIC EDUCATION RESULTS ACROSS THE BOARD IN EVERY SUBJECT IN THIS COUNTRY RIGHT NOW. MISSISSIPPI UP NORTH EDUCATION. PEOPLE ARE SAVING, WORKING CLASS ARE PUTTING STUDENTS IN PUBLIC SCHOOL. PRIVATE SHOULD GET BEST TEACHERS. INSTEAD IT IS THE OTHER WAY AROUND. MADAM SPEAKER, I WILL VOTE AGAINST THIS. WE WILL GO TO SOME SORT OF ELECTORAL PRISON OR SOMETHING LIKE THIS. ANYWAY, I AM SURE YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE ME THERE. THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS, LONG DAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: I WILL BE VERY BRIEF. LISTENING TO COUNCILLOR BROWATY HE MENTIONED DIFFERENCE IN MILL RATE. WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT? I DON'T WANT TO PUT WORDS IN HIS MOUTH. NOT CITY OF WINNIPEG MILL RATE. IT IS ASSESSMENTS THAT GIVE PROPERTY TAXES MAKE THE DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF DIFFERENCE FROM PROPERTY TAXES THROUGHOUT THE CITY, WHATEVER HOUSE, WHAT NEIGHBORHOOD. HIM RATES TIMES ASSESSMENT EQUALS PROPERTY TAXES. DIFFERENCE IN SCHOOL DIVISION MILL RATES ARE NOTABLY DIFFERENT FROM ONE SCHOOL DISTRICT TO ANOTHER AND THAT MAKES A DIFFERENCE. I AM PRETTY SURE THAT IS WHAT HE MEANT. WE ALL DESERVE TO UNDERSTAND THE MILL RATE AND PROPERTY TAXES, IF THERE IS ANY DIFFERENCE.

MADAM SPEAKER: MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES FOR COMMENTS ON THE RECORD. THANK YOU TO THE STAFF FOR THIS REPORT. I AM LOOKING AT SCHEDULE B. I APPRECIATE THIS REPORT. IT IS FAIR TO SAY THE AVERAGE PERSON AND THIS IS NOT CONDESCENDING. IT IS REALITY. AVERAGE PERSON DOESN'T TOTALLY UNDERSTAND MILL RATE OR HOW IT IS CALCULATED. IF I PUT A PEN IN FRONT OF US TO CALCULATE MILL RATE. WE WOULD GO TO GOOGLE TO MAKE SURE WE ARE DOING IT RIGHT. IT IS COMPLICATED. STILL NOT EVERYONE UNDERSTANDS IMPACT OF PROPERTY TAX CHANGE INCREASE OR DECREASE. BROWATY POINTED OUT ASSESSMENT YEAR. ASSESSMENT IS FACTOR IN EQUATION THAT CHANGES EVERYTHING. WHAT EVERYBODY UNDERSTANDS IS HOW MANY DOLLARS MORE OR LESS PER YEAR AM I GOING TO HAVE TO PAY AS PROPERTY OWNER. THAT IS THE LANGUAGE EVERYBODY GETS AND CAN UNDERSTAND. MORE DOLLARS OR FEWER DOLLARS AM I PAYING THIS YEAR? I APPRECIATE THE STAFF THROUGH REPORT AND ESPECIALLY THROUGH B TAKES A SAMPLE HOME, VALUES IT AND PER SCHOOL DIVISION GIVES PERCENTAGE INCREASE THEN DOLLAR INCREASE ON THAT SAMPLE HOME. I OHIO THE WORK THE STAFF HAS DONE. IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT. WE ELECTED OFFICIALS THAT SHOULD USE THESE KIND OF EX RAM PEMS. WE DO THAT ANNUALLY IN OUR BUDGET. SAMPLE HOME WITH PROPERTY TAX INCREASE AND DOLLAR AMOUNT DIFFERENCES ON THAT SAMPLE HOME THAT IT WILL MAKE. WHEN SCHOOL DIVISIONS TALK ABOUT MILL RATE CHANGES AND USE THAT AS LEAD COMMUNICATION, THIS WORK FROM THE STAFF HELPS CLARIFY AND PUT INTO MORE UNDERSTANDABLE LANGUAGE WHAT IS AVERAGE WINNIPEGGER CAN COMPREHEND. I TOO

APPRECIATE ANYONE WHO PUTS NAME ON BALLOT AND GETS ELECTED. FEDERAL, MUNICIPAL OR SCHOOL DIVISION. I APPRECIATE THAT WORK. I WAS SURPRISED TO HEAR ONE SCHOOL DIVISION MAYBE COMMON PRACTICE, I DON'T KNOW. THE DAY THEY VOTED ON THE SCHOOL BUDGET AND PROPERTY AND EDUCATION TAX INCREASE. THEY WENT IN CAMERA TO MAKE THEIR DECISION AND THEN CAME OUT OF CAMERA, ADOPTED BUDGET WITH CORRESPONDING INCREASES. MAYBE IT IS COMMON PRACTICE AT SCHOOL DIVISIONS. I DO APPRECIATE THE WORK STAFF HAS DONE. WE ARE COMPELLED TO VOTE ON THIS FIX AND IMPOSE EDUCATION SUPPORT LEVY AND SPECIAL LEVY. THAT IS WHAT WE ARE DOING TODAY. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: I WILL CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM 2. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. I CALLED THE VOTE. ALL IN FAVOR PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: MAYOR GILLINGHAM, ALLARD. BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

COUNCILLOR SHARMA: THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

15-1.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 2 PASSES. ITEM 5. WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE HEADQUARTERS LITIGATION. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WANT TO THANK OUR CFO AND HER TEAM WORKING WITH EXTERNAL AUDITORS TO GET THIS TO THIS POINT. I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM COLLEAGUES.

MADAM SPEAKER: FIRST SPEAKER. THIS WAS STOOD DOWN BY COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I WANT TO THANK THE MAYOR E.P.C. FOR PURSUING THIS MATTER. RECENTLY I HAD A GENTLEMAN CONSTITUENT COME BY THE WARD OFFICE TO SEE WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THIS PAYMENT. WHERE IS IT AT? I WAS HAPPY TO SEE THIS. I WILL INFORM HIM OF THE ACTIONS UNDERTAKEN PIE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE TO CONTINUE TO PURSUE THIS MATTER PERTAINING TO THE NEED TO COLLECT FUNDS THAT ARE STILL OUTSTANDING. I'M HOPING THAT WE CAN SECURE THE SAID FUNDS SOONER RATHER THAN LATER. ANY INFORMATION THAT THE MAYOR OR THE ADMINISTRATION CAN GIVE US UPDATES ON AS THIS MATTER GOES FORWARD IT WOULD BE USE FULL. THANK YOU.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I HAVE NO OTHER INFORMATION. THAT IS BEFORE ME TODAY. I WANT TO THANK MY COLLEAGUES. I ASSUME IT WILL BE UNANIMOUS. KEEP IN MIND THE WORK WE ARE TRYING TO DO IS RECOVER FOR PEOPLE OF WINNIPEG AN AMOUNT THAT WILL REFUND THE PEOPLE OF WINNIPEG. I, TOO, WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT SETTLEMENT COMPLETED. SOONER RATHER THAN LATER. I WILL BE HAPPY FOR US IS THIS REPORT THAT SAYS WE CAN BOOK THESE AMOUNTS ACCORDINGLY.

THANK YOU. CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM 5. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: ITEM 6 MUNICIPAL CANADA BUILDING FUND ANNUAL RATE OF INFLATION.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR WYATT FOR PARTNERING TO BRING THIS FORWARD. WE DO NEED TO ASK THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WHEN THEY ARE SENDING MUNICIPAL COUNTY COMMUNITY BUILDING FUNDS TO ME. THE COSTS GO UP EACH YEAR. THAT IS IN THE MOTION WE WILL TAKE TO AMEND MM. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR BROWATY FOR OUR REPRESENTATIVE AND TO FCM TO ASK THOSE BODIES TO MAKE SURE THAT AN INFLATIONARY AMOUNT IS BUILT INTO THE GAS TAX FUND AMOUNT. I THANK COUNCILLOR WYATT FOR HIS PARTNERSHIP.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I WANT TO RISE AND THANK THE MAYOR FOR TAKING THE LEAD TO THIS MOTION AND MOVING THE MOTION. IT WAS PROPOSED AT ANOTHER MONTH. THANK YOU FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY. I HAD THE MOTION BLOWNUP ON MY WALL DOWNSTAIRS OUT SEWERED OF MY OFFICE DOOR. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THE MOTION WE VOTE ON AND ADOPT TODAY I WOULD BE INTERESTED ACQUIRING INFORMATION THROUGH YOU AND COUNCILLOR BROWATY THAT THE FIRST CONVEX UP IS FCM CONVENTION WHICH IS JUNE IN OTTAWA. IF WE PASS IT TODAY THIS WOULD BE CONSIDERED BY THE RESOLUTIONS COMMIT AT THE. IT WOULD BE CONSIDERED ON THE FLOOR AT THE CONVENTION IN OTTAWA. I ASSUME THAT IS THE CASE. IT MAY BE THAT POLICY EXISTS BUT I DON'T THINK IT DOES. THAT WOULD BE THE ONLY REASON THEY DISALLOW IT OR IF IT IS NOT MUNICIPALLY RELATED. THE SOONER WE HAVE THE INFORMATION THE BETTER. I WOULD LIKE TO EXTEND I WILL LOBBY DELEGATES TO SECURE SUPPORT OF THE RESOLUTION WHEN IT COMES TO THE VOTE. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO WORK WITH MAYOR'S OFFICE AND MY STAFF TO DO THAT. JUST SO WE COULD PUSH IT OVER THE TOP AND GET APPROVED AS POLICY ON THE FLOOR OF CONVENTION. MAYOR NORY MOVED GAS TAX TO LOBBY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THAT BECAME PUBLIC POLICY. IT WOULD BE NICE IF WE COULD DO THAT IN THE FUTURE PERTAINING TO THIS REQUEST BY OUR MAYOR TODAY IN TERMS OF DEVELOPMENT OF PUBLIC POLICY FEDERALLY. THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS. THANK YOU TO THE MAYOR FOR MOVING IT. APPRECIATE IT VERY MUCH.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU TO THE COUNCILLOR. IF THIS MOTION IS ANDDORNING HIS OFFICE WALLS WE MAY NEED TO TAKE HIM TO THE GALLERY. YOU KNOW THE STANDARD DOGS PLAYING POKER PHOTO OR SOMETHING. I WILL BE GLAD TO TALK FURTHER ABOUT WORKING TOGETHER ON THE FLOOR OF FCM TO DO THE LOBBYING WORK. THANK YOU EVERYONE FOR SUPPORT OF THIS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. QUESTION ON ITEM 6 ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. MR. CLERK. ITEM 8.

CLERK: FRENCH LANGUAGE SERVICES FIVE YEAR BY-LAW UPDATE.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL TURN IT TO COUNCILLOR ALLARD, MY COLLEAGUE, HAS COMMENTS ON THIS. I WILL LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING FROM HIM AND OTHERS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: [SPEAKING FRENCH]

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. LAST WEEK WE HAD FLAG RAISING HERE. ONE MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY VERY PUBLICLY THANKED ME FOR EFFORTS. IF YOU HAVE BEEN HERE LONG ENOUGH TAKE THE PRAISE WHEN YOU DON'T ENTIRELY DESERVE IT. HAPPY TO TAKE PRAISE. UNFAIR TO COUNCILLOR ALLARD NOT TO THANK HIM. THERE IS A REPORT WE HAVE MADE GOOD PROGRESS. NICOLE HAS DONE A LOT TO PROMOTE FRENCH LANGUAGE SERVICES IN THE CITY. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR ALLARD. FOR ME IT WOULD BE. [SPEAKING FRENCH]

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: [SPEAKING FRENCH].

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: SPEAKING FRENCH]

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. I KNOW A WORD OR TWO. MR. CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM 10. ANNUAL REPORT ON HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I MAY HAVE COMMENTS. I WILL WAIT FOR COLLEAGUES.

MADAM SPEAKER: SPEAKERS ON THIS ITEM. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THERE ARE GOOD PARTS, BAD PARTS TO THE REPORT. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE RAPID ZONING CHANGES IN LOCAL AREA PLANS. I KNOW WE ARE ABOUT TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ON THAT PERTAINING TO OPEN HOUSES COMING UP WE ARE REQUESTING. I SEE MOTION ON THE MATTER. ONE AT CONVENTION CENTRE RECENTLY. I AM LOOKING FORWARD TO HOPEFULLY SEEING THESE MEETINGS HAPPEN PRIOR TO US DEALING WITH THIS WHICH IS COMING UP SHORTLY. IT IS SOMETHING WHICH, YOU KNOW, ZONING IS ONE OF THOSE THINGS WHAT I HAVE LEARNED THERE IS A MULTIPLE OF WAYS HOW NOT TO DO ZONING. VERY WINDOW ON THE IDEAL WAY TO DO ZONING. ONE

OF THE FEW THINGS I HAVE LEARNED IS THAT THE MORE YOU CONSULT, MORE YOU ARE SEEN AS HAVING A REAL DIALOGUE WITH RESIDENTS, NOT ARTIFICIAL ONE, NOT IMPOSED ONE, NOT SOMETHING COMING FROM ABOVE. YOU DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE TO GO WITH THE PLAN. SOMETIMES IT IS GOOD TO GO WITHOUT A PLAN. TO GET FEEDBACK. IS THIS WHERE WE NEED TO GO WITH DENSIFICATION. WE DON'T KNOW HOW. WE WOULD LIKE TO GET YOUR THOUGHTS. RATHER THAN COMING IN TO IMPOSE FROM ABOVE AUTOMATICALLY THAT CREATES RESISTANCE AND DISTRUST. I HAVE SOME REAL CONCERNS ABOUT THAT AS WELL. I JUST HAVE CONCERNED ABOUT THE OVERALL PROCESS, TO BE HONEST. HAF WAS TAINTED BY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WHICH HAD NO REASON TO SIT IN POSITION OF MORAL AUTHORITY AND SAY THAT THEY COULD TELL US HOW TO DICTATE OUR ZONING IN LIGHT OF THE FACT, AND I SAY THAT, MADAM SPEAKER, IN LIGHT OF THE FACT AT THE VERY MINIMUM THEY ARE LATE TO THE GAME WHEN IT COMES TO FUNDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING. FOR A FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THAT IN 2018 OR 2019, I WANT TO SAY, WAS VOTED THAT HOUSING IS A RIGHT. THAT HOUSING, AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND QUALITY DIGNIFIED AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS A RIGHT. THEN YOU WAIT A FEW YEARS LATER, FIVE YEARS LATER AND ROLLOUT A PROGRAMME WITH STRINGS ATTACHED. AS IF THEY WANT THE MESSAGE WE ARE THE TOUGH GUYS ON THE BLOCK AND WE WILL GET YOU AFFORDABLE HOUSING BECAUSE WE ARE BEATING MUNICIPALITIES. ONE-TIME MONEY ON A PROBLEM THAT HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR DECADES. IT IS SUSTAINABLY UNDERFUNDED IS THE BEST WAY TO PUT IT. I HAVE REAL CONCERN THAT WE HAVE AN UNDERMINED OURSELVES AS MUNICIPALITY TO SAY TO CITIZENS YOU ARE IN CONTROL OF YOUR LAND USE. YOU ARE THE RESIDENTS. YOU ARE THE LOCAL DECISION MAKERS. WE ARE AN EXTENSION OF THAT THROUGH REPRESENTATIVE DEMOCRACY. HERE IS THE ENTITY FROM THE OUTSIDE ENTER FEARING INTO THE VERY DEMOCRATIC PROCESS ALIGNED UNDER THE POWERS, CHARTER OF PLANNING AND SEE CHARTERS AND ACTS. BESTOWING POWERS TO US TO DECIDE WHAT TYPE OF LAND USE IS APPROPRIATE ON PARCEL OF LAND OR NOT. ALL OF A SUDDEN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CAN SAY WE MUST DO, WE MUST DO THAT. IF WE DON'T WE WILL NOT GET OUR LEVEL OF FUNDING. THAT TO ME, MADAM SPEAKER, IS FUNDAMENTALLY FLAWED IN TERMS OF LOCAL DEMOCRACY. I DON'T THINK IN LIGHT OF THE FACT WE ARE IN MAJOR TRADE WAR RIGHT NOW WITH UNITED STATES AFTERNOON THAT IS THE BIG ISSUE OF THE DAY. CONSUMING DEBATE IN TERMS OF FEDERAL ELECTION IS UNFORTUNATE BECAUSE THE HOUSING ISSUE UNTO ITSELF DESERVES A FEDERAL ELECTION ALONE IN TERMS OF WHAT IS HAPPENING OUT THERE. ONLY IN CANADA CAN WE HAVE A COUNTRY WHERE WE HAVE A RELATIVELY LOW POPULATION WHERE WE HAVE SUCCESSFULLY MANAGED TO DRIVE UP THE PRICE OF LAND TO MAKE IT HARD FOR MANY IN THE MIDDLE CLASS. DEFINITELY LOWER MIDDLE CLASS TO AFFORD A PIECE OF TURF TO CALL THEIR OWN. FUNDAMENTAL TO WHAT I THINK IS CANADIAN DREAM. FOR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO THOU SHALL DO THIS FIVE YEARS AFTER THEY DECLARE HOUSING A RIGHT. THEN TAKE AWAY RIGHTS THAT BELONG TO THE CITIZENS PERTAINING TO THEIR ZONING HOW THEY WANT NEIGHBORHOODS TO LOOK NOT TO MENTION OTHER CHALLENGES IN THE CITY WITH REGARDS TO TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE AND HOW TO GET THAT DONE IN TERMS OF UNDER GROUND SEWERS AND PIPES THAT NEED THE SERVICES. WE WILL PUT THAT ASIDE. YOU KNOW, THE CSOs WILL LOOK AT THAT LATER. I HAVE MISGIVINGS UNDER THE HAF. I LOVE THE FACT MONEY IS FLOWING AND THINGS ARE HAPPENING. IT IS TERRIFIC. TRANSCONA \$5 MILLION APPROVED. WONDERFUL. MAKES IT A LITTLE MORE AFFORDABLE. IT BRINGS THE NUMBER DOWN. IF THEY GET IT TO POP-UP FROM MANITOBA THAT IS TRULY AFFORDABLE PROBABLY. TOMORROW WE HAVE WINNIPEG HOUSING BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S MEETING. WE NEED TO, MADAM SPEAKER, TO PUSH

BACK ON THIS FILE. HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND INITIATIVES ANNUAL REPORT IS NOW HERE IN THE MIDDLE OF FEDERAL ELECTION. TO MAKE THIS AN ISSUE DURING THE FEDERAL ELECTION. CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG, NEVER MIND REST OF THE COUNTRY HAVE RIGHT TO KNOW WHAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS DOING. THE PRIME MINISTER WHAT HE WOULD DO. YOU WANT TO MAKE HOUSING AFFORDABLE? DO WHAT OTHER WESTERN DEMOCRACIES DO, ISRAEL, FRANCE, ITALY, GERMANY. THEY FUND THEM. THEY BUILD THEM. BUY THE LAND AND BUILD IT. DON'T DICTATE THOUSAND SHALL DO THAT. THAT IS WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS DONE IN CANADA. DEMEANING LOCAL GOVERNMENT. FAR MORE DEMOCRATIC THAN HOUSE COMMONS HAS BEEN OR WILL BE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: I WISH IT WASN'T THE CASE. WHY WOULD I THINK THAT? DISPROPORTIONATE. WHY IS IT COUNCILLOR WYATT MAKES AN EXCELLENT SPEECH THAT IS IMPORTANT. HE MAKES POINT THAT I HAVEN'T HEARD BEFORE OR PUTS THEM INTO PERSPECTIVE. THAT IS TRUE. YET WHY IS HE THE ONE THAT SAID IT? WHY HAVEN'T I HEARD IT SAID LIKE THAT. HERE AND THERE PEOPLE GET IT. HE NAILED IT. PEOPLES FRUSTRATION, FEARS, MISUNDERSTANDINGS. THEY DON'T UNDERSTAND. WHY IS IT DONE THIS WAY. WHY IS IT DONE THIS WAY IN OTHER COUNTRIES? THEY DON'T DE REGULATE. THEY TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR WORDS BY PUTTING THEIR OWN MONEY WHERE THEIR MOUTH IS. NOT DE REGULATING AND SAY WE ARE NOT TAKING RESPONSIBILITY. WE WILL TAX THOSE HAVING THE HARDEST TIME. ONE COULD ARGUE BETTING INFLATION. FACE IT. I TALKED ABOUT THIS IN THE CHAMBER BEFORE. LET'S NOT PRETEND THIS ISN'T VERY IMPORTANT. OF COURSE, IT IS VERY IMPORTANT. IT IS RELATED OBVIOUSLY TO CONSTRUCTION INFLATION. WHY WOULD I TALK ABOUT IT FOR 10 YEARS IF IT WASN'T IMPORTANT? ARE WE SURPRISED IT COMES TO THIS POINT IN SOCIETY. IT IS HARDER TO BUY A HOUSE? NOT JUST DUE TO CONSTRUCTION INFLATION. IT IS DUE TO ALL OF THE NATURE OF THE MARKETS. HE MENTIONED THINGS THAT WE BETTER TAKE HEED OF. IN OTHER COUNTRIES THEY DON'T TAX YOU WHILE THEY ARE DE REGULATING AND SAY OTHER PEOPLE ARE TAKING RESPONSIBILITY. WE WILL SEE IN FIVE YEARS IF THESE HOUSES WERE MORE AFFORDABLE. THEY BUILD IT THEMSELVES. GUESS WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THEY DO THAT? YOU HAVE GREATER ACCOUNTABILITY TO TAXPAYERS AND GET LESS INFLATION FOR THE MOST PART. THAT IS AMAZING, LESS INFLATION. NOT WHAT WE ARE TOLD IN THIS COUNTRY. THAT IS NOT HOW WE DO IT. HE HAS TO SAY SOMETHING THE REST OF US ARE INSPIRED TO COMMENT. AT LEAST SAY THANK YOU. WHY CAN'T I HEAR THAT 15 TIMES. WHAT IS WRONG WITH SAYING WHAT HE JUST SAID? HE MENTIONED HOW THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH WE ARE TOLD TO DEREGULATE THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS HERE IN WINNIPEG AND IN OTHER MUNICIPALITIES WHERE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BYPASSED PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS WHICH THE CITIES ARE CHARTERS OF. DIVIDE AND CONQUER. IF YOU WANT THIS MONEY COMPETE AGAINST EACH OTHER, CITIES, NOT PROVINCES THAT TALK TO EACH OTHER IN AN ORGANIZED BASIS. WITH THE CITIES SAYING IF YOU DON'T DO IT THAT WAY THAT MUNICIPALITY GETS THE MONEY. THAT IS WHAT IS BEING SAID EXACTLY. YOU KNOW, THE LIBERAL GOVERNING PARTY IS BIG TENT PARTY. MAYBE THE LEFT AND RIGHT HAND ARE FAR APART. ONE HAND DOESN'T KNOW WHAT THE OTHER HAND IS DOING. THAT CAN HAPPEN IN GOVERNING PARTY OVER TIME. THEREFORE WE HAVE HEARD AND I HEARD HERE THAT EVEN THOUGH THE FEDERAL MINISTER SAYS THESE ARE THE CONDITIONS. YOU NEED TO REDUCE YOUR DEMOCRATIC CONSULTANT PROCESS THROUGH THE COUNCIL, THROUGH THE COUNCILLORS. YOU ARE IN A BETTER POSITION TO GET THE MONEY. LOCAL

MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT FROM MANITOBA SAY THAT IS NOT TRUE. WE HAVE NEVER SEEN THEM IN THE SAME ROOM TALK IT OUT. THERE YOU GO. YOU HAVE THIS DICHOTOMY THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH WE ARE GETTING THIS FUNDING. WHY WE HAVE DONE MORE IN ONE YEAR IN LESS THAN ONE YEAR TO CHANGE THE PROPERTY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY OF THIS CITY FOR TEMPORARY ERA OF A FEW HUNDRED MILLION DOLLARS. HOW MANY HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS? SORRY. \$60 MILLION WE HAVE DONE THE GREATEST CHANGE IN THE CHANGE OF THE ROLE OF CONSULTATION IN THE HISTORY OF THE CITY IN THE 150 YEARS, SOMETHING LIKE THAT FOR \$60 MILLION. IT IS SOMETHING FOR HISTORIANS TO LOOK AT AND MARVEL, THE IMPACT OF ONE ERA ON WHAT COULD BE A VERY LONG ERA. CHANGE THE RULES AND CHANGE THEM BACK IN THAT IS NOT FAIR. CHANGE THE RULES IN THE MIDDLE OF THE GAME. MEANWHILE WE ARE TOLD TO CHANGE RULES TO GET MONEY. MONEY IS TEMPORARY. CHANGING OF THE RULES IS PERMANENT? ANYWAY. EVAN DUNCAN SAYS WHEN ASKED HOW LONG WILL THE CHANGE LAST? LAST UNTIL THEY LAST IS WHAT HE SAID ON RECORD ON VIDEO. THEY LAST UNTIL THEY LAST. WE WILL DEAL WITH THIS IN FIVE YEARS FROM NOW. GOOD POINT. WE DON'T KNOW HOW LONG THEY ARE GOING TO LAST. THAT IS THE QUESTION TO DEAL WITH. WE ASK TO MAKE MAJOR CHANGES TO HOW WE RUN THE CITY WHEN IT COMES TO DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING FOR THE SAKE OF VERY TEMPORARY ERA OF INVESTMENT. WHY SHOULD WE EXPECT THOSE CHANGES TO BE PERMANENT OR LAST A DECADE? IT IS THE DEEPLY AFFORDABLE ASPECT OF THIS DEVELOPMENT REALLY GOING TO LAST A LONG TIME? I DON'T THINK SO. AGAIN, JUDGE ME AGAIN. WATCH MY PREDICTIONS. I HAVE BEEN HERE 10 YEARS TALKING ABOUT THIS. YOU CAN WATCH WHAT WAS SAID 10 YEARS AGO. JUDGE ME NOW CONCERNS ABOUT COST INCREASES, CONSTRUCTION AND INFRASTRUCTURE AND RELATIVE INFLATION. IT IS GOING TO HIT FOOD. WE HAVE BEEN USES FALSE STATISTICS FOR FOOD. FOOD INFLATION IS HAPPENING. YOU GET TO A CERTAIN POINT AND IT IS MORE NOTICEABLE, MORE PAINFUL. MORE PEOPLE ARE SUFFERING. MORE EVIDENT. FOOD INFLATION WAS HAPPENING 10 YEARS AGO. WE HAD WAYS TO MASK WITH STATISTICS. I SHOULD BE ABLE TO EXPLAIN BETTER NOW. UNFORTUNATELY PEOPLE EXPLAINED IT TO ME MUCH BETTER. THINGS ARE GETTING WORSE. IT SCARES ME TO THINK WE CAN BLAME IT ON TARIFF WAR WITH UNITED STATES. IT WAS ALREADY HAPPENING. THESE 25% TARIFFS IS THREE YEARS OF INFLATION. TALKING ABOUT TARIFFS EVERY DAY IN THE NEWSPAPER. WHY DON'T WE TALK ABOUT THREE YEARS WHAT STANDARD INFLATION HAS DONE TO THE COST OF THINGS TO CANADIANS. ABOUT THE SAME THING. WE DON'T. WE ARE MISSING OUT ON COMMUNICATION. AT LEAST COUNCILLOR WYATT GAVE US A LESSON WHAT THEY DO IN OTHER COUNTRIES TO DEAL WITH UNAFFORDABLE HOUSING. WE BET ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING BY THROWING MONEY AT IT TO ALLOW COSTS TO GO UP. NO MARKET RESPONSIBILITY TO KEEP COSTS DOWN. WE WILL SAY TAX THE PEOPLE SUFFERING THE MOST. MIDDLE CLASS, LOWER MIDDLE CLASS WHO HAS THE TAXES UP THE MOST. LET THEM PAY FOR THE SUBSIDY. THAT IS WHERE WE ARE AT, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: WARMED UP FOR LATER SPEECH ABOUT THE FOURPLEXES. WHAT DOES THIS REPORT TELL US? A COUPLE THINGS. NUMBER ONE, AS OF DECEMBER WE HAD ALMOST MET THE TARGET FOR WHAT IS DEFINED AS MISSING MIDDLE HOUSING. TWO TO FOUR UNITS. WE SURPASSED THAT AS COUNCILLOR GILROY POINTED OUT. MR. MAHES REPORTS CONFIRM THAT. CITY NOW HAS GENERATED 1,124 UNITS. TARGET WAS 1026. WE ARE 10% OVER TARGETS. 13 MONTHS OVER 36

MONTH EXERCISE. WHEN WE ARE MERE IN JUNE. NOBODY SAY WE HAVE TO SAY FOURPLEXES TO MEET THE MISSES MIDDLE. WE ARE OVER THE TARGET AND HAVEN'T PASSED THE FOURPLEX. THAT IS NOT A VALID REASON TO GO FORWARD WITH EXPANDED VERSION. WE WILL HAVE A VERSION ON THE DEBATE WHEN WE COME BACK IN JUNE. THANKS TO THE CLERKS WE ARE GOOD TO GO FOR A LOVELY WEEK JUNE 2-8 -- JUNE 2 TO 8. WE ARE OVER THE TARGET. IN JUNE WHERE WE ARE WELL BEHIND IS ON SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED THAT IS IN THE REPORT. IRONIC YOU MIGHT SAY WHY WOULD WE REZONE ALL AREAS FOR SINGLE FAMILY IF WE ARE BEHIND ON THE TARGET? SHOULD WE DO THAT. WE SHOULD MAINTAIN AFFORDABLE SINGLE FAMILY HOMES. WE WILL COME TO THAT IF WE NEED TO IN JUNE. WE ARE OVER THE TARGET. SECOND POINT. THROUGH THE REPORT COUPLE CONCLUSIONS OBVIOUS. ONE. ALL OF THE CONCERNS ABOUT AFFORDABILITY. BUILDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS VALID. DIRECTOR BORIS SAID WE HAVE AFFORDABLE HOUSING, RENTAL CRISIS IN THE CITY. ALL OF THAT WORK NONE HAS TO DO WITH FOURPLEX. THEY ARE LISTED, GOOD PROJECTS. WINNIPEG, SOUTHERN CHIEFS, ALL SORTS OF OTHER PROJECTS RECEIVING FUNDING. GOOD WORK BY MR. MAHA GETTING THE MONEY OUT THE DOOR TO THESE PROJECTS. THE AFFORDABILITY ARGUMENT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH WHAT WE ARE DEBATING IN JUNE. LET'S NOT CONFLATE THE TWO. IN JUNE IT IS A FEDERAL IM POSSESSION SAYING YOU HAVE TO DO FOUR AS OF RIGHT. NOT OUR STAFF CAME UP WITH THAT. NOT PROPOSED. THAT IS A PURE FEDERAL IMPOSITION. THIRDLY, AS YOU CAN TELL FROM THIS. THAT IS CITY-WIDE. NOT NEAR THE CORE, NOT IN THE MATURE NEIGHBORHOODS. WE HAD THAT DEBATE. MORE PEOPLE IN CERTAIN PARTS OF THE CITY WHERE POPULATION USED TO BE HIGHER. ALL OF THAT IS ABANDONED. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SAID NOR AS OF RIGHT. RECOMMENDING LOCAL AREA PLANS. FREEZING K AND J. A SEA OF MUD. THIS ISN'T INFILL IN THE MATURE COMMUNITIES. THAT IS WHAT THE GUIDELINES TALKED ABOUT IN 2021. SAGE CREEK, WAVERLY WEST, COURT AVENUE UP IN YOUR PART OF THE CITY, PARTS OF TRANSCONA IS COMING IN JUNE. CLEAR FROM THIS REPORT. FOR AS OF RIGHT ACROSS THE WHOLE CITY. IT IS ALSO AND COUNCILLOR BROWATY POINTED THIS OUT. NOT ABOUT INFRASTRUCTURE. WE HAD THAT VOTE. MONEY IS COMING ON INFRASTRUCTURE. THAT IS ALTAR GETTED. LOOK AT THE MAPS. NONE OF THAT RELATED TO FOURPLEXES OR WHERE THEY ARE GOING. TARGETED TO WHERE WE SHOULD BE TRYING TO DENSE FIALONG THE CORRIDORS. REPORT IS THOROUGH REPORTING ON THE PROGRESS THAT HAS BEEN MADE. WHY WE ARE BE HYPED BE IS NOT MISSING MIDDLE. IT IS UNITS BY RAPID TRANSIT AND SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED. WE HAVE TO DECIDE INIAN HOW WE WISH TO PROCEED WITH THAT. IT IS USEFUL TO LAY OUT WHAT IT IS COMING BEFORE US AND WE WILL HAVE THIS TALK IN MORE DETAIL IN A FEW MINUTES ABOUT THE NEED FOR MORE PUBLIC CONSULTATION. LET'S NOT START CONFLATING HOUSING NEEDS AND HOUSING. LET'S BE HONEST. WHAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS DEMANDING AND YOU CAN SAY I WAS TO CALL YOUR \$250 MILLION. ROUND UP TO 300. GO HIGHER. MONEY HAS NOT YET BEEN CONFIRMED RIDES ON FOR AS OF RIGHT. DEVIL IN THE DETAILS. WE WILL SPEND A WEEK SORTING IT OUT. SHOULD THE LOT BE 60, 65, LESS? DIFFERENT SIDE-BY-SIDE? DUPLEX VERSUS UP AND DOWN. ALL OF THIS WE HAVE TO SORT OUT. MR. VEATCH IS EARNING HIS PAY ANSWERING QUESTIONS. GOOD WORK THERE. THAT DEBATE IS NOW COMING. THIS REPORT IS THOROUGH AS FAR AS IT GOES. BE HONEST IN JUNE THAT NOT AFFORDABILITY. BETTER USE OF INFRASTRUCTURE, NOT MISSING MIDDLE. IT IS FOUND. WE ARE OVER THE TARGET FOR THOSE UNITS 13 MONTHS INTO 36 MONTH PROJECT. SOME SAY WHY ARE YOU WORRIED IN NEVERVEF TO BUILD. NO DEVELOPER IS BUILDING IT. DON'T SAY I AM JEOPARDIZING HOUSING? NO ONE IS GOING TO BUILD A FOURPLEX ANYWAY. THAT DOESN'T HANG TOGETHER. THE REPORT SAYS 6,000 MORE UNITS IN THE NEXT 10 YEARS. WE ARE DOING WELL WITH THE CURRENT

SEPTEMBER OF RULES. LET -- CURRENT SET OF RULES. NOR THINGS THE DEBATE IS NOT ABOUT. I WILL COME TO THAT WHEN WE DISCUSS THE MOTION THAT COUNCILLOR WYATT AND I PUT FORWARD.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. I DO WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE WORK OF OUR STAFF. I KNOW NOT EVERYONE IS IN THE 100% AGREEMENT. THE STAFF IS WORKING EXTREMELY HARD ON THIS INITIATIVE AND INITIATIVES AROUND IT. WE SEE IN THE REPORT IT TALKS ABOUT SEVERAL OF THE INITIATIVES. THERE ARE EIGHT LISTED THERE. I DRAW ATTENTION. THE TIME IS GOING. I WANT TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO LAND ENHANCEMENT OFFICE AND WORK THEY ARE DOING. I CAMPAIGNED ON IDENTIFYING AVAILABLE CITY LAND WE COLLECTIVELY COULD FIND WAY TO GET HOUSING DEVELOPED WHEN WE NEED HOUSING. WE TALKED ABOUT IN THREE YEARS WE HAVE GROWN 71,000 PEOPLE. PRESSURE ON NEEDING MORE HOUSING IS NOT TORONTO OR VANCOUVER ONLY MATTER. THE VACANCY RATE IS BELOW 2%. NOT ENOUGH UNITS AVAILABLE. WITH SURPLUS LAND AVAILABLE WE CAN AND I CREDIT EVERYONE WHO SUPPORTED IT. MAKE A DECISION TO PUT THAT LAND INTO CIRCULATION AND MAKE IT AVAILABLE TO GET HOUSING UNITS BUILT. LAND ENHANCEMENT OFFICE IS DOING GREAT WORK. THEY ISSUED EXPRESSIONS OF INTEREST INVITING DEVELOPERS TO PARTNER WITH WINNIPEG TO GET HOUSING BUILT. I WANT TO THANK THEM FOR THAT. COUNCILLOR MAYES DID REFERENCE APPENDIX 2 WHICH LISTED THE PROJECT WE HAVE FUNDED TO GET HOUSING UNITS BUILT. THE HOPE THIS YEAR IS IN 2025 WE WOULD SEE A LOT OF SHOVELS BREAKING GROUND, HAMMERS PUTTING UP FRAMES FOR HOUSING. CONCRETE POURED, DRYWALL GOING UP SO GET UNITS BUILT. ONLY WAY THAT IS POSSIBLE IF THE STAFF HAS DONE THE HARD WORK NOT ONLY IN PLANNING BUT OTHER DEPARTMENTS AS WELL TO GET APPROVALS ACCOMPLISHED TO GET THESE DEVELOPMENTS APPROVED. GOOD REPORT. MORE TO COME. SOMETHING I STATED ON THE FLOOR OF COUNCIL BEFORE. COUNCILLOR WYATT REFERENCED IT. THE CURRENT FEDERAL LIBERAL GOVERNMENT, CURRENT CONSERVATIVE OPPOSITION, LEADERS IN THE PAST AND RECENT MONTHS HAVE SAID SIMILAR THINGS. SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT BUT SIMILAR. THEY ARE REQUIRING MUNICIPALITIES TO GET HOUSING BUILT AND BUILD IT FASTER. RIGHT NOW THERE IS A CHANGE WHERE ONE OR BOTH OF THOSE PARTIES CHANGES POLICY THERE WILL BE PRESSURE ON CITIES AND MUNICIPALITIES TO GET HOUSING BUILT. THIS IS ONE OF THE TOOLS WE ARE USING TO GET THAT DONE.

MADAM SPEAKER: CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM 10. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. COUNCILLOR WYATT IN OPPOSITION. ITEM 13, MR. CLERK.

CLERK: PERMIT DASHBOARD.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

MADAM SPEAKER: STEPPED DOWN BY COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THAT'S CORRECT. I LOOK FORWARD FOR THE SUBMISSION YOU BROUGHT FORWARD BY THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. MADAM SPEAKER, THERE IS DEFINITELY A NEED. I HAD A GOOD DISCUSSION WITH THE CHAIR OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE RECENTLY ABOUT THIS MATTER. I HOPE THAT WE CAN CONTINUE TO HAVE THE DIALOGUE SO AS TO DEVELOP THE STANDARDS THAT WE WANT TO BRING INTO EFFECT PERTAINING TO NOT JUST, I THINK OUR CONVERSATION INVOLVED APPLICATIONS, DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS. NO DIFFERENT WITH PERMITS IN MANY WAYS. IT HAS DIRECT IMPACT ON ABILITY FOR BUSINESSES TO PULL TO MAKE ACTIVITY HAPPEN IN A TIMELY MANNER. ONE OF THE MOST CHALLENGING PARTS OF THIS PROCESS HAS BEEN TWO FOLD. ONE WITH THE LEGISLATION THE PROVINCE BROUGHT DOWN REQUIRED US TO MEET AT CERTAIN TIMEFRAMES. A LOT OF DEBATE IN THE CHAMBER WHAT IS A COMPLETE APPLICATION? WHEN THE CLOCKER STARTS TICKING BASED ON THE LEGISLATION. THAT CAUSED SOME CONCERN IN RESPECT THE DEVELOPMENT INDUSTRY AND BUILDING INDUSTRY AND IN TERMS OF PERMITTING PROCESS ITSELF. I DON'T POINT ANY FINGERS AT THE DEPARTMENT. IT IS FUNCTION OF RESOURCES OR LACK THEREOF. I WANTED TO PUT THESE FACTS ON THE RECORD. I THINK WE ARE ALL SUPPOSED TO BE ON THE SAME TEAM. I THINK STAFF DO AMAZING JOB WITH RESOURCES THEY HAVE. I DO BELIEVE THAT WE COULD DO MUCH MORE IF WE HAD EVERYBODY BACK IN THE OFFICE NOT WORKING FROM HOME. THAT IS MY PERSONAL OPINION. I HAVE HAMMERED ON THAT ISSUE. THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR EADIE, YOU ARE NEXT.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I WANT TO THANK CHAIRPERSON DUNCAN FOR BRINGING THIS FORWARD. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT. MY OFFICE GETS MANY CALLS. I KNOW THAT ZONING AND PERMITS AND STAFF THERE WORK HARD AT TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH REALLY ASSISTING IN THE GOALS OF THE DEVELOPERS AND BUILDERS OUT THERE. IT CAN BE DIFFICULT IN DEALING WITH PERMITS AND SUCH WHEN YOU ARE TRYING TO DEVELOP AND BUILD IN FOR EXAMPLE IN MY WARD IN CERTAIN AREAS IT CAN BE QUITE DIFFICULT. I THINK HAVING THE DASHBOARD SO WE KNOW HOW THINGS ARE GOING WE NEED TO KNOW, MADAM SPEAKER, HOW WE CAN SUPPORT OUR STAFF WHO ARE TRYING TO GET THINGS DONE FOLLOWING ALL OF THE STANDARDS AND RULES THAT WE DO HAVE WHEN IT COMES TO BUILDING AND BUILDING CODE AND DEVELOPMENT CAN BE VERY DIFFICULT. WE NEED THIS DONE. THANKS TO THE CHAIRPERSON OF PROPERTY APDEVELOPMENT. THIS IS GOING TO MOVE FORWARD. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR DUNCAN FIRST.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WILL BE VERY BRIEF. FEEDBACK I DID GET JUST AROUND ABILITY OF DEPARTMENT TO DO THIS TYPE OF WORK WHEN IT COMES TO DEVELOPMENT PERMITS AND KNOWLEDGE PERMITS UNDER RESTRICTION GOES WITH STAFFING LEVEL. I HEARD THIS IN MY TWO YEARS ON BUDGET AND STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE FOR PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT. AS CHAIR THIS REFLECTS THAT LOUD AND CLEAR. WE NEED SUPPORT OF THIS DATA. TO SEVERAL COUNCILLORS AROUND THE TABLE WHO SAY WE NEED MORE TRANSPARENCY. WE NEED ALL OF THIS UP FRONT. I AGREE WITH THEM. LET'S SHOW WHERE THE DEPARTMENT IS RIGHT NOW WITH RESOURCES. WHEN IT COMES TO TIMING AND DELIVERING FLOOR DEVELOPMENT WE CAN HAVE A FULLY TRANSPARENT PROCESSES AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC. AROUND THIS TABLE FULLY INFORMED

DISCUSSION ON EXACTLY WHAT THE NEEDS ARE OF THE DEPARTMENT. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. BACK IN TIME. BACK TO 2016 WHEN I WAS CHAIR, 2026. WE DID COMPREHENSIVE FEE REVIEW THAT SAID IN 2016 WAS THE LAST ONE AND THEN THEY DID ANOTHER ONE FOR 2024. EIGHT YEARS SINCE THE LAST COMPREHENSIVE FEE REVIEW. ONE OF THE FIRST RECOMMENDATIONS WAS DON'T WAIT 8 YEARS. DON'T WAIT SO LONG. WE WENT THROUGH THAT SYSTEM. WE INCREASED PERMIT FEES FOR SOME AREAS. WE BASED IT UPON AND THIS IS COUNCIL MOTION WHICH WE HAVE NOW LOST AFTER THAT BUDGET. PERMITS NEED TO BE COST NEUTRAL. WE ARE NOT HERE TO FUND DEVELOPERS ON THE PERMITS. WE HAVE TO WORK ON IT. WHATEVER THE WOULD BE BORNE BY DEVELOPER NOT BY THE CITY. DISCUSSION IS WHAT IS BORNE BY -- WHAT IS THE COST? A GROUP WAS SET UP. NOW THEY ARE GONE. INDUSTRY PLAYERS WITH CITY STAFF TO MONITOR PERMITS ON REGULAR BASIS. WHAT HAPPENED TO THAT GROUP? I HAD NO IDEA IT EXISTED. WHY? I DON'T KNOW. WE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY. STILL MAY HAVE IT BEFORE THE NEXT FEDERAL ELECTION. I HAVE SEEN HOUSING. TALK ABOUT THE LAST ROUND. SOME OF THE PROMISES THE PARTIES ARE DOING IS EVEN MORE. MY FEAR AND I HAVE HEARD PEOPLE TALK ABOUT IT AGAIN. OKAY. WE WILL USE PUBLIC DOLLARS, TAXPAYER DOLLARS. WE WANT TO FUND PERMITS FOR DEVELOPERS. DEVELOPERS GENERATE NEW TAX REVENUE TO TRICKLE DOWN ECONOMICS TO ALL OF US AND BE LESS THAN HAPPY. AGAIN, IF THAT IS THE CHANGE. I BATTLED THIS ON THE BUDGET AS WELL. THEY ARE GETTING LESS PERMITS WITH MORE MONEY. I DID NOT UNDERSTAND THAT LOGIC. SHOULD WE REVIEW PERMIT SCHEDULES? I DON'T THINK ATMOSPHERE IS HERE. WE DON'T WANT TO GET IN WAY OF DEVELOPERS TO DECREASE HOUSING PRICES OR PROFITS, DEPENDS WHERE THEY PUT SAVINGS. WE ARE GOING DOWN THIS PATH. I AM RAISING TODAY BIG SCARE WITH LIMITED MONEY, PARKS FALLING APART, CAN'T AFFORD ANYTHING. UNDER THE GUISE OF MORE HOUSING. WE WILL CONTINUE TO TAKE THIS SHORTFALL STAFFING. LESS PERMITS NOW AND GOING FORWARD ALL PUBLIC HEARINGS FOR MISSING MIDDLE ARE GONE. WE HAVE SAME STAFF BUT INCREASE STAFF. WELL, LESS WORK MAYBE THE STAFFING LEVEL SHOULD GO DOWN. THAT MAY BE A WAY TO DO THIS. EVERY TIME I MENTION THAT IT IS LIKE I SAID THE MOST EVIL THING IN THE PLANET? SOME DISCONNECT GOING ON. FEES NEED TO INCREASE. THAT MAY BE AN OPTION. SOMEONE OF THAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN. WHAT I BELIEVE IS THAT WE ARE DIGGING DEEP. AFFORDABLE HOUSING, NOT AFFORDABLE HOUSING. MAYOR IS CORRECT. WONDERFUL PROJECTS. SOME MORE CONTROVERSIAL THAN OWES. DO I THINK MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS COMING OUR WAY? NO MORE FUNDING. REAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING REQUIRES FUNDING FROM THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT. I AM PLEASED WE DID THAT FIRST PORTION. NOW GOING WITH THE NEXT SECTION AND BUDGET. I RAISE UP TODAY WE NEED TO HAVE A COMPREHENSIVE FEE REVIEW. DO THIS SOONER THAN LATER BEFORE NEW PARTIES SAY NO MORE GUIDELINES. YOU CAN'T TELL THEM TO PLANT A TREE. YOU CAN'T TELL THEM TO DO ANYTHING. YOU HAVE GOT TO SAY AULAND IS YOUR LAND YOU. WE HAVE DESIGN GUIDELINES, YOU NEED TWO DOTS GONE. PERMIT FEES. YOU CAN'T RATE. GONE. PERMIT CHARGES. THAT IS GONE. WE WILL BE HOUSING IN THIS CASE UNLESS WE LOOK AT WHAT ROLE THE PAD DEPARTMENT IS DOING AND WHAT IS HAPPENING THERE. WE CAN'T TAKE LAZY APPROACH AND GIVE THEM PUBLIC MONEY. WE DON'T HAVE MONEY. DEVELOPERS ARE THERE TO BUILD HOUSES TO MAKE MONEY. THAT IS A BUSINESS. WHO DOESN'T MAKE MONEY? THE POCKET PARK. THAT IS WHERE THE CITY LIES. WE RELY ON WHAT THEY

ARE GOING TO FUND. IF THE IT IS FOR PROFIT WE SHOULD NOT FUND THEM. THE COMPREHENSIVE FEE REVIEW IS EIGHT YEARS BEHIND. WE DON'T HAVE A COMMITTEE WITH INDUSTRY WORKING ON THIS. VERY TERRIFYING. WHAT I SEE HAPPENING. \$2 MILLION THIS YEAR OUT OF PUBLIC PURSE FOR PERMITS. EVEN THOUGH PERMIT LEVELS WITH HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. THEY WILL DROP. WE SHOULD HAVE THE STAFFING TO DO PROPER WORK. I RAISE THIS AS A CONCERN. MAYBE TO BRING AWARENESS WHAT IS HAPPENING. I ALSO WANT TO SAY I HAD GOOD CONVERSATION WITH COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. REDUCING STAFFING LEVEL? HE DIDN'T DO THAT. HE WOULD SAY I DON'T KNOW. HE LOOKED INTO IT. WHEN I WAS THERE. I KNOW DEVELOPERS SAY YOU CHARGE TOO MUCH. YOU WEREN'T HERE. IT IS A TIF. IF IT WASN'T FOR YOU WE NEED TO CLEAN THE MONEY. TAKE PROPORTIONAL VALUE. I AM NOT SURE IF THERE IS COMPREHENSIVE FEE REVIEW. WE ARE ON THE PATH. TIE THE TIME IT SETTLED TOO LATE. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WILL INTERFERE TO DO THAT. WHAT HAPPENS IN THE END? PUBLIC HAS TO PAY FOR IT. TAXPAYERS SHOULD NOT PAY PRIVATE BUSINESS IF WE WORK. THEY SHOULD PAY AND IT SHOULD BE COST NEUTRAL. WE SHOULD LOOK AT THIS AGAIN. I APPRECIATE THAT. I WANT TO BRING THAT BACK TO THE PUBLIC.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU COLLEAGUES FOR COMMENTS AND FOCUS. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, FOR BRINGING THIS FORWARD. WE ALL WISE NEED TO BASE LINE AND DASHBOARD. I APPRECIATE DASHBOARDS WE HAVE ALREADY. WHERE WE CAN ENHANCE BASELINE AND STATUS AND IT IS IMPORTANT. CALL THE QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR OF ITEM 13. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. NEXT ITEM, MR. CLERK.

CLERK: 14. IMPLEMENTATION OF AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT 2024 QUARTER FOUR.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM:

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: WAIT TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUES.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I RISE TO POINT OUT A THOUGHT THAT IT IS ONE AREA YOU COULD CONSIDER BEEFING UP IN TERMS OF FUNDING. THERE IS A LOT OF AREAS. ONE AREA THAT WOULD CREATE POTENTIAL DIVIDENDS FOR YOU IN THE LONG RUN MORE THAN SHORT TERM. MAYBE EVEN SHORT TERM IS AUDIT DEPARTMENT. I HAVE ALWAYS FELT THAT IT WAS UNDER-UTILIZED IN THE PAST. I MET WITH AUDITORS IN THE PAST. THEY SAY WE HAVE SO MANY REQUESTS. SO MANY HOURS AND SO MANY AUDITORS. OTHER PART IS POSSIBLY TO ENHANCE THE SCOPE OF THE OFFICE. IE, TO UNDER LINE ISSUES, BEST PRACTICE IN INDUSTRY. WHATEVER THAT INDUSTRY MAY BE OR DEPARTMENT. BEST PRACTICES. NOT JUST FROM LOOKING AT THE NARROW FINANCIAL REIGNS. WHAT PROCESSES BETTER USED WHAT PROCESSES USED IN OTHER DEPARTMENTS? THAT RAISES THE POTENTIAL HEAD OF THE IDEA OF OPERATIONAL AUDIT. I WAS CHAIR OF FINANCE AND WE PROPOSED OPERATIONAL AUDIT ON POLICE AND PUBLIC WORKS. YOU KNOW, THE SKY DIDN'T FALL. WE CAME UP WITH VARIOUS

RECOMMENDATIONS. SOME WE ADOPTED. SOME WE DIDN'T. STRONG PUSH BACK FROM THE WINNIPEG PUBLIC POLICE SERVICE AT THE TIME ON SOME OF THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS. HOWEVER, HAVING SAID THAT IT WAS SOMETHING THAT I THINK SERVED US WELL. I LOOK AT THIS THINKING THAT MAY BE THERE IS A WAY TO OUT OF ALL DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS AND ASKS WE GET FOR MORE FUNDING. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT MAY BE SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION IN TERMS OF REVIEW WHAT RESOURCES THEY HAVE AND WHERE THEY COULD TAKE THEIR OFFICE TO IF THEY HAD A 10% BUMP OR 20% BUMP. WHAT WOULD THAT MEAN IN THE SCOPE OF THEIR WORK IN A GIVEN YEAR? LOOK AT REQUESTS AND OUTSTANDING AUDITS WE HAVE DONE THAT WE HAVEN'T FOLLOWED UP ON. ISSUES ON THE FLOOR BEFORE US TODAY. WE HAD DEBATE ON PERMITS. THAT IS SOMETHING THAT REALLY THE AUDITOR COULD BE WADING INTO IN TERMS OF RECOMMENDING BEST PRACTICES. TO START BRINGING MORE AUDITS FORWARD IN A FASTER NATURE AND MAYBE LOOK AT HOW THOSE REPORTS ARE SUBMITTED TO COUNCIL IN A MANNER WHICH IS UNDERSTANDABLE. THAT IS THE FIELD OF THE AUDITORS. WE WANT CLEAR RECOMMENDATIONS WITH BENCHMARKS AND WHEN ACHIEVED. FOLLOW UP THAT PIECE IS STRUGGLED WITH. WHEN I FOLLOW THE AUDIT REPORTS. I FOLLOW ALL OF THEM. THEY DRAG ON. A COUPLE THAT NEVER SEEN TO GET ADDRESSED. THEY ARE HANGING THERE. I THINK THAT IS THE CLOSURE THEY NEED TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO DO. INDEED THE HAM AMERICAN TO COME DOWN TO DOES SOMEWHAT IS GOING ON. MAYBE THEY WOULD GIVE MORE FREEDOM TO ISSUE THE REPORTS. IT KIND OF A THOUGHT WE DON'T WANT THAT COMING DOWN. THAT IS THE ACCOUNTABILITY. THAT IS NOT A BAD THING. THINGS I THINK WE COULD DO TO REALLY LOOK AT THEIR OFFICE. TO BE ASSISTANT CONSIDERING THE PRESSURE FINANCIALLY. SOME THOUGHTS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. I WILL CALL THE QUESTION ON THIS ITEM 14. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. NOW MOVING TO NOTICE OF MOTION. THE ONE FROM LAST MONTH MAYOR GILLINGHAM AND COUNCILLOR EADIE. WE HAVE MOTION ONE. I BELIEVE THAT IS WITHDRAWN?

COUNCILLOR EADIE: YES.

MADAM SPEAKER: MOTION ONE WITHDRAWN. ALL UNTIL FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. NOTICE OF MOTION. AMENDING MOTION 8 THAT IS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS AND SECONDED BY THE MAYOR.

CLERK: WELCOMING WINNIPEG POLICY REVIEW.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO INTRODUCE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WON'T TAKE A LONG TIME TO INTRODUCE. WE HAVE A WINNIPEG COUNCIL POLICY ADOPTED IN 2020. IN THE LAST TERM OF COUNCIL. INTENT WAS AND REMAINS GOOD AND RIGHT. IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY FORM A RECONCILIATION AT ITS HEART. WHAT THIS POLICY IS ALL ABOUT. CREDIT NEEDS TO GO TO INDIGENOUS RELATIONS DIVISION AND ALL WORK THEY HAVE DONE IN THE PAST FIVE YEAR COUNTY. COMMITTEE OF COMMUNITY MEMBERS WHO HAVE DONE A LOT OF WORK IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS. THIS IS MY PERSPECTIVE. IN TALKING TO OTHERS, COUNCIL MEMBERS AND MEMBERS OF IRD AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS. SOMEWHERE ALONG THE WAY. IT IS RESULTING TOO OFTEN IN FRICTION. IT IS A MISUNDERSTANDING. IT HAS BECOME SOMETHING NOBODY REALLY

INTENDED IT TO BE. THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO BRING THINGS BACK ON TRACK TO GET VERY MUCH UNITE IF POSSIBLE WHERE COUNCIL UNDERSTANDS THE WORK OF WELCOME WINNIPEG AND IRD. ALL OF US TOGETHER CAN ACHIEVE GOALS OF RECONCILIATION. CAN ACHIEVE DESIRED OUTCOME OF RESOLVES OF INDIGENOUS EXPERIENCES WITH IN PLACE NAMING OF OPPORTUNITIES IN THE CITY. A COUPLE OF HIGHLIGHTS OF SUCCESS SET. IT WAS POSSIBLE BECAUSE COUNCIL AND COMMUNITY AND IRD WORKED TOGETHER. IF YOU HAVE BEEN TO THE ST. JAMES PLAIN CRASH MEMORIAL. IT IS A -- PLANE CRASH. IT RECOGNIZES THE 1972 PLANE CRASH THEY WERE RETURNING TO SCHOOL. DIED ALONG WITH THE PILOT IN THE CRASH. THAT MEMORIAL WAS THE RESULT OF SHARED EFFORT. I WANT TO CREDIT THE MUSEUM FOR INITIATING THAT ROYAL CANADIAN MUSEUM. I WAS THERE. OTHERS WERE THERE AT THE CEREMONY WHERE WE UNVEILED THAT MEMORIAL. IT WAS THE WORK OF COMMUNITY MEMBERS. IRD. THE CREMATION COMMUNITY MEMBERS. WESTERN AVIATION CANADIAN MUSEUM MEMBERS. OUR OWN COUNCILLORS WERE THERE AS WELL. THAT IS WHAT WORKS. WHEN IT WORKS WHEN WE ALL COME TOGETHER TO SAY THIS PLACE, SPACE SHOULD RECOGNIZE INDIGENOUS HISTORY WHICH IS WINNIPEG HISTORY. ALL OF OUR HISTORY. THE AVENUE IS A SHINING EXAMPLE WHAT CAN BE AND THE RESULT OF WORKING TOGETHER. THOSE SUCCESSES WE WANT TO CONTINUE TO PURSUE. AT THE SAME TIME NOT BURDEN THIS COMMITTEE WITH OTHER WORK THAT IS NOT RELATED TO INDIGENOUS NAMING AND RENAMING. FINDING AN AVENUE WHERE WE CAN EXPRESS THAT. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. SHE IS GOING TO INTRODUCE THE MOTION AND I WILL SUPPORT IT. IT BRINGS CLARITY TO AND MORE DEFINITION TO WHAT COUNCILLOR EADIE AND I INTENDED WITH ORIGINAL MOTION. I WILL SUPPORT HER AMENDMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS THE ITEM AND YOUR AMENDING MOTION.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, SPEAKER. I WANT TO ECHO THE MAYOR'S STATEMENTS ON THE WELCOMING WINNIPEG COMMITTEE. I WANT TO THANK HIM AND COUNCILLOR EADIE FOR BEING AMENABLE TO AN AMENDMENT. THE WELCOMING WINNIPEG POLICY RECONCILING OUR HISTORY IS REALLY EXAMPLE HOW WE CAN SUPPORT CALL TO ACTION 43, UNITED NATIONS DECLARATION OF RIGHT TO INDIGENOUS PEOPLES. RECONCILING OUR ALLIANCE WITH TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION COMMISSION. CALL TO ACTION 43. ARTICLES 50 AND 6. THE INDIGENOUS PEOPLE'S HAVING RIGHT TO DIGNITY AND DIVERSITY OF CULTURE, HISTORIES AND ASPIRATIONS. REFLECTED IN EDUCATION AND PUBLIC INFORMATION. WE SHOULD TAKE EFFECTIVE MEASURES IN CONSULTATION AND COOPERATION WITH INDIGENOUS PEOPLE CONCERNED TO COMBAT PREJUDICE, ELIMINATE DISCRIMINATE. PROMOTE TOLERANCE AND UNDERSTAND INDIGENOUS PEOPLE. THIS POLICY AND IT IS ONE THAT WE MUST WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THIS HARKEN BACK TO MAYOR BOWMAN'S ERA AND SUPPORT RECONCILIATION TO REVITALIZE THE INDIGENOUS HISTORICAL SITES AND THE CREMATION SITE IN ST. JAMES THAT I BELIEVE THE ST. JAMES COUNCIL WARD ALLOCATION WENT BE TOWARDS IN PARTNERSHIP AND I HOPE THAT COUNCILLOR DOBSON WILL SPEAK ABOUT THIS WITH RESPECT TO THE WORD ALLOCATION IN HIS CAPACITY AS THE LIAISON TO THE MILITARY ON BEHALF OF COUNCIL. THAT IS DEEP WORK, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT WAS DONE WITH NOT ONLY WELCOMING WINNIPEG BUT IRD AND MY FRIEND SINCLAIR. SHEILA NORTH WILSON AND MORE. WE KNOW THERE HAS BEEN WELCOMING WINNIPEG WAS NOT DEVELOPED AS ONE SHOE OR ONE SIZE FITS ALL MODEL. IT HAS A POLICY PURPOSE. THIS AMENDMENT, MADAM SPEAKER, IS TO REAL ALIGN WITH THAT PURPOSE. RESOLVING ABSENCE OF INDIGENOUS EXPERIENCE PERSPECTIVES AND CONTRIBUTIONS IN WORDS OF

DR. AARON MILLIONS AND POLICY. IT IS GOOD, RECOGNIZED. WE ARE NOT BRAGGERS IN WINNIPEG. THIS HAS BEEN BRAGGED ABOUT INTERNATIONALLY. LOOKED AT INTERNATIONALLY. YOU KNOW, IN WINNIPEG WE ARE NOT WINNIPEG FAMOUS. THAT IS NOT OUR WAY, MADAM SPEAKER. WE ARE MODEST CREATURES. MADAM SPEAKER, AS THE HUMAN RIGHTS CHAIR, I WILL SAY THAT THIS IS THE WE ARE HUMAN RIGHTS CITY LEANING INTO THAT, IT IS THIS POLICY THAT WOULD BE A FEATURE OF OUR INTERNATIONAL DISCUSSIONS. I DO HAVE AMBITIONS FOR THOSE DISCUSSIONS, MADAM SPEAKER. I WOULD LIKE INTEGRITY NOW. WHAT REVIEW OF MARILYN FAIR DOES SHOW THERE ARE OTHER POLICIES THAT AREN'T WINNIPEG POLICIES THAT ALSO NEED TO BE CONSIDERED. THIS MOTION DOES THAT AS WELL. A WHOLE HOST OF ONES IN COMMUNITY SERVICES, PUBLIC WORKS INVOLVED WITH NAMING OF THINGS. THIS SORT OF REORGANIZATIONS AMENDMENT BE FROM COUNCILLOR EADIE AND MAYOR GILLINGHAM. IT REALLY DOES BOTH THINGS. IT JUST REORGANIZES A LITTLE. IN THE MAIN IT KEEPS INTEGRITY OF WELCOMING WINNIPEG POLICY. IT POINTS TO AND I WANT TO THANK MARILYN FAIR. WE DID SPEND TIME TALKING TO HER ABOUT THE NAMING OF THINGS. WHETHER WITHIN THE WINNIPEG POLICY OR WITH OTHER POLICIES WE WERE CUSTOMED TO PRIOR TO THAT DATE. THE REPORT THAT SHE WROTE DOES GIVE RISE TO POINTING AT OTHER THINGS. WITH THAT I WILL INTRODUCE THE MOTION AND I GUESS I WILL HAVE SOME TIME TO CLOSE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WILL BE SUPPORTING THE AMENDMENT. PRESENTED BY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. IT FOLLOWS INTENTION OF THE MAYOR AND I. HOWEVER, MADAM SPEAKER, YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR FROM ME THAT MAY BE, WELL, OVER TO LAST NUMBER OF YEARS THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF DIFFICULTY RELATED TO WELCOME WINNIPEG COMMITTEE DOING ITS WORK. INDIGENOUS RELATIONS AND WHAT HAPPENED IN HISTORY THE TRUTH WHICH HURTS AND SOME PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHY THE TRUTH SHOULD HURT THEM TODAY BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T THOSE PEOPLE WHO CAME INTO THIS COUNTRY AND COLONIZED AND DID THOSE AWFUL THINGS TO THE INDIGENOUS PEOPLE OF THIS LAND CALLED TURTLE ISLAND, ACTUALLY. THERE WERE NO COUNTRY BOUNDARIES. THERE WERE TREATIES BETWEEN VARIOUS INDIGENOUS NATIONS, MADAM SPEAKER, BEFORE WE CAME ALONG. HOW DO YOU RECONCILE. I HEARD A SPEECH TODAY THAT CONCERNED ME. I UNDERSTAND IT. I AM NOT CRITICIZING A COMMENT I HEARD COLONIZERS GET USED TO HEARING NO. I AM OKAY WITH THAT. THOUGH IS NOT A WAY TO RECONCILIATION. IT IS NOT, MADAM SPEAKER. IT IS IN A WAY IT IS KIND OF LIKE WE WERE HURT, MAYBE YOU SHOULD FEEL HURT. AGAIN, THAT IS NOT A WAY TO RECONCILE MOVING FORWARD TOGETHER IN THE FUTURE. THERE IS GOING TO BE MORE CONSIDERATION TO HOW THIS POLICY FOR WELCOMING WINNIPEG COMMITTEE WORKS AND INDIGENOUS RELATIONS. IT WILL BE INVOLVED AND THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT. I THINK WE WENT ABOUT THIS THE WRONG WAY RIGHT FROM THE BEGINNING IN TERMS OF WELCOMING WINNIPEG AND RENAMING THE PLACES, ENTITIES OR WHATEVER WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THAT ARE IDERS OF THIS LAND H.-- THAT ARE THE IDENTIFIERS OF THE LAND. WE HAVE A LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT, MADAM SPEAKER. WHAT WE NEED TO DO IS EMPOWER WELCOMING WINNIPEG COMMITTEE TO LOOK AT ALL OF THE CITY'S OWNED ASSETS WHERE WE HAVE AN ABILITY TO HAVE AN INFLUENCE, MADAM SPEAKER. LOOK AT THAT AND LOOK AT THE HISTORY. READ THE BOOKS. WHAT THE ORANGE MEN DID TO THE PEOPLE OF THIS AREA WAS AWFUL. AND I HATE TO SAY I AM A DISCENDENT, MADAM SPEAKER. WE NEED TO EMPOWER THE INDIGENOUS PEOPLE HERE IN WINNIPEG TO LOOK AT THAT FACTUAL

TRUTHFUL HISTORY AND LOOK AT THE PLACES THAT THEY CAN NAME SO THAT WE ALL WINNIPEGGERS NO MATTER YOUR RACE, YOUR GENDER, NO MATTER YOUR HOW YOU SEE YOURSELF, YOUR DISABILITY, WHATEVER IT IS, MADAM SPEAKER, WE NEED FOR THE FUTURE FOR THE TRUTH TO BE KNOWN. THAT IS WHAT I HEARD. FROM THE PRESENTERS TODAY. THAT IS WHAT I HEARD ACTUALLY FROM THE WHOLE ISSUE AROUND THE LEMAY FOREST, FRANKLY. I THINK THAT MAY BE, HOPEFULLY, AS THIS GOES FORWARD WE HAVE A CONSULTANT. MAYBE WE COULD LOOK AT IT THAT WAY. MAYBE WE CAN LOOK AT PROVIDING A BUDGET FOR THEM TO UNDERTAKE THAT WORK. I DON'T WANT TO SAY NO. I CAN'T THINK OF ANYBODY OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. I KNOW PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES WHO HAVE DONE GREAT THINGS FOR THIS CITY OF WINNIPEG IN MOVING FORWARD ACCESSIBILITY ISSUES THAT SHOULD HAVE ACKNOWLEDGMENT. SOME OF THEM HAVE PASSED AWAY. THEY PUT IN A LOT OF ENERGY, MADAM SPEAKER. I AM THINKING THAT MAY BE THAT IS A BETTER WAY TO GO. I AM NOT AN EXPERT. I WANT THE NORTH WINNIPEG PARKWAY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION TO BE RENAMED. IT CAME FROM THE COMMUNITY. WE MOVED THE MOTION BUT UNDERTAKING THE WORK. WHAT DO I KNOW ABOUT A NAMING CEREMONY? WHAT DO I KNOW ABOUT MOVING FORWARD THAT PROJECT? IT HASN'T HAPPENED YET. I AM HOPING THE WELCOMING WINNIPEG COMMITTEE WOULD BE ABLE TO DO THAT ALONG WITH INDIGENOUS RELATIONS. I THINK THAT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL BECAUSE I AM NOT COMFORTABLE, MADAM SPEAKER, DOING IT MYSELF. I AM NOT. I HAVE NO INDIGENOUS BLOOD IN ME WHAT SO EVER. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, DO YOU WISH TO CLOSE?

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: CALL THE VOTE.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR. THANK YOU, COLLEAGUES FOR THE COMMENTS. I CAN'T LET THIS PASS. DOES ANYONE KNOW THE WORD FOR WELCOME. WE SHOULD WE JUST VOTED ON IT. SPEAKING OF PARTNERS I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR MAYES. ITEM 11 IS THE RESULT OF WELCOME WINNIPEG COUNCILLOR MAYES TO RENAME BONAVENTURE PARK. [INAUDIBLE] IT ACKNOWLEDGES THE INUIT COMMITTEE TO FIND OPPORTUNITIES TO APPROPRIATELY NAME PLACES AND THIS IS THE KIND OF WORK WE WANT TO SEE IN THE FUTURE. I WILL INVITE YOU TO CALL THE QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE VOTE ON AMENDING MOTION 8. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. THE MAIN ITEM AS AMENDED ALL IN FAVOUR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. NEXT NOTICE OF MOTION.

CLERK: HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND CONSULTATION. MOTION TWO.

MADAM SPEAKER: AMENDING MOTION TWO. COUNCILLOR MAYES. YOU HAVE ALSO PENNED AMENDING MOTION TWO WHICH WAS MOVED BY YOURSELF AND SECONDED BY COUNCILOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: 16 MINUTES?

MADAM SPEAKER: FIVE.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU TO THE MAYOR FOR THE GENEROUS COMMENTS ABOUT THE PARTNERSHIPS. WE HAVE HERE ORIGINATING MOTION NOTICE OF MOTION CAME LAST WEEK THAT IS IN FRONT OF YOU COMPUTER SCREEN. WE HOPE THAT IS NOTICE OF MOTION TO SAY WE HAVE ONLY ONE IN PERSON SESSION PLANNED FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON THE FOURPLEX PART OF HOUSING ACCELERATOR. PART OF THE REASON WE DELAYED CONSIDERING THIS MATTER FROM MARCH TO JUNE. WE DID THAT IN DECEMBER, AS YOU MAY REMEMBER. TO GET MORE PUBLIC INPUT. WE HAD ONE IN PERSON SESSION. I DID NOT ATTEND. I DIDN'T WANT PEOPLE TO ACCUSE ME OF TRYING TO INFLUENCE. SOME OF YOU ATTENDED PUBLIC AND STAFF. THERE WAS NO VIOLENCE. THERE WAS NO SECURITY CRISIS. THAT IS POSITIVE. THE MOTION WAS TO TRY TO HAVE ONE ADDITIONAL MEETING IN EACH WARD GIVEN THE TIMEFRAME, GIVEN THE ENERGY LEVELS OF OUR STAFF, COMPROMISE. WE TALKED ABOUT FOUR WOULD HAVE OMITTED ONE COMMITTEE. COMPROMISE IS IN THE AMENDMENT. ORIGINATING AND AMENDMENT. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO VOTE FOR FIVE. BRING FORWARD A NUMBER. IT IS IMPORTANT FOR MORE PUBLIC INPUT IN THIS PROCESS. FORTUNATELY BY THE TIME WE COME TO THIS HEARING IN JUNE, FEDERAL ELECTION WILL HAVE COME AND GONE. WE HAVE A BETTER IDEA WHAT FEDERAL POSITION IS ON, A, HOUSING ACCELERATOR, B, THE TIF FUND AND TRANSIT FUND. WE ALSO NOW AS I THINK THE PROVINCE HAS SAID, YES, WE ARE UNDER THE CLIFF FOR \$120 MILLION THAT WAS ANNOUNCED DURING BY-ELECTION. PROVINCE IS IN AS WELL. SOME ENORMOUS AMOUNT OF MONEY ALLEGEDLY BEING PUT AT RISK IF WE DON'T DO SOMETHING IN JUNE. WE WILL PROCEED TO SOME FORM OF HEARING IN JUNE WE HAVE TIME TO HEAR FROM THE PUBLIC. BIGGEST RE-ZONING IN CITY HISTORY. 150,000 PROPERTIES RE-ZONED. NOBODY CORRECTED ME ON THAT. I THINK I AM IN THE BALLPARK. IT ISN'T NECESSARILY RE-ZONING IN TERMS OF CHANGING FROM R-1 M TO R-1 L. IT IS CHANGING HEIGHT LIMITS IN THE CITY. WHAT ISN'T COMING AND I GOT INTO THIS BEFORE. THIS FOURPLEX ISN'T ABOUT WE HAVE TO MEET OUR MISSING MIDDLE HOUSING TARGET. WE MET IT. IT ISN'T BE AFFORD BUILT. THAT IS SEPARATE. ANYONE OF THE UNITS ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE BEEN AFFORDABLE, NONE OF THEM UNDER THIS PROPOSAL. IT ISN'T FRANKLY CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WE SAID ON THE INFILL GUIDELINES. I DON'T THINK I WOULD HAVE GOTTEN IT THROUGH. COUNCILLOR GILROY SAID FOCUS WHERE THERE IS LESS POPULATION. THAT IS GONE. FEDS SAY ACROSS CITY. DOESN'T MATTER EDGE OF TOWN OR NOT FOUR UNITS EVERYWHERE. THIS IS NOT ABOUT INFILL THE WAY THE GUIDELINES. TERRIFIC STUFF ABOUT WHY WE SHOULD EMPHASIZE PROPERTIES WITH BACK LANES. DIRECT ACCESS TO LANE. MINIMIZE POINTS OF CONFLICT. REDUCE SIDEWALK INTERRUPTIONS, MORE ON-STREET PARKING, REDUCE SNOW CLEARING NEEDS. SUPPORT PEDESTRIAN FRIENDLY DESIGN OF MATURE NEIGHBORHOODS. THAT IS GONE. BOARDS SAY WIDER PROPERTY. IF YOU WANT FOURPLEX IF YOU DON'T HAVE BACK LANE. COUNCILLOR CHAMBER'S WARD WOULD BE INFLUENCED BY THREE PLEX IF YOU HAVE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF FRONTAGE. WE DON'T CARE ABOUT BACK LANE HIMSELF. THAT IS NOT DREAMED UP BY THE STAFF THAT THEY TELL ME IS FEDERAL REQUIREMENT. ALL OF THAT CAREFUL STUFF WE PUT THROUGH ABOUT BACK LANE. ALL OF THAT IS JETTISONED. PEOPLE SHOULD KNOW THAT WHEN WE DEBATE THIS PUBLICLY. DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE LOOKED AT IN 2021. THREE OUR THINGS. THIS ISN'T GOING TO BE ABOUT. I HOPE NOBODY GETS UP IN JUNE AND STARTS SAYING IT. I WILL REMIND YOU OF THIS. WE HAVE TO DO THIS FOURPLEX OR THE CITY IS GOING BANKRUPT. THIS HAS BEEN SAID TO PEOPLE IN MY WARD.

MADAM SPEAKER: FOCUS ON CONSULTATION.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: IT IS ABOUT FOURPLEXES. WHAT ISN'T COMING THE CITY IS GOING TO GO BANKRUPT BECAUSE LOOK AT WHAT IS COMING FURTHER DOWN THE ROSTER. THE CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL TO BUILD HORIZONTAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND A, B, C. LET'S NOT SAY TO SOME PART OF THE CITY WE HAVE TO PUT IN FOURPLEXES THEN BUILD HORIZONTAL TRAIN STRUCTURE. IF WE DON'T CHANGE THE NEIGHBORHOOD WE ARE GOING BANKRUPT. THEN SAY WE HAVE TO BUILD THE NEW INFRASTRUCTURE. THEY WILL MORE THAN PAY FOR THEMSELVES. PICK A LANE. THANK YOU TO MR. ARMBRUSTER. HE SIGNED OFF ON THE REPORT. IT SAYS WE CAN BUILD HORIZONTAL, NO, WE CAN HAVE NEW SUBURBING WITHOUT BANKRUPTING THE CITY. ALL THAT NOISE PUT ASIDE IN JUNE. THIS IS FEDERAL IMPOSITION NOT ABOUT BANKRUPTCY OF THE CITY. A LOT OF TALK ABOUT A CRISIS. THE MEMORABLE LINE FROM 2023. YOU ARE A VISIONARY OR EXCLUSION MARRY. VOTE FOR THIS OR YOU ARE EXCLUSIONARY. THIS IS DIFFERENT THAN LEMAY FOREST. HOUSING CRISIS. IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD THE HARD EXPRESSION YOU ARE A VISIONARY OR EXCLUSIONARY DOESN'T INCLUDE OR YOU ARE DIFFERENT CATEGORY. WE VOTED A FEW MONTHS AGO ON LEMAY FOREST ITEM. ALL OF US SAID, NO, LET'S NOT AUTHORIZE ANY HOUSING THERE. WE ARE CAPABLE OF MAKING INTELLIGENT DECISIONS ABOUT ZONING NOT SIMPLY SAYING YOU ARE A VISIONARY OR EXCLUSIONARY. NOT SAYING CRISIS, SORRY IF YOU DON'T LIKE IT. I VOTE FOR CONTROVERSIAL STUFF IN YOUR WARD, NOT MY WARD. WE HAVE CAPABILITY OF SAYING NO MORE COMPLICATED. WE DON'T NEED TO DO THIS EVERYWHERE. DEVIL IN THE DETAILS WHAT WE DECIDE IN JUNE WITH THE LOTS, THAT QUESTION. IT IS IMPORTANT THE PUBLIC KNOWS, I THINK, BACKGROUND. INFILL GUIDELINES. WE ARE ABANDONING SOME PARTS. NOT DUE TO STAFF. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IMPOSITION. TELL PEOPLE THAT. DON'T CLAIM WE ARE GOING BANKRUPT AND CAN'T AFFORD HORIZONTAL INFRASTRUCTURE WHEN WE DEBATE ITEMS SAYING WE ARE MAKING MONEY ON THIS EXTENSION WHICH I INTEND TO VOTE FOR. WE WILL COME TO THAT. ALSO, LET'S NOT, PLEASE, GET UP THERE AND SAY, WELL, IF YOU VOTE AGAINST IT TO LIMIT FOURPLEXES YOU WERE EXCLUSIONARY. WELL, EXCLUSIONARY ABOUT LEMAY FOREST. NOT VOTING FOR 500. GOOD REPORT SAYING THAT IS TOO MANY UNITS THIS IS APPROPRIATE. GOOD REPORT. WE AS COUNCIL SAID WE WOULD RATHER NOT GO THERE. OKAY. REMEMBER THAT. THAT IS CITY-WIDE. THINK INTELLIGENTLY AND THEN DRAW LINES. LET'S HAVE INTELLIGENT DEBATE AND GET PUBLIC INPUT. WE NEED SESSIONS. SHOULDN'T BE ONLINE. ONE IN EACH COMMUNITY. I HAVE NO IDEA WHERE. I KNOW MR. ARMBRUSTER ARE LOOKING FOR PUBLIC LOCATIONS. WE HAVE SECURITY GUARDS THERE. PEOPLE HAVE SECURITY CONCERNS. I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO VOTE FOR THIS COMPROMISE. THANK YOU TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE FOR REACHING OUT TO SAY 15 SEEMS HEAVY GO WITH A LESSER NUMBER. I COULD LIVE WITH FIVE. THAT IS APPROPRIATE. WE HAVE A CHANCE TO HEAR FROM THE PUBLIC ABOUT THIS BEFORE WE HEAD TO HEARING IN JUNE. I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING DEBATE. I WILL POSE IN DUE COURSE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. [CAPTIONER SWITCH]

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS?

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, SPEAKER. I DO SUPPORT MORE PUBLIC DEBATE. I DO THINK IT'S BROAD. I CAN'T STAND HERE FOR THREE YEARS AND SAY WE'RE GOING TO BRING IN A REZONING

CHANGE WITH THE SIZE AND SCOPE OF THE ZONING. I DO WANT TO STAND AND DISAGREE HOE WITH RESPECT TO THE SAFETY AND CONCERNS, MADAM SPEAKER. NOT TO AND NEVER TO HOLDING THE ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION AND QUOTING DEVELOPMENT THAT WE SHOULD BE, BUT TO REALLY UNDERLINE SOME OF THE, I THINK, REALLY REAL ISSUES THAT DID HAPPEN, NOT ONLY TO ME WHEN I WAS CHAIR, SPEAKER, BUT I ALSO UNDERSTAND AND I'LL LIKELY SPEAK TO IT. WITH COUNCILLOR DUNCAN BEING CONFRONTED DURING THE MEETING BY BY FOLKS WHO I'VE HAD ON MY SIDE WHETHER I WANT THEM OR NOT, THE ANTI-15-MINUTE CITY FOLKS. I'VE HAD RESIDENTS THAT WERE CONCERNED, SPEAKER, NOT BECAUSE OF VIOLENCE OR FEARS, BUT MORE SOME MEMBERS WITHIN THAT MEETING. SO I DON'T THINK THOSE HAVE BEEN EXAGGERATED. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE PRECAUTIONS FOR EVERYBODY. YOU CAN ENGAGE WITH THE MATERIAL. BUT I DON'T BELIEVE THAT IT'S AN OVERINFLATION, MADAM SPEAKER. I JUST BELIEVE THAT IT'S PART OF WHAT WE NEED TO DO TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE DOING AND GATHERING COMMUNITY IN A GOOD WAY. BUT WITH RESPECT TO THE MOTION, I REALLY BELIEVE THAT IT IS IMPORTANT TO ENGAGE IN THIS MATERIAL. IT IS MATERIAL THAT, AS CHAIR, I STILLS ON, AS DO MY RESIDENTS WHO ARE PROPONENTS OF 15-MINUTE CITIES, BUT ALSO PROPONENTS OF ADDED DENSITY THIS WOULD BRING, MADAM SPEAKER. I ABSOLUTELY HAVE RESIDENTS, AND I DO WANT TO TALK A BIT ABOUT MY RESIDENT HUGH WARDEN, WHO REALLY HAS BEEN REALLY CONSISTENT BEING CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. AND I ALSO THINK IT'S A VERY GOOD TIME FOR THE CITY TO ENGAGE. AND I KNOW IT'S WITH SOME IRONY PERHAPS BY SOME THAT IT IS OUR, YOU KNOW, THAT WE ARE FOOTING THE BILL ON THE ENGAGEMENT. BUT REALLY, IT IS THE BURDEN THAT A MUNICIPALITY HAS THESE DAYS TO CATCH A FINANCIAL BALL FROM A GOVERNMENT THAT HAS MORE OF A TAX BASE AND MORE RESPONSIBILITIES, SOME MAY ARGUE, ON HOW'S THE I DO BELIEVE IT'S A SHARED RESPONSIBILITY. BUT I KNOW THAT I'VE BEEN SOMETIMES YOU KNOW, THE MINORITY ON COUNCIL. PARTICULARLY, LAST TERM IN THINKING THAT HOUSING WAS A MUNICIPAL RESPONSIBILITY. AND THERE IS STRONG LOGIC FOR THAT. BUT FOR ALL THOSE REASONS, MADAM SPEAKER, BECAUSE FOLKS NEEDED A CHANCE TO ENGAGE WITH MATERIAL. THERE IS A DEPTH OF ENGAGEMENT THAT THEY SAW JUST REALLY BRIEFLY ON THE POSTERS THAT THEY WANT TO ENGAGE, AND THEY WANT MORE ACCESS TO THEIR CITY COUNCILLORS, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE WERE A HANDFUL OF US THERE WERE THERE FOR VARIOUS REASONS. THEY ABSOLUTELY WANTED ENGAGEMENT WITH THEIR COUNCILLORS, SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT WHEN WE FIND OUT WHERE THEY ARE IN THE COMMUNITIES, LET'S ALSO AS A COMMUNITY COMMITTEE, RENT THE PLACE NEXT CAN. HAVE MORE SORT OF ONE-ON-ONE TIME. I'LL BE SPEAKING WITH MY FAVES CITY CENTRE TO DO JUST THAT. I WISH I HAD RENTED A SPACE WITHIN THE R.B.C., MADAM SPEAKER, JUST TO HAVE SORT OF NOT ONLY FOR OUR STAFF, BUT FOR THAT ONE-ON-ONE TIME FOR THE PRESIDENTS. THEY REALLY DID WANT ONE-ON-ONE TIME WITH THE OFFICIALS. THEY WERE MISSING THAT. THAT WASN'T OUR PLACE. THAT'S NOT HOW WE STRUCTURED IT. FOR THOSE OF US WHO CAME, IT WAS AN OCCASION. I GOT TO PEOPLE, MADAM SPEAKER, JUST TALKED TO YOUR WARD. I FLIPPED SOME OF HIS CASEWORK THAT NIGHT. SO IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY REALLY TO CONNECT WITH FOLKS FROM ACROSS THE CITY, AND THAT IS VITAL, WHETHER I WAS THE CHAIR PND, OR I'M NOT NOW. IT'S STILL REALLY EXCITING TO MEET THEM, GET YOUR FEEDBACK. JARED DIDN'T LIKE IT, MADAM SPEAKER. HE WANTED MORE REGIONAL MALLS. I'M NOT GOING TO HELP HIM WITH THAT, MADAM SPEAKER. I THINK WE HAVE HAD ENOUGH. THOSE ARE THE TYPES OF THINGS WHEN YOU GET OUT AND ABOUT. I WANT TO THANK THE PUBLIC SERVICE ONCE AGAIN FOR DOING THAT, FOR MAKING SURE STAFF WAS SAFE, FOR MAKING SURE ANYONE WHO WAS ENGAGED WERE. LIKE ANTI-15 MINUTES

HOPES THEY HAD THE ROOM TO WRAP THEIR ACTION SAFETY. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. I TOO WAS THERE. THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR WYATT?

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU. I RISE IT TO SUPPORT THE ORIGINAL AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR MAYES FOR PICKING THE MOTION AND SECONDING HIS ORIGINAL MOTION. I HEARD A CALL BACK WHEN WE HAD -- WHEN COUNCILLOR MAYES WAS COMING UP WITH COMPROMISE. I SAID, LET'S STICK TO IT. I RECALL WE HEARD FROM THE FOURTH DISTRICT MODEL TO THE SIXTH DISTRICT FOOD ELIN POLICING. AT THE TIME, THE MAYOR AND I AGREED TO NINE MEETINGS ACROSS THE CITY, WOULD SIMPLY BE REDUCING OUR POLICE DISTRICTS FROM SIX TO FOUR. IT WAS A MAJOR UNDERTAKING, AND IT WAS DONE ACROSS THE CITY. MORE THAN THE COMMUNITY AREAS, BUT LESS THAN THE 15 WARDS. IT WAS COMPROMISED IN NINE. IT WAS WELL DONE WITH THE CHIEF OF THE POLICE AT THE TIME IN FRONT OF THE ROOM. EVERY MEETING THAT WAS HELD, ANSWERING QUESTIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE. I ATTENDED AS MANY AS I COULD, SEE THAT I ADVOCATE FOR THAT. I JUST WANT TO PUT SOME COMMENTS ON THE RECORD. NUMBER ONE, I THINK THIS IS GOING TO BE UNDERTAKEN IT BE WELL ADVERTISED. THE PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SPENDS A LITTLE BIT OF MONEY, NOT MUCH, BUYING SOME ADVERTISING ON SOCIAL MEDIA. IF YOU BUY SOME ADVERTISING ON FACEBOOK, BELIEVE IT OR NOT, IT DOESN'T COST A LOT, BUT YOU CAN GET THE MESSAGE OUT PRETTY QUICK. YOU KNOW, JUST A LITTLE BIT OF INFORMATION AHEAD OF TIME TO ENSURE THAT THE MEETING PLACES ARE ACCESSIBLE, WELL LIT, PARKING AVAILABLE FOR THOSE WHO NEEDED IT, THAT THERE'S SOME THOUGHT GIVEN TO THAT IF NECESSARY. IT'S NOT NECESSARILY IF NOT IN A SCHOOL GYM THAT YOU NEED A LOCAL FACILITY LIKE A HOTEL WHAT HAVE YOU WITH REGARDS TO PROPER CONFERENCES IN THE AREA, USE THAT. LET'S MAKE AN INVESTMENT HERE. THESE ARE MAJOR FORWARD. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO ADD THAT, YOU KNOW, SIMILAR TO WHAT WAS STATED BY THE PREVIOUS SPEAKER, I THINK AS MUCH INFORMATION SHOULD BE SUPPLIED PERTAINING TO WHAT IS HAPPENING, WHY IT'S HAPPENING, WHY THE CITY IS UNDERTAKING THE PROCESS. THE WHO, WHAT, WHEN, WHERE AND, WHY THE FIVE Ws, CLEARLY OUTLINE WHAT'S GOING ON HERE. WHAT DOES THIS MEAN? WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO YOUR NEIGHBOURHOOD? WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO YOU AS A HOMEOWNER AND RESIDENT? I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE, I THINK, IT'S NOT MUCH TO X-AS THE COUNCILLOR HAS POINTED OUT COMING OUT TO THESE MEETINGS. LET'S BE HONEST. WHEN PEOPLE RECEIVE A LACK OF INFORMATION OR NO RESPONSE TO THEIR CONTENTION, NO MATTER WHAT THAT CONTENTION IS, AUTOMATICALLY, THAT CREATES THE GREATER CONSPIRACY. LET'S RESPOND TO EACH ONE OF THEIR POINTS. EACH ONE. LIKE, SOME OF THEM ARE OUT THERE. SOME OF THEM ARE NOT OVER THE TOP. FOR EXAMPLE, WE'RE NOT BUILDING REGIONAL ROADS AND WE WANT TO LIMIT PEOPLE -- WELL, LET'S TALK ABOUT THAT. THE FUNDING ISSUE WITH REGARDS TO OUR REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE, FUNDING ISSUES WITH REGARDS TO WHAT'S TAKING PLACE. LET'S TALK ABOUT THE HISTORY TO WHERE THE 15-MINUTE CITY CONCEPT CAME FROM, WHICH WAS THE MAYOR OF PARIS WHO ADVOCATED ORIGINALLY LIVE, WORK AND PLAY. LET'S PULL OUT THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS. THE LAST TIME I CHECKED IT, I HAVE IT ON MY WALL ON MY PORCH WHERE I SIT WITH MY DOG AND HAVE A CIGARETTE, WHICH THE CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS IS UP ON THE WALL. THE RIGHT TO MOBILITY, THE MOBILITY, WHERE YOU WANT TO LIVE IN THIS COUNTRY WITHOUT HAVING PERMISSION FROM GOVERNMENT, THE RIGHTS TO FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION

WITHOUT HAVING PERMISSION FROM GOVERNMENT. IF WE HAVE TO RESTATE THESE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS, SO BE IT. BUT IF WE DON'T AND WE DON'T ADDRESS THESE ISSUES HEAD ON, EVEN THOUGH SOME FOLKS MAY ROLL THEIR EYES AT THEM, MARK MY WORDS. THERE COULD BE SOMEBODY WHO IS OF THAT MINDSET ELECTED IN THIS COUNCIL CHAMBER. AND THEN LET'S SEE WHAT WE DO. I REALLY THINK WE MUST RESPOND TO THESE CONCERNS. I HEARD SOMETHING FUNDAMENTALLY, WHICH I THOUGHT WAS ABSOLUTELY A DOCTOR WHO SPOKE AT THE -- COMING UP. I WANT TO BRING THIS UP BECAUSE IT RELATES TO THIS. AND SHE SAID, IF YOU THINK ABOUT WHAT THE PUBLIC HAS GONE THROUGH IN THE LAST FIVE WITH THE PANDEMIC AND EVERYTHING ELSE, SHE SAYS, IT REALLY HASN'T BEEN A PLACE FOR PEOPLE TO REALLY COME TOGETHER IN COMMUNITY. WE USED TO HAVE -- MORE PEOPLE, WE HAVE BECOME VERY SECULAR. CHURCHES. THERE'S REALLY NOT BEEN A PLACE FOR PEOPLE TO HEAL. THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF WALLS. WHERE ARE PEOPLE EXPRESSING THIS? THEY ARE EXPRESSING IT ON SOCIAL MEDIA, TAKING IT OUT ON EACH OTHER ON SOCIAL MEDIA. HAMMERING AWAY AT EACH OTHER. A LOT OF IT IS RELATED TO ANGER, FRUSTRATION, FEAR THAT WAS PUMPED OUT FROM THE PANDEMIC AND HAS NOT GONE AWAY. THAT'S STILL THERE, MADAM SPEAKER. THIS DOCTOR SPOKE ELOQUENTLY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG THREE SATURDAYS AGO. AND SO I THINK WE HAVE TO HAVE SOME PATIENCE AND COMPASSION WHEN WE HEAR ALTERNATIVES AND DEAL WITH THOSE CONCERNS. AND WE WILL HEAR IT. WE WILL HEAR IT IN THESE MEETINGS AROUND THE CITY, THESE FIVE MEETINGS. I THINK IT'S GOOD FOR US TO PRETEND IT DOESN'T EXIST, THESE VOICES DON'T EXIST. LET'S ADDRESS IT. THE MATTER PERTAINING TO CAPITAL REGION OF METRO WINNIPEG AND THE ZONING AREAS, ALL THAT. ALL OF THAT THAT'S FEEDING INTO THAT, MAYOR, NOTHING HAS BEEN RESPONDED TO IN A SENSE TO THAT. AND THEY HAVEN'T GOT THE ANSWERS THAT THEY REALLY WANT TO HEAR. MAYBE NOT WANT TO HEAR, BUT MAYBE HAVE TO BE PUT OUT THERE AS FACTUAL INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT WE'RE -- WHAT THE CITY IS STRUGGLING WITH. SO THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS. I LOOK FORWARD TO THE OPEN HOUSES. AGAIN, I HOPE THE DEPARTMENT ADVERTISES. COUNCILLORS WITH ADVERTISE. IT'S BEEN SAID IN THE PAST WE SHOULD DO YOU CAN. INDIVIDUAL COUNCILLORS CAN ADVERTISE WITH INDIVIDUAL ALLOWANCES. I MIGHT DO THAT. BUT IT REALLY IS THE DEPARTMENT WHO IS LEADING THESE MEETINGS, WHOEVER IS QUESTIONING IT, THAT THEY EVEN TAKE THE LEAD AND MAKE THESE MEETINGS PUBLICIZED, WELL KNOWN, WE'LL ADVERTISE IN ADVANCE. THE INFORMATION SECTION. AND THAT IT WOULD BE NICE IN ADVANCE OF THE OPEN HOUSES THAT MEMBERS OF COUNCIL GET THE MATERIAL. THERE'S GOING TO BE A FEW OPEN HOUSES. FULLY NONCENSORED. NOT WHATEVER IS BEING PRESENTED IN THE OPEN HOUSE. LET'S HAVE THAT INFORMATION WELL IN ADVANCE AS WELL, SO WE CAN READ IT, FAMILIARIZE OURSELVES WITH IT, SO THAT WE'RE THERE. WE'RE GOING TO GET QUESTIONS, TOO. WE MAY HAVE QUESTIONS OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE PRIOR TO THE OPEN HOUSE. IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE -- THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS, MADAM SPEAKER. I HOPE THE DIRECTOR IS LISTENING, AND MEMBERS OF THE STAFF, AND MEMBERS OF THE PC. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR.

Councillor.

THIS WILL SOUND ODD. I THOUGHT WHY? WHY CAN'T WE HAVE MORE DISCUSSIONS? PUT AN AD IN THE PAPER. HERE YOU GO. I LOOK UP IN THE DEPARTMENT AND I SEE THE AD. IT'S WEAK. THERE'S ONLY

ONE THING IF YOU REALLY WANT TO DO.

IT'S KIND OF A BIG THING. WHAT WE DID COUNCILLOR, QUOTE, TO ENABLE THE DEVELOPMENT. THAT MEANS HAVE THE RIGHT. DON'T YOU KNOW THAT COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW? NO, I DID NOT. I DID NOT KNOW. THAT THE PUBLIC ALSO SHOULD ASSUME I HAVE THE RIGHT. OK, THAT'S RIDICULOUS. YOU DIDN'T MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT FOUR STORES. THEY TALKED ABOUT WHY WE NEED TO DO THIS. THE PROPONENT HERE IS IN WINNIPEG. IT'S A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT THAN USUAL, AND CONSULTATION WHERE WE'RE TRYING TO GET FEEDBACK. I FEEL VERY STRONGLY THEY ARE NOT TRYING TO GET FEEDBACK. THEY ARE TRYING TO GET THEIR MESSAGE FORWARD. THEY VERY STRONGLY BELIEVE THIS IS WHAT THEY NEED TO DO GOING FORWARD FROM THE BUREAUCRATIC SIDE. WE SAW ALL OF A SUDDEN THERE, IT WAS APPROVED BY SOME PEOPLE. PRIOR, COUNCIL HAD THAT DISCUSSION. IT CAME THROUGH IN APPLICATION, THAT NONE OF US SAW. WE FINALLY SAW IT AFTER WE GOT THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT ALLOWED TO SEE THAT REPORT. SO IT SHOWED THE BIAS THAT OUR DEPARTMENT IS IN. AND I BELIEVE, AGAIN, THAT IS NOT OK. IT IS NOT OK. THERE'S SUPPOSED TO BE THE NEUTRAL PARTY. SO AGAIN, I DO BELIEVE MY -- IS TAINTED ON THIS PERCEPTION. I DON'T BELIEVE IT'S A PERCEPTION. YOU KNOW WHAT THEY ALSO TALK ABOUT THESE DAYS? LIKE COUNCILLOR WYATTS IT AFFECTS THEM. WE TALKED ABOUT WHY WE NEED TO DO THIS. THEN HE HAD SOME PICTURES. THERE'S NOT ONE PICTURE OF A FOUR STOREY IN THERE. NOT ONE. EVEN ONES THAT ARE THERE, YOU GOT 60, 70 FEET LOOKING EXACTLY LIKE A 50 FOOT. REPLY TO THAT? TALK TO THE CONSULTANT. THAT'S WHAT THEY GUEST. YOU SHOULD HAVE ONE PAGE WITH A FOUR STOREY WITH TWO BUNGALOWS BESIDE IT TO SHOW THAT PICTURE. I SHOWED ONE ON THE SCREEN. IT'S IN 20 FEET PER SINGLE STOREY. IT'S DOUBLE THAT FOR A FOUR STOREY. DON'T HIDE IT. BUT I HID IT COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. WHAT YOU DIDN'T HAVE ON THERE, CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES. AS I KEEP RESEARCHING THIS AND I'M TRYING TO KEEP AN OPEN MIND, KEEPING AN OPEN MIND. LAST YEAR, WE HAD THE RABBIT HOUSING ONE YEAR AGO. EVEN THOUGH I DIDN'T LIKE IT, EVERYTHING IS IN THERE. THE THINGS I DID LIKE, IT'S ALMOST LIKE THEY WANTED TO MAKE SURE THEY WERE MISERABLE. THEY NEVER SAID ONCE IN THE REPORT THAT IT'S GONE. NOTHING ABOUT THE NEED TO PLANT TREES ONE TREE, ONE NEEDS TO GO IN. I ASKED THEM WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO DO ABOUT TREES? IF THE DEVELOPER WANTS TO KEEP IT, I'LL GIVE HIM A CREDIT. WHAT ABOUT THE SHRUBS? THAT'S GONE. YOU DIDN'T PUT ANYTHING YOUR REPORT, ANYTHING YOU TOLD THE PUBLIC. SO AGAIN, I GO BACK TO THE HITCHHIKERS GUIDE, THE GALAXY MOMENT, WELL, YOU SHOULD KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION. THE PUBLIC DOESN'T KNOW THE ANSWERS TO THOSE QUESTIONS. SO I DID. THESE ARE THE QUESTIONS. I DIDN'T MAKE A UP OF THEY NEED TO DO THIS. I KNEW THE DEPARTMENT WOULD DO THAT TO WHATEVER. WHY HOUSING SHORTAGE. NO SIDE CHECKS. NOW IT'S TWO AND THREE. NOT SURE. NOW THEY KNOW. THEY SHOULD KNOW THAT. SOME NEIGHBOURHOODS LIKE MY NEIGHBOURHOOD, THEY ARE REALLY SMALL SIDEYARDS ALREADY. OTHER PLACES LIKE SUDBURY, THEY ARE VERY WIDE. YOU DON'T KNOW TO ASK THAT QUESTION UNLESS SOMEONE TOLD THEM. THEY SHOULDN'T BE TOLD TO ASK A QUESTION. THE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE SAYING, WHAT ABOUT {DWR} OTHER QUESTION SHOULD BE ASKED OPENLY AND TRANSPARENTLY BEFORE THE PUBLIC. THAT'S ALL THEY NEED TO DO. YOU KNOW WHAT ELSE IS GOING TO HAPPEN? NOTHING IS MENTIONED IN THERE ABOUT THAT. SO YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE MASS BUILDING ON THAT PROPERTY THAN BEFORE. ONE YEAR AGO, JUST ONE YEAR AGO WE FOUGHT AND BOUGHT THIS WITH ALL THE GUIDELINES. NOW IT'S ALL WIPED OUT. NO MENTION OF THAT IN THIS REPORT AT ALL. NO MENTION OF THE LOSS OF THE TREATIES. THERE'S NOTHING IN THAT

ABOUT THAT. PICTURE A FOUR-STOREY BUILDING. I DON'T CARE IF IT'S A TWO-STOREY BUILDING IF YOU REALLY WANTED TO. THE BUNGALOWS, WHICH TAKE UP MY NEIGHBOURHOOD. NOT A PICTURE OF THAT. DO I SAY IT'S JUST A MISS? I HAD TROUBLE SAYING THEY JUST MISSED THAT ONE BECAUSE THEY PROBABLY DIDN'T KNOW FOUR STORIES BESIDE A BUNGALOW WOULD BE AN ISSUE. SO AGAIN, THAT'S WHY I'M CONCERNED ABOUT DOING MORE OF THIS. BUT AGAIN, I WILL DO MY DARNDDEST TO LIFT UP THOSE QUESTIONS THAT I THINK AND PROVIDE THE VIEDED BY THE DEPARTMENT, SW, WATER RETENTION. I CAN'T GOOD -- I DIDN'T KNOW IT WOULD NEED AN \$80,000 ADD-ON. GOOD LUCK WITH AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THEY ARE WORRIED ABOUT OVERFLOW. NOTHING IN THERE AT ALL ABOUT THAT. NOTHING. YOU KNOW, YEAH, ROGERS WAS ANY BIGGER. WELL YEAH, IT WILL BE BIGGER. SHOULD HAVE MENTIONED SOMETHING LIKE THAT. THEY ASKED US WHAT THE CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES ARE IN YOUR NEIGHBOURHOODS. I WOULD HAVE SAID LOSS OF GREEN SPACE. I WOULD HAVE SAID SIDE YARD, PRIVACY. WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY RIGHT NOW AND I TRIED A COUPLE OF TIMES WHEN WE HAD A PUBLIC HEARING. SOMEONE SAID YOU KNOW WHAT? A SIDE DOOR THEY ARE GOING TO WALK INTO. YOU KNOW WHAT? MY LIVING ROOM IS RIGHT THERE. AND THEY GO, SO WE SAY OWE LANDING. THAT'S WHAT WE DID IN PUBLIC HEARINGS. GONE. NOW, NO. THEY SAID NO, WE HAVE NO MEASURES TO REVIEW ANY OF THESE APPLICATIONS. THEY COME IN. THEY DO WHATEVER THEY WANT. WHAT ABOUT THAT PERSON? WHAT ABOUT SOMETHING AS SIMPLE AS THEY ARE ALL GOING TO BE RENTALS. WHO DOES THE NEIGHBOUR CONTACT IF THEY HAVE A PROBLEM WITH SOMEBODY, WITH THE BUILDING? WE DO IT FOR AIRBNB. WE'RE NOT IN. IT FAILED. I DON'T BELIEVE THE DEPARTMENT HAS RIGHT LEGS ON IT. RATHER THAN WE NEED THEM TO BE NEUTRAL. SO AGAIN, I ACTUALLY ASKED THEM A COUPLE OF TIMES ON THIS. CRICKETS. SO AGAIN, I HOPE TO DO MY BEST, WHICH I SHOULDN'T HAVE TO, BECAUSE WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT ANGER. I HAVE THESE PEOPLE WHO BELIEVE IN IN THE SINCE I ASKED THESE QUESTIONS, THEY ARE REALLY PISSED OFF AT ME. AGAIN THAT THEY COME OUT, BECAUSE THEY HAVE PETITIONS TO DESTROY THIS. I THIS WE JUST NEED TO BE HONEST. OR THINGS FINANCING IN WILL -- BECAUSE IT'S ONE YEAR AGO WE VOTED ON ALL OF THIS. IT'S WIPED OFF THE PLATE FOR NO REASON I CAN FIGURE OUT AT ALL. THEY DECIDED MORE.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEED MORE TIME? COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

MADAM SPEAKER: CARRY.

I'LL TAKE A CHANCE ON THIS BECAUSE I THINK WE'RE GOING TO KEEP PUSHING THE APARTMENT TO BE MUCH MORE NEUTRAL AND REALLY THINK ABOUT NOT WHAT I WANT TO SAY TO YOU, BUT WHAT DO THE RESIDENTS NEED TO HEAR? THAT'S WHAT WE USING ON. AGAIN, I UNDERSTAND THE PHILOSOPHY AND THE IDEOLOGY BEHIND IT. BUT THIS IS A PUBLIC SERVICE, NOT SAY? DEVELOPER. IT'S ALL GREAT, AND NOT TELL THE DARK SIDE OF THE STOREY. BE BALANCED. I DON'T FEEL THAT BALANCE IS THERE. I THINK JUST BY THE SIMPLE FACT THE GUIDELINES WEREN'T MENTIONED, BUT THE SIMPLE FACT THERE'S NO FOUR-STOREY BUILDING THERE. THE SIMPLE FACT PEOPLE TALK ABOUT THAT. THOSE RAISE SOME PRETTY HARD CONCERNS TO ME. THEY MAY BELIEVE WHO THEY ARE. IN MY VIEW A -- I'VE GOT A BOX 110 FEET DEEP. WE'RE GOING TO BE DRAMATICALLY AFFECTED. SO I WOULD DEFINITELY VOLUNTEER RIVER HEIGHTSED TO BE PART OF HIS CONSULTATION. I THANK COUNCILLOR MAYES FOR THAT. WORST CASE SCENARIO THREE YEARS DOWN THE LINE, SOMEONE AND THEY ARE NOT GOING TO GO. THEY ARE GOING TO GO, WHERE DID THAT COME FROM? OH, DON'T YOU KNOW? YOU SHOWED IT

AT THE PUBLIC HEARING. YOU SHOULD HAVE SHOWN UP TWO YEARS AGO AND YOU COULD HAVE SHARED YOUR CONCERNS THEN. WE NEVER KNEW ABOUT THIS. YOU DIDN'T READ THE PAPER THAT DAY. IT WAS AN AD IN THE PAPER. OK. I SENT IT OUT TO A COUPLE THOUSAND PEOPLE. HOW MUCH MORE DID COUNCIL HAVE TO DO TO GET PEOPLE ENGAGED IN THIS? I DON'T KNOW, BUT I'LL KEEP TRYING BECAUSE IT REALLY IS THE MOST SIGNIFICANT ZONING CHANGES. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S A BIGGER TIME THAT THIS HAPPENED, LET ME KNOW. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. AS I FOLLOW MY COLLEAGUES, AS THEY SPEAK ON THE FLOOR SHALL I JUST WANT TO ADD SOME THOUGHTS TO THE COMMENTS MADE. ONE, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS TALKED ABOUT GENERATIONAL CHANGES THAT WE'RE DEALING WITH. IN FACT, YES. HOW DO INTERPRET MAJOR CHANGES MADE IN A GENERATION? I SAID MAYBE. TO BE FAIR, OUR FIRST 50 YEARS WERE PRETTY ROUGH-AND-TUMBLE. BEFORE. THE RATE OF GROWTH FOR THE FIRST 40 YEARS WAS A LITTLE MUCH. COULDN'T HAVE BEEN THAT WELL REGULATED. POST WORLD WAR II, WE REALLY GOT INTO A GROOVE IN THE CITY, IN THE WESTERN WITH WORLD. WE GOT UP TO THAT ADVANTAGE IN NORTH AMERICA. WHAT WAS THE SECOND LARGEST ECONOMY? CANADA. HOW WAS THAT POSSIBLE? WORLD WAR II. WE WERE REALLY EXPANDING. WE WERE DOING IT PROPERLY. WE WERE DOING IT WELL. THAT WAS THE EMERGENCE OF THE MIDDLE CLASS, THE WORKING CLASS HAVING A SAY IN SOLIDARITY, TAKING THE SACRIFICES DURING THE WAR. IT LASTED OVER A GENERATION. IT TRULY WAS A GOLDEN AGE OF DEMOCRACY. PERFECT? NO BECAUSE WE HAD TO EVOLVE. IT WAS AN ERA WHERE WE COULD CHANGE THINGS. IT WAS AN ERA WHERE WE WERE EVOLVING FROM THE PAST. WAS IT A GOLDEN ERA? YEAH BECAUSE WE WERE EVOLVING FROM THE PAST AT A GOOD RATE.

WE'RE JUST TRYING TO WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, WE'RE JUST TRYING TO DETERMINE WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO FIVE CONSULTATIONS OR NOT. THANK YOU.

BECAUSE I'M TALKING ABOUT THE ESSENCE OF DEMOCRACY BECAUSE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT PLANNING. WAS SHE RULED OUT OF ORDER? ARE WE TALKING ABOUT GENERATIONAL CHANGES OR NOT. I SIT DOWN -- IS THIS A GENERATIONAL CHANGE OR NOT? IS COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW QUESTIONING OUR ADMINISTRATION OR NOT? CAN I KEEP ON TRACK HERE TALKING WITH GENERATIONAL CHANGES OR NOT. SO HERE WE ARE. WE USED TO HAVE MORE INPUT FROM PEOPLE. WE USED TO HAVE 50 COUNCILLORS. WE USED IT HAVE SMALLER COUNCILS. WE'VE JUST BEEN DISCUSSING AMONG FELLOW COUNCILLORS WHAT WILL BE THE ROLE OF THE COUNCIL ONCE WE MAKE THESE CHANGES. WE'LL BE HERE ALLOCATING COMMUNITY FUNDING AT OUR COMMUNITY MEETINGS. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHETHER THE PUBLIC IS BEING CONSULTED. WE'RE TALK THE ROLE -- I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY I'M EVEN BEING QUESTIONED HERE. WE'RE DEVOLVING, MADAM SPEAKER. SO HERE WE ARE, GENERATIONAL CHANGES. THESE ARE HUGE CHANGES WE'RE MAKING. THEY ARE MORE THAN GENERATIONAL. DO WE MAKE A CHANGE LIKE THIS A GENERATION AGO? NO. THIS IS BIGGER THAN ANYTHING WE DID IN 1972 WHEN WE CREATED THIS ONE BIG CITY. SO FOR GOODNESS' SAKES, MADAM SPEAKER, IF PEOPLE HAVE CONCERNS RIGHT NOW OVER THE ROLE OF DEMOCRACY IN WHAT COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW JUST SAID, THEIR OWN PRIVATE PROPERTY.

SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY. I HOPE WE'RE NOT OUT OF ORDER WHILE VOTING THE ROLE OF THE CITY COUNCIL ORDER EXISTENCE ON THESE THINGS WHILE COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW JUST SAID THAT THE BRIEF CONSULTATION WE HAD WAS MISLEADING AND HE WAS EXTREMELY IN HOW IT WAS MISLEADING. LET ME SAY THAT WE HAD THIS HAPPEN 50 YEARS AGO? NO. BUT LET'S NOT TALK ABOUT THAT. LET'S NOT COMPARE OURSELVES TO ANOTHER GENERATION. LET'S TURN OUR BACK ON THAT. LET'S TURN OUR BACK ON OUR ELDERS IN TERMS OF THE PROGRESS THEY MADE THAT WE DIDN'T. OH, THAT'S GOOD. MADAM SPEAKER, WE'RE NOT IN THE GOLDEN AGE. MADAM SPEAKER, WE'RE DEVOLVING, MADAM SPEAKER. DEMOCRACY SEEMS TO BE MEANING LESS. AM I EXAGGERATING HERE? AM I MISSING THE POINT? I'M REALLY NOT TRYING TO BE SENSATIONAL. I'M JUST TRYING TO SAY WE USED TO DO BETTER. WHAT'S WRONG THAT? TODAY WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 150 YEARS AND MORE ABOUT TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION BECAUSE OF THE THINGS WE DID WRONG. BUT SOMEHOW WHEN IT COMES TO DEVELOPMENT, WE'RE NOT ALLOWED TO TALK ABOUT THAT.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER,ING TO THE NEED OF MORE CONSULTATION, THAT'S FINE.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: YES, SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW WAS BEING SPECIFIC. CONGRATULATIONS TO HIM ABOUT IT. BUT I'D LIKE TO MAKE A POINT THAT THESE VERY SPECIFIC INCIDENTS, EXAMPLES THAT HE USED, THIS IS NOT NEW TO CITY HALL. IT MIGHT THAT HOW IT'S APPLIED RIGHT NOW WHEN IT COMES TO PROPERTY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT. IT'S THE SAME TYPE USED ALREADY AT CITY HALL WHEN IT COMES TO THE FACT WE DON'T WANT IT DEAL WITH CONSTRUCTION INFLATION. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW JUST SAID, WE NEED AN ADMINISTRATION THAT IS NEUTRAL. THEY NEED TO BE PROFESSIONAL. THEY NEED TO BE OBJECTIVE. THEY DON'T NEED TO BE AS IDEOLOGICAL AS THE POLITICIANS. IT'S HUMAN NATURE FOR ANYBODY TO BE POLITICAL IN IDEOLOGY. SO WE HAVE TO QUESTION EVERYBODY. OF COURSE. THEY ARE HUMAN BEINGS LIKE US. NO MORE, NO LESS. WE ALWAYS HAVE TO KEEP OURSELVES IN CHECK AND BALANCE. THAT'S WHAT DEMOCRACY IS SUPPOSED TO DO.

IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE ROBUST. THAT'S LITERALLY OUR JOB. AS COUNCILLOR WYATT PUT, WE STAND IN JUDGEMENT OF THE OPERATION. THAT'S OUR JOB. WHAT COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW SAID TODAY, GOOD FOR HIM. THAT'S TRUE. DO WE APPLY THAT WHEN IT COMES TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT? WHEN IT COMES TO THE FACT THE RATE OF INFLATION IN OUR BUDGET. THE MAIN CAUSE FOR WHY WE RAISE TAXES.

ARE WE HAVING FIVE? MORE MEETINGS OR ARE WE HAVING 15? IT'S NOT ABOUT CONSTRUCTION INFLATION. THIS IS WAY OUT OF ORDER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR, LET'S NOT VEER AROUND INTO CONSULTATION. IF YOU WANT IT CONTINUE ON THAT PATH.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THE QUESTION, AND WE TALKED ABOUT THIS BEFORE. HOUSING IS BASED ON WHAT? HOUSING IS CONSTRUCTION. WHY ARE WE ASKING FOR SUBSIDIES FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT?

TO FIVE MORE CONSULTATIONS OR 15? THAT IS THE MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE POINT HAS BEEN MADE. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THERE'S MORE TO SAY. HAVING SAID THAT, YEAH, I THINK SOMETIMES WE NEED TO GET BACK TO BASICS AND THAT GOOD OLD THING ABOUT LOCAL DEMOCRACY. IF MUNICIPAL DEMOCRACY IS THAT IMPORTANT, I THINK WHEN IT COMES TO SOMETHING THAT COUNCILLOR ROLLINS HAS CALLED THE GENERATIONAL CHANGE, AND I THINK IT'S MORE THAN THAT. THEREFORE, I THINK CONSULTATION IS IMPORTANT THE NATURE OF THINGS. THAT'S WHERE WE STAND, MADAM SPEAKER. THAT'S WHY WE'RE HAVING A CONFLICT RIGHT NOW. WE HAVE TO ASK OURSELVES, WHY ARE WE EVEN DOING THIS? IT'S BECAUSE THE COSTS ARE GOING UP, RELATIVE TO PEOPLE'S ABILITY TO PAY. LET'S FACE IT. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER IS COUNCILLOR EADIE FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: MADAM SPEAKER, I RISE. I WILL BE SUPPORTING COUNCILLOR MAYES' MOTION TO AMEND AND I THANK HIM FOR THE GOOD WORK THAT HE DOES ON THIS KIND OF THING. MADAM SPEAKER, I HEARD THE DEBATE ON THE REPORT. AND I HEARDS IN DISCUSSION HERE, MADAM SPEAKER. SO I THINK WE NEED TO BE CLEAR ABOUT LIKE WE'RE GOING TO DO THIS CONSULTATION. THERE'S GOING TO BE MORE CONSULTATION. BUT WE ALL NEED TO UNDERSTAND THIS IN CONTEXT. SO WE, THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, THE MAYOR, STANDING UP AND SAYING PEOPLE HAVE' RIGHT TO HOUSING IT BE BE FROM THEY NEED TO HAVE AFFORDABLE HOUSING. TECHNICALLY, THEY NEED SOCIAL HOUSING. SO WE AS A CITY COMING OUT OF THAT 2022 ELECTION, WE'RE LOOKING FOR THINGS. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NOW, ON THE OTHER HAND, BECAUSE WE HAVE A LOT OF NEW IMMIGRATION AND A LOT OF GROWTH IN ALL OUR CITIES ACROSS THE COUNTRY, NEEDING AN ABILITY TO DO IT. SO FIRST THING WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND IS THE RIGHT TO HAVE HOUSING IS A HUMAN RIGHT. NOW THE RIGHT TO BUILD FOUR UNITS ON A PRIVATE PROPERTY IS A PROPERTY RIGHT. AND IN THIS CITY, IN THIS COUNTRY, WE HAVE REGULATION ON PROPERTY RATES. YOU CAN'T JUST WILLY-NILLY GO AHEAD AND DO WHAT YOU WANT TO DO. SO WE HAVE THESE TWO DIFFERENT RATES, AND WE HAVE A NEED NOT JUST FOR PEOPLE TO HAVE HOUSING THAT THEY CAN AFFORD WHEN THEY ARE POOR AND TRYING TO REBUILD THEIR LIVES AND COME BACK. THAT'S SOCIAL HOUSING, AND GOVERNMENT HAS TO SUBSIDIZE THAT JUST BECAUSE. IT'S A HUMAN RIGHT THAT A PRIVATE SECTOR, A PRIVATE PROPERTY DOESN'T HAVE. SO WE PROVIDE INCENTIVE FOR THE PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS TO BUILD ALL THESE DIFFERENT LEVELS OF AFFORDABILITY, WHICH IS OK, AND THEN REGULAR MARKET, WHATEVER THAT IS. I THINK THE HALF PROGRAMME SO FAR IS WORKING AS WELL AS INTENTIONED. BUT LET'S BE CLEAR HERE. WHAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS DONE IS THERE'S JUST A GENERAL NEED FOR HOUSING, AND THEY ARE USING MORAL SUASION ON CITIES THAT WILL ACCEPT THE DOLLARS THAT THEY ARE WILLING OF PUTTING ON THE TABLE. AS THE MAYOR KNOWS AND EVERYBODY KNOWS, WE HAVE ALL THESE REPORTS, INCREASE IN PROPERTY TAX, ALL THESE THINGS, TO AVOID A LOT OF THAT. WE DECIDED TO GO, WELL, IF THE FED HAS MONEY, LET'S TAKE IT. I'M GOING TO GET TO CONSULTING BECAUSE I'M GOING TO GET YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. IF YOU WAIT A MOMENT, YOU WILL HEAR IT.

BACK AND FORTH.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. I WAS DISTRACTED BECAUSE I GOT ANOTHER MEMBER SEND MEG A MESSAGE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: MADAM SPEAKER, I'M TRYING TO GET TO A POINT ABOUT A CONSULTATION HERE. WE HAVE PUBLIC SERVICE, WHO IS PUTTING A HECK OF A LOT OF WORK DUMPING INTO A MORAL APPROACH THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OF CANADA HAS USED IN THIS PROVINCE OF MANITOBA, ALONG WITH WORKING WITH -- WE CAN GET INTO OTHER THINGS WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT. MADAM SPEAKER, IS THAT THIS METHODOLOGY IS CAUSING SOME CONCERN FOR ME IN THAT THE PUBLIC SERVICE IS NOW CHARGED THAT SOLUTION, AND WE ARE MOVING FORWARD. COUNCIL ACCEPTED THAT. WE DIDN'T. NOW SOME PEOPLE DISAGREED. SOME AGREED. THE PUBLIC SERVICE IS DOING WORK AND HOPEFULLY, THEY WILL KEEP AN OPEN MIND AS THINGS MOVE ALONG. BUT IN THIS CONSULTATION WHEN WE'RE GOING OUT TO THE PEOPLE, LET'S BE FRANK. WE'RE GOING TO BE GOING OUT THERE, BUT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS DONE THIS, AND WE ARE WORKING TOWARDS IT. SO WE'RE GOING TO BE HAVING AN APPLICANT IN JUNE COME. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE HEARINGS AFTER THESE CONSULTATIONS. AND HOPEFULLY, WE CAN MAKE IT WORK. AND I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT. I THINK THE COMMUNITY COMMITTEE IDEAS THERE. BUT IN OUR DEBATE AND DISCUSSION, MADAM SPEAKER, WE'RE GOING TO GO TO THE THERE'S A LOT OF CONCERNS ABOUT PEOPLE WHO ARE VERY UPSET, MADAM SPEAKER, ABOUT GOVERNMENT TELLING ABOUT WHAT PEOPLE CAN AND CAN'T DO WITH PROPER FROM FROM THE AND SO FORTH. AGAIN, IN CONTEXT, WE'RE GOING TO GO OUT INTO THE COMMUNITIES. IT WILL ACTUALLY TAKE A GENERATION OR MORE TO HAVE BIG INFLUENCE ON THE WAY OUR NEIGHBOURHOODS LOOK AND FIELD. ANYWAY, I'M GOING TO LEAVE IT AT THAT, MADAM SPEAKER. I JUST HAD TO PUT THESE WORDS ON THE TABLE. WE ALL NEED TO KEEP IT IN CONTEXT BECAUSE IT CAN GET FROM QUITE -- ANYWAY, I'M JUST CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. ANYWAY, I'LL BE SUPPORTING THE AMENDMENT FOR CONSULTATIONS THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR EADIE. COUNCILLOR ALLARD?

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WILL ATTEMPT TO BE BRIEF. THANK YOU TO THE MEMBER OF SECOND RIM MOTION. I SUPPORT THIS INITIATIVE. I DO ALSO WANT TO TOM {SFRM} I HAVE A MEETING WITH PUBLIC SERVICE THAT I THOUGHT ABOUT ISSUES THAT WERE IMPORTANT, IN PARTICULAR, IN? A PLANNING DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY. THAT PLANNING DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY WAS UPDATED AFTER I WAS ELECTED AFTER MUCH DISCUSSION AND CONSULTATION WITH THE COMMUNITY. I THINK COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW HAD MADE SOME COMMENTS ABOUT WANTING SOME ANSWERS RELATING TO THINGS HAD A ARE SIMILAR IN THE CITY. I ALSO WANTED TO SAY TO ONE'S REMARKS, I DO NOT EXPECT PUBLIC SERVICE TO BE NEUTRAL IN THIS, AS THEY ARE THE APPLICANTS. MY EXPERIENCE BECAUSE WE DO HAVE THIS OPERATIONAL SYSTEM WHEN IT COMES TO ZONING FOR OR AGAINST, OR NORMALITY OR IN. I DON'T THINK THEY ARE IN A SITUATION WHERE THEY ARE IMPARTIAL AND APPLICANTS, SO I WOULD NOT EXPECT THEM TO BE IMPARTIAL. SO I WANTED TO PUT THAT REFLECTION ON THE RECORD, RELATIVE TO WHAT I HEARD EARLIER. I DO INTEND ON SUPPORTING THIS. AND I'VE HAD PRELIMINARY READINGS. I HOPE SOME OF THOSE -- SOME OF THE THINGS WE TALK ABOUT ARE CONCERNED, INCLUDING THE PLANNING DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY. WE

HAVE RECENT HAVE A PATH FORWARD THERE IN TERMS OF COMPART IN -- COMPATABILITY AND SOME OF THE REQUIREMENTS THAT WERE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE PLANNING DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY. THERE HAVE ALSO BEEN SOME CHANGES IN THE PLAN SINCE I WAS ELECTED IN 2014, AND THEY HAVE MEANT SIGNIFICANT INCREASES IN THE ALLOWABLE DENSITIES IN NORTH St. BONIFACE. AND I THINK WHILE RESIDENTS CAME FORWARD AND SUPPORTED THE PLAN, WE HAVE ONE AND I'M HOPING THAT SOME OF THOSE ELEMENTS WILL BE -- WILL BE INTEGRATED AS PART OF THIS ZONING UPDATE. I'M ONLY BRINGING THIS UP NOW BECAUSE AS A MATTER OF PUBLIC HEARING, THIS IS THE FORM WHERE WE MAY NOT NEED A PUBLIC HEARING THE NOW, BUT THIS IS THE MOST APPROPRIATE FORM I CAN THINK OF IN TERMS OF WHAT I PERCEIVED AND WHAT I'M HOPING FOR IN THE PROPOSED UPDATE. SO THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ALLARD. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE SEEMS TO BE INTERESTING DEBATE ON THIS. I WANTED TO THANK COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, COUNCILLOR DOBSON, COUNCILLOR SHARMA FOR ATTENDING THE OPEN HOUSE AT THE R.B.C. CONVENTION CENTRE. THERE WAS A LOT OF INTERESTING PERSPECTIVES ON THIS. SOME THAT DID NOT THINK WE WENT FAR ENOUGH AND OTHERS THAT THOUGHT PEOPLE WERE COMING TO TAKE THEIR HOMES. AND THUS, THEIR CITY AND THEIR CONTROL. SO I RESPECT EVERYONE'S OPINIONS. I'D ALSO LIKE TO VIRTUALLY, TO MY KNOWLEDGE. NO OTHER COUNCILLOR DAYS ATTEND.

MADAM SPEAKER: I DID.

I SAID COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: VIRTUALLY AS WELL.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: YES, MADAM SPEAKER. JUST TO COUNCILLOR SCHREYER'S POINTS, I SUPPOSE. YOU KNOW, WE HAVE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY AS COUNCILLORS TO TAKE PART IN A COMMUNITY COMMITTEE DISCUSSION WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE AND THE CONSULTANT. WE HAVE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY. JUST DOWNSTAIRS HERE WE HAVE OPPORTUNITIES TO PARTICIPATE VIRTUALLY IN A TOWN PUBLIC FORUM. WE HAD AN PARTICIPATE IN PERSON FOR A PUBLIC FORUM. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER HAS CHOSEN NOT TO DO THAT. SO I UNDERSTAND MAYBE SOME OF HIS CONFUSION AROUND THIS. AND I'M HAPPY TO MEET WITH HIM, MADAM SPEAKER. TO CONTINUE THIS DISCUSSION BECAUSE I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT ALL OF MY COUNCIL CALLS.

MADAM SPEAKER: I WANT TO HEAR COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: I SAID POINT OF ORDER. THAT'S WHAT I DO. SO POINT OF ORDER IS HE REFERRING TO MY COMMENTS OR COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW? I WAS REFERRING TO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW'S.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR DUNCAN CAN RESTATE. I THINK HE'S POINTING AT YOUR ABSENCE AT MEETINGS.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I'M JUST SAYING COUNCILLOR SCHREYER, THROUGH YOU MADAM SPEAKER, I UNDERSTAND HIS CONFUSION ON THIS BECAUSE SOME COUNCILLORS HAVE CHOSEN TO GO TO THESE HAVE BEEN FACILITATED BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE, THE CONSULTANT AND COUNCIL COLLEAGUES. I AGAIN EXTEND MY OFFER TO MEET WITH ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES, JUST AS I HAVE WITH COUNCILLOR GILROY, JUST AS I HAVE WITH COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. I HAD MANY HEALTHY DEBATES WITH COUNCILLOR MAYES.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR DUNCAN SHALL WE'RE TALKING ABOUT PUBLIC CONSULTATION.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: AND THIS IS A PIECE OF IT. WE GO FORWARD NOW TO COUNCILLOR MAYES'S AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION OF FIVE. I SUPPORT THAT, MADAM SPEAKER. WITH THE PUBLIC OR THE ADMINISTRATION? OR MEMBERS OF HIS COUNCIL INCLUDING THIS CHAMBER. AS HE SAID I'VE BEEN ABSENT FROM ALL THESE THINGS. OR IS HE CHOOSING SOMETHING MANY OTHER MEMBERS --

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER, THANK YOU. HE'S OFFERING MORE CONSULTATION TO ANY COUNCILLOR. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: YES, AGAIN, ON THE TABLE IF PEOPLE AREN'T CLEAR. LET US CONTINUE THE DISCUSSION, AND WE HAVE UNTIL THE FIRST WEEK OF JUNE WHEN WE WILL COME FORWARD YET AGAIN AND HEAR MANY SIDES TO THE DEBATE FOR SEVERAL DAYS, POTENTIALLY, ON END. AT WHAT POINT IN TIME. HERE IS THE BRILLIANT PART OF COUNCIL, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT I HAVE LEARNED IN MY SHORT TIME HERE. COUNCIL IS SUPREME AND WE CAN CHANGE WHATEVER WE LIKE. THAT WILL BE OPPORTUNITY.

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

SPEAKER, POINT OF ORDER. YOU KNOW, I APPRECIATE WHERE THE COUNCILLOR IS COMING FROM, BUT I THINK IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MORE HELPFUL JUST TO SUM UP ON THE DEBATE AS POINTED OUT BY THE MAYOR, AND MADAM SPEAKER, THAT THE CHAIR IS QUICK TO CALL CERTAIN MEMBERS OF -- I LOOK FORWARD TO SAME KIND OF RULING WITH MEMBERS OF AS WELL. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER IS BACK TO YOU, COUNCILLOR MAYES TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: EVERYBODY IS LOOKING FORWARD TO THAT WEEK TOGETHER IN JUNE. I CAN TELL. THAT WENT REASONABLY WELL I THOUGHT. YES. GOOD THAT WE ALL SEEM TO BE ON THE SAME PAGE. SOME OF US WERE ON ZERO MEETINGS SOME. WERE ON 15. NOW WE'RE ON FIVE. OK, I'M PLEASED WITH THAT. FOUR THINGS. JEFF PATTON WHO IS RETIRING. CONGRATULATE HIM ON THAT. I ALWAYS LIKE YOUR MUSICAL REFERENCES BECAUSE I ALWAYS GET YOUR MUSICAL REFERENCES BECAUSE WE'RE CLOSE IN AGE. IN HONOUR OF JEFF PATTEN, LET THE MUSIC DO THE TALKING. THAT'S IN ESSENCE WHAT WE'RE SAYING. LET'S HAVE MORE OF THESE MEETINGS. LET'S LET THE PEOPLE LOOK

AT WHAT'S BEING PROPOSED INACCURATE DRAWINGS OR NOT. THEY CAN ASK QUESTIONS. LET'S JUST HAVE MORE OF THAT. LET'S HAVE PEOPLE -- IT'S -- WE SHOULD HAVE MORE CONSULTATIONS. SEEMS LIKE WE'RE ON THE SAME PAGE. GOOD. NUMBER TWO, LET'S NOT DEMONIZE THE PEOPLE WHO MIGHT HAVE QUESTIONS OR OPPONENTS. I'VE SAT AND OPPOSED THIS. THE MAYOR IN ONE OF THESE SESSIONS HAD A GOOD LINE ABOUT YOU CAN HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THIS AND IT DOESN'T MEAN YOU'RE CRAZY. I'M PARAPHRASING. IF HE THINKS I'M NOT BEING ACCURATE OR GENEROUS, BUT IT WAS A GOOD COMMENT. BASICALLY, YOU CAN ACT CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. COME OUT AND ASK ABOUT IT. SO PEOPLE, WE SHOULD ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO DO THAT NOW AND IN JUNE, BECAUSE I'VE SAT HERE FOR YEARS AND HAD PEOPLE FROM GLENWOOD COME IN AND RAISE SOME OF THE CONCERNS THAT WE HAVE HAD ABOUT TREE, IT'S ABOUT GREEN SPACE. JUST VILIFY -- YOU'RE CLIMATE CHANGE DENIERS. ALL JUST TOTAL VILIFICATION. I GUESS OTHER PEOPLE CAN MAKE THE SAME ARGUMENTS. SO LET'S NOT DEMONIZE PEOPLE WHO HAVE QUESTIONS OR OF OPPOSITION. THERE WINNIPEG WHO HAVE GOOD POINTS, GOOD QUESTIONS. LET'S HEAR FROM THEM. FINAL THING. YOU KNOW, ALSO I AM PLEASED THAT WE'RE ON THE SAME PAGE. WHENEVER WE GET TO IT. OR TONIGHT. ALL OF THESE ECONOMIC ARGUMENTS. WE HAD THIS FANTASTIC SCENARIO RECENTLY WHERE SOME OF THE SAME PEOPLE WHO INSISTED THAT WE HAD TO PACK PEOPLE IN TO GLENWOOD, AND WE WOULDN'T VOTE ANYMORE AND WE SAVE ALL THIS MONEY. PEOPLE COULD USE THE EXISTING FACILITIES. SAME PEOPLE SAYING WE NEED A NEW SCHOOL TO REPLACE THE SCHOOL NOW. IT'S AN OLD SCHOOL. IT'S FROM THE 1950s. SO WHY SHOULD NEW AREAS BE GETTING STUFF? IT KIND OF DEFEATS THE ARGUMENT. WE'RE GOING TO DO ALL THIS FOUR PLEXES SO WE'LL SAVE ALL THIS MONEY, BECAUSE WE WON'T BUILD THIS STUFF, BUT WE'LL BUILD THIS STUFF BECAUSE WE HAVE ALL THESE PEOPLE HERE NOW. LET'S JUST HAVE A STRAIGHT-UP DEBATE. IT'S THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SAYING YOU CAN DO IN THIS, YOU GET MONEY. THAT'S WHAT THIS IS. IT'S TRYING TO FIND THE NUANCE OF IT, SO NUANCE. COFFEE. I REMEMBER SOMEBODY FROM MY WORK STARTED IN ON THEIR STREETS AND STUFF. IT'S A NICE LITTLE STREET. IT'S FROM THE 1960s. PEOPLE WHO PAY THEIR TAXES, DON'T WANT TO KNOW ABOUT THIS, DON'T HAVE' CHANCE TO CONSULT. AND THERE ARE WAYS. LIKE WE SHOULDN'T HAVE ON THE MALLS AND CORRIDORS, WHICH THE MAYOR RAN ON. ON THE MALLS AND CORRIDORS WE HAVE RESPECT FOR, HOW WIDE IS THE STREET RIGHT AWAY? THAT SHOULD INFLUENCE THE HEIGHT. WE ACTUALLY HAD THAT IN THE GUIDELINES TO COUNT FOR GILROY'S CREDIT. WE HAD STUFF IN THERE ABOUT THE RIGHT OF WAY. SO WE HAVEN'T TALKED ABOUT THAT AT ALL YET. THAT SHOULD BE PART OF OUR DEBATE IN JUNE, I THINK. FOR SOME OF THE SMALLER LITTLE STREETS, MAYBE THEY CAN TAKE AS MANY FOUR-PLEXES AS SOME OF THE BIGGER STREETS. NOT A REVOLUTIONARY CONCEPT WE HAD IN MALLS. SO WE WILL COME TO THAT IN OUR WEEK TOGETHER STARTING ON JUNE 2nd. BUT THIS AT LEAST IS PROMISING. I WANT TO THANK THE MAYOR WHO SUPPORTED THIS COMPROMISE. MAYBE IT'S A GOOD START, A GOOD WAY TO HEAD INTO THE HEARING IN JUNE. TO BE FAIR TO PUBLIC SERVICE, THEY HAVE BEEN LISTENING ON SOME OF THESE POINTS. THE DRAFT THAT THE PUBLIC IS SEEING IS CERTAINLY REFLECTING SOME OF THE CONCERNS THAT WE STARTED TO HAVE WITH THIS SESSION MENTIONED IN NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER. I KNOW THAT HE'S CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. WE ALL ARE. SO HOPEFULLY, WE'LL HAVE A CHANCE TO GET SOME PUBLIC INPUT. MAYBE THE PUBLIC SERVICE WILL HAVE SOME CHANGES, AND WE'LL KNOW THE FEDERAL ELECTION RESULTS, AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO A WEEK OF HEARINGS STARTING JUNE 2nd. PEOPLE SHOULD START SIGNING UP. I KNOW WE'LL HAVE A LOT OF DELEGATIONS. BUT IT IS A GOOD PROMISING START HERE THAT WE'RE ALL LANDING ON THE SAME PAGE HERE. PUBLIC INPUT. THANK

YOU FOR YOUR EFFORTS ON THIS, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. WITH THAT I'LL CALL THE QUESTION. ON AMENDING MOTION 2. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED. I GUESS WE'LL NEED A VOTE ON THE MAIN ITEM AS AMENDED. THERE WASN'T ANY MOTION, Mr. CLERK, ON THIS? YEAH, THAT WAS. OK. THANK YOU. NEXT. YES, THE ITEM AS AMENDED. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED. THANK YOU FOR THAT. WE'LL NOW HAVE QUESTION PERIOD OR IS IT BYLAWS? BYLAWS FIRST.

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I MOVE THAT BYLAW NUMBER 362025 BE READ A FIRST TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED.

BYLAW OF OF 2025.

THANK YOU. I MOVE THAT FOLLOWING BYLAWS BE READ A SECOND TIME, BYLAW NUMBER 103, 2024. BYLAW NUMBER 18, 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: BYLAW NUMBER 23, 2024. BYLAW NUMBER 18, 2025.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I MOVE BYLAWS 2023, AND 18 OF 2025 BE READ A THIRD TIME AND PASSED SIGNED AND SEALED.

ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY, CARRIED. NEXT QUESTION, Mr. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: MADAM SPEAKER, I MOVE THE FOLLOWING BYLAWS BE READ A FIRST TIME BYLAWS 32, 2025. BYLAW 24, 2025. BYLAW 35, 2025, BYLAW 37, 2025, AND BYLAW 38, 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: BYLAW 32 OF 2025, BYLAW 34 OF 2025, BYLAW 35 OF 2025, BYLAW 37 OF 2025, BYLAW 38 OF 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. Mr. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU. I MOVE BYLAWS 32 OF 2025, 34 OF 2025, 35 OF 2025, 37 OF 2025, AND 38 OF 2025 BE READ A SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED.

34 AND 35.

MADAM SPEAKER: 34 AND 35. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

MADAM SPEAKER: I DON'T SEE 36 HERE. YEAH, THAT WAS FIRST READING ALREADY. SO WE KNOW DID YOU WANT 34 AND 35?

BYLAW NUMBER 32 OF 2025, BYLAW 34 OF 2025, BYLAW 35 OF 2025, BYLAW 37 OF 2025, BYLAW 38 OF 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: MAD 578 SPEAKER, I MOVE THE RULE BE SUSPENDED AND BYLAWS OF 35 OF 2025, 37 OF 2025, AND 38 OF 2025 BE READ A THIRD TIME. 23 AND 24 TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. COUNCILLOR WYATT? THE NUMBERS? OK THAT'S NOTED. COUNCILLOR WYATT IN OPPOSITION. THE REST CARRIES. WE'LL NOW HAVE QUESTION PERIOD FOR THE MAYOR. COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. MAYBE THEY CAN PUT IT TOGETHER. SO I'M HAVING NOW THAT IT'S WARMING UP, AND IT'S SNOWING RIGHT NOW. THAT DIDN'T WORK OUT WELL.

IT'S A WARM SNOW.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: WE'RE STARTING TO SEE -- INCREASE WITH THE WARMER WEATHER EVEN THOUGH IT'S SNOWING TODAY. SO WE'RE STARTING IT SEE OUR BUS SHELTERS REALLY IMPACTED. BOB CHRISTMAS HAS BEEN VERY GOOD TRYING TO UNPACK IT. I'VE BEEN WONDERING BETWEEN YOU, COUNCILLOR LUKES AND THE PROVINCE, IF THERE COULD BE A LOOK AT EXPANDING STREET LENGTHS, OR AN ORGANIZATION THAT CAN REALLY HELP TO HOW'S THE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE IN SHE'S SHELTERS. I'M VERY CONCERNED THAT THIS ISN'T GOING TO GO AWAY. AND SO COUPLED WITH POVERTY -- SORRY, THE PROVINCIAL PLAN ON HOMELESSNESS AND YOUR WORK ON THAT. YOU CAN MAYBE TALK WITH THE PROVINCE ON THAT. A FOLLOWUP QUESTION WITH THAT WOULD BE IF WE HAVE TO REMOVE -- BECAUSE I'M GETTING LOTS OF QUESTIONS FROM PEOPLE WANTING TO RES. I DON'T WANT TO REMOVE THE BUS SHACKS BECAUSE THEY ARE THERE FOR PEOPLE NEEDING IT USE TRANSIT. I'M AFRAID OF GETTING THOSE BUS SHACKS BACK IF THERE ARE SOME THAT GET REMOVED. MY QUESTION IS, WOULD I BE ABLE TO -- SOMEHOW, CAN THE DEPARTMENT KEEP TRACK THAT THOSE THINGS CAN COME BACK SO THERE ISN'T AN ADDED COST LATER ON TO THE TRAVEL SERVICE WHEN BUS SHELTERS NEED TO BE REMOVED? SO THOSE ARE MY QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. Mr. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THROUGH YOU MADAM SPEAKER, I WANT TO THANK MY COLLEAGUE FOR THE QUESTIONS. OF COURSE I CAN'T ANSWER FOR COUNCILLOR LUKES, BUT I'M CONFIDENT IN SAYING BETWEEN COUNCILLOR LUKES AND MYSELF, WE CAN MAKE SURE COUNCILLOR GILROY'S CONCERNS

AND THEY ARE FAIR CONCERNS ABOUT THE IDEA OF REMOVAL OF WHETHER IT COMES BACK OR NOT. WE CAN LOOK INTO THAT AND ADDRESS. THAT I DO APPRECIATE YOU RAISING THAT CONCERN. IN REGARDS TO THE FIRST MATTER OF THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE RIGHT NOW WHO NEED HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS UNHOUSED, UNSHELTERED. THE COUNCIL IS RIGHT. WE AS A CITY CONTINUES TO WORK WITH THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. RECENTLY, I HAD A MEETING WITH MINISTER SMITH. JARED BAKER WAS THERE WITH ME, MY CHIEF OF STAFF WAS THERE AS WELL. AS COLLEAGUES NOW, LADY WHITEGLOVE HAS BEEN HIRED TO REALLY I'LL USE THE WORD QUARTERBACK TO THE WORK THAT'S BEING DONE. RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE JUST NOT ENOUGH HOUSING UNITS FOR ALL THE PEOPLE THAT NEED HOUSING, AS WE KNOW. INCREMENTALLY THE PROVINCE, I WON'T SPEAK WITH THEM. INCREMENTALLY, THEY ARE IDENTIFYING UNITS TO ADDRESS THESE NEEDS. ONE OF THE INDIVIDUALS -- THE GROUPS BEING SPOKEN TO IS St. BONIFACE. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU KNOW HOW THE RELATIONSHIP IS GOING WITH THEIR INVOLVEMENT ARE NOT INVOLVEMENT IN THE OVERALL PLAN. I DON'T HAVE THE LATEST INFORMATION ON THAT. ALL THAT TO CONTINUE TO TRY TO BRING PROGRESS. IT'S NOT GOING FAST ENOUGH. IT'S NOT GOING FAST ENOUGH FOR ANYBODY. THERE'S NOT ENOUGH UNITS AVAILABLE RIGHT NOW TO MEET THE NEED. WE CONTINUE TO BE ON IT. IF THE COUNCILLOR OR ANY COUNCILLOR HAS SPECIFIC LOCATIONS, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT ANY ENCAMPMENTS THAT YOU'D LIKE TO BE ADDRESSED PLEASE, LET'S WORK TOGETHER ON THAT. I KNOW THAT AGAIN, IF ANYONE HAS QUESTIONS FOR JARED BAKER FROM MY OFFICE, PLEASE LET US KNOW. HE CAN BRING PEOPLE UP TO DATE AS WELL ON SOME OF THE SPECIFIC ENCAMPMENTS IF HE HAS INFORMATION ON THAT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? SEEING NONE, WE'LL MOVE ON. IS IT THE WILL OF COUNCIL TO TAKE A SHORT HEALTH BREAK AT THIS TIME? MAYBE 25 MINUTES? OK 15. YOU KNOW YOU'RE GOING TO COME BACK IN HALF AN HOUR ANYWAYS. 20 OR 25 MINUTES. OK. THERE'S BEEN A FEW REQUESTS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING. I'D LIKE TO RECONVENE THE COUNCIL MEETING OF MARCH 27th 20, 25. WE'RE ON THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. COUNCILLOR BROWATY, THE CHAIR.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE 2025, ONE AND TWO.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU ONE AND TWO. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. OK. WE HAVE NO MOTIONS, NO BYLAWS. ANY QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR BROWATY? ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR? OK. NEXT IS THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE POWER AND DEVELOPMENT. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'D LIKE TO ADVISE ITEM TWO IS LAID OVER TO APRIL 22nd, 2025 MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE REPORT AND MOVE ADOPTION OF CONSENT AGENDAS ITEM ONE AND THREE, 3 THROUGH 14.

MADAM SPEAKER: THERE'S NO DEBATE. IT'S LAID OVER, EPC. OK. IT'S JUST FOR INFORMATION. IT IS LAID OVER. DEFINITELY. ANY ITEMS TO BE PULLED? NO?

VOTE IN OPPOSITION TO NUMBER 12.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM CALLED TO QUESTION THEN. OK, SORRY. 6 AND WE'LL DO 12 AS WELL. WE NEED TO FOR RECORD IN OPPOSITION.

MADAM SPEAKER, I CAN CALL INTO QUESTION ITEMS ONE AND THREE.

MADAM SPEAKER: YOU'RE PULLING DOWN ONE?

SORRY. NO, I WILL MOVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1, 3 THROUGH 5, 7 THROUGH 14.

MADAM SPEAKER: OK. COUNCILLOR WYATT? COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW? TWO? TWO? 12. 12 ZONING AGREEMENT AMENDMENT 915 MacMILLAN AVENUE. OWES COUNCILLOR GILROY?

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: SORRY, MADAM SPEAKER. MY INTENTION WAS TO ALLOW FOR THOSE IN OPPOSITION TO BE RECORDED IN OPPOSITION. IS THAT POSSIBLE?

MADAM SPEAKER: WE'LL GET TO THAT. COUNCILLOR, ITEM SIX WAS PULLED DOWN BY? COUNCILLOR WYATT. OK. AND THEN ITEM 12 IS PULLED. THE REST WILL CALL THE QUESTION ON. 1, 3 THROUGH 5, 7 THROUGH 11, 13 AND 14. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED.

ITEM SIX, EXTENSION OF TIME REZONING KENASTON ON IT LOCATED SOUTH OF 1031 SOUTH OF KENASTON DZ 2022.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM SIX WAS COUNCILLOR WYATT?

COUNCILLOR WYATT: YEAH. MAY I SPEAK?

MADAM SPEAKER: YES.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I VOTED AGAINST THIS IN THE COMMITTEE. THIS WAS A MATTER THAT WAS SIMILAR IT ANOTHER MATTER IN TERMS OF DEALING WITH THE COMPANY THAT IS OWED A LITTLE BIT OF MONEY. LET'S KEEP IN MIND THAT AN EXTENSION OF ZONING, A TIME FOR ZONING IS TYPICALLY GRANTED BY THE CITY, BUT IT IS NOT AN AUTOMATIC. WE HAVE IN THE PAST NOT GRANTED EXTENSIONS OF TIME FOR ZONING, INCLUDING ON LANDS ZONED BY THE SEA. IN MY PARCEL CITY OWNED ITS OWN LAND. WHEN I LEFT COUNCIL, THEY LET IT EXPIRE. ZONE RFNL. NOT ONE PERSON SHOWED UP IN OPPOSITION. ANYWAY, THAT'S BESIDE THE POINT. THE REAL ISSUE HERE IS THAT WE HAVE A PROPERTY HERE, WHICH WE REZONED AT LEAST PART OF A SALE TRANSACTION THE CITY HAD UNDERTAKEN WITH THE VERY ENTITY THAT WE'RE NOW ATTEMPTING TO RESOLVE, AND I'LL SPEAK THE NAME. THEY WERE THE ONES WHO FILED FOR THE EXTENSION OF TIME. AND FRANKLY, IT'S UP TO US. WE SHOULD NOT NECESSARILY DENY THE EXTENSION OF TIME, BUT LAYING OVER AND PART OF THE DISCUSSION THAT'S UNDER WAY, BECAUSE FRANKLY, I DON'T SEE ANY BENEFIT TO THE CITY ON ONE HAND TO BE ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT AND ON THE OTHER HAND, WE ARE SIMPLY TRYING FOR AN

EXTENSION YOU'D THERE ARE TWO SEPARATE CASES. INDEED, THERE ARE. BUT IT'S THE SAME COMPANY. I BELIEVE THERE ARE ISSUES THAT WE NEED TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION NOW THAT WE'RE NOT TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION THAT WAS INITIALLY DONE. AND I THINK WHAT I'VE SAID IS FAIR AND REASONABLE. WE HAVE TO ACCEPT APPLICATIONS. WE'VE DONE THAT. WE HAVE TO PROCESS THE APPLICATIONS, DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS. WE HAVE TO SAY YEA OR NAY TO TIME AND ZONING OF THE APPLICATIONS. WE DO NOT NECESSARILY NEED TO APPROVE APPLICATIONS. WE CAN DENY APPLICATIONS. WE CAN ALSO DENY EXTENSION TIME. I DON'T SEE ANYTHING WRONG WITH THAT. IN THIS CASE, IF SOMEBODY WANTS TO REFER BACK AND HAVE IT AS PART OF A GREATER DISCUSSION THAT'S UNDER WAY, WONDERFUL. BUT FOR US TO SIMPLY GO FORWARD ON THIS IS SHORTSIGHTED IN LIGHT OF THE OVERALL PICTURE HERE. THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS, MADAM CHAIR. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO CLOSE.

CALL TO QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. COUNCILLOR WYATT IN OPPOSITION. NEXT ITEM Mr. CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM 12, ZONING AGREEMENT AMENDMENT 915 MacMILLAN AVENUE 10-2024.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I'LL HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: IN FACT, THE MATTER BEFORE US WAS A SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE INsofar as the PARKING WAS ONCE UNDERGROUNDS GOING TO BE SURFACED. SO AS FAR AS WE HAD A LARGE BUILDING THAT WAS GOING TO HAVE BALCONIES AND THEN THERE WERE NONE. IT WAS GOING COMMERCIAL ON THE MAIN FLOOR, AND THEN THERE WAS GOING TO NONE. SO THE CITY CENTRE SO CHANGE AND UNANIMOUSLY VOTED AGAINST THIS ITEM AS IT HAD BEFORE, NOTICED THE SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE AND OPERATING DEVELOPMENT DID THE OPPOSITE. I STOOD IT DOWN TO BE CONSISTENT WITH MY VOTE WITH THE COMMUNITY, MADAM SPEAKER. I WOULD LIKE A RECORDED VOTE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW?

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. PEOPLE HAD THEIR OPPORTUNITY TO VOICE THEIR CONCERNS EVEN THOUGH IT GOT OVERTURNED LATER ON, BUT IT'S PART OF THE PRO I JUST WANT TO GET UP AND SAY WHY I FELT THAT THE ISSUE WITH MAYBE WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH ALL THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEES IN THE FUTURE. IN THE OLD DAYS WHEN I WAS A YOUNG LAD. WE KIND OF RESPECTED THE COMMUNITY COMMITTEE'S DECISIONS. WE DID. THAT IS SOMETHING TERRIBLY SCARY. NONE OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS SAID NO, WE SHOULD HAVEN'T HIGH-DENSITY

THERE. NONE OF US SAID THAT. SOME OF US WERE LOOKING AT DIFFERENT FEATURES. FOR EXAMPLE, WHAT THEY USED TO HAVE WAS A STAGGER DOWN. SO THE NEIGHBOUR ADJOINING NEIGHBOUR WOULDN'T HAVE THIS -- I'M NOT GOING TO SAY FOUR-STOREY BUILDING RIGHT BESIDE THEM, BUT IT CAME DOWN TO THREE STORIES, AND THEN IT WENT UP. THEY HAD SETBACKS AND THERE WERE FACADES THAT WERE QUITE NEXT ACTUALLY. DIFFERENT STYLES. DIFFERENT WINDOWS WERE REPLACED, NOT IN THIS HORIZONTAL LINE THAT LOOKS LIKE YOU GOT IT FROM HOME DEPOT AND PUT THEM STRAIGHT ACROSS. IT HAD DIFFERENT TEXTURES, DIFFERENT WINDOW PANES. I LOOK AT THIS WINDOW, YOU TRY TO SQUEEZE IT AS MUCH AS IT POSSIBLY COULD AND TOOK AWAY ANY OF THE GOOD FEATURES THE OTHER PROPOSAL HAD. I JUST FELT THEY COULD DO BETTER. THEY DIDN'T REALLY TRY IT TO DO BETTER. HE DECIDED THAT I'M GOING TO DO 400-SQUARE FOOT UNITS AND TAKING PARKING FROM THE BOTTOM. NOW WE HAVE MORE PARKING IN THE BACK. BUT THERE WAS NO GIFT FOR THE DEVELOPER. THERE WAS TAPE. HE WOULD JUST REMOVE STUFF. THE NEIGHBOURHOOD REALLY THOUGHT IT WAS SOMETHING GOOD, SOMETHING LIKE SOME OF THE SETBACKS. IT DIDN'T LOOK SO BLOCKY. IT HAD NICE DIFFERENT FEATURES. IT HAD DIFFERENT TYPES OF FACADES, AGAIN. I LIKE DESIGN GUIDE ON THE RESIDENTIAL SIDE VERSUS THE STAFFORD SIDE. WE TRIED TO ACTIVATE STAFFORD INTO GROVER SQUARE, RIGHT NEXT TO SOME COUNCILLOR'S OFFICE. BUT IT'S GOING TO BE A THING THAT WOULD ACTUALLY ACTIVATE GROVER SQUARE WITH A LITTLE MORE COMMERCIAL. SO IT WAS VERY, VERY SUBSTANTIAL. BOTTOM LINE, NO, WE'RE NOT AGAINST IT. I THINK THERE WAS SOME COMMENT. I WATCHED A VIDEO THAT WE ARE AGAINST DENSITY. WELL, NO. THAT WASN'T IT AT ALL. IT'S JUST SOMETHING I FELT WAS NOT IN KEEPING THE CHARACTER AT ALL THROUGH THE NEIGHBOURHOOD. IT SEEMED CHEAP IN THE FACT THAT IT WAS JUST SO LINEAR, BOXY. IT TOOK AWAY ALL THE NICE ELEMENTS. AND AGAIN, I'M JUST GOING TO TELL YOU RIGHT NOW, I AM VERY CONCERNED RIGHT NOW IS ALL THE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS. WE HAVE ANOTHER WHERE THEY ARE JUST COMING TO SAY AND I'M SURPRISED THEY WERE TOLD THEY HAVE TOED GROUND. THERE'S A RIVER UNDERNEATH THERE. I'M NOT SURE HOW THEY ARE GOING TO DO THAT. SO WE HAVE OTHER ONES. IT ALWAYS FELT LEAK A BAIT AND SWITCH. TO GET ZONING WE'RE GOING TO DO ALL THIS GREAT STUFF. YOU KNOW WHAT A YEAR LATER, WE COME BY AND SAY WE CAN'T DO THAT. I UNDERSTAND SOME CHANGES, BUT THIS IS SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES. SO THE MESSAGE I WOULD SEND TO DEVELOPERS IS TOO BAD. COME ON. IT'S A BEAUTIFUL NEIGHBOURHOOD. TRY AND DO BETTER. THAT WAS IT. IT WASN'T ABOUT THE FACT WE SAID OH, DO LESS UNITS. WE UNDERSTOOD. BUT JUST DO BETTER. DO SOME MORE DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS. MAKE IT SO WHEN I LOOK AT THAT BUILDING OR THE NEIGHBOURHOOD BUILDING EVEN THOUGH IT'S PRETTY BIG FOR ONE CORNER, THEY WILL FEEL GOOD ABOUT IT. BUT WE VOTED. THAT'S FINE. IT WAS YOU COULD SAY BETTER. THIS IS REALLY LACKING. TWO DIFFERENT TYPES OF WINDOWS MUCH DON'T STAGGER THEM IN ONE STRAIGHT LINE. TREAT THE RESIDENTIAL LIKE IT WAS BEFORE. TO ME IT WAS AN INDUSTRIAL 1970s BUILDING IF I WAS WALKING DOWN STREET AND I GO THAT ONE OR THAT ONE. THIS YOU NEED TO DO MORE WORK. THAT'S WHY I SAID IT IS SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE. THAT'S WHY IT CAME FORWARD, BUT YOU CAN DO BETTER. THAT'S WHY WE VOTED. I VOTED AGAINST IT. I JUST BELIEVED THE DEVELOPER COULD DO BETTER. NOW OTHER COUNCILLORS THEY MAY SAY OH, IT'S CLOSE. BUT MY OPINION IS HE COULD DO BETTER AND WE SHOULD DEMAND FROM PEOPLE AND DEVELOPERS DON'T TAKE THE CHEAP QUICK ROUTE. DO THE GOOD ROUTE. MAKE IT SOMETHING THE NEIGHBOURHOOD COULD BE PROUD OF. THIS BUILDING THEY PUT FORWARD, I DON'T THINK ANYBODY OTHER THAN THE DEVELOPER WILL BE VERY PROUD OF IT. SO THAT'S WHY I VOTED NOR IT NOT TO GO FORWARD AND REJECT THE APPLICATION.

THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

MADAM SPEAKER I WAS ORIGINALLY GOING TO BE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATION SINCE IT WAS THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON MART 6th. IT'S THAT OLD EXPRESSION YOU KNOW, DON'T DO UNTO OTHERS UNLESS YOU EXPECT SAME TO BE GIVEN UNTO YOU, OR EXPECT THE SAME FOR THEIR STANDARD WHEN IT COMES FORWARD TO THEIR COMMITTEE. OUR THE TEE AND COUNCILLOR BROWATY IS A MEMBER OF IT. I'M SURE HE IS -- COMMITTEE. INSIST THAT PLAN APPROVALS COME BACK IN COOPERATION. NOT JUST FOR THE DIRECTOR BUT FOR THE COMMUNITY. I WANT TO SAY WE AS A COMMUNITY COMMITTEE MADE MAJOR IMPROVEMENTS ON DEVELOPMENT, ON THEIR ELEVATIONS, ON THEIR PARKING PLANS, ON THEIR LANDSCAPING, IN COOPERATION WITH THOSE DEVELOPERS THAT THIS COUNCIL NEVER HEARS ABOUT. WE DON'T EXPECT TO YOU HEAR ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT'S PART OF OUR JOB. MANY DEVELOPERS ARE VERY APPRECIATIVE ABOUT THE INPUT WE HAVE TO APPROVE THEIR PART IN THE ARRANGEMENT IT FIND THEIR EFFICIENCIES TO APTO OFFER TO BIKE PARKING, BETTER LANDSCAPING, ESPECIALLY IN TODAY'S DAY AND AGE. THE POPULATION, WHICH IS AGING. OF THESE THINGS ARE MADE POSSIBLE THANKS TO THAT ONE LITTLE CLAUSE IN THE AGREEMENT THAT SAYS PLAN APPROVAL OF BOTH THE DIRECTOR AND THE COMMITTEE. I SEE THAT ACCORDING TO THE MEETING OF MARCH THE 18th THE RECOMMENDATION TO STRIKE THE CLAUSE OF THE COMMUNITY OF THE PLAN APPROVAL PROCESS, WHICH SPEAKS TO WHAT COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW WAS JUST TALKING ABOUT. AND I THINK, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT THAT IS PROBLEMATIC. I AGREE THAT THE ELEVATION SHOULD BE WITH THE COMMITTEE. WE'RE NOT SAYING NO TO THE APPLICATION HERE. WE OVERTURNED THE DECISION OF THE COMMUNITY COMMITTEE. LET'S MAKE IT VERY CLEAR. THE STANDING COMMITTEE OVERTURNED THE DECISION OF THE COMMUNITY COMMITTEE, AND I HAD NO ISSUE WITH THAT. I READ A LOT OF THE FOLKS SPEAKING OUT THER, AND LISTENED TO THE AUDIO. I CAN TELL YOU BECAUSE I DON'T DO IT LIGHTLY WHEN I DO THAT. THAT A LOT OF THE ISSUES AND CONCERNS CAN BE ADDRESSED IN THE PLAN APPROVAL STAGE. THE BUILDING, ACCESSORY PARKING AREAS, FENCING AND LANDSCAPING AND ALL THE FOLLOWING. KEEP IN MIND, WE'RE RESTRICTED BASED ON THE ZONING. PLAN APPROVAL DOES NOT MEAN YOU CAN MOVE THE BUILDING. WE CAN'T DO ANYTHING THAT WOULD TRIGGER A VARIANCE. SO IT'S VERY RESTRICTIVE IN THAT SENSE, BUT IT DOES ALLOW THE COMMITTEE TO HAVE SIGNIFICANT INPUT INTO THE DESIGN, THE LANDSCAPING, THE PARKING, ALL THOSE THINGS I JUST MENTIONED. AND I WOULD REALLY ENCOURAGE MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE POLICY TO THINK TWICE THE I BELIEVE THE COUNCILLORS IN THIS WARD, IN THIS AREA, SPECIFICALLY, THE COUNCILLOR OF THIS WARD HAS THE BEST INTENT OF HER WARD. I DON'T THINK -- I'M NOT GOING TO LEAVE IT TO MYSELF, MADAM CHAIR, THAT I'LL SAY MORE INFORMATION WITH HER WARD AND THAT I CAN HOLD JUDGEMENT IN TERMS OF WHAT IS BEST FOR THE BUILDINGS AND THE ELEVATION. SHE HAS A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THAT AND RIGHTFULLY SO, AND I WOULDN'T EXPECT HER TO DO THE SAME IN MY WARD EITHER. BUT I THINK THAT'S WHERE THE OPERATION NEEDS TO COME TO, MADAM SPEAKER, OTHERWISE, WE'RE CREATING A TOXIC ENVIRONMENT THAT DOESN'T CREATE THAT KIND OF DIALOGUE. AND WE KNOW FULL WELL FROM HAVING SAT ON MANY HEARINGS IN OUR COMMITTEES THAT OUR COMMUNITY COMMITTEE HAS APPROVED SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS. ALWAYS TRY TO FIND DOORS INSTEAD OF WALLS TO MAKE THINGS HAPPEN TYPICALLY DO. WE JUST -- WE'RE DENYING THE APPLICATION. WE FIND EVEN IN THE FACE OF RESIDENT OPPOSITION, EVEN IN THE FACE OF PRESENT OPPOSITION, WE APPROVE AFTER MANY MEETINGS, AS

LONG AS WE COULD -- MASSIVE PUBLIC CONSULTATION WITH RIGHT NOW AS WE SPEAK WITH THE MUNICIPAL BOARD TODAY. YESTERDAY, THE DAY BEFORE AND TODAY, BEFORE THE MUNICIPAL BOARD. THEY ARE THERE NOW. I WANTED TO BE ABLE TO BE THERE BUT ANYWAY, HERE WE ARE. SO I SUPPORT THE CONCERNS RAISED BY THE AREA COUNCILLOR, AND BY HER COLLEAGUE ON COMMUNITY COMMITTEE. I WOULD HOPE THAT WE COULD RECONSIDER THE DECISION OF EPC. MAYBE WE CAN VOTE IT -- MAYBE WE CAN VOTE IT CLAUSE BY CLAUSE. AND RESTORE THE ABILITY OF COMMUNITY COMMITTEE TO BE ABLE TO HAVE INPUT INTO THE. I THINK THAT WOULD CREATE MORE BALANCE. THAT'S ALL.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: YEAH, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WHEN I SAW THIS COME TO SPC ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT, SPEAKER, I WAS SURPRISED, GIVEN THE LOCATION AND TO BE QUITE FRANK, THE AREA COUNCILLOR BEING A HUGE HOUSING ADVOCATE. I DID GO BACK AND WATCH. I SAW SEVERAL VERY, VERY VALID POINTS BEING BROUGHT UP. I THOUGHT THERE WAS A CONCESSION WHEN IT CAME TO EVEN THE BALCONIES NOT FACING THE NEIGHBOURS, THE GARBAGE UNITS NOT BEING ENCLOSED. COMMERCIAL UNITS. I'M NOT SURE IF I HEARD RIGHT, BUT MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THERE WERE STILL COMMERCIAL UNITS ON THE BOTTOM, ED CONCERNS AROUND TRAFFIC CONGESTION WOULD BE - - AND NEIGHBOURHOOD CONCERNS AROUND TRAFFIC CONGESTION WOULD BE REDUCED GIVEN THERE'S A ROLLBACK OF PARKING. SO AT THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT, THERE WAS THE CONDITION TO APPROVE SO THAT THESE 30 UNITS, 30 NEW UNITS, 30 SMALLER UNITS, POTENTIALLY, MORE AFFORDABLE, GIVEN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD ISN'T THE CHEAPEST IN THE WORLD. I'M JUST ASSUMING THAT SMALLER UNITS COULD BE A BIT MORE AFFORDABLE. TO SEE THAT THESE UNITS ARE STILL BUILT AND THAT THERE IS NOT A ONE-YEAR PERIOD WHERE NOTHING CAN BE DONE, THAT WE WOULD PUT THE CONDITION IN THAT THE ITEMS, REGARDING BUILDING ACCESSORY, PARKING AREAS, GARBAGE COLLECTION, FENCING, LANDSCAPING, WOULD ALL GO BACK TO COMMUNITY COMMITTEE FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION AND NOT JUST SIGNED OFF BY THE DIRECTOR OF PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT. THAT WAS NOT THE CONSENSUS AROUND AT THE EPC, AND THIS IS HOW THIS COMES FORWARD TODAY. I WOULD STILL LIKE TO SEE SOMETHING BUILT, BUT I HEAR WHAT THE COMPLAINTS ARE. SO AT THAT, WE CAN CALL THE QUESTION. SEE HOW SHE LANDS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM 12. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? OK. ALL IN FAVOUR, PLEASE RISE.

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR GILLINGHAM. COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN.

MADAM SPEAKER: JUST A SECOND. I THINK THERE'S SOME CONFUSION SO WE'RE GOING TO START AGAIN, OK? Mr. CLERK? CARLOS? SO VOTING IN FAVOUR OF THIS IS VOTING FOR THE EPC RECOMMENDATION, WHICH IS APPROVING THE DEVELOPMENT.

CORRECT.

MADAM SPEAKER: OK. COMMUNITY COMMITTEE REJECTED THE DEVELOPMENT. I JUST WANT TO MAKE

SURE, SO WE'RE VOTING IN FAVOUR OF EPC RECOMMENDATION IF YOU RISE. AMENDED EPC RECOMMENDATION WITH THE PLAN APPROVAL GOING TO THE DIRECTOR. OK, ALL IN FAVOUR, PLEASE RISE.

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, EADIE, LUKES, MAYES, SANTOS, SCHREYER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED, PLEASE RISE. COUNCILLORS DUNCAN, GILROY, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, WYATT AND MADAM SPEAKER. THE VOTE. YEAS, TEN. NAYS, SIX.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE ITEM PASSES. NEXT ITEM. WE'RE DONE WITH THIS COMMITTEE. YES. THERE'S A NOTICE OF MOTION. Mr. CLERK? THE NOTICE OF MOTION?

CLERK: NOTICE OF MOTION ONE, EX-APPROPRIATION AND REZONING OF LEMAY FOREST.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. WE ALSO HAVE AN AMENDING MOTION I BELIEVE WITH THIS. Mr. CLERK? CARLOS? NO, NOT THAT ONE. THAT'S A DIFFERENT ONE. OK, THANK YOU. THIS WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. COUNCILLOR WYATT, SPEAK.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: SPEAKER, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR ME TO START OFF BY REFERRING TO THE LETTER WE RECEIVED ON MARCH THE 26th, 2025, LITERALLY YESTERDAY FROM THE LEGAL INDIVIDUAL THE LEGAL BURM OF THE PROPERTY OWNER IN QUESTION HERE. I JUST WANT TO PUT ON THE RECORD THAT THE LEGAL FIRM ALSO REPRESENTS ME ON MATTERS AT TIMES. HOWEVER, Mr. TOIN IS NOT MY ATTORNEY. BUT I'M ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE FENCE ON THIS ONE WITH REGARDS TO THAT. I WANT TO SPECIFICALLY SPEAK TO A NUMBER OF ISSUES IN THE LETTER. NUMBER ONE, WE AS A COUNCIL HAVE THE RIGHT OF ESTABLISHING THE RIGHT OF PUBLIC DOMAIN. WHETHER IT BE FOR A PARK, ED, A ROAD. WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO ESTABLISH WHAT WE CONSIDER IS IN THE PUBLIC GOOD FOR PUBLIC DOMAIN. NUMBER TWO, NOBODY HAS RUN. IF YOU LOOK AT THE DISCUSSIONS IN THE STANDING COMMITTEE, ACCORDING TO THIS COMMITTEE, WE HAVE TAKEN AWAY ALL TO BE ERASED OFF FOR EX-APPROPRIATION. NOBODY HAS DONE THAT. IN FACT, THE STANDING COMMITTEE, AND I VERIFIED THIS TODAY BY HAVING A CONVERSATION WITH Mr. CHAPEL, THE HEAD OF REAL ESTATE DIVISION, WHO ALREADY INITIATED DISCUSSIONS WITH THE PROPERTY OWNER TO ACQUIRE THE LANDS WITHOUT EX-APPROPRIATION AS DIRECTED BY THE SCANNEDING COMMITTEE ON MARCH THE 6th. SO THERE'S NO RUSH TO EX-APPROPRIATION. AND NUMBER THREE, THE EX-APPROPRIATION IS A MUNICIPAL RIGHT, THAT IN THE EVENT WE ARE UNABLE TO ACTUALLY SECURE THE LAND THAT WE FEEL THAT IT IS IN THE RIGHT OF PUBLIC DOMAIN TO SECUREDS FOR THE PUBLIC GOOD, THE LONG-TERM PUBLIC GOOD OF THE CITY, WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO USE EX-APPROPRIATION. NUMBER FOUR, EX-APPROPRIATION LAWS AREN'T TILTED IN FAVOUR OF GOVERNMENT VERSUS THE INDIVIDUAL. THEY ARE VERY -- IF YOU READ THE EX-APPROPRIATION ACT, THEY HAVE HUGE POWERS THAT PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF THE PROPERTY OWNER. SO MUCH SO, THAT WE ACTUALLY PAY FOR THEIR LAWYER THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE PROCESS. LAND OF THE FORKS TOOK 20 YEARS FOR THE CITY TO SETTLE ON. THE ENTIRE TIME, WE WERE PAYING FOR THEIR LAWYERS ON THE LAND. WE FINALLY ARRIVED AT A NUMBER IN TERMS OF SETTLING WITH THAT LAND. NOW WHAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT MOST IS NOT

NECESSARILY WHAT WAS SAID BY Mr. TOIN, BUT WHAT WAS NOT SAID IN HIS LETTER. A LOT OF WHAT YOU HEARD HERE TODAY WITH REGARDS TO THE HRIA IF YOU HAVE A CHANCE AND YOU DON'T HAVES, READ THE RESOURCE ACT OF THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. IN THAT ACT, YOU WILL SEE THE POWERS OF THE MINISTER SPECIFICALLY THERE, THE RESOURCES ACT BUT BE THERE AS A TOOL TO PRESERVE HERITAGE, BUT EVEN DEEPER THAN THAT. PRESERVING HERITAGE THERE COULD BE -- INDIVIDUALS. AND THE POWERS THEREIN THAT THE MINISTER HAS, ONE OF THE KEY MECHANISMS MINISTER HAS AVAILABLE TO THE MINISTER IS WHAT'S CALLED AN HRIA, HERITAGE RESOURCE IMPACT ASSESSMENT. YOU HEARD TODAY, THE PROVINCE WILL NOW LOOK AT IT AND CONSIDER. BUT THE FACT THAT THAT IN AND OF ITSELF AND THE WHOLE ISSUE, AND I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY MADAM SPEAKER TO GO THE SPEECHES OR THE PRESENTATIONS ON TO THE SPEECHES SATURDAY AT THE UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG TO HEAR THE COMMENTS BY THE DOCTORS AND SOME OF THE PROFESSORS ABOUT THE EXTENT OF THE PEOPLE, THE PEOPLE THE CHILDREN AND THE INFANTS WHO ARE BURIED THERE. AND THE NATURE BY WHICH THEY PASS. THEY NOW KNOW A CHILD THAT IS NOT NECESSARILY COMFORTED, LOVED, THAT THESE CHILDREN SIMPLY DIED OF DIED OF SOLITUDE, FROM SOLITUDE, AND FROM NOT HAVING ANY TYPICAL CAREGIVER, TYPICALLY AS A MOTHER, BUT NOT ALWAYS TO BE THERE, TO SHOW COMPASSION AND LOVE TO THEM AND IT'S SOMETHING WHICH A NUMBER OF US HAD TO LEAVE THE ROOM SOMETIMES DURING THE EVENING BECAUSE THE INFORMATION WHICH WAS GIVEN WAS SO POWERFUL. NONE OF THAT IS ADDRESSED IN THIS LETTER. IT'S ALMOST IT DOESN'T EXIST THERE'S OVER 3,000 INDIVIDUALS, MANY OF THEM INNOCENT CHILDREN WHO SHOULD HAVE NEVER LOST THEIR LIVES. I JUST WANT TO READ SOME OF THE COMMENTS, MADAM SPEAKER. I REALLY CALL ON COUNCIL. AT THE END OF THE DAY, YOU DON'T HAVE TO SUPPORT THE RESOLUTION, BUT WE REALLY NEED TO GET BEHIND THE EFFORTS. I WANT IT READ SOME OF THE COMMENTS ON THE RECORD. LELA ASHER, THE CITY OF WINNIPEG MADE A COMMITMENT SUCH AS WINNIPEG'S INDIGENOUS AND MONTREAL PLEDGE FOR BIODIVERSITY THAT OUGHT TO MAKE PROTECTING ACTION AND PROTECTING THE FOREST A NATURAL CHOICE. MICHAEL -- JUST 8% OF GREENLAND -- GREEN SPACE, OTHER SPECIES AND BY COMPARISON. 68% OF OSLO IS GREEN. ALMOST HALF OF EACH OF SYDNEY, SINGAPORE AND EDINBURGH. IT WOULD BE FAR MORE SENSE TO BE BUILD ON A SITE THAT'S ALREADY DEVELOPED, ESPECIALLY FOR REPURPOSING ONE OR FOR MORE BUILDINGS THAT HAVE BEEN ABANDONED. JODY BORROW, ESTABLISHING THIS AS A PUBLIC PARK OR PROTECTED SPACE WOULD DEMONSTRATE A COMMITMENT TO RECONCILIATION, ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP, AND THE WELL BEING OF FUTURE GENERATIONS. DIANE SKETH. 26 YEARS SWEAT LODGES HAVE STOOD HERE, OFFERING A SPACE FOR HEALING, FOR PRAYER, FOR CONNECTION. NOW THOSE SWEATED SILENCED AND CLEARED AWAY IN THE NAME OF PROFIT, WHICH IS ACTUALLY NOT QUITE TRUE. THEY ARE STILL THERE, THANK GOODNESS, AND THE SWEAT LODGE KEEPERS ARE TRYING TO KEEP THEM GOING. ARIELLE GORDON, VERY INTERESTING. THAT CARBON STORAGE, SEQUESTERING AVOIDING SURFACE RUNOFF, REDUCING ENERGY. THE VALUE -- THE ANNUAL FUNCTION IS \$53.86 MILLION. THEY ARE CALCULATING THE BENEFIT OF WHAT OUR URBAN FOREST DOES FOR THE ENVIRONMENT. WE HAVE RIGHT NOW URBAN CANOPY AT 17%. OUR FORESTRY IS TO INCREASE THAT 24%. THE NATIONAL GOAL IS 30%, JUST SO YOU KNOW. LUCIEN GAGNE AN ANALYSIS OF 3,383 DEATHS ASSOCIATED WITH THE INSTITUTION FOR SALE, AND MANY OF THE -- AND YOU'LL SEE A MOTION BEFORE US TODAY THAT WILL BE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE. WE DON'T KNOW HOW MANY INDIVIDUALS ARE BURIED UNDER OUR LAND, CITY LAND. CHARLES FEVER. I LIVED NEAR ASSINIBOINE AND I VISIT IT MORE THAN A HUNDRED TIMES A YEAR. I SPOKE ABOUT THIS EARLIER, THE ASSINIBOINE FOREST. WE HAD A VISION AND WE CREATED

THAT AS A COUNCIL IN 1905. GOING THROUGH THE SAME VISION NOW. NICOLE DISOTEL. THE DEVELOPER AND OWNER IGNORED A FEDERAL LAW AND OFFICER'S REQUEST -- AHEAD OF TREE CUTTING, THUS SEEMINGLY NOT HEARING A FEDERAL SPECIES REGULATION. DISMISSED THE NEED TO COMPLY WITH THE FEDERAL SPECIES LAW. LINDA DIETRICH. YOU KNOW, CALLING ON TO -- MONTREAL BIODIVERSITY. MARIANNE CLAUTSTEN CAREFUL AND RIGOROUS UNDERSTANDING TO GAIN A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF HOW OTHER PEOPLE ARE BURIED, WHERE THEY ARE BURIED AND OUR DUTY TO PRESERVE HISTORY AND ADVANCE RECONCILIATION. I WANT TO READ FROM SOMEBODY WHO I THINK SPOKE VERY WELL THAT EVENING, IRMA BUFFY, WHO REALLY GAVE A POWERFUL PRESENTATION, WHICH I KNOW THE MAYORS TALK MANY TIMES ABOUT HOW THE FORESTRY ISSUE, HOW WE ALL CAMPAIGNED ON THAT IN THE ELECTION, HOW WE ALL HAVE THAT COMMON GROUND. WHAT I DIDN'T KNOW, WHICH I THOUGHT WAS INTERESTING, WAS THAT IN 2024, URBAN FORESTRY PLANTED - A RECORD 17,000 TREES. IF THE ESTIMATED 19,000 MATURE TREES IN THE LEMAY FOREST ARE CLEAR-CUT, IT WILL NOT ONLY CANCEL ALL THOSE GAINS, IT WILL ALSO ROB THE CITY OF SUBSTANTIAL BENEFITS THOSE MATURE TREES PROVIDE. BENEFITS THAT, WHICH NEWLY PLANTED TREES, WILL NOT PRODUCE FOR AT LEAST ANOTHER DECADE, ANOTHER DECADE. LITERALLY WIPE OUT ALL THE GAINS THAT WE JUST DID THIS YEAR, AND WILL NOT HAVE ANY GAIN FOR THE DECADE BASED ON DISFOREST. FINALLY, SHE GOES ON ABOUT THE COUNCIL PARKS STRATEGY AND DIRE NEED FOR MORE GREEN SPACE IN THE CITY. THE CITY HAS COMMITTED TO ADDING A THOUSAND ACRES OF PARKLAND TO ITS INVENTORY, EXPROPRIATING AND MARK AN IMPORTANT FIRST STEP TOWARDS THIS TARGET. LET'S HOPE THIS IS NOT THE SYMBOLIC FOREST, THE SYMBOLIC TREE THAT WAS CUT BACK WHEN THE WOMEN OF WOOLSEY CIRCLED AROUND AND STOPPED THE TREE CUTTING OR THE DEMOLITION OF THE FORMER CITY HALL, WHICH SPARKED THE HERITAGE MOVEMENT OF THE CITY. LET'S MOVE FORWARD, MADAM SPEAKER. I HOPE THAT IF THIS DOESN'T MOVE FORWARD, MEMBERS OF EP CENTRE DE L'HISTOIRE AT LEAST MOVE SOMETHING SIMILAR.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR?

MOVE TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO GO PAST 6:00 P.M.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: MADAM SPEAKER, I WOULD MOVE TO DELAY THIS MATTER OVER TO NEXT MEETING OF COUNCIL, IF I MAY. WE HAVE THE OTHER ITEM REGARDING LEMAY FOREST THAT OUTLINES OPTIONS FOR THE PUBLIC SERVICE TO POTENTIALLY PURSUE IN REGARDS TO WORKING WITH MANITOBA HABITAT CONSERVANCY, AS WELL AS THE PROPERTY OWNER. I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE THAT OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC SERVICE TO WORK IT SEE IF THERE'S AN ALTERNATIVE TO SEE IF THIS COULD WORK TO SAVE THIS FOREST. IF NOT, WE CAN ENTERTAIN THIS NEXT MONTH AS WELL, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO PROVIDE THAT OPPORTUNITY ON THE CITY SIDE OF THINGS. ON THE PROVINCIAL SIDE OF THINGS, WE NOW HAVE THE MUNICIPAL BOARD ADJOURNED TO DELIVER A RULING, WHICH IS SCHEDULED MID-APRIL. THAT WOULD TIME QUITE NICELY, SHOULD THEY MEET THEIR DEADLINE WITH COUNCILS NEXT MEETING. I THINK IF IT'S THE WILL OF THE COUNCIL HERE TODAY TO PROVIDE THIS LAYOVER, WE COULD HAVE A LOT MORE ANSWERS, AND WE COULD HAVE NOT JUST US, BUT THE POTENTIAL, THE CURRENT LANDOWNER, DEVELOPER COULD HAVE SOME MORE IDEAS OF WHAT THEY

WOULD LIKE TO DO MOVING FORWARD. COUNCILLOR WYATT. YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO THAT REBUT?

COUNCILLOR WYATT: IN LIGHT OF THE COMMENTS MADE BY THE CHAIR, I THINK HIS POINTS ARE REASONABLE. I APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT I CONFIRMED IN CONVERSATION TODAY WITH Mr. CHAPEL THAT THEY JUST HAD AN OPPORTUNITY NOW TO BEGIN THAT DISCUSSION. I UNDERSTAND THAT IT HAPPENED LITERALLY YESTERDAY OR TODAY, AND I GIVE THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT TO THE ADMINISTRATION. THEY HAVE A LOT ON THEIR PLATE. WE ONLY DEALT WITH THIS ON MARCH THE 6th. I CAN APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT THE RESIDENTS WANT US TO DO THIS RIGHT AWAY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT WE HAVE, YOU KNOW, SOMETHING FROM FRIDAY THE 13th WITH REGARDS TO THE CHAIN SAW OUT THERE. YOU KNOW, AND LET'S -- I'M HOPING. I JUST WANT TO SAY I WILL SUPPORT THE COUNCILLOR'S REQUEST, BUT I WILL SAY THIS, MADAM SPEAKER, IF I MAY. I AM APPEALING HERE IN THIS CHAMBER TO THE PROPERTY OWNER IN GOOD FAITH, DON'T AS ANY KIND OF MISUNDERSTANDING THAT YOU CAN GO OUT AND START CUTTING TREES AGAIN BECAUSE THAT WILL SIMPLY IRATE THE PUBLIC AND SHOW TOTAL BAD FAITH IN THE PROCESS THAT WE NOW ARE INITIATING, WHICH IS TO BEGIN DISCUSSIONS TO ATTEMPT TO SECURE THE PROPERTY. SO I WOULD HOPE THAT THAT PROPERTY OWNER HEARS THIS MESSAGE LOUD AND CLEAR AND DOES NOT JEOPARDY. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS FOR ALL HIS WORK ON THIS MATTER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON THE LAYOVER, DELAY IT TO THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING. ALL IN FAVOUR? PLEASE RISE.

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, WYATT, AND MADAM SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED, PLEASE RISE.

COUNCILLORS GILROY AND ORLIKOW.

THE VOTE MADAM SPEAKER YEAS 14, NAYS 2.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THE LAYOVER PASSES UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING OF COUNCIL ON THIS ITEM. NEXT WE'LL GET INTO THE ONE MOTION WE HAVE, MOTION FIVE MOVED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER REGARDING LEMAY FOREST. IT WILL BE AN AUTOMATIC REFERRAL TO THE STANDING COMMITTEE. BYLAWS COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, OUR CHAIR.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'LL MOVE THE FOLLOWING BYLAWS BE READ A SECOND TIME. BYLAW 56 20, 246789 BYLAW 2022, BYLAW. BYLAW 20, 2025. BYLAW 21, 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED.

BYLAW 56, 2024. BYLAW 122, 2024. BYLAW 133, 2024. BYLAW 17, 2025. BYLAW 20, 2025. BYLAW 2,

2025. 21.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? PARDON ME NOT YET.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU. I'D LIKE TO MOVE BYLAWS 26, 2024, 12, 23, 17, 2025 BE READ A THIRD TIME AND SAME BE PASSED AND ORDERED TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED. THANK YOU. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED.

MADAM SPEAKER I'D LIKE TO MOVE THE FOLLOWING BYLAWS BE READ A FIRST TIME. BYLAW 28, 2025. BYLAW 29, 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CARRIED.

BYLAW 28, 2025. BYLAW 29, 2025.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I'D LIKE TO MOVE THE BYLAWS 28, 2025, 29, 2025, BE READ A SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED.

BYLAW NUMBER 28, 2025, BYLAW 29, 2025.

AND I'LL MOVE THE RULES BE SUSPENDED BYLAWS 28 OF 2025 AND 29 OF 2025 BE READ A THIRD TIME, THE SAME DAY PASSED AN ORDER TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. MOVING INTO QUESTION PERIOD UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. COUNCILLOR WYATT, FIRST QUESTION FOR COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: YES, COUNCILLOR, MAYBE THIS IS A QUESTION FOR YOU TO TAKE TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE. IN LIGHT OF THE RECENT DISCUSSION REGARDING LEMAY FOREST, IS IT POSSIBLE THAT A CLEAR LIGHT OF ALSO THE LETTER FROM HILLS AND WALSH BE SENT BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE JUST TO INFORM THEM OF THE DECISION TO DELAY THESE EX-APPROPRIATION MATTER OVER? NUMBER TWO, DELAY IT OVER TO THE VERY REASON WE DISCUSSED ON MARCH THE 6th, ENTER NEGOTIATIONS BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE HAS BEEN DISCUSSIONS IN THE MEDIA TODAY WHERE THE PROPERTY OWNERS REPRESENTATIVE WAS PRETENDING THERE WAS NO DISCUSSION AND THE CITY HAD NOT REACHED OUT WHEN IT WAS VERY CLEAR THAT WE HAVE, THAT WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF WANTING TO HAVE PRODUCTIVE DISCUSSIONS FOR THE SECURING OF THAT LAND. BUT NUMBER THREE, IN THE EVENT OF THOSE DISCUSSIONS NOT MOVING FORWARD PRODUCTIVELY, I.E., THE CITY BEING ABLE TO SECURE THE LAND, THAT THE MOTION PERTAINING TO EX-APPROPRIATION FOR THE CITY RIGHT TO STILL GO FORWARD. WHETHER THAT'S UNDER YOUR SIGNATURE, I THINK IT'S TO REFLECT THE DECISION OF THE COUNCIL CHAIRMAN THE MOVE THAT WE ARE GIVING THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT TO THE PROPERTY OWNER IN GOODWILL AND GOOD FAITH TO DO THE RIGHT THING. BUT FAILING THAT, WE DO HAVE RIGHTS WE WILL NOT WALK AWAY FROM WHEN IT COMES IT ESTABLISHING THE RIGHT OF PUBLIC DOMAIN. IS THAT SOMETHING YOU'D BE PREPARED TO TAKE

FORWARD, THAT HE'D LIKE TO CIRCULATE WITH THE COUNCIL?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: YES, ABSOLUTELY. I WILL TURN AND ASK THAT THIS BE COMMUNICATED CLEARLY TO THE DEPARTMENT, AND THAT DIALOGUE CONTINUES WITH THE DEPARTMENT AND THE STAKEHOLDERS ABOUT LEMAY.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: SPECIFICALLY, WE HAVE TO FOLLOW UP WITH SOMETHING IN WRITING BECAUSE THEY SENT US AN INDIVIDUAL LETTER TO ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL. I THINK IT'S INCUMBENT UPON THE CITY, JUST TO REFLECT THE DECISIONS THAT HAVE TAKEN PLACE HERE BOOM, BOOM, BOOM. SIX WE'RE NEGOTIATING. THREE, WE LAID IT OVER TO FURTHER NEGOTIATING. FOUR OR THREES THAT WE CAN COME BACK AND EX-APPROPRIATION IS STILL ON THE TABLE IF THINGS DO NOT MOVE FORWARD ON NUMBER ONE AND TWO. SIMPLY REFLECT THE DECISION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I APOLOGIZE. I DON'T KNOW THE PROCESS ON ONGOING MATTERS AND THE CAO PROVIDING UPDATES TO IN THIS CASE, A LANDOWNER. BUT IT'S ALL PUBLIC RECORD HERE. IF THE CAO CHOOSES TO PROVIDE A WRITTEN RESPONSE, I'M NOT SURE WHAT HIS MOTIVE WILL BE AT THIS POINT IN TIME. BUT THIS IS ALL THE PUBLIC RECORD. IF HE CHOOSES TO SHARE IT WITH HIM, I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO SUPPORT THEM.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. FINAL QUESTION. COUNCILLOR WYATT?

COUNCILLOR WYATT: IT WOULD APPEAR THE CAO WAS NOT COPIED OR WRITTEN TO, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL OR THE MAYOR. BASED ON IT? OK. WELL I'M JUST TAKING IT FROM WHO THEY MAYBE SOMETHING THE MAYOR'S OFFICE SHOULD CONSIDER JUST TO KIND OF GIVE THEM, JUST TO GIVE THEM -- TO STATE SOME OF THE FACTS FOR THE RECORD THAT ARE MISSING IN THIS LETTER. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER?

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. IN REGARDS TO THIS CHAMBER, THIS EARLY BEFORE BREAK, I'D WISH TO MAKE POINT IN MY PREAMBLE THAT IN THE LAST FOUR MONTHS, I HELD FORUMS OF PUBLIC CONSULTATION WITH MEMBERS IN MY WARD. IN THE LAST FOUR MONTHS, I HELPED FOUR. IT DIDN'T OCCUR TO ME THAT COUNCILLORS WHO DIDN'T DO THAT ARE CONFUSED. MADAM SPEAKER, OVER TIME, I WENT TO PUBLIC CONSULTATION IN HALF THE WARDS AS A CITY COUNCILLOR. THE ONLY OTHER CITY COUNCILLOR THERE. IT DIDN'T OCCUR TO ME THAT OTHER COUNCILLORS WERE CONFUSED BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T ATTEND OTHER COUNCILLOR'S CONSULTATIONS LIKE I DID. IN THE LAST FEW MONTHS MADAM SPEAKER, I VISITED PUBLIC CONSULTATIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. YOUR QUESTION ON THAT?

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: SURE. MADAM SPEAKER I VISITED THE PUBLIC CONSULTATION WITH COUNCILLOR MAYES AND WE TALKED SPECIFICALLY ABOUT PROPERTY AND PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ISSUES. IT DIDN'T OCCUR TO ME THAT OTHER COUNCILLORS WERE NOT THERE. MADAM SPEAKER, THERE IS A TIME WHEN I GO TO SEMINARS HERE WHEN OTHER COUNCILLORS SHOW UP. IT DOESN'T OCCUR TO ME THAT OTHER COUNCILLOR THERE'S CONFUSED.

MADAM SPEAKER: YOUR POINT.

I HEARD BY THE CHAIR OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HE UNDERSTANDS MY CONFUSION I DIDN'T ATTEND A PUBLIC CONSULTATION WHERE I THINK THREE COUNCILLORS DID ATTEND. GOOD FOR THEM. BUT HE UNDERSTANDS MY CONFUSION. I WANT HIM TO KNOW I WAS REFERRING TO COW'S POINTS. I DON'T LIKE TO SENSATIONALIZE, BUT I WISH TO ASK AGAIN SO THERE'S NO MISUNDERSTANDING HERE. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW WAS TALKING ABOUT HOW HE FELT IT WAS MISINTERPRETED, PRONE TO CONFUSION THAT IT'S WHEN THE ADMINISTRATION TALKED TO -- SPOKE TO THEY TALKED TO AS A RIGHT. THEY USE THE TERMINOLOGY TO ENABLE THE DEVELOPMENT OF.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE QUESTION FOR THE CHAIR THOUGH? LET'S GET TO IT.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: CAN THE CHAIR PUBLIC -- PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT UNDERSTAND THAT THERE CAN BE CONFUSION BY PEOPLE WHEN THE ADMINISTRATION SAYS THEY DID HAVE A CONSULTATION. THEY DID MENTION THAT THEY ARE CONSULTING EVEN THOUGH THEY USE THE TERMINOLOGY, ACCORDING TO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW TO ENABLE THE DEVELOPMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: GOT IT. THANKS FOR THE QUESTION. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. MY OFFER IS EXTENDED OF MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES TO ATTEND ANY FORM OF PUBLIC CONSULTATION, INCLUDING COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. I WAS NOT AWARE OF YOUR PUBLIC FORUMS IN YOUR COMMUNITY. THANK YOU FOR DOING THAT. I WOULD ENCOURAGE ANYONE WHO DOES THESE PUBLIC FORUMS TO GET AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE, TO GET AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE THAT THEY HAVE BEEN PROVIDED OVER SEVERAL LOCATIONS IN BRIEFINGS, IN MEETINGS, OR AT PUBLIC FORUMS. IN FACT, SHOULD YOU LIKE TO LISTEN TO ANY OF THE VIRTUAL MEETINGS, THEY ARE AVAILABLE TO ANY OF THE COUNCIL MEMBERS NOW. I THINK THEY ARE ACTUALLY AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC AS THEY HAVE BEEN POSTED. LOTS OF OPPORTUNITY HERE. I LOOK FORWARD TO HAVING EAST KILDONAN TO JOIN COUNCILLOR SCHREYERS NEXT TOWN HALL.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: HE WAS REFERRING TO MY -- NOT THE OTHER COUNCILLOR WHO'S ATTENDED THE PUBLIC CONSULTATIONS. I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY ABOUT THIS. I DON'T KNOW WHY I'VE BEEN SINGLED OUT. BUT HAVING SAID, THAT I THANK COUNCILLOR DUNCAN FOR THE CONTINUED OPPORTUNITY TO WORK TOGETHER, TO COOPERATE, TO WORK TOGETHER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER QUESTION?

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: YEAH, I GUESS ON THE OTHER COUNCILLORS, ARE THEY CONFUSED?

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR, YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO CLARIFY.

.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: JUST TO THE CONFUSION POINT WHEN THE COUNCIL TALKS ABOUT THE GOLDEN OLDIES WHATEVER AT THE TIME WAS BEING DISCUSSED IN COUNCIL CHAMBERS, THAT LEADS ME TO BELIEVE THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON, AND I TAKE RESPONSIBILITY AS THE CHAIR OF THAT COMMITTEE TO ENSURE THAT EVERYONE AROUND THIS COUNCIL.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW?

I'M CORUM. IMPINGE THE MOTIVE OF OTHER COUNCILLORS. IMPUGN. LET'S TAKE IT DOWN A NOTCH.

MADAM SPEAKER: LET'S TAKE OPPORTUNITY TO CLARIFY, PLAY NICE. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD TO COUNCILLOR SCHREYER FOR HIS BEING SINGLED OUT?

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I'M HAPPY TO PROVIDE CLARITY ON ANY OF THE COUNCILLORS. I'LL DO MY BEST. THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: MADAM SPEAKER, I'M NOT THE ONLY ONE. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. REFERRED TO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW'S REMARKS. HE DIDN'T TALK ABOUT HOW TAKING AWAY THE POWER, HOW IT'S CHANGING, AND I'M REFERRING TO HISTORICALLY, WHY IT ISN'T CHANGED. HOW HAS IT CHANGED? THAT'S IT, MADAM SPEAKER. IS THAT RECOGNIZED?

MADAM SPEAKER: YOU'RE GOING TO TAKE THIS CONVERSATION OFF LINE. I THINK WE'RE DONE WITH THIS.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO TAKE THE CONVERSATION OFF LINE. (Speaker): PORT COQUITLAM PLAY NICE. NEXT QUESTION. NO. YOU KNOW WHAT? BOTH PLEASE. LET'S HASH IT OUT LATER. THANK YOU, SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE IN THE CORNER. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR OUR CHAIR? SEEING NONE, LET'S MOVE TO THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON WATER AND WASTE IN THE ENVIRONMENT.

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE SPC ON WATER, WASTE AND ENVIRONMENTS REPORT OF MARCH 10th AND MOVE ADOPTION OF CONSENT ITEMS ON ONE, TWO, FOUR AND FIVE. THERE'S AN AMENDMENT 23 ON THE TABLE.

MADAM SPEAKER: AMENDMENTS ONE AND TWO. COUNCILLOR MAYES? THEY HAVE BEEN PULLED? OK.

SO CALL THE QUESTION ON FOUR AND FIVE. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. Mr. CLERK?

ITEM ONE REQUEST FOR BUDGET REVISION FOR THE NEW PICK UP UPGRADE, NEW TRAINING REMOVAL FACILITIES PROJECT.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE TO OPEN THE DISCUSSION.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. MADAM SPEAKER, THIS REPORT NUMBER ONE, IT'S CLOSELY RELATED TO REPORT ITEM TWO. IN TERMS OF REPORT ITEM ONE, THERE WAS AN AMENDMENT TO THE CAPITAL APPEAL FOR A TO ALLOCATE \$10.894 MILLION TOWARDS THE PROCUREMENT PROCESS FOR THE PROJECT 3 REMOVAL -- NUTRIENT REMOVAL OF THE NORTH END POLLUTION CONTROL CENTRE. THAT WAS A RECOMMENDATION THAT CAME OUT OF THE MAYOR AND I AND WORKING WITH THE WATER AND WASTE. WE HAD TO FIGURE OUT HOW NOT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH CASH PAYMENTS FOR THE PROJECT THREE, AS IT WAS MOVING ALONG, AND WE NEEDED SOME TIME TO WORK WITH OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE CAN FIGURE OUT HOW TO PAY FOR PROJECT THREE IN A, WELL, IN AN AFFORDABLE WAY FOR THE WATER BILL PAYERS, WHO RATES, AS WELL AS THE WATER RATES. PROCUREMENT THOUGH HAS TO START BECAUSE WE HAVE A DATE OUR LICENCER, WHICH IS THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. IT'S THE DEPARTMENT THAT WE HAVE TO COMPLETE THE WHOLE NORTH END PROJECT POLLUTION CONTROL CENTRE PROJECT BY I THINK IT'S 2030. THERE IS SOME WORK AT TRYING TO EXTEND THAT. SO THAT'S WHAT THIS IS. AND IT'S A MINOR ANY AMOUNT {PROSHT} TAXES, AUTO RATES. THE REALITY IS WE HAVE AN ENVIRONMENT HERE THAT COSTS A LOT OF MONEY TO BUILD NEEDS ESSENTIAL CITY SERVICES AND FACILITIES IN ORDER THE TO INJURE THE ENVIRONMENT. SO IT WAS A SLIGHT RATE INCREASE TO GET THAT MONEY. AND THAT'S IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? ITEM ONE? COUNCILLOR WYATT?

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MADAM SPEAKER, I REALLY IMPLORE. I'M NOT SAYING PUT THE BRAKES ON THE PROJECT. I'M SAYING THAT THERE HAS TO BE A NEW LENS BROUGHT TO THIS CAPITAL PROJECT AND DESPERATELY. WE NEED TO GET OUTSIDE HELP IT LOOK AT WHAT WE'RE DOING, TO LOOK AT THE SCOPE OF THIS PROJECT. AND TO REVIVE POTENTIALLY SAVINGS. THE FIRST THING I WOULD DO BEFORE THAT AS WE KNOW BECAUSE OF THE CONTRACT, WHATEVER SAVINGS WE MAKE YOU'RE GOING TO GET A PERCENTAGE OF IT EVEN IF THEY ARE INVOLVED OR NOT. THE FIRST THING TEMPLATE IS ENDING THAT CONTRACT WHICH ACTS AS A DISNCENTIVE TO THIS COUNCIL.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE, JUST WAITING FOR YOU TO HEAR THE DEBATE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: SORRY. I WANT THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT RATHER THAN NOT HEAR. NOT AT ALL.

ACTUALLY, THE CONTRACT OFFERS A DISINCENTIVE THE WAY I READ IT, EVEN IF IT'S NOT RELATED TO ANY WORK THEY DO. THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE A DIVIDEND ON THE SAVINGS. WE HAD A SIMILAR THING IN THE SPONSORSHIP POLICY WHERE WE HIRED SPONSORSHIP GROUP. TO FIND THE SPONSOR. THEY WERE GOING TO MAKE 20%. THEY HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE RAISING OF THE SQUARE.

SOMEHOW I FIGURED THAT OUT. ANYWAY, MADAM SPEAKER, IF WE CAN BRING THIS OUTSIDE ENGINEERS, FRESH EYES, BECAUSE WHAT'S HAPPENED HERE? I SAW IT HAPPEN BEHIND THE SCENES, MADAM SPEAKER, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT TO OUR ENGINEERING DIVISION. WHEN WE WERE DEALING WITH THE ORGANICS IN 2015, IN A COUNCIL SEMINAR THE ENGINEERS SAID WE HEAR THE PROVINCE IS GOING TO BRING DOWN REGULATIONS ON US AND I QUESTION THEM. HOW DO YOU KNOW THE PROVINCE IS GOING TO BRING DOWN REGULATIONS ON US. WHAT I LEARNED THROUGH ALL OF THAT IS AUTO ENGINEERS TALKED. THEIR ENGINEERS AND THEIR ENGINEERS TALKED TO OUR ENGINEERS, AND THEY WRITE THE REGULATIONS. IT'S LIKE TAKING YOUR CAR OVER TO A DEALERSHIP AND THEY TELL YOU YOU NEED THIS NEW THINGAMAJIG. GOT TO GET. THAT YOU KNOW THE WAY CARS ARE. YOU TRUST WHERE YOU TAKE YOUR CAR REPAIRED. IT'S SAME THING WITH THIS. IT'S SO HIGH TECH. WHAT DOES THIS MEAN? DOES ANYBODY REMEMBER, CAN TELL ME WHAT THIS MEANS? MAXIMIZE THE USE OF BIOPHOSPHORUS REMOVAL, SAFE DIGESTIVE CAPACITY FOR THE CONTINUED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FOR THE CITY IN THE METRO REGION. MADAM SPEAKER, THIS IS WHY ARE WE TALKING ABOUT THE METRO REGION AT THIS POINT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT OUR OWN CITY. WHAT ARE WE BUILDING HERE? CONTRACTS FOR THE OTHER MUNICIPALITIES. MADAM SPEAKER, WE'RE LOOK FIRST AND FOREMOST, RATE PAYERS ARE GOING TO BE PAID THESE EXORBITANT RATES. I WANT TO READ FOR THE RECORD A LET HEAR IT WAS NEVER. OUR SO-CALLED FATHERS AND MOTHERS VOTED IN FAVOUR OF TWO REPORTS THAT WAS IN THE NEXT DECADE YOUR ANNUAL WATER SHALL RIVAL THE COST OF YOUR ANNUAL PROPERTY TAXES MUTUAL SCHOOL COMBINED. IN 2023, THE ESTIMATED COST OF A NEW PLANT ONLY PART OF THE UPGRADES TO THE WATER POLLUTION CONTROL CENTRE WAS \$553 MILLION, ABOUT YOU THAT SOARED OVER A BILLION. IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THIS PLANT IS BEING DESIGNED FOR PROVINCIAL ENGINEERS OUT OF CONSERVATION AND THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WATER WASTE ENGINEERS, WHO HAVE WORKED TOGETHER TO BUILD A PLANT WHICH ONLY EXISTS FROM WHAT I KNOW IN WASHINGTON, D.C. IN OTHER WORDS, WE ARE BUILDING THE LAMBORGHINI VERSION WHEN A MUCH CHEAPER VERSION, FORD VERSION EXISTS AND CAN DO EXACTLY THE SAME THING. THIS HAPPENED WITH ZERO POLITICAL OVERSIGHT. THAT'S IMPORTANT. THE LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT OF THE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN QUESTIONING IT, CHALLENGING ITS NO OVERSIGHT. AND THAT COST TO THE TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE WAS THE LION'S SHARE OF THE NORTHERN PLANT. THE TOTAL BUDGET HAS NOW SURGED TO \$3 BILLION. THESE UPGRADES THE MANITOBA ENVIRONMENT COMMISSION OF 2003 BY THEN. AMONGST THE MANY RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE REPORT, DOGOOD ALSO CALLED FOR THE SET-UP RATES TO BE SUBJECT TO THE FUNDING ONE-THIRD MUNICIPAL, ONE-THIRD FEDERAL, THE BETTER TREATMENT TAKING ACTION TO APPROVE AUGUST 2003, PAGE 60. AS IT STANDS TODAY THE PROVINCE OF THE MANITOBA AND THIS MIGHT BE UPDATED. THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA ONLY COMMITTED 277. THE GOVERNMENT CANADA 233 TOWARDS A \$2.8 BILLION PROJECT FOR A TOTAL OF MILLION. THIS IS A MASSIVE REGRET MADAM SPEAKER TO NOT SECURE THE ONE-THIRD. IT ENSURES THAT OUT OF THE \$2.8 BILLION COST WHICH IS A NUMBER THAT SURELY GROW AS TO WHAT WE'RE SEEING OF THE PRESENT MANNER THAT WE'RE RECEIVING THESE REPORTS. ONLY \$600 MILLION IS COVERED BY THE HIGH LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT WHICH MEANS \$2.2 MILLION IS GOING TO BE ON THE BACKS OF WATER. WE'RE ALL SAYING IT'S SO SAD BUT WE CAN DO SOMETHING ABOUT THIS. REGIONAL MINISTER WHO WAS THEN THE CHAIR MUST TAKE A SERIOUS LOOK IN THE MIRROR. I KNOW HE'S RUNNING IN THE CAMPAIGN THIS YEAR, BUT HE'S STILL TECHNICALLY A MINISTER. TO ASK HIMSELF WHY RATE PAYERS TODAY ARE BEING ASKED TO BE RESPONSIBLE WHEN HE ONLY CALLED FOR ONE THIRD FUNDING IN HIS POLITICAL CAREER NOT THAT

VERY LONG AGO? PREMIER WAB KINOW WHO IS THE {PROT} {JAF} FORMER PREMIER GARY DOER WHO CAMPAIGNED MAKING LIFE DEPLORABLE FOR MANITOBIANS MUST ALSO BE HELD TO ACCOUNT ON THIS MATTER. AND THE IRONY OF IRONIES IS I FOLLOW ALL THESE UPGRADES BEING MADE, MADAM SPEAKER, TO OUR TREATMENT PLANTS, IF THEY CONTINUE TO DUMP RAW SEWAGE INTO OUR RIVERS, AS THE ANTIQUATED COMBINED SOURCE RAW SEWAGE. MADAM SPEAKER, WE HAVE TO TURN THIS AROUND. THIS THING IS OUT OF CONTROL. WE NEED TO GET OUTSIDE HELP. WE NEED TO END THE CONTRACT. I'M SURE THERE WILL BE PENALTIES BUT IT WILL BE A LOT LESS OF SAVINGS, AND WE HAVE TO PAY THEM A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE. I REALLY IMPLORE THERE BE A TASK FORCE AS PIERRE SCHMIDT WOULD CALL IT A TIGER TEAM, THE FRANCHISE ENGINEERING AND LAY PEOPLE TO TAKE THISISHS WHO WILL ASK THE TOUGH QUESTIONS THAT NEED TO BE ASKED THAT IS NOT HAPPENING ON THIS RIGHT NOW. I DON'T BLAME ANY MEMBER OR COUNCILLOR EADIE IN ANY WAY SHAPE OR FORM. I'M NOT SAYING IT COULD BE THEM. THEY COULD INITIATE THAT PROCESS. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WYATT. COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THROUGH YOU TO MY COLLEAGUE IN TRANSCONA IN TERMS OF THE SUPPORTS THAT ARE NEEDED. THE CHIEF CONSTRUCTION OFFICER WILL BE STARTING ON TUESDAY, APRIL 1st. YOU KNOW, IT WAS MENTIONED TO ENSURE THE PROJECTS BUILT WILL GET TIME AND ON BUDGET FOR THE PEOPLE IN WINNIPEG TO ACHIEVE. THAT'S A QUOTE BY RON LEY THE PRESIDENT OF THE WINNIPEG CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION. THERE'S ALSO A SET OF GOALS THAT THE CCO ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR. STRATEGIC PLANNING, INFRASTRUCTURE, COST MANAGEMENT, CENTRALIZED OVERSIGHT AND REPORTING. THIS POSITION ITSELF, AGAIN, THE PERSON THAT WAS HIRED COMES WITH 35 YEARS EXPERIENCE: IN CONVERSATION WITH MY COLLEAGUE HERE, ONE OF THE MAJOR CONTRACTORS INDICATES THAT THIS INDIVIDUAL Mr. SPARROW IS A GOOD CATCH. HE'S MANAGED PROJECTS WITH PROJECTS VALUED RANGING FROM TENS OF MILLIONS TO BILLIONS OF DOLLARS. I THINK THIS IS GOOD FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND WILL KEEP OUR THE, ON TIME. AND WILL MAKE SURE IN TERMS OF THE {FRUKS} THE CITY OF WINNIPEG IS INVESTING IN. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. COUNCILLOR MAYES?

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THE CHAIR OF THE WATER COMMITTEE, I SEE SOME PROGRESS FOR THE FIRST TIME. WELL DONE, COUNCILLOR EADIE. SO GIVE SOME CREDIT WHERE CREDIT IS DUE. WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT PROJECT THREE WHICH IS THE NEW TERM FOR AS LONG AS I'VE BEEN HERE. IT'S FROM THE 2003 REPORT. IT'S THE FIRST TIME TO GIVE SOME CREDIT WHERE CREDIT IS DUE. FIRST TIME WE PUT OUR CARDS ON TABLE HERE AND SAY THIS THING IS NOT GOING TO -- THIS IS AN IDEA HOW TO PAY FOR IT. I'LL SPEAK MORE ABOUT THE RATES UNDER NUMBER TWO, WHICH I'LL BE SUPPORTING. AND I'LL SUPPORT THIS. BASICALLY, JUST A REVISED BUDGET ESTIMATE. THERE'S SOME TECHNICALITY HAVING THIS LINED UP WE HAVE FEDERAL PROVINCIAL MONEY BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO INCLUDE THAT IN THE RATE, BUT WE'LL GET THAT UNDER THE RATE. WE CAN'T FUND IT UNDERDEBT IF WE'RE SOMEHOW NOT ALLOWED. LOOK AT THE 2015, 2016 CAPITAL BUDGETS. ALL OF THIS WAS GOING TO BE DONE UNDER THE DEBT. THE ORIGINAL \$75 MILLION. WE'RE UP AGAINST OUR OWN DEBT CEILING.

HOW HARD WOULD THAT BE TO CHANGE? COUNCILLOR EADIE HAS BEEN CALLING ON US TO DO THAT FOR YEARS. WE REALLY COULD. THIS WHOLE IDEA THAT MY GOD, FEES ARE GOING TO ASTRONOMICAL AND WE DON'T HAVE THE CASH FLOW OF THIS. WE CAN DO IT UNDER DEBT. WE CAN MOVE THE DEBT CEILING. IT'S NOT HAD A HARD. IT'S UNDER OUR CONTROL. SO THE IMPACT OF THIS IS GOING TO COME DOWN IN A LESS KNEE AT RICKAL FASHION. BUT FOR US IN A LESS THEATRICAL FASHION. FOR US TODAY, WE'RE BITING THE BULLET. WE'RE GOING AHEAD ON THIS PROJECT NUMBER THREE. IT WILL BE EXTREMELY EXPENSIVE. IT SHOULD BE COST SHARED. THAT ISSUE WILL BE AT THE FUNDING OF DEBT. BUT LESS DEBT IF WE GET THE LEVELS TO SHARE WITH US. IT MAKES IT OBVIOUS. I'M PLEASED TO SEE THIS COME FORWARD. I'LL BE SUPPORTING IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

I'M GOING TO THROW SOMETHING OUT THAT I'VE BEEN THINKING ABOUT FOR A WHILE. TALKING ABOUT WHAT LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT IS BEING WHAT PORTION. YOU CAN TELL THE GOVERNMENT TO COME INTO THE ONE THIRD. WE SHOULD JUST STOP. THIS IS CRAZY. WE'RE GOING TO KEEP FUNDING NO MATTER WHAT THEY GIVE US. THIS IS CRAZY. I DARE THE PROVINCE TO SAY CAN'T DEVELOP ANYMORE. I DARE THEM TO SAY THAT. YOU KNOW WHAT I DARE NOT TO SAY THAT, IS GIVE US THE MONEY WE NEED. 33%. 33, 33. FOR US, IT WOULD BE 60 PLUS PERCENT OF THIS PROJECT ON WINNIPEG, ON ENVIRONMENTAL BYLAW WHICH IS NOT BAD BUT BE FORCED IT BY THE PURPOSE OF GOVERNMENT WHO IS GIVING SOMETHING LIKE 16% SO FAR? I THINK TO PLANT IT IN YOUR HEAD THERE'S THE OPTION OF SAYING FORGET IT. WE'RE GOING TO WAIT UNTIL YOU GUYS ALL POINT TO ONE-THIRD, AND THEN WE'LL MOVE FORWARD. YES, IT MAY CAUSE SOME PROBLEMS DOWN THE LINE, I GUESS YOU'RE NOT GETTING YOUR ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCE SO AGAIN SHUT DOWN DEVELOPMENT IN WINNIPEG. MOST OF THE SEATS FOR THE N.D.P. ARE IN WINNIPEG. YOU WANT TO TRY THAT? AGAIN BITING THE BULLET SAY HEY DON'T WORRY. GIVE US 16 OR 20%. WE'LL TAKE CARE OF THE REST. THAT IS TO THIS POINT AND HOW THE I APPRECIATE THE CONSTRUCTION OFFICER IS GOING TO SAVE US. THIS PROJECT IS SO COMPLICATED. NOT SAYING YOU WON'T DO A GOOD JOB BUT THE COST IS KEEP GOING UP. TARIFFS ALONE WILL COST THIS PROJECT. SO MAYBE, I'LL JUST SAY ABC AND MAYOR, IT'S TIME TO SAY HEY, FORGET IT. UNTIL YOU GUYS COME FORWARD, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO TAKE A PAUSE ON THIS PROJECT AND MOVE FORWARD. THE WAY IT SEEMS TO BE WORKING IS WE KEEP SPENDING MORE AND THE OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT ARE TRICKLING ALONG WITH A LITTLE BIT OF EXTRAS. WE NEED COMMITMENT FROM BOTH OF THOSE GOVERNMENT TO AT LEAST FUND THE PROJECT, WHATEVER THE NUMBER IS ONE-THIRD, ONE-THIRD. IT IS UNFAIR TO PIN ALL WINNIPEGERS WITH THIS. I'M WILLING TO DISCUSS THIS. I WANT TO PLUG IT INTO PEOPLE'S EARS, TALK TO COUNCILLORS ABOUT IT. MAYBE IT'S TIME WE STAND UP, PUT OUR BACK UP AND SAY FORGET IT. UNTIL YOU TO YOUR ONE-THIRD LEVEL, WE'RE GOING TO HOLD THIS PROJECT UNTIL WE HAVE THE MONEY IN THE BANK RATHER THAN HOPING IN THE FUTURE THE PROVINCE AND THE {FETEDZ} WILL GIVE US MONEY. THAT'S MY POINT. I WILL BE VOTING FOR THIS. BUT I WANT TO SAY IN THE FUTURE, IF THIS KEEPS GOING, WE DON'T SEE SOME KIND OF BALANCING ON THIS THINK IT'S TIME THE COUNCIL MAY DECIDE OK WE'RE GOING TO PAUSE THIS PROJECT EVEN THOUGH IT COSTS MORE. NOT OUR DOING. IT'S YOUR DOING FOR NOT HELPING PROPERTY FUND THIS PROJECT. I'LL LEAVE IT AT THAT. THAT'S MY FUN FOR THE DAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR EADIE TO CLOSE?

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THANKS FOR THE COMMENTS FROM ALL THE COLLEAGUES WHO SPOKE TO THIS. YEAH, IT'S A HUGE, COMPLEX PROJECT BEING DEMANDED ON THE CITY OF WINNIPEG SINCE 2003. I JUST WANT TO SAY IT'S THIS MAYOR AND THIS COUNS WHILE HAVE FINALLY TAKEN THE RESPONSIBILITY TO MOVE FORWARD, THIS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPORTANT PROJECT. FOR LAKE WINNIPEG. AND THE TREATMENT FOR TREATMENT OF OUR SEWAGE. IN CLOSING ON THIS I THINK I MADE NEED TO MAKE A COUPLE OF COMMENTS. I JUST HEARD OF THE IDEA OF REMOVING PHOSPHORUS AND OTHER NUTRIENTS THAT THE NETLEY MARSH INTO LAKE WINNIPEG, IF IT WAS FULLY RESTORED, IT WOULD HANDLE BEING ABLE TO TAKE ALL THAT OUT AND HELP PREVENT THE HEAVY GROWTH OF AL IN LAKE WINNIPEG. WHILE I WAS AT THE RED RIVER BASIN FUND-RAISE FISH FUNDRAISER LAST WEEK, MADAM SPEAKER -- FUNDRAISER. WE HEARD FROM A SCIENTIST ENGINEER, WHO IS OVERSEEING THAT PROJECT. THEY ACTUALLY HAVE TO DO A STUDY TO FIND OUT BACK IN 1929 HOW MUCH NETLEY MARSH, HOW MUCH PHOSPHORUS AND OTHER NUTRIENTS WERE BEING HELD BACK FROM GOING INTO LAKE WINNIPEG IN THAT MARSH. SO THEY DON'T KNOW. SO THAT'S NOT A SOLUTION. AND JUST TO MENTION THAT YEAH, I FEEL THE SAME WAY. THEY ARE NOT TO HELP PREVENT THE WATER BILL PAYERS FROM PAYING HUGE RATES, EVEN WITH DEBT SPREAD OUT OVER A LONGER PERIOD OF TIME.

IT'S STILL HAS AN EFFECT ON OUR RATES. BUT IF WE SAID NO TO PROJECT THREE, STOP IT. WITH THE CONTINUED CHEMICAL REMOVAL OF PHOSPHORUS, WHAT WILL HAVE TO HAPPEN IS WITH PROJECT TWO, WE WOULD HAVE TO EXPAND THE SIZE OF THE DIGESTERS. SO THAT WOULD BE AN ADDED COST TO PROJECT TWO, WHICH WE'RE FINALLY -- I'LL TALK MORE ABOUT IT ON THE RATE REPORT. BUT WE ARE FINALLY GET SOMETHING FUNDING TO HELP THE RATE PAYERS OFFSET INCREASES TO RATE PAYERS THEY STILL NEED TO FIGURE OUT ALL THE DETAILS, MADAM SPEAKER? IT'S ESSENTIAL THOUGH THAT PROCUREMENT STARTS ON PROJECT THREE, BECAUSE IF YOU DON'T START THE PROCUREMENT PROGRESS AND YOU DELAY THAT, IT'S LONGER ON THE TERM. IF WE GO, THE LONGER WE WAIT. IF WE WOULD HAVE STARTED THIS THING WAY BACK, WAY BACK, RATHER THAN DEBATING AND TRYING NOT TO HAVE NUTRIENT REMOVAL DONE BIOLOGICALLY, OR THEN WE WOULD HAVE BEEN AHEAD AND IT WOULDN'T HAVE COST US THIS MUCH MONEY. WE WOULDN'T ERA. SO IN CLOSING, I HOPE YOU'LL ALL VOTE FOR THIS PROJECT AND THERE'S NOT A LARGE INCREASE AS A RESULT OF MOVING AHEAD WITH PROJECT THREE.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. NEXT ITEM, MR. CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM TWO, 2025 TO 2027 REVISED SEWER VOLUME RATES.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'M GOING TO INTRODUCE THE REPORT. YOU ALREADY HEARD REPORT ONE TIES INTO WE REVISE THE RATE. THE RATE IS ENOUGH TO PULL IN \$10.894 MILLION FOR THAT PROCUREMENT PROCESS. WHAT'S INTERESTING ABOUT OUR SEWER RATES THOUGH, SO WE HAVE A PROJECTION FOR WHAT THE SEWER RATES WILL BE BUT PROJECT TWO IS MOVING AHEAD. IT'S NOT GOING TO BE LONG BEFORE WE START THAT PROJECT. SO WHAT HAS

HAPPENED IS, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DID ANNOUNCE BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION THAT THEY WERE ENTERING INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA TO SHARE IN THE COST AND PROJECT, WHICH IS THE BIOSOLVENTS KNITLY NEED IN ORDER FOR THE CITY TO GROW. WHAT IS IT, WE HAVE FIVE TO SIX YEARS LEFT CAPACITY, AND THAT COULD CHANGE, DEPENDING ON HOW BIG GROWTH PROJECTS HAPPEN. BUT IN THE MEANTIME, BUT YOU KNOW, IT WAS CLEAR IT WAS CLEAR THE PROVINCE HAD SIGNED AN AGREEMENT WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. IT WAS NOTED IN THEIR BUDGET. SO IT LOOKS LIKE WHILE THE PROVINCE WON'T BE CONTRIBUTING THE SAME 150 MILLION, THEY ARE GOING TO BE PROVIDING OVER 100 MORE MILLION DOLLARS TOWARDS THE BIOSOLVENTS PROJECT. SO MOVING INTO THE FUTURE, HOW THAT CASH FLOW COMES IN. HOPEFULLY, WE GET THAT CASH AS WE NEEDED TO PAY THE CONTRACTORS INJECT TWO. SO THAT'S THE RATES. I BELIEVE IT WAS -- I CAN'T REMEMBER. I THINK IT WAS ABOUT 60 CENTS PER CUBIC METRE OF WATER. THAT'S MY INTRODUCTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR MAYES?

COUNCILLOR MAYES: KEEP GOING WITH ALL THIS POSITIVISM. THANK YOU COUNCILLOR EADIE AND THE MAYOR SHOWS THE MOST COOPERATION, SOMETHING I'VE HAD SINCE JULY. SO MY THANKS FOR THAT. IN THAT THERE IS -- I'LL BE SUPPORTING, IN PART, BECAUSE OF TWO AMENDMENTS, SO STANDING COMMITTEE, AND WERE ADOPTED BY EPC. THOSE WERE THE FINAL TWO RECOMMENDATIONS THAT YOU WOULD SEE ON THE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT. THE ONE THAT GOT ALL THE MEDIA ATTENTION WAS ONE THAT WE WOULD HAVE PUBLIC WE CAN PHASE OUT THE DIVIDEND OVER TEN-YEAR ARC. THIS TEN-YEAR ARC STARTING WITH THE NEXT MULTIYEAR BUDGET, WHICH WAS SOMETHING COUNCILLOR EADIE AND THE MAYOR'S OFFICE REFERRED SAYING WE'RE NOT GOING TO HARVEST ALL THIS ADDITIONAL MONEY OUT OF THE DIVIDEND. IT'S CAPPED. BUT THE PHASEOUT SHOULD START IN 2028 BECAUSE THE DIVIDEND KNOWS WE ALL KNOW OVER \$40 MILLION A YEAR NOW BEING TAKEN. BEING TAKEN FROM WATER AND WASTE REVENUES AND BOOKED OVER INTO GENERAL REVENUE. THIS OBVIOUSLY HAD THE EFFECT OF ALLOWING IT TO KEEP PROPERTY TAXES SOMEWHAT LOWER OVER THE PAST 15 YEARS. THEN COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM AND CHAIR OF FINANCE TO HIS CREDIT, STARTED TRYING TO UNWIND THIS BACK IN 2019. COVID INTERVENED. FRANKLY, WE NEEDED ALL THE REVENUE. SO GOOD, WE'LL TALK ABOUT HOW IT WILL LEAVE THE WATER AND WASTE THER AND WASTE BECAUSE THE PROVINCE HAS BEEN SAYING TO ME, DON'T BLAME US FOR THIS SHORT FALL. YOU GUYS TOOK \$400 MILLION OUT OVER THE PAST 15 YEARS AND THEY ARE COMPLETELY RIGHT. SO LET'S START DOING THE RIGHT THING. I KNOW WE THOUGHT OH, WELL, WHEN WE DO THIS, IT'S GOING TO COME WITH AN INCREASE IN PROPERTY TAXES, AS MY COLLEAGUE WOULD SAY. INCREASE IN PROPERTY TAXES. SORT OF DOING THAT. NOT NECESSARILY LINKED AS THIS IS PART OF THE BUDGET. REGARDLESS, IT'S GOOD. START UNWINDING THE DIVIDEND, WHICH IS GOOD PUBLIC POLICY. AND THEN PERHAPS, WHAT COUNCILLOR WYATT WAS SAYING, THE MOST IMPORTANT ITEM TO ME WAS NUMBER SIX, ALTHOUGH IT GOT NO MEDIA ATTENTION WHATSOEVER. NUMBER SIX IS, WHAT CAN WE DO ABOUT COMBINED SEWER? WE HAD A MEET COULD RESPOND. WHAT HE'S ABOUT TO SAY IS WE'RE NOT GOING TO GET DONE UNTIL THE 2090s. IT WASN'T WHAT I WAS GOING TO SAY. AS PEOPLE REALIZED HERE, SOMETIMES PEOPLE LISTEN AND IT GETS THROUGH. SO THE CSO WORK, WE'RE LITERALLY HALF A CENTURY BEHIND THE DEADLINE. BUT WE CAN DO A LOT OF IT WITH TWO MORE PROJECTS. WE'RE DOING AN ARMSTRONG NOW. THAT'S Mr. SHANKS

WOULD WANT THEM TO SAY THAT. IT'S GETTING A THIRD TO OF THE TARGET IF WE JUST DO ARMSTRONG. BUT IF WE DO St. BONIFACE AND JEFFERSON AS WELL, THEN WE HAVE A HUGE CHUNK OF WHAT WE NEED TO DO. MAYBE WE WON'T GET SOME OF THE OTHER ONES DONE UNTIL THE 2070s. I DON'T KNOW. BUT AT LEAST WE CAN DO SOMETHING REALLY PRODUCTIVE, SOMETHING REALLY HISTORIC I THINK THE TEN YEARS OR SO. SO THE WORDING IS LOOK, GET THOSE -- GIVE US A RATE REPORT THAT SAYS HOW DO YOU GET ARMSTRONG AND JEFFERSON DONE BY THE TIME FRAME WE WERE TALKING ABOUT IN 2019? SHANKS HAS SAID WE CAN DO IT BEFORE THAT. OUR LATEST TIMELINE IS GET IT DONE BEFORE. GREAT. SO WHEN I LOOK AT JEFFERSON AND St. BONIFACE DISTRICT DONE BY 2095, WE'RE HOPING TO GET THEM DONE BY MAYBE 2035, ROUGHLY. 2027. THIS WILL HAVE A COST. THUS, WE NEED OUR RATE REPORT WIZARDS OVER THERE AT WATER AND WASTE TO SAY WELL IF YOU DO X, WE CAN GET ENOUGH MONEY IN HAND TO KNOCK OFF THESE TWO BIG COMBINED SEWER DISTRICTS. THAT WOULD BE HISTORIC. I WOULD FEEL GOOD LEAVING HERE SAYING WE'VE GOT SOMETHING DONE ON IT. WE DIDN'T FOR OUR GRANDCHILDREN. THE REST OF THE REPORT IS PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD. GEE IT'S GOING TO BE LESS THAN WE PROJECTED BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO GET MONEY FROM OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. IT CERTAINLY APPEARS. WHY THAT WASN'T BUILT IN, I'LL LEAVE TO SOMEBODY ELSE. BUT FRANKLY, WE KEEP SAYING ON ALL THE ZONING DEBATES WE'RE JEOPARDIZING ALL THIS MONEY. MAYBE WE SHOULD TREAT IT IN THIS REPORT THE SAME WAY AS THE MONEY IS IN HAND. SEEMS THERE'S TWO DIFFERENT WAYS OF TREATING FEDERAL PROVINCIAL GRANTS. LEAVE THAT FOR ANOTHER DAY. THE POINT FOR TODAY IS YEAH, WE NEED TO INCREASE THE RATES. THIS IS A LESS DRACONIAN MEASURE THAN THE ORIGINAL REPORT FROM THE STAFF, BUT TO ME, IT'S WORTH ENDORSING. IN FACT, COUNCILLOR EADIE AND I THANK THE MAYOR'S OFFICE FOR WORKING TO SAY LOOK, THE ITEMS FIVE AND SIX ARE IMPORTANT. ONE IS TALKING ABOUT PHASING OUT THE DIVIDEND. AND THAT'S GOOD PUBLIC POLICY I THINK, AND REFLECTS SOME OF WHAT WAS -- COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM IN 2019. TO ME, THE MAIN THING IS ITEM SIX IS THE FIRST TIME WE'VE REALLY COME TO THE PLATE. WE'VE SAID IN THE PAST GIVE US A PLAN TO GET DONE BY 2045 OR 2047, NOT 2097. NOTHING. WE DID INCREASE TO ACCELERATE ON ARMSTRONG. THAT WAS \$60 MILLION. QUITE PROUD OF THAT. BUT TOO MUCH MONEY IN THE BANK. WE DIDN'T NEED TO INCREASE RATES. NOT THE CASE ANYMORE. SO WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO INCREASE RATES, BUT IF WE DID, WE WOULD GET THESE TWO BIG PROJECTS DONE. JEFFERSON, WHICH IS UP JUST SOUTH OF ARMSTRONG. NORTH MAINE AREA AND St. BONIFACE MISSION DISTRICT, WHICH WE KNOW IS ALL SORTS OF OPPORTUNITIES TO INCREASE DEVELOPMENTS, ALL SORTS OF CREATING INFRASTRUCTURE POSSIBILITIES. SO VERY PLEASED TO SEE THE AMENDMENT C, FRANKLY AND PLEASANTLY SURPRISED PERHAPS. SO GOOD WORK THERE. COUNCILLOR EADIE AND I WILL BE SUPPORTING -- I DON'T KNOW WHERE RATES ARE GOING TO GO NEXT YEAR. NO ONE DOES. IT DEPENDS ON THE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. BUT IT'S GOOD TO SEE WE'LL BE GETTING SOMETHING BACK IN THE FALL SAYING HERE'S THE PLAN TO PHASE OUT THE DIVIDEND. TO ME, EVEN MORE IMPORTANTLY, HERE'S A PLAN TO DO SOMETHING FINALLY, HISTORIC ABOUT OUR COMBINED SEWER. OTHERWISE, AS COUNCILLOR WYATT SAID, WE'RE GOING TO BE SITTING HERE IN 2095 WITH RAW SEWAGE STILL GETTING DUMPED. WELL THAT'S PROBABLY GOING TO HAPPEN, BUT AT LEAST THIS IS SOME WAY OF SAYING WE DID OUR PART. WE DIDN'T LEAVE THIS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS. [CAPTIONER SWITCH] BECAUSE OTHERWISE IT'S WHAT COUNCILLOR WYATT SAYS, WE'RE GOING TO BE SITTING HERE IN 2095 WITH RAW SEWAGE STILL GETTING DUMPED. THIS IS SOME WAY OF SAYING WE DID OUR PART, WE DIDN'T LEAVE THIS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR WYATT FOLLOWED BY MAYOR GILLINGHAM.

I'M NOT GOING TO SOUND LIKE A BROKEN RECORD SO I'M JUST GOING TO MAKE IT QUICK. NUMBER ONE -- NUMBER TWO, VALUE ENGINEER THIS PROJECT. HIRE THE EYES AND THE MINDS OUTSIDE OF THE GROUP WE'VE HAD. THEY VALUE ENGINEER OUR LIBRARIES. THEY VALUE ENGINEER OUR REC FACILITIES. VALUE ENGINEER THIS INSTEAD, AND BRING DOWN THE VALUE HERE, AND PART OF THAT IS NUMBER THREE, TO DIG INTO THE REGULATIONS BROUGHT DOWN ON US BY THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA, I HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO A FORMER MINISTER IN A FORMER GOVERNMENT WHO SAID VERY RARELY DOES A MINISTER ACTUALLY READ THE REGULATIONS. IT JUST GOES RIGHT PAST THEIR DESK BECAUSE IT'S LIKE RIGHT OVER HERE, ABOVE THE HEAD, AND YOU KNOW, WHAT CAN YOU DO? THEY'RE THE ENGINEERS, RIGHT? BUT WE NEED TO BRING A FRESH SET OF EYES AT THOSE REGULATIONS, AND THE MAYOR HAS QUOTED THE REGULATIONS ON THE FLOOR, AND WE NEED TO REALLY REVIEW THEM AND CONSIDER WHAT THOSE REGULATIONS ARE DOING TO THE BOTTOM LINE OF THESE PROJECTS. I'M SPEAKING TO THE WATER AND WASTE RATES, MADAM SPEAKER. I WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR, BUT I'M SPEAKING TO THE FACT THAT THE WATER RATES -- WATER AND WASTE AND THE SEWER RATES BEFORE US TODAY ARE DIRECTLY RELATED TO THE REPORT BEFORE AND VICE VERSA AS POINTED OUT BY THE COUNCILLOR. AND I'M GOING TO SOUND LIKE A BROKEN RECORD IF I SAY THIS. NUMBER FOUR, THE REPORT TALKS ABOUT THE NEED TO CALL FOR THE PROVINCE AND THE FEDS. I WANT TO COMPLIMENT THE MAYOR FOR BRINGING THAT ISSUE OUT, FOR STANDING HIS GROUND ON THAT. LET'S PUT A LINE IN THE SAND, PICK A DATE. PICK A DATE WHEN THEY GOT TO COME TO THE TABLE BY, AND IF THEY'RE NOT THERE, GUESS WHAT? WE'VE GOT A PROBLEM. WE'RE NOT GOING AHEAD. WE'VE GOT A MAJOR DISCORD HERE WITH THE GOVERNMENT. MAYBE THE DATE IS THE OCTOBER 3 SECOND ANNIVERSARY OF THE GOVERNMENT'S ELECTION. I DON'T KNOW, BUT PICK A DATE. AND LET THAT BE YOUR BOTTOM LINE. NUMBER FIVE, I AGREE WITH COUNCILLOR MAY'S COMBINED TAKE ON THE SEWERS. LET'S DO IT ALL AT ONCE. THEY WANT TO QUESTION OUR SINCERITY OVER THE SEWER AND WATER RATES AND THE NEED TO CLEAN THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE NEED TO CLEAN THE RIVERS. LET'S MAKE NETLEY MARSH OUR PROJECT. LET'S RESTORE THE MARSH, WHICH IS A FRACTION OF THE COST, A FRACTION, MILLIONS INSTEAD OF TENS OF MILLIONS, AND WE'LL REMOVE 10-PLUS PER CENT OF THE NUTRIENTS, NEVER MIND ANY COSTS RELATED. AND FINALLY, MADAM SPEAKER, AND BY THE WAY, JUST ON THE COMBINED SEWERS, MADAM SPEAKER, IT'S BEEN ARGUED IN THE PAST WE HEAR OF OUR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS COMING FORWARD. IF ANY OF YOU HAVE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS NOW ALL RELATED TO THIS, YOU KNOW, WE GOT THIS GET OUT OF JAIL CLAUSE ALMOST BY THE WASTE AND WATER -- WE APPROVE YOUR DEVELOPMENT, BUT WE MAY NOT APPROVE IT LATER ON, AND COMING TO THE STANDING COMMITTEE NEXT MONTH IS A CAR WASH, THANKS TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE HELP, THEY ARE COMING FORWARD AND HAVE AN ENGINEERING REPORT SIGNED OFF. THIS CAR WASH WHO HAVE BEEN TRYING TO PULL A PERMIT TO BUILD, HE CAN'T GET IT, AND THE IMPRESSION IS GIVEN THAT IT'S, OH, WELL, THERE'S NOT ENOUGH ROOM AND THE CAPACITY OF THE TREATMENT PLANT. WELL, I FIND OUT THAT IT'S ACTUALLY BECAUSE IT GOES BACK TO -- THE PIPE IS RUNNING THE WRONG WAY. IT'S PUSHING IT UP HILL BECAUSE ACTUALLY WHEN IT WAS THE CITY OF TRANSCONA IT WAS GOING THIS WAY VERSUS THAT WAY, AND GUESS WHAT? IT DOESN'T GO UP HILL. I DON'T WANT TO SAY WHAT, BUT ANYWAY, YOU KNOW, IT DOES AFTER YOU PUSH SO MUCH INTO THE PIPE. NOW THE QUESTION IS, MADAM SPEAKER, WHETHER THERE WILL BE

BACKUPS ON SOME OF THE NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS THAT WE APPROVED IN TRANSCONA. I DON'T KNOW HOW THEY GOT PASSED AND THE CAR WASH DOESN'T. FINALLY, WE JUST HAD THIS DEBATE ABOUT INFILL, INFILL, INFILL. OUR PLANNING DEPARTMENT RIGHT NOW, IT DOESN'T ADDRESS THE COMBINED SEWERS, WHICH REALLY WE NEED TO START TALKING ABOUT, IF WE TRULY BELIEVE IN INFILL, INFILL, INFILL, BECAUSE THE POLICY RIGHT NOW OF THE WATER AND WASTE DEPARTMENT FILED BY OUR ENGINEERS WITH THE STAFF, AND I HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO SOME DIGGING WHEN I WAS NOT IN THIS CHAMBER IN THE PREVIOUS TERM, YOU CAN GET COPIES OF THE CORRESPONDENCE SENT TO THE MINISTER BY OUR STAFF, OR THE MINISTER'S STAFF. IS THAT WE SUPPRESS, OUR POLICY IS TO SUPPRESS THE INFILL IN FAVOUR OF THE GREEN FIELD. LET ME REPEAT THAT. WE SUPPRESS THE INFILL DEVELOPMENT IN FAVOUR OF THE GREEN FIELD DEVELOPMENT. SAGE CREEK PHASE THREE, THIS DIDN'T EVEN COME UP AS AN ISSUE. I DIDN'T HEAR QUALKO SAYING I CAN'T GET MY PHASE CREEK STAGE 3 THROUGH WITH A THOUSAND, 2,000 NEW HOMES, YOU KNOW? OR JEN STORE OR ALL THE OTHER BIG DEVELOPERS IF THIS GOES THROUGH, BUT THE INFILL IS THE STUFF THAT'S GETTING SHUT DOWN. LET'S -- WE REALLY NEED TO GET THIS ORGANIZED, AND I WANT TO SUPPORT THIS REPORT BECAUSE I WAS A -- I FELT VERY ENCOURAGED AND I SAID IT IN THE SEMINAR, BEHIND CLOSED DOORS, AND I'LL PUT IT ON THE RECORD IN TERMS OF THE MAYOR TAKING A STRONG STANCE ON THE RATES, BUT IT WAS ALL NULL AND VOID THE NEXT DAY IN THE HEADLINE OF THE PAPER WHEN THE NEXT REPORT THAT'S COMING ON THE AGENDA APPEARED. YOU KNOW, WITH REGARDS TO THE MOVING US TO THE SOLID WASTE UTILITY CONCEPT, AND IT'S LIKE, OKAY, YOU GUYS, I GET IT. YOU'RE THE SAME DEPARTMENT. YOU'RE TRYING TO MAKE IT WORK. YOU'RE TRYING TO BALANCE YOUR BOOK. HAVING SAID THAT, YOU KNOW, THE PROVINCE IS MOVING TOWARDS, YOU KNOW, A SYSTEM OF INDUSTRY PAY IN TERMS OF GARBAGE. WHY ARE WE RUSHING TO CREATE THIS UTILITY WHEN WE KNOW BROADWAY IS COMING DOWN WITH REGULATIONS AND FEES THAT POTENTIALLY WOULD BE COMING BACK TO US AND INCREASE THE RATES IN REPORT NUMBER THREE. IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE. I KNOW THE DEPARTMENT WANTS EVERYTHING, BUT THEY CAN'T HAVE EVERYTHING, RIGHT? WE HAVE TO -- AS THE MAYOR SAID, WE CAN'T JUST HAMMER THE RATEPAYER. WE'RE SEEING WHAT THE SCHOOL DIVISIONS ARE DOING, AND I'M IN FAVOUR OF RAISING TAXES. I'M ONE OF THE FIRST MEMBERS OF COUNCIL THAT CALLED FOR RAISING TAXES. BEFORE -- SHE JOINED ME THE FOLLOWING YEAR, AND NOW I JUST POURED WATER ALL OVER MYSELF. I GET SO EXCITED. THE REALITY IS, MADAM SPEAKER, WE GOT TO COOL THINGS DOWN, AS THE WATER JUST SPILT, AND GET THE MATTER IN HAND, OUR ISSUE WITH REGARDS TO THE RATES WHICH WE KNOW THE PROVINCE DOES NOT COME TO THE TABLE, THE FEDS WON'T COME TO THE TABLE. WE ARE ON OUR OWN, AND THAT SHOULD NOT BE THE CASE, AND WE HAVE TO CALL -- WE HAVE TO DRAW THAT LINE IN THE SAND. THE REPORT DOES KIND OF SAY THAT, BUT WE DON'T HAVE A DROP DEAD DATE. I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE MAYOR TO THINK ABOUT THAT. SO IT'S NOT 75 YEARS AS COUNCILLOR MAYS REFERS TO BUT WE CAN ADDRESS IT, AND WE COULD HAVE A COMPREHENSIVE INITIATIVE HERE AND SAY THIS IS WHERE THE RATES NEED TO GO, BUT BY THE WAY, IF WE CAN DEMONSTRATE TO THEM THAT WE HAVE DONE VALUE ENGINEERING BECAUSE WE HAVE FRESH EYES ON THE TABLE, THEN IT WILL MAKE IT EASIER FOR US TO GET THE ONE THIRD ONE THIRD FUNDING. ANYWAY, THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS.

I THINK I HAVE A POINT. I WILL BE QUICK. THAT INFERENCE ABOUT SAGE CREEK, I'VE BEEN THE COUNCILLOR THERE, PREVIOUSLY COUNCILLOR VANDEL, I'M NOT SURE WHO WAS AROUND WITH PHASE THREE, BUT THE REASON WHY IT WASN'T A COMBINED SEWER ISSUE IS BECAUSE IT'S ABOUT

EIGHT MILES AWAY FROM WHERE THE COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM ENDS, SO THERE'S NO SLEAZINESS HERE THAT SOMEHOW THOSE GUYS GOT THIS THROUGH AND OTHER PEOPLE COULDN'T GET. I MEAN, THE POINT COUNCILLOR WYATT IS TRYING TO MAKE --

THANKS FOR THE EDUCATION, COUNSELLOR MAYES. THANK YOU.

YOU STILL HAVE A BILLION DOLLAR PROBLEM WITH COMBINED SEWERS. YOU STILL HAVE A BILLION DOLLAR WASTE TREATMENT FACILITY, NOW THREE BILLION.

THANK YOU FOR THAT INDULGENCE. MR. MAYOR, YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR EADIE FOR HIS LEADERSHIP AND PREVIOUS CHAIRS OF THIS COMMITTEE TOO. THIS HAS BEEN A LONG TIME COMING, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, MAYES AND OTHERS, AND I WANT TO GO BACK AND GIVE SOME CREDIT. IN MY STATE OF THE CITY SPEECH I SAID THE PREVIOUS FEDERAL, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS AND SOME COUNCILS DIDN'T TAKE ACTION. I WANT TO GIVE CREDIT TO PREVIOUS COUNCIL UNDER THE PREVIOUS MAYOR WHO WE DID MOVE ALONG SOMEHOW TO GET SOME USED FUNDING TO GET THINGS GOING, AND AT A TIME WHEN THE CURRENT PREMIER OF THAT DAY REALLY WASN'T MOVING ALONG, SO I DO KNOW THAT THOSE OF US WHO ARE ON COUNSEL AT THAT TIME WORKED REALLY, REALLY HARD, FELT LIKE WE WERE BANGING OUR HEADS AGAINST THE WALL TO GET SOME MOVEMENT. WE DIDN'T GET SOME TRACTION, AND IT WASN'T FOR EFFORT, BUT NOW TO COUNCILLOR EADIE'S POINT, I WANT TO COMMEND EVERY MEMBER OF THIS CHAMBER. THESE ARE TOUGH DECISIONS, BUT WE'RE MAKING THEM, AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR WILLINGNESS TO MAKE THESE DECISIONS. I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT ONE PART OF THIS REPORT, AND I CAN TELL YOU WHAT, I HEAR THE COUNCILLOR'S FRUSTRATION, FOR EXAMPLE, AND DON'T BLAME HIM AND OTHERS FOR THAT BECAUSE WE, IN OUR OFFICE, ARE CONSISTENTLY, REGULARLY IN DIALOGUE WITH THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT, FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, SAYING YOU NEED TO DO MORE. ONE, THIS IS PROVINCIALLY REGULATED, AS COUNCILLOR WYATT POINTED OUT AGAIN. THAT'S REALLY WHY WE'RE HERE IN LARGE PART, TO BUILD THE KIND OF PLANT WE ARE CALLED UPON TO BUILD. I APPRECIATE THE SPECIAL TREATMENT, BUT MY CLOCK HASN'T EVEN STARTED, AND I'VE BEEN TALKING FOR TWO MINUTES AND IT'S STILL AT EIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THAT'S GREAT. I WAS GOING TO USE IT ALL. I THOUGHT, NO, I BETTER NOT. I BETTER LET THEM KNOW THE CLOCK --

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN WILL GET AFTER YOU.

HE WILL. SO I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT ON PAGE 10 OF YOUR REPORT, I DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 10 OF THIS REPORT. THERE'S A CHART THERE, AND IT'S A CHART ON PROJECT 2, WHICH IS THE BIOSOLIDS FACILITIES, 1.035 BILLION DOLLARS, IT'S A CHART WITH OLD NUMBERS. THESE ARE THE NUMBERS THAT OUR DEPARTMENT HAD SECURED, AND YOU'LL NOTE BELOW THAT CHART IT SAYS THAT IN NOVEMBER 2024 THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT ANNOUNCED PLANNING TO INCREASE A \$30 MILLION THERE WHICH WOULD INCREASE THE TOTAL PROVINCIAL COMMITMENT TO \$197.4 MILLION. AT THE TIME OF THIS REPORT THERE'S NO FUNDING AGREEMENT IN PLACE. ONCE AN AGREEMENT IS FORMALIZED, THE ADDITIONAL FUNDING WILL BE INTEGRATED INTO FUTURE

FINANCIAL -- FUTURE RATE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS. AND SO SINCE THIS REPORT WAS COMPLETED, WE HAVE SECURED VERBAL AGREEMENTS. NOW THERE'S NO SIGNED AGREEMENT ON IT, BUT WE HAVE COMMITMENTS FROM THE PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THAT INCREASE THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS BY A TOTAL OF \$300 MILLION TOTAL. 166 MILLION DOLLARS FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND \$138 MILLION FROM THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. WE THINK THE PROVINCE'S CONTRIBUTION IS THROUGH THE STRATEGIC INFRASTRUCTURE BASKET, BUT THAT CREATES CHALLENGES FOR ANOTHER DAY, BUT ONE OF MY STAFF MEMBERS SAID ONE PROBLEM AT A TIME. WHAT WE'VE GOT, THOUGH, IS WE DO HAVE \$300 MILLION IN COMMITMENTS FROM THE FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT, AN INCREASE FROM THEM OF \$300 MILLION TOTAL, FOR PROJECT 2, AND THAT GIVES ME SOME HOPE -- I'LL USE THE WORD HOPE -- AS WE CONTINUE TO KNOCK ON THE DOOR WITH THEM AND WORK WITH THEM ON PROJECT 3. BECAUSE PROJECT 3 AT \$1.5 BILLION, WITHOUT A DOUBT, WE ABSOLUTELY HAVE TO HAVE THEIR CONTRIBUTION. SO THE DIALOGUE IS ONGOING WITH THE PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS. RELATED TO PROJECT 3, I THANK THEM FOR THEIR INCREASED COMMITMENT TODAY. I THANK THEM FOR THEIR INCREASED COMMITMENT ON PROJECT 2. THAT WILL HELP. WE NEED THEIR ASSISTANCE ON PROJECT 3. WE ARE WORKING WITH THE CITY, THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND IN DIALOGUE WITH THE CANADA INFRASTRUCTURE BANK TO FIND A WAY, AND YOU'VE HEARD ME SAY THIS BEFORE, TO AMORTIZE THE COSTS OF PROJECT 3 OUT OVER MULTIPLE YEARS, IN FACT OVER GENERATIONS. I HAVE A PHOTO ON MY WALL, MANY OF YOU HAVE SEEN IT. IT IS OF THE CURRENT NORTH END SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. IT'S FROM ABOUT -- THE PHOTO IS FROM ABOUT 1937. WE HAVE HAD THAT PLANT AND BEEN USING THAT PLANT, WHICH TREATS NOW 70% OF OUR WASTE WATER, WE'VE BEEN USING IT FOR 95 YEARS, AND SO THIS NEW PLANT THAT WE'RE BUILDING WILL AND SHOULD LAST, THERE WOULD NEED TO BE SOME ADJUSTMENTS AND SOME ADDITIONS, BUT ULTIMATELY THE BASE OF THAT PLANT SHOULD LAST 100 YEARS, SO WE NEED TO BE PAYING FOR IT, NOT IN A FEW YEARS BUT OVER MULTIPLE YEARS. IT JUST MAKES SENSE BECAUSE MULTIPLE GENERATIONS ARE GOING TO USE THAT SERVICE THAT THAT PLANT PROVIDES. WE HAVE TO BUILD THE PLANT TO INCREASE CAPACITY FOR HOMES, BUSINESSES AND JOBS IN THE CITY. WE NEED TO BUILD THE PLANT TO MEET OUR ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSE REQUIREMENT AS ESTABLISHED BY THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. AND TO DO SO TO PROTECT LAKE WINNIPEG, OR BETTER PROTECT LAKE WINNIPEG AND OUR RIVERS AS WELL, AND OF COURSE WE NEED TO BUILD THAT PLANT TO REPAIR -- REPLACE, I SHOULD SAY, THE OLD INFRASTRUCTURE THAT THE CURRENT PLANT HAS AND WE'RE USING. I'LL CLOSE WITH THIS COMMENT TODAY. I AM ASKING, THROUGH YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, EVERY MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL TO MAKE THIS A FEDERAL ELECTION ISSUE. IN THE COMING -- RIGHT NOW AND IN THE COMING DAYS, WEEKS, THERE ARE FEDERAL CANDIDATES CAMPAIGNING. THEY WANT OUR VOTES. I THINK IT'S INCUMBENT UPON US TO BE COMMUNICATING TO THE RESIDENTS WITHIN OUR WARDS AND ACROSS OUR CITY THE URGENCY AND THE NEED FOR FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION TO PROJECT 3. I THINK EVERY CANDIDATE FROM EVERY PARTY NEEDS TO UNDERSTAND THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROJECT, THE URGENCY OF THIS PROJECT, AND THE NEED FOR FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION AND COMMITMENT. THE ORIGINAL PLAN, AS COLLEAGUES HAVE SAID AROUND HERE, BACK IN 2002 OR 2003, WHENEVER THIS WAS STARTED, IT WAS ENVISIONED THIS WOULD BE A ONE THIRD, ONE THIRD, ONE THIRD PROJECT. I THINK THE NUMBER AT THE TIME, THE ESTIMATED COST FOR THE WHOLE THING WAS \$798 MILLION OR SOMEWHERE IN THAT NEIGHBOURHOOD. WE'RE NOW AT \$3 BILLION. WE NEED TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO STEP FORWARD. WE NEED THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT TO STEP

FORWARD, ASSIST US WITH PROJECT 3. EVERY CANDIDATE RUNNING FOR ELECTED OFFICE, FOR FEDERAL OFFICE, NEEDS TO KNOW THIS AND UNDERSTAND THIS, AND BE WILLING TO STAND UP FOR THE PEOPLE OF WINNIPEG AND MAKE A COMMITMENT TO BRING FEDERAL MONEY TO THE TABLE ON PROJECT 3. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER?

WON'T SPEAK TOO LONG ON THIS ONE, BUT I TRULY APPRECIATE SOME SERIOUS DISCUSSION ON THIS, AND WHAT IS THE MAIN CHALLENGE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHEN THE CONSISTENCY HERE IS LACK OF FUNDING, AND TRYING TO FIND SOME SORT OF EQUATION WITH THE OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. I DON'T WANT TO SAY IT'S COMICAL, MADAM SPEAKER, BUT WE HAVEN'T EXACTLY RESOLVED WHAT IS THE EQUATION, WHAT PERCENTAGE FROM THIS, AND WHEN I SAY WE, I MEAN WE THREE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT, HAVEN'T FIGURED OUT YET WHAT ARE OUR PROPORTIONS. THIS IS A LONG TIME COMING, AND WE DON'T -- AND BUDGET-WISE, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WE'RE DOING. SO THAT IS ON RECORD BASED ON WHAT WE JUST HEARD HERE OVER THE LAST HALF HOUR OR SO, MADAM SPEAKER. SO WHY IS IT A PROBLEM, MADAM SPEAKER? MAYBE WE SHOULD ADDRESS THAT TOO. MAYBE THAT SHOULD BE A FEDERAL ISSUE AS WELL. WHY IS THIS SUCH A PROBLEM AFTER HOW MANY YEARS OF KNOWING THIS IS COMING WE DON'T HAVE A BUDGET, A PROPORTION SYSTEM, AND YET WE'RE NOT EXPLAINING WHY THIS IS A PROBLEM RIGHT NOW. WHAT KIND OF A PROBLEM WAS IT 100 YEARS AGO? AND I APPRECIATE THE MAYOR BRINGS SOME HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE BECAUSE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE NOW, THE NOW WAS CREATED 100 YEARS AGO. WELL, THAT'S LIFE. THAT'S POLITICS. THAT'S HOW IT WORKS, SO I APPRECIATE THAT. BUT IT COMES TIME SOMETIMES THAT THERE'S TIME FOR CHANGE, AND RIGHT NOW WE'RE FLUMMOXED. FINE, I DON'T WANT TO USE THAT WORD, I DON'T WANT TO SENSATIONALIZE, BUT WE ARE CONFUSED, ARE WE NOT? BECAUSE WE DO NOT KNOW HOW WE'RE GOING TO PAY FOR THIS, EVEN THOUGH WE'VE KNOWN FOR HOW MANY YEARS? I'M NOT HERE TO, LIKE -- IT'S NOT A POP QUIZ. I'M NOT HERE TO BE JUDGMENTAL OVER WHETHER WE KNOW HOW MANY YEARS IT'S BEEN, BUT IT'S BEEN A FEW, EH? AND THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT KNEW THAT TOO, AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. SO HERE WE ARE, MADAM SPEAKER, AND I THINK IT'S TIMES LIKE THIS WHEN YOU HAVE TO TAKE A STEP BACK, TAKE A LOOK AT THIS. WHY IS THIS HAPPENING? AND IF YOU CAN'T EXPLAIN THE PROBLEM, YOU KNOW, IT'S GOING TO BE HARD TO SOLVE IT. I KNOW WE'RE ALL GOING TO TAKE SUCCESS SOONER OR LATER THE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT ARE GOING TO PONY UP, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE OUR MONEY AND IT'S GOING TO GET DONE AND SAY, GREAT, SUCCESS. IT'S NOT THAT SIMPLE. WHAT ARE THE PROBLEMS WE'RE GOING TO CAUSE ALONG THE WAY IN TERMS OF OUR ECONOMY? SO WE SAY THINGS LIKE THIS IS A GREAT INVESTMENT. OH, WE'VE BEEN SAYING THAT FOR EVERY DECADE, MADAM SPEAKER, INCLUDING THE LAST DECADE, EVERY TIME WE PUT IN THE MONEY FOR CAPITAL INVESTMENT, WELL, IT'S GREAT FOR THE INVESTMENT. IT'S GREAT FOR THE ECONOMY. MADAM SPEAKER, I'M NOT FEELING IT. NOW THIS HAS TO GET DONE SOONER OR LATER, WHETHER IT'S BECAUSE THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT IS MANDATING NOW IN THE SHORT TERM NOW IS THE TIME, BUT SOONER OR LATER YOU DO HAVE TO GET IT DONE. NOW MADAM SPEAKER, YOU KNOW, COUNCILLOR EADIE CAN ATTEST TO THE FACT THAT SOME OF THE -- IS IT THE WATER PIPES OR THE SEWAGE PIPES UNDER THE AVENUE THAT ARE THE OLDEST IN THE CITY ARE THE ONES THAT LAST THE LONGEST. ISN'T IT INTERESTING, MADAM SPEAKER? LET'S BEAR THAT IN MIND WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS, WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT VALUE FOR OUR TAX MONEY

AND WHETHER WE CAN ATTAIN THE SAME EFFICIENCIES AND REASONABLENESS THAT WE HAVE BEEN IN THE PAST. IS THAT NOT A VERY REASONABLE CHALLENGE? ISN'T THAT A CHALLENGE WE'RE SUPPOSED TO TAKE ON EVERY SINGLE DAY? I THINK IT IS. I THINK WE HAVE TO COMPARE OURSELVES TO THAT WHICH CAME BEFORE, BECAUSE WE HAVE NO OTHER COMPARISON, AND WHEN THINGS DO CHANGE, WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND WHY. I HOPE THAT'S NOT TOO VAGUE OR GENERAL. I'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS FOR YEARS. I THINK THAT IS OUR DILEMMA, AND LET'S MAKE THAT AN ELECTION ISSUE EVERY SINGLE ELECTION, INCLUDING OURS IN A YEAR AND A HALF.

THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. NO FURTHER SPEAKERS. COUNCILLOR EADIE TO CLOSE.

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. FIRST OF ALL, I JUST WANT TO THANK THE FORMER CHAIRPERSONS AND THOSE WHO HAVE WORKED ON THIS, MADAM SPEAKER, AND I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO NOTE THAT OUR CURRENT SO-CALLED BUDGET BOSS IS ALSO BEEN HEARD TO SAY WE NEED TO BRING DOWN THAT DIVIDEND. SO-CALLED DIVIDEND BECAUSE I'VE NEVER AGREED THAT IT'S A DIVIDEND. IT'S A TAX ON A TAX, MADAM SPEAKER, OR TAX ON A FEE. ANYWAY, MADAM SPEAKER, THE SERIOUSNESS OF AFFORDABILITY FOR PEOPLE IN WINNIPEG, I'VE RECEIVED A NUMBER OF I'LL CALL IT DESPERATE PEOPLE TRYING TO AFFORD TO LIVE IN THEIR HOMES AND STAY IN THEIRS, ESPECIALLY SENIORS ON FIXED INCOMES, BUT THERE'S ALSO A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE VERY LOW INCOMES, AND THEY HAVE TO PAY THE WATER BILL. I ALWAYS HAVE THEM IN MIND, MADAM SPEAKER, ALWAYS. BUT THE REALITY IS YOU CAN'T HAVE AN URBAN ENVIRONMENT TODAY OF THE SIZE OF WINNIPEG AND OTHER CITIES WITHOUT PROVIDING THE PROPER TREATMENT OF OUR SEWAGE, MAKING SURE OUR WATER IS SAFE TO DRINK, AND EVEN ON THE GARBAGE, WHICH WE'LL PROBABLY BE DISCUSSING NEXT -- THE NEXT REPORT. I WILL POINT OUT, THOUGH, SO IN THIS REPORT WE DID PROVIDE WHAT AN AVERAGE FAMILY OF FOUR WHO CONSUMES 50 CUBIC METRES OF WATER WOULD BE PAYING EXTRA, AND IT WAS PROJECTED OUT OVER, BUT THE LOWEST INCREASE WAS THIS YEAR, BUT I WILL POINT OUT THAT I KNOW IN MY HOUSEHOLD, ESPECIALLY WHEN I LIVED ON MY OWN, MADAM SPEAKER, TO KEEP THE BILLS DOWN, I CONSUMED LESS WATER, SO THOSE WHO WILL BE CONSUMING LESS WATER, IT WON'T BE AS MUCH OF -- IT'S STILL A PROBLEM, BUT IT WON'T BE AS MUCH, BUT THE LARGE CONSUMERS OF WATER, WHICH IS USED TO CALCULATE THE SEWAGE RATES, THEY WILL BE PICKING UP THE BIGGEST PORTION OF THESE INCREASES THAT WE NEED TO PROVIDE THE FACILITY, SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT IS CLEAR. AND I THANK THE FORMER CHAIRPERSON MAYES FOR EXPRESSING HIS INTEREST IN THE C.S.O. PROGRAM, WHICH IS VERY IMPORTANT, MADAM SPEAKER, TO THE CITY AS WELL AND TO THE WATER OF THE RED RIVER, THE WATER THAT EVERYBODY ON TREATY 1 LAND AND OTHER TREATY LANDS, WATER FLOWING INTO LAKE WINNIPEG, IT'S ALL VERY IMPORTANT, AND WE ARE SPENDING LOTS OF MONEY TO DO WHAT WE NEED TO DO TO CARE FOR THAT WATER, AND I JUST - ANYWAY, THERE IS CONVERSATION, AS THE MAYOR SAID, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE IS CONVERSATION - - DIALOGUE GOING ON RIGHT NOW BETWEEN PUBLIC SERVANTS IN THE PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT REGARDS PROJECT 3, AND ULTIMATELY, YOU KNOW, THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S PORTION, WHATEVER THAT IS, 60, WHATEVER THAT PORTION WILL BE OF PROJECT 3, IT IS A DEBT SITUATION, AND PLEASE, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT COULD HELP US IN TERMS OF PREVENTING A DOWNTURN IN OUR CREDIT RATING, BECAUSE WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DO THAT IF WE'RE MOVING FORWARD WITH DEBT ON PROJECT 3, AND WE NEED THAT INFRASTRUCTURE, CANADIAN INFRASTRUCTURE BANK WITH LOWER INTEREST RATES. WE'RE GOING TO NEED THEM, AND SO ON THAT FEDERAL

GOVERNMENT, THE CAMPAIGN THAT'S GOING ON RIGHT NOW, THAT CANADIAN INFRASTRUCTURE BANK IS SO CRITICAL. TO GET RID OF IT IS IRRESPONSIBLE AND IT WILL HAVE A BIG EFFECT, NOT ONLY ON WINNIPEG, NORTH VANCOUVER IS HAVING MAJOR PROBLEMS RIGHT NOW WITH THEIR OWN SEWAGE PLANT IN TERMS OF COST AND HOW TO PAY FOR IT. THERE'S OTHER CITIES THAT HAVE THESE PROJECTS, AND THEY NEED TO MOVE FORWARD SO LET'S GET THAT ON THE FEDERAL TABLE. I KNOW I'LL BE DOING THAT, AND ANYWAY, I HOPE YOU ALL VOTE FOR THIS REPORT NUMBER 2 THAT HAS, WELL, WE'RE TRYING TO MAKE IT AFFORDABLE FOR PEOPLE TO LIVE HERE IN THEIR HOMES IN WINNIPEG. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. ALL IN FAVOUR OF ITEM 2?

RECORDED VOTE.

OKAY, ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE.

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM. COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ORLIKOW, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE?

COUNCILLOR WYATT.

A VOTE MADAM SPEAKER, YEAS 15, NAYS 1.

THANK YOU. THE ITEM PASSES. ITEM 3, COUNCILLOR EADIE? OR FIRST WE'LL HAVE THE CLERK READ IT OUT.

ITEM 3, SOLID WASTE UTILITY FINANCIAL PLAN AND RATE MODEL, 2025-2027.

COUNCILLOR EADIE?

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I THOUGHT THERE WAS AN AMENDING MOTION ON THIS REPORT, BUT I THINK SOMEBODY WAS GONNA BE ASKING TO HAVE IT STOOD DOWN ANYWAY, MADAM SPEAKER?

MM-HM.

SO . . . SO THIS IS A NEW FINANCING MODEL FOR WHAT WILL BECOME A FULL UTILITY, SOLID WASTE. WE HAVE A WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE THAT REALLY INCORPORATES ALL THE COSTS THAT WE HAD FOR ALL OF OUR SOLID WASTE SERVICES THAT WE -- WELL, WE REALLY NEED TO PROVIDE THAT TO THE CITY AND ACTUALLY, YOU KNOW, KEEPING UP TO INNER CITY GARBAGE PROBLEMS, YOU KNOW, THERE'S A COST TO THAT. SO THIS IS A NEW FEE FOR WINNIPEGGERS, AND IT COMES IN A DIFFICULT TIME WHERE WE HAD TO RAISE OUR PROPERTY TAXES. WE HAVE TO RAISE OUR SEWER RATES, AND NOW WE HAVE

THIS COMING. IT'S ALL AT THE SAME TIME, AND I HAVE BIG CONCERNS, BUT IN THE PAST I'VE SUPPORTED MAKING SOLID WASTE A -- LIKE A FULL UTILITY WITH THE WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE, BUT TO BE CLEAR, I DIDN'T FORESEE THAT EVERYBODY WOULD BE PAYING THE SAME FIXED RATE. IT WAS MY IDEA THAT WE WOULD -- AND I PRESENTED THIS IN 2011 WITH THE WASTE DIVERSION FEE, THAT THE CITY WOULD HAVE AN ABILITY TO PAY A FEE THAT'S RELATED TO THE HOME THAT THEY CAN AFFORD TO LIVE IN. AND THE BEST THING THAT WE AS THE CITY HAVE IS THE PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENT VALUE. AND SO IT WOULD BE LESS DIFFICULT FOR THOSE WHO ARE FAMILIES WITH LOWER INCOME, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE'S ANOMALIES IN THAT CONCEPT, BUT ULTIMATELY IT IS MORE ABOUT ONE'S ABILITY TO PAY, AND IN SOME CASE IT WILL BE RENTERS WHO ARE PAYING THE BILL IF THE LANDLORD HAS THE RENTERS PAYING THE WATER BILL, THEY ARE ALSO PAYING THE GARBAGE BILL, AND OTHERS WILL BE LANDLORDS WHO PAY THAT THEMSELVES. THAT WILL INCREASE, AND YES, IT'S GOING TO HAVE AN EFFECT. THERE'S NO DOUBT ABOUT IT. WE DO HAVE A MOTION, THANK YOU COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, TO LOOK AT WHAT THAT IMPACT IS FOR THE CCPA ESTIMATED 10,000 HOUSEHOLDS WHO WILL HAVE A DIFFICULT TIME COVERING THE WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE. WE HAVE THE DEPARTMENT WHO IS WORKING AT SOME -- ONE METHOD OF BEING ABLE TO DEAL WITH ONE'S ABILITY TO PAY THEIR BILL AND TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE, BUT THAT IS IN THE DESPERATE SITUATION WHERE SOMEBODY CAN'T AFFORD TO PAY THEIR BILL, MADAM SPEAKER. BUT WE DO KNOW THAT THIS WILL HAVE AN EFFECT ON A NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS, AND MAYBE THEY ARE GIVING UP PURCHASING FOOD. I DON'T KNOW. EVERY -- ALL THE PRICES ARE GOING UP. IT'S CRAZY. WE HAVE POTENTIAL TARIFFS ON AMERICAN STUFF COMING IN. IT'S ALL VERY DIFFICULT. BUT I WANT TO POINT OUT THERE IS -- WE'LL TALK ABOUT THIS. THERE IS SOME ADVANTAGE TO THE WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE IN THAT THERE IS A BYLAW CHANGE THAT'S COMING IN REGARDS TO THAT WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE, MADAM SPEAKER, AND ONE OF THE BIGGEST I KNOW COUNCILLOR SANTOS, EADIE AND GILROY HAVE IS THE CONSTANT DAMAGE AND STEALING OF PEOPLE'S GARBAGE CARTS. NOW THEY'VE INCORPORATED AND ESTIMATED A COST, SO NOW THOSE -- I HAVE PEOPLE WHO CAN'T AFFORD TO PAY THE WHATEVER IT IS, \$90 SOMETHING NOW TO REPLACE A CART. THEY WON'T HAVE TO DO THAT ANYMORE ONCE WE PASS THIS IN THE BYLAW. IT WILL BE MONITORED CLOSELY, THOUGH, AND WE STILL EXPECT EVERYBODY TO USE THEIR CARTS THE WAY THAT THEY WERE DESIGNED TO BE USED AND THE WAY THE SYSTEM WAS DESIGNED TO BE USED, MADAM SPEAKER. WE'VE ALSO INCORPORATED IN HERE THERE'S A NUMBER OF BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONES, AND WE TALKED ABOUT THE GOOD THINGS THAT OUR BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONES ARE TRYING TO DO AND THE AREAS IN WHICH MEMBER BUSINESSES ARE DOING BUSINESS, SO THERE WILL BE BESIDES A CHARITABLE ORGANIZATION WITH JUST A CHARITABLE USE OUT OF THAT FACILITY, AND CITY-OWNED COMMUNITY CENTRES AND ARENAS WILL CONTINUE TO RECEIVE THEIR COLLECTION SERVICES, REGULAR COLLECTION SERVICES FREE, BUT WE'VE ALSO ADDED, UPON APPLICATION, A BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONE, LIKE THE SELKIRK AVENUE BIZ, WILL BE ABLE TO GET A SERVICE FROM THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WITH, SAY, A THREE-CUBIC-METRE BIN, BECAUSE WHEN THEY ARE CLEANING UP OUR STREETS, THEY ARE CLEANING UP OUR STREETS, THEY ARE DOING A LOT OF WORK, THE BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONES, TO MAKE THE BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT PLEASANT TO WORK IN, SO NOW IF WE PASS THIS, UPON APPLICATION, A BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT ZONE WILL BE ABLE TO GET A BIN THAT WILL BE COLLECTED I BELIEVE IT'S ON A WEEKLY BASIS THAT HOPEFULLY WILL HANDLE ON SELKIRK AVENUE SOME DAYS SIX BAGS OF GARBAGE COMING OFF THE STREET EVERY DAY. ANYWAY, THE OTHER POINT -- LIKE, IT IS UNFORTUNATE THAT THE 2024 COST TO COLLECT THE GARBAGE, NOT THE BLUE

CART BUT THE OTHER CART, THAT THE DEPARTMENT WASN'T ABLE TO FIND A SOURCE OF CASH TO JUST CASH AVAILABLE TO COVER OFF THAT COST OR TO COVER OFF THE COST OF THE DIVERSION, WASTE DIVERSION FEE FOR MULTI-FAMILY WAS NEVER CHARGED, MADAM SPEAKER, SO WE NEED TO MAKE BACK THAT \$28.2 MILLION BECAUSE THEY BORROWED MONEY AND THERE WAS NO WAY OR NOWHERE WE COULD FIND THE MONEY, AND SO THOSE RATES WILL COME DOWN AS A RESULT ONCE THAT'S PAID OFF. RIGHT NOW IT'S REALLY \$162-A-YEAR INCREASE ON THE WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE. THERE'S ALSO A 100% PRODUCER-PAID RECYCLING PROGRAM THAT'S COMING DOWN THE PIPE, HAS TO BE NEGOTIATED BETWEEN THE CITY. WE'LL FIGURE OUT HOW THAT WORKS, BUT THE FEE THAT WE'RE PAYING RELATED TO THAT SERVICE SHOULD END UP NOT BEING IN THE WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE. IT WILL BE COVERED 100% PRODUCER-PAY. SO MADAM SPEAKER, THERE ARE GOOD POTENTIALS FOR THE WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE TO COME DOWN, MOVING INTO THE FUTURE, AND I KNOW THE PEOPLE DON'T -- THE PEOPLE I'M GETTING EMAIL FROM SAY THEY DON'T TRUST US POLITICIANS, BUT I TAKE THIS SERIOUSLY. MY CAREER AS A CITY COUNCILLOR HAS BEEN ABOUT AFFORDABILITY AND PEOPLE BEING ABLE TO STAY AND LIVE IN THEIR HOMES, AND SO I DILIGENTLY WORK TOWARDS THAT, AND YOU HAVE THIS REPORT. I SHOULD SAY I'M ANXIOUS TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUES. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR MAYES FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT.

I SORT OF HATE TO END THE DAY ON NOT A POSITIVE NOTE, BUT WE'RE NOT AT THE END OF THE DAY YET, I GUESS. SO YEAH, I'M ACTUALLY NOT GOING TO BE VOTING IN FAVOUR OF THIS. THERE ARE SOME NUMBERS HERE THAT ARE OF CONCERN. I THINK COUNCILLOR EADIE HAS INHERITED THIS SITUATION, TO BE FAIR TO COUNCILLOR EADIE, BUT YOU KNOW, EIGHT HOURS OR SO AGO COUNCILLOR BROWATY WAS SAYING LOOK AT THESE INCREASES FOR THESE SCHOOL DIVISIONS, WOW. WELL, THIS IS \$161 A YEAR INCREASE, MAYBE \$162 I THINK IS WHAT WAS SAID, SO EITHER ONE. THE AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD PROPERTY TAX INCREASE ON THE 5.95 WAS \$121 A YEAR. THIS IS \$161 A YEAR. THIS IS BIGGER THAN THE PROPERTY TAX INCREASE IF YOU'RE AT THE AVERAGE HOME. IF YOU'RE AT A LESSER-VALUE HOME, THIS IS ACTUALLY EVEN BIGGER FOR YOU, RIGHT? I MEAN, AS A PERCENTAGE OF YOUR CURRENT PROPERTY TAXES. THIS ISN'T THE EQUIVALENT -- I SAID 8% OR THERE, BUT I WENT AND DID THE MATH EXACTLY, IT'S 7.46, SO WE'RE UP OVER 13% IF YOU COMBINE THE PROPERTY TAX INCREASE AND THIS INCREASE, SO LET'S NOT -- ANYWAY, SCHOOL DIVISION'S NUMBERS NOT TOO DIFFERENT THAN THAT. SO I HAD HOPED THERE WOULD BE WHAT WAS DISCUSSED IN THE MEDIA ANOTHER PLAN, A TEN-YEAR PHASE-IN, SOMETHING, AND THE MAYOR AND COUNCILLOR EADIE BOTH SAID WE COULDN'T MAKE THAT WORK. OKAY, BUT I DO THINK IT'S A BIG ADJUSTMENT TO TAKE THIS OFF THE PROPERTY TAX AND PUT IT ON A FEE. IT'S GOT TO BE THE BIGGEST FEE INCREASE WE'VE SEEN IN MY YEARS HERE. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR GILROY FOR COMING FORWARD WITH A MOTION THAT WILL COME TO WATER AND WASTE COMMITTEE THAT SAYS AT LEAST LET'S TRY AND BUFFER SOME OF THAT BY PUTTING IT ON THE PROPERTY TAX INSTEAD, BECAUSE THAT'S THE MORE PROGRESSIVE MEANS OF TAXATION, IS TO DO IT OFF THE PROPERTY TAXES, AND I KNOW COUNCILLOR EADIE HAS BEEN CONSISTENT OVER THE YEARS SAYING WE SHOULD HAVE A FEE THAT'S ON A SLIDING SCALE, BUT THAT STARTS TO LOOK AWFULLY LIKE PROPERTY TAX. SO PEOPLE ARE SAYING TO ME, WELL, WHAT GOLD-PLATED SERVICES ARE YOU GUYS ADDING? WE'RE NOT. THIS ISN'T THE COMPOST STUFF. THIS ISN'T ANYTHING. THIS IS JUST DELIVERING THE CURRENT PACKAGE OF SERVICES, AND WE'RE NOT GOING OUT AGAIN TO MARKET. MAYBE WE'LL DO SOME OF IT IN-HOUSE. THAT'S A SEPARATE DEBATE. THIS IS JUST DOING THE SAME

PACKAGE OF STUFF, BUT WE'RE NOW GOING TO JUST PUT IT ON A FEE INSTEAD OF ON PROPERTY TAXES, AND THAT TO ME JUST DOESN'T SEEM EQUITABLE, SO THE NUMBERS ARE -- FRANKLY IT'S MORE THAN A 7.5% INCREASE. IT'S AN INFINITY PER CENT INCREASE IF YOU'RE LIVING IN A MULTI-FAMILY RIGHT NOW BECAUSE YOUR CURRENT RATE IS ZERO AND IT'S GOING TO ROUGHLY \$30 A QUARTER, \$120 A YEAR, SO TRY AND DO THAT MATH. WHAT PERCENTAGE INCREASE FROM ZERO TO -- IT IS INFINITY, THANK YOU. BUT I'LL STAY WITH THE 7.5, BECAUSE IT'S A BIG INCREASE, AND I JUST THINK THERE'S GOT TO BE SOME MORE EQUITABLE WAY OF DOING THIS WHERE WE DON'T SAY TO PEOPLE, WELL, HOORAY, OUR PROPERTY TAX INCREASE WAS ONLY 5.9, IT'S ONLY \$121 A YEAR, THIS IS 160, OR IF YOU'RE, YOU KNOW, IN MULTI-FAMILY YOU'VE GONE FROM ZERO -- IT'S ABOUT THE SAME. IT'S ABOUT A \$120 A YEAR INCREASE AS WELL FOR MULTI-FAMILY. SO WITH SOME RELUCTANCE I'M GOING TO VOTE NO TODAY. HOPEFULLY SOME COMPROMISE CAN BE WORKED OUT WHERE WE MAKE IT A LITTLE MORE EQUITABLE BETWEEN THE PROPERTY TAX AND THE FEE.

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR WYATT?

MADAM SPEAKER, THIS IS NOT A NEW FEE. THIS IS A NEW TAX, AND IT'S A TAX ON WORKING FAMILIES ACROSS THE CITY. IF THIS WERE NOT A NEW TAX, IF THIS WAS TRULY A NEW FEE TO OFFSET THE OTHER FEE, CALLED THE PROPERTY TAX, THERE WOULD BE A DIVIDEND OVER THERE AND A CHARGE OVER HERE. THERE IS NONE OF THAT. THIS IS A NEW TAX. IT'S NOT A NEW FEE, AND IT'S A TAX ON WORKING FAMILIES ACROSS THIS CITY. AND LET'S READ THE REPORT VERY CAREFULLY, MADAM SPEAKER. ONE ONLY HAS TO FLIP FORWARD TO PAGE 12. THE RECOMMENDED RATE ALSO INCLUDES \$9, \$2.25 PER QUARTER, PER DWELLING UNIT, TO FUND THE PURCHASE OF GREEN CARTS TO SUPPORT THE UPCOMING GREEN CART PROGRAM. AND THEN THEY USE A WORD THAT I'M GOING TO USE THIS WORD AND I KNOW SOMEONE'S GOING TO CALL ME OUT OF ORDER, AND I DON'T CARE, BUT THEY USE THE WORD TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PROJECTED PROJECT 59 MILLION. REVENUE? REVENUE IS MONEY COMING IN. THIS IS AN EXPENSE. THIS IS WEASEL WORDS THAT SHOULD NOT BE TOLERATED. THIS COUNCIL HAS GREATER INTELLIGENCE THAN THIS, MADAM SPEAKER. REVENUE? THIS IS A COST. IN OTHER WORDS, THE COST OF THE PROGRAM IS ANOTHER \$59 MILLION, JUST FROM WHAT THEY'RE PROJECTING NOW. THIS PROGRAM IS BEING ROLLED OUT, THIS TAX IS BEING ROLLED OUT, MADAM SPEAKER, TO HIT THE RATEPAYERS OF WINNIPEG SO THAT ALL THE GOOD-DOERS IN THE CITY CAN HAVE THEIR GREEN CART AND SAY WE GOT OUR GREEN CART. OH, YES, AND BY THE WAY, A WHOLE NEW FLEET OF VEHICLES ARE GOING TO BE GOING OUT, SPEWING DIESEL INTO THE ATMOSPHERE TO COLLECT YOUR ORGANICS. LOTS OF SENSE THAT MAKES. MEANWHILE, EVERYBODY IS OUT TRYING TO --

THAT MAKES SENSE.

NO, IT DOES NOT MAKE SENSE WHEN YOU CAN HAVE AN ORGANIC BIN IN YOUR YARD, AND MANY PEOPLE DO. YOU'RE GOING TO CREATE A WHOLE FLEET OF TRUCKS TO COLLECT ORGANICS NOW? MADAM SPEAKER, THIS WHOLE THING IS BEING RAMMED IN THROUGH THIS COUNCIL RIGHT NOW HOPING THE VOTERS OF THE CITY WILL NOT REMEMBER IN TWO YEARS. THEY WILL. ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU START TO ROLL OUT THE GREEN CART PROGRAM AND WATCH THE FEE, THE TAX, GO LIKE THAT. THAT'S WHERE THIS IS HEADING. I CAN TELL YOU RIGHT NOW, MADAM SPEAKER -- I DIDN'T MEAN TO

GO LIKE THAT. ANYWAY, THE REALITY IS WE HAVE NOT ONLY A PRIVATE LANDFILL -- I LEARNED YESTERDAY UP IN THE NORTHWEST -- THE PROVINCE IS NOW ALLOWED FOR A PRIVATE LANDFILL DOWN IN THE SOUTHEAST IN ILL DE-SHANE. GFL, WHO HAS OUR CONTRACT, IS HAULING THEIR GARBAGE THERE NOT ON OUR CONTRACT BUT ANYWHERE ELSE THAT THEY COLLECT. THEY HAUL IT TO THEIR OWN LITTLE DEAL THEY GOT IN ILE DE CHENE. NOBODY IS REGULATING THAT OR COMING DOWN ON THE RATEPAYERS OF WINNIPEG. DO OUR OFFICIALS KNOW THAT? YES. HAVE THEY INCLUDED THAT DETAIL IN THIS REPORT? NO. THE RATEPAYERS ARE GETTING SHAFTED, MADAM SPEAKER, BY THIS REPORT. THIS IS A PROGRAM TO CREATE A TAX THAT DOES NOT EXIST. ALL DONE TO BRING IN A PROGRAM THAT MANY OF US QUESTION ON COUNCIL. IF I HAVE TO RAISE TAXES TO FIX WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE CITY TODAY, TO FIX THE BROKEN STREETS, TO FIX THE NEW INFRASTRUCTURE, WE GOT ANOTHER REPORT COMING UP HERE ABOUT CHIEF PEGUIS, KENNISTON, HOW ARE WE PAYING FOR THAT? WE GOT TO REMEMBER THERE'S ONE RATEPAYER HERE, MADAM SPEAKER. WE GOT TO PICK AND CHOOSE WHAT WE'RE RAISING THE RATES ON, AND YES, IN THE IDEAL WORLD WOULDN'T IT BE NICE TO HAVE ORGANIC COLLECTION? YES. IT WOULD. BUT WHEN WE HAVE A CITY THAT'S INFRASTRUCTURE IS CRUMBLING, WE CAN'T EVEN MAINTAIN THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE WE HAVE, NEVER MIND BUILD THE NEW INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WE KNOW WE HAVE TO BUILD WHEN OUR POPULATION AS THE MAYOR POINTS OUT HAS RISEN 70,000 IN ONLY THREE YEARS AND THE TRAFFIC CONGESTION IN OUR NEIGHBOURHOODS AND STREETS ARE WORSE THAN EVER BEFORE, MADAM SPEAKER, WHAT ARE WE DOING HERE? THIS IS OUTRAGEOUS. AND WE'RE JUST GOING TO NONCHALANTLY PASS IT? I KNOW EVERYBODY'S TIRED AND I'M TIRED TOO AND IT'S ALMOST 8:00 AT NIGHT, BUT THE REALITY IS THIS CANNOT GO FORWARD. WE CANNOT PROVE THIS NEW TAX BECAUSE I KNOW WHERE THIS GOES. IT GOES UP AND UP AND UP, AND THEY'VE GOT THEIR EMPIRE AND THEY WANT TO BUILD IT, AND THEY ARE. AND NO WAY -- NOW WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THE -- THEY'RE GOING TO START EXPANDING THE PROGRAM. IF IT IS NOT A TAX, SHOW ME WHERE THE NET DEDUCTION IS OUT OF OUR PROPERTY TAX. SHOW ME WHERE THE SAVINGS IS. TO THE BOTTOM LINE OF THE RATEPAYERS, IF THIS IS NOT A TAX. AS A FEE, AS YOU CLAIM. YOU CAN'T BECAUSE IT IS AN INCREASE ON TOP OF EVERYBODY, SO MADAM SPEAKER, IF YOU BELIEVE IN FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY, IF YOU BELIEVE THAT WE ONLY HAVE SO MANY THINGS THAT WE CAN FUND FROM THE RATEPAYERS, KNOWING THE CHALLENGES WE'RE FACING AS A CITY, IF YOU BELIEVE THAT WE'RE NOT NECESSARILY GOING TO GET A ONE THIRD, ONE THIRD, ONE THIRD ON THE SOLID WASTE, BUT WE'RE GOING -- WE'RE GOING TO THE PROVINCE ON A WHOLE BUNCH -- AND THE FEDS ON A WHOLE BUNCH OF STUFF. KENNISTON, CHIEF PEGUIS. YOUR REC FACILITY, MY REC FACILITY. THE LIST IS LONG, AND THEY'RE SAYING, HEY, GUYS, THERE'S A LIMIT, RIGHT? AND NOW WE'RE HITTING OUR RATEPAYERS WITH THIS WHEN WE KNOW WE WILL NEED FUNDS BECAUSE THEY WILL SAY AT SOME POINT ONE THIRD ONE THIRD, WONDERFUL, BUT WE'VE DONE OUR ONE THIRD ONE THIRD OVER HERE, FOLKS. WE'RE NOT DOING IT OVER THERE TOO, AND BY THE WAY, CAN YOU MAKE THAT DIFFERENCE UP. WELL, WE CAN'T KNOW BECAUSE WE JUST HIT THE RATEPAYERS OF WINNIPEG WITH A BRAND NEW FEE, CALL IT A TAX BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT IT IS. LET'S CALL IT WHAT IT IS. THIS IS AN ATTACK ON WORKING FAMILIES, A TACK ON THE HARDEST, AND YES I HEAR THIS -- NOW WE'RE HEARING THIS NOISE, WELL, MAYBE WE WILL HAVE A SUBSIDY PROGRAM FOR THE POOREST OF THE POOR. WELL, THAT'S GREAT. WHERE IS THAT GOING TO LEAD US? ONCE THAT, YOU KNOW, DRAWBRIDGE, ONCE THAT OPENS AND WE START DOING THAT, AND WE START SUBSIDIZING FOLKS VERSUS EVERYBODY PAYING INTO THE SYSTEM, WHICH IS WHAT WE'VE DONE, YOU KNOW, IT'S JUST LIKE WHAT'S HAPPENING IN OUR TRANSIT BUSES TODAY. YOU GOT RATE

PAYING TRANSIT USERS WATCHING PEOPLE WALK ON FOR FREE WITHOUT ANY KIND OF CONSEQUENCES OR ACCOUNTABILITY OF THE SYSTEM. LET'S BE VERY CAREFUL WHAT WE DO THERE. ANYWAYS, THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS, MADAM SPEAKER. I WON'T BE SUPPORTING THIS.

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER?

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. FIRST OF ALL, BEFORE I SPEAK, WE DID LISTEN TO A FORMER CHAIR OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE AND HE'S SAYING THAT A CONFLICT IN TERMS OF TERMINOLOGY IN THIS VERY IMPORTANT REPORT REGARDING THE WORDS "COST" AND "REVENUE," AND HE BOTHERED TO MAKE THE POINT THAT ONE IS NOT THE OTHER AND THE WRONG TERM IS USED. I WANT TO KNOW IF WE -- IF WE CAN CLARIFY THIS. WHY WOULD THE FORMER CHAIR OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE BOTHER SAYING SUCH A THING ON SUCH AN IMPORTANT REPORT, WHICH IS A BRAND NEW PROGRAM WHICH IS, LET'S FACE IT, PRETTY DARN EXPENSIVE.

THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS?

NO, THAT -- THAT'S A POINT OF INFORMATION. I'M ASKING -- I SAID BEFORE I SPEAK IS THERE ANYTHING WE CAN DO ABOUT THIS FOR CLARIFICATION ON THIS ISSUE.

YEAH, COUNCILLOR SCHREYER, THAT WOULD BE YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK, BUT APPRECIATE THOSE COMMENTS.

ALL RIGHT, THAT WAS MY QUESTION. SOMETIMES WE ASK --

DO YOU WANT TO CONTINUE? WELL, IT'S NOT QUESTION TIME, THOUGH.

NO, SOMETIMES WE ASK MEMBERS OF THE ADMINISTRATION TO SPEAK. THIS IS A GIGANTIC REPORT, IT'S NOT SOMETHING WE CAN TAKE LIGHTLY, AND THIS IS RATHER DIVISIVE.

DO YOU WANT TO ASK THE CHAIR DURING QUESTION PERIOD PERHAPS?

NO, IS THERE ANYBODY FROM THE ADMINISTRATION THAT REPRESENTS THE REPORT THAT CAN SPEAK AT THIS TIME?

[INDISCERNIBLE].

COUNCILLOR EADIE SAYS HE WILL ANSWER ON CLOSE.

OKAY.

ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS?

NO, IF I MAY SPEAK, THEN, MADAM SPEAKER.

SURE, PUT THE CLOCK ON TO 7, I THINK. I THINK IT WAS AT 7 MINUTES, HOLLY. THANK YOU.

FAIR ENOUGH, MADAM SPEAKER. YOU DON'T HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT THAT ONE, BUT HAVING SAID THAT, YEAH, THIS IS GOING TO BE RATHER DIVISIVE, EH, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER REGARDLESS OF HOW WE VOTE. WHEN WE RAISED THE WATER RATES AND THEN RAISED THEM AGAIN TO CREATE WHAT'S CALLED THE DIVIDEND, YOU KNOW, RATHER DIVISIVE. I CALLED THAT A TAX ON THE POOR BECAUSE CONSIDERING THE FACT THAT WE HAD REDUCED WATER CONSUMPTION, IT WAS AN INCREASE YET ANYWAY, AND THEY COULD DO NOTHING ABOUT IT, EVEN THOUGH IN THE POOREST NEIGHBOURHOODS OF THE CITY THEY REDUCED THEIR WATER CONSUMPTION, THEIR WATER RATES WERE STILL GOING UP, AND THEN A DIVIDEND OF THAT, WHICH WE CALL A DIVIDEND OF 11%, WAS PUT ON THE RATES AS A TAX, AND IT WAS A TAX BASED ON CONSUMPTION. WHY DO I CALL THAT A TAX? IT LITERALLY WAS BECAUSE IT WENT INTO GENERAL COFFERS, WHICH IS BASED ON TAXES. THIS IS WHERE WE'RE AT AGAIN, MADAM SPEAKER. YET AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW WHAT I CAN SAY. I GUESS WE SAW THIS COMING. WE'RE NOT SURPRISED BECAUSE WE HAD REPORTS THAT WERE GOING TO FORECAST THIS. I'VE BEEN FORECASTING THIS DAY. I'VE BEEN FORECASTING THIS, SAYING WE'RE COMING TO A POINT OF UNSUSTAINABILITY, AND I FIND IT KIND OF UNFORTUNATE AND REALLY DISCONCERTING, THAT AT A POINT WHERE WE'RE HAVING MORE AND MORE TIME RECONCILING OUR BUDGET ON ALL THREE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT, THAT WE HAVE THIS CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND LACK OF COHESION OF WHAT SHOULD BE SOMETHING THAT COULD BE PROGRESSIVE, BUT GIVEN THE COSTS INVOLVED AND THE COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS, YOU KNOW, IT'S UNFORTUNATE. IT TRULY IS. THE LEAST WE COULD DO IS TRY TO EXPLAIN THAT TO PEOPLE WHY THIS IS HAPPENING, WHY THE COSTS ARE GOING UP, AND EXPLAIN IT TO PEOPLE EVERY DAY, MAKE IT A CAMPAIGN ISSUE FOR US IN THE NEXT CITY ELECTION. NOT JUST PUT PRESSURE ON THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, PUT PRESSURE ON OURSELVES. WHO ARE WE TO PUT PRESSURE ON ANOTHER LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT WHEN WE'RE NOT EXPLAINING IT TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITY? THIS IS A CAMPAIGN ISSUE FOR US. AND PASSING THE BUCK DOESN'T WORK, AND I KNOW WE'RE NOT THOROUGHLY PASSING THE BUCK ON THIS. WE'RE ACTING LIKE BECAUSE THIS IS A BUDGETARY ISSUE WE CAN'T DO IT ALONE, PUTTING PRESSURE ON THE OTHERS IS PART OF THE SOLUTION. MADAM SPEAKER, THAT'S KIND OF PART OF THE PROBLEM, IS THAT WE'RE JUST ASKING FOR MORE MONEY AND NOT ASKING FOR -- NOT EXPLAINING WHY, AND THAT'S SAD. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT INFLATION, LIKE CONSTRUCTION, LIKE HOUSING, LIKE FOOD. IT IS HIGH RATE OF INFLATION. NOT LIKE EVERYTHING IS GOING UP. IT'S NOT LIKE EVERYTHING IS GOING UP. IT'S NOT LIKE EVERYBODY'S WAGES ARE GOING UP AT THE SAME TIME. IF THERE'S ANY CONFUSION AS TO WHY I SAY NOT EVERYTHING IS GOING UP IS BECAUSE IF IT WAS WE WOULDN'T HAVE INFLATION. DO I NEED TO EXPLAIN THAT AGAIN? OKAY. SO NOT EVERYTHING'S GOING UP. PRICES ARE GOING UP. THAT'S THE PROBLEM. COSTS ARE GOING UP. NOT PEOPLE'S INCOME. NOT TO THE SAME EXTENT. NOT FOR THE MAJORITY OF PEOPLE. NOT FOR MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE, NOT FOR WORKING CLASS PEOPLE. THAT'S WHY INFLATION IS THE PROBLEM. SO LET'S BEAR THAT IN MIND. WE WANTED TO CALL ON THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, MAKE SOMETHING A FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ELECTION ISSUE, MAKE IT A CITY WINNIPEG ISSUE. LET'S DO THAT FOR OURSELVES. LET'S CHALLENGE EACH OTHER. THAT'S THE BEST WE CAN DO. MUCH BETTER THAN JUST PRESSURING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR A FEW MONTHS, OR ACTUALLY A FEW WEEKS. THANK YOU, MEEGWETCH.

THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? OKAY, NO FURTHER SPEAKERS. COUNCILLOR EADIE TO CLOSE.

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THANKS FOR MY COLLEAGUES TO SPEAK ON THIS, AND MADAM SPEAKER, I JUST -- I HEARD SOME POLITICAL COMMENTS, AND I HAVE ALWAYS SAID IF THIS -- IF A GOVERNMENT IS CHARGING YOU SOME KIND OF FEE OR WHATEVER THEY ARE CHARGING YOU, IT'S A TAX. IT IS A TAX, OKAY? SO I'M NOT DISAGREEING WITH -- YOU KNOW, YOU CAN CALL IT A FEE OR WHATEVER. IT'S A TAX, AND THE WAY GOVERNMENTS FUND PROGRAMS, GUESS WHAT? IT'S BY TAXATION. FEE TAX OR WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT. THAT'S WHAT IT IS, MADAM SPEAKER. NOW WE COULD ABANDON AND NOT VOTE FOR THIS. THEN WHERE IS THE MONEY COMING FROM TO PAY THE CONTRACTS FOR THE BUSINESSES THAT ARE MILLER AND GFL WHO ARE COLLECTING ALL THIS STUFF? LIKE, SO . . . I MEAN, SHOULD WE JUST ABANDON SOLID WASTE SERVICES BECAUSE WE DON'T WANT TO PAY THEM? THEN WHAT HAPPENS? YOU KNOW, THE COMPLAINTS WE GET ABOUT GARBAGE THAT COLLECTS IN SOME AREAS OF THE CITY, IT'S AWFUL. WHAT HAPPENS IF EVERYBODY DOES IT? HOW GOOD WOULD THAT BE? WHAT A GREAT CITY TO LIVE IN, WOULDN'T IT BE, MADAM SPEAKER? WHAT A GREAT CITY. I DON'T LIKE IT, JUST LIKE OTHERS DON'T LIKE TO CHARGE THE FEE, THIS ACTUALLY TURNS SOLID WASTE INTO WHAT I CALL A FULL UTILITY, WHICH ALLOWS THEM, I THINK, IN THE FUTURE, TO LOOK AT PROGRAMS THAT HELP KEEP THIS STREET LITTER AT BAY, MADAM SPEAKER. BECAUSE -- WELL, THERE ARE -- EVERYBODY PAYS A WATER BILL. THIS IS COLLECTION SERVICES ON THE WATER BILL, MADAM SPEAKER, ALTHOUGH IT'S NOT PART OF THE WATER, BUT SO LUCKILY THERE'S NO DIVIDEND TAX ON TOP OF THE \$161 WHICH WOULDN'T BE \$161 IF THE COLLECTION SERVICES WERE PAID NOT FROM DEBT FOR 2024 BUT JUST FROM A REVENUE STREAM COST -- YEAH, IT'S A COST TO INDIVIDUAL PEOPLE IN THEIR HOMES. TO THE CITY, THEY'RE BRINGING IN REVENUE, THAT'S WHAT IT IS, AND AGAIN, WE NEED TO HAVE THIS SERVICE. THE RATE WILL COME DOWN, ALTHOUGH MOVING INTO THE FUTURE THERE'S THIS CURBSIDE COMPOSTING PROGRAM. NOW THERE WAS A REPORT IN JULY THAT A DECISION WAS MADE AND COUNCIL ACTUALLY VOTED ON IT TO PURCHASE THE \$9 FEE TO PURCHASE THE GREEN CONTAINERS. YES, COUNCILLOR WYATT. SO WHEN I HEAR FORMER CHAIR OF FINANCE AND SO ON TALK ABOUT THIS STUFF, WE WERE ON COUNCIL WHEN WE VOTED TO BRING IN THE WASTE DIVERSION FEE, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE'S A LOT OF ISSUES AROUND THERE, WHETHER YOU SUPPORT IT OR NOT. THE REALITY IS WE NEED TO DELIVER THE SERVICES AND THE COSTS THAT THEY HAVE BUILT IN HERE, REFLECT WHAT THEY NEED TO PAY FOR IT, AND THERE'S A MISNOMER, MADAM SPEAKER. IT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE. THE WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE IS NOT PAYING FOR THE BRADY ROAD LANDFILL. TIPPING FEES, THERE'S NO TIPPING FEES BEING CHARGED TO THE RESIDENTS, ALTHOUGH MAYBE IT'S BURIED INTO THE WASTE MANAGEMENT, BUT THE POINT IS THE COUNCILLOR REFERRED TO ANOTHER COMPETING LANDFILL, BUT ANYTHING THAT'S COLLECTED IN THIS CITY RIGHT NOW FROM OUR CONTRACTORS GOES TO THE BRADY LANDFILL, SO AGAIN, IT'S TIPPING FEES THAT PAY FOR CREATING NEW LANDFILL CELLS WHERE WE STORE THE GARBAGE OVER THE LONG TERM, AND AGAIN, I'LL JUST SAY IT AGAIN, THE WASTE DIVERSION RELATED TO RECYCLING IS SUPPOSED TO BE 100% PRODUCER PAID, NOT RESIDENTIAL PAID, SO THAT'S COMING -- I KNOW IT DOESN'T HELP THIS YEAR, AND ONCE 2024'S 28.2 MILLION IS PAID OFF, THAT WILL COME OFF, BUT NOBODY MAY NOT NOTICE IT BECAUSE WHAT WILL HAPPEN IS THERE'S A CURBSIDE COMPOSTING THAT WILL BE COMING UP I THINK IT'S 2030, MADAM SPEAKER. WE WILL BE DISCUSSING THAT A LOT MORE IN TERMS OF HOW THAT GETS IMPLEMENTED. IT WILL BE COMING THROUGH WATER WASTE AND ENVIRONMENT AT

SOME POINT, PROBABLY AFTER THE NEXT ELECTION, MADAM SPEAKER, SO I'LL LEAVE IT AT THAT. I HOPE YOU'LL VOTE FOR THIS, BECAUSE THE REALITY IS THE GARBAGE NEEDS TO BE COLLECTED OFF THE CITY STREETS. IT NEEDS TO BE TAKEN CARE OF AND DISPOSED OF PROPERLY. LIKE, I WOULDN'T IMAGINE LIVING IN AN ANCIENT CITY WHERE GARBAGE WASN'T COLLECTED. IT MUST HAVE BEEN AWFUL. ANYWAY, I'LL LEAVE IT AT THAT. PLEASE VOTE FOR THE REPORT.

THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR EADIE. ALL IN FAVOUR?

RECORDED VOTE.

ITEM THREE, WE'LL HAVE A RECORDED VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE.

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, LUKES, ORLIKOW, SANTOS, SCHREYER, AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

THOSE OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

COUNCILLORS GILROY, MAYES, ROLLINS AND WYATT.

THE VOTE, MADAM SPEAKER, YEAS 12, NAYS 4.

THANK YOU. ITEM 3 PASSES. OKAY, NOW --

[INDISCERNIBLE].

YES, WE'LL GET ON TO BYLAWS. MR. CHAIR?

OH.

YEAH, OKAY. WE'LL DO THE MOTION FIRST. LET'S DO THE MOTION FIRST. WE HAVE MOTION 7 MOVED BY COUNCILLOR GILROY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MAYES. IT'S REGARDING DEDICATED TAX INCREASE TO SOLID WASTE UTILITY. IT'S AN AUTOMATIC REFERRAL. OKAY, AND MOTION 6, MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MAYES, SECCED BY COUNCILLOR GILROY, REGARDING LEAF AND YARD WASTE COLLECTION. IT'S ALSO AN AUTOMATIC REFERRAL. AND NOW ON TO THE BYLAW.

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I RISE TO MOVE THE FIRST READING OF BYLAW 30/2025 FOR THE SOLID WASTE UTILITY.

OKAY. ALL IN FAVOUR?

AYE.

CONTRARY?

NAY.

CARRIED. BYLAW NO. 30-2025.

DO YOU WANT IT BE RECORDED? COUNCILLOR GILROY WANTED IT TO BE RECORDED.

ME TOO.

OKAY.

OKAY. MADAM SPEAKER, I'D LIKE TO MOVE A SECOND READING OF BYLAW 30/2025.

ALL IN FAVOUR?

AYE.

CONTRARY?

NAY.

CARRIED. COUNCILLOR WYATT IN OPPOSITION.

AND GILROY.

AND GILROY.

BYLAW NO. 30/2025.

LET'S SEE IF I CAN GET THE WORDING PROPER HERE, MADAM SPEAKER. MADAM SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO SUSPEND THE RULE AND MOVE THIRD READING OF BYLAW 30/2025 TO BE PASSED AND SIGNED -- SIGNED AND SEALED. THANK YOU.

ALL IN FAVOUR?

AYE.

CARRY? CARRIED. OKAY. QUESTION PERIOD NOW FOR THIS COMMITTEE. COUNCILLOR WYATT FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR MAYES.

I RISE, MADAM SPEAKER, BECAUSE I RECEIVED A CALL FROM A LONG-TIME CONSTITUENT WHO INDICATED TO ME THAT HE HAD THE MY UTILITY APP. HE FOLLOWS IT. HE HAS PROPERTIES ON IT, AND THEN, YOU KNOW, HE HAS QUESTIONS RELATED TO THE MY UTILITY APP RELATED TO HIS PROPERTIES,

SPECIFICALLY I'M TALKING ABOUT THE WATER BILL HERE, AND WHAT'S FRUSTRATING IS THAT HE CALLS THE NUMBER FOR THE WATER AND WASTE DEPARTMENT OR THE WATER DEPARTMENT, I GUESS, SPECIFICALLY, OR DIVISION, AND IT RINGS AND RINGS AND RINGS, 30 MINUTES OR MORE. HE DOESN'T GET THROUGH. HE WAITS. HE TRIES AGAIN, DOESN'T GET THROUGH. JUST TO SPEAK TO SOMEBODY TO ANSWER SOME QUESTIONS. HE'S DONE EVERYTHING THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAS SAID. HE'S GOT THE APP. HE DOES AS MUCH ONLINE AS POSSIBLE, AND HE'S JUST FOUND IT EXTREMELY FRUSTRATING AND JUST WONDER IF COUNCILLOR EADIE COULD LOOK INTO THAT. I COULD FORWARD HIM THE EMAIL. I'M PROTECTING HIS IDENTITY BECAUSE HE'S A PRIVATE CITIZEN.

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR EADIE?

YES, MADAM SPEAKER, I WANTED TO -- I WANT TO THANK, FIRST OF ALL, THE COUNCILLOR, MADAM SPEAKER, FOR BRINGING THIS TO COUNCIL'S ATTENTION AND MY ATTENTION. MY OFFICE HAS RECEIVED A NUMBER OF CONCERNS RELATED TO THE ABILITY TO CONTACT PEOPLE AT THE WATER AND WASTE BILLING AND SO ON, BECAUSE USUALLY MOST OF THE QUESTIONS ARE BILLING, AND ONE OF THE -- MADAM SPEAKER, I WILL TALK TO -- I'LL GET AN ANSWER BACK ABOUT WHAT WATER AND WASTE IS DOING TO IMPROVE THAT SERVICE BECAUSE, MADAM SPEAKER, WE WILL ALSO SEE A HUGE INCREASE IN CALLS TO THAT NUMBER RELATED TO THE REPORT NUMBER 3 THAT WE JUST PASSED TODAY, AS WELL AS RELATED TO THE OTHER RATE REPORT THAT WE PASSED, SO I'LL GET BACK TO HIM WITH AN ANSWER AS SOON AS I GET SOMETHING FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR WYATT?

THANK YOU, AND I FEEL LIKE I SHOULD KNOW THE ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION, BUT I DON'T SO I'M GOING TO ANSWER IT ANYWAY, JUST IN CASE COUNCILLOR EADIE KNOWS, AND I APPRECIATE THE RESPONSE TO THE LAST QUESTION. THE CONSTITUENT ALSO INDICATES THE TENANTS CREATE AN ACCOUNT AND SERVICE WHERE THERE'S A LEGAL CONTRACT TECHNICALLY BETWEEN THEM AND THE CITY, EVEN THOUGH THE TENANTS FOR THE WATER. WHY SHOULD THE LANDLORD BE HELD LIABLE FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT BY THE TENANT WHEN HE GIVES AN EXAMPLE MANITOBA HYDRO APPARENTLY DOES NOT HOLD THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY LIABLE AND THE CITY SHOULD IMPLEMENT A SIMILAR SYSTEM. I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE MANITOBA HYDRO MODEL, I'M NOT SURE, BUT MAYBE THE COUNCILLOR IS, AND IF NOT, TAKE IT UNDER ADD ADVISEMENT. COULD YOU ADVISE ON THAT?

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR EADIE?

MADAM SPEAKER, I CAN ANSWER THIS TO A CERTAIN DEGREE AND COULD ASK WHY THE WATER -- I THINK IT'S THE WATER BYLAW OR THE WATER AND THE WASTE BYLAW, I BELIEVE, MADAM SPEAKER. IN TERMS OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, IT IS THE ACTUAL PROPERTY ADDRESS, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT -- AND WHOEVER OWNS THAT HOUSE ON TITLE, WHOEVER HAS TITLE TO THAT PROPERTY, IS THE ONE THAT IS HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR THE BILL IN THE END. IF THEY CAN'T BE COLLECTED FROM A FORMER TENANT WHO WASN'T PAYING THEIR BILLS, MADAM SPEAKER, WATER AND WASTE HAS THE AUTHORITY UNDER THE BYLAW TO GO AFTER THE TITLEOWNER OF THE HOME, AND I'VE SEEN A NUMBER OF ISSUES -- JUST DEALING WITH ONE RIGHT NOW TRYING TO EXPLAIN HOW THAT WORKS,

MADAM SPEAKER. IN TERMS OF MANITOBA HYDRO, WHAT HAPPENS WITH MANITOBA HYDRO IS, YES, IT IS THE TENANT, THE INDIVIDUAL, MADAM SPEAKER, WHO IS HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR THE BILL. SO THE THING IS, IF I DON'T PAY MY HYDRO BILL AND I'M LIVING IN ONE PLACE AND I'M SKIPPING OUT BECAUSE I'M NOT PAYING THE BILLS AND WHATEVER, THEY ARE SHUTTING OFF ELECTRICITY OR WHATEVER THEY ARE DOING, MADAM SPEAKER, IF I GO TO MOVE TO ANOTHER PLACE -- AND THIS IS A PROBLEM FOR A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO ARE LIVING HOMELESS RIGHT NOW, WE HAVE A PROGRAM, MADAM SPEAKER, WITH THE PROVINCE. WE'RE GETTING PEOPLE -- PEOPLE WHO ARE LIVING ROUGH OR ENCAMPMENTS AND SO ON, A NUMBER OF THEM WERE NOT ABLE TO PAY THEIR ELECTRICITY BILLS WHEN THEY WERE LIVING SOMEWHERE. NOW THE PROBLEM IS, IF YOU DON'T PAY THE OLD BILL, MADAM SPEAKER, YOU CAN'T GET ELECTRICITY TURNED ON IN YOUR NEW HOME WHERE YOU'RE LIVING, AND WE'VE SEEN A NUMBER OF PROBLEMS. SO THAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MANITOBA HYDRO AND WATER. WE'RE GOING AFTER WHOEVER OWNS THE HOME, WHETHER IT WAS -- WHETHER IT'S THE PERSON WHO'S NOT PAYING THE BILL OR WHATEVER, WHOEVER HAS TITLE. THAT'S WHAT IT IS, SO THAT'S HOW IT WORKS.

MAYBE THAT'S SOMETHING WE COULD LOOK AT.

THANK YOU. NEXT QUESTION?

LAST QUESTION, MADAM SPEAKER. JUST ON THAT QUESTION, FOR PROPERTY OWNERS WHO HAVE RENTAL PROPERTIES AND THEN THEY SELL THEM, WHAT I'VE HEARD IS THAT THE WATER AND WASTE DEPARTMENT HAS REAL DIFFICULTY GIVING THEM THEIR FINAL BILL, EVEN THOUGH, YOU KNOW, THEY ASK FOR THE TENANT EVEN THOUGH THE TENANT IS NOT RESPONSIBLE, LET'S SAY THE TENANT'S LEFT MONTHS IN ADVANCE AND THEY ARE SELLING THE PROPERTY, SOLD THE PROPERTY, THEY GOT TO PAY OFF THE REMAINING WATER BILL IN ORDER TO CLOSE ON THE SALE, RIGHT, IN ORDER TO CLOSE ON THE SALE YOU GOT TO -- ON THE HOUSE YOU GOT TO BE ABLE TO -- OR THE PROPERTY, YOU GOT TO BE ABLE TO HAVE THE WATER BILL COMPLETELY PAID OFF, SUPPLY THAT TO THE REAL ESTATE LAWYERS SO THE LAWYERS HAVE IT, AND THE ISSUE IS THE WATER DEPARTMENT COMES BACK OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND SAYS, WELL, WE NEED THE NAME OF THE TENANTS. WHY DO YOU NEED THE NAME OF THE TENANTS WHEN REALLY IT SHOULD BE TRACKING THE PROPERTY IF ULTIMATELY IT'S THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OWNER WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OUTSTANDING WATER BILL IF THE TENANTS ARE LONG GONE. BUT THE WATER DEPARTMENT IS UNABLE TO GIVE THAT INFORMATION PRESENTLY THEY ARE UNABLE TO CUE IT UP, ACTUALLY, AND I KNOW SOMEBODY WHO ACTUALLY HAD TO GO ALL THE WAY ALMOST TO BASICALLY THE DIRECTOR TO GET THIS INFORMATION, WHICH IS REALLY RIDICULOUS, WHICH NEEDS TO BE ABLE TO BE QUEUED UP IN THE SYSTEM BASED ON THE PROPERTY. THEY SHOULDN'T BE ASKING WHO ARE THE FORMER NAMES OF THE TENANTS SO MANY MONTHS AGO. ANYWAY . . .

MADAM SPEAKER.

YES.

ACTUALLY, MY OFFICE HAPPENS TO BE DEALING WITH AN ISSUE OF WHERE THERE WAS A LANDLORD

WHO SOLD THE HOME TO AN INDIVIDUAL WHO BOUGHT IT TO LIVE IN AS THEIR OWN HOME, AND AS I'M SURE THE COUNCILLOR KNOWS, MADAM SPEAKER, THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY SHOULD RECORD THE VENDOR SHOULD RECORD WHAT THE WATER METER STATES ON THE POSSESSION -- THE POSSESSION DATE AS WELL AS THE NEW OWNER SHOULD BE RECORDING THAT. AND WHAT REALLY DOES NEED TO HAPPEN QUICKLY, MADAM SPEAKER, IS WHEN I, THE NEW OWNER, CALL IN MY NUMBERS, THERE'S A NEW PERSON PAYING THE BILL AND THERE'S A NEW TITLE OWNER TO THAT HOME. I'M RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT BILL, AND SO THERE'S SOME DIFFICULTY, YES, WITHIN THE WATER DEPARTMENT. WE SHOULD BE ABLE -- I SHOULD BE AS A NEW HOMEOWNER, SHOULD BE ABLE TO ESTABLISH WHEN I SUBMIT THE NEW WATER METER READING AND GIVE MY BILL THAT WATER AND WASTE SHOULD -- BECAUSE YOU GOT TO PROVE THAT YOU HAVE TITLE TO THAT HOME, RIGHT? THAT YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO TAKE OVER THE WATER, PAYING THE WATER AND THE BUILDING, AND YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO GET -- THE NEW OWNER SHOULD BE ABLE TO GET A REPORT WHAT'S OUTSTANDING FROM THE PREVIOUS OWNER, THE LANDLORD WHOSE TENANT MIGHT HAVE SKIPPED OUT AND DIDN'T PAY THE WATER BILL, BUT YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO WORK ALL THAT STUFF OUT, AS, MADAM SPEAKER, I'M SURE THE COUNCILLOR ALSO KNOWS BECAUSE VERY INVOLVED IN RELATED TO REAL ESTATE. THE TWO LAWYERS ON THE TRANSACTION, THE REAL PROPERTY TRANSACTION, THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO STRAIGHTEN OUT ANY OUTSTANDING BILLS. THE VENDOR WHO HAS A NET PROCEEDS COMING SHOULD BE PAYING FOR ALL COSTS RELATED UP UNTIL POSSESSION DATE, AND THEN THE NEW OWNER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL THE COSTS AFTER POSSESSION DATE, SO THAT'S A LONG ANSWER, MADAM SPEAKER, BUT I PERSONALLY THINK, AND I'LL BE INQUIRING MYSELF WITH THE DEPARTMENT, IF THERE ISN'T SOME WAY THAT WE CAN DEAL WITH THIS BECAUSE THE OTHER PROBLEM IS YOU HAVE TO PROVE -- THERE'S THIS WHOLE PRIVACY THING THAT GOES ON WHICH IF THERE'S A NEW HOMEOWNER I THINK YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO FIND OUT WHAT YOU'RE GOING HIT WITH. ANYWAY, LONG ANSWER. PROBABLY NOT THE RIGHT ANSWER, BUT THAT'S IT.

GOOD. THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? QUESTIONS? COUNCILLOR MAYES, YES?

THANK YOU. SPEAKING OF THE MEETING FROM LAST JULY THAT COUNCILLOR EADIE REFERENCED, THERE WAS A MATTER THERE THAT BOTHERED ME, IT CONTINUES TO BOTHER ME, AND THAT WAS IT CAME OUT OF THE STRATEGIC PRIORITIES ACTION PLAN ITEM 5.4, SOMETHING I THINK COUNCILLOR GILROY, ROLLINS AND EADIE ALL SPOKE TO WHEN WE DEVELOPED THAT PLAN, AND THE IDEA OF THE PLAN WAS SORT OF THE MAJORITY WANTS THIS IN THE PLAN SO WE'RE PUTTING IT IN THE PLAN. SO 5.4 WAS CONSOLIDATE DEPARTMENTAL RESPONSIBILITIES FOR GARBAGE COLLECTION TO ONE CITY DEPARTMENT. THEN IN JULY THAT WAS VOTED DOWN, AND INSTEAD WE SIMPLY SAID, WELL, LET'S GET A REPORT BACK IN 360 DAYS ON OPERATIONAL CHANGES, INCREASED BUDGET AND CONSOLIDATED ADMINISTRATION OF GARBAGE COLLECTION REQUESTS. AND ALSO SAID THAT PUBLIC SERVICE PROCEED WITH OPERATIONAL CHANGES TO IMPROVE ABANDONED WASTE COLLECTION WITHIN THE CURRENT ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE. I DON'T NEED AN ANSWER TODAY. I WONDER IF COUNCILLOR EADIE, PERHAPS BY THE NEXT MEETING OR THE NEXT MEETING OF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE, CAN -- THIS WAS A BIG ISSUE FOR SOME PEOPLE WHEN WE HAD THAT STRATEGIC PLAN DEVELOPED, AND THEN BY JULY OF '24 THE DECISION OF THE COLLECTIVE HERE WAS LET'S DO -- NO, LET'S JUST NOT DO THAT. SO CAN COUNCILLOR EADIE GET BACK TO US AT SOME POINT? WHAT ACTUALLY IS BEING DONE? I THINK THIS WAS MAYBE COUNCILLOR SANTOS, BUT CERTAINLY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS,

COUNCILLOR GILROY AND YOURSELF, THIS WAS A BIG ITEM, AND I KIND OF FEEL LIKE IT FELL OFF THE TABLE. I'LL TAKE SOME OF THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THAT. IT WAS IN THE STRATEGIC PRIORITIES, WHICH MEANT A MAJORITY OF US WANTED IT IN THAT DOCUMENT. NOW PEOPLE CAN DISSENT HERE AND THERE ON THESE ITEMS. THAT WAS ALWAYS THE UNDERSTANDING, BUT WHEN A MAJORITY THEN DISSENTS, SOMETHING DOESN'T MAKE IT A PRIORITY ANYMORE. SO IF YOU COULD GET BACK TO US ON WHAT IS BEING DONE WITH THE OPERATIONAL CHANGES TO IMPROVE ABANDONED WASTE COLLECTION, AND THIS 360-DAY REPORT, IF THAT'S STILL ON TRACK.

MADAM SPEAKER, ONE COMPONENT I CAN SPEAK TO. FIRST OF ALL, I WAS NOT VERY HAPPY THAT WE COULDN'T CONSOLIDATE THE COLLECTION OF GARBAGE BECAUSE OF THE SILOS THAT EXIST WITHIN THIS CITY OF WINNIPEG, AND THAT'S A REAL PROBLEM THAT WE HOPE WILL BE SOLVED WITH A NEW C.A.O. MAYBE. BUT THAT ASIDE, THERE HAVE BEEN IMPROVEMENTS TO THE ABANDONED WASTE PICK-UP ON THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAYS, LIKE BACK LANES, AND EVEN ON FRONT STREETS IN THAT THE PROBLEMATIC BACK LANES DO HAVE -- IT'S I THINK A BIWEEKLY SWEEP, CERTAIN ONES THAT THEY KNOW ARE ALWAYS BAD, MADAM SPEAKER, SO THEY DO THAT, BUT ONE OF THE PROBLEMS IS WHEN THERE'S NEEDLES INVOLVED, AND THIS IS NOT THE ABANDONED BULK WASTE ON A VICTIM'S PROPERTY, BECAUSE THEIR VICTIMS, THOSE PROPERTIES WHERE PEOPLE COME BY AND THEY DUMP -- PEOPLE DUMP THEIR ABANDONED -- THEY ABANDON IT ON PEOPLE'S PRIVATE PROPERTY. WHAT THIS PROGRAM DOES IS IT COMES ALONG, BUT THE NEEDLES THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH IT ON THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY NEED TO BE CLEANED UP. NOW THE MANAGER OF SOLID WASTE WHO WORKS -- DOING A GREAT JOB, ACTUALLY. I THINK. WORKS AT IT, AND IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE'S A -- WELL, CALGARY, WHEN WE WERE IN CALGARY AT FCM, THIS CITY OF CALGARY USES THIS SPECIAL EQUIPMENT, AND THEY ACTUALLY GO AROUND CLEANING UP THE STREETS. THEY ARE ACTUALLY CLEANING UP THE STREETS. THAT'S WHY IT LOOKS SO BEAUTIFUL AND CLEAN WHEN WE WERE IN CALGARY, MADAM SPEAKER. BECAUSE WE HAD CITY EMPLOYEES THERE USING THIS ESTIMATE -- EQUIPMENT. NOW IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT OUR CONTRACTOR THAT DO THESE SWEEPS DOWN THE BACK LANES TO GET THE TROUBLESOME BACK LANES TO DO THE SWEEPS, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY ARE IMPLEMENTING THE USE OF THAT SAID EQUIPMENT AND SO THAT THE NEIGHBOURHOOD CONCERNED PEOPLE WON'T HAVE TO GET OUT THERE TO PICK UP THE NEEDLES, THEY WILL BE ABLE TO USE THIS EQUIPMENT WHICH KEEPS THE WORKERS SAFE. SO ON THE OTHER PART, I WILL ENDEAVOUR TO GET BACK TO THE COUNCILLOR ON THAT 360-DAY STRATEGY.

THANK YOU, AND THAT CONCLUDES QUESTION PERIOD FOR THIS COMMITTEE. OKAY, MOVING ON. STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY SERVICES? COUNCILLOR SANTOS, THE REPORT DATED MARCH 5.

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I INTRODUCE THE REPORT AND MOVE ADOPTION OF CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1 AND 2.

OKAY. ALL IN FAVOUR?

AYE.

CONTRARY? CARRIED. OKAY, WE HAVE NO BYLAWS. WE'LL GET RIGHT INTO QUESTION PERIOD. ANY QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR SANTOS? NO? OKAY. NEXT IS STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS. COUNCILLOR LUKES?

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WOULD LIKE TO BRING FORWARD -- I'LL PULL ITEM NO. 1 AND BRING FORWARD NO. 2 AND NO. 3 AND NO. 4 FOR CONSENT AGENDA.

SO YOU'RE PULLING 1?

YEAH.

WE'RE IN OPPOSITION TO NO. 2.

OKAY, COUNCILLOR MAYES?

PULL 2.

OKAY. THAT'S IT. OKAY. I'LL CALL THE QUESTION.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

YEAH, CALL THE QUESTION ON 3 AND 4. ALL IN FAVOUR?

AYE.

CONTRARY? CARRIED.

OKAY, ITEM NO. 1 IS --

WE'LL HAVE THE CLERK READ OUT THE ITEM FIRST, PLEASE.

ITEM 1, PRIMARY TRANSIT NETWORK SERVICE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN REVISION.

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR LUKES?

PERFECT. SO I WANTED TO SPEAK TO THIS JUST SO EVERYONE IS AWARE OF WHAT'S GOING ON AGAIN WITH OUR TRANSIT, OUR NEW TRANSIT NETWORK THAT'S GOING TO BE IMPLEMENTED. SO I'M GOING TO MAKE THE ANALOGY TO YOU KNOW HOW WE HAVE -- WE DO FROM A CLASS 4 TO A CLASS 3 TO A CLASS 2 ON A PROJECT? WELL, WITH THE TRANSIT MASTER PLAN, THEY'VE DONE FURTHER REFINEMENT TO IT, AND NOW WHAT THEY'RE DOING IS THEY'RE ADDING MORE ON-DEMAND, ON-REQUEST SERVICE. SO INITIALLY WE HAVE FOUR. THEY WERE GOING TO HAVE EIGHT, BUT NOW THERE'S 12, AND I REALLY ENCOURAGE YOU -- AND I SENT AN EMAIL TO ALL OF YOU. I REALLY ENCOURAGE YOU TO LOOK AT WHERE THE ON-REQUEST AREAS ARE IN YOUR WARD. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO CALL UP KEVIN STURGEON

AND SPEAK TO HIM TO REALLY UNDERSTAND HOW ON-REQUEST WORKS, BECAUSE IT DOESN'T WORK THE SAME IN EVERYONE'S WARD. THE CONCEPT IS TO HAVE IT PROVIDE EVENING SERVICES AND WEEKEND SERVICES, SO PEOPLE WILL CALL TRANSIT AND THE BUS WILL COME AND PICK THEM UP AT THEIR HOUSE, OR THE CLOSEST STOP TO THEIR HOUSE. SO THIS IS EVOLVING. I THINK COUNCILLOR ALLARD HAS HAD IT AND COUNCILLOR MAYES HAS HAD IT FOR -- WE DID A PILOT FOR I THINK IT WAS TWO YEARS NOW. IT'S PROBABLY TWO YEARS WE'VE BEEN DOING THIS, AND --

[INDISCERNIBLE].

A YEAR?

I USE IT.

.....0.YEAH, SO IT'S VERY GOOD. THE ONE CHALLENGE THAT YOU WILL PROBABLY HEAR WHEN IT'S IMPLEMENTED IS, WOW, WHY IS THERE A BUS GOING DOWN THAT STREET? I'VE NEVER SEEN A BUS GOING DOWN THAT STREET BEFORE, BUT THAT IS A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ON-DEMAND SERVICE, SO OR ON-REQUEST SERVICE. SO I JUST REALLY WANT TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO LOOK AT IT. I WANT TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO HAVE YOUR E.A.s SIT DOWN AND GO THROUGH HOW TO ROUTE OUT MAPS. NOW COUNCILLOR GILROY ASKED ME A QUESTION EARLIER THIS EVENING, AND I LOOKED IT UP HERE. SO JUST SO YOU KNOW, THE SCHEDULES ARE GOING TO BE RELEASED AT THE END OF APRIL, THE NEW ROUTE SCHEDULES, OKAY? PEOPLE WILL BE ABLE TO EXPLORE TRIP CONNECTIONS USING NAVIGO, THE WINNIPEG TRANSIT APP, OR THE GREEN TRANSIT APP. SO THEY CAN PLAN OUT THEIR TRIPS. THEY CAN USE THEIR TRIPS, OKAY, OR THEY CAN FIGURE OUT HOW TO USE THESE NEW ROUTES. SO LOTS IS HAPPENING. I REALLY ENCOURAGE YOU -- BECAUSE WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IS IF YOU DON'T -- IF YOU'RE NOT REALLY ON IT AND YOU'RE NOT CLEAR, YOU'RE GOING TO BE CALLING 311 OR YOU'RE GOING TO BE CALLING THE DEPARTMENT. THEY'RE GOING TO BE IN OVERLOAD BECAUSE THIS IS A BIG -- THE BIGGEST CHANGE WE'VE DONE IN TRANSIT, SO I JUST SUPER ENCOURAGE YOU TO GET YOUR E.A.s AND GET YOUR HEAD WRAPPED AROUND ALL OF THIS BEFORE. OKAY. THAT'S ALL I WANTED TO SAY.

OKAY. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS ON ITEM 1? SPEAKERS ON ITEM 1?

[INDISCERNIBLE].

COUNCILLOR WYATT?

[INDISCERNIBLE].

HE'S EATING. WATER. COUNCILLOR WYATT?

YEAH, SUPPER. CHOCOLATE BAR. ANYWAY, SO I RAISED A LOT OF CONCERNS WHEN THIS CAME FORWARD. I WAS THE ONLY MEMBER OF COUNCIL THAT VOTED AGAINST THE PLAN. I STILL HAVE VERY SERIOUS CONCERNS. I WISH I DIDN'T. I KNOW WE ARE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH A LOT. IF I COULD JUST . . .

SH, YEAH.

I KNOW WE'RE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH A LOT HERE IN A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. I KNOW THE STAFF ARE BEING PUSHED IN A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME WITH MINIMAL RESOURCES. I KNOW WE'RE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH A LOT WITH THE SAME TRANSIT RESOURCES BY NOT ADDING ON NEW ROUTES, SO TO SPEAK. WE ARE ADDING THIS ON-DEMAND, BUT THAT'S KIND OF MAKING UP FOR THE AREAS THAT ARE FALLING SHORT BASED ON THE PREVIOUS LEVEL OF SERVICE. MY CONCERN HERE, MADAM SPEAKER, IS THAT TO THE COUNCILLOR'S POINT, THAT BECAUSE OF THE POOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION THAT WAS DONE IN TERMS OF THE ADVERTISING, AND I THINK WHAT WAS SPOKEN ABOUT EARLIER BY COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW WHO SPOKE I THINK QUITE ELOQUENTLY PERTAINING TO THE MATTER OF THE H.A.F. AND THE ZONING CHANGES BEING -- YOU KNOW, STATE IN THE ADVERTISING WHAT THIS IS ACTUALLY ABOUT. YOU KNOW? IT'S -- DON'T TRY TO SAY -- YOU KNOW, THE SIMPLEST THING COULD HAVE BEEN -- I MEAN, THE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT DID NOT EVEN RUN ADS, LIKE PAID ADS, OTHER THAN ON THEIR BUSES AND ON A PRESS RELEASE, BUT THEY DIDN'T RUN ANYTHING ON SOCIAL MEDIA, AND THEY DIDN'T SAY WHAT IT REALLY IS. YOUR ROUTE IS CHANGING. LOOK AT THIS. NO, THAT WAS NOT DONE. CHANGES TO TRANSIT SERVICE AT THE END OF -- YOU KNOW, AND THE RESIDENTS ARE LOOKING, OKAY, WE DON'T KNOW. WE SEE THIS EVERY YEAR. YOU KNOW, THEY CHANGE THE ROUTES EVERY YEAR A LITTLE BIT. I THINK WINNIPEGGERS ARE GOING TO BE -- ARE GOING TO GET -- YOU THINK THE CALLS COMING IN ARE GOING TO BE JUST TO THE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT, I DON'T THINK SO. AND I THINK WE'RE GOING TO SEE SOMETHING HERE, ESPECIALLY IN THE MIDDLE OF WINTER. MAYBE NOT AT THE END OF JUNE, BUT THE MIDDLE OF WINTER WHERE FOLKS ARE HAVING TO WAIT FOR THE FIRST TIME IN THEIR LIFE TO DO A TRANSFER BECAUSE THEY HAVE A ROUTE NOW THAT THEY HAVE TO TAKE A TRANSFER BECAUSE WE DID A HUB AND SPOKE SYSTEM WITHOUT INCREASING THE SIZE OF THE FLEET TO ACTUALLY MAKE IT WORK PROPERLY. WE'RE GOING TO HEAR ABOUT IT, AND RIGHTFULLY SO, AND I REALIZE THAT ONLY 6% OR WHATEVER OF COMMUTERS ARE TRANSIT USERS, BUT GUESS WHAT? THEY HAVE SPOUSES. THEY HAVE FAMILIES. THEY HAVE FRIENDS, AND THEY WILL BE TALKING, SAYING WHAT THE HECK HAVE THESE GUYS DONE? I HOPE I'M WRONG, BUT I'LL TELL YOU WHAT DIDN'T GIVE ME ANY PEACE OF MIND WAS JUST LAST WEEK I GOT TO WATCH A CONTRACTOR HIRED BY THE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT PUT UP THE NEW SIGNS ON THE ON-DEMAND SERVICE IN MY AREA, AND I -- I'M NOT TOO SURE IF THIS IS VERTICAL OR IF THIS IS VERTICAL, BECAUSE THE SIGNS ARE ALL OVER THE MAP. I'VE NEVER SEEN SUCH A TERRIBLE JOB DONE BY A CONTRACTING SERVICE OF THE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT, NO PRIDE. THE SIGNS STILL LOOK LIKE THAT, AND I'M THINKING TO MYSELF, IF THIS IS HOW WE'RE ROLLING THIS OUT, IF THIS IS A SIGN OF THINGS TO COME, AS SIMPLE AS A LITTLE SIGN, LITERALLY, I MEAN, I HATE TO SAY THIS, BUT SOMEBODY WHO DOES NOT HAVE ANY MECHANICAL SKILLS WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO A BETTER JOB BECAUSE THEY ACTUALLY TIED IT TO A POST, AND THIS WAS A CONTRACTING FIRM, NOT OUR STAFF, OKAY, BECAUSE I DOUBLE-CHECKED THE TRUCK AS I WENT BY. NOT IMPRESSED, MADAM SPEAKER. ALL OVER THE COMMUNITY THAT I LIVE IN, WHICH IS GOING TO BE ON DEMAND. IT WASN'T JUST MY SIGN AT THE CORNER. IT WAS EVERYWHERE. TO ME, HOW IT'S ROLLED OUT AND HOW IT'S DONE, THE PRIDE IN THAT OWNERSHIP SPEAKS VOLUMES, AND THAT DOESN'T SPEAK VOLUMES TO ME, SO I'M VERY CONCERNED. I'M NOT GOING TO BE SUPPORTING THIS. I'M CONSISTENT ON THAT. I REALIZE -- BUT REMEMBER, IT'S A CONSENT AGENDA. I VOTED FOR 95% OF THE ITEMS ON THE AGENDA TODAY, EVEN THOUGH I VOTE AGAINST 5% BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT WE

STAND DOWN. I JUST WANT TO REMIND THAT OF COUNCIL TOO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WYATT. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR LUKES TO CLOSE.

SURE. SO YES, YOU ARE CORRECT. SIGNS ARE BEING INSTALLED ALL AROUND THE CITY ON BUS STOPS SAYING EITHER YOUR STOP IS MOVING OR IT WON'T BE HERE ANYMORE OR IT WILL BE HERE. I'VE NOT SEEN ANY CROOKED ONES. I'VE BEEN WATCHING THEM, SO I GLADLY REQUEST YOU SEND SOME PHOTOS TO ME AND TO THE DEPARTMENT, BUT ALSO TO THE POINT THAT EVERY TIME I'VE GOT THAT OPPORTUNITY, AND I'VE SEEN IT IN PRINT, I'VE SEEN IT IN THE MEDIA, WE ARE VIRTUALLY -- WE ARE SAYING VIRTUALLY EVERY TRANSIT STOP IS GOING TO CHANGE, AND THIS IS GOING TO BE HAPPENING IN THE SUMMER. THE REAL UPTAKE WILL BE IN THE FALL, BUT LOOK, I'M LIVING PROOF. FIVE YEARS NOW, FOUR YEARS WE'VE HAD THIS ROUTING SYSTEM IN SOUTHWEST WINNIPEG. PEOPLE LOVE IT. LIKE, GRANTED, IT DID COME IN THE PANDEMIC. THAT'S WHEN THE SWITCH WAS MADE, SO THERE WAS LOTS OF DRAMA AROUND THAT TIME FRAME IN LIFE, BUT PEOPLE LOVE IT. THEY LOVE IT. THERE WERE THE TWO ISSUES, RIGHT, OH, WE DON'T WANT TO TRANSFER AND GET OFF THE BUS AND TRANSFER, AND THE OTHER TWO ISSUES WERE, OH, THERE'S A BUS DOWN MY STREET, I DON'T LIKE IT, AND OH, I LOVE THE BUS DOWN THE STREET. SO YOU KNOW, SO THOSE ARE THE BIG THREE QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS, BUT I'M FOUR YEARS IN AND PEOPLE LOVE IT. ACTUALLY, THEY LOVE IT TOO MUCH. TRANSIT IS SAYING THAT THEY'VE HAD MORE UPTAKE THAN THEY ANTICIPATED, SO YOU KNOW, THAT'S A WHOLE OTHER CAN OF WORMS AND PROBLEMS, BUT YEAH, IT'S A FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE. WE ALL KNOW HOW PEOPLE EMBRACE CHANGE, AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN TALK THEM ALL THROUGH IT, AND THE END RESULT -- CITIES ALL OVER THE WORLD HAVE DONE THIS, SO I REALLY, REALLY WANT TO COMMEND THE DEPARTMENT FOR THE WORK THEY ARE DOING. THEY ARE WORKING INCREDIBLY HARD ON THIS, AND I'M 100% SUPPORTIVE OF WHAT THEY'RE DOING, AND I HOPE ALL OF COUNCIL IS TOO. FOR SURE THERE WILL BE SOME CHALLENGES. LIKE ANY TIME THERE'S CHANGE. BUT I'M VERY OPTIMISTIC BECAUSE I'VE LIVED IT FOR FOUR YEARS, AND IT'S GREAT. WE LOVE IT, AND WE'VE MADE TWEAKS AND CHANGES AND THEY HAVEN'T COST A PENNY, SO IT'S VERY GOOD, BUT IT IS CHANGE. SO . . . WITH THAT, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. LET'S CALL THE VOTE ON THAT ONE. ITEM 1, ALL IN FAVOUR?

AYE.

CONTRARY?

NAY.

CARRIED. COUNCILLOR WYATT NOTED IN OPPOSITION. ITEM 2, MR. CLERK?

ITEM 2, COUNCIL UPDATE FOR THE PRELIMINARY DESIGN STUDY CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL EXTENSION WEST MAIN STREET TO BROOKSIDE BOULEVARD.

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR LUKES?

SURE, SO THIS REPORT IS ASKING THAT THE PUBLIC SERVICE PROCEED WITH AN INDEPENDENT VALUE-FOR-MONEY ASSESSMENT FOR EVALUATING BUSINESS CASE AND ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODS, AND TO REPORT BACK BY DECEMBER 2025. SO YOU KNOW, WE'LL HEAR EVERYONE'S COMMENTS ON IT. I'M VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THIS PROJECT. DO I THINK IT'S GOING TO HAPPEN ANY TIME SOON, IN THE NEAR FUTURE? NO, PROBABLY NOT. WE'VE GOT A LOT OF OTHER BIG ISSUES, LIKE THAT WERE POINTED OUT EARLIER, THAT WE'RE WORKING WITH THE OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT ON. BUT I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE PLAN THIS OUT. THE PROVINCE NOW IS ALSO PLANNING OUT THEIR CONNECTION TO CENTRE PORT. THE WORLD IS REALLY CHANGING, AND WHAT EVEN MORE SO IS, IN MY OPINION, SUPPORTING THAT WE PROCEED WITH THIS PROJECT IS OUR ABILITY TO MOVE GOODS EAST AND WEST. WE'RE NOT REALLY GOING NORTH AND SOUTH ANYMORE. THERE'S A LOT OF ISSUES WITH NORTH AND SOUTH. I DON'T THINK I NEED TO GET INTO IT. BUT THIS IS AN EAST-WEST CONNECTION TO OUR ECONOMIC ENGINE, WHICH IS CENTRE PORT, FOR THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. I REFLECT BACK ON 2008-2009 WHEN I WAS WRITING GRANTS. I COULD NOT KEEP UP WRITING GRANTS FAST ENOUGH WITH THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING THAT WAS FALLING OUT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO SUPPORT THE ECONOMY WITH SHOVEL-READY PROJECTS. DO I THINK THIS WILL HAPPEN WITH WHAT'S HAPPENING NOW? POSSIBLY. I THINK WE'RE SEEING SOME INDICATION PROVINCIALY AND FEDERALLY THAT FUNDING IS FLOWING. I THINK THIS IS A HUGE PRIORITY FOR THE CITY FROM A MUCH BIGGER PICTURE. I HAD A REALLY GREAT INTERVIEW WITH A REPORTER FROM THE UNITER, A STUDENT REPORTER FROM THE U OF W WHO WANTED TO SORT OF PIN ME ON ONE ANGLE, BUT I PRESENTED ANOTHER. HE DID A REALLY FAIR REPORT, BUT I THINK WE HAVE TO REALLY LOOK AT THIS FROM A MUCH BIGGER PICTURE. I USED THE ANALOGY OF LOOKING AT THE FLOODWAY FROM A BIGGER PICTURE. YOU KNOW, WE CAN USE THE ANALOGY OF LOOKING AT ASSINIBOINE PARK FROM A BIGGER PICTURE. SOMETIMES -- AND I'M GUILTY OF THIS. I WAS REFLECTING THIS TODAY, WHEN THE LEMAY CROWD WAS HERE HOW PASSIONATELY I WOULD COME FORWARD ABOUT ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN MY LITTLE WORLD AND NOT REALLY SEEING THE BIGGER WORLD OF TRANSPORTATION, BUT WE ARE HERE TO SEE AND SPEAK TO AND LOOK AT A MUCH BIGGER PICTURE, AND THIS IS WHAT THIS PROJECT IS ALL ABOUT. WILL IT HAPPEN IN THE NEXT FIVE YEARS? WHO KNOWS, RIGHT? IT WON'T HAPPEN WITHOUT FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL SUPPORT, FOR SURE, BUT I DO THINK WE NEED TO BE READY FOR THIS, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE OF THE CONNECTIONS INTO CENTRE PORT AND EVEN MORE SO WITH WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE WORLD AND OUR EAST-WEST TRADE CORRIDORS, SO WITH THAT, THAT'S MY INTRODUCTION.

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR MAYES?

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. IN JULY I SPOKE AGAINST AND VOTED AGAINST THE WIDENING OF KENNISTON. THAT DIDN'T SEEM TO DO ME MUCH GOOD OR WIN ME ANY FAVOURS. THIS IS A DIFFERENT PROJECT, I WOULD SAY, IN A COUPLE OF SENSES. FOR ONE, WE DON'T HAVE THE FARCICAL PRETENSE THAT THE COMBINED SEWER BILL WILL BE ZERO ON THIS ONE, AS WE DID WITH KENNISTON. NUMBERS PRESENTED AT COMMITTEE THAT WERE LATER COMPLETELY WITHDRAWN, SO WE'RE NOT HERE THE CSO DISTRICT HERE. GOOD. NONE OF THAT CONTROVERSY. NUMBER TWO, AT THE TIME WE WERE DEBATING KENNISTON, I SAID, WE'RE MOVING THE PRIORITY TO ST. MARY'S ROAD, WHICH HAD ALWAYS BEEN SHORT TERM IN ALL THESE DIFFERENT REPORTS, WE'RE MOVING THAT TO 2050. I GOT

REBUKED LIKE I WAS AN 8-YEAR-OLD BOY BY SOME POLITICAL STAFF SAYING YOU NEVER RAISED THIS UNTIL THIS TERM OF OFFICE. YEAH, BECAUSE THIS HAD NEVER BEEN MOVED TO 2050 BEFORE THIS TERM OF OFFICE, BUT SINCE THEN, TO THE CREDIT OF THE STAFF, SOME OF WHOM WE JUST SAW THE OTHER DAY ON THE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN, NO, THE PRIORITY TO CONSIDER DOING SOMETHING ON ST. MARY'S, WHETHER IT'S BIKE ROUTE OR OPPOSITE LANE OR TRANSIT CUTAWAYS, SOMETHING, THE STUFF THAT WAS RECOMMENDED IN 2005 WOULD BE GREAT. SOMETHING. THAT'S BEEN MOVED TO A MORE REALISTIC PRIORITY, 2024 TO 2033. SO GREAT. SO THAT PARTICULAR CONCERN OF MINE THAT A PROJECT THAT HAD BEEN IMPORTANT AND RECOGNIZED AS IMPORTANT IN MY AREA HAD SUDDENLY BEEN SHUNTED 30 YEARS LATER IN ORDER TO STUFF IN SOME STUFF THAT HAD BEEN IN THE PLATFORM? NO, WE RESOLVED THAT. THANK YOU TO THE STAFF. SO ST. MARY'S ROAD STUDY GOING AHEAD. GOOD. SO WHAT DOES THAT LEAVE US WITH TODAY? THIS. IT'S INTERESTING I REMEMBER MR. O'LEARY BEFORE HE BECAME DEPUTY MINISTER OF EDUCATION, WHEN HE WAS MAJOR DOMEQ AT THE SEVEN OAKS SCHOOL DIVISION COMING IN AND SAYING WE ACTUALLY FAVOURED THIS AT THE SCHOOL DIVISION. DIDN'T THINK MUCH ABOUT THE KENNISTON ONE, BUT IT MADE ME THINK DIFFERENT PEOPLE ARE SEEING THESE TWO PROJECTS DIFFERENTLY. FROM MY PERSPECTIVE I THINK THIS IS A MORE WORTHWHILE PROJECT, AND ALSO I THINK I MAY HAVE MISUNDERSTOOD WHERE WE WERE ON THE BUDGET CYCLE ON KENNISTON AND WHERE WE ARE ON THIS ONE AS WELL. WE'RE NOT COMMITTING TO IT. WE'RE GETTING MORE STUDY DONE. I STILL THINK THE KENNISTON ONE HAD SOME INTERESTING COST-BENEFIT NUMBERS PUT FORWARD, COMPLETELY INCONSISTENT WITH EVERYTHING WE'VE SAID ABOUT OUR PLAN TO REDUCE EMISSIONS, BUT YOU KNOW, WE DIDN'T ASK FOR THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE TO TAKE A LOOK AT THIS ONE. WE DIDN'T ASK THEM TO TAKE A LOOK AT KENNISTON, SO I GUESS THAT'S JUST HOW WE ROLL NOW. BUT I WILL BE VOTING FOR THIS ONE. AND PARTLY DUE TO WHAT I MENTIONED EARLIER, AND I SEE MR. -- THERE SO I'M GLAD HE'S STILL HERE, WHICH IS IF YOU LOOK AT THE COST-BENEFIT, WE'VE GOT -- NOW THIS IS GOING TO ENABLE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT IN PRECINCTS A, B AND D, ONCE FULLY DEVELOPED THESE PRECINCTS WILL ADD \$731 MILLION AND GDP TO THE ECONOMY AND PROVIDE LONG-TERM TAX REVENUE, ET CETERA, ET CETERA. I'M GOING TO KEEP BANGING ON THAT IF I HAVE TO BECAUSE I AM PRETTY SURE I KNOW WHAT'S COMING AT THE JUNE HEARING, WHICH WILL BE HOW THE SUBURBS ARE KILLING US AND WE CAN'T AFFORD IT AND IF WE JUST DO THESE FOUR-PLEXES, THEN WE CAN AVOID ALL THIS HORIZONTAL INFRASTRUCTURE. WE CAN AVOID ALL THIS HORIZONTAL INFRASTRUCTURE. WHAT THE HELL DO YOU THINK THIS IS? THIS IS A \$900 MILLION PIECE OF HORIZONTAL INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WE'RE JUSTIFYING BECAUSE IT WILL DEVELOP MORE SUBURBS, PRECINCT A, B AND D. SO THE PUBLIC SERVICE HAS SIGNED OFF. YOU DON'T GET TO WRITE DISSENTING REPORTS IF YOU'RE THE PUBLIC SERVICE. YOU DON'T GET TO COME HERE IN JUNE AND SAY WE DISSENT, WE HAVE A MINORITY REPORT ON THAT ONE. NO, THIS IS THE PUBLIC SERVICE DIRECTION, WHICH IS WE'RE GOING AHEAD WITH BIG, BIG, BILLION-DOLLAR ALMOST PUBLIC HORIZONTAL ROADWAYS. WE'RE STILL BUILDING THIS AND WE CAN AFFORD TO DO IT AND WE JUSTIFY IT BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO HAVE NET BENEFIT BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO DEVELOP MORE SUBURBS OUT IN THE NORTHWEST. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WILL PROVE TO BE RIGHT OR NOT. WE SEEM TO HAVE SWUNG WILDLY BACK AND FORTH OVER THE LAST THREE ADMINISTRATIONS ON THESE COSTING REPORTS. PITY -- CHARTIER ISN'T HERE TO TALK TO US ABOUT THAT, BUT LUCKILY FOR HIM, ENVIOUSLY, HE'S RETIRED, BUT GEORGE WAS SORT OF THE EXPERT ON THIS STUFF. BUT THIS IS WHAT MR. AMBROSE AND THE PUBLIC SERVICE HAS SIGNED OFF ON, RIGHT? THESE PRECINCTS WILL ADD \$731 MILLION, SO

LET'S DO THIS \$900 MILLION ROADWAY. OKAY, OKAY. I MEAN, FINE, BUT WHEN WE GET PEOPLE COMING HERE, INCLUDING POSSIBLY OUR STAFF, SAYING IN JUNE HOW, WELL, WE'RE GOING TO GO BANKRUPT IF WE DON'T DO THIS. NO, NO. WE'VE ALREADY SAID WE'RE NOT GOING BANKRUPT BECAUSE OF ANYTHING. WE'VE GOT A \$1 BILLION WATER AND SEWER PLANT PHASE 2. WE GOT A \$1 BILLION WATER AND SEWER PLANT PHASE 3, WE GOT A BILLION DOLLAR PLUS C.S.O. PROJECT. NONE OF THEM, IT DOESN'T MATTER IF YOU LIVE IN PRECINCT A OR THE MIDDLE OF DOWNTOWN. ALL THOSE COSTS ARE THE SAME. SO OUR BIG FINANCIAL CHALLENGES ARE NOT MORE PLAY STRUCTURES BECAUSE WE'RE BUILDING IN SAGE CREEK. OUR MAIN FINANCIAL CHALLENGES HERE ARE NOT, HEY, WE HAVE TO BUILD NEW COMMUNITY CENTRES. WELL, WE'RE FIXING UP THE OLD ONES. MR. DESCRIBER ALWAYS SAID QUITE ELOQUENTLY, WE'RE SPENDING \$100 MILLION A YEAR REPAVING OLD ROADS. IT'S ONE OF OUR MAJOR CAPITAL COSTS THAT'S JUST THERE. IT'S NOT GOING TO GET BETTER IF WE JUST HAVE MORE PEOPLE LIVING IN FOUR-PLEXES IN 1960s SUBURBS AND START TEARING THEM DOWN. SO FINE FOR TODAY. I WON'T BELABOUR THE POINT. PERHAPS I ALREADY HAVE, BUT I WON'T BELABOUR THE POINT FURTHER, BUT THE POINT IS THANK YOU TO THE PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION. THEY'VE ISSUED A REPORT. THEY'VE SIGNED OFF. THIS IS WHAT THEY'RE SAYING. THIS HORIZONTAL INFRASTRUCTURE OF \$900 MILLION IS FINE. WE SUPPORT IT. IT HAS BENEFIT BECAUSE IT WILL UNLOCK, A TERM I NEVER LIKED, BUT IT WILL UNLOCK THE BENEFITS OF PRECINCTS A, B AND D. OKAY, LET'S JUST REMEMBER THAT WHEN WE GET HERE IN JUNE AND WE'RE TOLD, OH, NO, NO, NO, THE SUBURBS, NO, NO, YOUR 1960s SUBURBS ARE WHAT -- GARDEN CITY PARTS OF MY WARD, PARTS OF ORLIKOW, EADIE, SCHREYER'S WARDS. LIKE, LET'S JUST TRY TO BE CONSISTENT HERE AND PUBLIC SERVICES HAS WEIGHED IN HERE NOW. YOU'RE TELLING ME TO VOTE FOR THIS, I'M GOING TO VOTE FOR THIS, BUT DON'T COME AND TELL ME, OH, NOW WE'VE RE-CONSIDERED AND WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO HORIZONTAL INFRASTRUCTURE. LIKE, THIS IS THE POSITION. IT'S A PROFESSIONAL -- IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE A PROFESSIONAL PUBLIC SERVICE. THIS IS THE POSITION. OKAY. HORIZONTAL INFRASTRUCTURE MORE THAN PAYS FOR ITSELF. FINE, BUT LET'S REMEMBER THAT WHEN WE COME TO THAT DEBATE IN JUNE. REALLY WHAT WE'RE DEBATING IN JUNE IS FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S MONEY ON THE LINE, THE DEVIL'S IN THE DETAILS OF WHERE WE WANT TO ALLOW THIS DEVELOPMENT, BUT WHAT WE'RE NOT DEBATING IS BANKRUPTCY IMMINENT UNLESS WE DO FOUR-PLEXES OR, OH, MY GOD, TAX CUTS ARE COMING BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO DO FOUR-PLEXES AND WE'RE GOING TO SAVE ALL THIS MONEY. DON'T TELL PEOPLE IN MY WARD WE'RE GOING TO SAVE MONEY BUT CROWD IN MORE. NOT BUILDING MORE ROADS. IT'S A \$900 MILLION PROJECT. WE ARE GOING TO BUILD MORE ROADS. WE'RE GOING TO EXPAND EXISTING ROADS, SO LET'S NOT PRETEND OTHERWISE. SO LET'S HAVE AN HONEST, STRAIGHTFORWARD DEBATE IN JUNE. THANK YOU FOR THE REPORT. PUBLIC SERVICES MADE ITS POSITION CLEAR. THERE'S BENEFIT TO THIS. THEY ARE JUST JUSTIFYING A \$900 MILLION PROJECT. FINE. LET'S REMEMBER THAT'S THE PUBLIC SERVICE POSITION.

THANK YOU. MAYOR GILLINGHAM AND THEN COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I APPRECIATE THE WAY COUNCILLOR LUKES ARTICULATED THIS, BUT INDEED THIS PROJECT IS VERY IMPORTANT. CERTAINLY IN THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT'S -- IN THE CAMPAIGN, THE LAST PROVINCIAL ELECTION, THE CURRENT PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT, THEN CANDIDATES, SHOWED AN EXPRESS AND INTEREST IN THE CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL EXTENSION. I KNOW THIS IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, AND THE COMMUNITIES IN THE NORTHWEST PART OF

WINNIPEG. COUNCILLOR BROWATY AND EADIE HAVE SPOKEN ABOUT THIS AS WELL. THIS COULD CERTAINLY HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE TRAFFIC FLOWS WITHIN THEIR RESPECTIVE COMMUNITIES. I REALLY DO WANT TO EMPHASIZE THE FACT THAT THIS PROJECT IS NEEDED IN THE LONG TERM FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WHEN IT COMES TO OUR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, OUR ECONOMIC CAPACITY. THE MOVEMENT OF GOODS IN AND OUT OF CENTRE PORT AND THE MOVEMENT OF PEOPLE, EMPLOYEES IN AND OUT OF CENTRE PORT, ALSO VERY, VERY IMPORTANT. CENTRE PORT, OF COURSE, NOW IS DEVELOPING A RAIL PARK. THE AIRPORT IS THERE. THAT'S THE KEY TO THAT SITE IS THE POSSIBILITIES AND POTENTIAL OF ALL THINGS THAT ARE CREATED. AND IN A TIME RIGHT NOW WHEN WE NEED TO BE OBVIOUSLY WE'RE FORCED NOW AS COUNCILLOR LUKES SAID TO LOOK AT NEW MARKETS AND NEW WAYS OF MOVING CANADA'S ECONOMY AND CERTAINLY MANITOBA, WINNIPEG'S ECONOMY, EAST AND WEST AND TO PERHAPS OTHER MARKETS OUTSIDE OF THE -- OTHER THAN THE U.S., BEING INVESTOR READY AND HAVING THE ABILITY TO MOVE GOODS THROUGH TRADE ROUTES IS REALLY, REALLY CRITICAL. AND SO THIS PROJECT, ULTIMATELY WHEN IT'S DONE, WILL OPEN UP MORE GROWTH AND GROWTH OPPORTUNITIES FOR BOTH RESIDENTIAL AND EMPLOYMENT LENS AS WELL. AS COUNCILLOR LUKES SAID, WHAT WE'RE VOTING ON HERE TODAY IS AN INDEPENDENT VALUE-FOR-MONEY ASSESSMENT, EVALUATING THE BUSINESS CASE AND ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY METHODS, ASKING OUR STAFF TO GO AHEAD AND BEGIN THAT WORK SO THAT WE CAN HAVE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING SO ONCE WE GET THOSE NUMBERS, TAKE THAT INFORMATION TO THE NEXT STEPS, WHICH WILL BE HOPEFULLY ANOTHER COUNCIL'S DECISION OR SET OF DECISIONS WHEN THE TIME COMES. BUT I LOOK AT A PROJECT LIKE THIS. YES, IT'S BIG. YES, IT'S EXPENSIVE, BUT I'VE SAID IT ON THE FLOOR OF COUNCIL BEFORE. I'LL SAY IT AGAIN. I OFTEN TRY TO ASK MYSELF THIS. I CHALLENGE ALL OF US TO ASK OURSELF THIS, THIS QUESTION IS WHAT DECISION DO WINNIPEGGERS 20, 30 YEARS FROM NOW NEED US TO MAKE TODAY? THEN LET'S MAKE THAT DECISION AND I HOPE WE'LL DO THAT TODAY. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW THEN COUNCILLOR GILROY.

OKAY. THANK YOU, AND I APPRECIATE EVERYBODY'S REALLY TIRED AND HUNGRY PROBABLY. SO I GOT TO FIRST THANK MATT DRIBER WHO IS OUR C.A.O. I COULD DIVE INTO DEEPER DISCUSSIONS WITH HIM, BUT I DID SEE THE NUMBERS, AND THEY LEFT ME WANTING. FOR EXAMPLE, IT TALKED ABOUT A 3% INFLATION RATE ON THIS PROJECT. THAT'S JUST RIDICULOUS. THE LAST FIVE, TEN YEARS, WHATEVER IT'S BEEN, IT'S BEEN A 100% INCREASE, SO 14% INCREASE PER YEAR, SO I DON'T REALLY -- REALLY DON'T FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH THAT NUMBER. THE OTHER ONE I WAS SAYING, OKAY, SPRAWL PAYS FOR SPRAWL. NOW WE GOT A NEW STATEMENT HERE. THAT'S A CHANGE OF PACE. HOW DOES THAT WORK? WELL, IT WAS QUITE CLEAR THAT NOTHING OUTSIDE -- THERE'S COSTING AVAILABLE IN HERE, WHICH AGAIN I TELL COUNCILLOR SHARMA, SPEAKER SHARMA THAT, YEAH, YOU SHOULD VOTE FOR THIS BECAUSE THERE'S A MASSIVE NUMBER OUTSIDE THE AREA THAT WE HAVE TO DO. SO IT'S A BILLION DOLLARS IT SOUNDS LIKE THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO PAY FOR THE SERVICES AT THIS NEW THREE -- THIS NEW DEVELOPMENTS ARE GOING TO NEED. AND THEY WILL GO SOMEWHERE, BUT THEY WILL BE REGIONAL. WELL, THAT'S CRAZY. THAT NUMBER IS NOT EVEN IN HERE. IT'S A SECONDARY NUMBER THAT'S NOT EVEN IN HERE. SO WHAT ABOUT WHEN THEY STEP OFF THE PROPERTY? ARE THEY PAYING FOR THE ROADS? ARE THEY PAYING FOR THE SEWER PLANT THAT WE'RE TRYING TO DEAL WITH IT? NO. ALL THE MONEY THAT'S GETTING GENERATED HERE BASED UPON THIS REPORT NEEDS TO STAY

WITHIN THE AREA FOR 75 YEARS, AND ALL THREE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT HAVE TO COMMIT TO THE SAME THING. THAT'S LUDICROUS. THAT WILL NEVER HAPPEN. AGAIN, THERE'S SOME NUMBERS IN HERE, A LITTLE OF THIS, A LITTLE OF THAT. AGAIN, I'M NOT AN EXPERT, BUT WHEN I LEFT READING THIS REPORT, I DID IT QUITE THOROUGHLY BECAUSE WHEN IT SAYS SPRAWL PAYS FOR SPRAWL, I LEFT AFTER I HAD A CONVERSATION, I SHOULD HAVE HAD MORE CONVERSATIONS WITH MR. DRIBER, BUT AT THIS POINT IT DOESN'T PAY FOR ITSELF, NOT AT ALL. FOR THOSE 75 YEARS, IF THOSE TAXES ARE GOING NOWHERE ELSE BUT THAT AREA, THAT MEANS EVERYBODY ELSE FOR 35 YEARS HAS TO PAY TO DEVELOP THIS PROPERTY. WE'RE NOT GETTING MONEY BACK ON THAT. MAYBE SOME TAXES, BUT NO, FOR 75 YEARS, RIVER HEIGHTS-FORT GARRY WARDS WILL BE PAYING FOR SPRAWL. THAT'S WHAT I SEE. I KNOW WE HATE THIS COMMENT ABOUT THE IMPACT FEE STUFF, BUT WHAT ABOUT THE ARLINGTON BRIDGE? ARE THEY GOING TO CONTRIBUTE TO THAT WHEN WE REDEVELOP IT? NO, THEY'RE NOT. IT'S AGAIN HOW WE WORK THESE NUMBERS THROUGH. I WASN'T LEFT WITH A VERY STRONG LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE. THEN I GOT BACK TO MY HEELS A BIT AND SAID IT'S TIME TO BE PAROCHIAL A BIT TOO. THERE'S \$500,000 THAT'S THE NEXT STEP FOR THIS PROJECT. WELL, DON'T SPEND THIS \$500,000 RIGHT NOW. SPEND THAT \$500,000 ON KENNISTON. WE CAN'T BE DOING TWO MAJOR MASSIVE PROJECTS OR EVEN PRETEND THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO THIS. I UNDERSTAND IT'S A LONG-TERM VISION DOCUMENT THAT WE'RE GOING TO PUT ON THERE, AND IT WILL BE ANOTHER BIG PROJECT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A SHELF READY, I CALL IT NOT SHOVEL READY, SHELF READY, TO PUT THAT PROJECT ON THE SHELF AND THEN IN 30 YEARS OR 25 YEARS WE'LL DUST THAT OFF AND BRING IT BACK DOWN AND ALL THE NUMBERS ARE GOING TO CHANGE. SO AGAIN, I'M NOT CONFIDENT IN THE REPORT THAT SAYS THIS WILL PROVIDE VALUE FOR MONEY IN THE LONG TERM. I UNDERSTAND TRADE. WE DON'T DO TRADE. THAT'S NOT -- THE PROVINCE WANTS TO DO TRADE. THEY DO JOBS. THEY GET ALL THE TAX REVENUE FROM THIS, AND AGAIN, I DO APPRECIATE THE REPORT, HOW IT DOES SAY, HEY, PROVINCE, HERE'S THE BENEFITS FOR YOU. THAT'S IMPORTANT. I AGREE THAT'S NOT BAD AT ALL. BUT AGAIN, TO SAY THAT THIS IS A BENEFIT TO THE CITY, YES, JOBS ARE NEEDED TO CREATE EMPLOYMENT, CREATES PEOPLE HAVE MONEY TO BUY HOUSES. GOT IT. BUT AGAIN, WHAT ABOUT EAST ST. PAUL AND WEST ST. PAUL AND THOSE AREAS? KIND OF CONCERNED ABOUT THEM. SO AGAIN, I JUST DON'T -- A, I DON'T FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH THE NUMBERS THAT WERE PROVIDED. B, THIS MONEY COULD BE BETTER SPENT ON ALLOCATING IT TO KENNISTON, AND THREE, LET'S FOCUS ON ONE BIG PROJECT AT A TIME RATHER THAN SPREADING OUR WINGS EVERYWHERE. WE GOT REC CENTRES THAT WE AREN'T DOING, SO INSTEAD OF JUST KEEP ADDING BILLIONS AND BILLIONS OF DOLLARS INTO OUR LIST OF SHELF PROJECTS, WE HAVE NO MONEY FOR ANY OF THIS STUFF, LET'S JUST SAY, OKAY, THIS ONE WE'RE NOT GOING TO GO FORWARD RIGHT NOW. FINE, WE WANT TO TALK ABOUT IT IN 20 YEARS, FINE. BUT RIGHT NOW IS NOT THE TIME TO START TAKING THAT \$500,000 WHEN REALLY KENNISTON IS A MAJOR PROJECT THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. IF THE GOVERNMENT WANTS TO COME AND SAY WE'LL PROVIDE ALL THE FUNDING, OR 80% OF THE FUNDING, BECAUSE PROPERTY TAXES AND THAT, GREAT, BECAUSE WE WANT JOBS AND WE WANT TO DO CENTRE PORT. FINE. BUT AGAIN, WE KNOW THAT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN, SO I THINK THIS PROJECT IS A LITTLE EXPENSIVE. IT DOES REQUIRE AN ENORMOUS AMOUNT OF OTHER COSTS THAT ARE NOT IN HERE BECAUSE IT'S THE OFF-SITE COSTS. IT RETAINS ALL THE TAXES WITHIN THAT AREA. THAT MEANS THE OTHER AREAS HAVE TO PAY FOR EVERYTHING ELSE. SO I JUST DON'T FIND IT EQUITABLE SOLUTION THAT THEY SAID HERE. THE NUMBERS SEEMS TO BE REALLY DEVISED TO MAKE SURE THAT THIS PROJECT LOOKS GOOD, AND NOT SAYING IT'S NOT NEEDED, BUT I ALSO KNOW WHAT'S NEEDED AS WELL. WE NEED TO GET KENNISTON DONE. WE NEED OTHER

ROADS TO GET DONE. WE NEED OTHER -- ST. MARY'S. WE NEED OTHER PROJECTS TO GET DONE, SO LET'S JUST STOP DOING THESE BIG PROJECT IDEAS. MAYBE IT'S A CAMPAIGN PLEDGE. MAYBE WE GOT TIRED OF IT ALL, WE JUST WANT TO GET IT THROUGH AND PUT IT ON THE SHELF AND SAY, LOOK, WE HAVE A PLAN SOMEWHERE. AGAIN, NO. IT'S TIME TO STEP BACK ON THESE BIG IDEA PROJECTS. WE NEED TO REALLY FOCUS ON THE BASICS OF WHAT'S ALREADY FALLING APART AT THIS TIME. SO I UNDERSTAND. I'M NOT BEGRUDGING PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO VOTE FOR THIS. IF I WAS COUNCILLOR SHARMA OR ANYBODY IN THE NORTH, I WOULD BE VOTING FOR THIS BECAUSE IT PLEDGES US, ALMOST, TO SAY WE HAVE TO FIND MILLIONS AND MILLIONS OF DOLLARS TO DO THE REC CENTRE AND TO DO ALL -- THE PORTION IT SAYS PROPORTIONALLY THEY TOOK A PART OF THAT FOR THE THREE PRECINCTS, BUT THAT'S NOT GOING TO COVER THE COSTS. THEY HAVE TO FIND A HUGE AMOUNT OF OTHER NUMBERS FROM OTHER AREAS, AGAIN, EXISTING TAXPAYERS, TO PAY FOR THIS STUFF. SO I'M NOT CONVINCED THAT -- AND I'M HAPPY TO CARRY ON CONVERSATIONS WITH MR. DRIBER THAT I LEFT MY REPORT THAT THIS WILL NOT BE COST EFFECTIVE FOR US AT THIS TIME. SO LET'S JUST PAUSE ON THIS. LET'S FOCUS ON THE BIG PROJECT WE HAD WITH KENNISTON AND LET'S JUST SAY, OKAY, WE GOT THIS -- WE'RE THIS FAR WITH THIS PROJECT, LET'S NOT SPEND ANOTHER \$500,000 AT THIS TIME. LET'S JUST HOLD ON THAT AND RE-ALLOCATE THAT MONEY TO OTHER PROJECTS. THAT'S MY OPINION, BUT I DO WANT TO THANK -- IT WAS ONE OF THE BETTER REPORTS I'VE SEEN FROM THE DEPARTMENT. I JUST DIDN'T REALLY AGREE WITH ALL THE NUMBERS. BUT THAT'S ABOUT IT, BUT IT WAS A GOOD REPORT, AND AT THE END OF THE DAY I AM BEING A LITTLE PAROCHIAL. I JUST WANTED TO HAVE EVERYBODY -- THE GOVERNMENT ALL OF A SUDDEN CHANGES AND SAYS WE WANT TO DO ROADS, ROADS, ROADS. WELL, LET'S JUST FOCUS ON ONE PROJECT AND NOT TO SAY, WELL, THEY MAY PICK CHIEF PEGUIS OVER KENNISTON. I DON'T WANT THAT. I WANT THEM TO HAVE ONLY ONE PROJECT ON THE BOARDS, WHICH IS KENNISTON. SO I'M NOT WILLING TO SPLIT THAT BY, AND SO I WANT TO FOCUS ON THAT PROJECT, BUT AGAIN, THAT'S WHY WE HAVE COUNCIL AS A WHOLE.

THANK YOU.

I'LL DO MY LITTLE PAROCHIAL MOMENT. OTHER PEOPLE MAY NOT. THAT'S FINE. KENNISTON IS MY NUMBER ONE PROJECT BECAUSE IT'S REALLY CREATING HAVOC IN THE RIVER HEIGHTS FORT GARRY WARD RIGHT NOW. IF ANYONE'S DRIVEN KENNISTON IN A LONG TIME, DON'T. DON'T GO DOWN KENNISTON, CENTENNIAL, LINDSAY. DON'T WHIP THROUGH MY NEIGHBOURHOOD, DOING ALREADY, BUT AGAIN THEY ARE BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE BLOCKAGE ON KENNISTON, SO I WOULD PREFER THAT WE FOCUS ON ONE BIG PROJECT AT A TIME, AND I'M HOPING IT WON'T TAKE THIS VISION OF 45 YEARS TO EVEN GET THE THING DONE. I'M HOPING THAT ONE PROJECT, THE GOVERNMENT, IF THEY DO COME OUT WITH FUNDING FOR ROADS, THAT WE CAN ACTUALLY SAY THIS IS OUR SHOVEL-READY PROJECT, WHICH IS KENNISTON, NOT YOU PICK EITHER KENNISTON OR CHIEF PEGUIS. THAT'S WHY I'M VOTING AGAINST IT.

THANK YOU. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR GILROY FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR BROWATY AND THEN COUNCILLOR WYATT AND EADIE.

THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'M PRETTY FRUSTRATED THAT THIS IS ACTUALLY HERE. IN THE

MEETING THAT WE HAD WITH THE MASTER TRANSPORTATION PLAN, THE STAFF DID SAY THAT THIS ISN'T EVEN A PRIORITY FOR THE CITY AT THIS TIME. I DID RAISE THE QUESTION ABOUT OUR TRANSPORTATION NEEDS AND HOW OUR TRANSPORTATION IS GROWING WITHIN OUR TRUCKING INDUSTRY. THEY SAID THAT WE HAVE WHAT WE HAVE NOW WOULD TAKE US FOR THE LONG RUN FOR QUITE A WHILE BEFORE WE WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT THE CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL, AND AT THE SAME TIME, MADAM SPEAKER, WE HAVE A BRIDGE THAT IS CLOSED. WE HAVE A BRIDGE GOING INTO COUNCILLOR SCHREYER'S AREA, WHICH I WAS TAKING THE OTHER DAY AND INTO COUNCILLOR WYATT'S AREA. THAT CAN BE CLOSED AT ANY TIME NOW. AND WE'RE LOOKING AT FUNDING ANOTHER PROJECT THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO LOOK FOR FUNDING AT, A DEBT CEILING THAT'S HIGH, AND WITH INNER CITY COMMUNITIES, WE ARE DYING. OUR ROADS ARE CRUMBLING. SO IT REALLY IS FRUSTRATING WHEN I'M IN A MEETING WITH OUR DEPARTMENT HEADS THAT SAY THIS ISN'T A PRIORITY FOR THEM AND THAT CHIEF PEGUIS AS IT IS NOW CAN DEAL WITH THE TRAFFIC THAT WE HAVE NOW, CAN DEAL WITH THE GROWTH OF OUR TRUCKING INDUSTRY, AND THAT ISN'T REQUIRED WHEN WE HAVE SO MANY OTHER DEMANDS, MADAM SPEAKER. SO MUCH THINGS THAT WE HAVE TO PAY FOR IN TERMS OF INFRASTRUCTURE. AND YOU KNOW WHAT, I GET MAD WHEN THE INNER CITY IS FORGOTTEN, AND AT THE SAME TIME WE'RE ALWAYS LOOKING AT IMPROVING THOSE ROADS. MADAM SPEAKER, WASN'T THAT LONG AGO WE EXTENDED CHIEF PEGUIS. IT STILL LOOKS LIKE A BRAND NEW ROAD, AND NOW WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT EXPANDING IT WHEN WE DON'T EVEN HAVE THE MONEY TO PAY FOR THINGS THAT WE DO NEED TO BUILD, LIKE THE LOUISE BRIDGE, MADAM SPEAKER. THAT IS CLOSED -- GOING TO BE CLOSING FOR SOME RENOVATIONS. IT'S NOT TOO FAR ALONG THE ARLINGTON BRIDGE, AND THAT IS A MAJOR CONNECTION INTO TRANSCONA. THAT'S NOT MY WARD, BUT IT IS GOING TO BE A MAJOR IMPACT IF THAT LOUISE BRIDGE CLOSES ON HOW WE GET PEOPLE IN AND AROUND THE TRANSCONA, MADAM SPEAKER. SO I JUST THINK THAT -- I THINK -- I DON'T KNOW IF I AGREE ON THE PRIORITIES IN TERMS OF KENNISTON, BUT WHAT I DO THINK THAT I AGREE WITH COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW ON IS WE GOT TO STEP BACK, LOOK AT HOW MUCH MONEY WE ACTUALLY HAVE, AND LOOK AT FIXING THE PROBLEMS THAT WE HAVE RIGHT IN FRONT OF US BEFORE STARTING NEW PROJECTS THAT OUR OWN DEPARTMENTS DON'T FEEL IS A NEED, MADAM SPEAKER. YES, IT'S NICE TO HAVE, BUT IT'S NOT A NEED WHEN WE HAVE CRUMBLING INFRASTRUCTURE LIKE THE LOUISE BRIDGE THAT COULD BE CLOSING ANY DAY NOW. SO I REALLY RAISE THIS BECAUSE THIS IS GOING TO COST MONEY TO EVEN DO THE STUDY, SO EVEN THOUGH WE'RE NOT DOING THAT, WE'RE STILL SPENDING MONEY ON DOING THIS STUDY, AND WE'RE SENDING A MESSAGE OUT TO THE REST OF THE CITY IS THAT WE'RE NOT CARING ABOUT THE INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS THAT ARE FALLING DOWN RIGHT NOW AND WE'RE NOT FUNDING THEM. OR MAKING THEM A PRIORITY. SO I WON'T BE SUPPORTING THIS, MADAM SPEAKER. WHEN MANY OF YOU WERE IN THAT ROOM WHEN WE HAD THAT DISCUSSION THAT THIS WAS NOT A PRIORITY FOR THE CITY AT THIS TIME, AND THAT THE EXPANSION WOULDN'T BE REQUIRED FOR SEVERAL, SEVERAL YEARS TO COME, AND THE MAYOR MENTIONED WHAT DO I WANT TO DO, WHAT DO I WANT TO BE KNOWN FOR IN 2030? I WANT TO BE KNOWN FOR HAVING A BRAND NEW CONNECTION OVER TO TRANSCONA WITH A NEW BRIDGE, A FIXED BRIDGE FOR THE ARLINGTON BRIDGE, AND FIXED ROADS WITHIN OUR CITY, AND YOU KNOW, MADAM SPEAKER, WE MIGHT EVEN ONE-UP, PAVE SOME OF CHARLESWOOD STREETS. LIKE, PAVE OUR BACK LANES, YOU KNOW? LIKE, I JUST FEEL LIKE WE HAVE PRIORITIES THAT WE'RE NOT FIXING. WE HAVE MANY STREETS WITHIN MY COMMUNITY THAT I GET VERY LITTLE STREETS FOR RENEWAL, AND THERE ARE POTHOLES ALL OVER THEM, MADAM SPEAKER. WE GOT POTHOLES ALL OVER OUR STREETS. WE GOT OTHER

THINGS THAT WE NEED TO DEAL WITH, AND WE'RE NOT DEALING WITH THEM, AND THE MORE WE PUT ON TO THE AGENDA IN TERMS OF THESE BIG MASSIVE PROJECTS THAT WE HAVE NO FUNDING FOR, WE DON'T HAVE FUNDING FOR A WATER TREATMENT PLANT, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO ASK FOR FUNDING FOR THAT, LIKE, LET'S SLOW DOWN, MADAM SPEAKER. AND LET'S REALLY LOOK AT WHAT WE NEED TO DO. LIKE FIX OUR HOUSE FROM THE CORE. AND NOT PUT ON A NEW, AND NOT PUT ON A NEW BUILD OF OUR HOUSE. LET'S FIX THE BONES OF OUR HOUSE BEFORE WE GO ON AND ADD A NEW STRUCTURE TO OUR HOUSE, MADAM SPEAKER. SO THAT'S WHERE I STAND. LIKE, WE SHOULD BE VERY CONCERNED. I WAS GOING OVER THAT LOUISE BRIDGE AND, LIKE, I KNOW THAT IT'S GOING TO BE UNDER CONSTRUCTION. I DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IF WE CAN'T HAVE ACCESS TO COUNCILLOR SCHREYER'S AND COUNCILLOR WYATT'S AREA.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

AND EADIE'S.

SO IT COULD HAPPEN, SO ANYWAYS, THAT'S MY -- THAT'S HOW I FEEL, MADAM SPEAKER.

THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR BROWATY FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT?

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. I KNOW IT'S LATE BUT IT'S IMPORTANT FOR ME TO PUT SOME COMMENTS ON THE RECORD. WINNIPEG'S GROWING. I GO TO DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE CITY AND I'M SHOCKED TO SEE NEW COMMUNITY AFTER NEW COMMUNITY. TRANSCONA IS NOW CONNECTED TO WINNIPEG. IT'S FILLED IN, TRANSCONA WEST. I WAS HERE WHEN WE DID THE TRANSCONA SECONDARY PLAN EXERCISE. WE'RE NOT BUILDING LIKE WE USED TO. IT'S NOT 50-FOOT LOTS WITH HUGE LOTS. THESE ARE NOW MULTI-FAMILY PREDOMINANTLY. TOWN HOUSES, YOU NAME IT. NORTHWEST WINNIPEG, MADAM SPEAKER, YOUR AREA, IT'S FILLING IN. SOMETIMES WE DO THINGS IN THE CITY A LITTLE BIT BACKWARDS. SHOULD WE HAVE BUILT SOME OF THESE NEW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS UP NORTH, McPHILLIPS AND THE NORTHWEST QUADRANT BEFORE CHIEF PEGUIS WAS THERE? MAYBE NOT. PART OF THE REASON I THINK WE'RE SEEING SOME CHALLENGES WITH THESE NUMBERS IS BECAUSE WE LET DEVELOPMENT AND WE LET ALL THE STRESS OF ALL THIS NEW DEVELOPMENT GO ON TO EXISTING ROADS. LELA, PARTRIDGE, THEY ARE GETTING SPANKED. YOU BUILD, YOU BUILD CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL, YOU CAN TAKE ALL THAT NORTH-SOUTH TRAFFIC AND SPREAD IT OUT. MAYBE WE DON'T NEED AN ARLINGTON BRIDGE FOR ANOTHER 10, 20 YEARS. YOU BUILD PEGUIS TRAIL, YOU BUILD THAT CAPACITY TO GET TRAFFIC OVER TO ROUTE 90, TO GET IT OVER TO THE BOULEVARD. IT OPENS OPPORTUNITIES. 845,000 PEOPLE. LIVE LIVID IN WINNIPEG MY ENTIRE LIFE. FOR THE LONGEST TIME WE WERE STAGNANT. SIX AND A QUARTER, THAT'S MY ANSWER FOR FOREVER. CLOSING ON 850,000 PEOPLE RIGHT NOW, MADAM SPEAKER. WE NEED TO PREPARE FOR GROWTH. WE'RE BUILDING RECREATIONAL AMENITIES IN SOUTHWEST WINNIPEG. WHY? BECAUSE IT'S A NEW COMMUNITY THE SIZE OF BRANDON AND IT HAD NOTHING. IT HAD NOTHING. IT'S BIGGER THAN BRANDON. WE NEED TO INVEST IN THE STRATEGIC INFRASTRUCTURE, WHETHER IT'S ROADS, WHETHER IT'S RECREATION. WE ARE A GROWING CITY. THIS ISN'T FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT. THIS IS FOR TRADE. THIS IS A MAJOR TRADE CORRIDOR. DO YOU WANT SEMI-TRAILERS GOING DOWN LELA AND PARTRIDGE 20 HOURS A DAY? OF COURSE YOU DON'T. THAT'S RIDICULOUS. WE USED TO HAVE IT ON

SPRINGFIELD ROAD. IT WAS A 24-HOUR TRUCK ROUTE GOING DOWN A RESIDENTIAL STREET. SO AGAIN, WE ARE GROWING UP. WE ARE FILLING IN. OLDER COMMUNITIES, WITH HIGHER DENSITY DEVELOPMENTS. WE'RE BLOWING UP OUR TRANSIT NETWORK. AGAIN, I'M CONSISTENT IN SUPPORTING BOTH DENSITY IN EXISTING NEIGHBOURHOODS AS WELL AS NEW. WE'RE GROWING UP AND WE ARE GROWING OUT. WE ARE BUILDING NEW COMMUNITIES. NOT EVERYBODY WANTS TO LIVE, YOU KNOW, IN A CONCRETE JUNGLE DOWNTOWN, AND THAT'S A FAIR DECISION FOR PEOPLE TO MAKE. PEOPLE CAN WANT TO LIVE IN RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBOURHOODS WITH BIG PARKS, BIG YARDS, AND PRIVACY. THOSE ARE BOTH VALID OPTIONS. PEOPLE SHOULD HAVE THAT CHOICE. IT'S A CHOICE, AND PEOPLE SHOULD HAVE IT. SO AGAIN, I SUPPORT BLOWING UP OUR TRANSIT NETWORK TO CREATE A NEW SYSTEM THAT'S, YOU KNOW, GONNA BE REVOLUTIONARY FOR EVERYTHING WE'VE KNOWN FOR 30 YEARS IN THE CITY. SO AGAIN, I DON'T LOVE THIS WHOLE VALUE FOR MONEY PIECE OF THIS EXERCISE BECAUSE, AGAIN, I THINK A LOT OF THE VALUE IS FOR THE RESIDENTS THAT HAVE RECENTLY BUILT IN THESE NEW NEIGHBOURHOODS. I DON'T THINK THESE NUMBERS ARE PROPERLY CAPTURING THE BENEFIT AND THE TAXES FOR THAT MATTER THAT THESE RESIDENTS ARE PAYING. SO AGAIN, I VERY MUCH SUPPORT MOVING FORWARD WITH CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL. WE HAVE SOME I THINK WILLING PARTICIPANTS, PERHAPS IN THE PROVINCE. MAYBE IN EVEN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AFTER THIS ELECTION WHEN PERHAPS, YOU KNOW, SOME OF THE PRIORITIES CHANGE A LITTLE BIT. SO AGAIN, I ENCOURAGE YOU TO VOTE FOR THIS. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO VOTE FOR A STRONG, GROWING WINNIPEG. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR BROWATY. COUNCILLOR WYATT?

THIS IS WHY WE DON'T HAVE FIVE-MINUTE DEBATES. WE'RE ACTUALLY HAVING A GREAT DISCUSSION HERE ON SOME VERY IMPORTANT ISSUES. MADAM SPEAKER, WHEN ARE WE GOING TO START THINKING LIKE A CITY? WHEN ARE WE GOING TO START THINKING POST-UNI CITY? WE'VE BEEN POST-UNI CITY FOR 50 PLUS YEARS, BUT YET WE DON'T THINK LIKE THAT. WE DON'T OPERATE LIKE THAT. WE DON'T GOVERN OURSELVES -- I REMEMBER ONE TIME ONE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS TOLD ME THAT A CERTAIN COUNCILLOR, HE HAD TO PAVE A REGIONAL STREET IN HIS WARD WHETHER IT NEEDED IT OR NOT. HE WAS ONCE IN THIS CHAMBER. AND I CAN TELL YOU IT WAS JUST BECAUSE A CERTAIN COUNCILLOR HAD A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF PULL, ENOUGH POWER TO GET THAT DONE, WHETHER IT NEEDED IT OR NOT. AND I AM HEARING THIS CONFRONTATION BETWEEN THE DOWNTOWN, THE INNER CITY AND THE NEIGHBOURHOODS AND THE SUBURBS, AND YET TO WHAT I COULD SEE IN THE WORLD, EVERY SUCCESSFUL CITY SEEMS TO HAVE A COMBINATION OF FREEWAYS -- I CALL THEM GREEN FREEWAYS. I THINK THERE'S A WAY FOR US TO BUILD MOVING AWAY FROM THE CARBON EXHAUST ENGINE. [CAPTIONER SWITCH] -- EXHAUST ENGINE, TOWARDS THE ONE IN THE FUTURE THAT IS THE ELECTRIC ENGINE TO BUILD THE ROADS THAT WE NEED. BUT WHY -- EVEN NOW WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THIS ONE ROAD AND MEANWHILE THE ENTIRE CITY AND GO UP ON McINAW AND JUST GO AND SEE THE CONGESTION THAT EXISTS AND GO ON PLESSY ROAD AND SEE THE CONGESTION BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE PARKWAY NORTH OR SOUTH. AND I WENT -- SINCE ONE YEAR WE HAVE BEEN HAVING AN ADVISORY GROUP WITH THE DEVELOPERS AREA AND THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND I WANT TO GIVE THE CREDIT TO THE DEPARTMENT AND WE HAVE BEEN WRITING A PLAN, 10,000 PLUS NEW HOMES AND PARKS AND EVERYTHING ELSE. WHEN I TOLD THEM THAT I CAME BACK FROM THE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN AND THE ROAD WAS IN 2050, THEY FELL OUT OF THEIR CHAIRS. YOU

KNOW, LIKE, WE HAVE TO START LOOKING AT AN INTEGRATIVE MODEL HERE, MADAM SPEAKER, AND THIS IS WHERE WE'RE GETTING LOST. NOT ONLY WHEN IT COMES TO THE STRUCTS OF ROADS BUT L.R.T. AND EVERY CITY OVER 700,000 PLANS L.R.T.. AND YOU PLAN THE VISION AND FIGURE OUT HOW YOU'LL FUND IT. AND YES, THERE WILL BE PROPERTY TAXES AND THERE'S NEW REVENUES AND I POINTED OUT TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE, AND I WON'T SAY IT AGAIN, THAT WE COULD TAP INTO IF WE SHOWED THE INITIATIVE AND THE ENTREPRENEURIAL DRIVE LIKE A BUSINESS PERSON DOES TO FIND NEW REVENUE THAT IS NOT EVEN ON THE BOOKS THERE. THAT ARE THERE IF WE WANTED THEM TO BE THERE. AND TO PAY FOR ALL OF THIS. NEVER MIND THE THOUSAND ACRES OF PARK SPACE THAT WE NEED AND NEVER MIND THE FACT THAT WE HAVE TO BUILD THE REC FACILITIES EAST OF THE RED. BY THE WAY, WE WILL BE WATCHING ON THESE, AND THE COUNCILLOR CINDY GILROY POINTED OUT THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE AND HOW TO RENEW OUR EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE. WE HAVE TWO FUNDS \$200 MILLION A YEAR AND WE COULD BORROW AGAINST IT AND IN THE NEXT 10-50 YEARS VISIT EVERY BROKEN DOWN SIDEWALK IN THIS CITY IF WE WANTED TO. AND IT'S IF WE WANT TO CHANGE OUR STRATEGY BUT WE HAVE THE FUNDING SOURCE TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL AND THE INTEREST. WE'D HAVE TO DRIVE UP THE INDUSTRY AND DRIVE UP THE AMOUNT OF CONTRACTORS BUT IT SOUNDS LIKE WHEN IT COMES TO CHEAP PAYMENTS AND I LIKE COUNCILLOR LUKES, YOU KNOW, BUT I CAN ALSO READ THROUGH THINGS NOW BECAUSE I WAS ON THE COMMITTEE WITH HER. AND WE ARE GOING TO SEE THIS ROAD BUILT. PROBABLY SEE, I AM GUESSING A P3 MODEL AND PRIOR TO THE NEXT GENERAL ELECTION AND SO SHOULD IT BE AND WHAT IS WRONG WITH THAT? AND I DON'T WANT TO SAY IT RIGHT NOW SO DON'T PUT IT OUT THERE, BUT LET'S JUST SAY WHAT IS COMING. LET'S BE HONEST. WHY AREN'T WE DOING THE SAME THING WITH THE PARKWAY, OR THE BRIDGE -- WHY AREN'T WE DOING IT, AND SIX LANES AND TAPPING INTO THE FACT THAT WE HAVE A WHOLE GREEN ECONOMY COMING. AND A GREEN INDUSTRY WITH REGARDS TO ALTERNATIVE FUEL SOURCES. MADAM SPEAKER, I REMIND THE FOLKS ALSO NOT JUST IN THE SUBURBS BUT IN THE I WERE CITY THAT RIGHT NOW THE TRUCK TRAFFIC? THE CITY GOES RIGHT THROUGH THE MIDDLE OF IT. AND PROVENCHER WAS TAKEN OFF THE ROUTE BUT GO TO MARION WHERE IT WAS ALL DUMPED ON TO, OKAY, AND ALL OF THAT GOES THERE BECAUSE WE HAVE NOT FINISHED THE INTERROAD TRUCK SYSTEM AND WE CONTINUE TO BUILD THESE ROADS THAT ARE A STAFFER IN MY OPINION. YOU HAVE MORE TRAFFIC LIGHTS THAN EVER BEFORE ON IT AND WE'RE WIDENING IT. BUT YOU HAVE MORE LOYALTIES THAN EVER BEFORE AND RESIDENTS WILL SAY WHAT DID THESE GUYS DO, AND, MADAM SPEAKER, WE HAVE TO START LOOKING OUT OF THE BOX AND START THINKING BIG AND SEE WHERE WE CAN TAKE THE CITY. THE MAYOR HAS SAID THAT WE HAVE GROWN THE CITY IN THE LAST THREE YEARS AND IF WE CONTINUE THAT RATE OF GROWTH, CAN YOU IMAGINE WHERE WE NEED TO GO. AND CAN YOU IMAGINE IF WE DON'T HAVE THE COURAGE TO GO THERE. THAT IF WE CAN'T COME TOGETHER -- AND I AGREE WITH COUNCILLOR GILROY ON RENEWING EXISTING NEIGHBORHOODS. IF WE CAN'T LOOK AT OUR EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE, YOU ARE RIGHT, WE SHOULD DO AN ADDITION ON THE SIDE OF THE HOUSE. BUT WE DO HAVE RESOURCES TO DO IT NOW. WE JUST HAVEN'T HAD THE POLITICAL WILL TO DO IT. THERE'S A BIG DIFFERENCE THERE. AND WE ACTUALLY HAVE THE FUND, BECAUSE WE HAD THE COURAGE SINCE 2013 TO 2014 TO SET ASIDE THOSE FUNDS AND NOT TOUCH THEM. MAYBE IT WAS A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF COUNCIL THAT WAS PUT IN PLACE, SO WE DON'T RATE IT. AND THE LOCAL AND THE REGIONAL STREET RENEWAL -- AND CAN YOU IMAGINE WE ROLL THIS ALL IN AND TAKE OVER THE VISION OF ONE CITY THE FIRST TIME SINCE 1972? THAT I KNOW OF. IT'S NEVER BEEN DONE. NEVER BEEN DONE IN THIS CITY. WE STAND SO DIVIDED, AND WE PIT EACH OTHER

AGAINST EACH OTHER, WELL, IT'S NOW MY TURN FOR MY PROJECT. LET'S STAND UNITED AND LET'S STAND UNITED. BUT AS LONG AS WE'RE DIVIDED, WE WILL BE DIVIDED. AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO FLOUNDER AS WE STRUGGLE AND WE'LL GO RUNNING FROM CHIEF PEGASUS AND COUNCILLOR MAYES, OR WHOEVER THE COUNCILLOR IS NOW. AND IT IS A DISASTER. YOU KNOW, OR WE WILL BE HEARING ABOUT THE NEEDS FOR INTERCITY REC BECAUSE WE DID BUILD IN THE INNER CITY WHERE THERE'S A NEED THAT IS JUST AS GREAT THERE AS OUT IN THE SUBURBS FOR KIDS WHO HAVE NOWHERE TO GO OR OLDER NEIGHBORHOODS. LIKE THE TRANSCONA PARK, WHICH WE SHOULD BUILD FIRST BEFORE SHUTTING EVERYTHING DOWN. BUT, ANYWAY, THAT'S WHAT IS CALLED VISION. THAT'S WHERE WE CAN GO. AND I ALWAYS SAID THAT DON'T BE SCARED OF THE COSTS RIGHT AWAY. JUST PAINT THE VISION AND SEE WHAT WE CAN DO. BUT THE MINUTE THAT WE THROW THE NUMBERS IN, WE AUTOMATICALLY RETRACT AND THE VISION JUST DISAPPEARS. BUT I TELL YOU WHAT, YOU TAKE CALGARY'S BASKET OF PROBLEMS AND CALGARY IS WORKING, THE CITY WORKS AND THE PROVINCE IS STEPPING UP ON THEIR ADDICTION AND MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES, THANK GOD, I WISH WE BEING DO MORE LIKE TO DO IT HERE. BUT COULD YOU IMAGINE THAT WE COULD -- I WOULD TAKE THEIR BASKET OF PROBLEMS IN A HEART BEAT AND TRADE THEM FOR OURS TOMORROW. BUT THEY'RE ACTUALLY INVESTING -- YEAH, THEY GOT OIL BUT WE HAVE A LOT OF RESOURCES IN THIS PROVINCE TOO THAT WE HAVE NOT TAPPED, RIGHT? AND HYDROELECTRICITY IS THE NEW OIL. IT'S THE NEW OIL, FOLKS. AND OUR FUTURE IS POSITIVE, WE JUST HAVE TO BELIEVE IN OURSELVES TO BUILD THE CITY AS ONE AND NOT DIVIDE IT CONSTANTLY. INNER CITY AND THIS SUBURB VERSUS THAT ONE, AND I'M TIRED OF THAT, I HAVE HEARD IT HERE FOR NEARLY 20 YEARS, THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'M VOTING FOR THIS REPORT. I DID IT -- THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE, AND I WILL DO THAT. AND MADAM SPEAKER, FIRST THING THAT I WANT TO TALK ABOUT IS THAT IS ON MY MIND -- I'M LOOKING AT ALL OF THESE BIG PROJECTS THAT ARE GOING TO COST US LOTS OF MONEY AS A CITY. WE DO NEED TO TAKE CARE OF WHAT EXISTS AND MAKE SURE THAT IT WORKS. SO WHEN I'M LOOKING AT ALL OF THESE BIG MAJOR PROJECTS, SO WE HAVE -- WELL, OUR NUMBER ONE THING THAT WE'RE DEALING WITH NOW THAT MEANS THAT WE WON'T BE ABLE TO DEAL WITH A LOT OF THE, UM, OTHER BIG PROJECTS LIKE CHIEF PEGASUS OR CANDACE WIDENING OR THE ST. JAMES BRIDGE, OR WE NEED TO ACTUALLY -- SO IN TERMS OF PRIORITY IN MY MIND, WE HAVE NEW PICK, ALL THREE PROJECTS. THAT'S NUMBER ONE FOR THIS CITY. AND THERE'S A PROJECT CALLED REPLACE THE ARLINGTON BRIDGE, MADAM SPEAKER. IT DOESN'T EXIST IN MY HEAD. THAT'S NUMBER TWO. IT'S GONE. IT'S NOT THERE. AND IF YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE MOVEMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLE TRAFFIC, YOU KNOW, IN THE LOCAL TRADE THAT HAPPENS THROUGH THE SMALLER TRUCKS, THROUGH THE CITY, THEY COULD REALLY USE THE ARLINGTON BRIDGE. A NEW REPLACED ONE TO SAY THAT OH, IT MAY MAY NOT BE NEEDED IN 20 YEARS -- THAT BLOWS MY MIND, MADAM SPEAKER, BECAUSE WHERE'S THE \$4 BILLION OR \$5 BILLION OR \$6 BILLION TO MOVE KPC OUT OF WINNIPEG. AND YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT ALL OF THIS MINING AND ALL OF THAT STUFF. LIKE, THAT'S NOT THE REALITY. LET'S GET WITH IT. SO WE NEED TO DO THAT. AND THE LOUISE BRIDGES IS BEING REPAIRED AND THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT AND THERE ARE OTHER WAYS TO GET FROM -- GET FROM THE WEST SIDE TO THE EAST SIDE, TO ELMWOOD AND TO TRANSCONA. AND SO WHILE THE LOUISE BRIDGE IS CLOSED THERE WILL BE MOVEMENT, ALTHOUGH IT'S GOING TO CAUSE TRAFFIC FLOW ISSUES MORE

THAN LIKELY. BUT, MADAM SPEAKER, THEN THERE'S -- TO ME, ARLINGTON BRIDGE -- CHIEF PEGASUS TRAIL THAT IS CORRECT IS NEEDED. I THINK THAT IS SOMETHING THAT'S MORE NEEDED THAN THE KINNISTON AND YOU HAVE A NEW ROAD THAT PEOPLE ARE USING EVERY DAY, AND IT'S USED EVERY DAY. AND, YOU KNOW, I LOOK AT -- I DON'T PRONOUNCE IT VERY GOOD BUT -- THANK YOU. YOU KNOW, WILLIAM CLEMENT -- I HAVE BEEN AROUND AND I HAVE BEEN WATCHING SINCE THE 1990S AND SO HAVE SOME OF THE OTHER COUNCILORS AROUND HERE, MADAM SPEAKER. MR. WILLIAM CLEMENT WAS VERY INSTRUMENTAL IN MAKING SURE THAT THAT HAPPENED BEFORE A WHOLE BUNCH OF OTHER PROJECTS HAPPENED IN THIS CITY. AND ULTIMATELY THOUGH, ANY OF THESE PROJECTS THAT GET DONE -- IT'S THE TAXPAYERS WHO ARE PAYING FOR IT, MADAM SPEAKER. AND I FIND IT VERY INTERESTING THAT WE COULD DIALOGUE ABOUT NOT GOING TO THE TAXPAYERS FOR, LIKE, SAY PLESSY'S UNDERPASS WHERE YOU HAVE A LOT OF TRAFFIC BACK IN THE DAY. IT WAS AN IMPORTANT PROJECT, THERE'S NO DOUBT ABOUT IT. TAXPAYERS PAID FOR A PORTION OF IT, MADAM SPEAKER. WELL, THE TAXPAYERS PAID FOR ALL OF IT BECAUSE OTHER LEVELS CAME IN AND PUT MONEY INTO IT -- SURE, OKAY, MADAM SPEAKER. WE TALK ABOUT THE TAXPAYER PAY. EVEN ON A P3, THAT IS DEBT, MADAM SPEAKER -- DEBT. THAT IS DEBT. SO IF YOU WANT TO GO AND BORROW, WHAT, \$4 BILLION -- ISSUE A BUNCH OF \$4 BILLION WORTH OF VENTURES, THE INTEREST THAT YOU'RE GOING TO PAY ON THAT BECAUSE WE HAVE BOND RATING AGENCIES AND YOU'VE GOT TO SELL THAT INTO THE PRIVATE MARKET, MADAM SPEAKER, AND WHAT -- YOU'RE GOING TO PAY 15% ON ALL OF THAT DEBT? AND, REMEMBER, AGAIN IT IS DEBT -- A P3 IS DEBT. NOW SO I'VE TALKED ABOUT THAT AND I THINK THAT THESE PROJECTS ARE THERE AND I HAVE THIS PRIORITY THAT I'M THINKING OF. NOW LET'S TALK ABOUT CHIEF PEGASUS TRAIL. SO IT'S SUPPOSED TO CONNECT THIS UP WITH CENTRE POINT WAY. WELL, GUESS WHAT? THE PROVINCE HAD MONEY FOR CENTRE POINT AND THEY BUILT A BRAND-NEW ROAD, DIVERTING YOU AROUND HEADINGLEY AND THROUGH OVER INTO CENTRE POINT AND THE PROVINCE HAD MONEY. WHY DID THEY DO IT? BECAUSE IT WAS IMPORTANT TO TRADE AND FOR THE PROVINCE THEY'RE THE ONES MAKING THE DOUGH, RIGHT? AND THEY'RE THE ONES BRINGING IN ALL OF THE MAJORITY OF THE REVENUE. THAT'S WHAT HAPPENS. WHEN WE HAVE PROPERTY TAXES COME ON, QUITE OFTEN WHAT WE DO IS THAT WE FOLD IT IN TO PREVENT -- PREVENT HAVING TO RAISE PROPERTY TAXES FOR INFLATION PURPOSES. AND SO THINGS ARE DONE DIFFERENTLY TOO AT OUR LEVEL, MADAM SPEAKER. SO WHEN I LOOK AT THIS PROJECT, AGAIN, WE'RE DOING ALL OF THE WORK ON THIS. AND MAYBE THIS, YOU KNOW, I CAN'T REMEMBER IN THE REPORT, IT TALKS ABOUT, YOU KNOW, LIKE WHAT VALUE IT HAS FOR TRAFFIC FLOW IN THE RESIDENTIAL AREAS. AND, MADAM SPEAKER, WE DO HAVE A SERIOUS TRAFFIC FLOW PROBLEM ON LELA AND PARTRIDGE AND THE RESIDENTS THAT LIVE ALL ALONG THERE. IT'S VERY DIFFICULT. WE NEED THAT TO FINISH THE ROADS. AND THEN WE HAVE COUNCILLOR -- THANK YOU FOR THE PRONUNCIATION. WE HAVE THAT AM THAT'S THE INNER RECTANGLE AND GET RID OF THE TRAFFIC LIGHTS. ALL I WANT TO SAY THOUGH IS THAT ULTIMATELY THAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN. SO I'M GOING TO --

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: SHUT UP AND SIT DOWN.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR LUKES TO CLOSE ON THE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I WANTED TO POINT TWO THINGS OUT TO OUR COLLEAGUE, COUNCILLOR GILROY THROUGH YOU, MADAM CHAIR. SO PAST JULY, JULY 2024, SO, YEAH, PAST JULY WE VOTED TO SUPPORT THE LOUISE BRIDGE REHABILITATION PROJECT. AND SO THAT -- AND WE SET ASIDE I BELIEVE THAT IT WAS A \$34 MILLION PLUS -- \$40 MILLION. SO WE SET THAT ASIDE. SO THAT'S HAPPENING. AND JUST RECENTLY WE ALSO SET ASIDE FUNDING TO DISASSEMBLE, TO TAKE APART, THE LOUISE BRIDGE AND TO CONTINUE DOING DETAIL DESIGN. SO, I DON'T KNOW, LIKE, WE ARE PROGRESSING WITH THOSE TWO BRIDGES. SO I THINK THAT IS A POSITIVE THING. AND, SORRY, ARLINGTON BRIDGE -- ARLINGTON. TAKE IT APART. GOOD CATCH, NO ONE NOTICED, AND NO ONE IS LISTENING TO ME. ANYWAYS, OKAY -- THANK YOU. THIS IS -- I'M GOING TO BE SUPPORTING THIS PROJECT FOR MANY OF REASONS, BIG PICTURE REASONS, THAT WE HEARD.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR OF ITEM 2? CONTRARY? OKAY. CARRIED. WHO WANTS TO BE RECORDED IN OPPOSITION? TWO. COUNCILLOR GILROY AND COUNCILLOR ALLARD. TWO RECORDED. OKAY THREE, COUNCILLOR LUKES. COUNCILLOR LUKES, BYLAWS? [Interjections] COUPLER LUKES?

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I MOVE THAT BY LAW 31-2025, AND 33-2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED.

FILE 31-2025, AND BY-LAW 33-2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I MOVE THAT BYLAWS 31-2025, AND 33-2025, BE READ A SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED.

BY-LAW 31-2025, AND BY-LAW 33-2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: I MOVE THAT 31-2025, AND 33-2025 BE READ A SECOND TIME AND THAT BE ORDERED AND SIGNED.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. QUESTIONS UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

A MASTER PLAN THAT DOES NOT HAVE ANY STUDY AS THE ORIGINAL ONE DID WHICH WAS \$1 MILLION AND THAT WOULD BE QUITE A BIT MORE TODAY. AND THAT WHAT IS FRUSTRATING IS THAT WE'RE SEEING CHANGES IN THE TRUCKING ROADMAPS AND EVERYTHING ELSE. LIKE WE HAVE RUSH HOUR ON THE NORTHEAST SIDE OF THE CITY IF BOTH DIRECTIONS JUST LIKE THE INFORMATION IN SOUTH WINNIPEG. AND AND THEY'RE SUPPLYING GOODS AND SERVICES AND WE WANT TO AVOID THEM WHICH IS WHY I'M SUPPORTIVE OF CHIEF PEGASUS AND FINISHING THAT LAKE WHICH IS WHY WE HAVE TO DO OTHER LAKES BUT COULD WE NOT TAKE ANOTHER RUN AT THIS PLAN AND THE NUMBERS CONCERNING IN TERMS OF THE OVERALL STRATEGY, AND THE TIMING AND GOT A FEEL OF

UNDERWHELMING WAS THE MESSAGE AND I WAS REALLY HOPING FOR SOMETHING MORE AND SOMETIMES IT'S OKAY TO SAY, YOU KNOW WHAT, YOU GOT IT QUITE RIGHT AND MAYBE WE NEED TO RECONSIDER AND GIVE IT MORE TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR WYATT. COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: AS I UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION, YOU WANT TO RECONSIDER IT AND TO SEND IT BACK OR SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT. WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT, THE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN, I WAS VERY INVOLVED IN THE FIRST -- WELL, NOT THE FIRST BUT THE RENDITION PRIOR TO THIS ONE. AND THE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLANS AND QUOTING MR. PATNAT, AND THEY'RE A LIVING AND BREATHING DOCUMENT. AS OUR CITY GROWS AND CHANGES THE TRANSPORTATION PLANS MORPH TO THE CHANGES, RIGHT? SO IT'S BEEN FIVE YEARS IN THE WORKS. AND IN THE MIDDLE OF THE PANDEMIC. I THINK THAT IT WILL COME TO THE PUBLIC IN MAY. AND THERE HASN'T BEEN MANY CHANGES AT ALL SINCE WHAT IS CURRENTLY POSTED ON THE WEBSITE. AND, UM, AGAIN, YOU KNOW, A LOT OF IT RESOLVES AROUND FUNDING THAT CURRENTLY WE DON'T HAVE A LOT OF FOR NEW EXPANSIONS. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO GO THROUGH IT AND READ IT AND IF YOU HAVE COMMENTS OR CHANGES, NOW IS THE TIME BEFORE IT COMES FORWARD.

MADAM SPEAKER: SECOND QUESTION.

WHERE I WAS GOING WITH THIS IS THAT, YEAH, WE HAVE CHALLENGES WITH THE FUNDING AND I THINK THAT IS WHAT SEEMS TO ALWAYS DRIVE OUR TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN IS THE OVERALL FUNDING AND OUR TRANSIT MASTER PLAN. AND LACK THEREOF. AND, YOU KNOW, I GO BACK TO THE TIME WHEN I HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO MEET WITH A FORMER DEPUTY MAYOR PRIOR TO THE -- PROBABLY BACK IN THE 1970s AND 1980s WHEN THEY ACTUALLY SAT WITH MEMBERS OF THE CABINET ONCE A MONTH. AND ACTUALLY WORKED TOGETHER WITH THE OTHER LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT. LET'S BE HONEST, THAT OTHER ARM IS DOING ANY PLAN IS LIKE HAVING ONE TIME TIED BEHIND YOUR BACK.

MADAM SPEAKER: QUESTION, COUNCILLOR WYATT.

AND ALONG WITH THE CITY, AND SAY, LOOK, WE HAVE THESE CHALLENGES AND THIS IS WHAT WE'RE FACING AND YOU HAVE THESE CHALLENGES AND WE NOW HAVE A MASSIVE TRADE WAR AND WE NEED TO GET THE INDUSTRY HEADED AND WE'RE POSSIBLY HEADING FOR A MASSIVE RECESSION, OKAY, WITH NEXT YEAR WITH THE FACT THAT THE AUTO SECTOR JUST GOT HIT. MY QUESTION IS THIS -- CAN WE NOT PIVOT AND GO BACK AND TO MAKE THIS A PLAN THAT WE COULD BE TRULY PROUD OF, EVEN IF IT MEANS GOING BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD AND GET THE OTHER LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT INVOLVED?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: THE OTHER LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT WAS INVOLVED, IN SITTING IN MANY OF THE MEETINGS AND ONE OF THE REASONS THAT IT'S ONLY COMING FORWARD NOW IS BECAUSE THEY

WANTED TO DO A FINAL GO OVER IT AND REVIEW IT. AND I THINK THAT ONE OF THE BIGGEST CHALLENGES THAT WE HAVE IN THE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN IS THAT WE JUST DON'T HAVE FREEWAYS. WE JUST DON'T HAVE FREEWAYS. SO WE -- WE ARE -- WE ARE WORKING WITHIN A NETWORK THAT WE HAVE TO MOVE GOODS AND WE HAVE TO MOVE PEOPLE. WE HAVE TO HAVE MULTIMODAL AND WE HAVE TO HAVE TRANSIT. AND, UM, IT IS CHALLENGING WITHOUT FREEWAYS. I THINK THAT IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST PROBLEMS BUT WE'RE WORKING WITH THE PROVINCE AND WE -- WE HAVE SEEN -- I WOULD SAY JUST FROM A COUPLE OF EXPERIENCES THAT I'VE HAD RECENTLY WITH THE POTENTIAL -- THE PROVINCE WAS LOOKING AT THE EXPANSION AND THE TWINNING OF McIVRAY, AND THE DIALOGUE CONNECTING FROM OUTSIDE OF THE CITY FROM THE MUNICIPALITY IN. SO I'M NOT COMFORTABLE SENDING IT BACK. I THINK THAT IT'S FINE AND WE CAN MAKE TWEAKS AND CHANGES AS WE GO.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. FINAL QUESTION.

THE STAFF-TO-STAFF DIALOGUE, I APPRECIATE THAT. BUT WE REALLY NEED TO TAKE IT UP A NOTCH IN TERMS OF THE MINISTER DIALOGUE, WHICH WE'RE NOT HAVING AND IT DOESN'T SURPRISE ME THAT THEY WANT TO SEE A ROAD, THAT DOESN'T SURPRISE ME AT ALL. BUT, ANYWAY, CAN WE NOT CONSIDER THAT, YEAH, GREAT STAFF-TO-STAFF CONVERSATION BUT THE POLITICAL ARM WHICH IS REALLY THE ARM THAT NEEDS TO BE BROUGHT IN HERE AND HAS NOT BEEN BROUGHT IN FROM WHAT I AM HEARING COULD BE DONE AND WE'RE LOSING AN OPPORTUNITY HERE. AND THEN THEY COME BACK AND WE THROW NUMBERS AT THEM AND WE NEED THIS AND WE NEED THIS AND WE HAVE BEEN NICE, AND THEN THEY'RE PART OF IT. JUST A THOUGHT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: WE CAN TAKE THAT BACK. REALLY IF YOU THINK ABOUT IT, WE'RE A CITY AND A PROVINCE OF ONLY 1.8 MILLION PEOPLE. AND SO THERE'S HUGE OPPORTUNITIES FOR DIALOGUE. AND I THINK THAT MORE THAN EVER THAT THE TWO LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT ARE ACTUALLY HAVING DIALOGUE, MORE THAN I'VE SEEN IN MY TIME AROUND HERE. SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK.

MADAM SPEAKER: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR LUKES? COUNCILLOR WYATT DOES. AND IN THE MEANTIME I'D LIKE TO CALL OUR DEPUTY SPEAKER UP TO TAKE THE CHAIR AND WE'LL CONTINUE WITH THE QUESTION PERIOD. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW, FIRST TIME TAKING THE CHAIR, THANK YOU.

DON'T BREAK THE CHAIR. DON'T BREAK THE CHAIR.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: ALL RIGHT. LOOK AT THAT GUY. LOOK AT THAT GUY. [Interjections] SO WE'RE DONE WITH THAT ONE AND MOVING ON TO GOVERNANCE. I NEED TO MIC UP. COUNCILLOR WYATT, GO AHEAD.

THANK YOU. I'LL GIVE YOU A CHANCE THERE. AND IN THE LAST PROVINCIAL ELECTION I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY, MADAM SPEAKER, THROUGH YOU, MAYBE THIS IS A QUESTION THAT THE CHAIR OF

PUBLIC WORKS MIGHT WANT TO DEFER TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE AND THAT'S FAIR ENOUGH. BUT I TOOK THE OPPORTUNITY OF MEETING WITH THE MEMBERS OF THE INCOMING GOVERNMENT PRIOR TO THE PROVINCIAL CIVIC ELECTION TO DISCUSS WITH THEM THE NEEDS ON THE NORTHEAST SIDE OF THE CITY, SPECIFICALLY WITH RECREATION AND THE EAST OF THE RED PLEX, WHICH TRANSLATED TO DURING THE PROVINCIAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN OF THE -- AT THAT TIME THE OPPOSITION N.D.P. TO BECOME THE GOVERNMENT N.D.P., WHERE THEY ANNOUNCED THEIR COMMITMENT TO THE EAST OF THE RED PLEX AS A ONE-THIRD FUNDING PARTNER, ALONG WITH THE SOUTHEAST RED PLEX. AND IS THERE WORK UNDERWAY FROM THE EPC LEVEL AND THE MAYOR'S OFFICE OR PC LEVEL, WHEN IT COMES TO THE SAME INITIATIVE WITH THE FEDERAL -- POTENTIAL CHANGE IN THE PARTY MEMBERSHIP OR THE PARTY GOVERNMENT COMING FORWARD? OR EVEN NOT THAT, BUT IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION UNDERWAY? WE'VE MANAGED TO SECURE THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS IS A MATTER OF EPC IN THE MAYOR'S OFFICE AND I FEEL THAT WE PUSHED HARD AND GOT THAT PROVINCIAL COMMITMENT FOR THE EAST OF THE RED PLEX, DO WE SEE THAT HAPPENING WITH THE FEDERAL ONE. IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE'RE WATCHING VERY CLOSELY CONSIDERING THE CONTEXT.

HE'S TALKING EAST OF THE RED PLEX, WHICH IS A COMMUNITY SERVICES PROJECT. [Interjections]

DEPUTY SPEAKER: POINT OF ORDER IS SUSTAINED. I AGREE WITH, IT NEEDS TO BE ON PUBLIC WORKS. SO FAIR ENOUGH. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? SEEING NONE WE'LL MOVE FORWARD. DO I DO THIS PART? SO WE'RE ON -- YEP, SO WE'RE ON THE REPORT OF THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE COUNCIL, MARCH 11TH, 2023. COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

COUNCILLOR SHARMA: SO I MOVE --

DEPUTY SPEAKER: IS IT ONE OR TWO?

I WILL MOVE ONE. TWO DOES HAVE --

DEPUTY SPEAKER: A MOTION? STOOD DOWN. ONE, GO AHEAD.

ONE, REAPPOINTMENT OF THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT JUST STOOD THIS DOWN.

I DON'T WISH TO SPEAK TO IT.

COUNCILLOR SHARMA: YOU DON'T WANT TO SPEAK TO IT?

DEPUTY SPEAKER: I'M SORRY, COUNCILLOR WYATT, I'M NEW AND GREEN, IT'S COUNCILLOR SHARMA THAT GETS TO START.

ABSOLUTELY, ABSOLUTELY.

COUNCILLOR SHARMA: YOU DON'T WANT TO SPEAK TO IT THOUGH?

NO, I DON'T WANT TO.

COUNCILLOR SHARMA: THANK YOU, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, AND I'LL PUT COMMENTS ON THE RECORD ABOUT THIS REPORT BEFORE US. COUNCIL APPOINTED MS. SHERRY WALSH AS THE FIRST INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER 2017 FOR A TWO-YEAR TERM WITH THE OPTION OF REAPPOINTMENT OF UP TO FIVE ADDITIONAL TWO-YEAR TERMS. MS. WALSH WILL COMPLETE A FOURTH TERM ON MARCH 31ST, AND THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE IS RECOMMENDING AS YOU SEE IN THE REPORT THAT SHE BE REAPPOINTED FOR A FOURTH TERM, EXPIRING TWO YEARS FROM NOW, MARCH 31ST. AND SINCE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF HER POSITION, MS. WALSH HAS MADE SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTIONS TO STRENGTHENING THE ETHICAL LEADERSHIP FOR COUNCIL, AND INCLUDING THE CREATION AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COUNCIL CODE OF CONDUCT WHICH ESTABLISHES THE GUIDELINES ON ETHICAL BEHAVIOUR, AND CONFLICT OF INTEREST, MANAGEMENT AND EXPECTATIONS FOR PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY. WHEN COMPLAINT DOES ARISE, MS. WALSH PROVIDES INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES AND ENSURING THEY ARE ADDRESSED FAIRLY. MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, HER ADVISORY BULLETIN ANNUAL REPORTS FOSTER AN INCREASED LEVEL OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY. AND ENSURE THAT THE PUBLIC IS INFORMED ABOUT HOW ETHICAL ISSUES ARE HANDLED WITHIN CITY HALL. THE EDUCATION AND TRAINING OF COUNCIL MEMBERS HAS ALSO BEEN A PRIORITY WITH REGULAR WORKSHOPS THAT ENSURE THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL FULLY UNDERSTAND THEIR ETHICAL RESPONSIBILITIES. THESE ACCOMPLISHMENTS ARE NOT JUST PROCEDURAL MILESTONES, THEY HAVE HAD REAL AND LASTING IMPACT ON THE WAY THAT OUR CITY IS GOVERNED AND HELPED TO FOSTER A CULTURE OF ACCOUNTABILITY. AND THE WORK OF THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER IS ONGOING AND HAS CHALLENGES IN GOVERNANCE EVOLVES SO TOO MUST OUR COMMITMENT TO ETHICAL LEADERSHIP. BY CONTINUING TO SUPPORT THIS ROLE, WE UPHOLD THE FUNDAMENTAL VALUES OF HONEY, FAIRNESS AND ACCOUNTABILITY, VALUES THAT DEFINE A STRONG AND TRUSTWORTHY GOVERNMENT. WITH THAT I RECONFIRM THE COMMITTEE SUPPORT AND APPRECIATION OF THE WORK OF THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER AND I WOULD URGE ALL OF COUNCIL TO SUPPORT THE REAPPOINTMENT OF MS. WALSH AS THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER FOR AN ADDITIONAL TWO-YEAR TERM. THANK YOU.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: ANY FURTHER COMMENTS? COUNCILLOR WYATT?

THANK YOU. I CIRCULATED A PIECE OF CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL PIECES OF COUNCIL MEMBERS TODAY AND I'LL BE REGISTERING MY VOTE IN LIGHT OF THAT CORRESPONDENCE TO THE NEGATIVE.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANYBODY ELSE? SEEING NONE, CALL THE QUESTION. ALL IN FAVOUR. OPPOSED? RECORD IN OPPOSITION? DONE.

ITEM 2, CITY OF WINNIPEG GOVERNANCE REVIEW, GOVERNANCE RECOMMENDATIONS.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THERE'S TWO AMENDING MOTIONS. ITEMS 3 AND 4 FOR YOUR INFORMATION. AND COUNCILLOR SHARMA WILL INTRODUCE AS WE GO FORWARD.

COUNCILLOR SHARMA: THANK YOU, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. GOOD GOVERNANCE IS THE FOUNDATION OF A TRANSPARENT, EFFICIENT AND ACCOUNTABLE GOVERNMENT THAT SERVES PEOPLE EFFECTIVELY AND ADOPTS TO CHANGING NEEDS. AS WE CONTINUE TO EVOLVE AS A CITY WE MUST ENSURE THAT OUR GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK IS ALIGNED WITH BEST PRACTICES. IDENTIFYING ISSUES AND ADDRESSING CONCERNS AND FINDING WAYS TO ENHANCE DECISION-MAKING. A WELL STRUCTURED SYSTEM FOSTERS COLLABORATION, AMONG THE ELECTED OFFICIALS AND STREAMLINES PROCESSES. MOST IMPORTANTLY IT BUILDS PUBLIC TRUST IN THE DECISIONS THAT WE MAKE. AND TO THIS END THE CITY OF WINNIPEG ENGAGED MMP TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF OUR GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK IT. COMPARED TO OTHER CANADIAN CITIES AND PROVIDED 15 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR -- FROM IMPLEMENTATION. AND WHILE THE REVIEW FOUND THAT OUR ALLIANCE IS WITH OTHER JURISDICTIONS IT HIGHLIGHTED AREAS TO LOOK TO IMPROVING. THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE UNDERTOOK A THOROUGH REVIEW OF EACH RECOMMENDATION AND CONSULTING WITH THE DEPARTMENTS AND ASSESSING FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL IMPACTS. AS A RESULT, SIX RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE ALREADY BEEN IMPLEMENTED THROUGH COUNCIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE INITIATIVES. THESE INCLUDE ENHANCING COUNCIL RESOURCES, STRENGTHENING THE ENGAGE WINNIPEG POLICY TO CENTRE EQUITY AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT. AND INCREASING PUBLIC ACCESS TO COUNCIL AND COMMITTEE MEETING INFORMATION, AND THROUGH A NEW DECISION-MAKING INFORMATION SYSTEM. AND IMPROVING STRATEGIC PLANNING TO SET CLEAR COUNCIL PRIORITIES FOR OUR CITY'S FUTURE. AND I WANT TO THANK THE CITY CLERK'S DEPARTMENT AND THEY LED US THROUGH A PROCESS THAT TOOK QUITE A BIT OF TIME AS WE ALL KNOW, AND GETTING FEEDBACK FROM THIS COUNCIL AND THE COUNCIL PRIOR, AND WE HAD MANY MEMBERS OF OUR ADMINISTRATION COME AND TO PRESENT TO THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE, DIRECTOR HAZEL BORIS CAME TO PRESENT, FELICIA WILSHIRE, AND MANY OTHERS. I WANT TO THANK THE COMMITTEE THAT HAS WORKED ON THIS REPORT AND IT'S COMPRISED NOT JUST OF FOUR COUNCILLORS, BUT MANY MORE THAN THAT, AND ALL OF YOU REALLY BECAUSE WE HAD SESSIONS TOGETHER LAST YEAR AS WELL. AND SO TODAY WE PRESENT A REPORT THAT ADDRESSES ALL 15 RECOMMENDATIONS, AND INCLUDING THOSE ALREADY IMPLEMENTED AND THOSE STILL UNDER CONSIDERATION. ONE KEY ISSUE IS ENSURING THE MAYOR'S APPOINTMENT AUTHORITY AND PROMOTES CONSENSUS BUILDING AND BROADER COUNCIL ENGAGEMENT. YOU SEE WHAT IN THE REPORT TODAY AND THEN THERE'S DIFFERENT VIEWPOINTS THAT WE SEE WITH THE AMENDING MOTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT FORWARD. AND THE COMMITTEE ALSO SUPPORTS MMP'S RECOMMENDATION TO ENSURE THAT ALL WARDS ARE REPRESENTED ON EPC OVER TIME IN ORDER TO PROVIDE A BALANCED PROSPECTIVE IN DECISION-MAKING. AND ANOTHER CRITICAL RECOMMENDATION IS STREAMLINING THE REPORT FLOW TO COUNCIL. AND WHILE REDUCING MULTI-COMMITTEE REVIEWS MIGHT SEEM LIKE AN EFFICIENT GAIN, WE MUST BE CAUTIOUS. THE COMMITTEE DISCUSSIONS PROVIDE A NECESSARY LEVEL OF OVERSIGHT AND ALLOW FOR DETAILED INTERACTION WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE. WITHOUT THIS STEP, COMPLEX ISSUES REQUIRING CLARIFICATION MAY DELAY DECISION-MAKING AS QUESTIONS OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE IS NOT PERMITTED AT COUNCIL MEETINGS. MMP ALSO CALLS FOR THE DOCUMENTATION OF POLITICALLY DIRECTED CHANGES TO ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS. AND ALTHOUGH THE MAYOR HAS INTRODUCED A PRACTICE TO DOCUMENT THESE CHANGES, AND THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE BELIEVES THAT PRACTICE SHOULD BE FORMALIZED IN THE ORGANIZATIONAL BY-LAW TO ENHANCE FURTHER TRANSPARENCY. AND WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS, THEY RECOMMENDED A

THIRD PARTY BODY SUCH AS THE PLANNING COMMISSION. AND WE'RE NOT DOING THIS AT THIS TIME. WE DO HAVE UPCOMING ZONING BY-LAW REVIEWS IN 2027 AND WE BELIEVE THAT IT'S PRUDENT TO MAINTAIN THE CURRENT PROCESS UNTIL THOSE REVIEWS ARE COMPLETE. MMP HAS THE ORIENTATION OF THE INDIGENOUS ADVISORY COUNCIL TO PROVIDE ADVICE TO COUNCIL AS A WHOLE. THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE BELIEVES THAT IT'S VITAL THAT COUNCIL AS A WHOLE ENGAGE IN MEANINGFUL DIALOGUE WITH INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES AS IT PROVIDES A PLATFORM FOR INDIGENOUS VOICES TO BE HEARD IN THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS. ACCORDINGLY, THE COMMITTEE IS RECOMMENDING THAT THE INDIGENOUS RELATIONS DIVISION BE ENCOURAGED TO FACILITATE THE ANNUAL MEETINGS BETWEEN INDIGENOUS ELDERS AND COUNCIL TO DISCUSS PERTINENT ISSUES. AND TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY ARE THE FOUNDATIONS OF PUBLIC TRUST IN OUR DEMOCRATIC PROCESS. AND DOCUMENTING COUNCILLORS' ATTENTIONANCE AT COUNCILLOR MEETS IS A KEY PART OF THAT. THE PUBLIC DESERVES TO KNOW THAT THEIR REPRESENTATIVES ARE ACTIVELY PARTICIPATING IN THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS TO STRENGTHEN THIS ACCOUNTABILITY, THE COMMITTEE IS RECOMMENDING AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROCEDURE BY-LAW TO FORMALIZE, TO PROCESS FOR GRANTING LEAVES OF ABSENCE AND TO ENSURE THAT MEETING MINUTES RECORD WHEN A COUNCILLOR ARRIVES AFTER A MEETING HAS STARTED OR LEAVES BEFORE IT IS ADJOURNED. THESE CHANGES WILL REINFORCE THE INTEGRITY OF OUR GOVERNANCE AND THE TRUST PLACED IN US BY THE PEOPLE THAT WE SERVE. AND AS NOTED IN THE MMP REPORT OF THE NINE CITIES REVIEWED IN THIS PROCESS, WINNIPEG IS THE ONLY CITY THAT ALLOWS DELEGATIONS TO SPEAK FOR 10 MINUTES TO AN ITEM ON AN AGENDA. AND AS NOTED IN THE MMP REPORT, WINNIPEG STANDS OUT AS THE ONLY CITY AMONG THE NINE REVIEWED THAT ALLOWS -- SORRY -- DELEGATIONS TO SPEAK FOR 10 MINUTES -- I JUST SAID THAT. WE RECENTLY SAW THE IMPACT OF THIS DURING THE PUBLIC HEARINGS THAT WE HELD. AND THE COUNCIL IMPLEMENTED A FIVE-MINUTE RULE AND PRESENTATIONS DID BECOME MORE CLEAR AND FOCUSED. AND WHILE THIS -- WE KEEP THIS IN MIND THE COMMITTEE IS RECOMMENDING AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROCEDURE BY-LAW TO ESTABLISH SO THE COMMITTEE IS RECOMMENDING THAT WE ESTABLISH THE FIVE-MINUTE RULE FOR DELEGATIONS GOING FORWARD. NOW I DO NOTE THAT, UM, THAT THERE IS A SIMILAR AMENDMENT THAT IS BEING CALLED FOR ON THE FLOOR OF COUNCIL HERE. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR WHAT OTHERS HAVE TO SAY. BUT I'M NOT SURE THAT THIS IS A WAY TO GO FOR DEBATE ON THE COUNCIL FLOOR. TODAY IS A LONG MEETING BUT THAT'S WHAT WE'RE HERE FOR IS TO DEBATE TOUGH ISSUES AND THINGS THAT TAKE A LOT OF DISCUSSIONS AT TIMES. IN TODAY'S MEETING IT WAS ONE COUNCILLOR, YOU, MR. DEPUTY, SPEAKER, THAT HAD AN EXTENSION FOR YOUR SPEAKING TIME ON ONE PARTICULAR ISSUE. OTHER THAN THAT, THERE WERE A LOT OF COUNCIL MEMBERS HERE TODAY THAT TOOK TIME TO NOTE THIS THAT USED UP TO SEVEN OR EIGHT MINUTES TO SPEAK. I'M HERE TO LISTEN TO EACH OF YOU. AND I HOPE THAT WE'RE ALL HERE TO DO THE SAME. BUT I'M WILLING TO LISTEN TO THAT DEBATE. I'M QUITE SURPRISED THAT IT DID COME FORWARD AT THIS TIME. IT'S SOMETHING IN MY OPINION THAT REQUIRES A LITTLE BIT MORE THOUGHT AND PERHAPS THAT IS SOMETHING THAT THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE CAN LOOK AT AT A LATER TIME. A SIGNIFICANT CHANGE TO THE COMPOSITION OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE THAT WAS BROUGHT FORWARD BY COUNCILLOR ALLARD AND A REVIEW OF FIVE CANADIAN CITIES THAT INCLUDE AN AUDIT COMMITTEE IN THEIR GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE FOUND THAT ALL COMMITTEES HAVE SIMILAR MANDATES AND RANGED IN SIZE OF FIVE TO NINE MEMBERS. SO THAT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU CAN SEE IN THE REPORT. AND THESE RECOMMENDED CHANGES, ALL OF THEM THAT THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE HAVE BROUGHT FORWARD, IS DESIGNED TO MAKE OUR

GOVERNANCE PHOTOGRAPH WORK MORE TRANSPARENT AND ACCOUNTABLE TO THE PUBLIC. THEY REFLECT CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT AND RESPONSIBLE DECISION-MAKING. AND I WILL LEAVE IT AT THAT, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, AND I'D LIKE TO HEAR WHAT THE MEMBERS IN THE CHAMBER HAVE TO SAY.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: WELL SAID. WELL SAID. WE'LL NOW MOVE IN AND WE HAVE COUNCILLOR GILROY SPEAK TO HER AMENDING MOTION AND THE OTHER AMENDING MOTION AND THE MOTION IN GENERAL.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR -- MR. CHAIRPERSON. FEELS DIFFERENT SAYING IT. YES, I JUST WANT TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT -- JUST SOMETHING TO BE CLEAR -- I TALK ABOUT EVERYTHING -- SO I HAVE -- OKAY. OKAY. OKAY. PERFECT. THANKS. OKAY. SO WHAT I BROUGHT FORWARD IS THAT I KNOW THAT THERE WAS AT ONE TIME THAT WE DID REALLY FEEL LIKE A PUSH TO CHANGE THE MODEL AND I REALLY DON'T WANT THIS TO BECOME ABOUT THE MAYOR THAT IS HERE NOW. I DON'T FEEL THAT'S APPROPRIATE. SO I'M REALLY JUST TALKING ABOUT THE SYSTEM -- THE SYSTEM OVERALL. THE MAYOR HAS DONE A GOOD JOB TRYING TO INCLUDE EVERYBODY. I JUST WANT TO REALLY TALK ABOUT THE SYSTEM AND WHY I THINK THAT WE SHOULD CHANGE IT. BECAUSE A LOT OF THE STUFF THAT WE TALKED ABOUT TODAY, I FEEL KIND OF COMES FROM THE STRONG MAYOR MODEL SYSTEM THAT A LOT OF CITIES DON'T HAVE, AND I ACTUALLY FEEL THAT WHEN WE DO IMPLEMENT IT A LONG TIME AGO, IS WHEN WE STARTED TO SEE SOME OF THE LEVELS OF DEFUNDING AND THE DECAY. AND SOME OF THE REAL THINGS THAT WE SEE TODAY, I THINK THAT HAS HAPPENED SINCE THAT'S BEEN IMPLEMENTED. AND THEN WE HAVE SEEN OTHER CITIES THAT DON'T HAVE IT THAT HAS REALLY FLOURISHED AT THE SAME TIME IN TERMS OF WHAT THEY'VE BEEN ABLE TO DO. BECAUSE I FEEL LIKE IT'S A MORE COLLABORATIVE APPROACH TO, UM, TO -- TO -- YOU KNOW, TO BUILDING A CITY TOGETHER, BEING CITY BUILDERS TOGETHER. SO I GUESS THAT AT THE END OF THE DAY I WANT TO FIRST OF ALL TO THANK THE -- THANK THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE AND YOU, COUNCILLOR SHARMA. I KNOW THAT THEY WORKED VERY HARD AND I WAS PART OF THAT PROCESS. AND OF COURSE THE CLERKS. THERE'S A LOT OF MEETINGS AND THEY WORKED EXTREMELY HARD. SO YOU SHOULD BE VERY PROUD OF THE GOVERNMENT -- THE GOVERNANCE TEAM, BECAUSE I WAS IN SOME OF THOSE MEETINGS AND THEY WERE LONG AND HARD. A LOT OF GREAT DISCUSSION AND STAFF DID AMAZING JOB. YOU KNOW, KEEPING UP WITH US, IN OUR WANTING TO CHANGE EVERYTHING. SO I REALLY WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT THAT. SO I WANTED TO THANK THEM FOR THE WORK. I JUST -- I GUESS THAT I HAVE NO FAITH MOVING FORWARD THAT THE PROVINCE IS REALLY GOING TO MAKE ANY CHANGES. WE'VE BEEN THROUGH THIS BEFORE. THIS IS REALLY ISN'T GOING TO HAPPEN. IT'S NOT REALLY THEIR, YOU KNOW -- THEY'RE NOT GOING TO WANT TO GET INTO THE MIX OF THIS. SO I AM LOOKING AT WHAT WE CAN CONTROL, RIGHT? WE CAN'T CONTROL WHAT THE PROVINCE HAS ALLOWED US TO DO, THOUGH I DO WANT TO SAY THAT THE MAYOR CAN DECIDE ON MAKING THINGS FAIRER, THAT WOULD BE UP TO THEM -- UP TO THAT PERSON AND HE, SHE OR THEY COULD DECIDE TO -- TO ALLOW, UM, MORE COUNCILLORS TO, UM, MORE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEES TO BE APPOINTED. HOWEVER, THIS IS A SYSTEM THAT WE'RE IN, AND, YOU KNOW, I DON'T BEGRUDGE A MAYOR FOR WANTING TO HAVE MORE POWER. I THINK THAT -- IF YOU WERE IN THAT POSITION, ANY ONE OF US WOULD PROBABLY WANT THAT AS WELL. SO HAVING SAID THAT, I TALKED WITH THE CLERKS AND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF APPOINTMENTS THAT THE MAYOR HAS. AND SO, QUITE

FRANKLY, UNDER WHAT WE'RE DOING RIGHT NOW WITH KEEPING IT AS THIS STANDING POLICY 5, AND YOUR ACTING DEPUTY MAYOR AND DEPUTY MAYOR THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE PART OF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE, REALLY ISN'T CHANGING IT. LIKE, IT'S REALLY NOT. AND SO -- BUT WHAT WE COULD DO IS REALLY LOOK AT THE DIFFERENT, UM, THE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT THE MAYOR WOULD HAVE TO APPOINT PEOPLE TO. AND THOSE ARE THINGS THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT DOES HAVE INFLUENCE AND IT COMES WITH A BUDGET OR IT MIGHT COME WITH TRAVEL. AND THOSE ARE THINGS THAT ARE ADDED INFLUENCE TO -- TO HAVING THAT PERSON IN A POSITION AND HAVING A VOTE. SO IT'S JUST -- TO BE TRANSPARENT, AND TO BE, UM, I THINK GOOD GOVERNANCE AND TO HAVE A BALANCE, TO HAVE MORE COOPERATION, WE SHOULD BE LOOKING AT THIS. SO, OF COURSE, THIS IS JUST ASKING THE PUBLIC SERVICE TO COME BACK WITH THOSE SO THAT WE CAN SEE THEM. SOME OF THEM MIGHT BE MORE DIFFICULT BECAUSE THERE MIGHT BE BY-LAWS AND STUFF INVOLVED IN THAT, BUT WE WOULD ALLOW AT LEAST TO SEE THAT. WE ALL PROBABLY DON'T EVEN KNOW ABOUT ALL OF THE THINGS THAT THE MAYOR CAN APPOINT OR NOT APPOINT, RIGHT? SO IT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR US TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT AND TO REALLY DECIDE TO MAKE THOSE CHANGES HERE. AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT IS WITHIN OUR CONTROL. SO THAT'S WHAT I WANTED TO POINT OUT. AND I DID WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR DUNCAN AND COUNSELLOR BROWATY FOR THEIR MOTION. I'M NOT GOING TO SUPPORT THE FIVE MINUTES. I REALLY FEEL THAT WE -- AS A COUNCIL, THIS IS OUR JOB TO SIT HERE AND TO DEBATE THIS. SOME OF US WHEN WE'RE NOT ON EPC, WE DO NEED TO GET A BIT MORE STUFF OUT ON THE FLOOR OF COUNCIL. SO I FEEL THAT IT IS STIFLING US WHEN YOU'RE NOT IN AN EPC ROLE, SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU -- THAT PROBABLY WASN'T REALLY THOUGHT ABOUT, AND SOMETIMES IT'S PAINFUL TO SIT HERE ON HOURS ON END LIKE WE ARE TODAY AND LISTENING TO US TALK. AND SOME OF US DO RAMBLE ON. BUT THAT IS UP TO THE SPEAKERS TO HANDLE. AND I THINK THAT IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE DOING IS ALLOWING PEOPLE TO SPEAK IN COUNCIL. SO I AM IN SUPPORT OF THE ORIGINAL GOVERNANCE REVIEW, BUT I'M HOPING THAT THEY WILL ADD IN HERE THAT WE WOULD LOOK AT WHAT THE MAYOR CAN APPOINT AND REALLY COME BACK AND HAVE ANOTHER DISCUSSION ON THIS AT A LATER DATE. IF WE WANT TO LOOK AT CHANGING THIS. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. OR MR. SPEAKER.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, ANYBODY ELSE SPEAKING ON THIS MATTER? OH, YES, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, ON YOUR AMENDING MOTION AND THE OTHER AMENDING MOTION AND THE MOTION IN GENERAL. GO AHEAD.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. IN REGARDS TO THE FIRST AMENDMENT HERE THAT I MOVED AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLOW TEE, REGARDING DELETING THE CLAUSE -- ESSENTIALLY ABOUT LIMITING THE APPOINTMENT OF UP TO FIVE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AS CHAIR FOR STANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL, ONE OF WHICH -- WRAP YOUR HEADS AROUND THIS -- WOULD HAVE TO BE APPOINT AS DEPUTY MAYOR AND THE OTHER WOULD HAVE TO BE APPOINTED AS ACTING DEPUTY MAYOR. SO ESSENTIALLY WHAT THIS SAYS IS THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE FIVE PEOPLE, ASIDE FROM THE MAYOR, THAT HAVE ALL OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF STANDING POLICY COMMITTEES AND AT EPC AND AS THE DEPUTY OR ACTING. SO I LOOK AT THIS AS A GROWING CITY NOW, AND WE HAVE GROWING BUDGETS AND WE HAVE GROWING POPULATIONS AND WE HAVE GROWING NEEDS -- I WOULD SAY THAT IT SHOULD BE THE OPPOSITE. THAT THERE SHOULD BE MORE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEES. THERE SHOULD BE MORE PARTICIPATION OF COUNCIL. IN A PERFECT WORLD, PERHAPS WE WOULD SEE

ALL COUNCIL MEMBERS HAVE A STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE. I KNOW THAT SOME MIGHT SCOFF AT THAT TO THINK, WHOA, HOW WOULD WE EVER CONTROL THAT? I COULD START BREAKING DOWN THESE COMMITTEES. I LOOK AT COUNCILLOR LUKES' COMMITTEE, FOR INSTANCE, SHE HAS TRANSIT, SHE HAS PUBLIC WORKS AND SHE HAS PARKING AUTHORITY. I MEAN, WHERE DOES IT END? WHERE DOES THE OVERSIGHT OF THE BUREAUCRACY SAY THAT WE'VE SEEN ENOUGH OF THAT? I HAVE HEARD PEOPLE HERE TODAY ARGUE THEY DON'T HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION. THE WELL, GUESS WHAT, IF WE HAD MORE SPCs, AND IF WE HAD MORE COUNCIL INVOLVEMENT, THEN PEOPLE WOULD BE MORE PREPARED AND MORE IN THE KNOW. SO TO LIMIT THAT, TO SHRINK THAT DOWN TO LESS AND LESS AND LESS I DON'T THINK THAT IT IS A GREAT IDEA. THEREFORE, MY MOTION IS CALLING FOR THE DELETION OF THAT. AGAIN, I UNDERSTAND AND I DIDN'T LIVE IT BUT I UNDERSTAND UNDER PAST ADMINISTRATION AND PAST MAYORS, YOU KNOW, THERE WAS A LIMITATION OF INFORMATION AVAILABLE. AND I DO NOT FEEL LIKE THIS IS THE CASE RIGHT NOW AS ONE OF THE MEMBERS OF EPC, AND AS A CHAIR OF STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE, YOU WANT SOME INFORMATION, LET ME KNOW AND I'LL GIVE IT TO YOU. MIGHT NOT HAVE BEEN THE CASE IN THE PAST AND THE ARGUMENT IS ALWAYS, YOU NEVER KNOW WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE. I WOULD SAY LET'S NOT SHRINK THINGS, LET'S GROW THINGS. LET'S PUT MORE RESPONSIBILITY AROUND THIS TABLE. THAT'S MY LOOK ON IT. HENCE, THE AMENDMENT. TO THE SECOND, THE REDUCTION IN TOTAL SPEAKING TIME -- I'VE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SIT AND LISTEN TO SEVERAL GREAT DEBATES. I'VE ALSO HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SIT AND LISTEN TO SEVERAL STORYTELLING TIMES AROUND THE TABLE HERE. I MEAN, AT THE END OF THE DAY, THERE ARE PRACTICES IN PLACE THAT I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG THEY'VE BEEN IN PLACE, BUT WE HAVE TO EVOLVE HERE. WE HAVE -- YOU KNOW, WE HAVE THE HOUR OF 10:00 IS COMING AND I WOULD ASSUME THAT -- I COULD CLICK ON YouTube RIGHT NOW AND SEE HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE WATCHING AND I WOULD ASSUME THAT -- 36, THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. I WOULD ASSUME THAT THE FACT THAT WE HAVE GONE ON FOR 12.5 HOURS MIGHT HAVE SOMETHING TO DO WITH THAT. I WOULD ASSUME THAT, YOU KNOW, AS WE HAVE EVOLVED ALONG IN THE PAST -- LET'S SAY 10 YEARS -- LOOK BACK TO 2015. AVERAGE LENGTH OF COUNCIL WAS FOUR HOURS AND 32 MINUTES. THIS PAST YEAR, WE CAN ALL GIVE OURSELVES A PAT ON THE BACK AND WE ACCOMPLISHED A NEW STANDARD OF AN AVERAGE COUNCIL MEETING TAKING SEVEN HOURS AND 56 MINUTES. WHY WOULD YOU EVER REDUCE THE TIME? I WOULD SAY TO STAY FOCUSED. I WOULD ALSO SAY TO GET WITH THE TIMES. OTHER JURISDICTIONS, LET'S RUN THROUGH THEM, 1.2 MILLION POPULATION IN EDMONTON, TWO MINUTES FOR INTRODUCTION MOTION AND FIVE MINUTES TO SPEAK ON THAT MOTION. CALGARY, 1.8 MILLION PEOPLE, THERE'S 15 COUNCILLORS THERE AND THEY ARE REPRESENTING APPROXIMATELY 300,000 PEOPLE IN CALGARY EACH AND THEY HAVE FIVE MINUTES TO INTRODUCE. OTTAWA, ONE MILLION PEOPLE, FIVE MINUTES. BRAMPTON, 800,000, THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO US -- FIVE MINUTES TO INTRODUCE AND DEBATE. AND THEN FIVE MINUTES FOR ANY OTHER SECOND SPEAKER. VANCOUVER, GETS IT DONE WITH 11 MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, SERVING THREE MILLION PEOPLE, IN FIVE MINUTES. AND YOU CANNOT TELL ME THAT THERE IS LESS WORK TO DO OUT ON THE WEST COAST THAN THERE IS IN WINNIPEG. I MEAN, IT'S TIME THAT WE LOOK AT OURSELVES AND WE SAY, FIRST OFF -- THIS AMENDMENT SPEAKS TO A FIVE-MINUTE TIME LIMIT WITH A TWO-MINUTE EXTENSIONS. SOME JURISDICTIONS DON'T EVEN HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY. IT'S SEVEN MINUTES. LET'S TRY IT AND LET'S SEE HOW IT GOES. I THINK THAT I WOULD AGREE WITH SPEAKER SHARMA, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER -- CLEAR AND FOCUSED. THAT IS THE GOAL. CLEAR AND FOCUSED FOR OUR DELEGATIONS AND CLEAR AND FOCUSED FOR US AROUND THIS TABLE. THANK YOU, MR. DEPUTY.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THANK YOU. MOVING TO OUR LIST, I HAVE COUNCILLOR DOBSON AND COUNCILLOR WYATT AND MAYS AND COUNCILLOR EADIE, AND NOW I GOT COUNCILLOR EADIE, AND LET'S GO THROUGH THE LIST RIGHT NOW. COUNCILLOR EADIE AND COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS AND COUNCILLOR LUKES. AND ANYONE ELSE AT THIS POINT? PUT YOUR HANDS UP LATER. OKAY. THAT'S A GOOD LIST TO START.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, THE MOTION AND SPECIFICALLY THE NEW RECOMMENDATIONS ON ITEM NUMBER 2 ACTUALLY HIT HOME WITH ME. IT HAS CHANGED HOW I LOOK AT THE EPC STRUCTURE AND THE STANDING COMMITTEES. IN MY VIEW SHRINKING THE NUMBER OF STANDING COMMITTEES MAY NOT ALWAYS HAVE THE DESIRED EFFECT. LOCKING IN THE EPC POSITIONS TO FIVE AND RESTRICTING THE DEPUTY MAYOR AND ACTING DEPUTY MAYOR TO THOSE POSITIONS WOULD GIVE FAR TOO MUCH RESPONSIBILITY AND POWER TO THOSE COUNCILLORS. NOT TO MENTION MAY CAUSE THOSE FIVE COUNCILLORS TO BE OVERWORKED. THAT'S NOT RIGHT. WE NEED THE ABILITY TO ADJUST THE SIZE AND THE NUMBER OF COMMITTEES TO BETTER REFLECT THE ACTUAL WORKLOAD. WHAT THE CITY NEEDS RIGHT NOW IS MORE OVERSIGHT ON THE DEPARTMENTS AND THAT MEANS MORE COUNCILLORS DIRECTLY INVOLVED. AND IF THAT MEANS HAVING MORE COMMITTEES, OR MORE EPC CHAIRS, THEN SO BE IT. AND I WANT WHAT IS BEST FOR THIS CITY. THANK YOU.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MOVING TO COUNCILLOR WYATT.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY ABOUT ALL OF THIS. FIVE MINUTES -- I REALLY AM -- I AM SPEECHLESS. AND LIMITED TO THE APPOINTMENT OF UP TO FIVE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, ONE OF WHICH SHALL BE THE DEPUTY -- SO THE WAY THAT I READ THIS IS THAT WE ARE CONFIRMING THE DECISION TO CONTRACT THE SIZE OF EPC, WHICH ACTUALLY MAKES IT MORE ELITIST IN MY MIND. I'M HEARING THAT WE'RE GOING TO GO AND EXPAND MORE CITY, AND MY IDEA IS THAT IT'S GOING TO CONTRACT WHEN THE RUBBER HITS THE ROAD. THE OTHER PART OF THIS IS WHAT I FIND INTERESTING IS THAT ONE OF THE NEWEST MEMBERS OF COUNCIL COMPLAINING HOW LATE THE MEETINGS HAVE GONE. HE JUST GOT HERE. YOU KNOW, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT. AND I THINK THAT IS THE NATURE OF DEBATE, MR. SPEAKER. WE HAVE TO HAVE THE PATIENCE TO LISTEN TO OUR COLLEAGUES. IF YOU DON'T LIKE THE LENGTH OF THE MEETING WE COULD HAVE TWO COUNCIL MEETINGS A MONTH AND I COULD POINT OUT A LOT OF COUNCILS THAT MEET TWICE A MONTH. BY THE WAY, VANCOUVER IS 500,000 PEOPLE AND YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE METRO. AND THE OTHER CITY, OTHER THAN TWO IN VANCOUVER, DO NOT HAVE STRONG MAYOR MODELS WHICH IS WHY I IMPLEMENTED THIS MOTION THAT GENERATED THIS PROCESS IN THE FIRST PLACE BACK IN 2017, MOVED BY MYSELF AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE TO HAVE A GOVERNANCE REVIEW BECAUSE WE KNEW THAT THE STRONG MAYOR MODEL WAS BROKEN. WE KNEW THAT WE HAD TO LOOK AT THINGS FROM A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE WHEN IT CAME TO PUBLIC POLICY EQUIPMENT. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR SHARMA FOR DOING THE BEST SHE COULD, SHE DID AN AMAZING JOB. I THINK THAT 90% OF THE REPORT IS SOLID, IT'S A GOOD REPORT. AND THE ONE CONCERN IS WITH THE MAYORS. I HEAR WHERE THE MAYOR IS COMING FROM. AND CONCERNS THAT I SEE WITH THE LAST-MINUTE CHANGES AND LIMITING THE AMOUNT OF SPEAKING -- I WOULD SAY TO REFER IT BACK. SOMEBODY REFERS IT BACK AND LET'S LOOK AT IT. AND COUNCILLOR SHARMA HAS LED A PROCESS VERY COLLABORATIVELY AND WOULD LIKE TO

KEEP IN THE SPIRIT OF THAT WITH THESE CHANGES COMING FORWARD AND THEY'RE GREAT IDEAS TO LOOK AT, BUT LET'S DO IT IN PROPER CONTEXT AND THAT'S JUST MY THOUGHT. WE HAVE WAITED THIS LONG, AND IT'S 10:00 AT NIGHT. JUST MY THOUGHT. I SPOKE TO IT, SO I CAN'T REFER.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: I DIDN'T KNOW YOU WERE PUTTING A MOTION TO REFER, YOU CAN'T DO THAT AFTER YOU START SPEAKING. SO JUST FYI FOR THE FUTURE OR ANYBODY ELSE CONSIDERING THAT.

SO [INDISCERNIBLE] CAN I SPEAK? [Interjections]

DEPUTY SPEAKER: A MOTION TO AFFIRM AND YOU CAN PUT THAT DOWN RIGHT NOW.

I'LL MOVE TO -- CAN I GIVE RATIONALE FOR THAT?

DEPUTY SPEAKER: YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES.

ALL RIGHT. SO WE HAVE A NUMBER OF ITEMS COMPOUND -- I DO WANT TO SUPPORT, YOU KNOW, RECOMMENDATION 2, THE RECOMMENDATION PART OF THIS MOTION. BUT I DON'T WANT TO SUPPORT THE OTHER THING ABOUT LIMITING TO FIVE MINUTES AND WE HAVE A BUNCH OF COMPOUNDED MOTIONS HERE IN FRONT OF US AT 10:00 AT NIGHT. AND I'M AT A LOSS HOW SHORTER MEETINGS WITH LESS PUBLIC INPUT SATISFIES THE CRITERIA OF HAVING MORE PUBLIC ACCESSIBILITY AND MORE ACCESSIBILITY FOR DISADVANTAGED GROUPS. SOMEONE WOULD HAVE TO EXPLAIN WE'LL CUT YOUR SPEAKING TIME IN HALF, AND THAT INCREASES YOUR ACCESSIBILITY TO COUNCIL MEETINGS AND IT DEFIES COMMON SENSE, FRANKLY. IF WE WANT TO HAVE SUPER SHORT MEETINGS, MEET ONCE A YEAR. AND SURELY SHORTER MEETINGS MEANS MORE DEMOCRACY DOESN'T DO WELL. AND WE COULD DO THAT IF PEOPLE ARE CONCERNED. SO, ANYWAY, I THINK THAT REFERRING IT BACK AND MAYBE WE CAN CLEAR UP SOME OF THIS. AND I DO NOT KNOW WHY EPC DIDN'T DO SOME OF THIS, FRANKLY. AND YOU HAVE FIVE OR SIX EPC MEMBERS THAT WASN'T DONE AT EPC AND NOW WE HAVE THIS DOUBLE-EDGED MOTION FROM TWO MEMBERS OF EPC, SO, FRANKLY, GIVEN THE HOUR AND GIVEN ALL OF THESE DIFFERENT COMPETING MOTIONS AND THE DIFFERENT -- SO APPARENTLY IF I COME IN DELEGATION I CAN SPEAK FOR FIVE MINUTES AT A COMMITTEE AND YET WE'RE REBUKED FOR NOT ASKING ENOUGH QUESTIONS BEFORE WE COME HERE. AND WE'LL CUT YOUR TIME IN HALF. AND PUBLIC HEARINGS YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO HAVE, WHAT, THE DEVELOPER COME IN AND TO BRING SIX OR EIGHT PEOPLE IN FROM THEIR FIRM AND MAKING SERIOUS CHANGES HERE FOR A WHILE. WE HAVE A UNIQUE 16-PERSON MEETING AND I CAN'T REMEMBER IF WE HAD FIVE MINUTES -- PERHAPS WE DID. AND PERHAPS WE WILL HAVE TO AGAIN WHEN WE GET TO THE WEEK IN HELL IN JUNE. BUT IRREGARDLESS, THAT IS KIND OF AN EXCEPTION TO PEOPLE WANT TO COME AND TO TALK ABOUT LICENSING THEIR CAT, AND THERE'S SOMETHING -- I DON'T THINK THAT WE NEED TO GIVE THEM HALF THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT WE DO NOW. SO I MOVE TO REFER -- AND I HAVE NO GREAT DESIRE TO KEEP WORKING ON THIS ANYMORE THAN WE HAVE TO, BUT THIS COMPLICATED MOTION HERE -- LIKE, I HAVE TO VOTE AGAINST THE WHOLE THING BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THAT THE COUNCIL MEMBERS SHOULD ONLY HAVE FIVE MINUTES. BUT IN THE FUTURE, I AM SUPPOSED TO ASK MORE QUESTIONS BUT I'LL HAVE LESS TIME TO DO IT. SO, I MEAN, I WILL MOVE TO REFER AND IF THAT FAILS I'LL SPEAK TO IT.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THE MOTION IS TO REFER THIS BACK TO GOVERNANCE, ALL IN SUPPORT?
[Interjections] WHAT? [INDISCERNIBLE] SORRY, I AM LEARNING ON THE FLY. AND WE HAVE THREE MINUTES TO REBUT.

COUNCILLOR SHARMA: THANK YOU, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. THERE SEEMS TO BE QUITE A BIT OF INTEREST OF FOLKS WANTING TO SPEAK AND I SAW THE SPEAKER'S LIST THAT YOU WERE PUTTING TOGETHER. AND I WOULD SUPPORT SENDING THIS BACK TO THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE FOR 60 DAYS AND THERE WAS A 90-DELAY CLAUSE IN HERE AND WE COULD BRING IT BACK IN 60, I WOULD SUPPORT THAT.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: I'LL GET BACK TO YOU ROSS -- COUNCILLOR EADIE, SORRY. 60 DAYS. OKAY. THE REFERRAL -- IF IT IS PASSED IT WOULD BE 60 DAYS THAT WE COME BACK. COUNCILLOR EADIE YOU HAD A QUESTION?

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I DON'T THINK THAT I GET TO DEBATE IT. I COULDN'T QUITE HEAR, MADAM SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR SHARMA. SHE SAID THAT SHE'S IN SUPPORT OF REFERRAL?

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THAT IS CORRECT.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: OKAY, ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: RECORDED VOTE.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, I WANT TO SAY THAT COUNCILLOR EADIE COULDN'T HEAR ME, I DO SUPPORT IT GOING BACK IF THAT IS THE WILL OF COUNCIL. WE HAD A VERY COLLABORATIVE MEETING LAST YEAR. [Interjections] SAYING THAT WE HAD A COLLABORATIVE MEETING AND SO SENDING IT BACK WOULD ALLOW US TO LOOK AT THESE THINGS TOGETHER. [Interjections]

DEPUTY SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR OF THE REFERRAL, PLEASE STAND.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS... [READING OF NAMES]

DEPUTY SPEAKER: ALL THOSE OPPOSED.

CLERK: [READING OF NAMES]

CLERK: THE VOTES, YEAS 8, NAYS 8, THE VOTE TO REFER IS LOST.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THE VOTE TO REFER IS LOST. IT'S A TIE. COUNCILLOR MAYES YOU ARE UP?

COUNCILLOR MAYES: OKAY. THE MOVER WANTS TO REFER IT BACK. BUT, ALL RIGHT, SO WE PLAY IT OUT. I WOULD SUPPORT THE GILROY MOTION AND I WANT SUPPORT DELETING THE

RECOMMENDATION 2 IN ITS ENTIRETY AND REPLACING WITH THE FOLLOWING, THE BUSINESS ABOUT THE SIZE OF EPC, EPC HAS TRADITIONALLY HAD ONE MORE MEMBER AND THE MEMBER RAN ON HAVING ONE FEWER. AND THE MEMBER FRANKLY HAD THE LEGAL ABILITY TO APPOINT SOMEONE ELSE IF THEY WANTED TO, AND THEY DON'T HAVE TO CHAIR A COMMITTEE AND I WAS ON EPC AND NOT CHAIRING A COMMITTEE AND VARIOUS OTHER PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM PROBABLY THE SAME. BUT THEN WE HAVE TO START PARSING OUT THE VOTES. BECAUSE I DON'T SUPPORT THE NEXT BIT OF BUSINESS ABOUT HOW WE SHOULD BE LIMITED TO FIVE MINUTES BECAUSE IN BURNABY THEY'D SPEAK FOR 18 SECONDS OR IN BURLINGTON THEY SPEAK FOR 93 SECONDS AND IT'S GOT 500,000 PEOPLE -- I DON'T KNOW. I MEAN, IS THAT REALLY THE PROBLEM? DO WE HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE AT THE DOOR SAYING, YOU KNOW WHAT, IT'S NOT THE TAX INCREASES OR THE POTHOLES, GUYS ALLOW 10 PEOPLE, 10 MINUTES FOR PEOPLE TO SPEAK? SO WE HAVE THE WHOLE THING TO HAVE ACCESSIBILITY FOR COUNCIL AND MORE DEMOCRACY, BUT THE FIRST STEP TO DO THAT IS TO SLASH IN HALF THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT PEOPLE CAN SPEAK, SLASH IN HALF OUR ABILITY TO SPEAK WHEN WE COME TO COMMITTEE. BUT ALSO TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE REBUKED IF WE GET UP AT COUNCIL BECAUSE WE HAVEN'T ASKED ENOUGH QUESTION AT THE LOWER LEVEL COMMITTEES. SO, I MEAN, TELL ME HOW THAT'S GOING TO WORK. I GUESS THAT YOU CAN COME IN AND RUSH THROUGH YOUR FIVE-MINUTE PRESENTATION AND GET MAYBE FIVE MORE MINUTES. ANYWAY, I GUESS THAT THE GOAL HERE IS TO SHORTEN EVERYTHING UP AS MUCH AS WE CAN AND THEN WE'LL CLAIM THAT IS MORE DEMOCRATIC OR SOMETHING AND I AM GLAD THAT COUNCILLOR DUNCAN CAN GET INFORMATION AND I HAVE BEEN HERE OVER 13 YEARS AND I HAD TO FILE AN FOI REQUEST BECAUSE I WAS TOLD THAT THE FEDS HAVE TO SIGN YOU GETTING OFF UNDER HALF AND IT'S COMPLETE BULLSHIT, AND PARDON THE LANGUAGE, AND IT WAS NONSENSE BECAUSE IT DIDN'T SAY SO IN THE REPORT, AND I GOT A REPORT FROM MR. ARMBRUSTER, YOU CAN HAVE THE REPORT, IT'S 51 PAGES -- THERE YOU GO. AND THERE'S NOTHING CONTROVERSIAL ABOUT IT, FRANKLY. AND SO GLAD THAT OTHER PEOPLE ARE HAVING NO PROBLEMS WITH ACCESS TO TO INFORMATION -- THAT'S TERRIFIC. AND MAYBE THAT ISN'T THE CASE FOR EVERYBODY HERE THOUGH. SO I DON'T THINK THAT THERE'S A CONSCIOUS EFFORT HERE TO MAKE THINGS LESS DEMOCRATIC, BUT REALLY AS OUR BIG -- IS OUR BIG IMPEDIMENT, LIKE LIKE GET THE STOP WATCH OUT AND AVERAGE THE TIME OF MEETINGS. FINE, LET'S HAVE SHORTER LUNCH BREAKS THEN AND WE HAD SHORTENED UP THE PRE-GAME ANNOUNCEMENTS BECAUSE THAT USED TO GO OFF FOR AN HOUR. AND I TODAY COULD HAVE GONE ON LONGER. SO, I MEAN, THERE ARE IMPROVEMENTS THAT WE COULD MAKE AND PROCESS, CERTAINLY, BUT REALLY THE POINT OF THIS IS TO SPEAK AS QUICKLY AND TO GET OUT OF THE DOOR AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. I REMEMBER SAYING THAT ONCE IN HERE IT'S BEEN A LONG DAY AND IT WAS 4:00 IN THE AFTERNOON AND HOW WOULD YOU KNOW WHAT A LONG DAY IS, YOU PEOPLE. AND THE POINT HERE IS NOT TO HAVE THE QUICKEST MEETING POSSIBLE. I REALLY DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW THAT'S MORE DEMOCRATIC. SURELY THE POINT HERE IS NOT TO DISCOURAGE PEOPLE FROM COMING BECAUSE YOU ONLY HAVE FIVE MINUTES DOES. THAT REALLY COME UP A LOT AT THE DOOR? DOES THAT REALLY GALVANIZE THE PUBLIC AND THEY'RE UPSET -- IT SEEMS THE REVERSE, THAT WE HAVE SEEN PUBLICITY SAYING WHY ARE YOU CUTTING THE TIME FOR THE PUBLIC TO SPEAK. SO, NO, I DON'T AGREE WITH THE SECOND PART OF THE DUNCAN BROWATY MOTION. AND SO, AND ON THE ITEM AS A WHOLE, YES, CERTAINLY I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A PLANNING COMMISSION BUT THERE'S SOME GENERAL DISCUSSION OF THAT IN HERE, BUT I DO THINK THAT WE NEED TO SERIOUSLY LOOK AT THE WAY THAT WE HAVE DEALT WITH PLANNING MATTERS. BUT I GUESS THAT WE'LL PROBABLY HAVE FEWER POS NOW THAT WE'RE MOVING TWO, AND FOR

VIRTUALLY ALL ZONING ISSUES, SO BE IT. THE -- THE -- AND THERE ARE SOME POSITIVE THINGS IN HERE, CERTAINLY, AND I DON'T KNOW HOW THIS WILL PLAY OUT IN TERMS OF MAYORAL APPOINTMENTS AND I DON'T REALLY KNOW -- WE WILL SEE HOW THAT WORKS OUT. BUT I HAVE TO SAY THAT THE IDEA THAT OUR MAIN PROBLEM HERE IS NOT BILLION DOLLAR CAPITAL PROJECTS AND OUR MAIN PROJECT IS NOT POTHOLES OR RECONCILIATION -- OUR MAIN PROBLEM IS THAT WE'RE LETTING PEOPLE TALK TOO MUCH. SEEMS ALMOST COMICAL, FRANKLY. SO LET'S HAVE THESE MEETINGS. IF COUNCILLOR SCHREYER WANTS TO TALK AND IF SOMEONE THINKS THAT IS STORYTIME I REALLY DON'T CARE. AND PEOPLE LIKE ME, IF THEY WANT TO UNELECT ME, THEY CAN UNELECT ME AND THEY CAN UNELECT COUNCILLOR SCHREYER FOR ALL I KNOW. AND SOME OF THE ITEMS -- THESE ARE HISTORIC ITEMS SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE DEAL WITH. THEY'RE OVER A BILLION DOLLARS, SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE DEAL WITH. SO, NO, I DON'T THINK THAT WE SHOULD CUT BACK TIME SO THAT WE CAN BEAT VANCOUVER AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF MEETINGS. GET THE STOP WATCH OUT. LIKE -- REALLY? THAT'S DEMOCRACY? YOU KNOW, LIKE I FIND THIS SORT OF HARD TO, QUITE COMPREHEND, FRANKLY. SO, YEAH, LET'S -- I'M PREPARED TO SIT HERE FOR LONG MEETINGS, IF OTHERS AREN'T, WELL, I GUESS THEY CAN ALWAYS LEAVE. SO I SUPPORT THE GILROY MOTION WITH ROLANDS AND I GUESS THAT WE'LL HAVE TO START PARING OUT DIFFERENT PARTS. I ASSUME THAT WE'RE NOT VOTING CLAUSE-BY-CLAUSE ON THE ENTIRE THING, AND MOST IS FINE WITH ME I JUST DON'T WANT TO CUT BACK THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT COUNCILLORS CAN SPEAK TO ITEMS OR THE PUBLIC CAN SPEAK TO ITEMS. AND SAYING THAT PEOPLE IN PUBLIC HEARINGS AND DELEGATION ARE LIMITED TO FIVE MINUTES, THAT IS US TOO AND DEVELOPERS COMING IN WITH MASSIVE PROJECTS -- YEAH, YOU GOT FIVE MINUTES. I DON'T THINK THAT MAKES FOR GOOD DECISION-MAKING. SO THANK YOU, MR. SPEAKER, MR. ACTING SPEAKER.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND MOVING ON THE LIST AND WE'LL PASS OVER TO COUPLER EADIE BECAUSE THAT'S THE KIND OF GUY THAT I AM. NO, WE WILL GET BACK TO HIM WHEN HE RETURNS TO THE CHAMBER, BUT COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS YOU ARE UP.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. AND I RISE TODAY IN SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION, THE AMENDING MOTION BY COUNCILLORS DUNCAN AND BROWATY. AND THE FIVE-MINUTE -- REDUCING THE FIVE-MINUTE -- OR REDUCING SPEAKING TIME DOWN TO FIVE MINUTES I THINK THAT REALLY PUSHING INDIVIDUALS TO BE FOCUSED -- YEAH, FORCES PEOPLE TO BE FOCUSED IN TERMS OF THE TOPIC OF DISCUSSION. BACK IN 2016, I -- I WENT BACK TO THE UNIVERSITY TO TAKE PUBLIC SECTOR MANAGEMENT. AND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MY TIME IN THE 1980s WHEN I WENT TO UNIVERSITY AND THE TIME THEY WENT IN 2016 BACK TO UNIVERSITY, WAS WHEN DOING PAPERS, YOU KNOW, BACK IN THE 1980s IT WAS A STRUGGLE TO PUT WORDS ON A PAGE. BUT THEN IN 2016, WHEN I WENT BACK TO UNIVERSITY, AND I WROTE PAPERS, IT -- LIKE, PAGES AND PAGES OF INFORMATION CAME THROUGH. AND IT'S ABOUT LIFE EXPERIENCE, I BELIEVE, OR WHAT I HAD -- THAT TOO. AND ONE OF THE EXERCISES WAS RELATING A SPECIFIC MESSAGE, BUT ONLY WITH A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF WORDS -- 2,000 WORDS. GETTING YOUR POINT ACROSS WITHIN THAT 2,000 WORDS. AND I BELIEVE THAT'S THE INTENT OF WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS TO GET PEOPLE TO FOCUS ON THEIR TOPIC, OR POINTS OF DISCUSSION AND RELAY THAT TO THIS COUNCIL. MUCH LIKE US AS COUNCILLORS WHEN WE SPEAK ON DIFFERENT ITEMS AND, AGAIN, WE HAVE THE ADDED -- OF GETTING THAT INFORMATION, WHETHER IT'S THROUGH OUR PUBLIC SERVICE OR WHETHER IT'S REVIEW THE REPORT

ONLINE ONCE IT'S RELEASED AND POSTED. SO WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO REALLY TO DISSECT OUR STATEMENTS AND MAKE SURE THAT THE SALIENT POINTS ARE COMING THROUGH. AND THAT'S WHY I'M SUPPORTING THIS MOTION. WITH RESPECT TO THE RESTRUCTURING OR ALLOWING COUNCIL TO STRUCTURE ITS COUNCIL, WITH EPC AND THE APPOINTMENTS, WE HAVE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE FACT THAT THE ROLES OF DM AND ADM, AND I'M NOT BRINGING THIS UP BECAUSE I'M IN THAT ROLE, BUT WE HAVE TO RECOGNIZE THE FACT THAT IT DOESN'T END AT A 9:00 TO 5:00 TYPE OF ROLE. WE'RE OFTEN ASKED, EVEN AS MAYOR, AS DEPUTY MAYOR, AS ACTING DEPUTY MAYOR AND EVEN AS COUNCILLORS TO ATTEND DIFFERENT EVENTS, WHETHER IT'S AFTER OUR WORK HOURS IN THE EVENINGS, OR ON THE WEEKENDS. SO WE HAVE TO RECOGNIZE THAT THERE'S A LOT MORE WORK TO THESE ROLES THAN IT IS JUST 9:00 TO 5:00 AND COMING IN, RECEIVING INFORMATION AND SITTING IN COUNCIL SESSIONS, SITTING IN COMMITTEE MEETINGS, OR SITTING ON BOARDS THAT WE'RE APPOINTED TO. THERE IS A LOT MORE TO IT. SO HAVING A CONSOLIDATED TO FIVE PEOPLE I THINK IS TOO MUCH FOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS TO TAKE ON THAT MUCH RESPONSIBILITY AS COUNCILLOR -- OR COUNCILLOR DOBSON ALLUDED TO EARLIER DURING HIS -- HIS TALKING POINTS. AND SO, AGAIN, I'M SUPPORTIVE OF THE MOTION TO REDUCE TO FIVE MINUTES AND I'M ALSO SUPPORTIVE OF THE MOTION THAT ALLOWS US TO STRUCTURE OUR OWN COMMITTEES. BUT I WOULD JUST DRAW CAUTION TO CONSOLIDATING IT DOWN TOO SMALL AND HAVING TOO MUCH BURDEN OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR ONE INDIVIDUAL. THANK YOU, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YES, SIR?

REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION, THE TOTAL SPEAKING TIME FOR DELEGATIONS AND PUBLIC HEARING REPRESENTATION. SO THAT WOULD MEAN WHEN THE ADMINISTRATION SPEAKS HERE IN JUNE, PUBLIC HEARING, PUBLIC HEARING, WHEN THEY'RE SPEAKING HERE AT THE PUBLIC HEARING STARTING JUNE 2ND, THEY WOULD HAVE FIVE MINUTES FOR THE PRESENTATION? OKAY. THANK YOU. ON REZONING 150,000 PROPERTIES, OKAY, FIVE-MINUTE PRESENTATION. OKAY, THANK YOU.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: GO AHEAD.

POINT OF INFORMATION, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. IT'S ALREADY SET TO FIVE MINUTES, WE VOTED ON THAT AM.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: I'M NOT GOING TO ENTER INTO A DEBATE. [Interjections] THE APPLICANT.
[Interjections]

FOR THE POSITION, FIVE MINUTES.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THE APPLICANT ONLY GETS FIVE MINUTES WHICH WOULD BE -- OKAY. [Interjections]

DEPUTY SPEAKER: READY TO GO, WE SKIPPED YOU OVER BUT WE CAN COME BACK TO YOU ARE NOT READY YET.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER AND I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT YOU'RE

SOUNDING REALLY GOOD IN THAT CHAIR. THANK YOU. NO, REALLY. ALL RIGHT. WHERE TO BEGIN? FIVE MINUTE -- ANYWAY -- UM, COUNCILLOR WYATT MENTIONED TODAY, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER -- YOU WERE HERE -- YOU MOVED THE MOTION IN 2017. IT'S GONE THROUGH A LOT OF WORK FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE AND MMP, ALL OF THIS WORK WAS DONE. IT WAS SUPPORTED BY I THINK COUNCILLOR LUKES AS WELL, WAS VERY SUPPORTIVE OF TRYING TO LOOK AT CHANGING GOVERNANCE AND HOW THAT ALL WORKS, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. AND, UM, THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE ANY PERFECTION HERE, OKAY -- NONE. THERE'S NO ACHIEVING A UNANIMOUS DECISION HERE ON THE GOVERNANCE MODEL. I WANT TO THANK MADAM SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR SHARMA, SHE'S BEEN OVERSEEING THIS AND PAYING A LOT OF ATTENTION TO IT, ALONG WITH MR. CLERK, AND THE SUPPORT THERE. AND IT'S NOT PERFECT WHAT'S BEING PROPOSED IN THE MAIN REPORT. BUT I'M WILLING TO LIVE WITH IT BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, I WAS HERE WHEN THERE WAS FIVE EPC CHAIRPERSONS. AND COUNCILLOR SWANDEL NEVER HAD A PROBLEM BEING THE DEPUTY MAYOR IN THE CHAIR OF FINANCE, I BELIEVE THAT IT IS, BECAUSE THE -- THE SPC ON FINANCE, WHILE IT HAS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT NOW ON THAT, UM, THAT'S A ROLE THAT -- IT'S A LOT OF WORK. BUT IT'S ALSO ONE THAT DOES ALLOW FOR BEING DEPUTY SPEAKER OR ASSISTANT DEPUTY SPEAKER AND YOU COULD SAY THAT IT'S ELITIST AND THERE'S A STRONG MAYOR MODEL. AND WHEN THE MAYOR SPEAKS TO THIS HE'LL EXPLAIN TO US WHAT A REAL -- A MAYOR WHO HAS REAL POWER. WE DON'T KNOW WHO IS THE MAYOR INTO THE FUTURE, BUT I CAN SAY WHILE I DISAGREED WITH OUR CURRENT MAYOR ON MANY FRONTS FOR MANY TIMES, BUT THERE IS AN ATTEMPT TO WORK WITH ALL COUNCIL MEMBERS. WHETHER YOU THINK THAT IT IS OR NOT -- I KNOW IT'S A SINCERE ATTEMPT TO TRY TO DO THAT. AND SO I THINK THAT ANYBODY WHO -- AND ACTUALLY COUNCILLOR DUNCAN'S MOTION TO TALK ABOUT LETTING COUNCIL DECIDE A NUMBER OF THESE THINGS THROUGH 16 MEMBERS OF COUNCIL VOTE, AND SOME ARE SAYING THAT THE MAYOR ALWAYS HAS, LIKE, MORE -- TOO MUCH POWER. BUT THE THING IS THAT WHO KNOWS WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE AFTER THE NEXT ELECTION. THE MAYOR COULD GET ELECTED AND MAYBE THERE'S A BUNCH OF PEOPLE WHO SAY THAT, WELL, MAYOR, YOU KNOW, MAYBE I DON'T WANT TO BE A CHAIRPERSON AND UNLESS YOU CHANGE THINGS. AND THAT COULD HAPPEN. EVERY ONE OF US MEMBERS OF COUNCIL HAS AN ABILITY TO VOTE, YOU KNOW, A CERTAIN WAY, YOU KNOW, YOU MIGHT -- SOME PEOPLE MIGHT DECIDE THAT, WELL, YOU KNOW, YOU SHOULDN'T BE ON EPC. WELL, THE THING IS THAT WE AS MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, WE HAVE AN INDEPENDENT SOURCE OF BACKING IN EACH OF OUR WARDS. OKAY? WE ARE ELECTED THERE. YES, THE MAYOR IS ELECTED OVER THE WHOLE CITY. BUT IF I'M CAMPAIGNING ON A BUNCH OF ISSUES THAT ISN'T NECESSARILY IN AGREEMENT WITH WHOEVER BECOMES THE MAYOR, I SHOULD MAINTAIN MY INDEPENDENCE. AND WE TRY TO WORK WITH EACH OTHER TO SERVE THE CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG, WHICH WE ALL DO AND WE ALL HAVE A SEVERE WANT TO SERVE WINNIPEGGERS TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITY AS GOVERNANCE OR GOVERNORS OVER THE CORPORATION CALLED THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. I DON'T WANT TO LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF TIME TO SPEAK. I THINK THAT OUR SPEAKER AND DEPUTY SPEAKER HAVE THE ABILITY AND PEOPLE SHOULD LISTEN BECAUSE THEY'RE IN THE AUTHORITY POSITION TO MAKE DECISIONS AS TO WHETHER OR NOT YOU ARE ON THE DEBATE OR NOT. AND I WAS CONCERNED ABOUT TODAY'S DISCUSSION DEBATE, QUESTION PERIOD, WHATEVER IT IS. THERE'S A CERTAIN STRUCTURE AND HOW IT'S SUPPOSED TO WORK AND WE SHOULD FOLLOW IT. IT'S NOT A PLACE FOR A BACK-AND-FORTH DEBATE BETWEEN TWO COUNCILLORS WHO MAY OR MAY NOT AGREE WITH EACH OTHER. AND, ANYWAY, UM, SO I'M JUST GOING TO CONCLUDE AND SAY THAT I'M NOT VOTING FOR EITHER ONE OF THE AMENDMENTS TO THE REPORT. I'M GOING TO RESPECT ALL OF THE WORK THAT, LIKE HOW MANY YEARS -- HOW MANY

YEARS? YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT THE BEST, BUT IT'S WHAT WAS WORKED ON WITH ACTUALLY, YOU KNOW, TWO DIFFERENT COUNCILS. AND, YEAH, I'LL LEAVE IT AT THAT AND I'M JUST VOTING FOR THE MAIN REPORT AS SPEAKER SHARMA HAS PRESENTED AS THE CITY COUNCILLOR AT THE MOMENT. THANK YOU.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MOVING ON, I HAVE COUNCILLOR LUKES AND THEN IN THE BATTER'S BOX, MR. MAYOR.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR WYATT AND EADIE WHO ACCORDING TO MY RECORDS IT WAS 2018 -- 2018 -- THAT BROUGHT FORWARD A MOTION TO HAVE THE CITY TO CONDUCT A GOVERNANCE REVIEW. AND IN THE MOTION THEY CITED THE YEARS OF THE PREVIOUS GOVERNANCE REVIEWS, 1976 AND 1986 AND 1997. SO IN 2019, THE CITY EMBARKED ON THE PROCESS. IN 2019. AND NOW TODAY 28 YEARS AFTER THE LAST GOVERNANCE REVIEW WAS HELD, WE HAVE THE REPORT. I WANT TO THANK CHIEF CLERK GOMERRO WHO HAS BEEN THE LEAD ON THIS FILE ORGANIZING THE MANY, MANY MEETINGS OF THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE WITH VARIOUS PRESENTERS. SO PROFESSIONAL. KEEPING EVERYONE IN LINE AS WE WORK THROUGH THE PROCESS TO UPDATE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ON HOW WE SHOULD GOVERN. AND TO COUNCILLOR SHARMA FOR CHAIRING, MANY, MANY, MANY LIVELY MEETINGS AND AS COUNCILLOR SHARMA NOTED WE HAVE MADE MANY SIGNIFICANT CHANGES AND I'M NOT GOING TO GO THROUGH THEM. NOW I HAVE SAID A LOT ABOUT THE NEED FOR A GOVERNANCE REVIEW. I HAVE LOBBIED HARD TO SEE IT HAPPEN. I HAVE WRITTEN PAGES ON IT. MADE MANY VIDEOS ON IT. AND REALLY BEEN PASSIONATE ABOUT UPDATING THE MODEL THAT WE HAVE. MY INSPIRATION TO WORK SO HARD ON GOVERNANCE CAME PRIMARILY FROM THE VERY, VERY WEAK LEADERSHIP OF THE FORMER MAYOR. AND THE LACK OF UNDERSTANDING AND COMPREHENSION ON HOW TEAMS WORK. AND THE INABILITY NOT TO BE ABLE TO WORK WITH ALL MEMBERS OF A COUNCIL, WHETHER YOU AGREED WITH THEM OR NOT. AND WHAT MOTIVATED ME IS THE ABUSE OF THE INTENT OF THE CHARTER WITH EPC PLUS 2, WHICH WAS USED TO ELIMINATE THE NEED TO EVEN SPEAK TO SOME COUNCILLORS. BUT WE'VE MOVED ON FROM THAT -- THANK GOODNESS. I AM PLEASED TO SAY THAT WE'VE DONE SOME VERY GOOD CHANGES TO BETTER THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS AT CITY HALL. AND IMPROVE TRANSPARENCY, ACCESS AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR RESIDENTS. I WILL SAY THAT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SEEN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A PLANNING COMMISSION SOONER THAN LATER, BUT THAT DISCUSSION WILL COME AT SOME POINT. I HAVE NOW BEEN ELECTED FOR THREE TERMS AND CURRENTLY A TOTAL OF ABOUT ALMOST 11 YEARS. I HAVE SEEN A LOT AND EXPERIENCED A LOT OF DIFFERENT POLITICAL STYLES FROM THE 15 COUNCILLORS OR MORE OVER THE YEARS. AND I HAVE OBSERVED THE FOCUS AND THE PRIORITIES OF COUNCILLORS AND HOW THEY FOCUS LOCALLY, AND HOW THEY FOCUS CITY WIDE. AND I HAVE OBSERVED AND I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN GREAT LEADERSHIP INITIATIVES AND ADMITTEDLY SOME UNNECESSARY INITIATIVES. I HAVE WORKED ON MANY ISSUES THAT HAVE REQUIRED SIGNIFICANT COMPROMISES. AND ALSO BEEN INVOLVED ON MANY INITIATIVES WHERE NO COMPROMISES OCCURRED. I HAVE ALSO BEEN ON EPC, REMOVED FROM EPC, BACK ON EPC. AND NOW I'VE COME TO THE CONCLUSION LIKE COUNCILLOR EADIE THAT REALLY NO ONE PARTICULAR MODEL IS PERFECT. I HAVE LOOKED AT OTHER CITIES WITH DIFFERENT MODELS. I HAVE REVIEWED THEM IN THE REPORT. I HAVE WATCHED OTHER COUNCIL MEETINGS. I HAVE SPOKEN WITH COUNCILLORS FROM OTHER CITIES. AND WHAT I HAVE CONCLUDED UNDER ANY MODEL THAT WHAT REALLY IS IMPORTANT IS TO HAVE A

STRONG LEADER WHO IS ABLE TO WORK WITH ALL COUNCILLORS. THAT PLAYS A HUGE ROLE IN GOOD GOVERNANCE. AND IT IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR THE CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG TO ELECT A MAYOR WHO HAS THE INSIGHT AND THE WISDOM TO UNDERSTAND THAT EVERY SINGLE COUNCILLOR BRINGS VALUE TO A DISCUSSION. THE VALUE OF LEADERSHIP CANNOT BE UNDERSTATED. ONE PERSON, A COUNCILLOR OR A MAYOR, CANNOT MAKE CHANGES THAT ARE NEEDED. BUT A MAYOR WITH STRONG LEADERSHIP SKILLS AND STRONG ETHICAL PRINCIPLES AND VALUES WHO ACTS IN A WAY THAT ARE CONSIDERED RIGHT, FAIR AND JUST IS KEY TO WORKING WITH COUNCILLORS IN THE GOVERNANCE AND LEADERSHIP OF OUR CITY. AGAIN, I WANT TO THANK ALL OF THE COUNCILLORS WHO WORKED SO HARD ON THE GOVERNANCE REVIEW AND I AM DONE WITH IT, THIS IS IT. AND IT WAS AN INCREDIBLE EXPERIENCE BEING SO INVOLVED ON WHAT I ALWAYS THOUGHT THAT WAS SUCH A DRY AND UNINSPIRING ISSUE. I WILL NOT BE SUPPORTING MOTION NUMBER 4. NO, WAIT A MINUTE HERE -- I WON'T BE SUPPORTING MOTION NUMBER 3. AND I WILL BE SUPPORTING MOTION NUMBER 4. THANK YOU.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MOVING ON OUR LIST, IF ANYBODY HASN'T PUT THEIR HAND UP YET, WHICH I DON'T THINK THAT MANY HAVEN'T, I HAVE MR. MAYOR NEXT. COUNCILLOR BROWATY AND COUNCILLOR SCHREYER AND COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I THINK THAT WE ALL AGREE THAT YOU'RE DOING A VERY GOOD JOB. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR STEPPING IN. I WANT TO FIRST OF ALL TO ADDRESS THE OVERALL REPORT AND EXPRESS THROUGH YOU, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, MY APPRECIATION TO COUNCILLOR SHARMA FOR LEADERSHIP IN THIS GOVERNANCE REVIEW. THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE HAD SEVERAL MEETINGS OVER MANY, MANY MONTHS AND YEARS EVEN, TO DEAL WITH THIS REVIEW. SO IT'S BEEN A VERY COMPREHENSIVE LOOK AND NOT EASY BY ANY STRETCH OF THE IMAGINATION SO I WANT TO COMMEND THE COUNCILLOR AND TO THANK COUNCILLOR SHARMA AGAIN. I WILL BE SUPPORTING -- THERE'S SO MUCH HERE THAT -- THAT I DO SUPPORT. IN FACT, I WILL SUPPORT ALL OF IT, EVEN THE CHANGE, YOU KNOW, TO THE AUDIT COMMITTEE. THE IDEA OF REQUIRING THE POLITICAL DIRECTION TO DEMAND ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS TO REALLY BE IN ESSENCE CODIFIED. AND AS COUNCILLOR SHARMA INDICATED THAT IS A PRACTICE I ADOPTED AND WE DO NOW -- WHEN ANY CHANGE IS MADE -- WE RECORD IT AND IT ENDS UP RECORDED IN THE FINAL REPORT. AND SO I WANT TO THANK EVERYONE FOR THEIR WORK. AS TO COUNCIL -- I WILL START WITH A MOTION NUMBER 4, AND THANK COUNCILLOR DUNCAN AND BROWATY FOR THIS MOTION. I WANT TO SPEND SOME TIME COMMENTING ON IT. QUITE FRANKLY, I THINK THAT COUNCILLOR LUKES MENTIONED THIS -- ONE OF THE REASONS THAT I THINK THAT 2A HAS COME FORWARD IS BECAUSE OF A PAST PRACTICE OF ESTABLISHING A -- A MAYOR ESTABLISHING A VOTING BLOC IS WHAT IT WAS. A MAYOR SIX EPC MEMBERS AND THAT'S SEVEN AND ADD A DEPUTY MAYOR AND AN ACTING DEPUTY MEMBER OUTSIDE OF EPC AND BLOC THEM UP AND YOU HAVE NINE. IT'S A PRACTICE THAT HAS BEEN USED. I COMMITTED TO NOT USING THAT PRACTICE. AND I DON'T USE THAT PRACTICE. I WILL NOT USE THAT PRACTICE. AND I HOPE THAT, YOU KNOW, SPEAKING FRANKLY THAT IF, UM, AS I LOOK AT IT, RIGHT NOW I'M -- AS THE MAYOR AT THIS POINT AND NOT THE PROBLEM. AND THEN I WOULD CERTAINLY SUPPORT COUNCILLOR DUNCAN'S MOTION TO ESTABLISH REALLY THAT THE CITY COUNCIL CAN SET ITS OWN COMMITTEE STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION AND THAT COULD BE DEALT WITH AT ANOTHER TIME. AND WE DO HAVE A FORM OF STRONG MAYOR MODEL HERE AND IT'S NOT WHAT ONTARIO STRONG MAYOR MODEL IS, FOR EXAMPLE, WHERE THERE -- AND I'M NOT ASKING FOR THIS -- THE STRONG MAYOR

MODEL IS THAT MAYOR DRAFTS THE BUDGET. HAS VETO POWER OVER COUNCIL VOTES AND CAN HIRE AND FIRE THE C.E.O. AND THE DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS AND CAN CREATE AND REORGANIZE THE MUNICIPALITY AND HAVE BYLAWS DIRECTLY TO COUNCIL AND ONLY REQUIRES ONE-THIRD VOTE TO PASS THEM. SO THAT'S THE STRONG MAYOR STRUCTURE IN ONTARIO. AND SO WE HAVE HERE IS A FORM OF -- BUT IT'S NOT THAT -- NOR AM I ASKING FOR THAT. YOU HAVE AND WE LOOK AT THE FACT THAT WE'RE 28 MONTHS NOW INTO THIS TERM. AND IN THAT 28 MONTHS, OVER HALF OF THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL HAVE SERVED ON EPC. AND EIGHT MEMBERS OF COUNCIL HAVE SERVED ON EPC. AND EIGHT OUT OF 44 MEMBERS HAVE BEEN ON THE BUDGET WORKING GROUP. IT'S BY NO MEANS PERFECT. BUT I DO TRY HARD. AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO TRY HARD TO WORK WITH EVERYBODY MEMBER OF COUNCIL, BECAUSE I DO VALUE THE CONTRIBUTIONS AND THE INPUT OF EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL. WHEN I LOOK AT THE STRUCTURE OF EPC AND THE WORK -- THE STRUCTURE THAT WE HAVE AROUND HERE -- I -- I REALLY SINCERELY DON'T SEE IT THROUGH A POWER LENS. I REALLY DO SEE IT THROUGH AN EFFECTIVENESS AND A RESPONSIBILITY LENS. AND A WHILE AGO I WAS HAVING A DISCUSSION WITH MY STAFF MEMBER AS THEY WERE GOING OVER THE CALENDAR INVITATIONS AND REQUESTS FOR THE MAYOR TO ATTEND THINGS. YOU ALL KNOW THIS BECAUSE YOU'RE ALL VERY BUSY AS COUNCILLORS AND YOU HAVE MORE REQUESTS TO ATTEND EVENTS THAN YOU COULD EVER GET TO -- I KNOW THIS. MY STAFF MEMBER SAID, WELL, FOR EVERY ONE EVENT THAT WE SAY YES TO, WE HAVE TO SAY NO TO FIVE BECAUSE YOU JUST CAN'T BE THERE. AND SO I DO -- PLEASE KEEP THIS IN MIND WHEN I AM LOOKING AT SPREADING THE RESPONSIBILITY OF WORK AROUND AND OPPORTUNITY AROUND -- REMEMBER THAT I AM JUST TELLING YOU STRAIGHT UP, THIS IS HOW I'M DOING IT. WHEN I LOOK AT THE MEMBERS OF EPC, WHOMEVER THEY ARE AND THE FIRST COUNCIL MEMBERS REPRESENTING THE 50,000 PEOPLE IN THEIR WARD, AND TAKING ALL OF THE PHONE CALLS AND EMAILS. ON TOP OF THAT YOU KNOW THE WORKLOAD WITH BEING A COMMITTEE CHAIR ADDED ON TOP OF THAT. AND THEN TO SAY, OH, BY THE WAY, WOULD YOU GO TO REPRESENT THE CITY AT 40 OR 50 EVENTS A YEAR AS AN ACTING DEPUTY MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR. YOU HAVE -- [INDISCERNIBLE] SO IT'S A CONCENTRATION OF RESPONSIBILITY, AND CONCENTRATION OF WORKLOAD, AND ADD TO THAT TOO WHEN WE LOOK AT WHAT COUNCILLOR DUNCAN HAS SAID, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE FUTURE HOLDS WITH THE GROWTH OF OUR CITY. SO I THINK THAT [CAPTIONER SWITCH] SO I THINK TO CODIFY INTO THE CHARTER SAYING IT CAN ONLY BE FIVE MEMBERS I THINK WOULD BE -- I THINK IT WOULD BE SHORTSIGHTED ON OUR PART, SO I DO APPRECIATE THIS AMENDMENT HERE AND WILL BE SUPPORTING THAT. I WON'T SPEAK AT LENGTH ABOUT THE SECOND PART, WHICH IS TO LIMIT COUNCILLORS AND MAYORS SPEAKING TIME TO FIVE MINUTES. I DO SUPPORT THAT. I KNOW NOT EVERYONE AGREES THAT, AND I RESPECT THAT. I DON'T SEE MORE WORDS AS SYNONYMOUS WITH MORE DEMOCRACY. I REFERRED TO THIS BEFORE, THE GETTYSBURG ADDRESS, ONE OF THE MOST INFLUENTIAL, MEMORABLE SPEECHES, EFFECTIVE SPEECHES IN ALL OF HISTORY, 272 WORDS, TWO MINUTES TO DELIVER. I REALLY THINK -- IRONICALLY, I'VE TAKEN MORE TIME THAN I USUALLY DO, BUT I REALLY THINK WE AS MEMBERS OF THIS BODY CAN SAY WHAT WE NEED TO SAY EFFECTIVELY IN FIVE MINUTES AND A TWO-MINUTE EXTENSION. THAT'S MY VIEW. I THINK WE DO A SERVICE ACTUALLY TO THE RESIDENTS OF OUR COMMUNITY AS WELL, SO WITH THAT, I'LL BE SUPPORTING THE MAIN ITEM WITH AMENDMENT NO. 4. I WON'T SUPPORT 3. I THINK PEOPLE CAN GET THAT INFORMATION FROM CLERKS. I DON'T KNOW THAT WE NEED A 90-DAY REPORT BACK, SO THANK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MOVING ON TO COUNCILLOR BROWATY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. I WON'T TAKE A LOT OF TIME HERE TODAY. AGAIN, I WANT TO THANK THE SPEAKER, THE CLERK'S DEPARTMENT, THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE ON THE WORK OVER THIS DURING THE YEAR. IT'S BEEN DIFFERENT GOVERNANCE COMMITTEES AND EVERYTHING THAT'S BEEN INVOLVED IN THIS. JUST IN RELATION TO -- IN REGARDS TO MOTION NO. 4, I AGREE. I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO NECESSARILY HAVE THE PROVINCE TAKE ON ADDITIONAL POWERS THAT DICTATE WHAT WE CAN AND CAN'T DO HERE AT 510 MAIN. AND ON THE ITEM OF SPEAKING TIME, I'M GUILTY SOMETIMES OF RAMBLING ON TOO MUCH. I REALLY DON'T THINK WE NEED TO -- I REALLY DON'T THINK WE NEED TO HAVE TEN MINUTES. IF YOU CAN'T -- 99% OF THE TIME IF YOU CAN'T GET YOUR POINT ACROSS IN FIVE MINUTES YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET IT ACROSS IN AN HOUR. I REALLY DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD USE OF OUR TIME TO ALLOW EVERYBODY TO SPEAK FOR THAT LONG. I THINK THERE'S A LOT OF GOOD REASONS. I MEAN, AGAIN, WHETHER WE HAVE ONE OR TWO COUNCIL MEETINGS A MONTH, MAYBE AT SOME POINT WE'LL NEED TO CONSIDER THAT. GOING UNTIL 10 O'CLOCK AT NIGHT OR 10:30 AT NIGHT ISN'T NECESSARILY A GOOD HEALTHY WAY TO HAVE OUR DEBATES AND HAVE OUR MEETINGS. BUT ALSO JUST IN TERMS OF THE MEDIA CYCLE, HAVING DISCUSSIONS HERE AT 10:30 AT NIGHT, IT'S KIND OF HARD TO GET ATTENTION TO SOME OF THE IMPORTANT ISSUES WE'RE DISCUSSING MOVING OUR CITY FORWARD. I CAN TELL YOU BACK WHEN OUR COUNCIL MEETINGS WERE DONE BEFORE LUNCH, EVERYTHING THAT WAS DISCUSSED DURING THAT COUNCIL MEETING COULD BE IN THE NEXT DAY'S PAPER OR ON THE 6:00 NEWS, FOR THAT MATTER. BY RAMBLING ON AS LONG AS WE ARE SOMETIMES, IT'S NOT GOOD, CONDUCIVE TO HAVE BOTH MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND THE MEDIA ENGAGED WITH WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE AT CITY HALL, SO JUST ANOTHER COMMENT AS TO WHY, YOU KNOW, AGAIN, I SECONDED THE MOTION HERE FROM COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. BUT THAT SAID, I'M GOING TO SIT DOWN. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER?

I DIDN'T BRING IT UP, SO, YOU KNOW, THE LINCOLN'S BRIEF SPEECH. THAT'S BECAUSE THE GUY BEFORE HIM SPOKE FOR AN HOUR OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, SO YEAH, THAT WAS A GOOD IDEA. HAVING SAID THAT, THE LINCOLN-DOUGLAS DEBATES WERE KNOWN FOR BEING VERY LONG, VERY ARTICULATE, RATHER SUBSTANTIAL. HE TALKED A LOT. SO I'M NOT SURE IN TERMS OF THE RELEVANCE OF THAT HERE BECAUSE I HAVE TO VOTE ON POLICIES MINUTE BY MINUTE HERE, AND I'M REALLY INFLUENCED BY THE PEOPLE IN THIS CIRCLE. I'M EXTREMELY GRATEFUL TO THE WORDS THAT I'VE HEARD IN THIS COUNCIL CHAMBER THAT I WASN'T GOING TO -- THIS WASN'T GOING TO HAPPEN OFFLINE, AND I WASN'T GOING TO GET IT IN AN OFFLINE BEHIND CLOSED DOORS SEMINAR WITH THE ADMINISTRATION. SOMETIMES I'M DEPENDENT ON THE PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM TO CONDENSE IT DOWN TO WHATEVER TIME THEY NEED WITHIN EIGHT MINUTES OR SO TO EXPLAIN SOMETHING TO ME. AND I WOULD BE EXTREMELY GRATEFUL TO A FEW OF THE COUNCILLORS OVER THE YEARS THAT I'VE BEEN HERE, EXTREMELY. AND THEY DIDN'T DO IT IN FIVE MINUTES, AND IT HAPPENS EVERY SINGLE COUNCIL MEETING. I AM VERY GRATEFUL BECAUSE I'M GOING TO GET THE INFORMATION IN THIS ROOM AND NOWHERE ELSE, AND THAT'S OUR RESPONSIBILITY, SO THEY WERE DOING THEY'RE JOB. I'VE BEEN INSPIRED. I'VE BEEN EDUCATED IN THIS ROOM. THEY DID THEIR JOBS. WHAT I DON'T NEED IS STORY TIME WHEN WE'RE CONDENSING IT DOWN TO GLIB PLATITUDES WHERE WE JUST RATIONALIZE OUR POSITION THAT WE'VE ALREADY CREATED, THAT WE'VE ALREADY DECIDED ON BEFORE WE GET HERE. I

DON'T NEED SLOGANS. I DO NOT NEED A RATIONALIZATION OF ONE'S POSITION. IF SOMEONE'S GOING TO EXPLAIN, BOTHER EXPLAINING WHY THEY ARE VOTING SOMEWHERE, WHATEVER POSITION THEY HAVE, LET THEM BRING IT OUT. LET THEM EXPLAIN AND DEVELOP THEIR THOUGHT PROCESS, AND BY THE WAY, WHEN THAT HAPPENS, USUALLY WHEN IT COMES TO POLITICS, IT DIDN'T HAPPEN JUST IN THE HERE AND NOW. WHAT A CHAOTIC POINT OF VIEW TO HAVE. IT DIDN'T JUST HAPPEN NOW OR SIX WEEKS AGO, WHATEVER. IT TOOK TIME FOR THINGS TO EVOLVE TO THIS POINT IN WHICH WE CAN DEVELOP SOME SORT OF PATTERN OF HOW THINGS ARE EVOLVING. THAT'S HOW THINGS WORK. IT'S NOT JUST MY PERSPECTIVE. THAT'S LITERALLY HOW IT WORKS. AND IF YOU DOUBT THAT, WHY DID MAYOR GILLINGHAM BOTHER TO TALK ABOUT 100 YEARS AGO WHEN THEY BUILT, YOU KNOW, OUR SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT IN THE FIRST PLACE? BECAUSE EVERYTHING WE DO, EVERYTHING WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, EVERYTHING WE'RE DEALING WITH HAPPENED IN THE PAST UNLESS WE'RE CREATING IT FOR THE FUTURE. I DON'T NEED TO HEAR FROM HIS WORSHIP, I DON'T NEED TO HEAR FROM HIS WORSHIP THAT THE REASON FOR CONSTRUCTION INFLATION IS IN HIS WORDS HERE WAS COVID LABOUR COSTS AND OTHER FACTORS. I DON'T NEED TO HEAR THAT. I NEED TO HEAR WHAT THE OTHER FACTORS ARE, AND I NEED TO HEAR WHAT HE MEANS BY "LABOUR COSTS," AND IF HE NEEDS TO DEVELOP THAT IN A FEW MINUTES, EXTRA MINUTES, I'M SITTING HERE WITH BATED BREATH, BECAUSE I TELL YOU, THAT WILL INFLUENCE MY THOUGHTS. I DON'T NEED TO HEAR FROM A FELLOW COUNCILLOR THAT ALL INFLATION RATES ARE GOING UP. OH, EVERYTHING IS GOING UP. IT'S NOT TRUE, SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT IT, I NEED THAT COUNCILLOR TO SAY WHAT THINGS ARE GOING UP AND WHAT THINGS AREN'T, AND IF IT TAKES MORE THAN AN EXTRA THREE MINUTES, I'M ALL EARS. IT'S MUSIC TO MY EARS BECAUSE THAT IS LITERALLY SERIOUSLY RESPONSIBLE COMMUNICATION. THAT'S OUR JOB TO DO. SO I NEED MY FELLOW COUNCILLORS TO EXPLAIN THEMSELVES BECAUSE THEY ACTUALLY MEAN SOMETHING TO ME. I ACTUALLY DON'T ACTUALLY MAKE UP MY OPINIONS ON HOW I'M GOING TO VOTE UNTIL I HEAR MY FELLOW COUNCILLORS, AND I KNOW I'M NOT THE ONLY ONE. I AM INFLUENCED BY THE SPEECHES, AND I SURE HOPE EVERYBODY ELSE IS TOO, AND SOMETIMES IT JUST HAPPENS TO BE YOU CAN'T DO IT IN FIVE MINUTES. AND THAT'S JUST LIFE. YOU GO TO A CLASS, YOU TAKE A CLASS IN ANYTHING, YOU THINK YOU'RE GOING TO LEARN IT ALL IN FIVE MINUTES? NO, YOU'RE GOING TO TAKE 45 MINUTES EVERY SINGLE DAY, SIX HOURS A DAY FOR YEARS, AND THEY DON'T EVEN -- AREN'T MAKING DECISIONS LIKE WE ARE CITY COUNCILLORS. SO IT'S PRETTY IMPORTANT, AT LEAST FOR THE RECORD. IT'S NOT JUST MERELY FOR THE RECORD TO SAY A FEW WORDS TO RATIONALIZE YOUR POSITION. I REALLY EXPECT US TO EXPLAIN OURSELVES, AND NOT JUST EVERYTHING HAPPENED IN THE LAST SIX MONTHS. OH, NO, I HAVE BEEN EXTREMELY GRATEFUL FOR BRIAN MAYES OVER TIME FOR GIVING US A CHRONOLOGY OF HOW THINGS HAVE HAPPENED, HOW WE ARRIVED THERE. BUT YOU KNOW WHAT, SOMETIMES IT'S EVEN LONGER THAN THAT. AND THAT'S A FACT. SO WE HAVE THAT RESPONSIBILITY, AND BY THE WAY, WE DO HAVE A STRONG MAYOR MODEL IN THIS CITY, AND IT DOES IMPACT THE DYNAMIC OF COMMUNICATION HERE AT CITY HALL AND AMONGST THE COUNCIL MEMBERS, AND ON THAT BASIS, BEAR THAT IN MIND IN TERMS OF HOW MANY -- WHAT COUNCILLORS HAVE -- HOW MANY MINUTES THEY SPEAK. WE'RE A LITTLE DIFFERENT, SO JUST BEAR THAT IN MIND. IF YOU WANT TO HAVE THIS MODEL, BEAR IN MIND WE HAVE THIS MODEL. IT'S NOT WRONG THAT MAYBE PEOPLE THAT HAVE A LITTLE EXTRA OBLIGATION TO EXPLAIN THEMSELVES AT THE TIME BEFORE WE VOTE. IT REALLY MEANS A LOT TO ME, AND I DON'T THINK IT'S JUST ME. EXCUSE ME IF WE GET BORED. I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY. YOU KNOW, WE MIGHT HAVE A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE OF HOW WE THINK AND HOW WE ARRIVE AT OUR CONCLUSIONS, BUT I STAND

BY EVERYTHING I SAY, AND I'M GOING TO SAY IT AGAIN. WHEN WE ARE EDUCATED TO BE THIS AND THAT, THE SPECIALISTS THAT WE RELY ON, HOW MANY HOURS DO THEY SPEND IN UNIVERSITY OR WHATEVER TRADE IT IS BEFORE? AND I DON'T THINK WE TALK ABOUT IN TERMS OF A GLIB FIVE MINUTES. IT'S PRETTY SUBSTANTIAL. YOU MIGHT NOT LIKE THE SUBSTANCE, BUT THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GIVING AN EXPLANATION OVER TIME TO SHOW THINGS EVOLVE, TO SHOW WHERE WE'RE AT, AND THAT -- THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THAT AND TELLING STORIES. ANECDOTES ARE TOLD HERE, WHETHER IT'S A LONGER ONE OR A SHORT ONE, BUT I GUARANTEE YOU, WHAT CAN I TELL YOU, I STAND BY MY WORDS AND I STAND BY HOW I COME BY MY POSITION, AND SOMETIMES IN THAT PROCESS I'M LITERALLY QUOTING MY FELLOW COUNCILLORS, AND EXCUSE ME IF THAT TAKES TIME. THANK YOU, MEEGWETCH. AND AS FOR THE OTHER THINGS, MADAM -- MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, YOU KNOW, I'M KIND OF AMBIVALENT ABOUT THESE OTHER THINGS, YOU KNOW, AMBIVALENT. I'M ON BOTH SIDES OF THE THING IN A SENSE THAT I DON'T REALLY CARE WHETHER WE - I'M NOT REALLY CERTAIN THAT WE SHOULD BE RESTRICTING THE NUMBER OF COUNCILMEMBERS, EH? SO THERE YOU GO. POINT BEING TOLD, MIGHT AS WELL TELL YOU THAT AS WELL, SINCE I'M HERE. THANK YOU.

I'M NOT SEEING A NEW AMENDING MOTION THAT MEEGWETCH SAYS WE'RE DONE SPEAKING, BUT WE'LL CARRY ON. THE LAST ON THE LIST IS COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

THANK YOU. ENFRANÇAIS. IT LOOKS LIKE . . . IT LOOKS LIKE OUR INTERPRETER IS AWAY FOR HER EVENING. GO FIGURE. MAYBE IF WE HAD HAD FIVE-MINUTE SPEECHES . . . YEAH. SO, WELL, THANKS, EVERYONE, FOR YOUR ATTENTION. IT'S LATE, SO I WILL ATTEMPT TO GET MY SPEECH DONE IN FIVE MINUTES, IF THAT IS POSSIBLE FOR ME, GIVEN . . . GIVEN ALL THE SPEECHES THAT HAVE HAPPENED, SO IT'S COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS WAS SPEAKING ABOUT HOW LOQUACIOUS COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS HAD BECOME OVER TIME, AND HOW AT FIRST THE WORDS WERE FEW AND I'VE HAD THE SAME EXPERIENCE WHERE I -- YOU KNOW, AT FIRST WHEN I STARTED PUBLIC SPEAKING I REALLY DIDN'T KNOW HOW TO SAY THINGS IN MORE THAN A SENTENCE, AND THEN YOU GAIN EXPERIENCE AND THEN THE CHALLENGE IS TRYING TO KEEP THAT COMPRESSED, SO I DID SHARE THAT EXPERIENCE, AND I HAVE BEEN DOING SOME COURSE WORK AND FORMALLY I DID CONFESSIONS OF A PUBLIC SPEAKER ON AUDIBLE. RECOMMEND IT. DON'T SPEAK MORE THAN TEN MINUTES, YOU LOSE YOUR AUDIENCE, EVEN WITHIN THE TEN MINUTES. IN THAT BOOK THEY SAY TO USE THINGS LIKE SILENCE TO GET PEOPLE'S ATTENTION, FOR EXAMPLE. SO I THINK I DID GET SOME PEOPLE'S ATTENTION WITH THAT SILENCE. BUT I THINK YOU CAN GET YOUR POINT ACROSS IN FIVE MINUTES. I THINK IF MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC HAVE FIVE MINUTES, I THINK FIVE MINUTES IS OKAY FOR COUNCILLORS. WE CAN ALWAYS SUSPEND THE RULES, AS COUNCILLOR DUNCAN SAID. THE COUNCIL IS SUPREME. I DO -- WELL, I WANT TO THANK THE MAYOR FOR HIS SUPPORT OF THE IDEA OF AN AUDIT COMMITTEE. I THINK THAT'S POSITIVE FOR TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY, HAVING A SEPARATE COMMITTEE WITH TWO MEMBERS THAT ARE NOT A PART OF EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE TO SIT ON THE AUDIT COMMITTEE. PUTTING A BIT OF DISTANCE FROM THE EXECUTIVE AND THE AUDIT FUNCTION, SO IF THIS REPORT PASSES, I HOPE IT DOES, THAT WOULD BE ONE OF THE MANY CHANGES BEING RECOMMENDED. I WANT TO THANK ALL THE MEMBERS OF THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE. I, LIKE COUNCILLOR LUKES, HAVE BEEN ON E.P.C., OFF OF E.P.C., AND WELL, GOVERNANCE WAS THE ONE COMMITTEE WHERE I GOT TO MEET WITH A GROUP OF COUNCILLORS, AND I REALLY APPRECIATED THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT AT THAT TIME. I

THINK COUNCILLOR LUKES AND I GOT TO KNOW EACH OTHER A LITTLE BIT BETTER ON THAT COMMITTEE AND WORK ON -- WELL, ON -- HAVE HEALTHY DEBATES ON WHAT WE WANTED TO MOVE FORWARD, AND A LOT OF THIS REPORT IS -- WELL, IT'S THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE THAT YOU SEE TODAY, SO I DO HOPE -- I DO HOPE WE DON'T THROW THE BABY OUT WITH THE BATH WATER TODAY. SO I WILL NOT BE SUPPORTING THE MOTION 3, AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS THAT I BELIEVE, AS I HAVE STATED BEFORE, THAT A SMALLER EXECUTIVE ACTUALLY MEANS MORE POWER TO THE PRESIDING OFFICER. IF YOU TAKE A METAPHOR, THINK OF A COUNCIL OF 15 AND GETTING UNANIMOUSITY WITH 15 PEOPLE VERSUS ONE, TWO OR THREE, OR FIVE OR SEVEN. I THINK THE BIGGER THE NUMBER GETS THE HARDER IT IS TO GET YOUR AGENDA THROUGH, SO BAKING THAT INTO THE CHARTER I DON'T THINK IS A GOOD IDEA, SO I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD -- YOU KNOW, SOMEBODY STATED BEFORE WE -- THE CURRENT MAYOR, TODAY'S MAYOR EXCLUDED FROM THE CONVERSATION, FUTURE MAYORS I THINK WOULD BE MORE POWERFUL IF THIS WERE BAKED INTO THE CHARTER, TO HAVE A SMALLER EXECUTIVE, SO I DON'T THINK THAT'S A GOOD THING, AND THE OTHER THING IS THAT WE -- YOU KNOW, THIS -- FOR THE NEXT MOTION, LIMITED SPEAKING TIME, I'M ALREADY THROUGH THE FIVE MINUTES. SO I THINK I COULD HAVE GOTTEN A SPEECH DONE IN FIVE MINUTES, BUT GIVEN THAT -- WELL, THAT I'M USED TO TEN AND I HAD TEN, I'M GETTING INTO THE TIME, AND I THINK I COULD HAVE GOTTEN IT DONE SOONER. SO I WILL SUPPORT MOTION 4, REMOVING THE -- REMOVING THE BAKING IN THE SMALLER EXECUTIVE INTO THE CHARTER, OR ASKING THE PROVINCE TO DO SO, AND I THINK FIVE MINUTES IS AMPLE TIME TO GET YOUR POINT ACROSS AS CITY COUNCILLOR. I DID HAVE A FEW NOTES. BY THE WAY, CONFESSIONS OF A PUBLIC SPEAKER SAYS TO PRACTISE YOUR SPEECH BECAUSE WHEN YOU'RE SPEAKING AND YOU'RE NOT SURE WHAT YOU WANT TO SAY, YOU HAVE TO FACTOR IN THE COMPOUNDING EFFECTS OF ALL THE PEOPLE THAT ARE WATCHING YOU AND MULTIPLY THAT BY THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT ARE LISTENING, SO IN THIS CASE AT LEAST 15, SO 15 TIMES 10, THAT'S 150 MINUTES OF TIME EVERY TIME I MAKE A TEN-MINUTE SPEECH, SO THANK YOU FOR THAT. THANK YOU FOR THAT OPPORTUNITY. CONGRATULATIONS, COUNCILLOR LUKES. THIS WAS YOUR THING, AND IF IT PASSES, THEN YOU -- WELL, YOU GOT YOUR WAY, AND I THINK THAT'S IT. I THINK I'VE MADE IT CLEAR WHERE I STAND ON THE TWO MOTIONS, AND I HOPE THAT THIS REPORT PASSES. I THINK THERE'S A LOT OF GOOD REFORMS TO IT THAT A COMMITTEE OF FIVE, SIX COUNCILLORS WERE ABLE TO AGREE ON WITH A COUPLE OF CHANGES WITH THE AMENDING MOTIONS, SO I'LL BE SUPPORTING WHAT I SAID I WOULD. THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD, REMEMBER, AS YOU SEE ON THE CLOCK, IT'S EIGHT MINUTES YOU GET TO SPEAK, SO YOU WERE DOING FINE.

OH, YEAH.

OKAY, THAT'S IT. ANYBODY ELSE WISHING TO SPEAK BEFORE WE FIGURE OUT THE VOTE THING? BECAUSE I'M HEARING A LOT OF DIFFERENT THINGS. COUNCILLOR -- I MEAN SPEAKER SHARMA, COUNCILLOR SHARMA THE TITLE IS RIGHT NOW IN THAT SEAT, SHE GETS TO SPEAK.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

AND THEN WE GO BACKWARDS, RIGHT. SO THE FIRST WILL BE -- ORDER, FIRST WILL BE TO CLOSE WITH

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN AND THEN COUNCILLOR GILROY AND THEN TO CLOSE WILL BE COUNCILLOR SHARMA. GO AHEAD. YOU GOT -- I'M KIDDING. [Laughter]

APPRECIATE ALL THE DIFFERENT THOUGHTS AROUND THE TABLE HERE, PRIMARILY FOCUSED AROUND THE REDUCTION OF SPEAKING TIME TO FIVE MINUTES WITH A TWO-MINUTE EXTENSION. AND FOR THOSE COUNTING AT HOME, THAT'S SEVEN MINUTES DOWN FROM TEN MINUTES. AT THE END OF THE DAY, THIS IS NOT ABOUT SHORTENING MEETINGS. THIS IS ABOUT BEING MORE EFFICIENT, ESPECIALLY IN OUR JOBS AS REPRESENTING THE PUBLIC, SPEAKING FOR THE PUBLIC, BUT BEING EFFICIENT IN WHAT WE'RE SAYING TO THE PUBLIC. I MEAN, IF YOU HAVE FOLKS WATCHING AT HOME, THAT'S GREAT. I'M SURE THEY ARE CHEERING EVERYBODY ON, BUT THE GENERAL PUBLIC IS NOT WATCHING. EVERYBODY'S TUNED OUT. THERE'S 850,000 PEOPLE THAT LIVE IN OUR CITY. WE MIGHT BE UNDER 30 PEOPLE NOW WATCHING THIS LIVE. YOU KNOW, THE ARGUMENT OF, WELL, PEOPLE GO BACK AND WATCH, I MEAN, I EVEN LOOKED UP WHAT'S THE MOST-WATCHED COUNCIL MEETING SINCE I CAN ONLY PUT IT ON MYSELF SINCE I WAS ELECTED IN 2022, 931 VIEWS ON ONE SINGLE COUNCIL MEETING. THAT'S -- THAT COULD BE MOM AND DAD WATCHING TEN TIMES. 93 TIMES. SO AT THE END OF THE DAY, WHEN IT COMES TO THE PEOPLE PRESENTING, IT WAS BROUGHT UP ABOUT IN OUR PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE HALF IF THE APPLICANT, THEY ARE LIMITED TO FIVE, BUT LET'S BE SERIOUS AROUND THIS TABLE, THEN YOU CAN ASK AS MANY QUESTIONS AS YOU WANT. THERE ARE NO RESTRICTIONS ON THAT, QUESTION AFTER QUESTION AFTER QUESTION. WE'VE SEEN THAT HAPPEN. IT STILL IS GOING TO HAPPEN. AGAIN, COMING BACK TO EFFICIENCY, GETTING TO THE POINT, I FEEL THIS IS A REASONABLE MOTION. I RESPECT THAT FOLKS DISAGREE AROUND THIS TABLE, BUT THIS IS NOT LIMITING DEMOCRACY. I LOOK AT IT THE OPPOSITE WAY. THIS IS ENCOURAGING PEOPLE TO BE INVOLVED BUT NOT JUST FOR THE FIVE MINUTES THAT THEY ARE STANDING THERE OR LISTENING TO THEIR FRIEND. HOPEFULLY THIS BECOMES SOMETHING AND SOMEBODY POINTED OUT ABOUT MEDIA AS WELL WHERE, HOLY SMOKES, YOU GOT TO WATCH COUNCIL. THERE'S A LOT THAT GOES ON AND IT DOESN'T TAKE UP 11 HOURS -- SORRY, 13 HOURS OF YOUR DAY NOW. AGAIN, I KNOW THIS IS A CHANGE. CHANGE IS HARD. WE TALKED ABOUT A LOT OF CHANGE TODAY, BUT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT HOPEFULLY WILL MAKE PEOPLE GET TO THE POINT, THAT WILL MAKE PEOPLE THINK ABOUT WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO SAY BEFORE, BECAUSE TO COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS' POINT, IN MOST OTHER PLACES IN THE WORLD WHERE YOU HAVE TO SPEAK OR WRITE SOMETHING, THERE ARE LIMITATIONS, AND THE ARGUMENT ABOUT THIS IS TOO MUCH OF A LIMITATION, WE ALREADY HAVE A LIMITATION OF 10 MINUTES. THIS IS SEVEN MINUTES. WE CAN DO THIS. WE'RE THE PROFESSIONALS IN THIS. A LOT OF YOU HAVE BEEN SITTING AROUND THIS TABLE FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME. I HOPE TO BE AS WELL-VERSED IN AS MUCH AS YOU ARE SOME DAY. I PROMISE I WILL KEEP IT TO SEVEN MINUTES.

FOUR MINUTES AND 59 SECONDS.

I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE.

POINT OF WHAT?

POINT OF INFORMATION. SO ENDLESS QUESTIONS ARE -- THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO REALLY HAVE ANY LIMIT ON THE TIME THEN WILL BE THE COUNCILLORS BECAUSE DELEGATIONS, THE ADMINISTRATION

CAN SPEAK --

YEAH, NO, IT'S --

I'M ASKING FOR CLARITY FOR THE COMMENT HE JUST MADE, WHICH WAS ENDLESS QUESTIONS CAN COME FORWARD AND PEOPLE CAN SPEAK AS LONG AS THEY WANT. THE PRACTICAL IMPACT IS THE ONLY PEOPLE THEN WHO ARE LIMITED WOULD BE US. I'M ASKING -- GOING TO GET UP --

POINT OF ORDER. HE MADE HIS COMMENTS AS IS, SO I'M JUST BEING INFORMED IT'S NOT A POINT OF ORDER, SO WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON. ANYONE ELSE BEFORE WE GO? HEY? OKAY, COUNCILLOR GILROY, GO AHEAD.

THANK YOU, MR. SPEAKER. I WANT TO JUST TALK ABOUT WHAT I HEARD TO CLOSE UP HERE. FIRST OF ALL, THERE ISN'T A GENERATION OF COUNCILLORS THAT DON'T COMPLAIN ABOUT THE STRONG MAYOR MODEL, AND WE CAN PAT OURSELVES ON THE BACK, BUT WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS NOT CHANGING A DAMN THING. IT'S BASICALLY WHAT WE GOT NOW. SO MY MOTION WAS REALLY TRYING TO LOOK AT, BECAUSE THE MAYOR, AND NOT TALKING ABOUT THIS MAYOR. LET'S PUT YOURSELF -- PUTTING THE PERSON AROUND HERE THE MOST THAT YOU DISAGREE WITH. MAKE THEM MAYOR, IF YOU WANT, TO REALLY THINK ABOUT THAT, BUT THEY HAVE OTHER THINGS THAT THEY CAN APPOINT, LIKE THE CHAIR OF THE POLICE BOARD, LIKE NASCO, LIKE THE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE. THERE IS LOTS OF DIFFERENT DISCRETIONS THAT THE MAYOR HAS. THIS IS LOOKING AT THOSE DISCRETIONS, AND LET'S HAVE A DIALOGUE ON THAT TO SEE IF COUNCIL CAN APPOINT SOME. SO WE'RE NOT CHANGING THE STRONG MAYOR MODEL. WE STILL HAVE IT, SO, LIKE, JUST SO YOU'RE CLEAR. AND ON ANOTHER POINT, I REALLY WANT COUNCILLOR DOBSON TO REALLY HEAR THIS BECAUSE THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT. THE MOTION THAT COUNCILLOR -- THAT OUR CHAIR, IT'S A LATE NIGHT, THAT COUNCILLOR SHARMA PUT FORWARD IS REALLY ACTUALLY JUST LIMITING THE MAYOR. WE COULD HAVE AS MANY STANDING POLICY COMMITTEES AS WE WANT. AS A COUNCIL, WE CAN SAY WE WANT A STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON BUILDING AND, YOU KNOW WHAT, WHAT THIS IS DOING IS LIMITING IT SO THAT MAYBE NOW COUNCIL ACTUALLY APPOINTS THE CHAIR SO THEY MIGHT SAY COUNCILLOR DOBSON WE THINK YOU SHOULD BE THE CHAIR. SO THIS IS JUST LIMITING IT. WE COULD HAVE WAY MORE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEES IF WE REALLY WANT TO, BUT IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE LIMIT HOW MUCH THE MAYOR CAN APPOINT. THAT IS WHAT MAKES INCLUSIVE, EQUITY, AND US TRYING TO WORK COLLABORATIVELY. SO COUNCILLOR, WHAT THIS IS SAYING IS THAT WE CAN STILL HAVE OTHER STANDING POLICY COMMITTEES AS COUNCIL, BUT WE CAN APPOINT AS COUNCIL, LIKE WE DO AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR, AT NOVEMBER, WHEN WE DECIDE ON WHO SITS ON WHAT COMMITTEES. SO THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, BECAUSE IT'S BEEN MADE IT SOUND LIKE WE'RE -- LIKE WE CAN -- WE'RE GOING TO EXPAND E.P.C., BUT IF YOU EXPAND E.P.C. AND THE MAYOR HAS THE POWER TO APPOINT, THAT'S NOT LIMITING HIS POWERS. IT'S ACTUALLY INCREASING HIS POWERS. SO I THINK THAT THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND. SO WE'RE REALLY NOT CHANGING ANYTHING. IT'S STATUS QUO, REALLY, FROM WHAT WE HAVE NOW. I MEAN, I REALLY THINK THAT THAT NEEDS TO BE CLEAR, SO I REALLY HOPE THAT YOU DO SUPPORT AT LEAST SUPPORT THE MOTION TO HAVE US GO BACK, HAVE THE ADMINISTRATION COME BACK WITH A LIST OF APPOINTMENTS THAT THE MAYOR HAS FOR US TO REFUSE. REVIEW. THEN THAT WOULD MAKE A CHANGE IN THE POWER

DYNAMIC ON COUNCIL. AND I THINK THAT THAT'S A TRUE CHANGE. RIGHT NOW IT'S STATUS QUO. LET'S MAKE REAL CHANGE. WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO THIS. GENERATIONS AFTER GENERATIONS COUNCILLORS HAVE STOOD HERE COMPLAINING ABOUT THE STRONG MAYOR MODEL. WE ARE HERE, WE COULD ACTUALLY MAKE A CHANGE. LET'S DO IT. LIKE, IF YOU REALLY WANT MORE COLLABORATION ON COUNCIL, IF YOU REALLY WANT OTHER COUNCILLORS TO BE PART OF THE DEBATE, THEN SHOW THE LEADERSHIP THAT WILL DO THIS AT COUNCIL AND WILL BE ABLE TO -- WE'LL HAVE THOSE DISCUSSIONS AT COUNCIL AND IT WON'T BE JUST THE MAYOR APPOINTING OR IT WON'T BE JUST THE MAYOR DECIDING ON THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE CHAIRS IF WE DO DECIDE TO EXPAND IT. BECAUSE I HEARD, MR. CHAIR, THAT THERE WAS THOUGHTS OF MAYBE EXPANDING IT, AND I'VE HEARD RUMBLINGS THAT WE DO HAVE LARGER WORK LOADS. WELL, WE MIGHT HAVE LARGER WORKLOADS. LET'S EXPAND E.P.C., BUT FOR HEAVEN'S SAKE, LET'S MAKE SURE THAT COUNCILLORS ARE APPOINTING THE MEMBERS OF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE AND NOT THE MAYOR BECAUSE THAT WOULD MAKE IT MORE POWERFUL. SO WE THINK WE HAVE LESS SAY NOW. IMAGINE IF WE HAD GREATER POWERS UNDER THE STRONG MAYOR MODEL. SO AFTER ALL THE FIGHTS OVER ALL THESE YEARS ABOUT THE STRONG MAYOR MODEL, WE BASICALLY HAVE THE STATUS QUO, SO THAT'S A LITTLE FRUSTRATING TO HEAR, SO I AM HOPING THAT IF YOU REALLY WANT TO MAKE A CHANGE, BECAUSE WE ALL KNOW THAT WE CAN'T MAKE THE CHANGE ON OUR OWN, YOU WILL SUPPORT MY MOTION LOOKING AT THE APPOINTMENTS AS WELL. AND I'M HOPING TO SEE THAT BECAUSE THAT IS WHAT'S GOING TO MAKE THE REAL CHANGE. SO I'M HOPING THAT YOU SUPPORT THAT. I DO WANT TO THANK THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE BECAUSE I DO THINK THEY WENT AND DID A LOT OF GREAT WORK, COUNCILLOR SHARMA THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO I HOPE THAT YOU SUPPORT MY MOTION. I'M NOT A FAVOUR -- I'M NOT GOING TO BE SUPPORTING COUNCILLOR BROWATY AND COUNCILLOR DUNCAN'S, EVEN THOUGH HE ALMOST HAD ME FOR A SECOND THERE WITH THE TIME LIMITS. THANK YOU, MR. SPEAKER.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND CLOSE DEBATE WILL BE COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

THANK YOU, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. I DID LAY OUT THE REPORT WHEN I INTRODUCED THE ITEM. A LOT'S BEEN SAID AROUND THE COUNCIL CHAMBER. APPRECIATE ALL THE DIALOGUE, ESPECIALLY AT THIS HOUR, BUT IT SHOULDN'T PREVENT US FROM DIVING INTO ANY REPORT, REGARDLESS OF THE HOUR. I HEARD SOME COMMENTS AROUND HOW MANY PEOPLE WATCH OR DON'T WATCH COUNCIL. IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT THE LIVESTREAM. IT'S ABOUT HANSARD, OKAY. GOING BACK, LOOKING AT HANSARD, IT IS DONE. IT'S THERE FOR A REASON, AND WHAT FOLKS PUT ON THE PUBLIC RECORD AROUND THIS CHAMBER MATTERS. IT MATTERS A LOT. I REALLY APPRECIATE THE MAYOR'S COMMENTS TODAY ON THE PUBLIC RECORD THAT WILL GO ON LIVESTREAM THAT WILL BE IN HANSARD THAT HE'S NOT LOOKING TO HAVE A VOTING BLOC THAT WE HAVE PREVIOUSLY SEEN ON THIS CITY COUNCIL THAT MANY OF US DID NOT LIKE. HE STATED THAT VERY CLEARLY ON THE PUBLIC RECORD TODAY. I THINK CHANGES THAT WE WANT TO SEE CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED BY THE STATEMENTS THAT THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA BE REQUESTED TO AMEND THE CITY OF WINNIPEG CHARTER TO ALLOW THE CITY TO SET ITS OWN COMMITTEE STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION. THAT GIVES A LOT OF FLEXIBILITY TO DO THINGS DIFFERENTLY, EVEN DIFFERENTLY THAN WE HAVE RIGHT NOW. THE MAYOR REDUCED THE NUMBER OF E.P.C. MEMBERS WHEN HE CAME INTO OFFICE. I DON'T SEE THAT CHANGING ANY TIME SOON, BUT THE STATEMENT THAT I READ OUT GIVES US THE FLEXIBILITY TO CREATE WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR. SO

THAT WOULD GO TO THE PROVINCE. I AM WILLING TO SUPPORT THAT. I AM NOT GOING TO BE SUPPORTING THE FIVE-MINUTE LIMIT ON SPEAKING TIME. IT WASN'T EVEN CONTEMPLATED IN THE REPORT. IT'S NOT PART OF THE GOVERNANCE REVIEW, IN MY OPINION, SOMETHING WE CAN LOOK AT AT A FUTURE DATE. I DO INTENTLY LISTEN, AS THE SPEAKER, I DIDN'T HAVE THE LUXURY TO BE SCROLLING THROUGH MY EMAIL OR GOING TO MY OFFICE AT MY PLEASURE. I INTENTLY SIT THERE AND LISTEN. I'VE BEEN LISTENING FOR 15 YEARS AS A MEMBER OF THIS CHAMBER. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE HERE TO DO. WHEN A MEMBER OF COUNCIL'S COMMUNICATING, THEY OFTEN COMMUNICATE IN DIFFERENT WAYS TO GET THEIR POINT ACROSS. I RESPECT THAT. SO I WILL NOT BE SUPPORTING THE FIVE-MINUTE LIMIT THAT'S BEING PROPOSED. COUNCILLOR MAYES HAD A POINT THAT HE WAS TRYING TO MAKE, AND IT'S A CORRECT POINT. DURING DELEGATIONS WE ASK QUESTIONS. WHEN THERE'S SPEAKING TIME, THERE'S NO OPPORTUNITY TO ASK COUNCILLOR ALLARD, COUNCILLOR BROWATY, OR ANYONE IN THIS CHAMBER, TO CLARIFY WHAT THEY'RE SAYING. A LOT OF PEOPLE WENT OVER FIVE MINUTES TODAY, BY THE WAY, AND THOSE SAME MEMBERS THAT WERE LOOKING TO MAYBE TRY TO SUPPORT THAT ITEM, THE FIVE-MINUTE DECREASE. YOU WENT OVER FIVE MINUTES TODAY. SO AS I SAID, LOTS HAS BEEN SAID TODAY ON THIS PARTICULAR TOPIC. A TON OF WORK WENT INTO IT. I WANT TO THANK OUR CLERKS ONCE AGAIN, MR. GEMERAL, MR. POITRAS AND YOUR TEAM FOR ALL THE WORK THAT WENT INTO IT. COUNCILLOR LUKES, YOU'VE BEEN A BIG CHAMPION OF THE GOVERNANCE REVIEW, AND I THINK ALL OF US HAVE BEEN ON BOARD TO SEE THIS REPORT THROUGH. SO MR. SPEAKER, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, WITH THAT, LET'S VOTE ON THE ITEMS BEFORE US. THANK YOU. I'M MAKING THE REQUEST TO VOTE FOR MOTION 4 AND BREAK IT DOWN INTO THE TWO CLAUSES THAT WE SEE BEFORE US.

OKAY. ANY FURTHER -- IF I MAY, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, FURTHER TO THE REQUEST MADE BY COUNCILLOR SHARMA TO BE CONSISTENT, I THINK WE DO THE SAME WITH THE ROLLINS GILROY MOTION. AS WELL I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE DO THE SAME WITH THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS ON PAGE 2 AND 3, I.E., BREAK IT DOWN BETWEEN 1 AND WHERE YOU HAVE IT BROKEN DOWN IN SUBSECTION 6A, B, C, THAT THE VOTES BE SEPARATE.

THAT'S NOT POSSIBLE FOR THE REPORT.

[INDISCERNIBLE] BEEN INFORMED, NO, YOU CAN DO THE NUMBERS, BUT YOU CAN'T DO THE SUBSECTIONS. SO YOU CAN'T DO 2A, 2B, IT'S JUST 2. SO YOU CAN DO THAT 1, 2, 3, 4.

[INDISCERNIBLE] 1 THROUGH TO 8.

ONE THROUGH TO EIGHT. AND THE AMENDING MOTION 3 IS JUST ONE, NINE, AND THEN . . . AND ITEM NO. 4, THERE ARE TWO SEPARATE ONES THERE, SO THOSE CAN BE BROKEN INTO TWO PIECES AND VOTED ON SEPARATELY. SO THAT'S THE WAY WE'RE GOING TO DO IT, I'VE BEEN TOLD. WE'RE FIRST GOING TO START WITH ITEM NO. 4, AMENDING MOTION 4. THEN WE'RE GOING TO -- AND IT'S BEEN -- SO WE'RE GOING TO DO IT RECOMMENDATION NO. 1 IN THERE IS TO DELETE RECOMMENDATION 2 IN ITS ENTIRETY TO REPLACE WITH THE FOLLOWING, YOU ALL HAVE IT IN FRONT OF YOU. I DON'T THINK I HAVE TO READ IT OUT. SO THAT'S VOTE NO. 1. SO ALL IN FAVOUR?

YE.

RECORDED VOTE FOR ALL OF THESE.

SORRY, GO AHEAD, COUNCILLOR MAYES HAS A QUESTION.

OKAY, ARE WE ON ITEM 4, THEN? I'M SORRY. OKAY, AND --

RECORDED VOTE.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

AMENDING MOTION NO. 4, WE'RE GOING TO VOTE ON EACH CLAUSE SEPARATELY AS WE REQUESTED, AND THEN WE'LL GO ON TO AMENDING MOTION NO. 3, WHICH IS JUST ONE, AND THEN WE'LL GO ON TO THE FINAL OVERALL RECOMMENDATION, AND WE'LL DO THEM SEPARATELY AS HAS BEEN REQUESTED. WELL, IT'S NINE, REALLY, BUT UP TO TEN. OKAY? SO A LOT OF VOTES COMING UP, RIGHT?

[INDISCERNIBLE].

SURE.

MR. SPEAKER, SOMEONE CALLED FOR ON THIS ONE, BUT CAN WE PLEASE HAVE A RECORDED VOTE ON ALL THIS STUFF.

RECORDED VOTE IS BEING REQUESTED ON ALL MEMBERS, SO WE'RE GOING TO BE HERE SOON, NO PROBLEM. OKAY, SO WE'RE GOING TO BE STARTING ON, VERY CLEAR, WE'RE STARTING ON MOTION NO. 4. WE'LL READ IT. THE FIRST ONE IS THE CLAUSES REGARDING NO. 2. GO AHEAD.

DELETE RECOMMENDATION 2 IN ITS ENTIRETY AND REPLACE WITH THE FOLLOWING: TWO, THAT THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA BE REQUESTED TO AMEND THE CITY OF WINNIPEG CHARTER TO ALLOW THE CITY TO SET ITS OWN COMMITTEE STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION.

OKAY. THERE WILL BE A RECORDED VOTE REQUESTED ON THIS, ON ALL THE ITEMS, SO THOSE IN FAVOUR, PLEASE RISE.

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SHARMA.

OKAY, ALL THOSE OPPOSED?

COUNCILLORS EADIE, GILROY, SCHREYER, WYATT, AND MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

THE VOTE, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, YEAS 11, NAYS 5.

FAIR ENOUGH. SO THAT ONE, THAT AMENDMENT WILL PASS. NO. 2, D, GO AHEAD.

ADD THE FOLLOWING NEW RECOMMENDATION 6D. D, TO SET A TOTAL SPEAKING TIME LIMIT FOR MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AT COUNCIL AS FOLLOWS. I, ON ANY MOTION, THE MOVER SHALL BE ENTITLED TO SPEAK FOR TEN MINUTES AND ANY OTHER MEMBER OF COUNCIL SHALL BE ENTITLED TO SPEAK WERE FIVE MINUTES PROVIDED THAT COUNCIL MAY ON MOTION WITHOUT DEBATE PERMIT A MEMBER TO SPEAK FOR A FURTHER PERIOD NOT EXCEEDING TWO MINUTES IN ADDITION TO THE REGULAR TIME ALLOWED. ON CLOSING DEBATE, THE MOVER OF A MOTION SHALL BE GIVEN EIGHT MINUTES TO CLOSE.

OKAY. ALL IN SUPPORT, RISE. RISE, PEOPLE, RISE.

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM. COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, LUKES, SANTOS.

OKAY, ALL OPPOSED?

COUNCILLORS EADIE, GILROY, MAYES, ROLLINS, SCHREYER, WYATT, SHARMA, AND MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

THE VOTE, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, YEAS EIGHT, NAYS EIGHT.

SO THE MOTION FAILS. MOVING ON TO -- SORRY, THE CLAUSE D FAILS. MOVING ON TO AMENDING MOTION NO. 3, ITEM NO. 9.

THAT ITEM TWO OF THE REPORT OF THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL DATED MARCH 11, 2025, BE AMENDED BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING NEW RECOMMENDATION 9 AND RENUMBERING THE REMAINING RECOMMENDATION ACCORDINGLY. 9, THAT THE PUBLIC SERVICE BE DIRECTED TO REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL THROUGH THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE WITHIN 90 DAYS WITH THE FOLLOWING. A, A COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF ALL COMMITTEES, BOARDS OR POSITIONS WHERE THE MAYOR APPOINTS, DESIGNATES OR NOMINATES A MEMBER OF COUNCIL, EXCLUDING ANY APPOINTMENT AUTHORITY GRANTED BY THE CITY OF WINNIPEG CHARTER. B, A LISTING OF ANY BYLAWS, COUNCIL DECISIONS OR COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE THAT REQUIRE AMENDMENTS IN ORDER TO TRANSFER THE APPOINTMENT AUTHORITY FROM THE MAYOR TO COUNCIL.

OKAY, RECORDED VOTE HAS BEEN CALLED. ALL IN FAVOUR, PLEASE RISE.

COUNCILLORS EADIE, GILROY, MAYES, ROLLINS, SCHREYER, WYATT, SHARMA AND MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

ALL OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, LUKES AND SANTOS.

THE VOTE, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, YEAS EIGHT, NAYS EIGHT.

IT APPEARS THAT WE HAVE MORE WORK TO DO TO GET TO THAT, SO AMENDING MOTION 3 FAILS. CLAUSE 8, 9 -- NO, AMENDING MOTION 3.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

I'M WITH YOU. OKAY, SO THAT ONE, WE GOT THESE TWO. WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON NOW TO THE MAIN MOTION, THE REQUEST TO DO IT LINE BY LINE, BUT THIS WILL BE, I GUESS WE HAVE THE ONE THAT'S AMENDED THEN, WHICH WOULD -- RIGHT? WHICH WOULD BE 2A. SO WE'RE NOT GOING TO DO THAT AGAIN. THAT'S OVER? OKAY, THANK YOU. SO NUMBER --

[INDISCERNIBLE].

AS AMENDED.

THE MOTION WAS TO DELETE NO. 2 AND REPLACE IT [INDISCERNIBLE].

SO MOTION 4 WAS TO DELETE RECOMMENDATION 2 IN ITS ENTIRETY, PART 1 OF MOTION 4, AND REPLACE WITH THE FOLLOWING, THAT THE PROVINCE BE REQUESTED TO AMEND THE CITY OF WINNIPEG CHARTER TO ALLOW THE CITY TO SET ITS OWN COMMITTEE STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

NO, THAT ACTUALLY PASSED.

THAT PASSED.

SO NO. 2 OF THE ITEM HAS ALREADY BEEN VOTED ON.

OKAY. BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE VOTING ON THAT ONE.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

NO, WE DON'T. OKAY, THE RULING OF THE CHAIR IS WE'RE NOT VOTING ON TWO. WE'VE ALREADY DONE IT. LET'S MOVE ON. MOVING ON. NO. 2 HAS BEEN AMENDED ALREADY. WE VOTED ON IT. LET'S MOVE ON. WE'LL START NO. 1, GO AHEAD.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

WELL, AGAIN, UNLESS SOMEONE WANTS TO PULL OFF THAT, I'M HAPPY NOT TO DO CLAUSE-BY-CLAUSE, BUT . . . OH, I APPRECIATE YOU.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

JUST WANT TO SPEAK TO THE ITEM THAT WE ALREADY VOTED ON CLAUSE 2. WE VOTE ON A REPORT, WHY DO WE THEN VOTE ON SOMETHING THAT WAS AMENDED ON A REPORT? BECAUSE WE ALREADY VOTED FOR IT, SO WHY WOULD WE -- SO THE POINT --

WE AREN'T VOTING ON IT.

THE POINT I'M TRYING TO MAKE IS, LIKE, LIKE WE DO IT WHEN OTHER REPORTS ARE AMENDED. LIKE, I DON'T GET IT. JUST BECAUSE IT'S CLAUSE-BY-CLAUSE?

WE'RE VOTING CLAUSE-BY-CLAUSE, COUNCILLOR. THAT'S WHY.

OKAY.

WE GOT IT ENOUGH? DON'T HAVE TO LIKE IT, BUT YOU GOT IT? OKAY. MOVING ON.

[INDISCERNIBLE].

OH, PLEASE.

THEY BE CITED BEFORE WE VOTE?

YES.

OKAY, THANK YOU.

WE CAN ALLOW OUR CLERK TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT. WE'LL DO NO. 1 FIRST. GO AHEAD.

RECOMMENDATION 1, THAT THE WINNIPEG PUBLIC SERVICE BE DIRECTED TO CONSULT WITH THE APPLICABLE AREA COUNCILLOR PRIOR TO ANY REPORT INVOLVING SERVICE CUTS OR LAND USE MATTERS WITHIN THEIR WARD BEING SUBMITTED TO COMMITTEE WITH THE EXCEPTION OF MATTERS THAT ARE SUBJECT OF A PUBLIC HEARING AND THAT CONFIRMATION OF THE CONSULTATION BE INCLUDED IN THE REPORT, SIMILAR TO THE EXISTING PROCESS ON CERTAIN REAL ESTATE MATTERS.

OKAY. [INDISCERNIBLE] RECORDED VOTE. SO ALL IN FAVOUR? OKAY.

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS AWARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, WYATT, SHARMA, AND MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

THE VOTE, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, YEAS 16, NAYS 0.

OKAY, WE'RE MOVING ON NOW TO NO. 3.

RECOMMENDATION 3, THAT WHEN MAKING APPOINTMENTS TO THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE, THE MAYOR CONSIDER HAVING ALL WARDS REPRESENTED OVER TIME.

OKAY. ALL IN SUPPORT?

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM. COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, EADIE, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS, WYATT, SHARMA, AND MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

THANK YOU. ALL OPPOSED?

COUNCILLORS DUNCAN AND SCHREYER.

OH!

THE VOTE, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, YEAS 14, NAYS 2.

THEY AGREED WITH EACH OTHER! KUMBAYA.

MOVING ON.

RECOMMENDATION 4, THAT PRIOR TO THE CONSIDERATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PLANNING COMMISSION, THE WINNIPEG PUBLIC SERVICE BE DIRECTED TO REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL ONE YEAR AFTER THE COMPLETION OF THE WINNIPEG ZONING BYLAW RE-WRITES WITH AN ANALYSIS ON CHANGES WHICH IMPACT THE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL IN FAVOUR, UP.

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM. COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SHARMA AND MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

AND ALL OPPOSED PLEASE RISE.

COUNCILLORS DUNCAN, EADIE, GILROY, SCHREYER AND WYATT.

THE VOTE, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, YEAS 11, NAYS 5.

ITEM NO. 5.

RECOMMENDATION 5, THAT THE INDIGENOUS RELATIONS DIVISION BE ENCOURAGED TO FACILITATE ANNUAL DISCUSSIONS BETWEEN INDIGENOUS ELDERS AND COUNCIL REGARDING PERTINENT ISSUES.

ALL IN SUPPORT, RISE.

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, EADIE, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, WYATT, SHARMA, AND MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

ALL OPPOSED?

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

THE VOTE, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, YEAS 15, NAYS 1.

SO PASSES. MOVING ON TO NO. 6.

RECOMMENDATION 6, THAT THE PROCEDURE BYLAW NO. 50/2007, BE AMENDED, A, TO FORMALIZE THE PROCESS FOR THE GRANTING OF LEAVES OF ABSENCE FOR MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AT COUNCIL AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS TO INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING REASONS: I, PERSONAL MATTER. II, CITY BUSINESS. B, I, TO REQUIRE THAT WHEN MEMBERS OF COUNCIL ARRIVE AT COUNCIL AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS AFTER THE START OF THE MEETING, THE TIME IN WHICH THEY ENTERED THE MEETING BE RECORDED IN THE MINUTES. II, TO REQUIRE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL TO ADVISE COUNCIL OR COMMITTEE IF THEY WILL BE LEAVING THE MEETING PRIOR TO ADJOURNMENT AND THE TIME IN WHICH THEY LEAVE BE RECORDED IN THE MINUTES. C, TO SET A TOTAL SPEAKING TIME LIMIT FOR DELEGATIONS AND PUBLIC REPRESENTATIONS AT COUNCIL MEETINGS TO FIVE MINUTES REGARDLESS OF THE NUMBER OF ITEMS ADDRESSED, PLUS EXTENSIONS OF TIME AS MAY BE GRANTED UP TO A MAXIMUM OF A TOTAL OF FIVE ADDITIONAL MINUTES.

ALL RIGHT, ALL IN SUPPORT, RISE.

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, GILROY, LUKES, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, WYATT, AND MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

ALL THOSE OPPOSED, PLEASE RISE.

COUNCILLORS EADIE, MAYES --

[INDISCERNIBLE].

WELL, I HAVE THAT IN MY BOOK. THERE'S A PROCEDURE BYLAW ON THAT ONE. SO THERE IS WITH

COUNCIL'S INDULGENCE, COUNCILLOR SHARMA MISSED A VOTE.

ACTUALLY, I [INDISCERNIBLE].

SO COULD YOU JUST RECORD HER VOTE NOW?

YEAH, WE CAN DO THAT.

YEAH, SO JUST YEA OR NAY?

POSITIVE.

OKAY. THE THE VOTE, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, YEAS 14, NAYS 2.

ALL RIGHT, MOVING ON TO NO. 7.

RECOMMENDATION 7, THAT THE CITY ORGANIZATIONAL BYLAW 7100/91 BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS. A, TO REQUIRE THAT ANY POLITICAL DIRECTION TO AMEND ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS AFTER THEY HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE MAYOR'S OFFICE BE DOCUMENTED IN THE SUBJECT REPORT. B, TO TRANSFER THE JURISDICTION OF ALL AUDIT MATTERS CURRENTLY DELEGATED TO THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE TO A NEW AUDIT COMMITTEE COMPROMISED OF THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS TO BE APPOINTED BY COUNCIL ANNUALLY AT THE ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF COUNCIL BEGINNING IN NOVEMBER 2025. I, THE MAYOR, CHAIRPERSON. II, TWO COUNCILLORS WHO DO NOT SIT ON THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. III, ONE CITIZEN MEMBER WITH A PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATION IN LAW. IV, ONE CITIZEN MEMBER WITH A PROFESSIONAL DESIGNATION IN ACCOUNTING.

ALL RIGHT. ALL IN FAVOUR, RISE.

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR GILLINGHAM. COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, EADIE, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, WYATT, SHARMA, AND MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

ALL THOSE OPPOSED, PLEASE RISE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

THE VOTE, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, YEAS 15 AND NAYS 1.

MOTION PASSES. NO. 8?

RECOMMENDATION 8, THAT PRIOR TO NOVEMBER 2025, THE WINNIPEG PUBLIC SERVICE BE DIRECTED TO REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL THROUGH THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE WITH RECOMMENDATIONS FOR APPOINTING CITIZEN MEMBERS TO THE AUDIT COMMITTEE AND THE REPORTING RELATIONSHIP

BETWEEN THE AUDIT COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL.

ALL RIGHT. ALL THOSE IN SUPPORT, RISE. THIS IS NO. 8.

[INDISCERNIBLE] LOOKING AT THE RECOMMENDATION IN THE AGENDA, NOT IN THE REPORT.

OH, OKAY, I APOLOGIZE.

OKAY, SO NO. 8. ALL IN RISING, WE ALL DID. GO AHEAD.

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR GILLINGHAM. COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, WYATT, SHARMA, AND MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

THANK YOU.

THE VOTE, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, 16 YEAS AND NAYS 0.

THIS ONE SEEMS TO -- ARE WE VOTING ON -- WELL . . .

[INDISCERNIBLE].

HUH? 9 AND 10 ARE FUNCTIONAL ONES, BUT -- 9 AND 10? WE'LL DO 9 AND 10 TOGETHER. ALL IN SUPPORT?

AYE.

HOW MANY NAYS DO I SEE? ONE NAY? TWO NAYS? SO DON'T NEED TO DO RECORDED VOTE. THANK YOU. MOVING ON, NO, ACTUALLY MY NOTES SAY THAT'S FOR COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. CONGRATULATIONS, EVERYBODY. GOOD MEETING TODAY, I GATHER. LOTS OF HEAVY LIFTING. CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU ALL. LISTENING TO ME, SO CONGRATULATIONS FOR THAT. SO THAT DOES CONCLUDE OUR AGENDA TODAY. OH, YEAH, AND THERE'S NO QUESTION PERIOD BECAUSE IT'S MY FIRST TIME, SO GO AWAY. NO, I GUESS THERE HAS TO ALLOW A QUESTION PERIOD. AND SEEING NO QUESTIONS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THAT IS PROPER PROTOCOL, SO -- HUH? NO. WELL, THERE SEEMS TO BE A LOT OF PEOPLE. SO MOVED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER AND BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS AND BY WHOEVER ELSE TO MOVE TO ADJOURN. ALL IN FAVOUR?

AYE.

OPPOSED? SEEING NONE, ROLL CALL? HANG ON, EVERYBODY. WE GOT ROLL CALL.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW, HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, GILROY, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS,

SCHREYER, AND SHARMA.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MEETING IS ADJOURNED AND HAVE A GOOD SPRING BREAK, EVERYBODY.