COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG

Thursday, September 25, 2025 (Un-audited)

The following text file was produced in real-time and may contain inaccuracies. A complete and corrected Hansard document for this meeting is being produced and will replace this document when completed.

To verify any parts of this document in the meantime, please visit www.winnipeg.ca/clkdmis to listen to a complete Electronic Hansard of this meeting.

SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING TESTING. READY FOR THE COUNT DOWN PLEASE. GOOD MORNING. MR. SPEAKER, PARDON ME. MR. CLERK, DO WE HAVE A QUORUM?

CLERK**:** YES, MADAM SPEAKER, WE HAVE QUORUM.

SPEAKER:I CALL THIS MEETING FOR SEPTEMBER 2025 TO ORDER. AND ACKNOWLEDGE THAT WE'RE ON TREATY ONE TERRITORY. THE INNINU AND THE DAKOTA PEOPLES. OUR DRINKING WATER COMES FROM SHO LAKE FORTY-FIRST NATION IN TREATY TERRITORY. WE'LL NOW HAVE THE OPENING PRAYER. PLEASE RISE IN YOU'RE ABLE AND WE'LL HEAR FROM COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. I DID ACTUALLY PUT A LOT OF THOUGHT INTO PRAYER TODAY ALL THE DIFFERENT PEOPLE, THE DIFFERENT STYLES, THE PEOPLE I'VE REALLY LOOKED UP TO OTHER PEOPLE I'VE KIND OF LOOKED DOWN TO BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY, EVERYBODY'S STILL HERE FOR THE SAME PURPOSE. I TRULY BELIEVE THAT. WE ALL UNDERSTAND THERE'S A LOT OF GOOD IN THIS WORLD AND GOOD IS DIFFICULT. IT'S EASY TO BE ANGRY, IT'S EASY TO BE MEAN AND WE'RE SEEING THAT ACROSS THE WORLD RIGHT NOW. BUT FOR US AS LEADERS IN OUR COMMUNITIES AND AS THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, WE MUST REMAIN OUR FOCUS ON BEING GOOD GOOD TO EACH OTHER, BUT WE NEED TO BE GOOD TO EACH OTHER. WE NEED TO BE GOOD TO THE RESIDENTS THAT WE SERVE, THE ONES THAT WE SUPPORT, THE ONES THAT FOLLOW OUR IDEOLOGY AND MOST IMPORTANTLY THE ONES WHO DON'T BECAUSE THOSE ARE THE ONES THAT ARE FEELING MORE OSTRACIZED. WE NEED TO BRING PEOPLE BACK INTO THE COLLECTIVE WHOLE INTO OUR GOVERNMENT SYSTEMS SO THEY HAVE FAITH IN US AND FAITH IN OUR GOVERNMENT. AS WE KNOW, ACROSS THE WORLD FAITH IN GOVERNMENT IS HAVING A SERIOUS ASSAULT. I HAVE FULL FAITH IN ALL OF YOU TO BE ABLE TO DO THE BEST THAT YOU CAN DO TO GO FORTH FOR YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD AND YOUR BELIEFS BUT DO IT UNDER THE UMBRELLA OF GOODNESS, COURAGE, AND FAITH, WHATEVER THAT MAY MEAN TO YOU. SO I

HOPE AND I WISH AND I PRAY FOR ALL OF US TO BE ABLE TO CARRY THAT MANTLE FORWARD BECAUSE WIN FACES A LOT OF CHALLENGES.

SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORDS THIS MORNING. COUNCILLORS DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, GILROY.

SPEAKER: THANK YOU. WE HAVE A LEAVE OF ABSENCE MOTION FOR COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS WHO WILL NOT BE HERE DUE TO A PERSONAL FAMILY MATTER. THIS IS MOVED BY MYSELF AND THE DEPUTY SPEAKER. ALL IN FAVOR, CONTRARY CARRIED. MADAM CLERK, YOU MAY READ THAT INTO THE RECORD AS WELL. >> MOVED BY COUNCILLOR SHARMA. THE COUNCILLORS LEAVE THE CHAMBER TODAY DUE TO A FAMILY MATTER.

SPEAKER: IT'S MY PLEASURE TO INTRODUCE TODAY'S PAGES. HIMANI AND DANIEL TWAN FROM ST. JOHN'S HIGH SCHOOL. WELCOME AND THANK YOU FOR JOINING COUNCIL TODAY. I'D LIKE TO NOW WELCOME OUR CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER MR. JOSEPH DUNNFORD. ITSELF HIS INAUGURAL MEETING WITH CITY COUNCIL. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. [Applause] WE NOW HAVE MORNING ANNOUNCEMENTS. MR. MAYOR, YOU HAVE THE FLOOR. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. WELCOME BACK ALL CITY COUNCIL LORS. I HOPE YOU'VE HAD A BIT OF TIME OFF A WEEK OR TWO IN AUGUST AND ALSO HAD A PRODUCTIVE SUMMER. I TOO WANT TO LEND MY WELCOME. I KNOW THAT YOU'RE NEXT WEEK, SEPTEMBER 30TH IS NATIONAL TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION DAY AND I RECENTLY HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO AND SALES FROM THOSE SHIRTS GO TO ORANGE SHIRT SOCIETY OUR CITY HAS SEEN SIGNIFICANT STEPS, BUT WE ALL KNOW THERE'S A LOT MORE WORK TO DO AND I WANT TO THANK ALL OF MY COUNCILLOR COLLEAGUES AND ALL WINNIPEGERS TAKING THIS MATTER SERIOUSLY AND ARE MAKING AN EFFORT TO CONTINUE THE PATH OF RECONCILIATION TOGETHER. ON TUESDAY, I'LL BE JOINING THE SOUTHERN CHIEF'S ORGANIZATION FOR THE ORANGE SHIRT HEALING DAY WALK AND ALSO FOR THE POW WOW. I KNOW YOU AND COUNCILLORS. ON ANOTHER MATTER. WE'VE SEEN UNFORTUNATE CRIME IN THE CITY. IT'S NOT JUST A ONE PART OF THE CITY, WE SEE IT EVERYWHERE. WE KNOW COUNCILLORS ARE FED UP. MY OFFICES HAS STARTED ISSUING WEEKLY HABITUAL OFFENDERS AND I'M CALLING ON OTTAWA TO ACT AND MAKE CHANGES TO THE BAIL LEGISLATION. I'M ENCOURAGING WINNIPEGERS TO WRITE. ALSO THE BIG CHANGE AS WINNIPEG TRANSIT LAUNCHED ITS NEW PRIMARY TRANSIT NETWORK. HUNDREDS HAVE TRIED THE TRANSIT NETWORK. AND WE ALL HAVE BEEN MONITORING REACTIONS CLOSELY. THERE'S BEEN

SOME GOOD AND REAL POSITIVE FEEDBACK. BUT WE ALSO KNOW THAT OTHERS ARE CALLING FOR CHANGES AND AS A COUNCIL, WE'RE ASKED FOR SOME CHANGES AS WELL. WE'RE SO GRATEFUL FOR EVERYONE WHO SHARED THEIR CONSTRUCTIVE CRITICISM AS THEY ADJUST TOGETHER. IT HELPS TO MAKE ADJUSTMENTS FOR IMPROVEMENT AND WE HAVE CALLED FOR THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC USING TRANSIT TO PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR FEEDBACK, WE ASK THAT YOU KEEP DOING THAT WHETHER IT'S POSITIVE FEEDBACK OR CRITIC THIS IS THE LARGEST CHANGE. IN WINNIPEG TRANSIT'S 142-YEAR HISTORY. AND THE TRANSIT TEAM CERTAINLY IS WATCHING FOR AND ADJUSTING AS NEEDED. WE'LL BE MAKING MORE CHANGES IN THE MONTH AHEAD. THAT'S ALWAYS BEEN THE PLAN. I DO WANT TO THANK OUR TRANSIT STAFF AND OPERATORS FOR THEIR WORK ON THIS AND JUST THIS MORNING, COUNCILLOR LUKES AND I PUT OUT A RELEASE STATING THAT WE ARE COMMITTED TO LOOKING AT THIS MATTER AND SPECIFICALLY EXPANDING TRANSIT SERVICE AS PART OF THE UP COMING 2026 BUDGET PROCESS SO THEN ALL OF COUNCIL WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS THIS, DEBATE ON IT, AND VOTE ON IT ULTIMATELY. FROM THE START, AS I SAID, WE KNEW WE WOULD NEED TO MAKE ADJUSTMENTS AND PEOPLE WHO WORK LATE SHIFTS OR NEED RELIABLE TRANSPORTATION AT NIGHT NEED TO KNOW THAT THE BUS WILL BE THERE FOR THEM. SO THIS IS ONE OF THE AREAS OF ADJUSTMENT WE'VE KNOWN WE NEED TO MAKE. MANY COUNCILLORS AROUND THIS CHAMBER HAS HEARD THIS ISSUE AS WELL AS FAR AS THE NEED FOR MORE SPECIFIC LATE NIGHT SERVICE. WE ARE GOING TO ADDRESS THIS AND SO I THANK MY COLLEAGUES FOR THEIR COMMITMENT TO THIS ONGOING WORK. THANK YOU.

SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU. I WANT TO CONGRATULATE THE JEWISH COMMUNITY AND THE NEXT WEEK IS YAM CAPOR AND I WANT TO THANK THE JEWISH COMMITTEE OF WINNIPEG FOR ALL THEIR DEDICATION TO OUR CITY SINCE THE INCEPTION OF OUR CITY. IT'S ONGOING AND ESPECIALLY IN THESE VERY DIFFICULT TIMES, THEIR ONGOING COMMITMENT TO ISRAEL AND THE ONGOING COMMITMENT MANY MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL HAVE TO ISRAEL AND THE CHALLENGES THEY'RE FACING TODAY. OUR THOUGHTS ARE WITH THEM. I KNOW THE MAYOR'S THOUGHTS ARE WITH THEM AND SO I JUST WANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT. ON ANOTHER NOTE, THIS WEEKEND IS THE WEEK BRASH AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE EVERYBODY TO COME OUT AND ENJOY AND IF YOU WANT TO PARTY WITH THE COOL KIDS, THIS IS WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE GOING OUT AS YOU CAN JOIN THE COOL KIDS AND THE PARTY THEREAFTER AND WE

LAUNCH THIS WEEKEND. SO FRIDAY AND SATURDAY IN THE DOWNTOWN, THERE'S A WONDERFUL THING AND THERE'S THE PARTIES BEFORE AND THERE'S THE AFTER PARTIES THEY CALL IT. SO IF YOU WANT TO JOIN ME AND SOME OF MY COOL KID FRIENDS, I WELCOME YOU ALL TO THE WEED WASH. YOU'RE DEFINITELY WELCOME TO TAG ALONG. I'VE GOT AN OUT FIT FOR YOU IF YOU'D LIKE.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WYATT. COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: IT'S A SUIT CLEARLY. THANK YOU, SPEAKER. [Speaking French].

SPEAKER:THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ALLARD. THERE WERE SOME TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES WITH THE TRANSLATION. COUNCILLOR MAYES HAS A SIMILAR ANNOUNCEMENT TODAY.

:COUNCILLOR MAYES: I THINK WE BOTH WANTED TO SAY A FEW WORDS AND PRAISE AND WAS THE LONG LASTING PHRASE. SO I WANTED TO -- I THOUGHT OF POSTING SOMETHING AND THEN I SAW COUNCILLOR ALLARD'S COMMENTS WERE SO GOOD AND HEART FELT AND I THINK THAT'S GOOD AND I WENT BACK AND LOOKEDED AT VARIOUS PHOTOS OF ME WITH AND MATT AND GABRIEL DUFO. A NAME WE PUT BACK ON THE MAP 50 YEARS AFTER COUNCIL TOOK IT OFF. SO REALLY JUST WANTED TO SOLUTE PAULETTE AND HER DEPUTY AND SHE WAS AN IMPORTANT FIGURE IN BOTH WARDS.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR MAYES. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY CARRIED. WE'LL NOW MOVE INTO DELEGATIONS. MADAM CLERK, THE FIRST DELEGATION. OKAY. THE FIRST DELEGATION IS WITH US. THAT'S STEVE SCHNEIDER. HE'S ONLINE TODAY IN SUPPORT OF ITEM 1 ON THIS POLICY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. IT'S A REPORT DATED SEPTEMBER 15TH. IT'S REGARDING THE FINANCIAL STATUS AND FORECAST REPORT. WELCOME, STEVE SCHNEIDER. >> CAN YOU HEAR ME?

SPEAKER:YES, WE CAN. >> EXCELLENT. THANK YOU. I'D JUST LIKE TO SAY A COUPLE REMARKS REGARDING THE FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT THAT JUST CAME OUT A LITTLE WHILE TO FINANCE. I IN SUPPORT OF THIS REPORT BUT I HAVE SOME CONCERNS REGARDING IT PARTICULARLY THE FACT THAT IN -- SINCE 2022'S BUDGET, IT HAS BEEN ASKED THAT A REPLENISHMENT PLAN BE PUT IN PLACE FOR THE FINANCIAL STABILIZATION RESERVE AND STILL NONE HAS

BEEN PASSED OTHER THAN INTERMEASURES AND SECONDLY THAT THERE WAS SOME ALLUDING TO REDUCING THE AMOUNT OF THE FINANCIAL STABILIZATION RESERVE. IT DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ABOUT THAT BUT THE CITIES HAVE A LOWER AND I BELIEVE DROPPING THAT FLOOR WOULD PUT THE CITY IN A BAD FINANCIAL DECISION AND I JUST WANTED TO ADD THAT TO THIS PARTICULAR DELEGATION AND I'M GOING TO BE BRIEF. THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU. ARE THERE ANY DELEGATIONS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH STEVE. HAVE A GREAT DAY. OUR NEXT DELEGATION IS REBECCA NICHOLS TO BE HEARD WITH RESPECT TO ITEM TWO ON THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY SERVICES. THE REPORT DATED SEPTEMBER 8TH AND REGARDING BY-LAW ENFORCEMENT. MADAM CLERK, IF I COULD CALL YOU DOWN PLEASE.
>> GOOD MORNING MAYOR AND COUNCIL. AS STATED, MY NAME IS REBECCA NICHOLS. OVER 106 YEARS OF A BETTER CITY, PROVINCE, AND COUNTRY. OUR CURRENT MISSION IS TO IMPROVE SOCIAL CONDITIONS THROUGH RESEARCH, ENGAGEMENT, AND ACTION. OUR VISION IS FOR A SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY THAT'S WE ARE ASKING THAT YOU PAUSE THIS MOTION AND CONSULT WITH THE SECTOR TO DEVELOP SOLUTIONS AND ACTIONS WHICH ADDRESS ALL OF THE CONCERNS TO CREATE REAL CHANGE IN OUR CITY. OUR SPECIFIC CONCERNS ARE, ONE, GIVEN THE CITY HAS SEEN SUCCESS WITH THE SECTOR, THE CITY SHOULD IN DUE DILIGENCE CONSULT THE SECTOR ON POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF THIS PROPOSED MOTION. TWO, IT REMAINS UNCLEAR IF THIS MOTION ALIGNS WITH THE PROVINCE'S YOUR WAY HOME STRATEGY THAT THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL HAVE PLEDGED TO SUPPORT SUPPLY IN WINNIPEG, THE CITY WILL BE FAILING IN ITS OBLIGATIONS. IF THIS POLICY TO ADMINISTER TOXIC DRUGS AND ISOLATION OR FAR FROM MEDICAL ASSISTANCE. FOR PEOPLE TO MOVE FROM SPACE TO SPACE, WE'LL DISCONNECT THEM FROM SUPPORT SERVICES AND THEIR SOCIAL SAFETY NETWORKS. SIX, GIVEN THIS MOTION SEEKS TO BE IN EFFECT BY NOVEMBER 17TH, AS COLD WEATHER MOVES IN, SOME WILL SEE THIS STRATEGY AS A SUCCESS WHEN IMPACTED POTENTIALLY ONLY INCREASED HIDDEN HOMELESSNESS GIVEN THE COLD WEATHER STRATEGY, IT'S PRIMARILY FOCUSED ON OVERNIGHT WARMING SHELTERS, THERE'S INCREASED SHELTERS TO FORCE PEOPLE TO MOVE AROUND IN THE DAYTIME IN HARSH WINNIPEG WINTERS. EIGHT, SOME OF THE CONCERNS LISTED IN THE MOTION SUCH AS THE RISK TO CHILDREN FROM TRACE AMOUNTS OF FENTANYL UNPACKAGING ARE NOT SUPPORTED BY EVIDENCE. NINE, GIVEN THAT DRUG PARAPHERNALIA IS FOUND THROUGHOUT THE CITY IN PUBLIC SPACES WITHOUT ENCAMPMENTS, THIS POLICY WILL HAVE NO EFFECT AND IT WILL WASTE RESOURCES THAT COULD BE BETTER PUT TO PROVIDING SUPPORT TO THE SECTOR TO CONTINUE

THEIR EFFECTIVE AND EVIDENCE-BASED APPROACHES. AND 10, GIVEN THAT OUTREACH TEAMS ACCORDING TO MAYOR GILLINGHAM AND MINISTER SMITH ARE PROHIBITING FROM MOVING ENCAMPMENTS, THERE WILL BE INCREASED COST TO THE CITY WHICH EVER MEMBERS OF THE SERVICE ARE TASKED TO ENACT THIS MOTION. ALL THESE POINTS INDICATE A POTENTIAL COST OF THIS MOTION. IN REAL DOLLARS TO THE HEALTH AND WELL-BEING OF OUR MOST VULNERABLE INHABITANTS AND COLLECTIVE HUMANITY. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS A RESPONSE TO CONCERNS CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT FORWARD. THE CURRENT APPROACH HAS ONLY BEEN IN PRACTICE FOR THE LAST FEW YEARS. GIVEN THE INTERGENERATIONAL MANLY POVERTY AND ITS REPERCUSSIONS. IT'S UNREASONABLE TO EXPECT THOSE HARMS CAN BE UNDONE IN A SHORT TIME. AFTER DECADES WE'VE SPENT IGNORING AND EXACERBATING THE PROBLEM. ESPECIALLY WHEN WE DO NOT HAVE THE NECESSARY HOUSING SUPPLY OR SUPPORTS. THIS POLICY PASSED AND IMPLEMENTED WILL MARK A COMPLETE REVERSAL OF WHAT EVIDENCE DEMONSTRATES IS WORKING. AS NOTED IN THE RECENT PREPRESS ARTICLE, MOVING AT THE SPEED OF TRUST, THE SECTOR AND ALLIED SURFACES WORK VERY WELL TOGETHER AND HAVE DEMONSTRATED SUCCESS WHEN THEY ARE ADEQUATELY SUPPORTED. IN CLOSING, WE HAVE TO POINT OUT THAT THE MOST RECENT WINNIPEG STREET CENSUS HAS ONCE AGAIN DEMONSTRATED THAT INDIGENOUS PEOPLES MAKE UP THE MAJORITY OF WINNIPEG'S UNHOUSED. THE CITY HAS MADE COMMITMENTS TO BOTH THE TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION, CALLS TO ACTION, AS WELL AS THE MISSING, MURDERED.

SPEAKER:IF YOU COULD JUST WRAP UP. I THINK SHE'S JUST ABOUT FINISHED. >> YEAH. THE CITY HAS MADE COMMITMENTS TO BOTH THE TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION COMMISSION'S CALLS TO ACTION AS WELL AS THE MISSING AND MURDERED INDIGENOUS WOMEN AND GIRLS AND GENDER DIVERSE CALLS FOR JUSTICE. PLEASE REVIEW YOUR CONSIDERATIONS OF THIS MOTION IN THAT LIGHT. THANK YOU.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU, REBECCA. I'LL ASK YOU TO WAIT ON THE BENCH BEHIND YOU. AND TAKE ANY QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL. NEXT DELEGATION IS MEREDITH DUNN. FOLLOWING THAT WE'LL HEAR FROM RAYMOND JUAN. MEREDITH IS ALSO IN OPPOSITION TO THE SAME ITEM WE JUST HEARD ABOUT. >> GOOD MORNING COUNCILLORS AND THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TODAY. MY NAME IS MEREDITH DONE AND DUNN AND I'VE WORKED IN THE HOMELESS SECTOR FOR MANY YEARS. I HAVE GOTTEN TO KNOW THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE OUTSIDE IN WINNIPEG AND I'M HERE TO SPEAK IN

OPPOSITION TO THE ENCAMPMENT BY-LAW ENFORCEMENT MOTION. THE MOTION PROPOSES TO PROHIBIT ENCAMPMENTS IN AND AROUND PUBLIC SPACES. IT'S FRAMED AS A MOTION CONCERNED WITH PUBLIC SAFETY. BUT IF WE LOOK CLOSELY, WHAT IT ACTUALLY DOES IS DIVIDE OUR COMMUNITY AND STRIP AWAY SAFETY FROM SOME OF THE MOST DISADVANTAGED NEIGHBORS. THIS MOTION DRAWS A LINE BETWEEN WINNIPEGERS WHO ARE HOUSED AND THOSE WHO ARE NOT. AND IN DOING SO, THE SUGGESTION IS THAT ONE GROUP IS WORTHY OF PROTECTION AND SAFETY WHILE THE OTHER IS NOT BUT WHO IS THE PUBLIC PROTECTED BY THIS MOTION? PEOPLE WHO LIVE OUTSIDE ARE STILL PART OF THE PUBLIC. THEIR NEIGHBORS, COMMUNITY MEMBERS, FAMILIES, THEY ARE NOT SEPARATE. THEY ARE ASKED AND WITH A RISING NUMBER OF PEOPLE BECOMING UNHOUSED IN OUR CITY, THIS MOTION WILL ONLY COMPACT MORE PEOPLE. THIS MOTION ALSO RAISES SAFETY CONCERNS FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES BUT IT SEEMS LIKE THE PROPONENTS OF THIS MOTION ARE ONLY CONCERNED ABOUT FAMILIES WITH HOMES. FAMILIES WHO LIVE IN ENCAMPMENTS, PARENTS AND CHILDREN TOGETHER ARE FRAMED AS RISKS, NOT AS FAMILIES IN NEED OF SAFETY. THE CITY IS MAKING A CHOICE TO EMPHASIZE THE SAFETY FOR HOUSED FAMILIES WHILE IGNORING AND CRIMINALIZING UNHOUSED FRIENDS. THAT'S NOT HOUSE SAFETY. IT'S EXCLUSION AND DISCRIMINATION. AND I WANT TO BE CLEAR, IN CASE THERE'S CONFUSION, AS SOMEONE WHO'S VISITED THE VAST MAJORITY OF ENCAMPMENTS IN WINNIPEG, MANY ARE HOME TO FAMILIES BOTH BY CARING FOR ONE ANOTHER. ENCAMPMENTS ARE NOT FILLED WITH DANGEROUS OUTSIDERS. THEY ARE FAMILY NETWORKS AND COMMUNITIES OF SURVIVAL AND WHEN THE CITY CHOOSES LANGUAGE THAT CASTS THEM AS THREATS, IT IGNORES THE REALITY AND CHOOSES EXCLUSION OVER RECOGNITION. THIS MOTION CALLS FOR PROTECTION AND SAFETY YET THE METHOD INVOLVES REMOVING PEOPLE. WHAT DOES IT ACTUALLY MEAN TO PRIORITIZE ENFORCEMENT DURING DAYLIGHT HOURS. I'VE WORKED IN THIS SECTOR LONG ENOUGH TO KNOW IT MEANS TELLING PEOPLE THEY ALREADY HAVE NOWHERE ELSE TO GO BUT THEY CANNOT STAY NOT HERE, NOT THERE, NOT ANYWHERE. THEIR TENTS, THEIR LIFE-SAVING MEDICATION. ACROSS THE CITY. NO MATTER THEIR HEALTH OR WHAT THE WEATHER FOR THOSE WITHOUT MONEY, PRECESSIONS OR SURVIVAL, LOSING SUCH ITEMS CAN BE DEVASTATING. A DECISION OF THOSE WHO ARE HOUSED NEVER HAVE TO MAKE. IF METHODS OF PUNISHMENT AND DISPLACEMENT WORKED, HOMELESSNESS WOULD NOT BE GROWING. IF POLICING AND REMOVAL CREATED HOUSING, WINNIPEG WOULDN'T BE IN A WORSENING HOUSING CRISIS AND WHAT ARE THE EFFECTS OF THESE APPROACHES, THEY'RE NOT ONLY CRUEL AND IMPRACTICAL, IT DISRUPTS

OUTREACH. A METHOD BUILT ON TRUST AND INSISTENT RELATIONSHIPS. INSTEAD OF BEING ABLE TO FIND AND SUPPORT PEOPLE WHERE THEY ARE, OUTREACH WORKERS SPEND THEIR TIME CHASING PEOPLE ACROSS THE CITY. THIS WASTES ALREADY LIMITED RESOURCES, BREAKS TRUST AND MAKES HOUSING TRANSITIONS EVEN HARDER. AS THE PREVIOUS OUTREACH MANAGER, I HAVE SEEN FIRSTHAND THAT DISPLACEMENT IS ONE OF THE GREATEST BARRIERS TO SUPPORTING UNHOUSED FOLKS. AND IF THESE ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS ARE NOT ENOUGH --

SPEAKER:ONE MINUTE LEFT.

OH, OKAY. COURTS ACROSS CANADA HAVE RULED FOR PENALIZING PEOPLE FOR SLEEPING OUTSIDE WHEN. IF PASSED, THIS MOTION VIOLATES CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES. IT'S DEEPLY CONCERNING THAT COUNCILLORS WOULD GO WITH ACTION AND IGNORES CHARTER PROTECTION AND WHAT MAKES THIS ESPECIALLILY TROUBLING IS THE CITY HAD A RIGHT TO SUPPORT WINNIPEGERS THAT IT SEEMS TO HAVE ABANDONED. THE KEKINAW IS SAFETY THROUGH COMMUNITY. IT RECOGNIZES THAT HOUSING AND COMMUNITY ARE INSEPARABLE. PEOPLE ARE ANGRY ABOUT WHAT THEY SEE IN PARKS AND PUBLIC SPACES. WHAT THEY'RE ANGRY ABOUT IS PROBABLY NOT THE PERSON LIVING IN A TENT, IT'S HOMELESSNESS ITSELF. IT'S SCALE, PERSISTENCE, AND THE FAILURE TO ADDRESS IT. PEOPLE WANT OTHERS HOUSED, NOT HIDDEN AND NO ONE IS MORE FRUSTRATED THAN THE PEOPLE OUTSIDE THEMSELVES. ALREADY CARRYING INJURIES --

SPEAKER:WRAP UP. >> INJURIES FROM WINTERS PASSED GRIEVING LOVED ONES. LOST TO PREVENTABLE DEATHS.

MADAM SPEAKER: CAN WE TAKE QUESTIONS NOW?

SURE. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

I'D LIKE TO CALL BACK REBECCA AS WELL. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: YES. I WANT TO THANK MS. DUNN AND MS. NICHOLS FOR COMING HERE AND SPEAKING TODAY. I TOO AM OPPOSED TO THE BY-LAW TODAY. THE BY-LAW DOES A NUMBER OF THINGS BUT I GUESS THE THING THAT I FIND MOST OBNOXIOUS ABOUT IT IS THE FACT THAT IT'S ALMOST LEGITIMIZING THAT THE ENCAMPMENTS ARE HERE TO STAY. I PERSONALLY AM SOMEBODY WHO'S GONE THROUGH MY OWN CHALLENGES WITH REGARDS TO ADDICTION AND I WAS LUCKY. I HAD FAMILY THAT COULD AFFORD TO SEND ME AND PAY

FOR PRIVATE RECOVERY. OTHERWISE I KNOW I'D EITHER BE THERE OR I'D BE DEAD AND I KNOW THAT IN MY HEART AND I KNOW THOSE FOLKS IN THERE JUST NEED FOLKS TO BE ABLE TO TALK TO TO FIND OUT WHAT THEIR CHALLENGES ARE, THEIR MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES. MY QUESTION IS GOING TO BE THIS, WHY ARE WE NOT GOING WHAT HAS TO BE DONE? WHY ARE WE NOT AS A SOCIETY REACHING OUT AND GOING IN THERE AND TALKING TO THESE FOLKS AND FINDING OUT WHAT THEIR NEEDS ARE AND THEN TRYING TO FIND THE HOUSING? NOBODY WANTS TO LIVE IN -35° IN THEIR RIGHTEOUS GOOD MIND. LETTING THEM BE. JUST PUSH THEM OFF TO THE SIDE. BASICALLY WHAT THIS MOTION IS SAYING WE WANT TO PUSH THEM OFF TO THE SIDE SO WE DON'T SEE THEM. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BOTHER US. >> THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION, THE RESPONSE PLEASE. >> OKAY. I WOULD SAY THERE'S ONE THING WE DO HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE IN THE CITY DOING THAT, WORRY BUT THEY'RE NOT CURRENTLY ADEQUATELY FUNDED TO DO THE AMOUNT OF WORK THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. THE OTHER PIECE RIGHT NOW WE DON'T HAVE THE ADEQUATE HOUSING SUPPLY. SO IT'S CONTINUING TO INVEST IN WRAP-AROUND SUPPORTS AND HOUSING WILL BEGIN TO SUPPORT THE PEOPLE AND MEET THEM WHERE THEY'RE AT.

YEAH. AND I WILL ALSO JUST ADD TO THAT THAT I THINK MOTIONS LIKE THIS AND SOME OF THE WAYS THAT PEOPLE ARE EXPRESSED IN LIKE THE MEDIA AND OTHER PLATFORMS THAT REALLY ENGAGE THE PUBLIC OFTEN CAST PEOPLE IN ENCAMPMENTS, PEOPLE AS CRIMINALS SOMETHING DANGEROUS AND CREATES A BIT OF AN OTHERING EFFECT SO IT'S EASIER TO NOT GOING INTO ENCAMPMENT OR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING OR HAVE AVAILABLE RESOURCES AND SUPPORTS IN OUR CITY.

THERE'S NO DOUBT THAT THE LANGUAGE BEING USED QUITE OFTEN IS A FORM OF DEHUMANIZING PEOPLE WHO ARE THERE. IT'S ALMOST THAT THEY'RE IS SUB HUMAN AND WE'VE SEEN THIS ACROSS HISTORY AND WHAT HAPPENS TO PEOPLE WHEN THAT TAKES PLACE. I GUESS THE FRUSTRATING PART, YOU TOUCHED ON THE INDIGENOUS CHALLENGES. THERE'S INDIGENOUS AND NONINDIGENOUS I WANT TO EMPHASIZE. ON THE INDIGENOUS, THOSE WE'VE HAD GOVERNMENTS IN THIS COUNTRY FOR 100 YEARS PLUS WHO HAD A POLICY OF A RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS WHICH BASICALLY TARGETED ONE GROUP OVER SEVEN, EIGHT, NINE GENERATIONS AND SMASHED THEIR FAMILIES. NOW WE HAVE INTERGENERATIONAL TRAUMA PLAYING OUT IN THE CITY, THEY'VE WASHED THEIR HANDS OF THE SOCIETAL ILLS WHAT IS BEING CALLED ON THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO STEP UP ON A LONG TERM INVESTMENT IN TERMS OF ALL THE

SOCIAL ILLS THAT WERE CREATED OVER THE RESIDENTIAL SCHOOL POLICY THAT WAS IN PLACE FOR DECADES. >> THANK YOU.

I WOULD JUST SAY THERE'S A ROLE FOR ALL LEVELS OF GHOFT TO PLAY IN ADDRESSING THE CHALLENGES THAT ARE FACED DUE TO THE HARMS.

AS THE MAYOR CALLED ON, TO YOUR POINT AS THE MAYOR CALLED ON SPECIFICALLY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WE AS A CITY RIGHT NOW SPEND MORE. MORE POLICING. HAS THE MAYOR CALLED ON THE PRIME MINISTER TO MAKE. >> THE COUNCILLOR'S ENTERTAINING, I GET IT. HOW CAN THEY EMPLOY EXPECT TODAY ANSWER THAT QUESTION. IF THE QUESTION IS TO ME, THEN THE MEMBER OF THE CHAMBER CAN CERTAINLY ASK ME THAT QUESTION LATER ON WHICH HE PROBABLY KNOWS THE ANSWER TO ANYWAY. >> MAYOR, THERE'S A VERY SERIOUS MATTER. I CAN BE IN THAT CABINET RIGHT NOW. >> THANK YOU, WE HEARD YOUR FINAL QUESTION. >> PLEASE. PLEASE RESPOND IF YOU WISH AND THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES FOR OTHER SPEAKERS IN THE CHAMBER TO ADDRESS YOU AS WELL. SO PLEASE RESPOND IF YOU WISH. >> I THINK WE'RE HERE TODAY BECAUSE WE HAVE CONCERN THAT NOT ENOUGH IS HAPPENING FOR PEOPLE. NOT ENOUGH INVESTMENTS INTO SOCIAL SERVICES OR HOUSING AND THAT PEOPLE ARE SUFFERING, BUT I'LL LEAVE IT THERE.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WYATT. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: THANK YOU. THIS HAS BEEN A HUGE STRUG APPEAL MOTION FOR ME.

SPEAKER:PLEASE ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR AND THAT WILL BE THROUGHOUT OUR MEETING TODAY.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: THANK YOU. THROUGH THE CHAIR, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR BRINGING THESE POINTS UP TODAY. IT HAS BEEN A DIFFICULT DISCUSSION IN THE COMMUNITY VERY DIFFICULT DISCUSSION IN THE COMMUNITY. AS YOU MENTIONED, WE NEED RESOURCES, THEY'RE NOT THERE. BUT MY QUESTION AND SO I DON'T DISAGREE WITH ALL THE POINTS YOU MADE BUT I DO WANT TO ASK ANOTHER POINT, DO YOU FIND -- WHERE I FIND THERE IS A GAP IS ACTUALLY COMMUNICATING THIS INFORMATION TO THE PEOPLE. NOT THE PEOPLE IN ENCAMPMENTS, NOT THE SOCIAL SERVICES WORKERS, NOT SOME GOVERNMENT GROUPS BEHIND IN SOME CONFERENCE ROOM DEVISING GREAT PLANS, BUT DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEAS OR THOUGHTS OR MAYBE IT IS

HAPPENING AND IJUST NEVER BEEN AWARE OF IT WHERE YOU'RE REACHING OUT TO THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE SCARED, THOSE RESIDENTS WHO ARE HOUSED WHO ARE JUST SCARED AND HAVE WE -- I HAVE NOT SEEN A LOT OF THAT WHICH IS ACTUALLY RESULTED IN MY OPINION THIS BLOW-BACK WE'RE GETTING NOW. CAN YOU RESPOND TO THAT? >> I WILL JUST SAY THAT MORE KNOWLEDGE, MOBILIZATION, AND MORE EDUCATION IS NEVER A BAD THING. LIKE, THERE ARE ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE TRYING TO DO SOME OF THAT, BUT MORE OF IT IS NEVER WILL NEVER HARM ANYONE. >> YEAH, AND I ALSO THINK THAT JUST RECOGNIZING IS SOMETHING TO BE CONSIDERED. YES.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: YES, THROUGH THE CHAIR AND I HAVE DONE A LITTLE RESEARCH, ARE YOU AWARE SPECIFICALLY OF -- I KNOW HALIFAX HAS THESE ENCAMPMENTS, WHERE THE LEGAL CHALLENGES ARE IN CANADA RIGHT NOW, YOU MAY NOT, BUT IF YOU DO, I APPRECIATE IT SO I CAN DO MY OWN RESEARCH. >> I THINK FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND THE BIG LEGAL CHALLENGES COME FROM REMOVING PEOPLE FROM ENCAMPMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO ADEQUATE HOUSING. SO THAT'S BEEN A CONCERN IN A NUMBER OF PROVINCES AND THE COURTS HAVE SAID THAT IT'S A BREACH OF THEIR SECTION 7 CHARTER RIGHTS. SO YOU CAN'T MOVE SOMEBODY UNLESS YOU PROVIDE ALTERNATIVE HOUSING. THAT HOUSING DOESN'T EXIST IN WINNIPEG. WE'RE -- THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT ARE UNHOUSED IS FAR GREATER THAN THE AVAILABLE UNITS. SO IF WE WERE TO ACTUALLY MOVE PEOPLE INTO HOUSING THAT WAS GOING TO BE PERMANENT, THERE WOULD STILL BE HOMELESSNESS IN OUR CITY. >> YEAH AND BRITISH COLUMBIA IS ONE OF THE JURISDICTIONS.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: LAST QUESTION.

SPEAKER:FINAL QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: FINAL QUESTION, PART OF THIS DEBATE RELIES ON HOUSING OBVIOUSLY. SO WOULD YOU CONSIDER SHELTER BEDS AS HOUSING?

I THINK THAT'S WHAT THEY WOULD CONSIDER TRANSITIONAL HOUSING. IT'S A STEP FROM THE ENCAMPMENT FOR IF SOMEBODY'S CONNECT TODAY RESOURCES WHETHER IT'S A CASEWORKER OR HOUSING WORKER, BUT AS SOMEONE THAT HAS ACTUALLY WORKED IN THE SHELTERS IN WINNIPEG, I CAN SAY THOSE ARE ALWAYS FULL IN THE WINTERTIME ESPECIALLY AND PEOPLE ARE TURNED AWAY AT THE DOOR. SO THE AVAILABILITY OF SHELTER SPACES FOR

PEOPLE IS ALSO SHORT IN OUR CITY. THANK YOU.

SPEAKER:ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS FOR DELEGATIONS? SEEING NONE. COUNCILLOR WYATT, SECOND ROUND.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: AND IT'S IMPORTANT TO POINT OUT THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN THE ENCAMPMENTS BECAUSE THEY FEEL SAFER THERE. OF THE COMMUNAL NATURE OF THE SHELTERS THAT WE HAVE.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WYATT. THAT CONCLUDES OUR QUESTION PERIOD FOR THIS DELEGATION. >> THANK YOU.

THANK YOU.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. NEXT I'D LIKE TO CALL FORWARD RAY JUAN, PRESIDENT OF THE CHINESE CULTURAL AND COMMUNITY CENTER. SUPPORT OF ITEM THREE ON COMMUNITY SERVICES DATED SEPTEMBER 8TH, IT'S REGARDING A COMMUNITY INCENTIVE GRANT FOR THE WINNIPEG CHINESE CULTURAL AND COMMUNITY CENTER CORPORATION. WELCOME, WE HAVE FIVE MINUTES ON THE CLOCK. >> THANK YOU. I USUALLY COME TO YOU AS AN ARCHITECT BUT TODAY I AM PLEASED TO BE IN FRONT OF YOU AS THE PRESIDENT OF THE CULTURAL CENTER, THE WINNIPEG CHINESE CULTURAL AND COMMUNITY CENTER JUST DOWN THE STREET FROM YOU. I'M REFERRING TO ITEM NUMBER THREE OF YOUR REPORT. TO BE BRIEF, THE ORIGINAL APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED IN MARCH 2020 AND WE ALL KNOW WHAT HAPPENED IN 2020 AND WE GOT HIT WITH THE PANDEMIC PERIOD. THE CONSTRUCTION WAS -- THE CONSTRUCTION I'M TALKING ABOUT IS THE INTERIOR RENOVATION OF THE SECOND FLOOR. THE CONSTRUCTION WAS SUPPOSED TO START IN AUGUST 2020 AND GOT POSTPONED UNTIL MARCH 2023. IN BETWEEN, WE HAD A CHANGE OF LEADERSHIP TWICE TO DATE. BUT WHEN THE GRANT WAS READY TO APPROVE, THERE WAS A COMMUNICATION BREAKDOWN THAT WE DIDN'T RECEIVE CONFIRMATION OF THE GRANT BEING AVAILABLE AND THROUGH THE COURSE, THE CONSTRUCTION HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THAT'S THE WHOLE PURPOSE I'M HERE TODAY TO RESUBMIT THE APPLICATION ASKING FOR YOUR SUPPORT TO HELP OUT THE PAY FOR THE CURRENT DEBTS WE HAVE INCURRED BECAUSE OF THE SHORTAGE OF FUNDING AS A RESULT OF THIS COMMUNICATION BACK THEN. THAT'S REALLY ALL I HAVE TO SAY. >> I HAVE TO ACCUSE MYSELF BECAUSE I CAN SPEAK TO THIS ITEM AND VOTE ON IT. THANK YOU FOR DOING THIS. I KNOW YOU'RE A BUSY GUY. THANK

YOU. MY QUESTION IS SOME OF THE OTHER GRANTS HERE BUILDING SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES WAS THE CONSERVATIVE GOVERNMENT EFFORT I THINK. THERE'S SOME OLDER GRANT MONEY. NOT SURPRISING IF YOU'VE BEEN AT THIS SINCE 2020. ALL OF THAT'S BEEN OKAY. OF THE THIS IS THE ONLY CITY PIECE THAT YOU NEED FOR FUNDING. THIS IS THE ONLY CITY PIECE THAT WE HAVE HALF A MILLION DOLLARS GRANT. WE DIDN'T RECEIVE A TOTAL AMOUNT. THAT'S COMPOUNDING THE TOTAL RIGHT NOW. MENT TODAY IS THE CITY. SEEING NONE AND THANK YOU FOR JOINING COUNCIL TODAY.

SPEAKER:THE REPORT OF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS DATED SEPTEMBER 11TH IS REGARDING SAFETY ENFORCEMENT IN SCHOOL ZONES. FOLLOWING THAT, WE'LL HEAR FROM KELLY RYBACK. GOOD MORNING. THEY'LL BE FIVE MINUTES ON THE CLOCK.

THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING. I'D LIKE TO BEGIN BY SAYING WHEN I THINK ABOUT THE KIND OF PEOPLE WHO PUT THEIR NAMES FORWARD FOR PUBLIC SERVICES CITY COUNCIL LORS AND MAYOR THAT I DON'T IMAGINE THE KIND OF PEOPLE WHO WOULD PRIORITIZE REVENUE GENERATION OVER THE SAFETY OF CHILDREN. I VIGOROUSLY DISAGREE WITH MANY OF THE PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM ON MANY ISSUES BUT IN MY HEART OF HEARTS, I BELIEVE YOU'RE THE KIND OF PEOPLE WHO WOULD TAKE CARE OF CHILDREN BEFORE RAISING REVENUE FOR THE CITY. I BELIEVE THIS ABOUT ALL OF YOU AND YET YOUR ACTIONS AND INACTIONS AS WELL AS THE ACTIONS OF THE COMPANY WITH WHOM YOU HAVE CONTRACTED FOR PHOTO RADAR ENFORCEMENT GIVES A PERSON REASONS TO DO YOU THINK. IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT FOR ME TO NOTE THAT AS A CITIZEN, A PARENT, AND A COMMUTER WHO SPLITS TIME BETWEEN BIKE, PUBLIC TRANSIT, AND CAR, I'M 100% IN FAVOR OF SCHOOL ZONES AND ANYTHING EFFECTIVE THAT THE CITY AND IT IS AGENTS CAN MAKE THEM SAFER. MY CONCERN THAT YOU ARE CONSIDERING INFORMATION RATHER THAN ACTING ON IS THAT THE CITY'S EFFORTS CAN BE MUCH BETTER THAN THEY ARE IN AN IDEAL WORLD, WE WORK TOGETHER TO MAKE OUR CITIES AND MORE LIKELY TO BE CITIZENS THAN COLLABORATION. HARROW, SELF-CORD ACROSS FROM SAVING NATIONS IS AN EXAMPLE WHERE THE CITY OF WINNIPEG CAN BE DOING BETTER. WHEN THE SEPTEMBER LONG WEEKEND START, I CAN SEE A PHOTO RADAR EVEN THOUGH KIDS AND TEACHERS ARE STILL ON SUMMER VACATION. YES, ARE THE SIGNS, IF YOU CAN READ THEM TELL COMMUTERS MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY. BUT THE IMPLICIT MESSAGE. STUDENTS ARE NOT IN SCHOOL BEFORE SEPTEMBER LONG. THEY'RE NOT IN SCHOOL ON WINTER BREAK. THEY'RE NOT IN SCHOOL ON GOOD FRIDAY AND THIS PAST SUMMER NOT ON JUNE 30TH AND YET AND OTHER SCHOOL LOCATIONS ON ALL THESE DAYS.

SPEAKER:COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: YOU COULD ARGUE THAT IT'S INTENDED TO REMIND DRIVERS IT WILL BE BACK SOON. HOLDING A BIG SIGN REMINDING DRIVERS THAT KIDS WILL BE BACK NEXT WEEK WILL BE MORE TIMELY THAN A TICKET IN THE MAIL AND MORE APT TO BUILD A SENSE OF COLLABORATION WITH COMMUTERS RATHER THAN THE CITY IS JUST INTERESTED IN REVENUE. WE SHOULD BE ENCOURAGING STUDENTS TO SEE THEMSELVES AS PARTNERS IN THE PROCESS RATHER THAN THE VICTIM'S TRAFFIC TICKETS THAT FEEL FOR REVENUE ORIENTED THAN SAFETY ORIENTED. THIS SAID, HARROW HAS BEEN A SCHOOL ZONE FOR AS LONG AS I CAN REMEMBER. IF HANDING OUT WHY HAVEN'T YEARS OF HANDING OUT TICKETS IN THE SPACE MADE HANDING OUT TICKETS ON HARROW UNNECESSARY, SURELY IF IT DATES NEAR RADAR ENFORCEMENT THIS IS A SIGN THAT PHOTO RADAR ENFORCEMENT ISN'T DOING WHAT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG SAYS THAT IT'S DOING. IF WE'RE BEING HONEST, INDICATING THE NEED FOR BETTER TRAFFIC ENGINEERING. IF PHOTO RADAR TICKETS HAVEN'T MADE THE SPACE SAFER. AND YOU MIGHT ASK, WHAT ABOUT THE TIMES OF DAY WHEN A LOCATION LIKE HARROW IS NOT A SCHOOL ZONE? AND I WOULD REPLY I'M OKAY WITH SCHOOL ZONES BEING IN PLACE. WHEN GER TRAVIS KNUDESEN I COMMITTED TO DRIVING AT 30 KILL METERS AN HOUR ALL YEAR ROUND FOR TWO REASONS. FIRST BECAUSE I WANTED TO BE IN THE HABIT OF DRIVING AS SAFELY AS POSSIBLE AND SECOND BECAUSE LIKE MOST SCHOOLS IN OUR CITY, GLADSTONE SCHOOL HAS A PLAYGROUND AND KIDS ARE LIKELY I SINCERELY BELIEVE THAT PEOPLE PRIORITIZE CHILDREN. BUT IT WOULD BE EASIER FOR ME TO SUSTAIN THAT BELIEF IF I KNEW YOU WERE TAKING ACTION ON THE MOEKS ON SCHOOL ZONE SAFELY. IF I KNEW YOU WERE FOLLOWING PHOTO MONEY RATHER THAN THE BANK AND IF YOU IMPLEMENTED SCHOOL ZONES ALL DAY EVERY DAY ALL YEAR.

SPEAKER:TAKING QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT? ANY QUESTIONS TODAY? COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: THANK YOU FOR YOUR INFORMATION. I'LL MAKE IT A LITTLE CLEARER SO PEOPLE UNDERSTAND. WE RECEIVED INFORMATION BECAUSE WE HAVE A ROAD SAFETY REPORT COMING FORWARD I BELIEVE IN THE NEXT 60 DAYS WHERE THEY HAVE AN ANALYSIS DONE ON MULTIPLE SCHOOL ZONES. THEY DO THIS WE ACTUALLY FORMED, I THINK EVERYONE ON COUNCIL UNANIMOUSLY SUPPORTED ROAD SAFETY COMMITTEE TO BE PUT IN PLACE TO LOOK AT IT. SO IT'S NOT LIKE -- ALL THIS WORK IS UNDER WAY RIGHT

NOW SO WE RECEIVED IT AS INFORMATION WE WEREN'T GOING TO GO OUT AND DIRECT THEM TO DO IT AGAIN BECAUSE THEY'VE GOT THIS INFORMATION COMING FORWARD. I COULD REALLY GET INTO PHOTO RADAR, I'M A BIG SUPPORTER OF IT. I'M NOT SUPPORTIVE OF THE SYSTEM CURRENTLY BEING USED IN THE CITY AS ARE THE POLICE. IT'S BEING MODIFIED. I DON'T HAVE A LOT OF DETAILS ON THAT. COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS COULD SPEAK TO IT IN DEPTH BUT COUNTRIES ACROSS THE WORLD THAT USE IT PROPERLY AND THAT REDIRECT IT BACK INTO ISSUES, THE FUNDING BACK INTO ROAD SAFETY HAVE SEEN EXCELLENT RESULTS FROM IT. SO IT'S A VERY POLARIZING TOPIC, I REALIZE THAT, BUT I JUST WANTED TO SAY, DID YOU KNOW THAT WE WILL BE RECEIVING IN ABOUT 60 DAYS A FULL REPORT ON SCHOOL ZONES AND AN UPDATE. >> SO I HAVE TO ADMIT THAT I WAS NOT AWARE OF THAT AND I APPRECIATE THAT THAT IS BEING LOOKED AT AND, YEAH. I WANT TO BE CLEAR, I'M NOT OPPOSE TODAY PHOTO RADAR ENDORSEMENT OR SCHOOL ZONES. I'M IN FAVOR OF SAFE KIDS BUT AS A CITIZEN I FIND IT DEEPLY FRUSTRATING WHEN THE PEOPLE WITH WHOM YOU HAVE CONTRACTED ARE TAKING ACTIONS THAT SEEM TO BE MORE TICKET-ORIENTED THAN SAFETY ORIENTED AND SO I HOPE THAT THAT WILL BE ADDRESSED IN THE REPORT THAT YOU'RE RECEIVING.

SPEAKER:OKAY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION TODAY. HAVE A GOOD DAY.

>> THANKS FOLKS.

SPEAKER:NEXT PRESENTER IS KELLY RYEBACK WHO IS IN SUPPORT OF ITEM SEVEN ON PUBLIC WORKS DATED SEPTEMBER 11TH. IT'S REGARDING TRANSIT TRANSFERS, THE TIME EXTENSION AND ALSO AN OPPOSITION TO ITEM ONE, REPORT OF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROPER DEVELOPMENT. IT'S REGARDING THE POUDRAGE AND MAIN INTERSECTION.

>> THERE THANK YOU FOR THIS DEMOCRATIC OPPORTUNITY. REGARDING THE POUDRAGE IN MAINE. TO PROVIDE COUNCIL WITH SUFFICIENT DATA TO MAKE A MINDFUL DECISION AND IN THAT REGARD, MY WIFE AND I COMBINED, WE HAVE WORKED AT POUDRAGE IN MAINE FOR 44 YEARS WHEN IT WAS ROBUST AND VIBRANT TO SHE SAW PART OF THE DECLINE. BUT THAT WALKWAY, THE CONCOURSE IS A VITAL PART OF INFRASTRUCTURE AND THERE ARE BUILDINGS THAT WERE ERECTED BASED ON THAT INFRASTRUCTURE BE IT TOOL 1 POUDRAGE AVENUE, THE TOWER AT 330 MAINE, 200 GRAHAM STREET AND THE WATERFRONT DRIVE DEVELOPMENT. AND THE REPORT ONLY TALKS ABOUT GOING TO THE BUILDS AT POUDRAGE AND MAINE, BUT I THINK YOU NEED TO GO

FURTHER. I ALSO ASK THAT IN ORDER TO HAVE PROPER DATA THERE SHOULD BE PEDESTRIAN COUNTS, TRAFFIC REPORTS NOT ONLY AT FOR THE SURFACE CROSSING OF POUDRAGE AND MAINE BUT WITHIN THE CONCOURSE AND DO IT MONTH AND WEATHER CONDITION. WE'VE SEEN RECENTLY DOWNTOWN THAT VACANCIES HAVE DECLINED. THERE'S MORE PURPOSES COMING IN. THERE COULD BE REPURPOSING OF BUILDINGS AND CONTINUED GROWTH AND RESTORATION OF AM HERITAGE BUILDINGS. I JUST DON'T THINK IT GOES FURTHER ENOUGH. YOU NEED TO THOROUGHLY EXPLORE HOW YOU CONCEAL THE CEILING AND MITIGATE LEAKS. THEY DID IT IN THE ENGLISH CHANNEL AND TUNNEL. AND BOSTON. HOW TO SEAL THAT WITHOUT HAVING TO TEAR UP ROADS IN THE FUTURE. I AM SUPPORTING THE TRANSIT TIME EXTENSION FROM 75 MINUTES TO 9 MINUTES BECAUSE SERVICES CHANGE. YOU CAN BE ON A BUS FOR OVER AN HOUR AND YOU CAN WAIT UP TO 40 MINUTES TO GET A CONNECTOR. THIS SHOULDN'T BE A CONSIDERATION OF LOST REVENUE THAT'S PROBABLY NEGLIGIBLE BUT IT IS ABOUT IMPROVING CUSTOMER SERVICE AND DECREASING OBSTACLES AND ISSUES AND EVEN CONFRONTATION WITH BUS DRIVERS. THIS SHOULD BE AN EASY ANSWER OF JUST DO IT. AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU, YOU COULD REDUCE THIS ISSUE BY RESTORING SOME DIRECT ROUTES THROUGHOUT THE CITY AND NOT REQUIRING A TRANSFER. THANK YOU.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU. ANY QUESTIONS FOR OUR DELEGATION? SEEING NONE TODAY. THANK YOU, KELLY. >> OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

SPEAKER:HAVE A GOOD DAY.

YOU TOO.

SPEAKER:WE'LL NOW GET ON TO REPORTS. FIRST REPORT, MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I'LL INTRODUCE THE REPORT AND MOVE ITEMS 1 TO 17.

SPEAKER:ONE THROUGH SEVENTEEN. ALL IN CONSENT ON THAT –

NO, MADAM SPEAKER, I HAD MY HAND UP AND YOU DIDN'T CALL ME.

SPEAKER:THE ITEM YOU'D LIKE TO PULL.

ITEMS PERTAINING TO CENTER PLAN.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY. WHAT NUMBER IS THAT?

SORRY. IT IS ITEM NUMBER. I'D LIKE TO PULL ITEM NUMBER FOUR AND I'D LIKE TO PULL ITEM I THINK IT'S BEFORE THEN.

SPEAKER:ITEM FOUR IS OUTREACH SERVICE PROVIDERS.

YEAH. AND ITEM -- SORRY, CENTER PLAN MIGHT BE SOMEWHERE ELSE. IT'S IN PPND.

SPEAKER:COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: SEVEN AND EIGHT.

SPEAKER:EIGHT IS THE CASSPIAN CONSTRUCTION SETTLEMENT. I'LL CALL THE QUESTION. ALL IN FAVOR OF ITEM ONE, TWO, THREE. FIVE AND NINE. NINE THROUGH SEVENTEEN. ONE LAST -- COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, WERE THERE ANY OTHERS?

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: CAN YOU REPEAT THE NUMBERS.

SPEAKER:THE ONE THAT IS ARE BEING PULLED OR VOTED ON?

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: VOTED ON.

SPEAKER:OKAY. CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEMS ONE THROUGH THREE. ITEM FIVE AND SIX, SEVEN. NINE THROUGH SEVENTEEN. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT'S CARRIED. MADAM CLERK, ITEM FOUR.

CLERK: ITEM FOUR, OUTREACH SERVICE PROVIDERS.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU. MR. MAYOR, YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THIS MATTER WAS RECEIVED AS INFORMATION AS THERE IS ONGOING DISCUSSION BETWEEN REALLY ALL ENTITIES THAT ARE PROVIDING OUTREACH SERVICE AND WORKING WITHIN THE SECTOR ADDRESSING MATTERS OF HOMELESSNESS. I WAS AT A MEETING EARLIER THIS SUMMER THAT HAD AROUND THE TABLE INCLUDED ST. BONN VISTA AND THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA AS WELL. THERE ARE CONVERSATIONS THAT ARE HAPPENING AND I KNOW THAT IN DISCUSSING MATTERS WITH THE PROVINCE, THEY CONTINUE ALSO TO WORK WITH ALL

ENTITIES.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU. THIS WAS STOOD DOWN BY YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.
COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. I DO THINK THIS IS A TOPIC ON OUTREACH SERVICE PROVIDERS THAT REQUIRES BETTER ENGAGEMENT FROM US AS A COUNCIL. COUNCILLOR MAYES AND COUNCILLOR GILROY. YOU KNOW, THIS MOTION COMES AT THE LAST MEETING OF COUNCIL, MADAM SPEAKER TYPICALLY IN THE SUMMERTIME, THAT'S WHEN COUNCILLORS WITH LARGE ENCAMPMENTS LIKE COUNCILLOR GILROY, LIKE I HAVE LIKE COUNCILLOR SANTOS HAS ARE REALLY ENGAGED IN THE FILLING THE HOLES AND THE GAPS THAT GOVERNMENT HAS CREATED AND THIS SUMMER WAS NO EXCEPTION GIVEN THE REDUCTIONS OF SERVICE AND ON THE ONE HAND, TWO ENCAMPMENTS AND THE FIGHT MADAM SPEAKER INITIATED BY EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE TO, YOU KNOW, CALL INTO QUESTION THE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS OF OUR RECIPIENT OF THE RFP MAIN STREET PROJECT. SO HERE ENLIES THE RUB OF EDITORIAL AFTER EDITORIAL AND E-MAIL AFTER E-MAIL OF PROVIDERS ON THE MODEL AND WE HEARD FROM THE SOCIAL PLANNING AND MORE. IN CONSULTATION WITH THE SECTOR TO REALLY, YOU KNOW, GET AT THAT ONE TABLE. AND WE HAVE ONE TABLE, MADAM SPEAKER. WE HAVE A PROVINCIAL PLAN, BUT WE DON'T HAVE THE DETAILS OF THE CITY COMPONENT OF THAT AND IT'S NOT TRANSPARENT AND SO WITH MOTIONS LIKE THIS AND OTHERS ON THE AGENDA THAT ARE BEING CO-OPTED IN THE SUMMER LIKE THE ENCAMPMENTS WERE BY WHAT IN JULY LOOKED LIKE A LOT OF FOLKS NOT WANTING TO TALK ABOUT IT WHEN WE REALLY NEEDED IT AND IN SEPTEMBER WITH ALL THINGS NEW WITH A FULL PRESS RELEASE ON RELEASE ON BEHALF OF THE CHAIR AND COMMUNITY SERVICES, IT REALLY CALLS INTO QUESTION THE VARIOUS RELATIONSHIPS WE'VE GOT WITH THIS SECTOR AND SO WE'VE GOT REALLY GOOD SERVICE PROVIDERS LIKE MARY WILLIS ASKING TO HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH THEM. WE HAVE PROVIDERS LIKE MAIN STREET PROJECT BATTLED AND BRUCED BY THIS VERY LEADERSHIP TEAM. THEN GOING TO SERVICE FOR THE CITY AND YOU'VE GOT COUNCILLORS LIKE COUNCILLOR GILROY AND MYSELF SAYING WHAT'S THE BASIC LEVEL OF SERVICE. WE DON'T KNOW. WE CAN'T GET CLARITY AND WE FIND OUT IN PRESS RELEASES THAT HEARTS AND MINDS ARE CHANGING AFTER A VERY LONG SUMMER, AFTER WARD MONEY HAS GONE OUT THE DOOR AND AFTER WE'VE BEEN CUT OFF FROM BUDGET CONVERSATIONS AND NOT LIKE THE CONVERSATION THAT PITS PROVIDER AGAINST PROVIDERS THAT SEES RESOURCES ASSISTANCE FOR YOUTH, COMING TO MEETINGS AND I HAPPEN TO BE THEIR LOCAL COUNCILLOR

SAYING I GUESS WE DON'T SERVE YOUTH ANYMORE. WHERE ARE WE GET INTERESTING FUNDING FROM AND, YOU KNOW, SEEING MAIN STREET PROJECT TAKE THEM UNDER THEIR WING. COUNCIL SEMINAR, YOU WOULD THINK THERE WOULD BE A LOT OF CONVERSATION BEHIND CLOSED DOORS WITH COUNCIL IN PARTICULAR COUNCILLOR MEMBERS THAT HAVE LARGE ENCAMPMENTS. INSTEAD, NO. THERE ISN'T THERE IS JUST THE OPPORTUNITY LIKE COUNCILLOR GILROY AND COUNCILLOR MAYES DID IN JULY THAT SPEAKS TO THE LEVEL OF TRANSPARENCY AND GOVERNANCE. THERE IS JUST THIS THIS WILL BE PUT OFF UNTIL 2027 OR MORE AND IT IS EMBLEM ATTIC TO THE ATTENTION AND ISSUE THAT THIS WAS PUT FORWARD IN THE SUMMER AND IT WAS RECEIVED AS INFORMATION IN SEPTEMBER.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU. THE NEXT SPEAKER COUNCILLOR MAYES FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, I WASN'T GOING TO PULL THIS BUT NOW THAT IT HAS BEEN PULLED, I SHOULD SPEAK TO IT. A NUMBER OF FACTUAL THINGS TO REPORT. THANK YOU TO THE MAYOR FOR HIS COMMENTS THAT ALL IS NOT LOST HERE BY ANY MEANS THAT THERE IS ONGOING DISCUSSION WITH STREET LINKS. THERE WAS AN RFP. TO VOTE ON THAT RFP. THERE'S AN ALLEGATION THAT THE RFP WAS SOMEHOW MISHANDLED OR FIXED IN SOME WAY. POINT NUMBER THREE, A NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS VERY LITTLE REACHED OUT TO STREET LINKS, COUNCILLOR EADIE WHO MAY BE SPEAKING TO THIS. WHICH I THINK IS AN ENDORSEMENT OF WHAT THEY'RE DOING. POINT NUMBER FOUR AND IT'S NOT TO CRITICIZE COUNCILLOR EADIE, IT'S TO PRAISE THEM. POINT NUMBER FOUR, IT WOULD BE ERRONEOUS TO SAY, WELL, WITH THIS WE'VE CUT OFF STREET LINKS. I'VE DRIVE BY THERE. THEIR OFFICES IN THE OLD ST. PATEL CITY OFFICES WHICH I THINK HAS BEEN A SUCCESS THERE. SO WE CONTINUE TO LEASE THAT OUT FOR A DOLLAR A YEAR. WHEN I RAISE THIS MOTION AND I WON'T SAY SOMEONE WOULD CALLED ME AND START GOING ON ABOUT STREET LINKS AND THEIR RIGHT WING IDEOLOGY AND I HAD THE KNOCK-OUT BLOW. I SAID RYAN PALMQUIST IS WORKING THERE. YOU HOW COULD YOU SAY THAT'S A RIGHT WING IDEOLOGY. I WANT TO THANK MR. PALMQUIST WHO'S DOING GOOD WORK THERE. SO THANK YOU TO MARY WILLIS AND OTHERS WORKING THERE. I KNOW THAT ALL OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERS HAVE GIVEN QUITE A BIT OF SUPPORT WHICH I THINK IS AN ENDORSEMENT. HOPEFULLY WE CAN FIND A WAY FORWARD THAT INVOLVES DIFFERENT GROUPS. SO IN THE END, I DO NOT SEE THIS AS A DEFEAT THIS IS BEING RECEIVED AS INFORMATION. RATHER I SEE IT AS A BIT OF SUCCESS THAT

WE ARE TALKING ABOUT IT AND THE MAYOR SAID PUBLICLY. TALKS ARE CONTINUING. WE'RE TRYING TO FIGURE THIS OUT WITH THE PROVINCE AS WELL. I SEE THIS NOT AS A DEFEAT BUT FRANKLY RATHER AS A BIT OF A TRIUMPH AND WE'VE RAISED THE ISSUE AND HOPEFULLY SOME FURTHER PROGRESS WILL BE MADE. THANK YOU.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR WYATT, FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: YEAH. I WANT TO APPEAL TO MY FRIENDS WHO PROBABLY CONSIDER THEMSELVES RIGHT OF CENTER. WE HAVE A PROVINCE SPENDING A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT DEVELOPING INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRADE INFRASTRUCTURE AND CORRIDOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND THE NEED TO OPEN UP THE PORT OF PRINCE RUPERT TO GET OUR PRODUCTS TO MARKET, TO DIVERSIFY OUR TRADE. LISTENING TO THE CBC RADIO ABOUT DIVERSIFYING YOUR TRADE BUT OUR GROWTH TO COME THAT IF WE CAN JUST ACCOMMODATE OUR GROWTH IN TERMS OF OUR ACCESS TO NATURAL RESOURCES AND HOPEFULLY REFINING PRODUCTS FURTHER AND DEVELOPING THOSE INDUSTRIES AND THE IMPORTANCE OF THEM. BUT YOU KNOW THE REALITY IS WE'RE TALKING LOTS ABOUT PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE AS A COUNTRY. THE PRIME MINISTER HAS BEEN SPENDING A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT THAT ALONG WITH MAYORS AND PREMIERS, BUT VERY LITTLE TALKING ABOUT THE PEOPLE INFRASTRUCTURE. TODAY THE FRONT PAGE OF THE GLOBEN MAIL ON THE REPORT ON BUSINESS, IMMIGRATION HAS SLOWED TO A COMPLETE CRAWL. BASICALLY THE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE COMING INTO CANADA IS NOW BASICALLY HALTED. IF WE'RE GOING TO HAVE ANY TYPE OF ACCESS TO WORKERS, ANY KIND OF PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO BE ACTUALLY DOING THIS WORK, IF WE WANT TO GROW THE ECONOMY, WE ACTUALLY HAVE TO NOW WORK ON OUR EXISTING POPULATION. WE LITERALLY HAVE TENS AND THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE, MADAM SPEAKER IN EVERY CITY WHO ARE COMPLETELY MARGINALIZED AND ARE NOT PART OF THE PRODUCTIVE WORK PLACE. THE WORK FORCE. IN MONTREAL ALONE, THE LAST STATISTIC I HEARD WAS 50,000 PEOPLE WHO ARE LIVING HOMELESS. TORONTO, TENS OF THOUSANDS. VANCOUVER, THOUSANDS HERE DISPLACED. YOU CAN'T GET A JOB IF YOU DON'T HAVE A HOME. YOU CAN'T BE PART OF THE PRODUCTIVE WORKFORCE. AND YET, MADAM SPEAKER, WE HAVE A FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THAT HAS NO STRATEGY WHEN IT COMES TO THE ONGOING MENTAL HEALTH ADDICTION CRISIS THAT'S HAPPENING IN EVERY CITY ACROSS THIS COUNTRY. AND WE SEE IT HAPPENING NOW. THE AMOUNT OF TIME THIS WOULD TAKE, THE AMOUNT OF RESOURCES IT WOULD TAKE TO APPLY THE PROPER FOLKS AND DO THE OUTREACH AND BUILDING HOUSING AS THEY

DO IN OTHER WESTERN DEVELOPED COUNTRIES WHERE THEY HAVE BUILT 250, 300 SQUARE FOOT UNITS ON THE FLOOR, BUT NOBODY LIVES IN THE STREETS IN AMSTERDAM. NOBODY'S LIVING IN THE STREETS IN COPEN HAGGEN. NOBODY'S LIVING LIKE WE TREAT THEM BY THE SIDE OF THE RIVER IN EUROPE, BUT HERE WE PUT UP WITH IT IN NORTH AMERICA. AND I'LL TAKE IT A STEP FURTHER. IT'S NOT JUST THE RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS THAT'S PLAGUING US AND THE PRICE THAT HAS CAUSED WITH REGARDS THE STRUGGLE WITH MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION ISSUES. THEY HAVE TO PUT IN PROGRAMS TO HELP THE SOLDIERS COMING BACK. WE HAD A REVOLUTION IN THE CITY CALLED THE 1919 GENERAL STRIKE. SOLDIERS CAME BACK ANGRY, DISPLACED, UNABLE TO FIND WORK. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT LEARNED FROM THAT. AFTER WORLD WAR II, THEY ROLLED OUT A WHOLE HOST OF PROGRAMS. PRIMARILY TARGETING AT SINGLE MEN. THOSE PROGRAMS BY THE WAY WERE IN PLACE UNTIL THE 1970S AND THEN THE 1970S CAME ALONG AND A VERY GOOD FRIEND OF MINE AS SOME OF YOU WOULD KNOW HIM HE WAS THE RIGHT-HANDED BILL CARR HERE. HE WAS OFFERING AN OPPORTUNITY FOR ME TO RUN FOR THE FEDERAL LIBERALS AND HE SAID TO ME, IT WAS THE LIBERALS THAT CAUSED THE HOMELESS CRISIS IN THE 1970S. WE QUIETLY CUT ALL THE PROGRAMS THAT WERE PUT IN PLACE AFTER WORLD WAR II IN OTHER WORDS, WE DON'T HAVE TO REINVENT THE WHEEL, MADAM SPEAKER. WE'VE DONE THIS BEFORE. WE'VE HAD THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROGRAMMING AT A FEDERAL LEVEL TO ENSURE THAT PEOPLE DON'T FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS. AND IT WAS BACK THEN TARGET LARGELY AND THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN, WHAT DID WE GET? A RISE IN THE 80s. ALL OF A SUDDEN THE 1980S AND RISE IN HOMELESSNESS ACROSS THIS COUNTRY SLOWLY STARTED TO HAPPEN, NOW IT'S EPIDEMIC ACROSS EVERY CITY. MADAM SPEAKER, WHAT WE'RE CALLING FOR HERE IS A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH. YOU KNOW ALL THE GROUPS, WHAT'S HAPPENING NOW IT'S FALLING BACK ON ALL THESE GROUPS. TRYING TO BASICALLY HOLD THE WATER FROM OVERFLOWING BASICALLY. YOU'VE GOT THE MASSIVE FLOW OF PEOPLE LIVING AND CONTINUING AND IT'S JUST GROWING AND GETTING WORSE. FOR EVERY ONE PERSON THEY HELPED AND TURN THEIR LIFE AROUND, THERE'S ANOTHER TEN WAITING. YOU HAVE STREET LINKS DOING THE BEST THEY CAN. HAVE YOU OUR FRIEND IAN ROB TRYING TO KEEP THAT OPEN. YOU HAVE CHURCH GROUPS. YOU HAVE FOOD BANKS MADAM SPEAKER, ONE OF THE WEALTHIEST COUNTRIES IN THE WORLD TO THIS DAY. THE IDEA THAT WE HAVE TO HAVE FOOD BANKS IS AN INDICTMENT ON OUR SOCIETY. BUT MADAM SPEAKER, WHEN ARE WE GOING TO WAKE UP ACROSS THIS COUNTRY AND SAY THIS IS ENOUGH. WE'RE NOT GOING TO TREAT PEOPLE WORSE THAN WE TREAT FERRELL CATS. I'VE SAID THIS BEFORE. I'VE SEEN THE LADIES COME TO OUR STANDING

COMMITTEE WHEN I WAS ON PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES. THEY HAVE AN AMAZING PROGRAM TO LOOK AFTER FERRELL CATS. THEY HAVE HOUSING. THEY EVEN GET THEM HOMES, SOME OF THEM. WE DO A BETTER JOB LOOKING AFTER FERRELL CATS IN THE CITY THAN WE DO OUR FELLOW HUMAN BEINGS. AND NOW WE'RE GOING TO SHOVE THEM ASIDE AND HOPEFULLY THEY GO AWAY. HOPEFULLY THEY DON'T SEE THEM. TAKE A BOAT RIDE. I CAN TAKE YOU FOR A BOAT RIDE ON THE RIVER. YOU WANT TO SEE ALL THE ENCAMPMENTS UP AND DOWN THE CITY RIGHT NOW. IT'S HORRENDOUS WHAT'S HAPPENING RIGHT NOW. AND IT'S HAPPENING IN CITY AFTER CITY AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS TALKING A LOT ABOUT THE NEED FOR INVESTMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE. IT'S TIME TO START TALKING ABOUT THE INVESTMENT IN PEOPLE. HOW ARE WE GOING TO TAKE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF CANADIANS WHO ARE NOW LOST, MARGINALIZED, HOMELESS AND HELP THEM BECOME PRODUCTIVE MEMBERS OF SOCIETY. I SAID IT EARLIER AND I MEANT IT, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN MEAN. IF IT WASN'T FOR MY FAMILY THAT HAD THE FINANCIAL MEANS TO PUT ME ONCE BUT TWICE INTO RECOVERY. 71 DAYS 90 THE NEXT. I WOULD BE THERE OR I WOULD BE DEAD. AND I GET UPSET WHEN I SEE THEM BECAUSE I KNOW THAT COULD HAVE EASILY UP ENDED ME. AND SO IT'S VERY PERSONAL IN SOME WAYS BUT I'M TRYING TO KEEP IT PROFESSIONAL BECAUSE WE ARE DEBATING THIS ISSUE AND, MADAM SPEAKER, I APPEAL TO THE MAYOR TO REACH OUT TO THE PRIME MINISTER AND THE MINISTER TO COME UP WITH A NATIONAL STRATEGY NOT ONLY IN TERMS OF THE RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS WHICH WE SHOULD HAVE A LONG TERM PROGRAM FOR REININVESTMENT OVER THE COURSE BUT ALSO IN TERMS OF THE VERY FACT WE HAD THE PORT COQUITLAMS IN PLACE. ALL YOU NEED TO DO IS GET SOME POLICY RESEARCH. BRING THEM BACK AND YOU WOULD HAVE LITERALLY A MINI REVOLUTION HAPPENING IN EVERY CITY WHERE WE CAN TURN THINGS AROUND. THEY DON'T WANT TO LIVE IN CAMPS AND BE MARGINALIZED, BUT THEY FEEL THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE WYATT TO FALL BACK ON. THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE PEOPLE IN THE RIGHT TIME AND THE RIGHT PLACE AND THAT'S NOT THEIR FAULT. IT'S JUST WHERE THEY WERE BORN.

SPEAKER:THANK YOU. OUR NEXT SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR EADIE.

:COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU. I HAVE MUCH TO SAY ABOUT ENCAMPMENTS. BUT I RISE WELL I'VE BEEN LISTENING TO MY COLLEAGUES AND WE ALL, ACTUALLY EVERYBODY AROUND THE ROOM, WE HAVE THE SAME GOAL AS THE PROVINCE DOES IN YOUR WAY HOME WHICH IS WE NEED TO FIND HOUSING FOR THE PEOPLE LIVING ROUGH OUT IN ENCAMPMENTS AND FOR THEM.

ENCAMPMENTS ARE DANGEROUS. SO I JUST WANT TO SPEAK JUST REALLY QUICKLY ABOUT PROVIDING SERVICE DIRECTLY TO ENCAMPMENTS. WE HAVE WELL, EVERYBODY HEARD IN THE NEWS, YES, I ALLOCATED MONEY AND I WENT TO ST. BONNAVIST STREET LINKS. THERE'S NOT A BIG DIFFERENCE NECESSARILY BETWEEN MAIN STREET PROJECT. THE ONE THING IS THOUGH WHEN ST. BONNAVISTA STREET LINKS GOES IN, THEIR IMMEDIATE FUNCTION IS TO TRY TO FIND HOUSING AND WORK WITH THE PEOPLE IN THE ENCAMPMENT IN ORDER TO PREPARE TO MOVE THEM INTO A HOUSING SITUATION AND THEY'VE HAD SUCCESS IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR. THAT'S THE ONLY DIFFERENCE. IF YOU WANT TO SAY BECAUSE YOU'RE USING PRIVATE SECTOR HOUSING, WELL, I MEAN, HOW MANY PEOPLE RENT APARTMENTS, RENT WHATEVER, IT DOESN'T, YOU KNOW, THERE IS THE SOCIAL HOUSING CONCEPT WHICH IS YOUR WAY HOME AND THEY'VE BEEN MOVING PEOPLE OUT OF ENCAMPMENTS JUST NOT AS QUICKLY BUT THE FOCUS OF STREET LINKS IS DIRECTLY TO GO IN THERE NOT TO MAINTAIN AND WAIT AND HOPE FOR EVENTUALLY SOME SOCIAL HOUSING TO BE BUILT. WE ARE AS A CITY CONTRIBUTING BECAUSE THE MAYOR HAS DIRECTED IN HIS COMPANY AND WE'RE MOVING DOWN THAT ROAD TO PROVIDE AFFORDABLE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING, SOME DEBATE ABOUT DEFINITIONS OF TRANSITIONAL AND SO ON BUT, MADAM SPEAKER, IN TERMS OF THE DELIVERY OF ONE MAIN STREET PROJECT, THE THING IS IT WAS A ONE-YEAR WHATEVER THE LENGTH OF THE CONTRACT IS BEING EVALUATED AS ALL CONTRACTS SHOULD BY GOVERNMENT. EVALUATE SUCCESS AND HOW IT'S DOING, AGAIN, EVERYBODY HAS THE SAME GOAL. POINT IN TIME PEOPLE WHO ARE LIVING IN ENCAMPMENTS NEED TO HAVE HOUSING. IT IS NOT SAFE. IT'S NOT HEALTHY AND MOST IMPORTANTLY AND YOU WILL HEAR THIS FROM MARY WILLIS, ADDICTION CRISES, COUNCILLOR WYATT HAS APPROPRIATELY INDICATED. SO I'LL SPEAK MORE ABOUT IT WHEN WE GET TO THE OTHER ONE. JUST IN TERMS OF SERVICE CONTRACTS, SOME COUNCILLORS WITH MULTIPLE LARGE ENYOU KNOW, THERE'S TEN ENCAMPMENTS THERE, AND JUST TO DEMONSTRATE HOW UNSAFE IT IS, YOU'VE GOT ONE GROUP OF PEOPLE LIVING IN AN ENCAMPMENT PHYSICALLY FIGHTING, STABS, HURTING EACH OTHER, MADAM SPEAKER. IT'S NOT SAFE OUT THERE FOR THEM. SO THE SERVICE PROVIDERS WHO ARE GOING IN THERE AND IT'S DANGEROUS FOR SERVICE PROVIDERS IN SOME SITUATIONS TO WORK WITH PEOPLE, YES. NOT EVERYBODY WHO'S LIVING IN AN ENCAMPMENT CAN BE DANGEROUS OR NOT BUT THE REALITY IS IT'S THERE, MADAM SPEAKER. WHEN WE'RE GETTING OUR SERVICE PROVIDERS ON THE WAY HOME, THE DIALOGS HAPPENING, COUNCILLOR GILROY HAS SUCCESS. HER AND I HAVE MET WITH OUR PEOPLE BECAUSE WE AS COUNCILLOR AS COUNCILLOR ROLLINS POINTED OUT, WE NEED TO BE IN THERE TALKING ABOUT IT BECAUSE I

CONSTANTLY GET, ROSS EADIE, YOU'RE NOT DOING ANYTHING FOR ANYBODY IN THIS WARD. YOU DON'T CARE. WELL I DO CARE. AND IT WASN'T ILLEGAL FOR ME TO PROVIDE A GRANT TO CENTRAL LINKS AND I JUST MENTION ONE THING ABOUT THE EXCELLENT WORK THAT'S HAPPENING IN THE CITY FACILITY FOR $1. THE BIGGEST PROBLEM THERE, MADAM SPEAKER IS THAT THE BUILDING NEEDS $4 MILLION WORTH OF RESTORATIVE RENOVATION WORK AND THEY JUST CAN'T RAISE THAT KIND OF MONEY SO JUST SO EVERYBODY KNOWS, I THINK THEY'RE LOOKING OR TRYING TO FIND A SPACE WHERE IT'S SUSTAINABLE. ANYWAY. MEETINGS ARE HAPPENING AND COUNCILLOR GILROY FOR SURE AND PROBABLY MYSELF HOPEFULLY WE'RE GOING TO BE INVOLVED IN THE DISCUSSIONS, MADAM SPEAKER. WE CAN'T HAVE ALL COUNCILLORS AND I PREFER COUNCILLOR I THINK THAT COUNCILLOR GILROY CAN ADEQUATELY REPRESENT US AND I THINK THAT WE AS COUNCILLORS. ANYWAY, PLEASE NOTE THAT KIND OF WORK IS HAPPENING BEHIND THE SCENES AND THE MAYOR DOES CARE AS WELL. WE RECEIVED THIS AS INFORMATION BECAUSE THERE IS A CONTRACT UNDER AN RFP. WE'RE WORKING WITH BOTH ORGANIZATIONS, MADAM SPEAKER AND THEY ARE WORKING SOMEWHAT BECAUSE OF THEY WORK DIFFERENTLY, I GUESS THERE'S BEEN ANIMOSITY, HOPEFULLY WE CAN BUILD IN OUR MEETINGS, TRY TO BUILD A TRUST BETWEEN THE SERVICE OUTREACH SERVICE PROVIDERS THAT ARE OUT THERE BUT WE NEED TO ALSO HAVE THEM WORKING WITH THE POLICE SERVICE BY-LAW OFFICERS BUT DEFINITELY WITH PARAMEDICS AND I'LL TALK ABOUT OTHER IDEAS. WHEN WE GET TO THE IDEA. WE'RE PASSING A LAW THAT MAKES IT ILLEGAL TO HAVE ENCAMPMENTS DAY IN AND DAY OUT IN OUR PARKS FOR SURE. ST. JOHN'S PARK, THEY SAID THEY WANTED TO CLOSE THE POOL DOWN THERE BECAUSE WE WEREN'T GETTING ENOUGH NUMBERS. FAMILIES ARE NOT GOING TO USE THOSE FACILITIES, MADAM SPEAKER IF THEIR KIDS ARE IN DANGER IF THEY ARE IN DANGER AND IT'S NOT THAT PEOPLE ARE OUT THERE BEING MALICIOUS. WHEN YOU HAVE ADDICTIONS AND YOU'RE STONED OUT OF YOUR MIND ON METHOD, WHO KNOWS WHAT CAN HAPPEN, MADAM SPEAKER AND SO WE NEED TO KEEP ALL OF THAT IN MIND, THE UNDERLYING PROBLEM IS AN EPIDEMIC OF ADDICTION.

SPEAKER:OKAY. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR EADIE. OF THE MR. MAYOR, YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU,S MADAM SPEAKER. I JUST WANT TO PICK UP ON A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN SAID. THE PROVINCE HAS LAUNCHED THE YOUR WAY HOME STRATEGY. WE AS A CITY FULL PARTNERS IN THAT

STRATEGY. THE CITY'S ROLE IN THE STRATEGY WAS PRESENTED TO COUNCIL IN THE COUNCIL SEMINAR AND WHICH SOME MEMBERS OF THIS ATTENDED, SOME DID NOT. THE ONGOING WORK THAT THE CITY CONTINUES TO DO WITH ALL SERVICE OUTREACH. BUT WE ARE WORKING WITH ALL OF THEM INCLUDING ST. BONAVIST STREET LINKS WHO AS COUNCILLOR MAYES POINTS OUT, WE CONTINUE TO FUND THE DIVISION OF A BUILDING WHICH GOOD WORK IS HAPPENING THERE. A QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS A YEAR FOR 24/7 SAFE SPACE FUNDING AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO HAVE DISCUSSIONS WITH ANY AND EVERY AND AND EVERYONE EVERYONE ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS. AND WE ARE ALSO DOING A LOT OF HOMELESS WORK: AMONG OTHER THINGS, THE FEDERAL PROGRAMS FOR HOUSING HAVE SOMETIMES A DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACT ON PROVIDING AND MAKING HOUSING AVAILABLE FOR THOSE WHO ARE ON THE EDGE OF HOMELESSNESS OR RIGHT NOW ARE LIVING UNSHELTERED. AND SO I WOULD CALL UPON EVERY ONE OF THEM THEY'VE HAD EVERY ANYTHING WE CAN DO AS A COUNCIL TO GET MORE HOUSING BUILT IN THIS CITY FASTER, I WOULD EXPECT FULL PARTICIPATION FROM COUNCILLORS DOING THEIR DUE DILIGENCE AND MYSELF. BUT FINDING A WAY TO SAY YES TO PROJECTS IF POSSIBLE SO THAT WE CAN GET MORE HOUSING BUILT TO GET MORE PEOPLE OFF THE STREET QUICKER. AND SO THE ONGOING WORK CONTINUES BETWEEN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA, ALL OUTREACH PROVIDERS AS WELL. AND SO I'M CONFIDENT THAT THE DISCUSSIONS ARE CONTINUING. I RAN INTO MARY WILLIS JUST ABOUT A WEEK AND A HALF AGO, TWO WEEKS AGO, HAD A QUICK DISCUSSION ABOUT MAYBE A FUTURE DISCUSSION WE COULD HAVE AS WELL COMMITTED AS WE ALL ARE TO DOING ALL WE CAN TO WORK WITH ALL PARTNERS WHO ARE WILLING TO COME TO THE TABLE TO FIND WAYS TO ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS.

MADAM SPEAKER: I'LL CALL THE QUESTION.

CLERK**:** ITEM EIGHT. CASSPIAN CONSTRUCTION SETTLEMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT, ITEM EIGHT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: YEAH. MADAM SPEAKER, THIS ITEM WAS STEPPED DOWN BY MYSELF. THE CITY OF WINNIPEG HAS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT WE RECEIVED SETTLEMENT. THE QUESTION IS TO UNDERSTAND WHAT KIND OF COMMITMENT WE'VE RECEIVED OUT OF THE CASPIAN SETTLEMENT WITH REGARDS TO THE VALUE. IN LIEU OF PAYMENT AND TWO BUDGETS AGO THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS BROUGHT UP OR FIRST BUDGET OF THE GENERAL ELECTION AND IT

WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS EARMARKED OR IDENTIFIED FOR THE FISCAL STABILIZATION FUND AND SO THIS REFERENCES THAT AS KIND OF A REFUNDING AND REFINANCING. I LIKE TO GET AN IDEA OF EXACTLY WE ARE ON THIS. I DON'T KNOW WHY IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE'RE NOT PREPARED TO SIMPLY PUT IN A REPORT AND TELL US WHAT EXACTLY HOW WELL WE'VE DONE OR HOW WELL WE'VE NOT DONE, THAT'S WHY I HAD THE MOTION HERE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR BROWATY.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: I GUESS IT'S A REPORT I CAN JUST SPEAK HERE AS THE COUNCILLOR BE AWARE COUNCIL MOVED A MOTION TO ACCEPT A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH A PAYMENT TERMS THAT WERE AVAILABLE TO THEM TO MAKE THE PAYMENTS. THERE WERE DIFFERENT PERIODS OF TIME WHERE THE AMOUNT WOULD CHANGE BASED ON TO HOW MUCH THE SETTLEMENT WOULD BE AND WE ARE STILL IN THAT TIME FRAME TO BE DONE. THERE WAS ALSO AT THE TIME TO ENTER INTO PUTTING PROPERTIES THAT WOULD BE MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WORK WAS DONE. IN 2024 BASED ON ADVICE FROM OUR INTERNAL LAWYERS, FROM IT OUR EXTERNAL AUDITORS, THE AMOUNT WAS RECOGNIZED IF THAT AMOUNT WAS PAID AT SOME POINT BEFORE THE NEXT INCREASE WHICH HAPPENED AGAIN THIS YEAR. EVERYTHING IS ON THAT TIME FRAME SUPER MY UNDERSTANDING. MY UNDERSTANDING IS NOTHING BUT THIS IS CONSISTENT WITH THIS AGREEMENT THAT COUNCIL VOTED ON.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER ON THIS ITEM IF ANY MR. MAYOR, YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: YOU CAN CALL THE QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR OF ITEM EIGHT. CONTRARY. AND WE'VE NOTED COUNCILLOR WYATT IN OPPOSITION. THAT CONCLUDES OUR REPORTS UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. WE'LL NOW GO TO THE NOTICE OF MOTION MOVED BY REGARDING THE NEW NAME OF CARRIER AVENUE PARK IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING YOU WOULD LIKE TO WITHDRAW THE MOTION. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT'S CARRIED. WE'LL NOW MOVE TO MOTIONS UNDER THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. MOTION ONE SECONDED BY MYSELF AND THAT'S THIS IS A NOTICE OF MOTION. COUNCILLOR EADIE IS IT YOUR INTENTION.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO DISCUSS AND VOTE ON THIS IMPORTANT TIME SENSITIVE MATTER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, WE'LL DEAL WITH THAT WHEN IT COMES.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: I DON'T WANT TO WAIT TO DEAL WITH THAT.

MADAM SPEAKER: WITH RESPECT TO RAINBOW STAGE. ALL IN FAVOR OF SUSPENDING THE RULES. OKAY. I THINK THE AYES HAVE IT AS I HEARD IT. OKAY. COUNCILLOR EADIE, YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO MOTION ONE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: YES. THE REASON THAT THIS IS URGENT WHEN THE CAPITAL PROJECT WAS LAID OUT, WHEN THE CAPITAL PROJECT WAS LISTED OUT, I BELIEVE IT WAS ORIGINALALLY THOUGHT THAT ALL OF THE CITY MONEY WOULD BE SPENT THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT TO PAY WHOEVER'S DOING CONSTRUCTION. WHAT IT TURNED OUT IS THE CITY ITSELF WITH THIS CAPITAL PROJECT WHICH THEY HAVE BEEN DEALING WITH AND THEY INSISTED IN HIRING A CONSTRUCTION MANAGER FOR THE OVERALL PROJECT THERE NEEDS TO BE A GRANT PROVIDED IN THAT THE MONEY GETS TRANSFERRED TO BECAUSE THEY'RE THE ONES WHO ARE PAYING THE BILL AND THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER IS UNWILLING TO TENDER ADDITIONAL WORK AND SOME ACCESSIBILITY STUFF UNDER A FEDERAL GRANT AND THEY NEED TO GO BEFORE THE SEASON'S OVER. AS YOU KNOW, THIS IS A SEASONAL BUILDING AND THEY NEED TO GET THIS DONE SO NEXT YEAR'S PROGRAMS FOR THE RAINBOW STAGE WHICH HAS BEEN QUITE SUCCESSFUL FOR THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS WHICH ATTRACT ITS TOURISTS AS WELL AS PEOPLE FROM ALL AROUND WINNIPEG. THIS IS A REGIONAL FACILITY THAT WE HAVE IN KILDONA APARTMENT, MADAM SPEAKER AND SO THE MONEY DETAIL IS LISTED IN THERE BUT IN 23 THERE WAS A $1.5 MILLION THAT HAD TO BE MATCHED. $2.269 MILLION, NOT THE FULL THREE BUT IN 2024 AND OUR FOUR YEAR BUDGET BUT OUR CHAIRPERSON IN FINANCE RESPONSIBLE FOR MOVING IT AHEAD, COUNCILLOR BROWATY SAID WE HAD TO CHANGE SO THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE MATCH THE BUT THE WORDS WERE KIND OF AMBIGUOUS AS WELL BECAUSE IT TALKED ABDOMEN UPDATING TRYING TO GAIN MONEY FOR THIS PROJECT WHATEVER. SO ULTIMATELY THIS IS BECOME WRITTEN UP BY LEGAL. SHE HAD LIKE A DAY AND A HALF TO GET ON THIS AND MUCH APPRECIATED AND WE NEED TO PASS THIS TODAY TO ENSURE THAT THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER KNOWS THAT THE MONEY'S THERE TO PAY WHEN THE TENDERS GO OUT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU. FOR SOMEBODY BEING REBUKED FOR BEING TOLD WE DON'T LIKE SURPRISES. IT INVOLVES AN I DO HAVE QUESTIONS FOR THE CEO ON THE ITEM PERTAINING TO REVENUE BECAUSE IT IS NOT. WE WERE ADVISED AT 4:56 P.M. SO I THINK I'M WITHIN MY RIGHTS TO SAY I HAVE A QUESTION AND WHERE THAT APPEARS IN THE BUDGET, IS IT RESERVED WHERE IS IT?

MADAM SPEAKER: I THINK IT'S SOMETHING THAT THE MOVER AND THE MOTION CAN ANNOUNCE AS WELL.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THAT WOULD BE THE PROBLEM. I BELIEVE I'M ENTITLED - I'D LIKE MINIMUM TO WITHDRAW THAT. I WAS ADVISED AT 4:56 P.M. OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS COMING TO COUNCIL THE NEXT DAY. I'VE BEEN FAULTED HERE FOR DOING MY DUE DILIGENCE. I'M TRYING TO DO MY DUE DILIGENCE AND ASK QUESTIONS.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: ALL RIGHT. JUST TO FREELANCE IT THE QUESTION IS THE SPECIFIC QUESTION OF CLARIFICATION.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: BEFORE I MAKE MY REMARKS, I WANTED TO KNOW WHERE THE REVENUE FACILITY FEE IS THAT HOUSED IN IS IT A RESERVE? IS IT A CAPITAL CLOSE-OUT FROM SOMETHING ELSE, IS IT FUTURE SERVICES ACCOUNT? ? ONE SENTENCE QUESTION. IS IT A FUTURE SERVICES ACCOUNT?

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY. THANK YOU. WE WILL ALLOW THE QUESTION OF THE CAO AND I'LL ASK HIM TO COME TO THE PODIUM. AND PERHAPS IF THE QUESTION ISN'T IMMEDIATELY AVAILABLE, IT WILL BE GIVEN TO YOU SOME TIME TODAY. THANK YOU. WELCOME. >> THANK YOU. AND THANKS FOR THE QUESTION, SPEAKER SHARMA OR MADAM SPEAKER. I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER FOR YOU AT THIS TIME. I'M GETTING IT HERE AS WE SPEAK NOW. I'LL GET IT FOR YOU AFTER TODAY'S MEETING.

MADAM SPEAKER: OR AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

YES.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES, THE CLOCK RESUMES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: WE FINALLY HIRED A CAO WHO'S PERSONAL BEST MARATHON TIME IS BETTER THAN MINE. WE FINALLY HAVE THAT WITH MR. DENFORD. THANK YOU FOR THE EFFORTS. I WILL SPEAK ASSUMING IT'S COMING FROM THE --

MADAM SPEAKER: THE CLOCK PLEASE.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: YEP. I'LL BE SUPPORTING THIS. TO THE CITY. CERTAINLY I'VE BENEFITED FROM A LOT OF MONEY GOING INTO I WOULD HOWEVER PUT THIS IN CONTEXT. WE WERE ADVISED OF THIS AND NO UNCERTAIN TERMS THAT COUNCILLORS WOK CONSULTED. THE PHRASE THEY USED WAS IT'S A NO-CHANGE BUDGET BUT WE'RE CONSULTING THE DEPARTMENT. I'M PLEASED TO ESEE THE NO CHANGE BUDGET INVOLVE SOME CHANGES. OBVIOUSLY THE BUDGET THAT WAS JUST VOTED ON FOR 2025 INCLUDING THE NUMBER OF CHANGES AND INCLUDING THE ZOO, FOR EXAMPLE, ON THE CAPITAL SIDE. ABOUT ST. BONAFIST REGIONAL POOL. I'M ALSO THAT CAPITAL PROJECT FOR THE POOL HAD NEVER APPEARED ON THE BNIFACE FUTURE SERVICES ACCOUNT WHICH HAS OVER $50 MILLION WHICH HAS BEEN THERE SINCE THE 70s BEING HELD FOR PROJECTS THAT WILL LIKELY NEVER OCCUR. SOME OF US ARE TRYING TO GET $5 MILLION OUT OF THE SERVICES ACCOUNT SO WE CAN HAVE A DECENT RECREATION ALPHA SILT IN THE PART OF THE CITY THAT'S HAD THE SECOND BIGGEST GROWTH, THAT BEING THE SOUTHEAST. COUNCILLOR LUKES HAS HAD THE BIGGEST GROWTH IN THE SOUTHWEST. I WILL BE SUPPORTING THIS. I WILL HOPE NO ONE WILL COME TO ME IN THE FUTURE AND SAY IT'S A NO CHANGE BUDGET BECAUSE CLEARLY WE'RE MAKING CHANGES HERE. SOME OF THE MONEY IS ALREADY ALLOCATED IN THE BUDGET. NO PROBLEM AT ALL WITH THAT. HOWEVER, THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT DEFERRED REVENUE FACILITY FEE WHICH WE DON'T KNOW WHERE IT'S COMING FROM AT THIS STAGE AS WE DEBATE THIS, IS A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF MONEY BEING PUT TOWARD THIS PROJECT. LARLY, WE HAVE A PROJECT THAT HAS BEEN TALKED ABOUT. IT'S BEEN IN OUR BUDGET AS A STUDY SINCE 2016. OFF AND ON, IT DISAPPEARED IN 2020 AND REAPPEARED THERE AFTER WE HAVE OVER $5 MILLION SITTING WAITING PAID IN BY THE AREA DEVELOPER FOR USE IN THE SOUTHEAST. OF THE SO I'M HOPING THAT THE BUDGET WORKING GROUP WILL NOT COME BACK TO ME AND SAY THERE'S A NO CHANGE BUDGET. IN THE SAME SPIRIT OF THIS ITEM, WE'RE GOING TO USE SOME MONEY THAT'S BEEN PAID IN AND IN THE AREA WHERE IT WAS PAID IN AND WE'RE GOING TO BUILD A HALF DECENT REC CENTER TO RECOGNIZE THE GROWTH OF THE TENS AND HOWS OF PEOPLE IN THE SOUTHEAST. TO USE THE MULTI-YEAR TENS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS AND

I KNOW THERE'S A SCHOOL ELEMENT IN THERE AS WELL. I'VE BEEN PLEASED TO SUPPORT THAT. I HOPE TO GET SIMILAR SUPPORT AS WE MOVE TOWARDS CREATING A DECENT FACILITY IN THE SOUTHEAST. WE HAVE SEEN IN ADDITION THE MOST RECENT BUDGET, ONE CAN CALL IT NO CHANGE BUT IN ADDITION TO THE POOL AND THE ZOO, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF NEW ITEMS PUT IN FOR THE NEW CAPITAL YEAR OF 2030. ADDITIONAL VARIOUS PROJECTS AND VARIOUS WARDS, BUT ALL I'M SEEKING IS TO MAKE THE POINT WE HAVE OVER $5 MILLION IN THE FUTURE SERVICES ACCOUNT. WE CAN LET IT SIT THERE AND LET IT ACCRUE INTEREST. NOT INTO THE SOUTHEAST. WE COULD DO THAT AND THE REC CENTER WILL LIKELY NEVER BE BUILT AND WE CAN FINALLY DO THE ROAD PROJECTS AS COUNCIL LOOKS IS CORRECTLY IDENTIFIED IN 10 OR 15 YEARS, WE COULD OR WE CAN GET THE PROJECT DONE BY USING THE MONEY THAT'S ALREADY PAID IN. PLEASED TO SEE THESE PROJECTS GETTING DONE WITH MONEY THAT'S ALREADY BEING PAID IN. SO I WILL BE ASKING FOR A SIMILAR CONSIDERATION FOR MY WARD. HAPPY TO SUPPORT COUNCILLORS SHARMA AND EADIE IN THEIR MOTION TO GET MONEY SPENT OUT FROM DEFERRED REVENUE AND WE'LL JUST ASK FOR SIMILAR CONSIDERATION WHEN IT COMES TIME TO GET A REC CENTER BUILT THAT'S BEEN ENVISIONED SINCE 2009 FOR THE SOUTHEAST PORTION OF THE CITY WHICH I REPRESENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR WYATT, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: RAINBOW STAGE SAY WORTHY CAUSE. I RISE, THIS IS A REGIONAL PARK. IT'S A WONDERFUL REGIONAL PARK. I ALSO RISE, MADAM SPEAKER SIMILAR TO COUNCILLOR MAYES TO POINT OUT OPEN IN 2008 DOESN'T RECEIVE A DIME FROM THE CITY YET DOES AMAZING THINGS. THIS YEAR THEY HOST IT. THE NATIVE NATIONAL FASTBALL CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNAMENT. 6,000 ROOMS. 6,000 ROOMS BOOKED IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. ECONOMIC SPINOFFS TO THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND THEY'RE HAVING A HARD TIME WITH THEIR OPERATING BUDGET LIKE A LOT OF ORGANIZATIONS. I SEE HERE WE'RE GOING TO ENTER INTO A GRANT AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE THEM WITH A GRANT. AND BUHLER HAS BEEN ASKING AND I'VE BEEN TOLD DIP INTO YOUR COMMUNITY PARK TO KEEP A REGIONAL PARK GOING AND I'VE BEEN DOING THAT UP UNTIL NOW. AND FRANKLY I GUESS THE BIGGEST MISTAKE WE'VE MADE IS WE BUILT BUHLER ON THE WRONG SIDE OF THE CITY. IF WE HAD BUILT IT ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE CITY, WE MIGHT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GET SOME MONEY. BUT WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO GET A DIME BECAUSE OF THE BIAS IN THE CITY THAT WE DON'T GOVERN THE CITY AS ONE. NO. THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS THIS IS ONE

CITY. WE SHOULD HAVE BEEN ONE CITY SINCE 1972 AND WE HAVEN'T ACTED THAT WAY. AND YET WE CAN'T GET A DIME FOR A FACILITY THAT'S BEEN DOING THINGS OVER AND OVER. I SEE WE'RE DOING THINGS WITH BLOOMBERG NOW. WE ARE WATCHING BY THE WAY THAT AGREEMENT COMING OUT TO SEE WHAT KIND OF SUBSIDIES WE'RE GOING TO BE SUPPLYING RED RIVER TO TAKE OVER THE $6 MILLION PLUS FACILITY THAT WAS RUN INTO THE GROUND, A $6 MILLION LOSS AT BLOOMBERG. OUR FACILITY ALLOWS US TO BE RUN INTO THE GROUND THAT THEY'RE NOW BAILING OUT. MONEY OBVIOUSLY NOT JUST COMING FROM THEM, MADAM SPEAKER. OF NOW WHAT I FIND INTERESTING IS THAT WE HAVE THIS DIFFERENT BIAS WHEN IT COMES TO AND WE'VE HAD CHAIRS OF FINANCE COME AND SEE BUHLER RECREATIONAL PARK. OF THE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY THAT THAT PARK DOES TO GENERATE ECONOMIC ACTIVITY. WE HAVE SUGGESTED THAT A SMALL PERCENTAGE OF THE HOTEL TAX, THE ACCOMMODATION TAX THAT GOES INTO ECONOMIC, A SMALL PERCENTAGE THAT GOES BACK. THERE'S A DIRECT CONNECTION. THEY PROVIDE ROOMS THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE CITY. MADAM SPEAKER, THE ECONOMIC ACTIVITY AND THE WORK THAT BUHLER RECREATION PARK HAS DONE OVER THE HAS PROVB THEMSELVES SINCE 2008 AND THEY'VE MAINTAINED THE FACILITY AND THE CITY PARKS AND REC DEPARTMENT BUT THE STAFF THAT FACILITATE THAT, THERE'S A BIT OF COMPETITION LET'S JUST SAY, HEALTHY COMPETITION BECAUSE BUHLER MAINTAINS THEIR FACILITIES. THEY ACTUALLY GO OUT OF THEIR WAY TO TRY TO KEEP GRANTS FROM OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT WHENEVER THEY COME UP AND YOU CAN'T SAY THAT FOR ANY OTHER CITY PARK AND THEY'VE TAKEN GREAT PRIDE IN THAT PARK. THE A BOARD OF ELECTORS ELECTED BY THE COMMUNITY AND FACILITATED WITH THE DOLLARS THAT EVERY DOLLAR THEY CHARGE PEOPLE COMING IN. THEY RAISE MONEY THROUGH THEIR CAN TEEN, THEIR LICENSED, THEY DO EVERYTHING THEY CAN. THEY GET SPONSORSHIP DOLLARS, EVERYTHING WE WANT GROUPS TO DO, THEY'RE DOING. THEY GET SPONSORSHIP DOLLARS, THEY SELL ADVERTISING AND HOW DO WHEN THEY COME TO US SAY LOOK WE'RE SHORT OF $80,000 BECAUSE OF THE COST GOING UP. GO TO US. WE'RE NOT INTERESTED, MADAM SPEAKER. GO SEE WYATT AND HIS -- WELL THE COMMUNITY FUND'S BEING CUT NEXT YEAR BUT YET I'VE BEEN BAILING OUT A REGIONAL PARK IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT THE REST OF THE CITY CAN'T SEE FOR THE LIGHT OF DAY AND YET THE ECONOMIC SPINOFFS ARE HUGE. I'M SUPPORTING THIS. I'M RAISING THIS, MADAM SPEAKER TO SHOW ABOUT THE TALE OF TWO CITIES THAT EXIST WHERE ONE PART OF THE CITY GETS AND THE REST NONE AND THE TENS AND MILLIONS IN CAPITAL, TENS AND MILLIONS IN OPERATING EVERY YEAR, YOU KNOW. GO TO THE LEAF HOW MUCH DOES IT COST TO GO TO THE

LEAF. THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS I WOULD APPEAL WITH THE COMING BECAUSE FRANKLY WE LOSE THAT PART. AND IF YOU WANT THE CITY TO TAKE IT OVER, GREAT BUT IN TEN YEAR'S TIME, IT WILL LOOK LIKE CALIFORNIA I CAN GUARANTEE YOU THAT. >> I RISE HOPING THAT I WON'T HAVE TO JUST OPPOSE THIS WALK ON AND THIS VOTE WITH THE UP COMING TRANSIT MOTION WHERE I WANT IT -- I WANT COUNCIL TO DO AN EMERGENCY DEBATE SO WINNIPEGERS CAN HEAR THE TOP ISSUE OF THE DAY SPOKEN ABOUT AND NOT JUST A GRANT THAT, YOU KNOW, COULD HAVE BEEN DONE BUT NEEDS TO BE DONE. MADAM SPEAKER, WE CAN SUPPORT THE ARTS AND RESIDENTS THAT NEED TO HEAR US TALK ABOUT TRANSIT TOO.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKER SURFACE COUNCILLOR EADIE, YOU'LL CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. FIRST THING I'LL MENTION IS THAT THIS TICKET RESERVE, IT IS A RESERVE UNDER THE LEASE AGREEMENT WITH RAINBOW STAGE 1993 INC., I BELIEVE THERE ARE PROVISIONS THERE THAT NEED TO TALK ABOUT THE FUTURE. AND IT'S A CITY WIDE FACILITY IN A REGIONAL PARK. IT'S A CITY WIDE. THERE'S NO OTHER FACILITY LIKE THIS IN WINNIPEG. THIS IS AN ATTRACTION FOR PEOPLE. SO ASIDE FROM THAT, THE TICKET RESERVE THEN I BELIEVE N. I'M NOT SURE EXACTLY WHAT DEPARTMENT BUT THE RESERVE WAS CREATED BECAUSE THE CITY KNEW THAT WE HAD TO BRING IN SOME KIND OF REVENUE TO PAY FOR THE CAPITAL FIXINGS OF WHAT COMES DOWN TO A CITY-OWNED BUILDING. NOW, LET'S TALK ABOUT THE BUDGET. THIS IS ACTUALLY NOT CHANGING THE BUDGET, MADAM SPEAKER. WHAT IT'S DOING IS IT IS -- SORRY. (PHONE RINGING). WHAT IT IS DOING IS AS I EXPLAINED AT THE BEGINNING, WHAT HAS HAPPENED IS CAPITAL DOLLARS WOULD HAVE BEEN HELD BY THE CITY BUT THEY'RE ONLY KEEPING A PORTION OF THE CAPITAL DOLLARS TO UNDERTAKE THE WORK FOR THE LIGHTING FOR THE STAGE AND IN THAT AREA BECAUSE IT'S VERY COMPLEX AND THEY FELT THAT THEY NEED TO BE PART OF THAT. THEY WERE RESPONSIBLE AND WERE PART OF HIRING OF THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGING COMPANY WHO'S DOING SOME WORK HOWEVER THEY HAVE TO SUBCONTRACT OTHER WORK OUT, NOW THE PROBLEM WAS IS HOW DOES THE MONEY GET TRANSFERRED. THAT'S WHAT IT COMES DOWN TO. IT'S A SO AS YOU READ IN THERE, TRANSFERRED OVER TO THE RAINBOW STAGE 1993 INC. WHO ALSO HAVE THE ABILITY TO GET THE $1.375 MILLION THAT THEY GOT FROM THE PROVINCE. I WOULD NOTE THAT THE $3 MILLION, THE $1.5 MILLION AND $1.5 MILLION I WOULD NOTE COMES THROUGH OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT FUNDING AS A MATTER OF FACT THE CITY IS

OVERSEEING IT. THE SO MADAM SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK COUNCILLOR WYATT AND COUNCILLOR BROWATY WHO GOT THIS INTO THE CAPITAL BUDGET. WE KNOW IT'S IMPORTANT. WHEN IT COMES DOWN TO, NOT A CHANGE IN THE BUDGET. NOT TO BE ASSOCIATE WEDNESDAY ANY OF THE OTHER STUFF THAT I HEARD TODAY, IT IS DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED. THERE'S A LEASE AGREEMENT THAT THE BUILDING NEEDS THIS WORK. THERE'S FIRE CODE WORK, THERE'S ACCESSIBILITY WORK, THERE'S JUST RESTORING AREAS AND MAKING THE FACILITY MORE USABLE AND SAFE FOR PEOPLE TO USE. SO IT'S JUST MERELY A MOTION THAT GUARANTEES TO THE CONTRACT MANAGER THAT THE CITY IS GIVING THE MONEY TO THE RAINBOW STAGE 1993 INC. WHO WILL BE PAYING THE CONSTRUCTION BILLS AS IT GOES ALONG WITH ASSISTANCE FROM THE PUBLIC SERVANTS WHO HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS PROJECT ALL ALONG.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, WITH THAT I'LL CALL THE QUESTION. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: FOR SOME OF THE FUTURE SERVICES.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES, YOU HAD YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: HAVING BEEN ADVISED OF THE MOTION YESTERDAY AT 5:00, I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: AT THIS TIME, WE'RE CALLING A VOTE.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I'M CALLING A POINT OF ORDER TO FIND OUT IF THAT ISN'T A BUDGET THOSE OF US CAN SIMPLY MOVE MOTIONS TO HAVE IT SPENT OUT.

MADAM SPEAKER: BIGGER QUESTION IS NOT FOR THE CITY CLERK TODAY.' PLEASE TAKE THE CONVERSATION OFF LINE. I AM GOING TO CALL THE QUESTION ON MOTION 1. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT'S CARRIED. OKAY. WE'LL NOW MOVE ON TO -- WE HAVE A NEW MOTION THAT'S JUST BEEN CIRCULATED, MOTION 4 MOVED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. IT'S A NOTICE OF MOTION AND IT WILL BE ON NEXT MONTH'S COUNCIL AGENDA AND IT'S IN REGISTER TO THE CASPIAN SETTLEMENT. >> I MOVE THAT THE BY-LAW S BE READ. BY-LAW 2025. BY-LAW NUMBER 80, 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY CARRIED.

BY-LAW 76, 2025, BY-LAW NUMBER 80 OF 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I MOVE THE BY-LAWS BE READ THE FIRST TIME. BY-LAW 74, 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR. OF THE CONTRARY CARRIED.

BY-LAW 73 OF 20205 AND BY-LAW 74 OF 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

>> BY-LAW S 73 AND 74 OF 2025. >> AND MADAM SPEAKER, I WOULD MOVE THAT THE RULE 22 OF THE PROCEDURE BY-LAW NUMBER 50, 2007 BE SUSPENDED. BY-LAW 2024 AND 2025 BE READ A THIRD TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. WE'LL NOW HAVE QUESTION PERIOD FOR THE MAYOR. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MADAM SPEAKER, LAST WEEK, MR. MAYOR, WE SAW THE CITY WAS VERY DILIGENT IN GOING OUT AND STARTING THE DEMOLITION OF HAPPYLAND POOL.

MADAM SPEAKER: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES FROM THE CHAIR.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU. THE HAPPYLAND POOL WAS DEMOLISHED AND WE FIND IT MANY OF US FIND IT IRONIC WE WERE ABLE TO DDEMOLISH IT QUICKLY AND WE'VE GOT DOZENS OF BURNT OUT BUILDINGS IN OUR CITY THAT ARE CAPABLE OF DEMOLITION STILL SITTING IN NEIGHBORHOOD AFTER NEIGHBORHOOD AND ROTTING THERE AND KIDS PLAYING IN THEM. HOWEVER, I CAN TELL YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT THE ANGER INSIDE SOUTH SAINT BONAFACE IS PALPABLE TOWARDS WHAT'S HAPPENING THERE. WHAT ARE THE NEW FACILITY THAT'S BEEN PROMISED $2.6 MILLION FOR AN AQUATICS PARK TO BE BUILT, BUT NOTHING IN SIGHT YET THE HAPPYLAND POOL HAS BEEN KNOCKED DOWN AND A WHOLE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT HAD A FACILITY THAT WAS USED BY THE COMMUNITY ACTIVELY, ARE THERE WAS NOTHING WRONG WITH IT. IT'S GONE. WHEN IS THE NEW FACILITY GOING TO BE BUILT FOR THAT

NEIGHBORHOOD? WHEN WILL THEY SEE IT IN PLACE. TYPICALLY IN THE PAST, WE HAVE BUILT NEW FACILITIES AND THEN WE DEMOLISH THE OLD ONES. FOR MANY REASON, WE DECIDED TO PICK ON THIS AREA AND SHUT DOWN THEIR POOL ALONG WITH WINDSOR PARK AS WELL. SO IT'S LIKE, WHAT IS THE MAYOR HAVE AGAINST THIS NEIGHBORHOOD? WHEN ARE WE GOING TO SEE THE NEW FACILITY AQUATIC PARK FOR THE PEOPLE OF SOUTH SAINT BONIFACE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: MADAM SPEAKER, FOR THE COUNCILLOR TO CHARACTERIZE IT THE PEOPLE HAVING ANYTHING AGAINST ANY ONE COMMUNITY WOULD BE COMPLETELY FALSE. AND AS THE COUNCILLOR REALIZES FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND TO DECOMMISSION AND NO LONGER USE THAT FACILITY BECAUSE AS THE COUNCILLOR SAID THAT THERE WAS NOTHING WRONG WITH THE FACILITY, HE PERHAPS HASN'T BEEN PAYING ATTENTION. AT SOME POINT, THE FACILITIES PASSED THEIR END OF LIFE USE. THEY KEEP PUTTING MONEY INTO OLD FACILITIES. SAINT BONIFACE AS PART OF THE BROADER WINNIPEG CITY HAD THE LUXURY AS IT WERE AND THE ADVANTAGE OF HAVING MORE AQUATIC FACILITIES PER CAPITA THAN REALLY ANY OTHER COMMUNITY WITHIN THE CITY. THOSE FACILITIES LIKE ALL OF OUR 18 FACILITIES ACROSS WINNIPEG ARE NEEDING SIGNIFICANT REPAIRS. THIS ONE, THE HAPPYLAND POOL WAS DETERMINED NEEDED SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL UPGRADES INVESTMENT JUST TO KEEP IT UP TO CONDITION THAT IS REQUIRED AND SO A DECISION WAS MADE THROUGH A BUDGET PROCESS TO LOOK FORWARD, TO LOOK TO THE FUTURE RATHER THAN CONTINUE TO PUT BAND AIDS ON OLD FACILITIES TO LOOK FORWARD TO CREATE SOMETHING NEW. AND SO THE PROCESS HAS STARTED. THERE WAS SOME MONEY PUT IN THE BUDGET FOR NEW AQUATICS FACILITY. THERE'S BEEN SOME PUBLIC CONSULTATION AND DISCUSSION ON THAT ALREADY. SO THIS IS ABOUT LOOKING TO THE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THE MAYOR DID NOT ANSWER MY QUESTION. WHEN IS THE NEW FACILITY GOING TO BE BUILT. $2.6 MILLION IS IN THE BUDGET. THEY GOT $2.6 MILLION TO BUILD THE NEW FACILITY. WHEN ARE THOSE RESIDENTS GOING TO SEE A NEW FACILITY? WHEN IS THAT ACTUALLY GOING TO HAPPEN?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, AS THE COUNCILLOR DOES KNOW THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION, ARE THERE'S NO DATE SPECIFIC FOR COMPLETION

OF SOME OTHER PROJECTS YET AS WE MOVE TOWARDS THE BUILDING OF ALL THESE FACILITIES THROUGH A SERIES OF IN THE PATEL POOL AND OTHER INVESTMENTS THAT ARE BEING MADE AS WE LOOK ACROSS THE CITY TO INVEST IN SPRAY PADS AND SPLASH PADS SO THAT FAMILIES HAVE FACILITIES AVAILABLE TO THEIR KIDS THAT ARE MORE ACCESSIBLE FOR LONGER TIMES OF THE YEAR.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. FINAL QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: JUNE 19TH, 2019, THE ISSUANCE OF THE ILL LIFT DRUG TASK FORCE SAYS SWIMMING AND RECREATION ALPHA SILTS ARE CRUCIAL IF WE WANT TO HAVE A HEALTHY CITY. WHEN CAN THE RESIDENTS EXPECT TO RECEIVE THEIR POOL? THEY'VE NOW LOST A POOL. WHEN ARE THEY GOING TO GET THEIR NEW FACILITY? MY QUESTION IS WHEN IS THE MONEY GOING TO BE IN THE BUDGET AND WHEN IS THE NEW FACILITY GOING TO BE BUILT FOR THOSE RESIDENT WHO IS HAVE NOTHING THERE NOW? WHEN IS IT GOING TO BE BUILT? THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO KNOW.

MADAM SPEAKER: YOU'VE ASKED THAT QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MADAM SPEAKER, I WANT TO ASK THE COUNCILLOR WHY HE VOTED AGAINST THE LAST BUDGET.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. MR. MAYOR, YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MADAM SPEAKER, I WANT TO ASK THE COUNCILLOR --

MADAM SPEAKER: THIS IS THE MAYOR'S OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. NEXT LINE OF QUESTIONING, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. NO. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

:COUNCILLOR MAYES: [INAUDIBLE] -- MONEY OWED THAT HAS BEEN ACCUMULATED -- PAYING MONEY OUT THAT IS ACCUMULATED OVER SEVERAL YEARS AND CERTAIN CAPITAL ACCOUNTS AS YOU'VE INDICATED TO ME. SO THESE MONEYS HAVE BEEN PAID IN FOR YEARS INTO THE FUTURE SERVICES ACCOUNT. I WOULD ASSUME THIS WOULD REQUIRE A BUDGET AMENDMENT

APPARENTLY NOT AND GET THIS TO DISCUSS HOW WE CAN MOVE FORWARD SINCE WE APPARENTLY DON'T NEED TO AMENDED THE BUDGET FOR SUCH AN ITEM. WHEN CAN WE GET THIS REC CENTER BUILT IN THE SOUTHEAST SINCE WE HAVE MONEY PAID INTO AN ACCOUNT JUST AS WE HAVE MONEY PAID INTO AN ACCOUNT FOR RAINBOW STAGE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I'M ALWAYS WILLING TO MEET WITH AND DISCUSS MATTERS WITH ANY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT QUESTION, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU. I HAVE THREE QUESTIONS. NUMBER ONE, WILL THE MAYOR SUPPORT AN EMERGENCY DEBATE ON TRANSIT? THE PEOPLE NEED INFORMATION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THIS MORNING, COUNCILLOR LUKES, THANK YOU, YOU'RE RIGHT HERE A RELEASE ABOUT ONE OF THE UPGRADES ON TRANSIT THAT WE'RE CALLING FOR AND WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO DISCUSSING AND DEBATING WITHIN THE UP COMING BUDGET PROCESS. I WANT TO THANK TRANSIT FOR THEIR ONGOING WORK FOR COUNCILLOR WASN'T ABLE TO BE HERE RIGHT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING. SO IN MY ANNOUNCEMENTS TALKED ABOUT THE ONGOING WORK THAT TRANSIT IS DOING RIGHT NOW. ADJUSTMENT'S THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN MADE, CHANGES THAT WILL CONTINUE TO BE MADE IN THE FUTURE. I KNOW THAT TRANSIT IS ON THIS AND COUNCILLORS ARE BRINGING THESE MATTERS FORWARD AND THERE'S PROCESS THAT'S HAPPENING AND TAKING PLACE RIGHT NOW. CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE. MORE CHANGES WILL BE MADE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. SECOND QUESTION, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: : SECOND QUESTION. TRANSPARENCY IS ABOUT DISCLOSURE. CALLS ARE UP. ON UTILIUTILITIES. PEOPLE CANNOT PAY THEIR BILLS. THE QUESTION IS WILL THE MAYOR COMMIT TO HAVING THE UTILITY BUILDING INSIDE THE CITY BUDGET NOT HELD OUTSIDE SO WINNIPEGERS ARE

FACING LONGER WAIT TIMES ON THE CALL. WILL HE COMMIT TO HAVING THE UTILITY BUILDING INSIDE THE BUDGET?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I DON'T REALLY UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION. WHAT DOES THE COUNCILLOR MEAN BY UTILITY BILLING IN THE BUDGET.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: : YES. SO ON THE UTILITY SIDE, RESIDENTS ARE FACING LONG WAIT TIMES, CONFUSING BILLS, AND UNEXPECTED CHARGES. INSTEAD OF DISCLOSING, WE ARE -- THEY'RE HAVING TO NAVIGATE THE TECHNICAL FIXES MAYBE BY INSERTS OR MAYBE BY ON HOLD MESSAGES FOR THEIR HOUSEHOLD BUDGET WHETHER IT IS THE GARBAGE UTILITY OR WHETHER IT IS THE WATER AND WASTE. SO MADAM SPEAKER, LAST BUDGET SAW THESE NET NEW CHARGES FOR WINNIPEGERS HELD OUTSIDE OF THE BUDGET PROCESS. WILL THE MAYOR COMMIT TO TRANSPARENCY AND GOOD GOVERNANCE IN THIS FISCAL YEAR IN ADVANCE OF BUDGET COMMITTING TO WINNIPEGERS THAT HE WILL NOT HOLD THAT TO ONE MONTH OR THREE WEEKS AFTER BUDGET THAT HE WILL PUT IT INTO THE BUDGET CONSULTATION FOR 2026.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. CERTAINLY THROUGH THE LAST PROCESS WITH THE RATE REPORT THAT WAS COMING TO COUNCIL AND THERE'S ANOTHER ONE COMING TO COUNCIL SLATED TO LAND THIS FALL. AS TO THE ASSERTION THAT THERE WASN'T TRANSPARENCY OR WATER WASTE DEPARTMENT HAD LAID OUT CLEARLY WHAT THE COSTS WERE, WHAT THE COSTS WERE GOING TO BE AND NOT JUST IT WASN'T A SURPRISE. WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THESE RATES POTENTIAL RATE INCREASES AND THE CHANGE TO THE UTILITY BILLS AND THE WATER WASTE DEPARTMENT FOR MANY MONTHS IN ADVANCE, YEARS. IN SOME CASES IN ADVANCE OF THE BUDGET. THIS YEAR, THERE IS A RATE REPORT THAT'S SCHEDULED TO COME THIS FALL.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. FINAL QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: : YES OR NO WILL HE COMMIT TO THE UTILITIES AND WINNIPEGERS HAVING A LINE OF SIGHT IN THIS BUDGET PROCESS? YES OR NO, MADAM SPEAKER?

SPEAKER:MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: WE'VE ANSWERED THE REPORT COMING IT FALL.

I DON'T THINK I'VE EVER SEEN A TIME WHERE WINNIPEGERS HAVE BEEN SO ANGRY ABOUT THE WINNIPEG TRANSIT. THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE BEEN AFFECTED BY THE MASSIVE CHANGE. INDIVIDUALS WHO WORK SHIFTS WHO HAVE HAD TO EITHER CHANGE THEIR JOBS, SENIORS WHO NOW HAVE TO WALK BLOCKS TO GET TO THE BUS, TO THE BUS STOP. HAVE TO MAKE MULTIPLE CHANGES AND WALK TO THEIR DESTINATION AND GOD HELP US WHEN WINTER COMES AND THE COLD WEATHER SETS IN HAD AND INDIVIDUALS ARE BEING AFFECTED BY THE WEATHER WHICH THEY'RE NOT BEING AFFECTED BY NOW. IS IT POSSIBLE? EVERYBODY MAKES MISTAKES INCLUDING GOVERNMENTS. WE ALL WILL SOMETIMES DROP THE BALL AND I KNOW I'M THE ONLY MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL HAVING WARNED THE COUNCIL ABOUT THE SHORTFALLS THAT I READ AND SAW. CAN'T WE JUST PUSH THE RESET BUTTON AND GO BACK TO THE OLD SYSTEM. LET'S JUST BRING THE OLD SYSTEM BACK AND RECOGNIZE THAT WE GOT IT WRONG. THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH THAT. .

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: NO.

MADAM SPEAKER: SECOND QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MY OTHER QUESTION IS WHAT ARE WE GOING ARE WE GOING TO BUILD SHELTERS? ARE WE GOING TO BUILD HEATED SHELTERS ALL OVER THE CITY SO THEY HAVE SOMETHING TO STAND IN? ARE WE GOING TO REGULATE THEM SO THEY'RE SAFE.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: MADAM SPEAKER, THROUGH YOU, WE DO HAVE SOME HEATED SHELTERS WITHIN THE CITY AND I DO KNOW FOR EXAMPLE, THOSE THAT ARE MISSING SOME PANES OF GLASS, I KNOW OUR TRANSIT TEAM IS BEGINNING THEIR WORK ON REPLACING SOME AND REPAIRING MANY OF THE SHELTERS THEY'VE BEEN WAITING ON PARTS FOR FOR SOME TIME. I WOULD REMIND US ALL THAT WE KNEW YEARS COMING INTO THIS THAT IT WAS A BIG, BOLD, VISIONARY CHANGE. WE'VE ALSO KNOWN THAT THERE WOULD BE TIMES WHERE WE -- THROUGH THIS TIME, WE WOULD HAVE TO TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT

BENEATH TO CHANGE. I REMIND US WE'RE NOT EVEN 90 DAYS INTO THIS CHANGE WE'RE HEARING A LOT OF I HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO USERS AND I ALSO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO OUR TRANSIT DRIVERS CONSISTENTLY AS RECENTLY AS A COUPLE DAYS AGO THIS WEEK, I SPOKE TO TWO DIFFERENT TRANSIT DRIVERS ON TWO DIFFERENT ROUTES WHO REALLY LIKE THE NEW SYSTEM. I SAID WHAT ARE YOU HEARING FROM TRANSIT USERS? SOME OF THEM HAVE QUESTIONS. SOME OF THEM NEED CHANGES AND ARE ASKING FOR CHANGES. OTHERS REALLY LIKE WHAT THEY DEEM AS IMPROVEMENTS FOR THEIR TRANSIT RIDE. ADD TO THAT, THE INVESTMENT WE'RE MAKING IN OUR COMMUNITY SAFETY OFFICERS AND THE WORK THE POLICE SERVICE ARE DOING TO MAKE BUSES SAFER. WE ARE FOCUSED A LOT ON INVESTMENTS IN TRANSIT. WE JUST BROKE GROUND RECENTLY ON THE NEW TRANSIT HUB FOR NEW TRANSIT BUSES. WE'RE TAKING DELIVERY ON 100 NEW BUSES THIS YEAR. WE'RE MAKING MASSIVE, SIGNIFICANT, IMPORTANT INVESTMENTS IN OUR TRANSIT SYSTEM TO MAKE IT BETTER FOR ALL. IT'S GOING TO TAKE SOME TIME IN A FEW AREAS TO MAKE IS ADJUSTMENT'S. WE AS A COUNCIL AND CERTAINLY OUR TRANSIT TEAM IS GETTING FEEDBACK ON THE IMPROVEMENTS THAT NEED TO BE MADE. YOU HEARD IT AGAIN TODAY, COUNCILLOR LUKES AND I AMONG OTHERS AND I'M SURE EVERYONE WANTS TO SEE THE SAME THING ARE DEDICATED TO WORKING AND HAVING A DISCUSSION THROUGH THE BUDGET PROCESS ABOUT RESTORING AND PROVIDING SOME OF THE LATE NIGHT SERVICE THAT SEEMS TO BE AND IS LACKING IN CERTAIN AREAS. SO WE'RE MAKING CHANGES. COUNCILLOR LUKES WILL PROBABLY ANSWER THE QUESTIONS BETTER AND UNDER THE PUBLIC WORKS QUESTION AND ANSWER TIME BUT WE KNOW WE'VE EVEN CHANGED SOME BUS STOPS ALREADY. WE'VE ALREADY RESPONDED TO SOME OF THE CRITICISMS AND SOME OF THES AND AND REQUESTS FROM OUR TRANSIT USERS AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO MAKE IMPROVEMENTS AS THE DAYS, MONTHS, AND YEARS GO AHEAD.

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY. THE THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. NEXT QUESTION, COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MR. MAYOR, I GUESS THE QUESTION I HAVE TO ASK IS THIS, ARE YOU AWARE OF THE ANGER OUT THERE TOWARDS THE SYSTEM? ARE YOU AWARE OF WHAT WE'RE HEARING? I MEAN IT'S JUST PALPABLE. I'VE NEVER HEARD PEOPLE SO ANGRY ABOUT AN ISSUE. BUT THE ANGER OUT THERE TOWARDS WHAT WE'VE DONE AND THAT PEOPLE HAVE BEEN AFFECTED IN THEIR JOBS? ARE YOU AWARE THAT WE'VE AFFECTED PEOPLES' LIVELIHOODS?

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: MADAM SPEAKER, I'M NOT SURE IF THE COUNCILLOR RIDES THE BUS OR HOW OFTEN HE RIDES THE BUS, BUT I'VE BEEN ON THE BUS MORE FREQUENTLY. CERTAINLY MY OFFICE TAKES CALLS WHICH IS WHY IT GETS E-MAILS AND WHICH IS WHY WE'RE MAKING ADJUSTMENTS. EVERY COUNCILLOR AROUND HERE IS GETTING CALLS. THAT'S WHY WE WANT TO MAKE SOMEPLACE ADJUSTMENTS AND IT'S NOT LIKE I GET ON THE AND SOME OF THE CHANGES WE'D LIKE TO SEE WAS A REQUEST FOR A CHANGE TO THE LATE NIGHT SERVICE. WE'RE LOOKING AT DOING THAT. ABSOLUTELY, I'M VERY INTUNED I BELIEVE WITH WHAT THE PUBLIC IS SAYING GOOD. THINGS PEOPLE WOULD LIKE TO SEE CHANGED.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? SEEING NONE. THAT CONCLUDED QUESTION PERIOD. SEEING THE HOUR, WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A RECESS AND RECONVENE AT 1:15. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON. I WOULD LIKE TO RECONVENE OUR COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 25, 2025. WE ARE ON THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. COUNCILLOR BROWATY ON THE REPORT.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY, CARRY. WE HAVE TO MOTIONS OR BILAWS TODAY UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. WE HAVE QUESTION PERIOD. ME QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR? ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR? SEEING NONE. NEXT COMMITTEE IS THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT. ITEM -- YES. WE'LL TAKE THAT IN A MOMENT. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN ON THE REPORT DATED SEPTEMBER 12TH.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANKS. I'M SO GLAD EVERYONE IS EXCITED ABOUT THIS. I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE REPORT AND MOVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1-24 WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THOSE MY COLLEAGUES HAVE ALREADY MENTIONED.

MADAM SPEAKER:

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: YOU HAD AN ITEM.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: SAYS ITEM THREE.

MADAM SPEAKER: : CENTRE PLAN 2050 ACTIONS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: AND THE WILDWOOD ITEM. NUMBER 12 OR 13 -- SIX ON IS AGENDA.

MADAM SPEAKER: WILDWOOD PARK. YES. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE BUDGET DISCUSSION. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: 1 AND 22.

MADAM SPEAKER: THE DECISION STUDY AND

COUNCILLOR WYATT: 22.

MADAM SPEAKER: SUBDIVISION AND REZONING. 40 DAMAN FARM ROAD. WITH THAT I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON THE REMAINING ITEMS. ITEM 2, 5, 7 THROUGH 11, 12 THROUGH 15, 16 THROUGH 20, 21, 23, AND 24. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. MADAM CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM ONE, PORTAGE INTERSECTION DECOMMISSIONING STUDY.
MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO INTRODUCE THE REPORT.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING FROM MY COLLEAGUE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MADAM SPEAKER, I RISE BECAUSE I THINK IT'S CRUCIAL TO SPEAK TO THE ISSUE REGARDING THE UNDERSTOOD GROUND CONCOURSE. THE CONCOURSE, I SEE THE REPORT IS RECEIVED AS INFORMATION. I THINK IT'S CRUCIAL THAT WE TAKE THE STEPS NECESSARY TO KEEP THE CONCOURSE OPEN AND SHARE WITH CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG THE MAJORITY OF WORK REQUIRED AT PORTAGE AND MAIN. A LOT OF FOLKS THINK WE'RE DONE. WE'RE NOT DONE. IF WE WANT TO MAINTAIN THE CONCOURSE THERE'S TENS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS PERTAINING TO THE MEMBRANE THAT STILL HAS TO BE DONE. I THINK

THE PLAN WAS ORIGINALLY TO DO THAT MEMBRANE WORK BUT IT WAS ABANDONED TO DO JUST THE INTERSECTION, TO HAVE IT OPEN A YEAR IN ADVANCE PRIOR TO THE NEXT ELECTION. HOWEVER, REALITY IS FOLLOWING THE NEXT GENERAL ELECTION, THE CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG ARE GOING TO SEE MAYOR DISRUPTION AT THE INTERSECTION OF PORTAGE AND MAIN PERTAINING TO THE FACT WE DON'T HAVE ANY OTHER CHOICE BUT TO MAINTAIN THE MEMBRANE. I DON'T THINK WE WANT TO ABANDON THE CONCOURSE. THE CONCOURSE, I THINK, IS CRUCIAL TO THE SURVIVAL OF THE SHOPS THAT EXIST IN WINNIPEG SQUARE. IF WE LOSE THE CONCOURSE I THINK WE LOSE PROBABLY MOST OF THE SHOPS IN WINNIPEG SQUARE AND THEN WE LOSE WINNIPEG SQUARE, ITSELF. NOT TO MENTION, MADAM SPEAKER, THE FACT THAT THE KEY TOWERS INVOLVED, INCLUDING THE PRIVATELY OWNED PARKADE NOW WOULD PROBABLY FILE FOR TAX APPEAL, FOR REASSESSMENT BASED ON THE FACT THE CONCOURSE IS LOST. WE WOULD SEE A PERMANENT HIT TO THE BOTTOM LINE OF THE CITY. I HAVE SPOKE ABOUT THIS BEFORE ON THE FLOOR OF THE COUNCIL CHAMBER. THEREFORE, I THINK IT'S CRUCIAL, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT WE ENSURE THAT THE CONCOURSE IS MAINTAINS. MOST CITIES, MOST ALL CITIES, MAYOR CITY, BIG CITIES IN CANADA, ONCE THEY BUILD UNDERGROUND INFRASTRUCTURE THEY MAINTAIN IT. WHETHER YOU GO TO MONTREAL OR TORONTO, VANCOUVER, THEY MAINTAIN THEIR UNDERGROUND INFRASTRUCTURE IN THEIR DOWNTOWNS. NOT TO MENTION THEIR SKY WALKS. MONTREAL PROBABLY HAD ONE WITH OF THE LARGEST SYSTEMS OF UNDERGROUND WALKWAYS. TORONTO SECOND. THEY DON'T ABANDON THEIR UNDERGROUND SYSTEMS. THEY MAINTAIN THEM. THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS THIS FACILITY NOW IS, WHAT, 40 YEARS, 50 YEARS. IT'S NOW AT A POINT WHERE IT HAS TO BE MAINTAINED. NOTHING LASTS FOREVER. WE BENEFITTED FROM IT ALL THESE YEARS. TAX WISE, WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE THREE CORNERS OR FOUR CORNERS OF PORTAGE AND MAIN. WE'VE SEEN THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS TO THE DOWNTOWN. THE DEBATE IS OVER ABOUT THE OPENING OF PORTAGE AND MAIN. THERE'S TO DOUBT ABOUT THAT. GOOD OR BAD. BUT THE REALITY IS THE DEBATE ABOUT THE CONCOURSE IS NOT OVER. I THINK IT'S CRUCIAL THAT WE SIGNAL THE CONCOURSE WILL BE MAINTAINED, THERE WILL BE NO DECOMMISSIONING, THAT WE ARE UP FRONT WITH THE CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG THAT THIS WILL BE A MAJOR DISRUPTION FOLLOWING THE NEXT CIVIC ELECTION TO DO THIS MAINTENANCE WORK SO WE CAN SEE THE BENEFITS OF THAT CONNECTIVITY TO THE ENTIRE SYSTEM. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE US EXPAND THE UNDERGROUND WALKWAY SYSTEM THROUGHOUT THE CITY AND DOWNTOWN IN THE FUTURE. ONE DAY WE WILL HAVE UNDERGROUND RAPID TRANSIT TRAINS IN THIS CITY. THERE'S NO DOUBT IN MY MIND WE WILL

HAVE AN UNDERGROUND SUBWAY. AS YOU DO NOW IN OTTAWA WITH THEIR CONFEDERATION LINE RUNNING UNDER REDO STREET AND WELLINGTON. PARLIAMENT HILL AND THEIR DOWNTOWN. ONE DAY YOU WILL SEE THE SAME IN WINNIPEG. IT'S ONLY A MATTER OF TIME. SO, MADAM SPEAKER, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT WE KEEP THIS INTERSECTION GOING AND THAT IT IS BUILT AND RENEWED FOR ANOTHER 50 YEARS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, IF ANY. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SPEAKER SHARMA. I AGREE WITH MOST ALL OF COUNCILLOR WYATT SAID TODAY. I DO WANT TO REMIND MY COLLEAGUES WHO MAY NOT BE REMEMBERING HOW THIS IS PLAYED UP. THE FIRST PORTION OF THIS DISCUSSION WAS AROUND HOW MUCH THE MEMBRANE REPLACEMENT AT PORTAGE AVENUE WOULD COST. COMING IN AT OR ABOUT $70 MILLION. AND THE DISCUSSION AROUND SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC IMPACTS WAS DISCLOSED. THAT WAS NOT ON OPTION COUNCIL WAS WILLING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH. THUS THIS BEFORE US TODAY, LOOKING AT THE DECOMMISSIONING OF THE CONCOURSE, THE COMMITTEE AND EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE AGREED WITH THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON THIS TO HAVE THEM TAKE IT BACK. PUBLIC SERVICE, THAT IS, TO LOOK AT OTHER OPTIONS THAT INCLUDE AND, AGAIN I'LL GIVE COUNCILLOR WYATT SOME CREDIT ON THIS, TO UNDERSTAND THE EXACT CASH FLOW AND FORECASTING ON THE AREA THAT WOULD BE AFFECTED. THAT BEING THE LOWER CONCOURSE. I HATE THE NAME CIRCUS, BUT THAT'S AREA. THE REAL ESTATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT IMPACT, THE ONGOING CONCOURSE OPERATING COST, POTENTIAL ASSET DIVESTMENT, REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE AND OTHER INDOOR ACCESS AGREEMENTS THAT ARE COMPARABLE INFORMATION FROM OTHER JURISDICTIONS ACROSS NORTH AMERICA. AS COUNCILLOR WYATT POINTED OUT IN HIS COMMENT, THAT WOULD NOT BE UNIQUE AT THIS POINT IN TIME TO WINNIPEG. WITH THAT I HAVE NO FURTHER COMMENTS. APPRECIATE THE DEBATE AND LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING THIS BACK IN JANUARY OF '27.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. I CALL THE QUESTION. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED. COUNCILLOR WYATT IS IN OPPOSITION AND THAT IS NOTED. NEXT ITEM, MADAM CLERK.

ITEM THREE, CENTRE PLAN 2050 ACTIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: : COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. WE DID HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BRING DISCUSSION ON THIS SEVERAL MONTHS AGO. AT WHICH POINT IN TIME THERE WAS AN EXTENSION TIME ASKED FOR. THAT EXTENSION WAS NOT GRANTED, AT THE TIME. RATHER A LAY OVER OF THE ITEM TO THEN COME BACK TO STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE AND BEFORE US HERE AT COUNCIL TODAY IS A REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OF 180 DAY, WHICH I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING FROM MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUE ABOUT THAT 180 DAYS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THIS WAS DOWN BY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: SO, NOTHING NEW HERE, MADAM SPEAKER, OTHER THAN TO SAY CENTRE PLAN BUT MEANT TO BE A ROAD MAP FOR INVESTMENT. IT WAS MEANT TO HAVE A TURN. THAT TURN WAS SUPPOSED TO DETAIL INVESTMENTS UNDERSTOOD IN EACH AND EVERY FISCAL YEAR FOR THE DOWNTOWN. WITH 180 DAYS MY CONCERN IS IT'S PUSHING IT OUTSIDE A FISCAL YEAR. AGAIN, TRANSPARENCY AND GOVERNANCE. MANY STAKEHOLDERS REALLY LOOKED TO CENTRE PLAN AS THAT INVESTMENT ROAD MAP FOR THE DOWNTOWN. AS THE LOCAL COUNCILLOR, ONE OF THE FIRST INVESTMENTS THAT WE MADE, HAPPENED IN MY WARD, RIGHT IN TIME FOR THIS SEA BEARERS CELEBRATIONS IN WINNIPEG. DO WE THINK THAT THOSE INVESTMENTS ARE DONE? DO WE THINK THAT'S OVER? DO WE THINK THAT ANY OF, YOU KNOW, THE OVER 25 REQUESTS I PUT IN FRONT OF E.P.C., THAT ARE OUTSTANDING THERE THE PRIORITIES LISTED, MADAM SPEAKER, WITHIN CENTRE PLAN FROM THE FIRST YEAR, LIKE, FOR INSTANCE, THE ADDITIONAL GARBAGE PICKUPS IN THE DOWNTOWN. GARBAGE IS, MADAM SPEAKER, WERE NOT ACTED UPON BY THIS EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. THAT MEANT ABOUT A BLOCK AND A HALF OF NET NEW GARBAGES. NOT ADDITION UNTIL COLLECTION, MADAM SPEAKER. BUT NET NEW GARBAGES WERE ABLE TO BE DISTRIBUTED BECAUSE BUDGET WORKING GROUP OR SAVINGS GROUP DECIDED JUST NOT TO FUND THE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IT TAKES TO BUY NEW GARBAGES FOR DOWNTOWN. THE ENTERTAINMENT DISTRICT, DOWNTOWN BIZ, THEY DESERVE TO SEE MOMENTUM, MADAM SPEAKER. IT SPEAKS VOLUMES THIS MIGHT, INDEED, BE MOVED OUT, LIKE EVERYTHING WAS IT SEEMED LAST MONTH AT COUNCIL. MOVED OUT TO WELL PAST THE FISCAL BUDGET PREP TIMELINE. WHAT IS THE FIDELITY TO THOSE PARTNERS THAT CAME TO CONSULT? WHAT IS THE FIDELITY ON WHAT GOVERNMENT SAID IT WAS GOING TO DO IN TERMS OF REPORTING SCHEDULE? THAT PART WILL ESSENTIALLY -- I'M HOPING THE CHAIR

IS AS CONCERNED AS I AM ABOUT THE LACK OF MOMENTUM AND THE LACK OF FIDELITY. THOSE LITTLE WORDS, DO WHAT YOU SAY YOU'RE GOING TO DO. IN THIS PLAN WE TOLD DOWNTOWN STAKEHOLDERS AND ALL OF WINNIPEG WHO THINK DOWNTOWN IS A PRIORITY, THAT THIS WAS GOING TO BE THE INVESTMENT ROAD MAP. TO PUSH IT OUT ANOTHER 180 DAYS, WELL, WE'RE NOT DOING WHAT WE SAID WE'RE GOING TO DO. IT REEKS OF A REPORT COLLECTING DUST. IT SURELY DENOTES THAT THE PRIORITY PLAN THAT IS CONTAINED IN OUR STRATEGIC PRIORITIES ACTION PLAN, PLANS OF OTHER PARTNERS. IT SAID THESE PLANS. WE'RE JUST GOING TO FOCUS ON THE CENTRE PLAN. WAIT, WAIT, WAIT. IT'LL ALL BE THERE. WHAT MESSAGE DOES IT SAY THAT WE'RE MOVING IT OUT ANOTHER 180 DAYS WHEN WE ALREADY MOVED IT OUT 90 DAYS, WHICH WAS ALREADY A TIMELINE THAT WAS MISSED IN PEOPLES MINDS. THERE ARE STAKEHOLDERS IN THE DOWNTOWN. THEY ARE DOING THEIR OWN THING NOW. WHAT WILL BE OUR RESPONSE? WHILE I AM VERY CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT SIGNAL IT SENDS, TAKEN TOGETHER WITH, AGAIN, MOVING ALL OF THE CIRCUS TO ANOTHER TIMELINE, I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE FACT THAT BUSINESSES IN THE DOWNTOWN HAVE NO REPORTS OR INFORMATION THAT THEY CAN COUNT ON. THAT'S WRAPPING THE WORK AROUND THIS EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. IT'S NOT THINKING ABOUT THE TRANSPARENT INFORMATION THAT BUSINESS NEEDS FROM GOVERNMENT TO FEEL SECURE IN THEIR INVESTMENT TO FEEL SECURE ABOUT THEIR LEASES TO FEEL SECURE ABOUT THE ONGOING ATTENTION AND I WOULD LIKE THIS TO CHANGE. I PRESENTED A MOTION TO E.P.C. THEY REJECTED IT. I PRESENTED ALL OF THE THE PAST PRIORITIES FROM LAST FISCAL THAT IS STILL OUTSTANDING AS PRIORITIES IN THIS PLAN. THEY REJECTED IT. THAT SPEAKS VOLUMES TO ME. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR IN CLOSING WHY WE THINK WE CAN REST OUR LAURELS ON WHAT WAS DECIDED AS INVESTMENTS LAST TERM. IT'S NOT ACCEPTABLE TO ME. THERE IS NOT ENOUGH MOMENTUM. WE HAVE A YEAR YET TO GO. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE HOW THIS IS SHAPING UP AND IF THIS IS SUPPOSED TO BE THE INVESTMENT ROAD MAP FOR THE DOWNTOWN, FOR THE EXCHANGE DISTRICT, FOR ENTERTAINMENT DISTRICT, I THINK WE NEED TO HAVE A SERIOUS LOOK SEE AT WHY THE DEPARTMENT IS SAYING LET'S MOVE IT OFF TO NEXT FISCAL. I THINK THE ANSWER TO THAT, MADAM SPEAKER, IS FOUND WITHIN THE PUBLIC SERVICE, WITHIN THE BUDGETS THEY COMPLETED OVER THE SUMMER, AND WHAT WITH WINNIPEGGERS WILL SOON SEE. THERE WAS NO ROOM FOR BUDGET THIS YEAR IN CENTRAL PLAN. WE ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE A LOOK SEE ON IT SO THE PUBLIC SERVICE GAVE UP EARLY AND THIS E.P.C. IS SOLID IN THE FACT THAT THERE WILL BE TO INVESTMENTS AND WE'RE THROWING OUT THAT INVESTMENT ROAD MAP AND NOT ACTIONING THE PRIORITIES. BECAUSE IT'S

NEITHER IN BUDGET AND WE'RE NOT EVEN GOING TO LOOK AT IT. IT'S TOO BAD, MADAM SPEAKER. IT'S NOT SUFFICIENT WORK.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MADAM SPEAKER, HAVING BEEN HERE FOR A WHILE YOU HAVE THE BENEFIT OF SEEING PERSPECTIVE OVER TIME. AND INITIATIVES OVER TIME. ONE OF THE THINGS I RECALL WHEN FIRST ARRIVING IN THIS CHAMBER WAS THE EXCITEMENT GOING ON AROUND THE DOWNTOWN. AT THAT TIME, I WAS ENTERING INTO THIS CHAMBER IN THE SECOND TERM OF THEN MAYOR MURRAY WHO SPENT A LOT OF HIS TIME WORKING ON THE DOWNTOWN. WE HAD JUST COME OFF SEEING THE GOLD EYES BASEBALL PARK OPEN AT THE TIME. BASICALLY, THE PARK OPENING UP AND BEING PART OF THE CENTRE OF THE CITY, WATERFRONT DRIVE COMING THROUGH. AT THAT TIME WHEN ARRIVED HERE THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW, AT THAT TIME, THE MANITOBA MOOSE PLEX WAS UNDERWAY. WE HAD A LOT OF DEVELOPMENT. WE HAD ROBUST DEBATE ABOUT THE NEW PARKADE TO BE BUILT AT THE FORTS TO BE CONSTRUCTED. THERE WAS A LOT HAPPENING ON THE FRONT OF HOUSING AND HOUSING INVESTMENT. A LOT OF PARTNERSHIPS GOING ON WITH THE GOVERNMENT AND THE PRIVATE SECTOR WITHIN CENTRES BEING OFFERED UP. CENTRE VENTURE WAS STRONG AND ACTIVE. CENTRE VENTURE HAD BEEN SEEDED ALL THE LANDS DOWNTOWN AND 10 MILLION THERE ARE AS TO MAKE PROJECTS HAPPEN. VENTER VENTURE WAS MOVING IN A STRONG WAY FACILITATING NEW DEVELOPMENTS, EQUIPMENT, CO-OP ARRIVING ON THE SCENE, NEW FORMS OF BUSINESS COMING IN AND EXCITEMENT AROUND THE DOWNTOWN. OF COURSE THEN WE THE REVIVAL OF THE JETS COMING BACK. GIVING A SHOT IN THE ARM TO THE DOWNTOWN. THE DOWNTOWN, MADAM SPEAKER, HAS SO MUCH POTENTIAL. STILL HAS SO MUCH POTENTIAL. I SEE IT ALL OVER THE PLACE. I SEE IT HERE IN THE EXCHANGE DISTRICT AND THE POTENTIAL OF ALL THE OLD BUILDINGS. WE HAVE ONE THAT WAS RECENTLY WRITTEN UP AT THE CORNER OF NOTRE DAME AND DONALD OR PRINCESS, THAT'S READY TO COME DOWN THAT WE NEED TO SAVE. WE HAVE BEAUTIFUL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS. PARKS CANADA DECLARED THE EXCHANGE DISTRICT A CANADIAN HERITAGE SITE BUT WE DON'T HAVE THE MONEY THEY POURED INTO MONTREAL IN THE 1980s OR QUEBEC CITY OR OTHER CITIES. WE HAVE WITH PRECEDENT ACROSS THE COUNTRY WHERE THEY'VE DONE IT. BUT FOR SOME REASON THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WALKS ACROSS US LIKE A DOOR MAT. THE DOOR MAT IS TREATED BETTER THAN WINNIPEG AS A CONFEDERATION. THEY WALK US BACK AND FORTH NO MATTER WHO IS IN POWER. WE LET THEM DO IT.

MADAM SPEAKER, WE HAVE SO MUCH POTENTIAL AND I LOOK AT THE VIBRANCY NOW ON THE WATERFRONT. I SEE NEW HOUSING AND PARKING LOTS. EMPTY PARKING LOTS WE COULD HAVE A PLAN FOR. ONE THING UNITED IN THE PAST, THE SUCCESSES OF THE PAST. IT CAN'T BE DONE BY THE ADMINISTRATION. THE ADMINISTRATION THERE IS TO ADMINISTER. THIS HAS TO BE DRIVEN POLITICALLY. IN THE PAST IT'S BEEN DRIVEN BY MAYORS. FIRST MAYOR GLEN MURRAY. THEN MAYOR SANTIS. ROSS MCGOWEN. HE DID AMAZING WORK WITH CENTRE VENTURE RENEWING THE DOWNTOWN. HE TOOK DOWN BRICK BY BRICK THE RYAN BLOCK HERE AT THE FAMOUS CORNER WHERE ALL THE MOVIE THEOS THEATRES NEEDED TO HAVE A 360 AND PUT IT BACK UP BRICK BY BRICK. THINGS WERE HAPPENING AND NOW IT'S UNDER BOWMAN IT HAS DRIED UP. IT'S NEVER BEEN THE SAME. WE DON'T HAVE THE POLITICAL LEADERSHIP, IT SEEMS, THE WILL TO DRIVE THIS. THE DOWNTOWN HAS TONS OF POTENTIAL AND I'M HEARING FROM PEOPLE WHO MADE INVESTMENTS IN THE DOWNTOWN OVER THE YEARS IN HOUSING, WHO WITH PASSIONATE JUST WALKING NOW. THEY'VE HAD IT. THEY SAY THERE'S NO POINT ANYMORE. THEY SAID TO ME, IT'S USELESS. THERE'S NO INTEREST ANYMORE IN THE DOWNTOWN FOR EITHER LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT, THE CITY OR THE PROVINCE. WHY ARE WE WASTING OUR TIME? WE'LL TAKE OUR MONEY AND GO ELSEWHERE. THESE ARE GUYS WHO HAVE MONEY WHO WANT TO INVEST, ARE PASSIONATE, AT THE PRIME OF THEIR CAREERS IN TERMS OF DOING THIS SORT OF STUFF, WHO ARE LOSING INTEREST BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENTS AREN'T THERE ANYMORE. WE'VE WALKED AWAY AND NOW AS COUNCILLOR ROLLINS RIGHTLY SAYS WE'RE KICKING THE THE CAN DOWN THE STREET ANOTHER 180 DAYS LIKE WITH THE LAST REPORT. PUSH IT OUT TO 2027 WITH REGARD TO WHAT WE DO WITH THE CONCOURSE. LET EVERYBODY KNOW THEN. WE HAVE TO START FACING THESE NOW AND DO WHAT WE NEED TO DO IN THE DOWNTOWN. WE CAN'T WAIT BECAUSE OF AN ELECTION CYCLE. START WORKING ON IT NOW AND MAKING IT HAPPEN. IT TAKES HARD WORK AND IT TAKES PULLING PEOPLE TOGETHER. IT TAKES MONEY TO DO THESE SORTS OF PLAN AND GETTING FOLKS INVOLVED BUT GETTING THEM EXCITED AND SEE WHERE THINGS CAN DO. THERE'S SO MUCH POTENTIAL. THE ONLY THING HAPPENING I'VE SEEN IN THE LAST LITTLE BIT IS FORKS ARE DOING THEIR OWN THING ANNOUNCING THE EIGHT PADS GOING AHEAD. EVEN THAT CALLS INTO QUESTION BECAUSE THERE'S PLANS FOR A SECOND PARK AID. WHERE IS THAT GOING TO GO? WHERE ARE THE CARS GOING TO GO IN THE FORKS WHEN THEY OCCUPY ALL THE PARKING THAT'S THERE NOW AND PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO GO TO EVENTS AND CAN'T FIND A PARKING SPOT. THEY ARE SPILLING OVER AN MAIN STREET. THEY ARE GOING TO STOP GOING TO THE FORKS. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE AN ISSUE THERE THAT WILL RISE UP. THE

SECOND PARKADE WAS PLANNED AND WHEN IS IT UNDERWAY. THERE'S NO TALK OF IT. MADAM SPEAKER, WE HAVE SO MUCH WORK TO DO, STILL, WHEN IT COMES TO DOWNTOWN. WE'VE DONE A LOT OF WORK. WE'VE MADE A LOT OF PROGRESS THE LAST TWO DECADES BUT WE CAN'T STOP. WE HAVE TO CONTINUE. THE CHALLENGES WE'RE FACING ON ANOTHER DEBATE COMING UP HERE SPEAKS TO THAT DIRECTLY. NOW WE'RE GETTING THE OPPOSITE. IF YOU DON'T HAVE THE DOWNTOWN MOVING FORWARD, YOU HAVE IT MOVING BACKWARDS. THAT'S WHAT'S HAPPENING NOW. WE'RE SLIDING BACKWARDS. WE'RE NOT MOVING FORWARD ON KEY ISSUES WITH REINVIGORATING THE DOWNTOWN. REINVESTING. GETTING PEOPLE EXCITED. GETTING THE PRIVATE SECTOR EXCITED TO INVEST. BRINGING NEW INITIATIVES AND INVESTORS TO THE DOWNTOWN. OTHER DOWNTOWNS, WHAT ARE THEY DOING? MOVING TO A MASSIVE TRANSFORMATION OF OFFICE TOWERS TO HOUSING BECAUSE THEY'VE REALIZED THERE'S A LOT OF THIS WORK FROM HOME IN PRIVATE SECTOR OR BUILDING NEW OFFICE TOWERS ALONG ROUTE 90 AND WHAT HAVE YOU. THEY ARE MOVING OFFICES OUT OF THE CITY. DEVELOPERS ARE BUILDING HOMES IN THE CITY, WHERE DO THEY OPEN HEADQUARTERS? MCDONALD. I SEE DEVELOPERS HIRING CLAD ARM OF SPRINGFIELD. ISN'T THAT INTERESTING. THEY MAKE THEIR MONEY IN WINNIPEG AND PUT HEADQUARTERS OUTSIDE. DOESN'T THAT TELL YOU SOMETHING. MADAM SPEAKER, I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT WE HAVE TO -- THIS IS SOMETHING THAT HAS TO COME RIGHT FROM THE MAYOR'S OFFICE. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT HAS TO BE DRIVEN. I A ALWAYS SAID FOR GOOD OR BAD I'LL SUPPORT, NINE TIMES OUT OF TEN, SUPPORT WHAT THE MAYOR WANTS TO SEE DOWNTOWN AS LONG AS THERE'S A VISION WITH IT. I'LL NEVER FORGET WHEN SAM CASE GOT EXCITED ABOUT PUTTING THE STADIUM DOWNTOWN. IT WAS THE MOST EXCITING SEMINAR I EVER ATTENDED WITH THE MAYOR DOWNTOWNS BEFORE THEY BUGGERED UP THE E.O.C. ROOM WITH THE STUPID CHAIRS NOW. WE USED TO HAVE A NICE HORSESHOE DOWN THERE. HE JUMPED INTO THE MIDDLE OF THE HORSESHOE AND LEADING THE SEMINAR. I NEVER SEEN THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG SO EXCITED. JUST BECAUSE YOU'RE EXCITED YOU GOT ME EXCITED. I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO DO WITH THE STADIUM THERE OR NOT BUT YOU HAVE MY ATTENTION. A MAN, OF COURSE, SOUTH WINNIPEG SAID WE CAN'T HAVE A FOOTBALL STADIUM. WE'RE NOT GOING TO SOUTH POINT DOUGLAS. THAT CRUSHED THAT IDEA, WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN GREAT.

MADAM SPEAKER: EXTENSION OF TWO MINUTES. ALL IN FAVOUR? OKAY. COUNCILLOR WYATT, I THINK YOU CAN WRAP UP. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS MOVES EXTENSION. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? COUNCILLOR WYATT, YOU'VE SAID

YOUR PEACE. THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: : NEXT SPEAKER. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, THIS IS A MOTION ABOUT EXTENSION OF TIME. WE'RE GOING TO GET A REPORT BACK. I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THE MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR THIS REPORT TO COME BACK. IN THE MEANTIME, WHILE WE WAIT FOR THAT REPORT TO COME BACK, I REMIND US ALL IT'S CLARITY THAT SOME OF THE INVESTMENTS GOING ON IN THE DOWNTOWN. I THINK THE COUNCILLORS WHO SPOKE PREVIOUSLY TO ME SAID SOMETHING ABOUT DOWNTOWN SLIDING BACK. I WOULD CHALLENGE THAT, IN THE MOST RESPECTFUL WAY I SAY IT, I CHALLENGE THAT ASSERTION. I HIGHLIGHT A COUPLE OF THINGS RIGHT NOW. PROJECTS UNDERGOING RIGHT NOW. INVESTMENTS RIGHT NOW. PRIVATE AND PUBLIC SECTOR. RAIL SIDE AT THE FORKS. SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENT HAPPENING THERE WITH MIXED-USE, MULTIFAMILY GOING IN AT RAIL SIDE AT THE FORKS. FEDERATION AND THEIR INVESTMENT WITH NO LESS THAN THREE PROPERTIES IN THE DOWNTOWN AND AT THE HEART OF PORTAGE AND MAIN THE TRANSFORMATION HEAR THE UNDERTAKING OF THE OLD MONTREAL BUILDING AND THE HERITAGE CENTRE. WAWANESA IS A SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENT IN DOWNTOWN. A BEAUTIFUL PIECE OF INFRASTRUCTURE THAT ADDS TO DOWNTOWN SKYLINE AND TO THE OFFICE SPACE IN THE DOWNTOWN. NEXT TO IT EXCITED TO SEE MOVEMENT ON THE HOTEL SITE THERE ON CARLTON. THAT IS BEING DONE. PORTAGE PLACE, WHICH OF COURSE WE HAVE VOTED ON BECAUSE WE AS A CITY ARE PARTICIPATING IN HELPING TO FUND THAT EXCITING PROJECT WHICH WILL BE TWO TOWERS THAT ARE ERECTED. THAT IS A SUBSTANTIAL FOOTPRINT IN OUR DOWNTOWN THAT WILL BE TRANSFORMATIVE FOR THE HEART OF OUR CITY. ACROSS THE WAY, OF COURSE, AS WE COME TO CITY HALL, WE SEE THE MARKET LANDS PROJECT. GETTING CLOSER AND CLOSER TO COMPLETION ALL THE TIME. IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT THE BUILDINGS. IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT THE INVESTMENT OF PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE. ALSO AND THERE'S OTHER PROJECTS, THE CARRINGTON PROJECT. THE NEW AGENCY BUILDING ON BROADWAY. ALL THOSE ARE BEING DONE. HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS WORTH OF INVESTMENT. IF YOU ADD IT ALL UP, I HAVEN'T HAD ALL OF IT UP, BUT IT'S HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS IF NOT BILLIONS OF INVESTMENT RIGHT NOW IN THE DOWNTOWN. THAT'S GOOD NEWS BECAUSE WE HAD MOMENTUM GOING INTO THE PANDEMIC AND THEN THE PANDEMIC HIT AND EVERYTHING GROUNDED TO A HALT. THAT MOMENTUM

IS COMING BACK, AS WE SEE WITH ALL THE INVESTMENTS AND PROJECTS STARTING. WHILE WE WAIT FOR THIS REPORT TO COME BACK, THIS EXTENSION OF TIME, THESE PROJECTS WILL CONTINUE TO SEE OUR DOWNTOWN CONTINUE TO BE TRANSFORMED. IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT BUILDINGS. RIGHT NOW WE HAVE AN INCREASE IN PUBLIC SAFETY FOCUS IN THE DOWNTOWN. TALKED ABOUT THE SAFE WINNIPEG INITIATIVE UNDERWAY AND OUR PUBLIC SERVICE IS WORKING WITH THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE, THE DOWNTOWN COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP, THE COMMUNITY SAFES OFFICERS, THE BIZ PATROLS AND OTHER AGENCIES TO COLLABORATE -- ALSO WORKING WITH THE FIRE PARAMEDIC SERVICE TO COLLABORATE AND INTEGRATE THEIR SERVICE PROVISION TO PROVIDE A BLANKET OF SAFETY IN THE DOWNTOWN. THAT IS THE GOAL. THAT'S HAPPENING RIGHT NOW WHILE WE AWAIT THE REPORT THAT WE'RE VOTING ON TODAY TO OFFER AN EXTENSION OF TIME, TOO. THERE IS A LOT OF EXCITING INVESTMENT HAPPENING IN THE DOWNTOWN. WE ARE NOT SLIDING BACKWARDS. CONTRARY, WE ARE MOVING FORWARD. WE'RE MOVING FORWARD AGGRESSIVELY WITH A LOT OF EXCITING PROJECTS HAPPENING UNDERWAY RIGHT NOW.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. THE MAYOR TOOK THE WORDS OUT OF MY MOUTH. I MADE A LIST OF ALL THE EXCITING INVESTMENTS IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA. I HOPE THE AREA COUNCILLOR, ONE OF THE AREA COUNCILLORS IS JUST AS EXCITED AS THE REST OF US. I KNOW THERE ARE STRUGGLES IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA. WE ALL ACKNOWLEDGE THAT AROUND THIS TABLE. I DO THINK THAT IS ALSO VERY DIRECTLY TIED TO THE SENSE OF SAFETY IN THE DOWNTOWN WHICH WILL ONLY INCREASE AS WE CONTINUE TO INVEST IN OUR COMMUNITY SAFETY OFFICER TEAMS AND OUR WINNIPEG POLICE FORCE, AS WELL AS, THESE IMPORTANT HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS IN THE DOWNTOWN. ULTIMATELY, IF YOU DON'T HAVE PEOPLE DOWNTOWN LIVING THERE, YOU'RE PROBABLY NOT GOING TO HAVE A VERY SUCCESSFUL DOWNTOWN. THAT'S THE DIRECTION WE'RE HEADED. I WILL NOT REGURGITATE WHAT THE MAYOR SAID ABOUT ALL THE PROJECTS. IT IS IMPORTANT TO KNOW THAT DOWNTOWN IS BEING INVESTED IN. IT'S GOING TO TAKE A WINNIPEG TEAM EFFORT TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN. IT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE SIX MONTHS BUT I DO WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THE WINNIPEG PUBLIC SERVICE, KAREN AND HER TEAM, AS WELL AS, THE C.E.O. OF CENTRE VENTURE, MICHELLE SQUIRE, IS DOING THE WORK TO GET US TO THIS POINT TO MAKE SURE WINNIPEG AND THE DOWNTOWN CONTINUE TO MOVE IN

THE RIGHT DIRECTION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER.
MADAM SPEAKER: : THANK YOU. WITH THAT I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM THREE. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? THAT'S CARRIED AND NOTED TWO IN OPPOSITION, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS AND COUNCILLOR WYATT. NEXT ITEM.

CLERK: ITEM FOUR GLOBAL AREA PLANNING INITIATIVES UNFUNDED LIST AND BUDGET DISCUSSION AND PLANNING FOR THE NEXT REVIEW OF WINNIPEG SLASH COMPLETE COMMUNITIES 2.0.

MADAM SPEAKER: : COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO INTRODUCE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I'LL HEAR FROM MY COUNCILLOR COLLEAGUE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU, I PULLED IN IN CONTENT TO APPENDIX A. THERE'S LOCAL AREA PLANNING REQUEST AND FUNDING INFORMATION. SITTING THERE ATOP THE SENIORITY LIST AT NUMBER ONE, SEINE RIVER GREENWAY. REQUEST 2014. THIS REQUEST WAS MADE BEFORE DAN STARTED HIS POLITICAL CAREER. THIS WAS A REQUEST MADE BY -- IN 2014, BEFORE THE CREATION OF THE SEINE RIVER WARD, ACTUALLY, IT REMAINS UNFUNDED. IT REMAINS NOT DONE SINCE THEN WE'VE HAD FOUR COUNCILLORS FROM TUXEDO, FIVE PRIME MINISTERS, AND SIX CHAIRS OF PLANNING. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN ON ALL THREE LISTS. THAT WAS A JOKE. I'M ON A COUPLE OF THE LISTS. THERE HAVE BEEN SIX DIFFERENT CHAIRS OF PLANNING AND WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO GET THIS THROUGH. I WONDER IF COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, I KNOW IT'S NOT QUESTION PERIOD BUT PERHAPS IN CLOSING REMARKS. COULD HE MEET WITH AREA COUNCILLORS AND PERHAPS COUNCILLOR WYATT, AS WELL, WHO HAS THE EAST OF MINT AREA. I THINK WE MAY HAVE A SOLUTION HERE WHICH IS COMING LATER TODAY WE'RE TALKING ABOUT C.S.O. WORK. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT MISSION DISTRICT. MISSION ROW LAND WHICH GETS INTO THE TRANSCONA. THERE WILL BE WORK NEEDED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THAT. WE'RE VERY INTERESTED IN THOSE TALKS. IT'S 2014. IT'S EARLY IN THE SECOND OBAMA TERM WE PASSED THIS MOTION. THE NEXT IS 2016. IT'S NOT EGREGIOUS BUT LET'S DO IT OR NOT. IT'S BEEN THERE -- I THINK THE UNANIMOUS MAYES/VANDEL VOTE TO MOVE FORWARD. MAYBE WE CAN FUND IT THROUGH THE C.S.O. BUDGET. WE HAVE TO DO THE GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WORK ANYWAY AND GET SOMETHING MOVE ON THIS. THAT'S A REQUEST OF COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. IT'S JUST SAT THERE. I'M ALWAYS TOLD, WELL, AS YOU

KNOW IT NEEDS FUNDING. WELL, WE DON'T USUALLY COMPETE ABOUT WHICH ONES ARE TO BE FUNDED. AFTER 11 YEARS, I THINK IT'S TIME TO DO SOMETHING ON THIS ITEM. I THINK, ACTUALLY, WE CAN TIE IT IN WITH THE C.S.O. WORK AND COUNCILLOR ALLARD HAS BEEN TERRIFIC TO DEAL WITH ON ALL ITEMS RELATED OVER THE YEARS. HOPEFULLY WE CAN GET THIS KNOCKED OFF OUR LIST, AS WELL. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: I'LL BE SUPPORTING THIS. I WANT TO CLARIFY FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD. ON APPENDIX B, PROJECT OVERVIEW NUMBER THREE, WORDS DO MATTER. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THE WORDS I'M SEEING ARE THE WORDS I'M CORRECT AND COUNCILLOR DUNCAN CAN CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG. ROBUST COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT. IT TALKS ABOUT CONSULTATION, MEANINGFUL CONVERSATION AND CONSULTATION WITH THE NEIGHBOURHOODS AND GROUPS. THAT'S GREAT. I REALLY HOPE THAT'S WHAT IT MEANS. IT MEANS CONSULTATION. IT DOESN'T MEAN INFO SESSIONS WHERE WE'VE DONE PREVIOUSLY AND WE TELL THEM WHAT WE'RE DOING. WE CONSULT AND SEE WHAT THEY'RE VIEWS ARE. I'M VOTING ON THAT BECAUSE I BELIEVE THIS WON'T BE AN INFO SESSION WHERE WE JUST TELL THE PEOPLE WHAT'S GOING ON. WE'RE GOING TO CONSULT WITH THEM. AGAIN, IT HAD A GOOD LANGUAGE THERE AND THAT'S WHAT I'M GETTING AT. I LIKE THAT LANGUAGE. WISH WE WOULD DO IT ALL THE TIME. THIS, I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO REACH OUT TO STAKEHOLDER AND THE COMMUNITY TO GET THEIR FEEDBACK IN AN OPEN CONVERSATION RATHER THAN HERE'S WHAT WE'RE DOING. TELL US WHAT YOU THINK. EVEN THAT WOULD BE BETTER THAN SOME CONSULTATION OR INFO SESSION WE DO LATELY. I WILL BE SUPPORTING IT AND WANT TO MAKE SURE MY VOICE IS ON THE RECORD WHAT I BELIEVE IS CALLED CONSULTATION AND WHICH THIS SAYS IT IS IN CASE IT CHANGES IN IF FUTURE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER IS COUNCILLOR EADIE FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT

COUNCILLOR EADIE: YOU KNOW WHAT, I'M NOT GOING TO SPEAK TO IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I RISE. I SUPPORT THE REPORT, OF COURSE, AND THE

COMMITTEE. I SUPPORT IT HERE TODAY. MADAM SPEAKER, MY CONCERN THOUGH IS I SAID IN THE COMMITTEE IS THAT -- AND YOU KNOW IT'S INTERESTING BECAUSE, AGAIN, I'VE BEEN HERE WHERE WE HAVE EARMARKED GREATER SUPPORT TO THE PLANNING STAFF TO DO PLANNING INITIATIVES IN THE PAST. RIGHT NOW I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WITH A PLANNING INITIATIVE THAT'S CONSUMED A LOT OF MY TIME IN THE WARD. THAT AND PART OF MY COMMUNITY, THE SOUTH TRANSCONA SECONDARY PLAN. WE'VE HAD NO LESS THAN 30 MEETINGS IN A COURSE OF ONE YEAR. LESS THAN A YEAR PLUS I'VE HAD TO ATTEND OR NOT HAD TO BUT PARTICIPATED IN MEETINGS THAT PREPARE FOR THE MEETINGS WORKING WITH THE AREA CONSULTANTS. THE CHALLENGE WE'VE HAD IS THAT THE CITY HAS LITTLE TO NO FUNDING FOR THIS SO WE'RE RELYING ON THE PRIVATE IS ECTOR. WE'RE PROVIDING A PLAN THAT'S GOING TO DELIVER ANYWHERE FROM 15,000 TO 20,000 NEW DOORS TO THE CITY OF WINNIPEG IN A WHOLE NEW COMMUNITY. BRAND NEW TAX ROLLS. NOT RAISING TAXES, MADAM SPEAKER, INCREASING THE ROLLS. NOT INCREASING THE TAXES. WITHOUT ANY SUPPORT. I'VE HAD TO TAKE MONEY FROM MY L.D.R., MY LAND DEDICATION RESERVE, TO FUND PART OF THE INITIATIVES THAT WE'RE WORKING ON. WE HAVE SOME AMAZING AND EXCITING THINGS WE'RE WORKING ON IN H.O.A. BY-LAWTHE FIRST OF ITS KIND FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO FUND COMMUNITY CENTRES. WE NEVER HAVE MONEY FOR COMMUNITY CENTRES. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A FUND IN PLACE FOR HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATIONS. A PERSON THAT MOVES IN SE 10,000 DOORS, $100,000 IN THE H.O.A., THAT'S MILLION DOLLARS A YEAR THAT CAN GO TO CORPORATE FINANCE. WHEN THEY HIT 20,000 THEY GET 2 MILLION A YEAR. THEY CAN FINANCE, WHICH WE'VE DON BEFORE IN COMMUNITY CLUBS THROUGH LOAN GUARANTEE. THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW COMMUNITY CENTRE. WE HAVE LAND SET ASIDE. FIVE ACRES. WE SET ASIDE 250 ACRES. OUR CHALLENGE IS TO CREATE A THOUSAND NEW ACRES OF PARKLAND IN THE CITY. THIS PLAN WILL CREATE 250 ACRES OF THE LARGEST NATURE PARK IN THE CITY. WRITING AN AREA CHARGE, AN AREA CHARGE THAT WOULD HELP ASSEMBLE THE COST OF THAT LAND. WE'RE DOING SOME AMAZING THING, MADAM SPEAKER. WE'RE FIGURING OUT WAYS TO BRING LAND ON THAT IS NOT FULLY SERVICED THAT REQUIRING FULL MUNICIPAL SERVICES BY STAGING IT SO THE PROPERTY OWNERS THEMSELVES CAN BE ACTUALLY IN CHARGE OF THEIR LAND FOR LAND DEVELOPMENT. NOT AT THE MERCY OF DEVELOPERS WHO WILL BUY THEM OUT AND DEVELOP THE LAND. THEY ARE THE ONLY ONES WHO CAN AFFORD THE FOUR LEVELS OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES UP FRONT. IF WE ACTUALLY SUCCEED IN BRINGING THIS FORMULA FORWARD TO COUNCIL, WHICH I THINK WE SHALL, IT WILL ALLOW FOR CHARLESWOOD. ALL OF CHARLESWOOD COME ONLINE IF

THEY FOLLOW A SIMILAR FORMULA, AS WELL AS, PRESERVE THE FOREST AND LANDS POTENTIALLY THEY HAVE AS A MODEL FOR THEM. IT'S AN AMAZING PROCESS THAT WE'RE UNDERWAY, AS WELL AS, ADDRESSING THE TRANSPORTATION NEEDS IN A WAY WHICH IS PRACTICAL, WHICH DOESN'T PUT ANY NEW BURDEN ON OUR CAPITAL BUDGETS WITHIN THE NEXT 6 TO 7 YEARS. WE PUSHED THAT OUT INTO THE OUT YEARS TO ACCOMMODATE THIS COUNCILS NARROW OR RESTRICTED BUDGET FRAMEWORK. WE DIDN'T WANT TO DISRUPT THAT. WE'RE WORKING WITH THE PRIVATE SECTOR IN TERMS OF THE ENGINEERS, IN TERMS OF UNDERGROUND. WE'RE EVEN TAPPING INTO THE POTENTIAL OF ADDING THE SECOND WING TO THE WATER SKI FACILITY OF THE WATER SKI PARK WHERE THEY HAVE TWO WINGS. ONE BUILT FOR THE PAN AM GAMES AND WE COULD POTENTIALLY BUILD A SECOND SERVICING THE LANDS AND PROVIDE AN AMENITY FOR THE CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG WITH REGARD TO WATER SKI FACILITY. NOT TO MENTION HOW IT COULD HELP THE PRIVATE SECTOR SUCH AS PRINCESS IS LOOKING AT THEIR OWN LAKE WHEN THEY COULD USE THIS FACILITY TO TEST THEIR PRODUCTS. MADAM SPEAKER, THIS IS AN AMAZING PROCESS WE'VE HAD UNDERWAY AND THAT WE'RE TRYING TO ADDRESS THING, BRING IT TOGETHER. WE HAVE THE POTENTIAL FOR NOT JUST ONE BUT POTENTIALLY THREE NEW JOINT VENTURES ON CITY LAND TO GENERATE NEW REVENUE FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. ALL THE THINGS WE'VE BEEN DOING WITHOUT LITTLE SUPPORT FROM THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. WE CALL IT A COOPERATIVE PLANNING EXERCISE. MARK GOT IT GOING WHEN HE WAS THE ACTING DIRECTOR IN JANUARY AND FEBRUARY OF 2023, RIGHT AFTER THE GENERAL ELECTION WHEN I MET WITH HIM. WE CALLED IT THAT BECAUSE REALLY THE PRIVATE SECTOR IS PAYING FOR IT ALL AND US PARTICIPATING ALONGSIDE THEM. WORKING WITH THE RESIDENT ADVISORY GROUP. THAT'S BEEN AMAZING. RESIDENTS WHO PARTICIPATED. WE'RE GOING TO AN OPEN HOUSE IN OCTOBER. FIRST ROUND ANOTHER OPEN HOUSE AFTER THAT AND PUBLIC HEARINGS HERE. WE'VE GONE THROUGH AN AMAZING PROCESS AND WHEN IT COMES TIME FOR ME TO WEAR MY DIFFERENT HAT. AS ANITA SAID WHEN I GOT ON COUNCIL, I WAS ON A JOINT VENTURE WITH JEN STAR. I SAID I'M APPROVING THE JOINT VENTURE. A NEW SUBDIVISION IS GOING INTO TRANSCONA WEST. HOW CAN IT DO THAT AND THEN SIT IN A PUBLIC HEARING? ANITA SAID TO ME IN WRITING, SHE SAID NO, COUNCILLOR WYATT, WE EXPECT YOU TO WEAR MANY HATS. SHE WAS A C.A.O. AT THE TIME. WE EXPECT YOU TO WHERE MANY HATS AND WHERE THE RIGHT HAT AT THE RIGHT TIME. WE EXPECT YOU TO USE YOUR RIGHT OBJECTIVE AT THE RIGHT TIME. I LAID THE MATTER OVER A YEAR WHEN THEY DIDN'T HAVE IT ON THE BOOKS. IT CAUSED CONSTERNATION AT THE TIME BUT WE ACHIEVED IT. MADAM SPEAKER, I'M

HOPEFUL THAT THIS DOCUMENT WILL ENSURE THAT RESOURCES WILL BE THERE FOR THE FUTURE FOR OTHER INITIATIVES THAT WE WON'T BE STRUGGLING LIKE WE WERE HERE. I KNOW THERE ARE CERTAIN NICHE TIVE, SECONDARY PLANS THAT SEEM TO BE THE FLAVOUR OF THE MONTH. THEY ARE GREAT LIKE SOUTH POINT DOUGLAS. THAT'S WONDERFUL. BUT NONE WILL GENERATE 15,000 TO 20,000 NEW DOORS. NONE IN THIS TERM. THIS WILL THE ONLY DOCUMENT THIS COUNCIL WILL ADOPT THAT WILL GENERATE THAT TOP. WE ARE ONCE AGAIN IN NORTHEAST WINNIPEG PUNCHING ABOVE OUR WEIGHT. EVEN THOUGH WE'RE NOT GETTING A NEW TWIN BRIDGE. WE ARE PUNCHING ABOVE OUR WEIGHT IN TERMS OF WHAT WE ADD TO THE TAX ROLES OF THE CITY. WE'VE BEEN DOING THAT AS LONG AS I'VE BEEN ON COUNCIL WITH COUNCILLOR BROWATY AND HOPEFULLY WHEN THE NEW COUNCILLOR ARRIVES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

MADAM SPEAKER: : THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, IF ANY. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN BACK TO YOU FOR CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. I KNOW IT'S NOT QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD YET BUT TO COUNCILLOR MAYES, YES, I WILL MEET WITH COUNCILLORS. TO COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW, ABSOLUTELY, CONSULTATION IS KEY TO EVERYTHING. I'LL REFLECT ON COUNCILLOR WYATT'S COMMENTS AT A LATER ITEM IN TODAY'S AGENDA. THANK YOU. CALL THE QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRY. CLERK NEXT ITEM.

CLERK: SIX, WILDWOOD PARK SPECIAL YARD REQUIREMENTS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I'LL HEAR FROM COUNCILLOR COLLEAGUE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: LIKE AGENDA ITEMS SINCE JULY, THIS ALSO TIME WAS NOT OF THE ESSENCE TO EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. I AM HOPING THAT WITH OUR VOTE TODAY THE AD CUT CAN GO OUT AND HEARING CAN BE OCTOBER 9TH FOR WILDWOOD. IT IS NOT JUST FOR THOUGH MY SPECIAL YARDS IT IS FOR SPECIAL YARDS THAT ARE ACROSS THE CITY, WHETHER IT'S IN THE ST. BONIFACE WARD OR SAINT VITAL WARD. THE GIFT HORSE IN THE MOUTH, IN MY

VIEW, IS THAT WHEN RESIDENTS NEED SOMETHING THEY WANT US TO BE RESPONSIVE. I HOPE IT'S A UNANIMOUS VOTE TODAY. AND WHEN YOU CALL THE QUESTION, MADAM SPEAKER, CALL FOR A RECORDED VOTE. THE STYLE OF WILDWOOD AND OUR SPECIAL YARDS, THE GREEN SPACES AND MORE DESERVE A COUNCIL THAT IS PUTTING THEIR VOTES WHERE THEIR MOUTH AND REPORTS ARE, WHICH IS GREEN SPACES MEAN SOMETHING. YARDS MEANS SOMETHING AND THE PREVIOUS VOTES MEAN SOMETHING, MADAM SPEAKER. LET'S FIX WHAT SHOULD BE AN ARROWED MISSION. I'VE SEEN THE AD CUT. I WANT TO THANK THE DEPARTMENT WHO HAD THIS READY OVER THE SUMMER. IF I COULD CALL FOR A RECORDED VOTE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. FURTHER SPEAKERS ON THIS? COUNCILLOR DUNCAN DO YOU WISH TO CLOSE? CALL THE QUESTION. THERE WAS A CALL FOR A RECORDED VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLOR ALLARD, COUNCILLOR BROWATY, COUNCILLOR DOBSON, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, COUNCILLOR EADIE, COUNCILLOR GILROY, COUNCILLOR MAYES, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, COUNCILLOR SANTOS, COUNCILLOR WYATT, COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

YEAS 14, NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: SIX PASSES.

CLERK: ITEM 2, SUBDIVISION AND REZONING. 45 DAMAN FARM ROAD DASZ 9/2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: : THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. LOTS OF INFORMATION. THIS IS NOT THE FIRST TIME THIS COUNCIL HAS SEEN A SIMILAR PROPOSAL TO THIS. I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING FROM MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUE ON THIS.

MADAM SPEAKER: : THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR WYATT. 22. THE REZONING OF DAMAN FARM ROAD.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I POSSIBLY HAVE A MOTION FOR AMENDMENT. CAN WE GIVE IT A MINUTE?

MADAM SPEAKER: : YOU CAN USE YOUR SPEAKING TIME IF YOU WISH OR DEFER TO SOMEONE ELSE, AT THIS TIME.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I'LL COME BACK TO MYSELF.

MADAM SPEAKER:: COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: COUNCILLOR WYATT HAS ASKED ME TO LOOK AT THIS POSSIBLE AMENDING MOTION. PRIOR TO DOING SO, AGAIN, OUR PROCESS. THIS IS PRETTY INTERESTING FOR THIS. I CAN RECEIVE E-MAILS AND COMMENTS AND STUFF FROM THE PUBLIC, I AM NOT ALLOWED TO SPEAK TO MY COLLEAGUES ABOUT IT AFTER PUBLIC HEARING IS CLOSED. I RESPECT THE RULES. I SOMETIMES THEY ARE WHACKED. THIS IS A LITTLE WEIRD, TOO. NOW I'M SITTING HERE TODAY AFTER I'VE HEARD ALL OF THIS INFORMATION AGAIN. I CAN'T JUST DELETE PEOPLES E-MAILS. THAT'S ANOTHER PROBLEM. WE KNOW THE ISSUE IS WATER. THAT'S A CONCERN THE NEIGHBOUR IS HAVING ABOUT CONTAMINATING THEIR WATER SUPPLY. I'VE HEARD THE ADMINISTRATION DID NOT SUPPORT THIS FOR THAT VERY REASON. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE CHAIR, BECAUSE THAT LOOKS LIKE E.B.C. IS FULLY SUPPORTED AND THE LOCAL COMMUNITY COMMITTEE HAS FULLY SUPPORTED IT. WATER IS VERY IMPORTANT. I WOULD LIKE THE HEAR KIND OF HOW THE RATIONAL OR HOW THEY ARE REPLYING BACK OR IF THEY ARE REPLYING BACK TO RESIDENTS WITH CONCERNS. I'M SURE THEY DID AT THE MEETING. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM OUR CHAIR ON THAT BEFORE I AGREE TO SIGN THIS AMENDING MOTION FOR COUNCILLOR WYATT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? THAT'S NOT KEEPING WITHIN OUR PROCESS. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? NO AMENDING MOTION READY IT APPEARS?

IT IS NOW.

MADAM SPEAKER: ANY OTHER SPEAKERS ON THE ITEM? THERE'S NO MOTION BEFORE US. ANY OTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS DO YOU WISH TO SPEAK? WE WITH DON'T TAKE RECESSES ON A REGULAR BASIS. WE KNOW THAT BECAUSE MOTIONS AREN'T READY AND WE AREN'T GOING TO START TODAY. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR WYATT. COUNCILLOR EADIE

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I KNOW THIS ISN'T REALLY A HEARING BUT WE HAVE TAKEN A BREAK TO GET AN AMENDMENT READY TO BE CONSIDERED.

MADAM SPEAKER: : NOT VERY OFTEN, COUNCILLOR EADIE. AS I SAID WE'RE NOT GOING TO MAKE IT A REGULAR PROCESS AROUND HERE. COUNCILLOR WYATT YOU HAVE THE FLOOR WHILE YOU'RE AMENDING MOTION IS BEING PRINTED.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THE ADMINISTRATION HASN'T POINTED OUT, RECOMMENDED AGAINST THIS THE COMMUNITY OVERTURNED THE DECISION. IT'S A CREATIVE IDEA THEY HAVE. THERE'S NO DOUBT ABOUT IT. THE IDEA OF HAVING CISTERNS HERE. I AM CONCERNED. I GUESS THE BIGGEST CONCERN I HAVE -- NOT AGAINST THE IDEA OF THE CISTERNS, NECESSARILY. AT ONE POINT WE HAVE A WATER PRESSURE ISSUE UP IN THE NORTHEAST OF MY WARD WHERE THE DEVELOPER IN QUESTION IS NOT ABLE TO DO HIGH DENSITY THERE. I, YOU KNOW, THROUGH THE IDEA TO WATER AND WASTE, WHY NOT PUT UP A WATER TOWER LIKE YOU SEE IN RURAL MANITOBA. IT COULD BE A BIG HAPPY FACE, WELCOME TO TRANSCONA ON THE PERIMETER HIGHWAY. THE TECHNOLOGY AND THE COST OF PUTTING WATER TOWERS UP HAVE DROPPED DRAMATICALLY OVER THE YEARS. THE RESPONSE FROM THE WATER AND WASTE AT THE TIME WAS, NO, WE DON'T WANT A WATER TOWER ON THE EDGE OF THE CITY. ANYWAY, WE'VE COME UP WITH THIS IDEA OF CISTERNS. WHAT I FIND INTERESTING IS TWOFOLD. NUMBER ONE, APPARENTLY THIS IS ALLOWED UNDER THE SECONDARY PLAN BUT IT'S THE SECONDARY PLAN THAT GOES BACK TO 1977. WHAT I FIND INTERESTING ABOUT THIS IS THAT WHEN WE DID THE WHOLE ZONING CHANGES IN JUNE, WE DID A CATCHERS CATCH ALL WHERE WE AMENDED SECONDARY PLANS BUT SOMEHOW THIS ONE DIDN'T GET AMENDED OR DIDN'T GET CAUGHT UP IN THE SPIRIT OF DENSITIES AND SERVICES AND EVERYTHING. HE'S ALLOWED, APPARENTLY ON THIS SIDE OF ST. MARY'S SOUTH OF THE PERIMETER, TO HAVE TWO ACRE LOTS. THE ISSUE THERE APPARENTLY IS SOMETHING GOING ON WITH THE WATER AND WATER QUALITY. THE IDEA IS TO PROVIDE -- EVERYBODY HAS TO HAVE THEIR OWN CISTERNS AND EVERYBODY IS SUPPOSED TO HAVE THEIR OWN HOLDING TANKS FOR THE HONEY TRUCK TO COME. WELL THAT'S FINE. THAT'S FINE. ALL I'M SAYING IS MY MOTION IS TO SAY THAT CAN IT BE PLACED ON EACH AND EVERY LOT. UPON SUCH TIME AS EITHER A LOCAL IMPROVEMENT COMES DOWN THE STREET OR CITY SERVICES ARE MADE AVAILABLE, THEY WILL BE CONSIDERED FULLY ENROLLED. IN OTHER WORDS, THEY WILL HAVE TO PAY FOR THE CITY SERVICES. WE'VE SEEN TOO MANY TIMES IN THE PAST WHERE INDIVIDUALS ALREADY HAVE THEIR SUBDIVISION AND THEY DON'T NECESSARILY WANT TO PAY FOR THE

CITY SERVICES THEY VOTED DOWN. THEREFORE, WE DO ORDERLY DEVELOPMENT AND CITY SERVICES. EVERYTHING WE ARE DEMANDING IN EVERY OTHER PART OF THE CITY. EVERY OTHER PART OF THE CITY, KEEP IN MIND. CITY SERVICES, WE CAN DO THE TIMING OF THE CITY SERVICE. I'M ALL IF FAVOUR OF PHASING THE TIMING HERE. I'M SAYING WHEN THE TIME COMES THEY ARE CONSIDERED ENROLLED, WHICH MEANS THEY PAY. IF IT'S LOCAL IMPROVEMENT THEY HAVE THE BENEFIT OF PAYING FOR IT BY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT ALL AT ONCE. IF THERE'S NO LOCAL IMPROVEMENT, WELL THEN THEY HAVE TO PAY FOR -- GO TO THE BANK OR HAVE TO PAY FOR IT. ESPECIALLY WHEN IT COMES TO THE SANITARY PIPE AND THE CITY WATER, THOSE TWO. LAND DRAINAGE IF YOU'RE ON A TWO ACRE LOT, NEAR THE RIVER, MAYBE YOU CAN POTENTIALLY NOT HAVE A PAVED ROAD. NOTED A CONCERNED. I'M MORE CONCERNED ABOUT THE SANITARY AND MORE ABOUT -- IN TERMS OF LONG TERM, THE LIABILITY TO THE CITY, MADAM SPEAKER. WE'VE NEVER REALLY DONE THIS BEFORE. WE'VE NEVER CREATED A CISTERN SYSTEM. WE'VE NEVER CREATED A PERMANENT HOLDING TANK SYSTEM. WE'RE REALLY NOW CUTTING NEW TERRITORY. I PREFER, IF SOMEBODY WANTS TO MOVE THIS BACK TO STANDING COMMITTEE FOR CONSIDERATION AND DISCUSSION, I'M IN FAVOUR OF THAT, TOO. I HAD TO STEP OUT FOR A FUNERAL THE DAY THIS CAME OUT. MY APOLOGIES. IT WAS TOWARD THE END SO I WAS NOT ABLE TO DEAL WITH THIS THEN, OTHERWISE I WOULD HAVE. I'M MOVING THIS AND I BELIEVE THAT IF WE DON'T PUT IT ON THEY WILL NOT VOTE. THIS IS BASED ON WHAT WE'VE SEEN IN THE PAST ATTEMPTING TO BRING MUNICIPAL SERVICE DOWN A STREET. THERE ARE EXCEPTIONS WHERE SOME RESIDENTS HAVE VOTED. I'LL ADMIT THAT. WHERE THEY HAVE VOTED TO -- WHERE THEY ARE TIRED OF DEALING WITH THE SEPTIC FIELD. THEY ARE TIRED WITH THE WELL WATER. WELL WATER IS CONTAMINATED. WELL IN THIS CASE IT WON'T BE CONTAMINATED. THEY'LL GET CLEAN DRINKING WATER COMING IN ALL THE TIME. I'M VERY HESITANT ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU HAVE ADMINISTRATIVE AGAINST IT AND TO OVERTURN IT. I CAN WORK WITH SOMETHING LIKE THIS AS LONG AS WE HAVE SOME SAFEKEEPING IN THE FUTURE AND WE DON'T HAVE A LONG-TERM LIABILITY FOR THE CITY. KEEP IN MIND, THESE LOTS ARE PROBABLY SOLD, MY GUESS, IS A QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS OR MORE. THE DEVELOPER TOLD ME APPARENTLY, I READ IN THE PAPER, MILLION DOLLAR HOUSES ON THE STREET. WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT A LOW-END COMMUNITY. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A HIGH-END COMMUNITY. IT CAN BE SAID FOR CENSURE GOING TO VOTE IN FAVOUR OF LOCAL IMPROVEMENT. MY EXPERIENCE IS SOMETIMES MUDDY. THEY VOTE AGAINST THE LOCAL IMPROVEMENT FIRST AND FOREMOST AND SAY WE CAN DEAL WITH OUR CISTERNS. I JUST THINK ORDERLY DEVELOPMENT. WE

TRY TO BE CONSISTENT IN THE CITY. WE START DOING THESE THINGS, I KNOW THERE'S A SECONDARY PLAN THAT ALLOWS IT BUT IT COULD OPEN UP WATER GATES AND PUT US ALL IN CERTAIN NEIGHBOURHOODS WE HAVE UNDER -- WELL YOU DID IT THERE. WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT HERE, COUNCILLOR. I'M VERY RELUCTANT TO -- WE'VE BEEN CONSISTENT IN THIS CITY TO OUR CREDIT WHEN IT COMES TO PLANNING ON THE INITIATIVES. THAT'S WHY I MOVE THE MOTION, MADAM SPEAKER. I WELCOME IT TO BE SENT BACK TO CONSIDERATION TO HAVE DIALOGUE WITH PUBLIC SERVICE. WE DID NOT HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WYATT. MOTION FIVE AMENDING MOTION FIVE IS CIRCULATED. MADAM CLERK READ IT INTO THE RECORD.

CLERK: MOVED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT. SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. BE RESOLVED ITEM 22 OF THE REPORT OF STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE OF PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT DATED SEPTEMBER 12, 2025, BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS. AT THE FOLLOWING NEW RECOMMENDATION 1AI.I. IN SECTION FOUR SERVICING CONDITIONS AT THE FOLLOWING 16. THE CITY OF WINNIPEG PLACE A CAVEAT ON EACH LOT REQUIRING AUTOMATIC ENROLMENT IN ANY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT AND OR WHEN FULL OR PARTIAL MUNICIPAL SERVICES BECOME AVAILABLE NAMELY CITY WATER, SANITATION SERVICES, PAVED ROADS AND UNDERGROUND LAND DRAINAGE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. WITH THAT COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW YOU WANT AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO THIS AND ANYONE ELSE. YOU'RE MOVING AUTOMATIC -- BECAUSE OF AN AMENDING MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: : YOU ARE MOVING THE ITEM ARE YOU? >> I'LL TRY THAT. WITH THE AMENDING MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: : COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW YOU HAVE SPOKEN TO THE ITEM SO YU CANNOT MOVE REFERRAL BACK. MOTION TO REFER WOULD HAVE TO COME FROM SOMEONE ELSE. NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I'M SPEAKING TO AMENDING MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: AND THE WHOLE ITEM, IF YOU WISH.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I'LL SPEAK TO THE AMENDING MOTION. WE TRIED TO DO

THIS SORT OF ON A LOCAL IMPROVEMENT FOR HOUSES THAT BACKED ONTO GRAVEL LANES. WE COULDN'T DO THAT. WE WERE TOLD YOU CAN'T PUT THAT ON A PROPERTY THAT THE PERSON MAY NOT HAVE EVEN PURCHASED YET. I JUST WANTED TO PUT THAT OUT THERE. ANYONE ON THE APPEAL OR THAT COMMITTEE MAY REMEMBER THAT.

MADAM SPEAKER: : THANK YOU. FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: IN COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS ABSENCE I WILL SAY A FEW WORDS. I USE TO REPRESENT THIS. THERE'S CHALLENGES AND IT HAS UNIQUE ISSUES. AMONGST THEM NO CITY WATER OR SEWER AND HENCE THE HEARING IN FRONT OF US. THIS PARTICULAR AREA HAS RELIED ON WELL WATER IN CONTRAST TO SAY SOME OF THE WILKES SOUTH AREA WHICH RELIES ON CISTERNS AND GETTING WATER BROUGHT IN. THIS IS A CHANGE AND PLAN FOR THIS NEIGHBOURHOOD BUT THAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS. THEY ARE SAYING WELL WE HAVE A CAVEAT THAT SAYS NO WELL DRILLING. IN TERMS OF THE AMENDING MOTION, YEAH. I HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE ENFORCEABILITY OR THE LEGALITY OF IT. I KNOW RIGHT NOW IF YOU DRAG THE PIPE BY SOMEONE'S HOUSE ON THE WAY TO THE NEW AREA - I THINK WE HAD THIS ON TEMPLE TON. PEOPLE SAID WE DIDN'T ASK FOR THIS AND NOW YOU COME WITH A HUGE BILL TO HOOK UP THE NEW PIPE. HAD IT ON VICTORIA CRESCENT, AS WELL, IN ONE PART OF IT. SOME ISSUES HERE ABOUT IS IT FEASIBLE TO REQUIRE PEOPLE TO SIGN UP. IF THERE'S A LOCAL IMPROVEMENT VOTED ON, AS WE'VE SEEN WITH INNUMERABLE GRAVEL LANE VOTE, IF THE 60% THRESHOLD IS PASSED EVERYBODY PAYS IN. THERE'S NO OPTING OUT. BUT YOU HAVE TO GET TO THAT VOTE. I APPRECIATE WHAT COUNCILLOR WYATT IS SAYING. LET'S NOT HAVE THE CITY GET STUCK WHEN 50 YEARS FROM NOW THE SEWER AND WATER, IT COULD BE 30 YEARS, 20 YEARS, IT COULD BE NEVER. THE CITY WATER AND SEWER PIPES GET OUT THERE. I DON'T SEE HOW THIS WOULD -- I DON'T SEE HOW THIS DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION WOULD UNDERMINE THAT. WE HAVE SORTS OF PATCH WORK OUT THERE, CHRISTY ROAD, FOLKS WHO CAN CERTAINLY AFFORD TO DO THIS. WE'LL SEE AS THIS UNFOLDS IF PEOPLE WANT TO TAKE THESE MEASURES. I APPRECIATE THE INTENT OF IT TRYING TO PROTECT THE CITY'S INTEREST BUT I HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE ENFORCEABILITY.

MADAM SPEAKER: : THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: I'M NOT GOING TO GO TOO MUCH LONGER ON THIS. I

AM, OF COURSE, WE'RE ALL WORRIED ABOUT SEWAGE LEAKAGE INTO OUR RIVERS. WE'RE WORRIED ABOUT PEOPLE HAVING GOOD WATER TO DRINK. I CAN TELL YOU PEOPLE THAT WE ARE PARTNERED WITH IN SAINT PAUL AND SPRINGFIELD WHO WERE FORCED TO GET WATER AND SEWER PIPES. NO ONE ASKED THEM. THEY ALL HAD TO PAY. I'M NOT SURE WHERE THE LEGALITY PROBLEMS ARE COMING BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THIS IS GOING TO SAY. IF YOU WANT TO LIVE HERE YOU HAVE TO PAY. THE OTHER MUNICIPALITY AROUND US DID THAT WHEN THEY EXTENDED THE SEWER AND WATER SERVICES TO PLACES THAT HAD CISTERNS AND THEY HAD FIELDS. IT WAS ALL REACHING EVERYWHERE. WE CAN GET BACK TO THIS. I THINK COUNCILLOR WYATT OPENED UP THE DOOR WE GET BACK TO IT. I STILL AWAIT COUNCILLOR DUNCAN'S REPLY BUT I DO SEE OTHER EXAMPLES OF WHERE PEOPLE DIDN'T WANT TO PAY FOR THE WATER. THEY DIDN'T WANT TO PAY FOR THE SEWER PIPE AND THEY WERE MAD WHEN THAT BILL CAME IN. THEY ARE REALLY MAD, TOO, WHEN THEY SEE WATER AND SEWER RATES JUMP UP. THEY ARE NOT TOO HAPPY WITH THE PARTNERSHIP OUT THERE. THEY DIDN'T HAVE A CHOICE. THEY MAYBE HAD A VOTE ON IT. I WILL STEP BACK TODAY AND WAIT FOR COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. I DO WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR WYATT FOR BRINGING A UNIQUE IDEA FORWARD. AS WINNIPEG GROWS AND COUNCILLOR WYATT, I'M SORRY, GROWTH DOES NOT PAY FOR GROWTH. AS YOU GET EXCITED ABOUT NEW DOORS OPENING WITH SPRAWLING AREA, I GET CONCERNED ABOUT HOW WE AFFORD THAT. SOME PEOPLE ON THE COUNCIL CHAMBER BELIEVES GROWTH DOES PAY FOR GROWTH. I THOUGHT WE DID THAT YEARS AGO BUT WE'RE BACK. I'M NOT CONVINCED GROWTH PAYS FOR GROWTH. AGAIN, IN THIS CASE, I DON'T WANT TO END UP THE CITY IS GOING TO PAY FOR THE WATER AND SEWER CONNECTIONS FOR PEOPLE WHERE THEY HAVEN'T PAID FOR YEARS. SAME WITH THE GRAVEL LANES. I HAVE STRONG PROPONENT, TWO PEOPLE REALLY, IN AN AFFLUENT PART OF MY NEIGHBOURHOOD WHO HAVE A GRAVEL BACK LANE WHO ARE UPSET ABOUT IT. I SAY, WELL, YOU HAVE TO PAY IF YOU WANT TO GET IT PAVED. THEY JUST DON'T BELIEVE THAT'S RIGHT. AGAIN, MONEY DOESN'T COUNT. A LOT OF PEOPLE, LIKE COUNCILLOR WYATT SAID, IT DOESN'T MATTER IF YOU HAVE MONEY OR NOT. THEY DON'T WANT TO PAY, PERIOD. I AM A LITTLE WORRIED ABOUT THE IDEA GOING FORWARD BUT, AGAIN, I DO SEE THE ST. PAUL AND SPRINGFIELD DID SOMETHING SIMILAR BUT THE WHOLE AREA, MUNICIPAL, I THINK, THE WHOLE AREA. MY CONCERN STILL REMAINS, EVEN WITHOUT THE MOTION, ABOUT CISTERNS AND IS IT FIELDS OR IS IT HOLDING TANKS? FIELDS ARE CHEAPER, EASIER BUT THEY ALSO ARE PRETTY NASTY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT BECAUSE THEY ALWAYS LEECH. IS IT FIELDS AND/OR HOLDING TANKS AND CISTERNS ARE CISTERNS. I HAVE IT AT MY CABIN. THEY WORK FINE.

JUST KEEP PUMPING WATER INTO THEM OR GET IT DELIVERED. I'LL WAIT TO HEAR FROM COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. I KNOW HE'S BEEN ENGAGED IN THIS FILE, AS WELL. I KNOW COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS IS LEGITIMATELY PREOCCUPIED WITH OTHER MATTERS. I KNOW HE'S CONCERNED ABOUT THIS, AS WELL. I WILL BE INTERESTED TO HEAR FROM THE CHAIR.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR WYATT, IT'S YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I JUST WANT TO STRESS TO MEMBERS OF THE CHAMBER THAT THIS IS NOT THE ONLY LAND DOWN THERE THAT CAN POTENTIALLY BE SUBJECT TO THIS TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT. WE COULD BE OPENING UP IF FLOOD GATES HERE ON A LOT OF OTHER STUFF. MY THINKING IS THAT THE LAND SELLS THROUGH THE PERIMETER. WE DON'T HAVE MUCH LAND LEFT INSIDE THE CITY LIMITS THAT ARE EASILY SERVICEABLE OR MORE EASILY SERVICEABLE THAN THE LANDS I SEE NOW SOUTH OF THE PERIMETER IN ST. VITAL. THEREFORE, IF WE ALLOW THIS IT'S GOING TO BE HARD FOR US TO SAY NO TO OTHERS THAT COME ALONG AND WANT TO PUT IN A FIVE-LOT SUBDIVISION, TEN. I CAN SEE SORTS OF ROOM ALONG THE RIVER WHERE THEY CAN DO THIS BASED ON WHAT'S HAPPENING NOW. IF WE DO IT HERE WE'RE GOING TO OPEN IT WIDE OPEN FOLKS. I WOULD BE VERY CAUTIOUS TO MOVE IN THIS DIRECTION AND TAKE OUR PUBLIC SERVICES. THERE'S NO DOUBT THAT WE ALL ARE IN FAVOUR OF DEVELOPMENT. WE ALL KNOW THIS DEVELOPER IN THIS AREA AND THE DEVELOPER I WANT TO STRESS. THEY'VE DONE BUSINESS IN TRANSCONA AND GOOD WORK. IT'S NOTHING ABOUT THEM. NOTHING ABOUT THEM. THEY'VE DONE GOOD WORK IN OUR COMMUNITY AND BUILD GOOD HOMES. VINCE AND FRANK ARE, YOU KNOW, VERY UPSTANDING CITIZENS. THEY'VE DONE NOTHING UNTOWARD. THEY ARE SIMPLY TRYING TO GET A BALL PAST THE GOAL POST. I DON'T BLAME THEM. THEY ARE ENTREPRENEURS. WE ARE HERE TO LOOK AT THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CITY. I SUGGEST TO YOU WE NEED TO PROTECT THE INTEREST OF THE CITY OR ELSE THIS WILL POTENTIALLY TAKE OFF WITH OTHER PROPERTY OWNER IN THIS AREA. ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU HAVE FRAGMENTED LANDOWNERSHIP LIKE YOU SEE. THERE'S ONE PART, OF COURSE,THAT'S NOT. I'M GOING TO BE CURIOUS TO SEE WHAT WE DO IN TERMS OF EXTENDING INTERCEPTOR SERVICES FOR THEM AND IT SHOULDN'T BE DONE AT THE COST OF THE TAXPAYER WHEN THAT COMES THAT THEY SHOULD PAY THE FULL FREIGHT FOR THAT. IF NOT I'LL BE POINTING THAT OUT. SUBSIDIZATION OF GREENFIELD DEVELOPMENT ONE AGAIN BY THIS CHAMBER AT THE EXPENSE OF INFILL DEVELOPMENT. NONETHELESS, THIS IS A CONCERN. YOU HAVE A LOT OF

FRAGMENTED PROPERTY OWNERSHIP IN THIS AREA AND THIS SCENARIO WOULD LEND ITSELF TO THAT ENTIRE AREA NOW WHERE ALL OF A SUDDEN YOU'RE GOING TO SEE ALL SORTS OF PEOPLE COMING FORWARD WITH LITTLE SUBDIVISIONS WANTING TO DO A CISTERN AND HOLDING TANK. THIS HAS NEVER BEEN DONE, TO MY KNOWLEDGE. I CAN'T RECALL A TIME HERE WE'VE APPROVED SOMETHING LIKE THIS. WITHOUT THE REQUIREMENT, AND ID BE CURIOUS TO KNOW A LEGAL POSITION ON WHY WE CAN'T REQUIRE UP FRONT PRIOR TO SOMEBODY MOVING IN BUYING A LOT, EYES WIDE OPEN, THAT A CAVEAT IS ON YOUR LAND AND YOU ARE FULLY ENROLLED IN THE COST OF THE SERVICE WHEN IT COMES. HOW THIS SOMEHOW IS LEGAL. OR NOT ILLEGAL. I DIDN'T HEAR WE CAN'T DO IT. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHY BECAUSE WE DO REQUIREMENTS ON LAND AND FUTURE ALL THE TIME IN THE CITY WITH REGARD TO OBLIGATIONS FOR ROADS AND INFRASTRUCTURE AND SO ON. WE DO IT OFTEN WITH CAVEATS. I'M CURIOUS TO HEAR ABOUT THAT ONE ABOUT A BACK LANE. ANYWAY, THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS, MADAM SPEAKER. IF THE MOTION DOESN'T PASS I'M NOT GOING TO SUPPORT THE CLAUSE. I TOLD COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. HE ASKED ME TO LOOKED LOOK INTO THIS AND MAYBE SHE SHOULDN'T HAVE CALLED ME TO LOOK INTO IT. NOW I HAVE. THOSE ARE MY THOUGHTS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: : THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, OF COURSE, TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: ON THE AMENDMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: : THE AMENDING MOTION AND THE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: YES. OKAY. AS THE REPORT INDICATES, CISTERNS, WHICH IS A HOLDING TANK FOR DRINKABLE WATER, WILL BE INCLUDED ON ALL THESE PROPERTIES. IT WILL ALSO HAVE A HOLDING TANK FOR SEWER IT WILL NOT BE A -- HELP ME WITH THE WORDS HERE -- IT WILL HAVE TO BE PUMPED OUT TIME TO TIME TO ACCOMMODATE THE HOMEOWNERS THERE AT THE HOMEOWNER EXPENSE. NO EXPENSE THE THE CITY. THERE WAS AN ARGUMENT MADE THAT'S NOT FEASIBLE. I APPRECIATE COUNCILLOR WYATT'S GUESS AT ESTIMATED LAND VALUE AT $250,000 PER LOT. I'M IN IF THAT'S THE COST. I'M THINKING MORE LIKE $500,000 FOR TWO AND A HALF ACRE LOT AND MULTIMILLION DOLLAR HOMES ON THESE LOTS, WHICH TAKES ME BACK TO COUNCILLOR WYATT'S EARLIER COMMENTS I INDICATED I WOULD REFERENCE. WE'RE INCREASING THE TAX ROLL HERE NOT CITY TAXES. I THINK THAT'S

IMPORTANT ALSO TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION. I'M NOT GOING TO PROFESS TO BE AN EXPERT ON THIS BUT I HAVE HEARD FROM MY RESIDENTS WHO DO NOT WANT TO SEE THIS HAPPEN, WHO ARE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE WATER TABLE. HOWEVER, WE SHOULD BE AWARE THAT OVER 100 UNITS IN GRAND POINT OUTSIDE THE CITY PERIMETER, AS WELL, WERE JUST CONSTRUCTED ALL WITH WELLS THAT DID NOT AFFECT THE WATER THAT IS SAID TO BE, I'M NOT GOING TO SAY EVERYONE HAS THIS EXPERIENCE, IS SAID TO BE SALINE ALREADY. WHICH MAKES IT DIFFICULT TO DRINK AND I'M NOT SAYING THIS AS A GUESS. I HAVE HEARD FROM RESIDENTS WHO LIVE IN THIS NEIGHBOURHOOD WHO SAY THEY WOULD NEVER DRINK THE WATER TO BEGIN WITH. I APPRECIATE THAT THERE ARE CONCERNS ABOUT THE WATER TABLE. ONE OF WHICH STRETCHES ALL THE WAY FROM THE U.S. CANADA BORDER UP TO OUR LAKES. HOWEVER, I THINK THAT IF WE APPROVE THIS DEVELOPMENT HERE TODAY WITHOUT THE AMENDMENT AND THE FACT THE BUILDERS HAD SAID, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS INFORMED ME, THAT ALL OF THESE AS PART OF TEAR SALE AGREEMENT WILL INCLUDE PIPE FOR CITY WATER AND SEWER WHEN IT COMES. I'M NOT GOING TO SPECULATE ON WHO TO THE SOUTH IS GOING TO POTENTIALLY BUILD AND REQUIRE CITY SERVICES BUT IT WOULD SEEM TO GO HAND AND HAND, AT THAT POINT, AS PART OF THE SALES AGREEMENT FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT ON THE 20 SOMETHING ODD LOTS THEY ARE READY AND WILLING TO ACCEPT THESE SERVICES. THAT THAT WOULD WORK QUITE TIMELY FOR THEM. I'LL BE SUPPORTING THIS TODAY AS I HAVE THROUGH THE PROCESS. I APPRECIATE THE CONCERNS FROM THE COMMUNITY. HOWEVER, I BELIEVE THE MITIGATING WORK HAS BEEN DONE TO MAKE THIS PROJECT MOVE FORWARD AND HAPPEN.

MADAM SPEAKER: : THANK YOU. WITH THAT I'LL CALL THE QUESTION. ALL IN FAVOUR? FIRST THE AMENDING MOTION NUMBER FIVE. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? AMENDING MOTION FIVE IS LOST. THE MAIN ITEM NOW. ALL IN FAVOUR IN CONTRARY? THAT'S CARRIED. COUNCILLOR WYATT NOTED IN OPPOSITION. THAT CONCLUDES THIS COMMITTEE, I BELIEVE. ON THE BYLAWS. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE BE READ A FIRST TIME. 54/2025, 78/2025, 83/2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: : ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED.

CLERK: 54/2025, 75/2025, BY-LAW78/2025, 83/2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: : COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I MOVE READ A SECOND TIME. BY-LAW 124/2025, 43/2025, 51/2025, 63/2025, 67/2025, 69/2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR IN CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAW124/2024, 43/2025, 51/2025, 63/2025, 67/2025, 69/2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: : COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT BYLAWS NUMBER 124/2024, 43/2025, 51/2025, 63/2025, 67/2025, 69/2025 BE READ A THIRD TIME AND SAME BE PASSED TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED.

MADAM SPEAKER: : ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED. COUNCILLOR MAYES, COUNCILLOR WYATT ABSENT. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU. AGAIN, MADAM SPEAKER. APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THE FOLLOWING BYLAWS BE READ A FIRST TIME. BY-LAW81/2025 AND 82/2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: : ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAW 81/2025, 82/2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT IS ABSENT.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I MOVE THE BYLAWS 81/2025 AND 82/2025 BE READ A SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAWS 81/2025 AND 82/2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT ABSENT. THAT CONCLUDES THE BYLAWS, I BELIEVE.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: ONE MORE. IF I MAY. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE RULE 50 OPEN PARENTHESES CLOSED OF PROCEDURES BY-LAW50-07 BE SUSPENDED BYLAWS 81/2025 AND 82/2025 BE READ A THIRD TIME AND THE SAME BE PASSED IN ORDER TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED.
MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. THE ABSENCES ARE NOTED. WE MOVE INTO QUESTION PERIOD. ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR FOR COUNCILLOR DUNCAN? ANY QUESTIONS? SEEING NONE THE NEXT IS THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON WATER AND WASTE AND THE ENVIRONMENT. COUNCILLOR EADIE

COUNCILLOR EADIE: YES. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE REPORT OF THE SEPTEMBER 9, 2025, WATER, WASTE AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE REPORT AND MOVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 1-4.

MADAM SPEAKER: THREE IS PULLED. COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW. OTHERS? I CALL THE QUESTION ON 1, 2, AND 4. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. MADAM CLERK ITEM THREE.

CLERK: COMBINE SEWER OVERFLOW C.S.O., JEFFERSON ADMISSION TIMELINE UPDATE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE DO YOU WISH TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WAIT TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUE ON THE WATER, WASTE AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE.

MADAM SPEAKER: : COUNCILLOR MAYES YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: HOPEFULLY THIS IS MY FAREWELL ADDRESS.

MADAM SPEAKER: HEARD THAT BEFORE.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: YOU HAVE. IF THE EARLIER ITEM I TALKED TO WAS SEEN AS A TRIUMPH OR VICTORY THIS CERTAINLY SHOULD BE SEEN AS VERY MUCH THAT. I HASN'T PLANNED TO PULL UP BUT THE MAYOR SAID IT'S SITTING FOR YOU LIKE IT'S ON A BATTING TEE. I FEEL I SHOULD PULL THIS AND TALK BRIEFLY ABOUT IT. PERHAPS NOT THAT BRIEFLY ABOUT IT. COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW HAS BEEN A SOURCE OF PUMP DEBATE HERE SINCE I WAS ELECTED IN 2011. MORE SO, REALLY, IN THE PAST SIX YEARS SINCE THE CITY STAFF FILED THE 1400-PAGE

C.S.O. MASTER PLAN WITH THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT SECOND MICHELLE SQUIRES OF THE DAY. TO HER CREDIT SAID YOU CAN DO THE CHEAPEST OF THE FOUR OPTIONS BUT I'LL GIVE YOU LESS TIME THAN REQUESTING BECAUSE IT'S IMPORTANT TO GET THE WORK DONE. THE DEADLINE WAS CREATED AS 2045. THE CITY SUGGESTED THREE DIFFERENT TIMEFRAMES TO DO THIS DEPENDING ON THE FUNDING REQUIREMENTS. THE MOST NOTABLE OF THESE WAS A 2095 PROJECTED COMPLETION DATE AND INVOLVED A $1.1 BILLION CONTINGENCY. UNPRECEDENTED CONTINGENCY IN DOLLAR AMOUNTS AND IN THE WAY IT WAS BEING APPLIED. THIS ISN'T CONSTRUCTION INFLATION THIS IS JUST PURE CONTINGENCY BUILT IN ON TOP OF THE ALREADY STANDARD CONTINGENCY BUILT IN. IN COMPARISON OF THIS PROGRAM LIKE THE TRANSIT PLAN VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE AND OVER COST TO MAKE THE WHOLE PROGRAM LOOK, FRANKLY, UNFEASIBLE OR IMPOSSIBLE TO DO. TWO ITEMS WORTH NOTING. A FEW MONTHS AGO THE STAFF ACKNOWLEDGED WE NO LONGER WILL APPLY THE $1.1 BILLION CONTINGENCY. FANTASTIC. NOW WE'RE DOWN REALLY TO A $1.1 BILLION PROGRAM IN $2,019, PROJECTED COMPLETION 48 YEARS EARLIER, 2047. WE'RE BASICALLY KEEPING THE PROVINCIAL GUIDELINE. FURTHER GOOD NEWS THEN IN THIS REPORT, BECAUSE I THINK THE MAYOR MOVED OR SECONDED A MOTION OF MINE, PERHAPS, TO ASK THE STAFF. LOOK, THERE ARE BIG THREE PROJECTS. BIG THREE MAKEUP, 400 MILLION OF THE 800 MILLION COST. 3 BEING FERRIER ROAD IN ST. JAMES. MISSION IN COUNCILLOR ALLARD'S WARD, MOSTLY BY ADDING IN ROLAND. I DON'T KNOW THE WARD BOUNDARIES. IT MIGHT GET INTO TRANSCONA AND JEFFERSON WHICH IS UP IN, I BELIEVE, BOTH YOUR WARD, MADAM SPEAKER, AS WELL AS COUNCILLOR EADIE. HE REMINDED ME TIMES ARMSTRONG GOES ACROSS BOTH WARDS. FURTHER GOOD NEWS IN THIS REPORT, WHICH IS THE STAFF ARE SAYING WE THINK WE CAN NOW BRING IN ALL OF THIS WORK BY 2047, WITHOUT REQUIRING FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL HELP IF WE SIMPLY ADJUST FOR CONSTRUCTION INFLATION EACH YEAR AND GO FROM THE CURRENT 30 MILLION UP BY 3% A YEAR. GOOD NEWS INDEED. WE DON'T NEED THAT 60 MILLION A YEAR, AS THE ORIGINAL PROJECTION. WE DO NEED TO ADD 3%. I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO DO THAT IN 2026 BECAUSE WE'RE AT OVER 40 DUE TO SOME WORK BY THE PREVIOUS COUNCIL. COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM AS FINANCE CHAIR. I DON'T THINK WE DO IT IN 27. NEXT YEAR MULTIBUDGET I FLAG EVERYONE WE START BUMPING THIS UP 3 MILLION A YEAR OR SO FOR 20 YEARS. THIS WILL GROW TO ABOUT 50 MILLION BUT IT'S CONSTRUCTION INFLATION, AS OUR LATE FRIEND COUNCILLOR SHIREWOOD WANTS US TO NOTE. REALLY, VERY GOOD NEWS ITEM HERE. AND THE WAY THIS HAS BEEN DESIGNED IS YOU DO -- WE WOULD SAY IT'S WARDAGNOSTIC. DO THE BIGGEST PROJECTS FIRST. THE ONES THAT GET THE

MOST REDUCTION IN THE FLOW. THERE'S A REASON WE DO IT IN A PARTICULAR SEQUENCE AND THIS IS THE REASON. WE WILL START GETTING THE REDUCTION IN FLOW QUITE SOON. ARMSTRONG IS BIG ON THAT, FERRIER ROAD. OBVIOUSLY THERE'S A WHOLE COMPLEXITY BROUGHT ON BY THE GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PART OF THIS BUT COUNCILLOR ALLARD AND I HAVE TALKED AND THAT IS LITERALLY 15-$16 MILLION IN GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WORK. REALLY THE LAST THING TO NOTE IS I WOULD SAY IS -- AGAIN, I MAY NOT BE HERE BUT IN THE NEXT MULTIYEAR BUDGET WE NEED TO INFLATION ADJUST THIS. KEEP THAT GOING AND WE CAN GET THIS THING HOME BY 2045. TWO FINAL POINTS. THE WORK AT JEFFERSON, REMEMBER WE STARTED AWARDING THIS IN EARLY 2020. IT WAS WAY UNDER BUDGET. STAFF SAID IT'S GONE WELL. IT'S 90 MILLIONISH ON $170 MILLION ESTIMATED TAB. GOOD NEWS. WELL UNDER BUDGET AT JEFFERSON. THAT LEADS US TO SAY I THINK WE CAN GET THIS HOME ONLY WITH CONSTRUCTION INFLATION ADJUSTMENTS BY 2047. CONGRATULATIONS TO COUNCILLOR EADIE AS CHAIR. IT'S A GOOD NEWS ITEM. FRANKLY. FINAL POINT. WHEN I WAS RANTING ON ABOUT NONE OF US WILL BE HERE WHEN WE FINISH IN 2095. COUNCILLOR EADIE ONCE SAID, MISHEARD ME AND THOUGHT I SAID 2047. I VERY MUCH LOOK FORWARD TO BOAST OF US BEING IN MID 80s, GOING TO DRIVE THE FINAL SPIKE OR WHATEVER STEP IS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THIS DAMN THING AND THE SEWER DISTRICT IN 2045, 2046 OR 2047. I WILL MAKE SURE TO JOIN COUNCILLOR EADIE IN OUR MID 80s AS WE FINISH THIS OFF AND IT'LL BE GOOD NEWS. IT'S A GOOD ENVIRONMENTAL INITIATIVE. WE ARE ROLLING ON THIS NOW. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER:: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I RISE TO MAKE A COUPLE OF POINTS. FIRST OF ALL, TO ACKNOWLEDGE NO COUNCILLOR HAS BEEN MORE COMMITTED TO THE SEWER OVERFLOW PROGRAM THAN COUNCILLOR MAYES. I THINK WE RECOGNIZE THAT TODAY. I WANT TO SAY WITH THE GOOD WORK OF OUR CITY STAFF ON TIM AND CYNTHIA AND THE ENTIRE TEAM THERE, AND WITH THE ONGOING CALLS AND PROBING AND QUESTIONING AND ASKING FOR INFORMATION FROM COUNCILLOR MAYES, WE HAVE A REPORT BEFORE US THAT NOW SAYS WE CAN GET THERE BY 2047 INSTEAD OF 2095 OR WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE. THIS IS GOOD NEWS. IT'S GOOD NEWS REPORT. I DO ALSO WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR EADIE AS THE CHAIR OF THE WATER AND WASTE COMMITTEE FOR ONGOING LEADERSHIP HERE AND I'LL BE VOTING IN SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. CLOSE OFF, COUNCILLOR EADIE

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THANKS FOR THE ENCOURAGING WORDS, MAYOR AND COUNCILLOR MAYES. THIS IS A GOOD NEWS STOREY. A LOT OF WORK WAS DONE, AS BEEN DESCRIBED, AND I JUST WANT TO PUT A CAUTION ON SOMETHING. AT FERRIER ROAD THE MAIN TRUNK SEWER IS GOING DOWN RUTLAND. THERE'S OTHER WORK GOING ON, AS WELL, TO SEPARATE CHANNEL WATER TO THE OUTLET AND THE RIVER FOR THAT PARTICULAR C.S.O. AREA. WE GOT REALLY GOOD DEAL ON THAT TUNNEL. IT'S A FIRST TIME CONTRACTOR DOING THE TUNNELLING IN THAT PROJECT. THE TUNNELLING THAT HAPPENED IN JEFFERSON EAST CAME IN UNDER BUDGET, AS WELL. I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT SOMETHING. THE SAME COMPANY WAS BIDDING ON THE PIPE THAT'S -- UNDERGROUND TUNNELLING PIPE THAT'S REQUIRED AT OUR PEMBINA HIGHWAY THING. THAT BID IS SUBSTANTIALLY HIGHER. SUBSTANTIALLY. WHILE WE'VE BEEN LUCKY ENOUGH TO PROCURE SOME DECENT PRICES, THEY WERE GUESTIMATES WHEN WE SUBMITTED THAT PLAN TO THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. THEY ARE GUESSTIMATES BECAUSE IT'S OUT HOW MANY YEARS? 3% CONSTRUCTION INFLATION PER YEAR, WELL, I CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT THE NUMBERS ARE BUT COUNCILLOR SCHREYER WOULD POINT OUT. IF YOU AVERAGE IT OUT IT'S SUBSTANTIALLY MORE THAN 3% ON VARIOUS CONSTRUCTION. ESPECIALLY ON VERTICAL CONSTRUCTION. THERE'S A MOTION I'VE SEEN FROM MY COLLEAGUES ON WATER AND WASTE AND ENVIRONMENT COMING UP. THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN VERTICAL AND THE HORIZONTAL EVEN THOUGH IT'S UNDERGROUND. VERTICAL CONSTRUCTION IS WAY DIFFERENT AND THE INFLATION RELATED TO IT. THIS IS A GOOD NEWS STOREY. THANK YOU, AGAIN, TO COUNCILLOR MAYES FOR THE WORK. I CONTINUE TO BE DILIGENT ON THIS TO MAKE SURE THE MONEY IS THERE BECAUSE FOR ME AND FOR EVERYBODY IN THIS ROOM, THIS IS ABOUT NOT POLLUTING THE RED RIVER. BECAUSE WHEN WE HAVE THOSE OVERFLOWS HAPPEN AND HIGH RAIN LEVELS, THERE STILL WILL BE SOME, MADAM SPEAKER, BUT THERE'S A SUBSTANTIAL REDUCTION AND WITH THE ARMSTRONG AREA WORK IS ALREADY LOOKING AT GOING WITH THIS. WE'LL BE ALMOST AT OUR 85% ABOUT ONLY ANOTHER THREE OR WHATEVER PERCENT ONCE THE PROJECTS ARE DONE. THERE WILL BE MORE DISCUSSION AT WATER AND WASTE. PLEASE, THIS IS A VOTE FOR THIS GOOD NEWS STOREY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. WITH THAT I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM THREE. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. NO BYLAWS UNDER THIS COMMITTEE OR MOTIONS. LET'S GO INTO QUESTION PERIOD. ANY QUESTIONS

FOR COUNCILLOR EADIE? WE DO HAVE A MOTION THAT'S BEEN CIRCULATED. GO BACK A MOMENT. THIS IS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MAYES AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. IT'S AN AUTOMATIC REFERRAL. REGARDING THE COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW RELIEF AUTOMATIC REFERRAL. NOW ONTO QUESTION PERIOD. ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR? ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR? SEEING NONE. STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY SERVICES. COUNCILLOR SANTOS.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: THANK YOU. I RISE TO INTRODUCE THE REPORT DATED SEPTEMBER 8, 2025, AND ADOPTION OF CONSENT AGENDA ITEM FROM 1, 2, AND 3. I SUSPECT SOMETHING MIGHT BE PULLED.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR GILROY. ITEM TWO IS PULLED ENCAMPMENT BY LAW ENFORCEMENT. THAT'S IT. CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM ONE AN THREE? ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED. CLERK ITEM TWO.

CLERK: ITEM TWO, ENCAMPMENT BY-LAWENFORCEMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR SANTOS TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: SURE. TO DATE IT LOOKS LIKE WE'LL BE DEBATING THE ENCAMPMENT BY-LAW ENFORCEMENT. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR GILROY FOR BRINGING THIS FORWARD TOGETHER WITH COUNCILLOR ROLLINS THROUGH COUNCIL ALL THE WAY TO SPC ON COMMUNITY SERVICES AND EPC. WE'LL NOTE THERE ARE REVISIONS MADE DONE AT COMMUNITY SERVICES THAT WAS SPEARHEADED BY COUNCILLOR GILROY, WHICH WE ARE VERY SUPPORTIVE OF. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR, OBVIOUSLY, THE DISCUSSION THAT'S GOING TO OCCUR TODAY BEFORE I ADD ANYMORE COMMENTS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR GILROY, TO YOU.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WOULD LIKE THE TAKE THIS TIME TO ACTUALLY SPEAK AND MAKE CLEAR ON WHY I'M PUTTING THIS MOTION FORWARD. I DO WANT EVERYONE TO KNOW THAT I'VE BEEN DOING THIS WORK FOR OVER TWO YEARS. I HAVE BEEN SOMEBODY THAT'S GONE TO ENCAMPMENTS, LARGE ONE, MEDIUMS, AND SO I HAVE BEEN THERE. I HAVE ACTUALLY CLEANED UP MY OWN ENCAMPMENTS AND I HAVE WORKED WITH PEOPLE THAT ARE RIGHT EVERY SINGLE DAY IN THE LAST WEEK, I HAVE MET

WITH PEOPLE, WORKED WITH PEOPLE THAT ARE RIGHT NOW EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS. I DO NOT TAKE THIS LIGHTLY. I DO THINK THAT AS GOVERNMENTS WE HAVE TO SHIFT AND PUT SOME PRESSURE AND PUT PEOPLE WHERE THEY NEED TO BE AND THAT IS WHERE THE SUPPORTS ARE. RIGHT NOW THEY ARE NOT. I WANT TO SHARE WITH YOU -- BECAUSE MY EXPERIENCE IS NOT WHAT SOME OF THE SPEAKERS WERE TALKING ABOUT TODAY. I WANT TO SHARE WITH YOU WHAT MY EXPERIENCES ARE AND KIND OF HIGHLIGHT SOME OF THE CONCERNS THAT WERE RAISED TODAY. I THINK WE'RE ALL WANTING THE SAME THING BUT I THINK WE HAVE A DIFFERENT, MAYBE, PATH TO GET THERE. FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN THEY TALK ABOUT THE INTERFERENCE OF OUR WAY HOME STRATEGY, I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO UNDERSTAND. [CAPTIONER SWITCH] I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO UNDERSTAND NOW THAT WE'RE SEEING PEOPLE HOUSED IN APARTMENTS, WE'RE NOTICING IT'S NOT SOLVING THE ISSUE. WE'RE HAVING MASSIVE ISSUES IN COMMUNITY WHERE PEOPLE ARE NOW BEING HOUSED. THEY ARE IN LOCAL PART. PEOPLE ARE IN LOCAL PARKS AND SO IN ORDER FOR OUR WAY HOME TO BE SUCCESSFUL AND I'VE TALKED WITH THE PROVINCE, SO THEY'VE HEARD MY CONCERNS AND I THINK THEY UNDERSTAND THAT I THINK THEY KNOW BECAUSE THEY'RE GETTING ALL THE RESIDENTS CALLING AS WELL. IN ORDER FOR THEM TO BE SUCCESSFUL TO PUT THE PARAMETERS AROUND THERE TO REALLY GET PEOPLE TO GO TO THE AREAS WHERE THERE IS ACTUAL SUPPORTS WHERE AND THIS IS NOT A HOUSING ISSUE, MADAM SPEAKER, THIS IS AN ADDICTION ISSUE. AND THIS ISN'T COCAINE AND THIS ISN'T ALCOHOL, THIS IS A VERY DIFFERENT KIND OF DRUG THAT'S TAKING AN IMPACT IN OUR CITY THAT CHANGES PEOPLES' BEHAVIORS THAT COULD POTENTIALLY REALLY HARM THEMSELVES AND OTHERS AND I, MADAM SPEAKER, AM NOT STEPPING OVER IT ANYMORE. I MADAM SPEAKER IS SAYING THAT THOSE PEOPLE THAT ARE EXPERIENCING THIS NEED ASKED US TO STEP IN BECAUSE THEY CAN'T DO IT FOR THEMSELVES. SO I FEEL THAT WE'RE SETTING SOME PARAMETERS AND WHERE WE THINK PEOPLE NEED TO BE IN ORDER TO GET INCOME SUPPORTS, I HOPE THE PROVINCE IS LISTENING TO US. I DO THINK WE NEED A MANAGED ENCAMPMENT SITE. I DO THINK WE NEED CHANGES IN OUR SHELTER SYSTEM AND I ACTUALLY THINK THEY'RE LISTENING TO US BECAUSE THEY'RE UNDERSTANDING SOME OF THE CHALLENGES WE'RE FEELING IN AND AROUND THESE HOMES WHERE WE'RE PUTTING PEOPLE. SO ANOTHER ISSUE THAT PEOPLE TALKED ABOUT IS HUMAN RIGHTS. WELL, I GUESS I WANT TO TALK BUT I DON'T THINK IT'S A HUMAN RIGHT TO BE SITTING OUT THERE AND NOBODY CARING. THAT HAS BECOME SUCH A LEVEL OF US JUST LOOKING AWAY AND NOT DOING ANYTHING THAN ACTUALLY TRYING TO HELP PEOPLE. SO THAT'S MY CONCERN. THAT'S WHAT WE'VE BEEN

DOING FOR THE LAST LITTLE WHILE AND, YEAH, I WAS ONE OF THOSE PEOPLE. I WAS TRYING IT OUT. BUT YOU KNOW WHAT, IT'S NOT WORKING. LET'S PIVOT AND LET'S FIND A SOLUTION AND FINDING A SOLUTION IS INCOME SUPPORTS, ADDICTION RECOVERY AND HOUSING. THAT'S THE SOLUTION, MADAM SPEAKER. SO WE NEED PEOPLE TO GO TO THOSE PLACES LIKE SHELTERS, LIKE TRANSITIONAL CENTERS WHICH I THINK THE PROVINCE IS REALLY LOOKING AT NAVIGATING CENTERS WHICH REALLY LOOKS AT PUTTING PEOPLE WHERE THE SUPPORTS ARE. THERE WAS TALKING ABOUT A DISCONNECT TO SOCIAL SERVICE, PEOPLE AREN'T BEING TAKEN CARE OF. DON'T FORGET, THIS IS NOT A HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUE IF PEOPLE HAVE SHELTER, HOUSING, AND EVEN IF IT WAS AN ENCAMPMENT SUPPORT THIS IS NOT A HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUE. WHAT I THINK IS A HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUE AND WE TALKED ABOUT MURDERED, MISSING, AND INDIGENOUS WOMEN. THEY TALKED ABOUT TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION. RIGHT NOW, YEARS FROM NOW, WE HAVE MANY PEOPLE THAT ARE INDIGENOUS THAT ARE ON THE STREETS AND WE'RE LOOKING AWAY, MADAM SPEAKER. WE'RE LOOKING AWAY BECAUSE WE THINK IT'S A RIGHT. I THINK IT'S A RIGHT THAT THOSE PEOPLE GET HELP AND SUPPORTS AND THAT THEY SAY I'M A FACE HERE AND I'M NOT SOMEBODY JUST TO WALK BY EVERY DAY. RIGHT. SO LIKE THAT'S HOW I FEEL. I FEEL REALLY PASSIONATE ABOUT THIS AND, YOU KNOW, THEY TALKED ABOUT FAMILY NETWORKS, MADAM SPEAKER. WANT TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THE FAMILY NETWORKS I'VE SEEN. THE FAMILY NETWORKS ARE THE WOMAN THAT HAS A TATTOOED ON HER FACE BECAUSE SHE'S OWNED. THAT'S THE FAMILY AND YOU KNOW WHAT SHE'S DOING BECAUSE SHE'S OWNED, SHE'S GETTING SOLD, MADAM SPEAKER. SHE'S GETTING RAPED. THAT'S THE FAMILY NETWORKS THAT I'M SEEING ON THE STREET. I'M SEEING CHILDREN ON THE STREETS AND WE'RE OKAY WITH IT. SO WHAT DO I WANT TO SEE? I WANT TO SEE OUR OUTREACH WORKERS, THE OUTREACH WORKERS SAY THAT THEY DON'T WANT TO GO AND CHASE PEOPLE. I WANT THEM TO IF THEY HAVE TO BECAUSE THEY'RE THE OUTREACH WORKERS AND IF THEY CAN CONNECT WITH THOSE PEOPLE BETTER THAN I CAN, I WANT THEM TO CHASE THEM AND CONNECT THEM AND TRY TO GET THEM THE SUPPORTS THEY NEED. MADAM SPEAKER, IT WOULD BE A LOT EASIER IF WE HAVE A TRIAGE CENTER, IF WE HAD SHELTER THAT LET'S PEOPLE STAY OVERNIGHT AND LEAVE THEIR BELONGINGS. IF WE HAD ADDICTION AND MENTAL HEALTH SUPPORTS IN A SANCTIONED ENCAMPMENT AREA THAT'S MANAGED AND PROVIDES ALL THOSE LEVELS OF OPPORTUNITIES RIGHT THERE, THAT'S HOW WE'RE GOING TO GET PEOPLE OUT OF ADDICTION INTO RECOVERY, MADAM SPEAKER. AGAIN, I'M GOING TO SAY THIS IS NOT A HOUSING ISSUE BECAUSE WE'RE LEARNING REALLY FAST THAT THIS DOESN'T GO AWAY WITH HOUSING AND YOU JUST HAVE TO COME TO MY WORD

TO FIND OUT. SO I REALLY WANT YOU TO THINK THAT THIS IS OUR MOMENT AND PIVOTED TIME, THIS IS NOT ABOUT NOT CARING. I CARE VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. I'VE BROUGHT FOOD TO PEOPLE. I'VE CLOTHED THEM. I'VE WATCHED AFTER THEM. I'VE HELPED THEM MOVE INTO HOUSING. I'VE DONE THAT, MADAM SPEAKER. SO I CARE VERY MUCH. AND WHEN PEOPLE ARE RECOVERED, THEY'RE TELLING ME THAT WE NEED TO CARE ABOUT THESE PEOPLE. THAT RIGHT NOW WE'RE NOT SO THERE ARE WE NEED TO CHANGE OUR WAY OF SPEAKING AND IT'S A CHALLENGE FOR THE CITY BECAUSE THAT'S NOT OUR RESPONSIBILITY, RIGHT. I DO WANT TO SAY I'VE HAD REALLY GOOD CONVERSATIONS WITH THE PROVINCE AND THEY'RE KNOWING AND UNDERSTANDING THE CHALLENGES THAT WE HAVE AND THEY'RE COMING TO THE REALIZATION THAT THEY DO NEED TO RETHINK HOW OUR HOMELESS AND OUR SHELTER ISSUE WITH PEOPLE BECAUSE THEIR SUCCESS IS GOING TO RELY ON IT BECAUSE NOW WE'RE SEEING ALL THE ENCAMPMENTS FROM ONE AREA SFR NOW WE'RE SEEING ALL THE ENCAMPMENT ISSUES THAT WE SEE IN ONE AREA AND TRAVEL TO AN APARTMENT BUILDING WHERE WE'RE HOUSING THEM. HOUSING PEOPLE. AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE PEOPLE COMING IN AND OUT IF WE'RE NOT DEALING WITH THE ADDICTIONS BECAUSE THEY CAN'T BE GOOD TENANTS WHEN YOU'RE ADDICTED ON SOME OF THESE DRUGS. SO I WANT TO REMIND BECAUSE WE'VE HEARD FROM SOMEBODY NATIONALLY AND NATIONALLY PROGRAM AND NAR NOT LIKING WHAT THEY'RE DOING BUT THEY'RE NOT HERE, MADAM SPEAKER AND THEY'RE NOT LIVING BESIDE THE PEOPLE IN MY WORD THAT CAN'T USE THE PARKS AND WHEN PEOPLE ARE TELLING ME THAT THERE'S NO WEAPONS AND DRUGS IN PARKS, THEY NEED TO COME ALONG WITH ME BECAUSE I CAN TELL YOU I CONSTANTLY HAVE ISSUES AT FURBY PARK AND THERE'S A FAMILY WITH LITTLE KIDS THAT LIVE RIGHT BESIDE THERE THAT CAN'T USE THE PARK AND I DON'T WANT THEM TO USE THE PARK RIGHT NOW BECAUSE IT'S JUST NOT SAFE. AND I'VE SEEN NEEDLES, CRACK PIPES, PACKAGES THAT I DON'T KNOW WHAT'S THERE AND IF I'VE SEEN IT LUCKILY I'M CLEANING IT UP AND NOT SOMEBODY THAT'S JUST HAPPILY PLAYING BECAUSE THE REALITY IS IF A CHILD EVEN JUST TOUCHES A PACKAGE OF FENTANYL THEY CAN HAVE AN OVERDOSE AND I WANT TO BE PROACTIVE NOT REACTIVE. SO, MADAM SPEAKER, I REALLY WANT EVERYONE TO UNDERSTAND THIS IS A PLACE OF COMPASSION. THIS IS A PLACE OF US SAYING WE NEED TO DO A BETTER JOB AND WE NEED TO DO A BETTER PROCESS AND I DO THINK THAT EVERYONE IS COMING TOGETHER. THE PROVINCE. I THINK THEY'VE HEARD THE DISCUSSIONS. I KNOW COUNCILLOR EADIE'S TALKED TO THEM. I HOPE YOU SUPPORT THIS BECAUSE I'VE SPOKE TO THE GUIDELINES.

MADAM SPEAKER:FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT. >> Councillor Gilroy: I JUST WANT TO THANK MY COLLEAGUE FROM CITY CENTER. YOU MAY HAVE HEARD AND THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, I KNOW I'M HARD TO CHAIR AND COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW IS DEPUTY SPEAKER. SO WE DIDN'T MEAN TO INTERRUPT OUR COLLEAGUE, WE MEANT TO HONOR HER BY SPEAKING THAT SHE'S BEEN ON THIS FILE SITTING ON THIS FILE SO IT WAS GOOD TO SEE A COUPLE OF THINGS. NUMBER ONE, IT'S ONE OF THOSE THINGS THAT I WISH WE HAD SPENT A LOT MORE TIME ON THIS TERM AND IT'S ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE SHOULD HAVE BEEN DEBATING IN JULY. IT WAS NICE TO SEE BOTH THE CHAIR OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICE WHO HAS THE LONGEST SOME OF THE LARGEST ENCAMPMENTS IN THE PROVINCE IN HER WARD AND FINALLY THE MAYOR STEP UP AND CO-OPED THE MOTION BECAUSE BOY THIS IS A PRIORITY IN PLAN. THIS GETS US CLOSER TO DELINEATING THE PLAN THAT WE OUGHT TO HAVE HAD WHEN THE PROVINCE CAME OUT WITH YOUR WAY HOME. THIS MOTION AT THE END OF THE DAY, IT WILL RESULT IN A REPORT WHICH IF ADOPTED BY COUNCIL WOULD BE A CLEAR AND IDENTIFIABLE DIRECTION ON WHAT WE'RE DOING IN ENCAMPMENTS. AND I KNOW THIS MOTION TOO COMBINES SOME OF WHAT COUNCILLOR BROWATY HAD BROUGHT FORWARD IN HIS COMMUNITY COMMITTEE AND COUNCILLOR BROWATY HAS MADE MORE THAN ONE MOTION WITH RESPECT TO ENCAMPMENTS OVER THE YEARS AS IS OTHER COUNCILLORS AS OF HIGH. AS WE DEBATE THIS, IN THE MIDST OF OUR DEBATE AND COUNCILLOR GILROY AND I HAVE TAKEN IT ON THE CHIN. THEY HAVE BEEN VERY SUPPORTIVE EDITORIALS BUT THERE HAVE BEEN OTHER E-MAILS AND INCLUDING THE DELEGATIONS TODAY THAT SAY IT'S GETTING IT WRONG. I'LL EMPHASIZE AGAIN THAT WE'RE ASKING FOR A REPORT BUT AS WE DEBATE THIS THE PREMIER IS EXTENDING THE TIME WITH A VERY CONTROVERSIAL AND INTOXICATED PERSONS ACT AND EVEN MORE CONTROVERSIAL MAIN STREET PROJECT HOLDING CELLS. THERE IS A GRAY AREA HERE. I HAVE BEEN CRITICIZED BY MY STANCE AND I JOINED I THINK LAST TERM DURING THE PANDEMIC WHERE THE HUMAN RIGHTS OBSERVER MAKING THE SHIFT. I JOINED IT. I THINK I'M THE ONLY COUNCILLOR THAT JOINED THAT EXTENSION OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES TO ENCAMPMENTS. AND I JOINED IT BECAUSE IT OFFERED A FRAMEWORK IN A MANNER THAT I COULD UNDERSTAND WHAT I HAVE SEEN BECAUSE LIKE COUNCILLOR GILROY, I TOO HAVE STAND SHOULDER TO SHOULDER WITH MY RESIDENTS WHO'VE HAD HUMAN TRAFFICKING RAPE TENTS FOR MONTHS ON END. PEOPLE WITH PARAMILITARY GEAR COMING UP FROM THE RIVER BANK BEHIND GRADE SCHOOL KIDS' APARTMENTS. AND NOTHING WAS DONE INCLUDING CAMERAS, MEDIA, QUESTIONING WHY THE CITY WAS PASSING THE BUCK TO THE LEGISLATURE AND SOMEONE CAME UP

BEHIND ME WITH A GUN. MADAM SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR GILROY HAS EXPERIENCED THE SAME THING. THE RAPE TENTS, THE HUMAN TRAFFICKING, I CAN'T TELL YOU HOW MANY TIMES I'VE SAID TO POLICE THIS ISN'T EVEN THE ENCAMPMENT I'M WORRIED ABOUT. COME WITH ME. AND THEY'RE LIKE OH, NO, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, DON'T GO OUT, RIGHT. IT IS NOT SAFE. AND I'M LIKE NO KIDDING BUT I'VE GOT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL KIDS THERE AND THEY HEAR THE SCREAMS OF SUBSISTENCE SEX TRADE EVERY NIGHT. CAN'T SLEEP BEFORE THEY GO TO SCHOOL THE NEXT DAY. AND SO THIS GIVES RISE TO VERY CLAIM MOTION ON THE PLAYGROUNDS, THE SPRAY PADS, THE COMMUNITY CENTER SPACES, AND THE PUBLIC REALM THAT WE'VE SEEN REPEATED SOCIAL DISORDER AND DISRUPTION IN. DAYCARES ACROSS THE CITY HAVE WRITTEN INTO THEIR COUNCILLORS SAYING, WE CANNOT PLAY. AND THERE IS IMPORTANT LEGISLATION. WE NEED TO BE AWARE OF. THE PROVINCE NEEDS TO BE AWARE OF WHEN WE TALK ABOUT WHY THEY'RE WRITING COUNCIL BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO BRING THE KIDS OUTSIDE TO THOSE PLAYGROUNDS. AND SO THEN IF THERE ARE NEEDLES THEY HAVE NO CHOICE BECAUSE THE PROVINCE HASN'T CHANGED THE LEGISLATION. IT'S JUST A SERIES OF MASSIVE BINDERS. THOSE OF US THAT WERE SCHOOL TRUSTEES THAT WE PROBABLY KNOW IT'S ACTUALLY EASIER TO SET UP A SCHOOL IN THIS PROVINCE THAN IT IS A DAYCARE, THERE ARE MORE REQUIREMENTS AND I'M NOT EVEN KIDDING. I'M VERY PROUD TO STAND SHOULDER TO SHOULDER WITH COUNCILLOR GILROY ALL THE TIME BUT IT IS THE SPIRIT OF BRAVERY IN THIS THAT I THINK IS REALLY IMPORTANT. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR US TO WHO HAVE ALL WORKED THROUGH THE PANDEMIC TOGETHER TO UNDERSTAND WHERE WE'RE AT AND UNDERSTAND THE GRAY AREA AND UNDERSTAND HOW WE'RE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD. I WANT TO GET THE REPORT. WHAT I ALSO WANT THOUGH IS I WOULD LIKE TO VOTE ON SOME OF THIS LINE BY LINE. IT HAS BEEN CHANGED. THERE ARE THINGS THAT I THINK LIKE ROMAN NUMERAL EIGHT UNDER ITEM NUMBER ONE ON THE PROHIBITION OF ENCAMPMENTS AND PRIORITIZED ENFORCEMENT IN THE FOLLOWING AREAS WHERE LIFE SAFETY ISSUES EXIST. WELL, MADAM SPEAKER, WE DO THIS. UNDER MIKE JACK AND BRIAN BOWMAN AND WITH THE HELP OF MITCH BOURBONAIRE WE DID VACATE THE ENCAMPMENT RIGHT ON THE FOOT OF THE MANITOBA METIS FEDERATION FOR LIFE SAFETY ISSUES. WE HAVE DONE THIS AND WE CONTINUE TO DO THIS. SO THERE ARE ELEMENTS HERE THAT I DON'T FEEL I CAN SUPPORT INCLUDING THAT THE PUBLIC SERVICE BE DIRECTED TO PRIORITIZE ENFORCEMENT ON ALL OTHER CITY PROPERTIES AND SPACES BETWEEN THE FOLLOWING TIMES WHEN AFTER SUNRISE AND ONE HOUR BEFORE SUNSET INCLUDING THAT THE PUBLIC SERVICE BE DIRECTED TO WORK WITH OUTREACH PROVIDERS TO GIVE REASONABLE NOTICE OF INTENDED

ENFORCEMENT TO ENCAMPMENT RESIDENTS AND NOTIFY THEM OF SHELTER OPTIONS THAT VACATED ENCAMPMENTS WILL BE REMEDIATED AND THAT EXISTING THROUGH THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER BECAUSE THIS COUNCIL DID IS NOT PROVIDED ANY FURTHER BUDGET. SO, MADAM SPEAKER, IF WE COULD GET A LINE BY LINE

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCIL SANTOS MOVES EXTENSION. I DO WANT TO SAY WE CAN VOTE SEPARATELY ON EACH CLAUSE BUT NOT THE SUB CLAUSES SO KEEP THAT IN MIND WHEN MAKING YOUR REMARKS RIGHT NOW. >> Councillor Rollins: WOULD IT BE THE PROHIBITION OF ENCAMPMENTS ENFORCEMENT AS ONE CHUNK?

MADAM SPEAKER:THAT'S RIGHT. >> Councillor Rollins: NOT THE SUBCLAUSES.

MADAM SPEAKER:EXTENSION OF TIME TWO MINUTES, ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY CARRIED. >> Councillor Rollins: WELL, THEN IF THAT IS THE CASE, I WILL THEN VOTE LIKELY JUST FOR THE REPORT AND GO FROM THERE. BUT I DO WANT TO SEE THE REPORT BECAUSE I DO WANT TO SEE AND I DON'T SEE WHY WE CAN'T DELINEATE THE INTERIM ENCAMPMENT PROCESS. THE MY WARD RESIDENTS DESERVE FRANK AND TRANSPARENT INFORMATION. WE'VE DELETED THAT WEBSITE. I HAVE ANOTHER CONTRACT HAPPENING WITH SYLOME. COUNCILLORS LIKE GILROY AND I HAVE NO IDEA WHERE THE BUDGET'S COMING FROM. IT'S AT THE MERCY OF THE C.A.O. OR WE'RE PAYING FOR IT OURSELVES AND THIS, YOU KNOW, THE GARBAGE PIECE, WELL, IT DIDN'T WORK OUT THIS SUMMER FOR OSBOURNE BUSINESS, DOWNTOWN BIZ, WEST BROADWAY, SO WHY WOULD IT WORK OUT EVEN IF WE DIRECT IT?

MADAM SPEAKER: OKAY. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WYATT AND THEN COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW AND COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR GILROY FOR THE WORK SHE'S DONE ON THIS MATTER. I THINK THIS IS YOU COULD ARGUE A CLASH OF HUMAN RIGHTS OF THOSE STRUGGLING IN THE ENCAMPMENTS BUT ALSO THE RIGHTS OF THOSE WHO WISH TO ENJOY PARKS SAFELY AND TO LIVE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD SAFELY ARE BEING IMPUGNED BY THOSE WHO ARE STRUGGLING WITH MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION ISSUES. AND SO I THINK SHE'S TRYING TO STRIKE A BALANCE HERE FROM WHAT I'VE READ AND WHAT'S BEEN OUT THERE SINCE THIS HAS COME FORWARD EVEN IN THE NATIONAL NEWS THAT THE ATTENTION THAT THIS MATTER GOT HAS RECEIVED THAT

LEGALLY ACTUALLY MOST LAWYERS OF THE OPINION THAT THIS WILL STAND ACTUALLY IN THE COURTS BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT IT IS A BALANCE BETWEEN RIGHTS OF CITIZENS TRYING TO STRIKE THAT BALANCE AND I APPRECIATE WHAT SHE'S TRYING TO DO. EVERY CHALLENGE I GUESS I HAVE WHEN THIS ISSUE COMES UP AND THE FRUSTRATION I'VE SPOKEN TO BEFORE AND THE FACT THAT SINCE JUNE OF 2019, THIS COUNCIL SPEARHEADED UNDER BRINE BOWMAN, UNDER THE PREVIOUS CONSERVATIVE GOVERNMENT, UNDER THE LIBERAL GOVERNMENT A TASKFORCE, THE ILL LIFT DRUG TASKFORCE AND IT WAS EVOLVED BEING VERY WELL-KNOWN RECOGNIZED RESPECTIVE CITIZENS AS WELL AS EXPERTS IN THE FIELD AND THEY CAME FORWARD AND THEY PRODUCED A REPORT THAT WAS ISSUED IN JUNE OF 2019 A VERY CONFIDENTIAL REPORT. ONE WITH RECOMMENDATIONINGS FAR REACHING AND THAT IF IMPLEMENTED WOULD HAVE A MASSIVE IMPACT OF WHAT'S HAPPENING OUT THERE TODAY. WHEN I ASKED ONE OF THE CITIZEN MEMBERS DOCTOR WHY IN THE REPORT WAS THERE NOT THE WHO, WHAT, WHERE, WHY, WHO'S GOING TO DO WHAT AND WHEN HOW AND BY WHAT TIME? HIS RESPONSE TO ME WAS, RUSS, THAT'S WHAT THE GOVERNMENTS WANTED. THEY DIDN'T WANT THAT LEVEL OF ACCOUNTABILITY IN THEIR REPORT. SO RIGHT NOW WE HAVE IN THIS COUNTRY HAPPENING A MASSIVE URBAN HEALTH CRISIS IN EVERY CITY. AND WHAT IS IT GOING TO TAKE. IT'S REALLY NOT THAT LONG AGO IF YOU RECALL WHEN WE WERE DEALING WITH THE SMALL ENCAMPMENTS. THE TENTS GOING UP HERE AND THERE AND NOW ALL OF A SUDDEN IT'S JUST EXPLODED AND IT'S GOING TO GET WORSE. AND YET THE SOLUTIONS ARE SO CLEAR. AS A MATTER OF FACT EVERY CITY I WOULD SAY EXCEPT SAVE ALBERTA. RIGHT NOW ALBERTA IS LEADING THE COUNTRY. THEY ARE INVESTING IN PREVENTION, EDUCATION, AND TREATMENT. THEY ARE NOT RUSHING TO OPEN DRUG USE SITES, SAFE DRUG SITES. THAT'S THE VC MODEL. IDEALLY THAT COMES LAST. FIRST, YOU INVEST IN THE FACILITIES. RIGHT NOW WE HAVE A PROVINCE AND AS I SAID EARLIER, THIS IS SOMEWHAT PERSONAL BECAUSE WHEN I NEEDED HELP, I WAS ABLE TO GET IT BECAUSE I HAD A FAMILY WHO COULD AFFORD A $20,000 A MONTH BILL TO GO TO REHAB FACILITY. A REHAB FACILITY BY THE WAY FOLKS DOESN'T EXIST ANYMORE. WHY? BECAUSE THIS PROVINCE CAN SUPPORT IT. THE ONLY DECENT REHAB FACILITY NOW IF YOU WANT TO GO TO IS OUT OF THIS PROVINCE BASICALLY AND THAT'S SAD. THAT IS SAD. ALBERTA IS BUILDING I CALL THEM REHAB RECOVERY CENTERS, I ALSO CALL THEM HEALING CENTERS. PLACES WHERE PEOPLE CAN GO AND GET HEALING AND HELP. BUT SOMEBODY ASKED FOR HELP. THEY WANT TO GET THAT HELP RIGHT NOW. THEY CAN'T WAIT. AND I'VE HAD FRIENDS COME TO ME AND PEOPLE WHO HAVE THEIR CHILDREN STLUGLING. FRIENDS WHO THEMSELVES ARE STRUGGLING BUT THEY DON'T

HAVE THE MONEY. THEY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO PAY AND I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY TO THEM. I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY TO THEM. PUT YOUR NAME ON A WAITING LIST. THE PROBLEM WITH THAT IS IN THE 30, 40, TWO THREE MONTHS THEY WAIT TO GET ON A LOUSY 28-DAY PROGRAM OFFERED BY THE PROVINCE, THEY'RE BACK OUT THERE AGAIN AND WHETHER THEY'LL BE OF THE SAME MIND WHEN THEY GET CALLED UP, WHO KNOWS. MOST OFTEN, QUITE OFTEN NOT. WHEN SOMEBODIES AND FOR HELP, YOU LITERALLY HAVE TO GRAB THEM AND YOU PUT THEM IN A DETOX FACILITY. SOME SORT OF MANDATORY DETOX OR LEGISLATION COMING WHERE IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE DOWN IN THE NEXT NUMBER OF DAYS LONG. THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA BROUGHT FORWARD LEGISLATION THAT IF SOMEBODY'S GOING TO DO THEMSELVES HARM OR SOMEBODY ELSE HARM, THEY CAN BE MAN DATED TO GO INTO RECOVERY, INTO A FACILITY. THEY HAVE TO GO BEFORE A JUDGE, PRESENT THE EVIDENCE. IT WAS VERY CONTROVERSIAL WHEN IT CAME UP. BY IN LARGE SUPPORTED BY THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE IN REHAB. I WOULD ENCOURAGE ANYBODY WHO'S CONCERNED ABOUT THIS, THE MAYOR, THE PREMIER, JUST GO TO THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA AND SEE WHAT THEY'RE DOING AND SEE WHAT THEY'RE ROLLING OUT THERE AND THEY'RE DOING IT THROUGH PREVENTION, THROUGH EDUCATION AND THROUGH BUILDING FACILITIES. AND IT'S NOT CHEAP BUT I CAN TELL YOU THIS, WE KNOW NOW THAT FOR EVERY $9 SPENT, FOR EVERY $1 SPENT ON PREVENTION, EDUCATION, TREATMENT, YOU'RE SAVING $9 ELSEWHERE. YOU'RE LITERALLY SAVING IT IN TERMS OF THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM. IN TERMS OF THE PENITENTIARY SYSTEM AND THE COURT SYSTEM AND IN TERMS OF THE CRIMINAL SYSTEM, THE POLICE EVERYTHING THAT WE'RE DEALING WITH. AND WE KNOW THAT BUT YET WE'RE NOT DOING IT. FOR PEOPLE TO GO AND USE AND I CAN TELL YOU BASED ON WHAT'S HAPPENING IN SAN FRANCISCO AND WHAT'S HAPPENING IN B.C. IS CREATED A.

THE ISSUE IS NOT THE PEOPLE STRUGGLING WITH THESE ISSUES. INSTEAD STIGMATIZED IS THE TRAUMA. THE TRAUMA THESE FOLKS ARE DEALING WITH. MOST OF IT RELATED TO CHILDHOOD TRAUMAS, THOSE THAT ARE IN THESE ENCAMPMENTS TYPICALLY SUFFER FROM CHILDHOOD TRAUMAS, THE WORST FOR THE WORST. AND HAS DOCUMENTED IT EXTREMELY WELLMENT IT'S NOT A DISEASE. IT'S NOT A CHOICE. IT'S NOT A CHOICE. THESE FOLKS ARE BASICALLY OUT THERE TRYING TO SURVIVE. AND YOU KNOW THEY'RE BASICALLY ONE STEP AWAY FROM DEATH WHICH IS SUICIDE WHICH COMES FROM PSYCHOSIS RELATED TO METHAMPHETAMINE OR IF THE RISE IN FENTANYL WHICH IS NOW HAPPENING IN OUR CITY TAKES PLACE FROM AS IT WAS POINTED OUT BY COUNCILLOR GILROY JUST A DROP OF FENTANYL AND YOU'RE DEAD. THIS IS A

MASSIVE MENTAL HEALTH ADDICTION CRISIS HAPPENING ACROSS OUR COUNTRY. AND NOBODY IS REACTING

MADAM SPEAKER: ARE YOU WRAPPING UP, COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: TWO-MINUTE EXTENSION. I WOULD ENCOURAGE. I WOULD GO WITH THE MAYOR HIMSELF TO GO AND LOOK AT THE ALBERTA FACILITIES AND SEE WHAT THEY'RE BUILDING AND JUST TO DO EXACTLY WHAT THEY'RE DOING THERE, BRING THEM HERE AND TO BE DOING THE OUTREACH WE TALKED ABOUT WITH THOSE TWO LADIES WHO WERE HERE EARLIER TODAY THAT REACHED OUT. RIGHT NOW YOU CAN HAVE THE HOUSING BUT YOU NEED THE HOUSING WITH THE WRAP AROUND SERVICES TO HELP THESE PEOPLE BECAUSE YOU JUST DON'T PUT THEM IN A UNIT THAT'S UNFORTUNATELY IT'S NOT AS SIMPLE AS I WISH IT WERE. AND UNLIKE SOMEBODY WHO'S STRUGGLING WITH I DON'T KNOW CANCER, A TUMOR, THEY GO TO THE HOSPITAL, THE CANCER CARE AND THEY GET RADIATION OR CHEMOTHERAPY AND THEY WALK OUT AND THEY'RE -- THEY'VE OVERCOME IT LET'S SAY. I WISH IT WERE AS SIMPLE AS THAT. I WISH IT WERE LIKE FLIPPING A SWITCH. UNFORTUNATELY, THE BRAIN IS NOT QUITE LIKE THAT FOR WHATEVER REASON. IT TAKES TIME. IT TAKES BUILDING HEALTHY PATHWAYS WITHIN YOUR -- WITHIN YOUR HABITS THAT YOU HAVE AND TO GET THESE FOLKS BACK ONTO THOSE TYPES OF HEALTHY HABITS. SOME OF THEM HAVE NEVER EXPERIENCED THEM. SOME OF THEM HAVE COME FROM FAMILIES THAT HAVE NEVER HAD THAT AND I BELIEVE, MADAM SPEAKER THAT WINNIPEG'S PROBLEM IS UNIQUE. THERE WAS RECENTLY AN INDIVIDUAL WHO CAME HERE FROM TORONTO. I DON'T KNOW. HE DOES THESE VIDEOS. HE DID A VIDEO OF THE CITY WITH THE HIGH CRIME RATE. IT KIND OF WENT VIRAL. THE MAYOR MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE SEEN IT. I FEEL LIKE CALLING HIM UP, GETTING HIM BACK ON THE PLANE TO TORONTO. FRANKLY, YOU HAVE NO CLUE OF WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE CITY. BECAUSE OF OUR HIGH INDIGENOUS POPULATION, IT'S RELATION TO THE RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS AND WHAT THAT HAS DONE TO GENERATIONAL TRAUMA AND THE FACT THAT WE'RE NOW SEEING IT PLAY OUT IN OUR STREETS ON A MASS SCALE. NOT TO MENTION THOSE WHO ARE NONINDIGENOUS WHO HAVE BEEN STRUGGLING. WE REALLY NEED TO GET THIS MOVING, MADAM SPEAKER OR ELSE IT IS GOING TO GET WORSE. SO I'M GOING TO BE SUPPORTING YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'M HOPING THAT THE PROVINCE STARTS TO MOVE IN THE DIRECTION THAT ALBERTA HAS BECAUSE GOD KNOWS WE NEEDED IT ASAP.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW FOLLOWED BY

COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. FIRST OF ALL, I DO APPRECIATE. I'VE HAD SOME LONG CONVERSATIONS WITH COUNCILLOR GILROY AND COUNCILLY EADIE ON THIS MATTER BEHIND CLOSED DOORS BECAUSE I HAD SOME ISSUES AND HOW CAN MY TWO MOST PROGRESSIVE COUNCILLORS I'M AWARE OF SAY THEY WANT TO DO THIS. I HAD MY REASONS WHY I WANTED TO DO IT BUT I WANTED TO HEAR FROM THEM AND I WAS REALLY, I WAS VERY SATISFIED OF WHERE THEY'RE COMING FROM. THEY TAUGHT ME A LITTLE BIT MORE AGAIN INSTEAD OF JUST CONDEMNING EACH OTHER, MAYBE REACH OUT TO EACH OTHER AND ASK THEM WHY. SO THEY BROUGHT ME AROUND. IT MAYBE UNDERSTAND WHY THIS IS NECESSARY. AND WHY WAS I KIND OF SHOCKED BY THIS MOTION, IT'S A 180. FOR YEARS, PEOPLE FROM BUS SHELTERS TO BRIDGE ENCAMPMENTS, WE HAVE A POLICY. WE HAVE A FORMAT THAT WE HAVE A HUMAN RIGHTS MODEL. WE'LL GIVE THEM OPPORTUNITIES BUT WE'RE NOT GOING TO FORCE THEM TO MOVE. WELL, THIS IS A 180 AND WHY IS IT A 180, COUNCILLOR WYATT HAS MENTIONED BECAUSE IT IS JUST GETTING SO BAD. WHEN I TALKED TO PEOPLE AND IT'S LIKE OKAY FINE. SO LET ME REACH OUT TO SOME OF MY PEOPLE IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD TO SEE WHAT THEY THINK, ABSOLUTELY SUPPORTIVE. SO MUCH TO THAT LEVEL THAT WHEN I ASKED THEM THE CONTRARY OPINION ABOUT IT, NOPE. WE'RE HAVING NOTHING TO DO WITH THAT. WE'RE NOT EVEN DISCUSSING THAT. OKAY. BECAUSE THEY'RE SO FED UP. THEY DRIVE DOWN THE STREETS. THEY I WAS BIKING DOWN THE PATHWAY YESTERDAY AND RAN RIGHT INTO AN ENCAMPMENT. I WAS SCARED TO GET OFF MY BIKE. I'M A BIG GUY AND I WAS SCARED TO GET OFF MY BIKE. I HAVE CLEANED OUT AN ENCAMPMENT BEFORE. STUPIDEST IDEA I'VE EVER DONE. IT WAS SO DANGEROUS DOWN THERE. PEOPLE WERE NOT THERE BUT THE AMOUNT OF NEEDLES, THE DEFECATION, THE GARBAGE AND WHATEVER ELSE WAS HAPPENING PRIOR LITTLE OLD LADIES AND ME SHOULD NOT BE OUT THERE WITH LITTLE SAFETY EQUIPMENT. BUT WE'RE SO FED UP WITH THIS HAPPENING OVER AND OVER AGAIN. ONE QUESTION DO I HAVE AND I'M WITH COUNCILLOR ROLLINS ON THIS, I DO NEED TO SEE A REPORT. WHAT I'M VERY WORRIED ABOUT IS THEY'LL BE NO ACTION BEHIND HERE. THIS IS GOING TO BE AND AGAIN PLEASE TAKE THIS WITH A GRAIN OF SALT BECAUSE I'M NOT RUNNING AGAIN, MAYBE, PROBABLY NOT. I'M KEEPING IT OPEN BUT HOPEFULLY THIS WON'T JUST BE AN OPEN ENDED KIND OF HEY WE'RE DOING SOMETHING BUT WE'RE ACTUALLY NOT. WHERE ARE THE FUNDS GOING TO COME FOR THIS. WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH BY-LAW OFFICES RIGHT NOW JUST FOR THE HOUSING FILE. WE DON'T HAVE NEARLY ENOUGH PEOPLE. WHO'S GOING TO CLEAN UP THESE SITES.

WE DON'T HAVE NEARLY ENOUGH. WHAT HAPPENS WHEN A PERSON CALLS ME UP AND SAYS, HEY, WHY IS THAT ENCAMPMENT STILL THERE. YOU HAD A POLICY THAT SAID IT COULDN'T BE THERE. I GO BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE THE ENFORCEMENT TO DO IT. WE HAVE NOWHERE TO REALLY PUT THEM, I DON'T KNOW. BUT, AGAIN, I'LL BE DREADING THAT DAY THAT IT DOES COME AND I REALLY DO WISH IT WAS HAPPENING MORE IN THE SPRING BECAUSE THE WINTER'S GOING TO BE ROUGH. WE HAVE TRIED. WE HAVE REALLY TRIED THE BEST WE CAN. THE PROBLEM IS WITH ALL OUR EFFORTS, IT IS AN EMERGENCY SITUATION WHERE WE NEED TO BE FUNDING IT HARD. NOT JUST US, ALL THREE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. SOY AM GOING TO WAIT FOR THE REPORT TO COME BACK. I HOPE TO SEE THE FUNDING HOW IT WILL BE PRIORITIZED. SO WHEN THOSE RESIDENTS CALL ME WHY IS MINE STILL HERE AND THAT ONE'S NOT, I CAN EXPLAIN TO THEM. BEFORE IT WAS A CART BLANC. NOW IT WAS A HUMAN RESOURCES ISSUE. AGAIN THANK GOODNESS FOR A COUPLE DOWN THE LINE. STILL HAPPENING QUITE A BIT BUT WE'VE ALSO GOT TO BE REALLY REALIST IN THE FACT AND THIS IS WHY I APPRECIATE YOU'RE GOING TO TALK TO THE SERVICE PROVIDERS AND YOU'VE PROBABLY SEEN SOME VIDEOS AND I'VE SEEN THEM FROM THE NEWS ABOUT OTHER CITIES THAT HAVE DONE THIS. THEY ARE COMMUNITIES. THIS IS A PLACE WHERE NOT AGAIN WE'RE GOING TO HIGHLIGHT THE HORROR ONES AND ABSOLUTELY THAT'S WHAT'S TRUE. THE NEEDLES EVERYWHERE. THE SEX TRAFFICKING. THE GANG ACTIVITY, BUT NOT EVERYBODY IS THERE LIKE WHEN THEY TALK ABOUT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING, THAT'S THE GROUP I KIND OF WANT WHO ARE THERE BECAUSE OF ECONOMIC REASONS A LOT OF THE REASONS, THEY JUST NEEDED HELP. THEY JUST NEEDED HELP TO MOVE FORWARD. OTHER PEOPLE ARE NOT AT THAT STAGE TO BE ABLE TO MOVE FORWARD BUT THEY DO NEED HELP. SO THESE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BE TOLD TO MOVE WITH THE FEW POSSESSIONS THEY HAVE BECAUSE THEY'RE GOING IT HAVE TO MOVE ALL THEIR GEAR AROUND BECAUSE THERE'S NOWHERE TO STORE IT. YOU KNOW, SOME MISSION TRIED SOME LOCKERS FOR A WHILE. THAT MAY BE REQUIRED. SO WE NEED TO BE LOOKING AT OTHER SUPPORT SERVICES AND MAYBE THE REACH OUT WITH THE SERVICE PROVIDERS. WHERE ARE THEY GOING TO PUT THEIR STUFF IN THE DAY? THEY NEED TO KNOW WHERE TO PUT IT. WE MAY NOT THINK IT'S ANYTHING. FOR MANY PEOPLE, THIS IS THEIR WHOLE WORLD. THAT'S WHY THEY DON'T GO TO SHELTERS BECAUSE THEY'RE TERRIFIED OF LOSING ALL THEIR STUFF PLUS SOME OTHER REASONS. BUT WE ON OUR SIDE STILL HAVE TO HOLD OUR HEARTS IN COMPASSION. WE KNOW THIS WILL BE DIFFICULT FOR MANY PEOPLE. SOME PEOPLE PROBABLY NOT LIKE THE ONE LADY THAT SPOKE RECENTLY, I DON'T WANT TO GO LIVE ON THE RIVER BANK. IT'S SCARY THERE. REALLY SCARY

THERE. THAT'S WHY ARE WE LIVE HERE BECAUSE IT'S IN THE OPEN. PEOPLE SEE US, IT'S LESS CRIME AND SHE WAS CRYING AND I GO, YEAH, I CAN UNDERSTAND WHY YOU WOULD BE CRYING. SO IS OUR JOB NOW IF WE SUPPORT THIS WHICH I THINK WE WILL IS WE REALLY HAVE TO DOUBLE DOWN OUR EFFORTS. IN THE PROVINCE THE PROVINCE IS NOT DOUBLING DOWN. THEY'RE DOUBLING DOWN ONTO US. I STILL SEE THAT. I WANT TO SEE AND I DON'T WANT TO HAVE THESE MEETINGS WERE THE SERVICE PRYER AND THE GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS GET TOGETHER AND WE MAKE A GREAT PLAN AND IT TELL THE WORLD ABOUT IT. WE HAVE THAT OVER AND OVER AGAIN. IT'S TIME TO COME BY WITH WAYS TO SUPPORT PEOPLE WHERE WE'RE ASKING THEM NOT TELLING THEM MOVE FROM PRETTY WELL QUITE A LONG ARRANGEMENT AREA OF PLACES. BUT, AGAIN, SO IN THE WORLD OF WANTS, THAT'S MY WANTS BUT I'M ALSO IN THE WORLD OF REALITY. THE PEOPLE ARE EXHAUSTED. RESIDENTS ARE EXHAUSTED. THEY CAN'T GO TO THEIR PARKS. THEY CAN'T USE A BUS SHELTER. THEY'RE SCARED TO USE THE RIVER WALK. THE BEAUTIFUL RIVER WALK. I WAS ON IT BESIDE THE MIDTOWN BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION. I WAS ON IT THE OTHER DAY. AND I'M ON A BIKE. I CAN'T IMAGINE WITH SOMEONE WHO'S SMALLER OR MAYBE MORE VULNERABLE WOULD FEEL ABOUT IT. IT'S NOT A WAY AS WE SAY IT'S A CITY FOR ALL. AND, AGAIN, I THINK THIS PROBLEM BECAUSE WE I DON'T BELIEVE WE'VE PUT ENOUGH EFFORT INTO IT. ALL THREE LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. IT SAYS GOING TO A POINT NOW WHERE IT'S SO PAST THAT POINT. SO FOR WINNIPEG TO GET BACK ON ITS FEET AND A WAY WE ALL WANT TO BE PROUD OF, WE NEED TO BE DOING THIS BUT ON THE FLIP SIDE AND THAT'S WHY I WAIT FOR THE REPORT. WE ALL HAVE TO BE WORKING ON THIS TOGETHER IN THE APPROPRIATE TYPE OF HOUSING. TRANSITIONAL HOUSING IS NOT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING. TWO DIFFERENT THINGS. THE STREET PROGRAMS THEY'RE GOING TO TRY AGAIN. GOOD ON THEM AND I AGREE WITH THE MODEL. >> ONE MINUTE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE MOVES --

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: I'M NOT PREACHING TO YOU. I'M PREACHING TO MY PREACHING TO MY OWN INTERNAL SELF RIGHT NOW. THE AND THAT ONE I JUST FELT HORRIBLE ABOUT BECAUSE MANY PEOPLE WERE GETTING THEIR WHOLE LIVES CRUSHED. BUT WE HAVE TO DO IT AND WE DID. SO THAT IS PART OF OUR JOB SOMETIMES MAKING SOME PRETTY HORRIBLE DECISIONS THAT ARE BEST FOR ALL AND IN THIS CASE, IF WE CAN PROVIDE THOSE SUPPORT SERVICES ON THE BACKSIDE WITH THE BUDGET, YOU I'M NOT SURE WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO GET THE MONEY FROM. THEN I'LL GO AFTER THAT IN THE BUDGET. BUT, REGARDLESS, HOW ARE WE GOING TO BE ABLE TO HELP AND SUPPORT THESE

PEOPLE WHEN THIS MOTION I BELIEVE WILL GO THROUGH AND WHICH I WILL BE SUPPORTING.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR EADIE FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR MAYES AND BROWATY.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I'M GOING TO LOOK AT IT FROM THIS PERSPECTIVE, MADAM SPEAKER. I CARE ABOUT THE RIGHTS OF ALL WINNIPEGERS AND THOSE WHO END UP HAVING TO BE HERE BECAUSE THE URBAN CENTERS MAY BE THE BEST PLACE TO LIVE WHERE THERE'S THE MOST HOPEFULLY MOST LEVELS OF SUPPORT. SO IT'S ABOUT THE PEOPLE LIVING IN THE ENCAMPMENTS AND ONE THING I DO WANT TO CLARIFY, I HEAR ABOUT RIVER BANKS AND SOMETIMES WHEN PEOPLE ARE TALKING, OH, THE ENCAMPMENTS ARE ON THE RIVER BANK WHICH IS THEY SAY AADJACENT TO SAINT JOHN'S PARK WELL I HATE TO TELL YOU THIS AS A KID, IT'S RIGHT TO THE RIVER. SO ASIDE FROM THAT, IT IS MORE PROMINENT PROBLEM IN THE OLDER AREAS OF THE CITY. BUT WE DO KNOW THERE ARE ENCAMPMENTS THAT GET SET UP IN MANY DIFFERENT PLACES ASIDE FROM THE INNER CITY. RIGHTS TO BEING SAFE. THEY DO HAVE A CALL IT A BRIDGE. THE RECREATION ALPHA SILTS BUT THEY'RE NOT ABLE TO TAKING CARE OF THE RATES TO HOUSING FOR THE PEOPLE LIVING IN ENCAMPMENTS. AND IT'S NOT ALL THE 3,000 APPARENTLY PEOPLE FROM THE STREET ENCAMPMENTS. THERE WAS SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 700 AND 800 LIVING IN ENCAMPMENTS WHICH IS A BIG NUMBER AS WELL WHY BIGGER THAN IT HAS EVER BEEN THAN IN THE PAST. WHAT WE NEED AS WE IMPLEMENT THIS, THE CITY OF WINNIPEG IS ALREADY IN THE BUSINESS. HOW MUCH MONEY IS IT COSTING. FIREFIGHTERS, PARAMEDICS, POLICE OFFICERS IN SOME CASES IT HAS BEEN BYLAW. YOU KNOW, THE PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNER JUST LIKE IT DOES ON OUR CITY PROPERTIES BUT THEY CAN BE REMOVED FROM PRIVATE PROPERTY. AND I KNOW ONE EXAMPLE WHERE THEY WERE KIND ENOUGH TO ALLOW AN ENCAMPMENT BUT I GUESS THAT'S WHAT STARTED BREAKING INTO THE BUILDINGS ON THAT SAME LOT STEALING THE COPY. WHICH IS THE REAL PROBLEM. SO WHEN WE'RE LOOKING AT GETTING HOUSING, THERE NEEDS TO BE DETOX BEDS FOR THOSE WHO ARE READY TO START ON THEIR JOURNEY TO BEAT THE MONKEY ON THEIR BACK. SO WE NEED TO HELP THEM AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO NEED TO DO THIS AFTERWARD, THE CITY, WE HAVE SOME PIECES OF LAND IN MY WARD. THERE'S AN AREA NEAR THE RIVER BANK BUT THERE'S AN AREA WHERE WE COULD HAVE WHAT I WOULD CALL, WE'RE LOOKING AT AND COUNCILLOR GILROY WILL BE LOOKING AT AND SAINT BONIFACE STREET LINKS AND THEY'RE ALL LOOKING AT WHAT THESE MANAGED

ENCAMPMENTS ARE. BUT WHAT WE WANT TO SEE IS A MANAGED ENCAMPMENT TO HOUSING. SO THAT IS THE REASON THEY'RE THERE BECAUSE IF THEY DON'T HAVE A -- IF THEY CAN'T STAY IN THE PARK, THEN THEY'RE GOING TO NEED A PLACE TO LIVE BECAUSE THERE ISN'T ENOUGH SHELTER BEDS OR PEOPLE REFUSE TO GO TO A SHELTER BECAUSE AS WHAT'S HAPPENING IN SAINT JOHN'S PARK. IT'S NOT SAFE. IF I WAS OUT ON THE STREET A NUMBER OF YEARS AGO WHEN I WAS YOUNGER AND I COULD HAVE IT. I WAS OUT THERE AND I JOINED A GROUP AND SPENT THE WEEKEND OUT THERE ON THE STREET. IT'S REALLY DANGEROUS, REALLY DANGEROUS FOR THOSE PEOPLE LIVING IN ENCAMPMENTS. THE DANGER AND SAFETY IS ALL AROUND BUT WE'RE IN THE BUSINESS AND I THINK THAT WE SHOULD AND I'M WILLING TO SAY, YEAH, MANAGED ENCAMPMENT TO HOUSING IN MY WARD BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DEAL WITH THE WE GOT AN AREA AND STUFF BUT WHY NOT INCREASE THOSE PARAMEDICS BECAUSE YOU KNOW WHAT, IT'S TRUE. OUR PARAMEDICS, OUR FIREFIGHTER PARAMEDICS, THEY ARE OVERWHELMED. I LISTENED TO THIS EVERY NIGHT SITTING IN MY PLACE. THERE'S SIRENS ARE JUST LIKE AND WHAT ARE THEY RESPONDING TO? IT'S NOT ALL FIRES. THEY'RE RESPONDING TO PEOPLE STRUGGLING ON THE STREET. SO WHAT I'M SAYING IS THOSE KIND OF SUPPORTS CAN BE PROVIDED THERE. LET'S LOOK AT HOW THEY DEAL WITH SOLID WASTE RELATED TO MANAGED ENCAMPMENTS DEALING WITH THE GARBAGE BECAUSE THERE IS A HUGE AMOUNT OF CAR GAJ, MADAM SPEAKER, A HUGE AMOUNT THAT GETS INVOLVED. I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THE STUFF THAT GETS SPREAD IN THE BACK LANES, THAT'S SOLID WASTE HAS A PROGRAM TO GO THROUGH AND CLEAN UP OUR BACK LANES AND PRETTY SOON THEY'RE GOING IT HAVE THE SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT TO JUST GO THROUGH AND VACUUM UP NEEDLES AND ALL THAT VERY DANGEROUS PARAPHERNALIA, WHATEVER IT IS. SO THAT THE WORKERS ARE SAFE BECAUSE THAT'S REALLY WHAT IT AM COMES DOWN TO TOO IS WHEN YOU'RE CLEANING THAT UP, YOU NEED TO BE SAFE. SO WE NEED TO BE LOOKING AT A AGAIN, A MANAGED ENCAMPMENT SITE TO GO ALONG WITH THIS CHANGE. THE 180 TURN, WE HAD A RECOMMENDATION FROM END HOMELESSNESS THAT DEVELOPED OUR POLICY AND THAT'S GOOD AND I'M WORKING AT HAVING A DIALOG WITH THEM BUT I KNOW THAT IN MY WARD AT LEAST 29 PEOPLE HAVE BEEN MOVED FROM ENCAMPMENTS BY YOUR WAY HOME AND THEY HAVE TO SUPPORTS. I KNOW SAINT BONIFACE HAS SUPPORTS. YOU HAVE TO HAVE THEM IN PLACE AND WHEN SOMEBODY'S READY FOR ADDICTION SERVICES, THERE BETTER BE A DETOX BED FIRST OF ALL AND THEN IF YOU HAVE TO MOVE INTO A PROGRAM, YOU'RE LIVING IN I WOULD CALL LIVING AT THE BRUCE OAKS CENTER. I WOULD CALL THAT TRANSITIONAL LIVING TO BEAT YOUR ADDICTIONS AND ONCE YOU'RE OUT, HOPEFULLY YOU

CAN FIND A PLACE, GET A JOB, YOU KNOW, SO YOU DON'T GET IMPURSED BACK INTO THE MEDLEY OF PEOPLE WHO ARE STRUGGLING WITH THOSE ADDICTIONS OUT THERE ON THE STREET. WE SEE IT EVERY DAY. AND SO I AM VOTING FOR THIS AND REMEMBER EVERYBODY WHO HAS A RIGHT, THEY HAVE RESPONSIBILITIES AND I KNOW THAT WHEN YOU HAVE ADDICTIONS AND YOU'RE WASTED, VERY HARD TO UNDERTAKE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES BUT WE ALL HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO EACH OTHER. WE HAVE RIGHTS AND WE HAVE RESPONSIBILITIES AND THIS IS WHAT WE'RE MOVING TOWARDS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR MAYES FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR BROWATY.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THANK YOU TO COUNCILLOR GILROY FOR FIRST BRINGING FORWARD THIS MOTION. I'M GLAD I DIDN'T HAVE TO SPEAK DIRECTLY AFTER HER TODAY. THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN A HARD SPEECH TO FOLLOW. I'LL BE SUPPORTING -- I WOULD HAVE SUPPORTED THE ORIGINAL MOTION. I WOULD NOT HAVE SUPPORTED THE IDEA THAT WAS AROUND LET'S BAN THE ENCAMPMENTS NEAR IMITRUDES BUT THIS IS I THINK A COMPROMISE. I WOULD SUPPORT THAT SAID, I DO WANT TO POINT OUT ONE OF THE GREAT LEGAL THINKERS OF THE PAST 100 YEARS AND THE LONGEST SERVING U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, SOME OF HIS BEST, GREATEST MOST PRO FOUND DECISIONS I THINK WERE ABOUT THE ISSUE OF VAGRANCE Y LAWS. THE VAGRANCY AND POVERTY ARE NOT THE SAME. POVERTY IS NOT CRIMINALITY. SO A BIT OF A TRIBUTE TO WILLIAM O. DOUGLAS. HE WROTE -- IN THAT OPINION HE REMARKED THAT PEOPLE GENERALLY IMPLICATED BY THE TERMS OF THE ORDINANCE WERE POUR PEOPLE, NONCONFORMIST, DESCENTERS, IDOLERS WHO ARE VICTIMS OF DISCRIMINATORY ENFORCEMENT. SO THAT WAS IN PART OF A U.S. SUPREME COURT CASE STRIKING DOWN VERY BROADLY DRAFTED VAGRANCY LAWS. I APPRECIATE THE SPIRIT HERE AND VERY MUCH APPRECIATE COUNCILLOR GILROY'S WORDS AND SO I'LL BE VOTING IN FAVOR. BUT IT IS WORTH IT TO REMEMBER WE'RE DEALING HERE WITH AN ISSUE OF POVERTY AND ADDICTION AND WE SHOULDN'T BE TRYING TO CRIMINALIZE ANYBODY. WE SHOULD BE TRYING TO SEEK FOR OTHER SOLUTIONS. SO I DID WANT TO MENTION THAT THOSE COMMENTS FROM WILLIAM O. DOUGLAS ALWAYS STAYED WITH ME AND I DON'T THINK I'M BREACHING THAT SPIRIT BY VOTING FOR THIS BECAUSE THIS IS A MORE TARGETED THING SAYING, LOOK, AS COUNCILLOR WYATT SAID, THERE CAN BE COMPETING HUMAN RIGHTS INTERESTS. AND QUOTED MUCH EARLIER SUPREME COURT DECISION, WE BELIEVE IN FREEDOM OF SPEECH, BUT YOU CAN'T YELL FIRE IN A CROWDED THEATER AND THERE'S A

BIT OF THAT HERE. WE SUPPORT PEOPLES' HUMAN RIGHTS BUT THERE COMES A TIME WHEN YOU HAVE TO PROTECT THE INTEREST OF PEOPLE WHO ARE CHILDREN AND FAMILIES AND PEOPLE USING THESE FACILITIES. SO I'LL BE SUPPORTING THE MOTION AND THANKS AGAIN TO COUNCILLOR GILROY.

MADAM SPEAKER:THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR MAYES. NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR BROWATY.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'D LIKE TO COMMENT ON THE OPENING PRAYER BY COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. WE MAY DISAGREE WITH YOU. OTHERWISE THE OPINIONS ARE GENERALLY PURR SAID IN THIS CHAMBER, BUT I DO BELIEVE AT THE END OF THE DAY, WE ALL COME HERE TRYING TO MAKE OUR COMMUNITY A BETTER PLACE. THIS IS AN AWKWARD MOTION FOR I KNOW A LOT OF PEOPLE AROUND THIS TABLE: WE ALL WANT TO HELP EVERYBODY. WE ARE SAD FOR WHAT WE SEE ON THE IS IT TRUES. WE ARE SYMPATHETIC TO PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY ARE EXPERIENCING. HERE AT CITY HALL, OUR RESOURCES ARE LIMITED. WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE THE ONES WHO BUILD EVERYBODY AFFORDABLE HOUSING. WE'RE NOT THE ONES TO PROVIDE THE HEALTH CARE FACILITIES TO HELP PEOPLE WHO ARE DEALING WITH ADDICTIONS. THAT'S NOT WITHIN THE PURVIEW OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT. BUT WE DO HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY WHEN IT COMES TO THE SAFETY OF THE PUBLIC WHEN THEY'RE IN THIS PUBLIC SPHERE. I'M REFERRING TO ALL PEOPLE. PEOPLE WHO ARE SUFFERING FROM ADDICTIONS AND LIVING BY THE SIDE OF THE RIVER OR BY THE FREEWAY OR PEOPLE WHO ARE JUST TRYING TO GET HOME TO GET THEIR KIDS TO SOCCER PRACTICE. THEY WANT TO GO TO THE SPRAY PAD IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD. THEY DESERVE SAFETY IN THEIR COMMUNITY AS WELL. WE'VE KIND OF REACHED A BREAKING POINT IN THIS CITY. THIS IS NOT THE TYPE OF THING ANY OF US WANT TO HAVE TO PUT FORWARD. BUT THE REALITY IS PEOPLE ARE UNSAFE RIGHT NOW. THEY'RE UNCOMFORTABLE AND FRUSTRATED WITH WHAT THEY'RE SEEING IN OUR CITY RIGHT NOW. SO THIS MOTION I THINK IS AN APPROPRIATE BALANCE TO DEAL WITH THOSE REALITIES THAT WE'RE EXPERIENCING RIGHT NOW. PEOPLE SHOULD BE ABLE TO GO TO THE PARK IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD AND NOT HAVE THEIR KIDS GET STABBED BY A DRUG NEEDLE. THEY CAN'T HAVE A PERSON ON PSYCHOSIS THROWING GARBAGE BINS INTO TRAFFIC LANES. WE SHOULDN'T HAVE TO HAVE -- YOU KNOW, THE PROBLEMS ARE THERE. THIS IS I THINK AN APPROPRIATE MOTION FOR TODAY AND LET'S HOPE SOME OF THESE ISSUES OUT THERE ARE ADDRESSED. WHEN IT COMES TO TO HOUSING. I DO APPRECIATE THE MARY WILLISS OF THE WORLD. LET'S NOT HAVE PERFECT BE THE ENEMY OF THE

GOOD. IT'S BETTER FOR SOMEBODY TO BE LIVING IN A SUB STANDARD GROOMING HOUSE WITH SOME LIMITED SUPPORTS THAN IT IS TO BE IN A TENT ON THE SIDE OF THE HIGHWAY YOU KNOW, WE CANNOT WAIT FOR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OR WHATEVER FOR $500 PER ROOM PER DOOR ARGUMENTS. AND FIND A WAY TO PROVIDE SOME SUPPORTS AND GET PEOPLE OFF THE STREETS. WE NEED TO WORK WITH SHELTERS BUT WE CAN'T AFFORD. I MEAN, WE'RE GOING TO TAX PEOPLE DO DEATH TO WHERE PEOPLE ALREADY START SELF-MEDICATING THEMSELVES AND SPIRAL INTO THEIR OWN PROBLEMS OF ADDICTIONS. WE CAN'T AFFORD A $300,000, $400,000 APARTMENT. WE NEED TO GIVE PEOPLE AN ARM UP. WE CAN'T MAKE IT ACCEPTABLE TO HAVE THE VAGRANCY AND CRIME AND EVERYTHING WE'RE SEEING ON OUR STREETS TODAY. . THIS IS AN UNFORTUNATE BUT NECESSARY STEP. THANK YOU VERY MUCH EVERYONE.

MADAM SPEAKER:THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR BROWATY. NEXT, MAYOR GILLINGHAM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU. MY COMMENTS WILL BE BRIEF. I WANT TO THANK SEVERAL PEOPLE, COUNCILLORS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THE ORIGINAL MOTION AND THE SUBSEQUENT MOTIONS SO THANK YOU COUNCILLORS SANTOS, ROLLINS, COUNCILLOR BROWATY, COUNCILLOR GILROY WHO'S TAKEN A LEAD ON THIS AS OTHERS HAVE SAID TODAY. COUNCILLOR GILROY'S COMMENTS AND STATEMENTS ON RECORD TODAY REGARDING NOT JUST THIS MOTION BUT THE ONGOING THERE'S A LOT OF WORK BEING DONE. OUTREACH PROVIDERS WHO ARE WORKING ON THIS MATTER. WHAT I AM SEEING CERTAINLY IS NOT PERFECTION. WHAT I'M SEEING IS MORE COLLABORATION IN MANY PARTS THAN THERE HAS BEEN IN PREVIOUS YEARS AND IT'S GOING TO TAKE THAT FOR US TO MOVE THE NEEDLE ON THIS REALLY ENTRENCHED PROBLEM. MANY PEOPLE HAVE SAID IT TODAY AND IT'S THE WORD THAT I HAVE USED REPEATEDLY EVEN TODAY WITH MEDIA. I BELIEVE THAT THIS MOTION AIMS TO STRIKE A BALANCE. THIS IS NOT A MOTION TO END HOMELESSNESS. BUT WHAT THIS SAYS IS THAT WE JUST CAN'T PERMIT AND ALLOW ENCAMPMENTS TO BE SET UP ANYWHERE IN THIS CITY. IT'S NOT RIGHT OR FAIR AND I THINK WE'VE FAILED THE RESIDENTS OF OUR COMMUNITY. OF THE RESIDENTS OF OUR NEIGHBORHOODS IF WE ALLOW IT TO CONTINUE. SO WE'RE NOT GOING TO ALLOW IT TO CONTINUE. YES, WE NEED TO REPORT BACK FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE. YES, LONG-TERM, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO LOOK AT HEARING FROM THEM. THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE RESOURCES REQUIRED TO FULLY EXECUTE ON THIS PLAN BUT THIS IS A FIRST STEP AND I DO WANT TO

ALSO ACKNOWLEDGE THE WORK OF MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE WHO'VE WORKED SO HARD AND CONTINUE TO WORK SO HARD NOT ONLY DAY IN AND DAY OUT ON ALL THINGS RELATED TO ENCAMPMENTS AND HOMELESSNESS BUT ALSO IN THE PREPARATION FOR THIS MOTION. THIS MOTION WAS AN AMENDMENT OF THE ORIGINAL MOTION THAT WAS BROUGHT FORWARD. IT DIDN'T HAPPEN OVER NIGHT. THERE WAS A LOT OF DISCUSSION THAT HAPPENED WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE THAT HELPED INFORM US OF WHAT WE HAVE HERE TODAY. I DO HOPE THERE'S GOOD SUPPORT FOR THIS MOTION AND IT IS A NEXT STEP. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE'RE SAYING WE'RE HEARING FROM THE PUBLIC. THIS MOTION I DON'T THINK LACKS COMPASSION BECAUSE WE DO RECOGNIZE THERE ARE TIMES WHEN PEOPLE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO SHELTER OVERRIGHT. WHAT WE'RE SAYING IS KIDS PLAYING IN PLAY GROUNDS MATTER ALSO AND WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THOSE SPACES AND OTHER SPACES ARE PROTECTED SO THAT FAMILIES THAT WANT TO USE THEM AS PLAY GROUNDS CAN USE THEM AS PLAYGROUNDS AND PARKS AND THE LIKE. BUT THANKS TO ALL WHO WORKED ON THIS MOTION. THIS IS CERTAINLY NOT THE END. IT'S REALLY ONLY THE BEGINNING OF YET ANOTHER STEP, ANOTHER PHASE IN OUR COLLECTIVE ONGOING WORK TO ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS. THE GOAL ULTIMATELY AS WE KNOW IS TO GET PEOPLE OUT OF ENCAMPMENTS BUT IT'S NOT JUST THE REASON IS PROBABLY BECAUSE OF ADDICTION. FOR SOME PEOPLE, THAT ADDICTION IS HELPING THEM DEAL WITH THE TRAUMA THEY FACED IN LIFE. WE I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO DEBATE THE REASONS WHY BECAUSE WE WOULD ALL SAY THIS IS A SUBSET OF INDIVIDUALS. WHAT WE NEED TO BE DOING IS WORK WITH ALL OF 0 OUR PARTNERS TO GET PEOPLE FROM WHERE THEY ARE TODAY INTO STABLE HOUSING WITH ALL THE SUPPORTS THEY NEED WHETHER THAT'S MENTAL HEALTH SUPPORTS, EIA SUPPORTS, OTHER HEALTH SUPPORTS. DETOX, TREATMENT, LONG TERM RECOVERY. WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE. THE WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO WORK ON THAT AND SO I KNOW ALL OF US HAVE COMMIT HADDED TO THAT WORK.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR SANTOS, TO CLOSE.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. YEAH, THIS IS NOT AN EASY DISCUSSION I DON'T THINK FOR ANY OF US. AS A COUNCILLOR OF THE INNER CITY OF POINT DOUGLAS, I REPRESENT ALL FOUR SHELTERS. I REPRESENT THE INNER CITY, THE DOWNTOWN, THE RESIDENTS WHO DO PAY TAXES AND THE RESIDENTS WHO DON'T PAY TAXES. THE ISSUE IS IT'S CONCENTRATED IN THE POINT DOUGLAS AND THE INNER CITY AND COUNCILLOR ROLLINS WARD AND COUNCILLOR EADIE'S WARD. [CAPTIONER SWITCH]

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: TO COME UP WITH A SOLUTION TO REDUCE, WE'RE NOT ENDING HOMELESSNESS BUT REDUCING HOMELESSNESS, IS NOT EASY. THERE'S LOTS OF WORK, I BELIEVE, THAT'S ONGOING BETWEEN THE PROVINCE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WE ALWAYS CONTINUE TO ASK AND DEMAND MORE OF THEM. WHETHER IT'S BORDER CONTROL, WHETHER THAT'S HOUSING, WHETHER THAT'S MENTAL HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES, WE'RE ALWAYS ASKING FOR OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT TO DO MORE. BUT THE RESIDENTS IN WINNIPEG, IN MY CONSTITUENCY, ARE DEMANDING MORE OF THE CITY. WHAT IS OUR ROLE AS A CITY TO ENSURE THAT PARKS, COMMUNITY CENTRES, POOLS, LIBRARY, MUSEUMS, TRANSIT SHELTERS, ALL THOSE THINGS ARE ARE UNDER OUR PURVIEW REMAIN SAFE AND ACCESSIBLE FOR THE CITY AND FOR THE RESIDENTS. WE HAVE BEFORE US HERE SOMEWHAT OF A SOLUTION. IT'S NOT 100%. IT'S NOT THE GREATEST. WE CAN ALWAYS DO MORE BUT IT'S A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. TO ANSWER THE CALLS OUR RESIDENTS WHO ARE LOOKING FOR MORE FROM US. YOU KNOW WE TALKING ABOUT HUMAN RIGHTS AND I AGREE WITH SOME OF THE SENTIMENTS MADE WITH RESPECT TO HUMAN RIGHTS OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNSHELTERED. I THINK ITEM NUMBER TWO DOESN'T -- WE DIDN'T REALLY TALK MUCH MORE ABOUT ITEM NUMBER TWO WHERE WE PRIORITIZE ENFORCEMENTS ONE HOUR BEFORE SUNRISE. ONE HOUR BEFORE SUNSET. IT STRIKES THE BALANCE BETWEEN THE RESIDENTS WHO WANT TO BE ABLE TO USE THE PUBLIC SPACES DURING THE DAY, AS WELL AS, ENSURING THAT PEOPLE WHO DO NOT HAVE PLACES TO STAY, WHETHER THAT IS SHELTERS, RIVER BANKS, PARKS, THAT THAT SPACE IS STILL AVAILABLE. AGAIN, NOT AN EASY SOLUTION BUT IT STRIKES A BALANCE BETWEEN THE HUMAN RIGHTS FOR RESIDENTS AND THE HUMAN RIGHTS FOR THOSE UNSHELTERED. WE TALKED ABOUT MANAGED OR SANCTION ENCAMPMENT SITES. I THINK, SURE, THAT CAN BE A DISCUSSION THAT COULD BE HAD. I AM SUPPORTIVE OF IT BUT IS THAT CONVERSATION, ARE WE HAVING THAT RIGHT NOW? YES AND NO. WE SHOULD HAVE MORE FURTHER DISCUSSIONS BUT THERE'S CHALLENGES WHEN IT COMES TO MANAGING ENCAMPMENT SITES. IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD, YOU DON'T LIKE YOUR NEIGHBOUR THERE'S DISPUTES. SIMILAR TO MANAGED ENCAMPMENT SITES. THERE'S GROUPS THAT DON'T LIKE EACH OTHER. THERE'S GOING TO BE PROBLEMS THERE, TOO. HOW DO WE ANSWER THE CALLS TO THOSE? WE UTILIZE POLICE, GARBAGE, WASHER FACILITIES, CLEAN DRINKING WATER. AGAIN, IS THAT THE SOLUTION? WE DON'T KNOW EITHER. COULD WE TRY IT? I THINK SO. I THINK THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY TO DEFINITELY TRY IT BECAUSE IF WE REALLY LOOK AT IT WE KIND OF DO HAVE SOME UNSANCTIONED, ILLEGAL ENCAMPMENT SITES IN POINT DOUGLAS. LAST THING

I WANT TO TALK ABOUT IS THE GARBAGE. I CAN APPRECIATE COUNCILLOR ROLLINS' SENTIMENTS WHEN IT COMES TO GARBAGE BECAUSE, YES. I ASKED AND POSED THE QUESTION ON HOW WE WERE GOING TO AFFORD TO DO THE GARBAGE. I HAD A MOTION ABOUT MANAGED AND MINDFUL CLEANUPS OF ENCAMPMENTS. WE THOUGHT AND WE ARE TRYING TO WORK WITH THE OUR WAY HOME STRATEGY TO ENSURE THAT MINDFUL CLEANUPS ARE HAPPENING BUT I GET AN E-MAIL YESTERDAY FROM ONE OF THE LOCAL BUSINESSES NEAR AND ENCAMPMENT SITE WITH LITERALLY GARBAGE DUMPED EVERYWHERE AROUND HIS BUSINESS ON PRIVATE PROPERTY. I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER FOR HIM. I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER, MADAM SPEAKER, BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE -- I FEEL WE DON'T HAVE A MANAGED PICKUP, MINDFUL CLEANUP OF ENCAMPMENTS. IF WE HAD MINDFUL CLEANUPS OF ENCAMPMENTS, WE ARE SEEING THERE'S LESS GARBAGE OUT THERE. IT DOES REALLY POSE A QUESTION OF HOW WE'RE GOING TO BE DEALING WITH GARBAGE. AGAIN, GARBAGES IS UNDER OUR PURVIEW. IT'S UNDER OUR MANDATE. THAT IS OUR JOB TO DO IS TO HAVE GARBAGE PICKED UP. WE REALLY NEED A SUSTAINABLE MODEL. THAT'S A LAYERED MODEL. I KNOW COUNCILLOR EADIE REALLY TRIED REALLY HARD TO DO GARBAGE SERVICES TOGETHER WITH WATER, WASTE AND PUBLIC WORKS. WE DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER TO THAT EITHER. THAT IS DEFINITELY MUCH OF A CHALLENGE WITH RESPECT TO THAT. THIS IS JUST A SMALL STEP FORWARD. I APPRECIATE COUNCILLOR GILROY'S MOTION INITIALLY AND WITH SOME GREAT AMENDMENTS MOVING FORWARD. I THINK THERE'S JUST DEFINITELY MORE WORK THAT NEED TO BE DONE. I WILL PROMISE TO CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THE RESIDENTS, THE PEOPLE WHO DO LIVE IN ENCAMPMENTS, THE PEOPLE WHO DO LIVE IN SHELTERS. I DO ULTIMATELY DO REPRESENT THEM, AS WELL, AND WE SHOULD REALLY CONTINUE ON WITH THE DIALOGUE WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THE PROVINCE. I KNOW THE MAYOR IS DOING THAT. YEAH. THERE DEFINITELY IS MORE WORK TO BE DONE BUT WE'RE HERE AT THIS JUNCTURE WITH THIS MOTION AND I REALLY DO HOPE COUNCIL COLLEAGUES WILL SUPPORT IT UNANIMOUSLY. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR SANTOS. WITH THAT WE'LL VOTE ON ITEM TWO. ALL IN FAVOUR PLEASE RISE. THE VOTE HAS BEEN CALLED. THE ENTIRE ITEM.

CLERK: HIS WORSHIP MAYOR GILLINGHAM. COUNCILLOR ALLARD, COUNCILLOR BROWATY, WHICH HAD, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, COUNCILLOR EADIE, COUNCILLOR GILROY, COUNCILLOR LUKES, COUNCILLOR MAYES, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, COUNCILLOR SANTOS,

COUNCILLOR WYATT, AND MADAM SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR SHARMA. >> YEAS 14, NAYS 0.

MADAM SPEAKER: PASSING UNANIMOUSLY. NEXT IS MONTH MOTIONS UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. NO BYLAWS. QUESTION PERIOD FOR CHAIR, COUNCILLOR SANTOS. ANY QUESTIONS? NO QUESTIONS TODAY. THE FINAL COMMITTEE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS. COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. I MOVE ITEMS 1 THROUGH 6 AND PULL SEVEN.

MADAM SPEAKER: : YOU PULLED SEVEN, TRANSIT TRANSFER TIME EXTENSION. COUNCILLOR GILROY. >> Councillor Cindy Gilroy: CAN I JUST -- I WOULD LIKE TO BE PUT IN OPPOSITION FOR ITEM SIX.

MADAM SPEAKER: WE'LL DO THAT LATER. THANK YOU. ANY OTHER -- STAND IT DOWN NOW. THANK YOU. WE NOTED YOU IN OPPOSITION. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: IN OPPOSITION TO SIX.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR GILROY. COUNCILLOR LUKES. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL RIGHT. I CALL THE QUESTION 1-6. ALL IN FAVOUR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. WE'VE NOTED OPPOSITION. ITEM SEVEN.

CLERK: TRANSIT TRANSFER TIMING.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR LUKES YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: SURE. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK COUNCILLOR MAYES FOR BRINGING THIS UP SOONER THAN LATER. THE PUBLIC SERVICE HAD THIS ON THE AGENDA TO DEAL WITH WHEN THEY DEALT WITH THEIR NEW FARES AND REVIEW OF THEIR FARES. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR MAYES, FOR COMING FORWARD WITH THAT. WHILE WE'RE ON ADJUSTMENTS TO TRANSIT, I WOULD LIKE TO NOTE. EVERYONE SAW THE SEPTEMBER 11TH, PRESS RELEASE OF THE CHANGES THAT WERE OCCURRING AND WE ARE GOING TO HEAR BACK ABOUT

THE EXTENDED TRANSFERS. WE ARE ACTIVELY PURSUING FUNDING IN THE BUDGET FOR EVENING HOURS. I JUST WANTED TO MENTION MOSTLY FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD BUT ALSO FOR COUNCILLORS TO UNDERSTAND JUST THE TRANSIT SYSTEM. I KNOW EVERYONE WANTS CHANGES RIGHT AWAY. WE'VE BEEN INTO THIS I THINK 86 DAYS NOW. I THINK THERE WAS A TOTAL OF FOUR DIFFERENT VOTES WE ALL VOTED ONTO PROCEED WITH THIS NEW TRANSIT ROUTING. I THINK MAYBE IT WAS -- NO THERE WERE A FEW COUNCILLORS THAT DIDN'T SUPPORT IT BUT MOST DID. I JUST WANTED TO EXPLAIN TO YOU THE COMPLEXITY AROUND PLANNING THESE TRANSIT ROUTES. TRANSIT PLANNING AND SCHEDULING GROUP ORGANIZES THE SHIFTS OF MERELY 1100 BUS OPERATORS IN TRANSIT WHO DRIVE THE BUSES. THIS INCLUDES 600 DAILY PIECES OF WORK, BUSES LEAVING THE GARAGE FOR ROUTES. OVER 5,000 HOURS OF DAILY SERVICE AND OVER 100,000 KILOMETERS OF DAILY SERVICE. EVERY DAY THERE'S APPROXIMATELY 480 BUSES ON THE ROAD AT PEAK TIMES. THE SCHEDULING PROCESS, WINNIPEG TRANSIT CHANGES SCHEDULES FOUR TIMES A YEAR IN WHAT IS KNOWN AS SERVICE CHANGES. SO BETWEEN THE SERVICE CHANGES, ROUTES ARE MONITORED FOR PERFORMANCE WITH EACH CHANGE THERE'S ALWAYS SLIGHT TWEAKS TO IMPROVE PERFORMANCE. THE TASK OF SCHEDULING ITSELF FALLS INTO THREE GENERAL STEPS. ASSESSING AND RECOMMENDING CHANGES TO THE CURRENT SERVICE, DEVELOPING THE SCHEDULES THEMSELVES, THEN THE DRIVERS SELECT THEIR WORK AND PREPARE FOR THE SCHEDULE CHANGE. SO THIS PROCESS, CHANGING SCHEDULE, GENERALLY TAKES ABOUT THREE MONTHS. DRIVERS START TO CHOOSE THEIR WORK 5 TO 6 WEEKS BEFORE A SCHEDULING CHANGE AND THIS PROCESS TAKES THREE WEEKS TO COMPLETE, WHICH LEAVES THREE WEEKS FOR TRUCK DRIVERS TO PLAN THEIR ROUTES AND WORK SCHEDULES AND IT ALLOWS WINNIPEG TRANSIT TIME TO COMPLETE AND MEND DUTIES REQUIRED TO LAUNCH THE NEW SCHEDULES. CHANGING A SCHEDULE IS VERY COMPLICATED. IT'S GOT MANY LAYERS TO IT. ADD TO THAT HUNDREDS OF CALLS REQUESTING CHANGES, LIKE WE'RE SEEING RIGHT NOW. REGRETTABLY THE TRANSIT SERVICE IS NOT SOMETHING WE CAN CHANGE ON A DIME OR CHANGE IMMEDIATELY. IT DOES TAKE THIS WINDOW OF TIME, FOUR TIMES A YEAR. IT TAKES A WINDOW OF TIME TO MAKE THE CHANGES. I'VE SAID THIS MULTIPLE TIMES THROUGH MEDIA INTERVIEWS AND WHEN ASKED AND IN MY E-MAILS. THIS SYSTEM WE'RE IMPLEMENTING. ONCE IMPLEMENTED AND WHEN WITH GET THE BUGS OUT OF IT, WHEN WE MAKE AN ADJUSTMENT AND CHANGES HAS PROVEN IN MANY OTHER CITIES TO INCREASE RIDERS ON TRANSIT. I ASK PEOPLE TO SEND IN E-MAILS AND CHANGES. I WILL SAY, COUNCILLOR WYATT, I'VE HAD SOME VERY INTERESTING E-MAILS AND CALMS AND FRUSTRATIONS FROM PEOPLE. I HEAR

YOU. I TAKE THE BUS BUT MY ROUTE IS A STRAIGHT ROUTE. IT ISN'T A CONNECTING ROUTE. WE ARE WORKING ON THIS. SEND IN YOUR CHANGES. ALL OF US HERE HAVE VOTED FOR THIS NEW SYSTEM TO BE IMPLEMENTED. IT'S JUST GOING TO TAKE A BIT OF TIME. I WASN'T HERE WHEN WE DID THE GARBAGE TRANSITION. I KNOW THERE WERE CHALLENGES WITH THAT. YOU LOOK AROUND NOW AND YOU SEE ALL THESE BEAUTIFUL RECYCLING AND GARBAGE CANS AND YOU COULDN'T FATHOM WHAT IT WAS LIKE IN THE OLD DAYS. I TRULY BELIEVE, BECAUSE -- I TRULY BELIEVE THAT THE MAJORITY OF PEOPLE WILL EMBRACE THE SYSTEM WHEN WE HAVE IT FINALLY REFINED. WHEN I LOOK AT THE NETWORK THAT WE'VE GOT IN THE SOUTHWEST QUADRANT OF WINNIPEG, WHICH WAS PUT IN IN 2020, IT TOOK A YEAR AND A BIT TO MAKE ADJUSTMENTS AND CHANGES. NOW THERE HAVE BEEN A FEW MORE CHANGES, LIKE EVERYONE'S AREA HAS COMPLETELY CHANGED. WE'RE HAVING TO MAKE ADJUSTMENTS. ONCE IT IN AND WORKING IT WORKS VERY WELL. WE'VE SEEN THIS IN OTHER CITIES. I BELIEVE IT WAS EDMONTON. THEY WERE INTERVIEWING SOMEONE THE OTHER DAY ON THE RADIO THAT SAID, MAYBE TWO YEARS IN, THE INCREASE WAS 21% INCREASE IN TRANSIT RIDERSHIP. BEAR WITH US. WE WANTED TO MOVE FORWARD ON THE CHANGES WE WERE MAKING. WE WANT TO ACCELERATE THE CHANGES. WE HAVE NEXT YEAR TO PUT IN MORE SHELTER, MORE PADS, MORE INFRASTRUCTURE. THIS IS A MASSIVE OVERHAUL. THERE WILL BE CHALLENGES AND I'M ASKING EVERYONE TO BE PATIENT. ENCOURAGE RESIDENTS TO BE PATIENT, TAKE THEIR FEEDBACK. I'LL SEND THIS SCHEDULE TO YOU WHAT IS INVOLVED WHEN WE HAVE CHANGES TO THE NETWORK. WHAT ACTUALLY HAS TO HAPPEN WITH ALL THE DRIVERS AND ALL THE BUSES. I'M PLEASED WITH YOUR MOTION. THAT'S WHERE WE STARTED WITH. WE WILL BE EXTENDING TRANSFER TIMES. WE WILL BE EXTENDING EVENING HOURS. WE'RE MAKING CHANGES TO SCHEDULES, TO ROUTES. IT'S ALL IN THE WORKS. I ENCOURAGE EVERYONE TO COME TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE WHEN TRANSIT IS THERE. EVERY SINGLE COUNCILLOR IS WELCOME TO SPEAK 1 OR 1 TO TRANSIT IF YOU WANT TO. YOU JUST MAKE THAT CALL. THEY'LL SPEAK TO YOU. WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT? I WILL SAY I VERIFIED WITH TRANSIT THEY WILL SPEAK TO ANYONE WHO WANTS TO SPEAK TO THEM. TRANSIT FOLLOWS UP AND ASKS WHEN DO YOU WANT TO MEET. SOME COUNCILLORS DON'T RESPOND. I'M NOT REALLY SURE WHAT THAT'S ALL ABOUT. I'M NOT GOING DOWN THAT RABBIT HOLE. SO RIGHT NOW I'M JUST SAYING TRANSIT HAS INFORMED ME THEY WILL SPEAK TO ANYONE WHO WANTS TO SET UP AN APPOINTMENT TO SPEAK TO THEM. I HIGHLY DOUBT THEY ARE NOT TELLING THE TRUTH. WITH THAT I HAVE NOTHING MORE TO SAY. UNLESS THERE'S QUESTIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS ON THIS ITEM?

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I WASN'T GOING TO PULL THIS BUT THE COUNCILLOR PULLED THIS. THANK YOU FOR DOING THAT. A COUPLE OF MATTERS RAISED DESERVE COMMENT. I WILL END ON A POSITIVE NOTE. THE ISSUE OF INCREASED RIDERSHIP. LET US REMEMBER, AND THIS PERHAPS IS MUCH OF THE C.A.O. AND PUBLIC AS ANYONE ELSE. WE'VE HAD THE RESPONSE SAY, WELL, WE DON'T COUNT TRANSFERS AS ONE RIDE. THAT'S GOING TO BE TWO SEPARATE RIDES. WELL IN ANY SYSTEM THEN WHERE YOU'RE HAVING A LOT MORE TRANSFERS YOUR RIDERSHIP NUMBER IS GOING TO GO UP. THAT'S NOT VERY HARD MATH. SOME PEOPLE WILL SAY, YES, BUT I USED TO NEED TO TRANSFER NOW I DON'T. I THINK IF WE'RE BEING HONEST THE SYSTEM INVOLVES MORE TRANSFERRING. REVENUE WILL FLAT LINE. LET'S TAKE ANY NUMBERS WE GET SHOWING A 5%, 10%, 20% INCREASE IN RIDERSHIP WITH A GRAIN OF SALT. THAT MAY BE THE SAME NUMBER OF PEOPLE BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO TRANSFER BECAUSE THE 58 BUS DOESN'T GET YOU TO CITY HALL ANYMORE. BE I A WARE OF THAT WHEN THAT NUMBER COMES OUT. NUMBER TWO, YES. GOOD THERE WERE CHANGES MADE. SEPTEMBER 11TH PRESS RELEASE, I BELIEVE COUNCILLOR LUKES SAID. IT WOULD BE HELPFUL -- WE GET CALLS FROM PEOPLE IN MY WARD SAYING, WELL, THERE'S THIS SIGN UP SAYING HOW THE STOP IS GOING TO BE MOVED BACK FROM HINDLEY TO WORTHINGTON BUT THERE'S NO SIGNAGE. WE GO NO. YOU'RE CONFUSED. IN FACT THERE IS A SIGN WE'RE MOVING IT BACK, BUT TRANSIT HASN'T DONE IT YET. THERE'S CONSTERNATION. OH, IT'S THE SUBCONTRACTORS FAULT. OH, IT'S OUR FAULT. WHY WOULDN'T YOU TELL THE COUNCILLOR'S OFFICE THAT YOU'RE DOING THAT FIRST. WHY WOULDN'T YOU JUST SEND AN E-MAIL OR CALL SAYING GOOD NEWS. WE'RE MOVING THE SHELTER BACK. IF WE'RE TO BE THE COMPLAINTS DEPARTMENT IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO HAVE INFORMATION TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS. I'LL FLAG THAT FOR THE C.A.O. THEN THE ANNOUNCEMENT COMES WE MOVED TWO BUS SHELTERS SIX HOURS AFTER ME ENDLESSLY BADGERING AND SOMEBODY TO HER CREDIT SAYS, YES. ONE IS THIS ONE AT ST. ANN'S IN WORTHINGTON. GREAT. THANK YOU. GOOD. BUT IT SHOULDN'T TAKE SIX HOURS OF SAYING YOU ANNOUNCED TWO. IS THIS ONE MYSTERIOUSLY MOVED IN MY WARD, BECAUSE I CAN'T BE A GOOD COMPLAINTS DEPARTMENT IF YOU DON'T GIVE ME THE INFORMATION YOU'RE MOVING THINGS AROUND. IT'S COMMON COURTESY AMONG OTHER THINGS, PROFESSIONALISM, WHATEVER WORD YOU WANT TO PUT TO IT. I DIDN'T READ IT IN THE FREE PRESS THEY GOT THE INFORMATION THE SAME TIME WE DID. JUST LET US KNOW AND WE CAN RESPOND TO THE PUBLIC. ON A POSITIVE NOTE AND END ON A POSITIVE NOTE WITH SOME GRACE,

HOPEFULLY. THANK YOU TO THREE DIFFERENT COMMITTEE, FOUR DIFFERENT COMMITTEES WHO HAD TO APPROVE THIS. THREE DIFFERENT COMMITTEES AND TODAY WE'LL HAVE A VOTE. THIS MOTION SUGGESTED BY A RESIDENT IN MY WARD. IT'S A GOOD SUGGESTION, FRANKLY. PLEASANTLY SURPRISED IT'S GONE THROUGH AT PUBLIC WORKS, C.P.C. AND NOW COUNCIL HERE. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, YOU WERE CONSISTENT SUPPORTER. THANK YOU FOR THAT. PLEASED TO SEE IT. I KNOW IT'S ONE PART OF A LARGER PUZZLE BUT I APPRECIATE SOME POSITIVE REENFORCEMENT, CONSIDERATION OF A MOTION THAT CAME FORWARD OUT OF COMMUNITY COMMITTEE. TO END ON A POSITIVE NOTE, THANK YOU. HOPEFULLY PEOPLE WILL SUPPORT IT. I THINK IT'S A GOOD MOTION AND IT'S GOING TO PROMOTE MORE USE OF THE TRANSIT SYSTEM, HOPEFULLY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR MAYES. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS OVER TO YOU.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU. THE 90-MINUTE TRANSFER WINDOW HAS MY SUPPORT, MADAM SPEAKER. I WILL NOTE IT DID HIGHLIGHT THE BARRIERS TO TRANSIT ACCESS, SENIOR, INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITY, CHRONIC HEALTH CONDITIONS AND OTHERS WHO CAN'T PHYSICALLY MOVE AS QUICKLY OR AS FLEXIBLY THROUGH THE SYSTEM. IT REALLY DOES HIGH HEIGHT IT WHEN WE SAY IT'S GOING TO SAY IT TAKES LONGER THAN 75 MINUTES. IT'S GOING TO TAKE 90. THAT IN AND OF ITSELF IS WHY, YOU KNOW IN THE FULLNESS OF TIME HERE, I'LL BE ASKING FOR AN EMERGENCY DEBATE. THE POLICY ASSUMES THE 90 MINUTE, THIS CERTAIN LEVEL OF PHYSICAL MOBILITY. IT ASSUMES A LEVEL OF ROOT CERTAINTY I DON'T THINK IS THERE AND PERSONAL RESILIENCE THAT REALLY DOESN'T REFLECT THE LIVED EXPERIENCE OF MANY RIDERS THAT ARE TAKING IT. INCLUDING MANY STUDENTS LIKE THE PRESIDENT THAT WAS HERE WHICH -- I GUESS HE'S IN THE CATEGORY OF A LONG LIST LIKE MYSELF OF PEOPLE WHO SAID NO TO MEETINGS FROM TRANSIT OR THE CHAIR, FOR INSTANCE. THE SAME IS TRUE FOR SENIORS, MADAM SPEAKER, NEEDING EXTRA TIME FOR MAKING TRIPS FROM HEALTH SERVICES. MANY OF WHOM ARE JUGGLING MULTIPLE STOPS. MANY WHO ARE REPORTING THEY ARE MISSING MEDICAL APPOINTMENTS. MADAM SPEAKER, THEIR DOCTORS ARE REPORTING ABOUT THOSE MISSED MEDICAL APPOINTMENTS, TOO. 90-MINUTE TRANSFERS DO NOT REPLACE THE BUS STOP IN FRONT OF, BASICALLY, EVERY DINA CARE IN THE CITY, MADAM SPEAKER. AND/OR UNDERSTAND WHY WE HAVEN'T BEEN TOLD IF TRANSIT AND WHEN TRANSIT WILL GET IN TOUCH WITH ANY OF THE HOSPITALS. SAY FOR THE LARGEST HOSPITAL, THE HEALTH SCIENCE CENTRE,

MADAM SPEAKER. THE 90-MINUTE TRANSFER WINDOW DOESN'T ADDRESS THAT. THAT'S WHY I'M HOPING WE'LL GET A TWO THIRDS VOTE HERE. I REALLY WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT WHILE THE TECHNICAL PROCESSES THAT THE CHAIR HAS EXPANDED UPON, AND I LOOK FORWARD TO THAT E-MAIL, THE RESPONSE FROM THE DEPARTMENT OR THE CHAIR HAS FOCUSED ON THE TECHNICAL PROCESSES AND LONG-TERM SYSTEM GOALS. RATHER THAN THE IMMEDIACY OF THE HUMAN LIVES AND THE FEEDBACK. SUGGESTIONS AND SOLUTIONS OF ADDING MORE BUSES, THE VAGUE POSSIBILITY OF REEVALUATING ROUTES, AND WHAT I THINK MY COLLEAGUE, COUNCILLOR MAYES, HAS REALLY EXPLAINED, THE LACK OF FEEDBACK LOOP REALLY NECESSITATES ACTION HERE. THESE ARE CORE ISSUES. IT'S NOT MERELY NETWORK GEOMETRY THAT IS ANSWERED IN THE MAPS. SOMETHING THAT I'M TOLD I SHOULD LOOK AT BECAUSE THAT WILL THEREIN ANSWER ANY EQUITY CONCERNS I HAVE. IT JUST WON'T. THIS IS A FAILURE TO DESIGN AND ADAPT WITH EQUITY AND ACCESS IN MIND, MADAM SPEAKER, AND THIS MOTION REALLY DOES HIGHLIGHT THE EQUITY ISSUES. I AGREE CHANGES CAN'T HAPPEN ON A DIME. SO REALLY IMPORTANT THEN THAT WE START TALKING ABOUT IT EARLY ON IN THE PROCESS AND NOT PUT OFF TODAY WHAT WE CAN APPARENTLY DO IN NOVEMBER WHEN SNOW FLIES IN THE CITY, MADAM SPEAKER. IT FLIES BEFORE HALLOWEEN. HALLOWEEN FOR ALL THE LITTLE CHILDREN. ON THAT DESIGN CONSTRAINT ALONE, WE NEED TO PREVENT AND SUPPORT THE PUBLIC SERVICE. NOT WITH ANSWERS TO THE PUBLIC ON BUREAUCRATIC RIGIDITY BUT INSTEAD BUILDING TRUST BY WHAT COUNCILLOR MAYES POINTED OUT. IF FOLKS ARE BOTHERING TO TALK TO US ANYMORE, THAT WE ARE COMING BACK WITH NOT SIX, MADAM SPEAKER, BUT INDEED THE LIST OF THINGS THAT WE ARE CHANGING AND WHOLLY COW, MADAM SPEAKER, COULD THE LOCAL COUNCILLOR BE TOLD. THE RECENT MYTH BUSTING RELEASE IS EMBLEMATIC OF THE FAILURE AND TONE AND SUBSTANCE. WHEN YOU'RE ENGAGING OPENLY WITH SENIORS, WHEN YOU HAVE WELL-DOCUMENTED CONCERNS BEING RAISED LIKE THOSE -- JUST LIKE THOSE IN THE HEALTHCARE SECTOR. MAYBE WE SHOULD PUT THE SCHOOLS ASIDE. THE NIGHTTIME ECONOMY ASIDE. THE IMPLEMENTATION OF MMIWG, PUT ALL OF THAT ASIDE. BUT JUST ON HOSPITALS ALONE, THE UNRELIABILITY OF SERVICE, THE MISSED MEDICAL APPOINTMENTS, THE WASTE OF TIME FOR THE MEDICAL FIELD, AT THIS TIME BEING CRITICAL. THAT DISMISSIVE FRAMING REALLY IS A DISREGARD AND, MADAM SPEAKER, IT'S REALLY HARD WHEN WE'RE NOT GOING TO POTENTIALLY TALK ABOUT TRANSIT TO NOT SEE THAT AS A POLICY FAILURE OF THIS COUNCIL AND NOT TO SEE THAT AS INACTION BY THIS COUNCIL. THAT NARROW TRANSFER WINDOW, THE CUT IN EVENING SERVICE, THE LACK OF ACCESS TO DEPARTMENTAL STAFF AND THE LIMITED SPACE FOR NON E.P.C.

COUNCILLORS TO RAISE OR ADVANCE MOTIONS TO POINT TO BROADER GOVERNANCE CONCERNS LIKE THE MOTION CITY CENTRE PUT FORWARD. THERE WAS A LOT OF PRAISE IN THIS CHAMBER FOR COUNCILLOR GILROY. THERE WAS A LOT OF RECOGNITION FOR WARD RESIDENTS SHE REPRESENTS. WELL THEN WHY -- EXPLAIN WHY THE WEST END WASN'T ALLOWED A VOICE AND CITY CENTRE DID NOT HAVE ACCESS TO TRANSIT, PUBLIC WORKS PREVENTED THAT AND IT'S MOVED OUT TO THE ONLY OPPORTUNITY, UNDER AN HOUR OF DISCUSSION. NONE OF THE ANSWERS THAT HAD BEEN FORWARDED PRIOR TO THAT MEETING, BY THE WAY, WERE ANSWERED IN THAT MEETING. THE GROUPS EXPLICITLY NAMED IN OUR CITY'S OWN EQUITY FRAMEWORK, IN OUR TRANSPORTATION ACCESSIBILITY CLAUSES WE SENT UP TO THE LEGISLATURE, ARE BEING OVERLOOKED. WE HAVE DATA SOURCES, MADAM SPEAKER. NOT METHOD LOGICALLY IMPENETRABLE MASTER LEVEL SOURCES AND RESEARCH BUT JUST PRACTICAL SOURCES LIKE 311, TRANSIT PLUS. WE HAVE SOURCES, TOO, FROM THE 311 DATA IF WE WOULD GIVE IT SOME AIR AND DISCLOSE IT PROACTIVELY IN COMMISERATE WITH THE ANGER WE'RE HEARING. COMMISERATE WITH THE ANGER. THAT REQUIRES MORE DISCLOSURE AND DISCUSSION OF DEMOCRATICALLY ELECTED OFFICIALS IN MY OPINION. POST SECONDARY PARTNER, LABOUR GROUPS, COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS, HEALTHCARE INSTITUTION, ALL OF THEM PROVIDED ANECDOTAL, 31 IS, POLICY RELEVANT FEED ABACK AND WHEN WE CALL THAT A MYTH AND WE DON'T CORRECT THE RECORD HERE, WE ARE DISMISSING IT. WE ARE MISREPRESENTING IT AND NARY I SAY MAYBE WE DON'T DESERVE FEEDBACK. MOVING FORWARD IT ISN'T SUFFICIENT TO CHARACTERIZE THIS AS ADJUSTMENT PAIN WE HAVE TO ACT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. COUNCILLOR BROWATY NEXT SPEAKER.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. AS THE CHAIR OF FINANCE, I AM SLIGHTLY HESITANT TO SUPPORT THIS MOTION WITHOUT KNOWING THE COMPLETE FINANCIAL RAMIFICATIONS OF THIS DECISION. THAT SAID, RECOGNIZING THE GIVEN CHANGES MADE TO THE TRANSIT SYSTEM I WILL BE SUPPORTING THIS MOTION TODAY. AS I THINK WE'RE ALL AWARE, WE'VE GONE FROM A SYSTEM WHERE WE HAD A LOT OF SNAKE I CAN ROUTES THAT WENT THROUGHOUT THE CITY, DOWNTOWN, AND IF YOU WANTED A TRANSFER TAKE A SNAKE TO ANOTHER PART OF THE CITY. IT WASN'T THAT LONG AGO I REMEMBER TRANSFERS ON BUSES WERE PAPER. THEY HAD NUMBERS PUNCHED OUT FOR YOUR ROUTE. THEY HAD A TIME. 4:00, 415, 430,

THEY RIPPED IT OFF ON AN ANGLE AND IT WAS IN A PARTICULAR DIRECTION. IF YOU WERE ON THE 11 BUS GOING ONE DIRECTION, YOU COULDN'T GET ON THE ONE BUS GOING THE OTHER DIRECTION. IF YOU TOOK THE 11 BUS DOWNTOWN, THEY LOOK AND YOU GET ON 18 GOING NORTH MAIN OR TAKE THE 18 THE OTHER WAY TO CORDON. BUT YOU COULDN'T TAKE THE BUS HOME. IT WAS A ONE-WAY THING. TRANSIT GENEROUSLY DECIDED -- THE COUNCIL OF THE DAY DECIDED WE HAVE THE POWER HOUR. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE YOUR BUS FARE. WE'RE INCREASING FARES PROBABLY MORE THAN $0.05. I DON'T RECALL BUT KNOWING POLITICS AN HOW THEY DID IT, IF YOU HAVE A SHORT TRIP YOU CAN USE ONE FARE TO GO PICK UP A GROCERY OR AN ERRAND AND TAKE THE SAME BUS HOME. IT BECAME -- I THINK IT WAS POWER HOUR IF I REMEMBER CORRECTLY. THEN IT WAS INCREASED TO 75 MINUTES. THE REALIZATION NOW IS MOVING TO THE GRID SYSTEM, YOU GET ON ONE BUS, TAKE A TRANSFER. YOU MAKE UP THE TRANSFER FOR FINAL LEG TO ANOTHER LOCAL BUS AND THAT TRIP NOW, THE CITY HAS GROWN, TRAFFIC IS SEVERE, IT'S A ALL ON A SINGLE TRIP AND SINGLE DIRECTION COULD TAKE MORE THAN 75 MINUTES. BASED ON THAT AND CHANGES I SUPPORT THE MOTION EVEN THOUGH WE HAVEN'T CLASSIFIED OUT THE FINANCIAL RAMIFICATIONS. THAT'S ALL I WANT TO SAY ON THIS ONE TODAY. THE TRANSIT SYSTEM IS GOING THROUGH SIGNIFICANT CHANGES. I'VE HEARD A LOT OF GOOD THINGS ABOUT IDEAS ON HOW WE CAN ENHANCE IT MOVING PIECES HERE AND THERE. TO THE PUBLIC, HOLD TIGHT. I APPRECIATE FOR SOME OF YOU NOW THERE ARE CERTAIN TRIP AND CERTAIN PLACES AND IT'S FRUSTRATING AS HECK. I RECOGNIZE THAT, YOU KNOW -- I COMMENTED I THINK ON THE FLOOR OF THE COUNCIL MANY TIMES. THERE'S GOING TO BE ROUTES WHERE THE SINGLE BUS THING YOU'VE BEEN USED TO FOREVER IS BROKEN. IF YOU'RE COMING FROM NORTH MAIN AND GO TO CORDON EVERY DAY. PEOPLE ARE GOING TO GET UPSET AND REALIZE THAT THIS ONE BUS ROUTING IS NOT GOING TO WORK ANYMORE. THERE ARE A LOT OF TRIPS THOUGH WHERE TRYING TO GET TO KILDONAN PLACE FROM NORTH. IT WAS AWKWARD, INFREQUENT AND GOES THROUGH WEIRD LOOPS. THERE ARE NOW MULTIPLE WAYS FROM MOST OF NORTH KILDONAN GET TO THE REGIONAL SHOPPING MALL. WAY EASIER THAN MY ENTIRE LIFETIME. AGAIN, THE SYSTEM IS NOT PERFECT ON DAY ONE. IT'S A BIG STEP. IT WAS A BIG CHANGE. I HAVE HEARD GOOD THINGS ABOUT ENHANCEMENTS COMING ALONG. WE'RE WORKING, AGAIN, AS THE MAYOR I A ANNOUNCED TODAY TO BRING BACK EVENING SERVICE TO PEOPLE WHO DEPEND ON TRANSIT IN EVENING HOURS. I'M OPTIMISTIC IT WILL BE A WONDERFUL SYSTEM FOR WINNIPEGGERS WITH TWEAKS A AND CHANGES HERE AND THERE. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR BROWATY. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER, I WASN'T GOING TO SPEAK ON THIS. I WAS GOING TO ASK QUESTIONS BUT I PREFER TO SPEAK RATHER THAN ASKING QUESTIONS AND DRAG OUT THE MEETING IN THAT WAY. I'M THE ONLY MEMBER OF THE COUNCIL THAT VOTED AGAINST THE PLAN. I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT FOR THE RECORD, AGAIN, NOT EVERYBODY VOTED FOR THIS AS COUNCILLOR LUKES IS TRYING TO ELUDE. IT WAS NOT UNANIMOUS. THE SHORTCOMINGS I POINTED OUT THEN THAT I POINT OUT AGAIN NOW. THE SYSTEM WAS BUILT ON THE BASIS THAT THE MAJOR CORRIDORS WOULD BE THE BACKBONE. WE DON'T HAVE A RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM LIKE OTHER CITIES. WE DON'T HAVE THE CAPACITY OR THE FREQUENCY EVEN ON THOSE MAIN LINES. THEY ARE STRUGGLING ON THE MAIN LINES TO COMPETE WITH TRAFFIC. IN TRAFFIC THAT IS GROWING. THE MAYOR LIKES TO POINT OUT THAT WE'VE ADDED 70,000 MORE PEOPLE TO WINNIPEG IN THE LAST THREE YEARS. 70,000. MOST OF THEM NEW CANADIANS. MANY OF THEM NEW CANADIANS. GUESS WHAT THEY ARE TAKING? THE BUS. WE HAD AN EXISTING SYSTEM, MADAM SPEAKER, WHERE THE BUSES WERE ALREADY PACKED. IN MY WARD, THE MORNING AND NIGHT, THE BUSES ARE FULL. BUSES PASS STOPS WHERE PEOPLE ARE WAITING BECAUSE THEY GET ON. THEY KNOW THE BUS IS FULL. HENDERSON, SAME THING. ALL OVER THE CITY. THE LAST TIME WE EXPANDED THE FLEET IN ANY SPECIFIC WAY, WHEN I WAS CHAIR OF FINANCE OR JUST BEFORE. REMEMBER TOM BROAD ATTACKING ME BECAUSE WE'RE ADDING EMPLOYEES TO THE CITY WORKFORCE. A LOT OF THEM WERE BUS DRIVERS. WE WERE HIRING HUNDREDS. EXPANDING THE PAYROLL OF THE CITY. FOR THE FIRST TIME WE EXPANDED THE FLEET. WE NEED TO EXPAND THE FLEET AGAIN. WE HAD A WONDERFUL REPORT TODAY ITEM NUMBER ONE, WHERE WE'RE BUYING A 24 -- WHAT DID THE REPORT SAY? SUPPLYING WE'RE BUYING OURSELVES 25 HEAVY DUTY 40-FOOT LOW FLOOR BATTERY BUSES. WONDERFUL. GREAT. THAT'S REPLACING THE OLD BUSES BUT WE'RE NOT EXPANDING THE FLEET. THE FLEET NEEDED TO BE EXPANDED ALREADY. FIRST PROBLEM, IF YOU WANT TO HAVE A SYSTEM WHERE YOU HAVE MORE TRANSFERS THE CRUCIAL THING WHEN WE DID THE RAPID TRANSIT TASK FORCE IN 2005, WHAT WE HEARD OVER AND OVER IS FREQUENCY. ABSOLUTELY CRUCIAL. NOW WITH MORE TRANSFERS PEOPLE WAIT. IF THEY MISS, IT'S OKAY RIGHT NOW WITH THE WEATHER BUT IN THE WINTER I HEAR WHAT COUNCILLOR LUKES IS SAYING. WE HAVE ALMOST $20 MILLION IN NEW INFRASTRUCTURE COMING. IT'S GREAT TO TELL PEOPLE THIS COMING WINTER. NOT TO SAY AFTER WE SPEND THE 20

MILLION THEY HAVE A HEATED BUS SHELTER. NO. NOT ONLY THAT. NUMBER ONE, THE SIZE OF THE FLEET HAS TO BE EXPANDED. THE CITY IS GROWING AND WE HAVE TO BARE DOWN AND EXPAND THE FLEET. AGAIN IN A SIGNIFICANT WAY. NUMBER TWO, THE IDEA OF COMBINING THE STOPS AND REDUCING STOPS. SOMEHOW IT'S GOING TO SPEED THE SYSTEM UP IS ASININE. THE SAME AMOUNT OF PEOPLE ARE GETTING TON SAME AMOUNT OF BUSES THEY HAVE TO WALK FURTHER. NOW THE BUSES ARE STACKING. IT'S MAKE THEM HARDER TO GET ON THEM. IT MAKES NO SENSE. IT MADE NO SENSE BACK THEN. SOMEHOW SOMEBODY SAID, OH, THIS IS GOING TO SPEED UP THE PROCESS PEOPLE MOVING ON THE BUS. IT DOESN'T. NOW IT'S LONGER FOR PEOPLE TO WALK TO GET TO THEIR BUS OR THE DESTINATION AT THE OTHER END. THAT WAS NUMBER TWO. NUMBER THREE, IF YOU WANT TO HAVE A SYSTEM LIKE THIS YOU'VE GOT TO START INVESTING IN RAPID TRANSIT. YOU REALLY DO. WITH DEDICATED CORRIDORS. THE WHOLE SYSTEM THAT BOWMAN CAME OUT WITH. GET RID OF CAMPAIGNS TO GET ELECTED WITH FIVE NEW LINES AND ABANDON THEM ALL WHEN YOU WERE ALL HERE. VOTED TO PUT THEM ON THE CITY SYSTEM. THE SAME REGIONAL STREETS THAT WERE TAKEN AWAY TO PUT BIKE PATHS DOWN. TAKE A BIKE LANE AWAY FOR BIKES. WE HAVE TRUCKS, BUSES, IN SYSTEM BUILT SINCE WE BUILT THE SOUTHWEST CORRIDOR. THE ONLY WAY A SYSTEM LIKE THIS WORKS IS WHEN YOU HAVE A RAPID TRANSIT LINE IT'S FEEDING INTO. THE MOST SUCCESSFUL CITIES MAKE THEIR FEEDER LINES FREE. YOU GET ON A FEEDER BUS AND IT'S FREE. YOU GET ON A MAIN LINE THAT'S WHEN YOU PAY. YOU HAVE THE FREQUENCY. THAT'S CRUCIAL. THOSE ARE THE THINGS -- TO TURN AROUND AND ASK US COUNCILLOR, GIVE US YOUR CHANGES. THAT TELLS ME OF A SYSTEM THAT'S TOTALLY FAILING NOW. AS YOU RELY ON US AND CALLS TO SAVE THE SYSTEM. THEN TO FIND OUT A MONTH OR TWO BEFORE THE GUY WHO AUTHORED THE PLAN, TRANSIT DOESN'T HAVE A MASSIVE POLICY ARM OR DEVELOPMENT ARM. I MET WITH THEM ABOUT THIS. I FLEW UP A RED FLAG A YEAR BEFORE IT ROLLED OUT WHEN WE FOUND OUT THEY DIDN'T BUY ONE DIME ON SOCIAL MEDIA. THEY DIDN'T SPEND ONE DIME ON PUBLIC OPEN HOUSES TO ADVERTISE ON FaceBook. THEY PUT IT ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE. WHY DIDN'T ANYBODY SHOW UP? THEY DIDN'T BUY ANY ADVERTISING. THEY SAID COUNCILLORS SHOULD DO THAT. NO. WE'RE BUILDING A NEW RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM AND YOU'RE NOT BUYING ADVERTISING. WE JUST PUT IT UP ON THE WEBSITE. NOBODY IS GOING TO SHOW UP. I WONDER WHY PEOPLE ARE GOING CRAZY AROUND THE CITY RIGHT NOW. TO TURN AROUND AND ASK AND SAY GIVE US YOUR CHANGES THAT TELLS ME -- AND THE PERSON WHO WRITES THE PLAN, MADAM SPEAKER, TO TAKE A PLUM POSITION. I DON'T BLAME HIM. HE GOT A JOB AT VICTORIA B.C. HE IS NOW AND WROTE THE PLAN AND HE'S GONE.

WE'RE THE ONES IMPLEMENTING IT. I FEEL FOR HIM. ANYWAY, WHEN I SAY THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH PUSHING THE RESTART BUTTON AND GOING BACK. I MEAN I'M SERIOUS. THE EXISTING SYSTEM WASN'T GREAT EITHER. WHEN BUSES ARE PACKED, THERE WERE ALREADY PACKED BUT AT LEAST PEOPLE WERE NOT HAVING TO TAKE MULTIPLE TRANSFERS WHERE YOU HAD A SURGING INCREASE OF TRANSFERS AND THEY HAVE TO WAIT. THAT'S GOING TO BE THE KILLER THIS COMING WINTER. LET ME TELL YOU. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE GOING TO HEAR FROM FOLKS. WHEN PEOPLE GET SICK OR ILL AND THE EVENING SHIFT WE'RE GOING TO HEAR CHANGES THERE. THAT'S A MASSIVE CHANGE. I LIKE TO SEE HOW MUCH WE'RE GOING TO DO TO ADDRESS THAT. PEOPLE HAVE LOST THEIR JOBS OR HAVE HAD TO CHANGE THEIR JOBS. SHIFT CHANGES ON WEEKENDS AND EVENINGS BECAUSE THEY CAN'T GET BACK TO WORK. NEVER MIND THEY CAN GET TO WORK THEY CAN'T GET BACK. ON DEMAND IS GREAT BUT YOU NEED THE FLEET. YOU NEED A BIGGER FLEET AND WE'RE NOT EXPANDING THE FLEET. UNTIL WE DO THAT IN A BIG WAY WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THESE CHALLENGES. THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS ON ITEM SEVEN? SEEING NONE, COUNCILLOR LUKES DO YOU WISH TO CLOSE?

COUNCILLOR LUKES: SURE. JUST ONE COMMENT HERE ABOUT WE NEED A BIGGER FLEET AND WE NEED -- WE NEED A LOT. OVER CITY IN CANADA IS STRUGGLING WITH THE TRANSIT SYSTEM. EVERY CITY IN CANADA, AN END OF THE LINE CAME OUT AND OPERATING COSTS ARE HUGE IN TRANSIT. WHAT ARE WE $126 MILLION WE'RE SUBSIDIZING TRANSIT THIS YEAR. I ENCOURAGE YOU TO WRITE TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I AM OPTIMISTIC THIS CURRENT FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DOES HAVE A SPOT FOR TRANSIT. IT IS A CHALLENGE. WE ALL ACCEPT 1 OR 2 OF US VOTED TO CHANGE THE SYSTEM WITHIN WHAT WE HAD FOR MORE FREQUENCY. WE HAD A LOT OF COMPLAINTS ABOUT THE OLD SYSTEM. THE MAJORITY OF US VOTED TO DO THAT SOONER VERSUS LATER TO ACCELERATE IT. HERE WE ARE. NOW WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DEAL WITH IT. WE ARE DEALING WITH IT. I DO THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT PEOPLE DO CONTACT TRANSIT AND GIVE THEM FEEDBACK. RESIDENTS AND THROUGH 311 BUT COUNCILLOR, TOO. I'VE HAD ISSUES MYSELF AND I'VE BEEN DEALING WITH TRANSIT. WE'RE GETTING THING DONE AND I ENCOURAGE YOU TO DO THE SAME. THEY WILL ANSWER YOUR CALL. THEY WILL HAVE A MEETING WITH YOU. WITH THAT I'M SURE WE'LL CONTINUE OUR CONVERSATION ABOUT TRANSIT. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: : THANK YOU. THE QUESTION ON ITEM SEVEN. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? THAT'S CARRIED. WE HAVE A NOTICE OF MOTION MOVED BY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS AND SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT REGARDING TRANSIT SERVICES. IT'S A NOTICE OF MOTION SO IT'LL APPEAR ON NEXT MONTHS COUNCIL AGENDA. >> I AM MOVING TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO ADDRESSED IT NOW.

MADAM SPEAKER:: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS IS REQUESTING WE SUSPEND THE RULES TO HEAR THE ITEM TODAY. ALL IN FAVOUR. CONTRARY. THAT REQUEST IS DEFEATED. IT'LL APPEAR ON NEXT MONTHS COUNCIL AGENDA. NOW BYLAWS. COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE BY-LAW84/2025 READ A FIRST TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAW84/2025.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I MOVE 84/2025 BE READ A SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAW84/2025.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE RULE 52/BY LAW 50-2007 BE SUSPENDED AND 83/2025 BE READ A THIRD TIME AND SAME PASSED TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. QUESTIONS PERIOD. ANY QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR LUKES? SEEING NONE. COUNCILLOR WYATT WILL YOU MOVE ADJOURNMENT. ALL IN FAVOUR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. STAY FOR ROLE CALL AND I HAVE AN ANNOUNCEMENT. STAND BY.

CLERK: MADAM SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR SHARMA. HIS WORSHIP, COUNCILLOR ALLARD, COUNCILLOR BROWATY, COUNCILLOR DOBSON, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN, COUNCILLOR EADIE, COUNCILLOR GILROY, COUNCILLOR LUKES, COUNCILLOR MAYES, COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, COUNCILLOR SANTOS,

COUNCILLOR WYATT.

MADAM SPEAKER: MEETING ADJOURNED. THANK YOU.