COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG

Wednesday January 30, 2025 (Un-audited)

The following text file was produced in real-time and may contain inaccuracies. A complete and corrected Hansard document for this meeting is being produced and will replace this document when completed. To verify any parts of this document in the meantime, please visit www.winnipeg.ca/clkdmis to listen to a complete Electronic Hansard of this meeting.

MADAM SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING. MR. CLERK, DO WE HAVE A QUORUM?

CLERK: YES, SPEAKER, WE HAVE A QUORUM.

MADAM SPEAKER: I CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 11.1 OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG PROCEDURE BY-LAW NO. 50/2007, THE SPEAKER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT WE ARE IN TREATY ONE TERRITORY, THE HOME AND TRADITIONAL LANDS OF THE ANISHINAABE (OJIBWE), ININEW (CREE), AND DAKOTA PEOPLES, AND IN THE NATIONAL HOMELAND OF THE RED RIVER METIS. OUR DRINKING WATER COMES FROM SHOAL LAKE 40 FIRST NATION, IN TREATY THREE TERRITORY.

WE HAVE A MOTION TO ALLOW TWO MEMBERS OF COUNCIL TO PARTICIPATE REMOTELY. MR. CLERK, WILL YOU READ THAT INTO THE RECORD.

CLERK: MOVED BY COUNCILLOR SHARMA, SECOND BY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. 9.1 OF PROCEDURE 50-2007 COUNCILLORS ORLIKOW AND GILROY PARTICIPATE REMOTELY TODAY'S METING OF COUNCIL.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. WE WILL NOW HAVE THE OPENING PRAYER. PLEASE RISE IF YOU ARE ABLE. WE WILL HEAR FROM COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: OUR FATHER WHO ART IN HEAVEN, HALLOWED BE THY NAME. THY KINGDOM COME, THEY WILL BE DONE ON EARTH AS IT IS IN HEAVEN. GIVE US THIS DAY OUR DAILY BREAD. AND FORGIVE US OUR TRESPASSES, AS WE FORGIVE THOSE WHO TRESPASS AGAINST US. THINE IS THE KINGDOM, POWER AND GLORY FOREVER. LORD, MAKE ME AN INSTRUMENT OF THY PEACE. WHERE THERE IS HATRED, LET ME SOW LOVE. WHERE THERE IS INJURY, PARDON. WHERE THERE IS DOUBT, FAITH. WHERE THERE IS DESPAIR, HOPE. WHERE THERE IS DARKNESS, LIGHT. WHERE THERE IS SADNESS, JOY. DIVINE MASTER, GRANT I MAY NOT SEEK TO BE CONSOLED AS TO CONSOLE. TO BE UNDERSTOOD AS TO UNDERSTAND. TO BE LOVED AS TO LOVE. FOR IT IS IN THE GIVING THAT WE RECEIVE. IT IS IN THE PARDONING WE ARE PARDONED. IT IS IN THE DYING WE ARE BORN TO ETERNAL LIFE. AMEN.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ROLL CALL, MR. CLERK.

CLERK: SHARMA,.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS. SCHREYER, WYATT, GILROY, ORLIKOW.

PLEASE WELCOME OUR PAGES, VLADYSLAV TANASIICHUK AND MICHAEL MASHINTER. THANK YOU FOR SERVING COUNCIL TODAY. [APPLAUSE]. WE WILL MOVE INTO MORNING ANNOUNCEMENTS. MR. MAYOR. YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THAT INTRODUCTION. TODAY AND YESTERDAY BUDGET. I WANT TO THANK THE BUDGET WORKING GROUP AND ALL OUR CITY STAFF WHO WORKED ON THE BUDGET AND APPRECIATE THE EFFORT PUT INTO THE BUDGET THAT FOCUSES ON WHAT WINNIPEGGERS TOLD US ARE THEIR PRIORITIES. I WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO CONGRATULATE THE NEWLY-ELECTED GRAND CHIEF OF THE MANITOBA CHIEFS. I CONGRATULATED HER AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH HER ON IMPORTANT SHARED PRIORITIES. LATER TODAY WE WILL IN THIS AGENDA WE WILL SEE WINNIPEG 150 WRAPPED UP. ON BEHALF ALL OF US, I WANT TO THANK THE ENTIRE TEAM THAT WORKED THROUGH THE YEAR ON WINNIPEG 150 EVENTS. ALMOST ALL COUNCILLORS HAD AN EVENT OR PARTICIPATED IN 150 EVENTS. IT WAS A GREAT YEAR OF CELEBRATIONS. MARKED BY HIGHLIGHTS. IT WILL BE GOOD TODAY TO ONCE AGAIN THINK ABOUT 150. I KNOW CONCLUDING ELEMENT WAS TO SEAL TIME CAPSULE. FOLKS WILL OPEN THAT TIME CAPSULE. HAPPY NEW YEAR TO EVERYONE CELEBRATING YEAR OF THE SNAKE. WISDOM CHARM AND WE WERE ABLE TO ATTEND THE GARDEN FOR A GREAT EVENING OF TOO MUCH FOOD AND A LOT OF CELEBRATION. WE CELEBRATE WITH THOSE CELEBRATING THIS NEW YEAR. COMING DAYS WE WILL ENTER FEBRUARY. FEBRUARY IS BLACK HISTORY MONTH. BLACK HISTORY MANITOBA WILL HOST KICKOFF BREAKFAST AT CITY HALL. SATURDAY BOOK WATCH FOR THE POLICE CHIEF'S NEW BOOK. CHECK OUT BLACK HISTORY MANITOBA WEBSITE FOR EVENTS THROUGHOUT THE MONTH. FEBRUARY IS I LOVE TO READ MONTH. I AND MANY COUNCILLORS ARE LOOKING FORWARD TO BEING AT SCHOOLS AND READING TO CHILDREN. I WILL READ A BOOK ENTITLED ZANDER STAYS. I AM LOOKING FORWARD TO READING THAT BOOK WITH STUDENT. THE FESTIVAL IS A TRADITION ON FRIDAY FEBRUARY 14TH. 10 DAYS IS LARGEST IN WESTERN CANADA. WELCOMING 75,000 ALLEY. MY OFFICE SPONSORED SNOW SCULPTURE. WE CELEBRATE 56TH EDITION. HEY-HO. TWO FINAL ANNOUNCEMENTS. A LOT OF TALK ABOUT TARIFFS AND TRADE WARS. AS MAYOR AND COUNCIL, WE SHARE CONCERNS BUSINESSES HAVE IN OUR COMMUNITY. THE TARIFFS COULD HURT THE ECONOMY AND BUSINESSES IN WINNIPEG. WE ARE A LEADING MANUFACTURE, AGRICULTURE AND INDUSTRY EMPLOYING THOUSANDS. CITIES CAN'T LEAD THE FIGHT AGAINST TARIFFS. WE CAN PLAY SUPPORTING ROLE IT IS IMPORTANT TO PLAY THAT SUPPORTING ROLE. WHAT I AM DOING PERSONALLY

ON BEHALF OF ALL OF US IS STAND TOGETHER AS CITIES AND VICE CLEAR OF BIG CITIES MAYOR CAUCUS WORKING WITH THE CHAIR LONDON MAYOR TO ENSURE THE CITIES SUPPORT PREMIERS AND FEDERAL LEADERS PRESENTING STRONG UNITED RESPONSE. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE SUPPORT PREMIERS IN BREAKING DOWN INTER PROVINCIAL TRADE BARRIERS TO PROVIDE MARKETS FOR OUR OWN BUSINESSES HERE. I SUPPORT THE PREMIER IN ADVANCING THIS CAUSE. I ASKED THE TEAM IN MY OFFICE TO EXPLORE WAYS TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR BUSINESSES IN CANADA TO INVEST AND COMPETE IN WINNIPEG. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE OUR CITY IS INVESTOR READY BY IMPROVING CUSTOMER SERVICE, CUTTING RED TAPE AND MAKING EASIER FOR BUSINESSES TO GROW. WE CAN TAKE OPPORTUNITY TO BUY LOCAL, SUPPORT CANADIAN BUSINESSES WHEN WE HAVE A CHOICE. THAT WILL MAKE SURE OUR LOCAL BUSINESSES ARE MORE SO PROTECTED. FINALLY, I KNOW WE WERE SORRY TO HEAR THE NEWS OF THE MINISTER. COMMENT LEADER AND ADVOCATE FOR TRANSCONA AND PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. PASSIONATE ABOUT EDUCATION. DEDICATED LIFE TO BUILDING BETTER MANITOBA. I CAN TELL YOU THIS THAT IN MY MOST RECENT EVENT IT WAS REMARKABLE. IN SEPTEMBER WE WERE AT UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA FOR AN EVENT HOSTED FOR MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENTS. WE WALKED INTO THE ROOM. I WAS TALKING TO THE ADULTS AT THE DOOR. HE BEE LINED TO THE STUDENTS AND MADE THE WAY TO ALMOST EVERY TABLE ASKING ABOUT STUDENT ENCOURAGING AND AFFIRMING. WHEN HE LEFT EVERY TABLE I THOUGHT THIS GUY IS LIKE A FISH IN WATER. THIS IS HIS ELEMENT. PASSING A HUGE LOSS TO OUR ENTIRE COMMUNITY AND PROVINCE. ON BEHALF OF ALL OF US CONDOLENCES TO HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. SPEAK COUNCILLOR LUKES FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I RISE TODAY TO SHARE THAT BLACK HISTORY MANITOBA IS PROUD TO ANNOUNCE FEBRUARY 2025 AS BLACK HISTORY MONTH. I WANT TO THANK THE MAYOR FOR HIS COMMENTS WITH RESPECT TO THIS MATTER. A TIME TO HONOR RICH CONTRIBUTIONS, ACHIEVEMENTS AND RESILIENCE OF BLACK CANADIANS IN OUR COMMUNITY AND BEYOND. THIS MONTH LONG CELEBRATION WILL FEATURE EVENTS ACTIVITIES AND EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMMES TO CELEBRATE BLACK HISTORY AND HERITAGE. ACTIVITIES HIGHLIGHT DIVERSE AND DYNAMIC CONTRIBUTIONS OF BLACK INDIVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES. ART EXHIBITS AND FILM SCREENINGS, PANEL DISCUSSIONS AND CULTURAL PERFORMANCES. SOMETHING FOR EVERYONE TO ENJOY. AS THE MAYOR MENTIONED TOMORROW JANUARY 31 WILL BE OFFICIAL KICKOFF OF BLACK HISTORY HERE AT CITY HALL. THANK YOU TO MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: FOR HOSTING THAT. THERE IS A FILM FESTIVAL IN FEBRUARY. CARIBBEAN MARKET AND CULTURAL AFFAIR, EMPOWERMENT WORKSHOP AND THE 23RD ANNUAL CAREER FAIR AT HOLY CHURCH ON FEBRUARY 22. MONTH WILL CULMINATE ON FEBRUARY 28 FOR THE CLOSING CEREMONY. BLACK HISTORY MONTH IS A TIME TO CELEBRATE AND OPPORTUNITY TO REFLECT ON CHALLENGES TO BUILDING MORE

INCLUSIVE EQUITABLE SOCIETY. WE ENCOURAGE ALL RESIDENTS TO PARTICIPATE AND LEARN ABOUT BLACK HISTORY AND ENGAGE IN MEANINGFUL CONVERSATIONS AND DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE, MORNING ANNOUNCEMENT?

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. YESTERDAY I RECEIVED SOME SAD NEWS FOR BOTH YOU AND I FOR SURE, MADAM SPEAKER. I THINK FOR ALL OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND COUNCIL. THE SAD NEWS IS THAT MR. CHRIS SOFT WITZ COORDINATOR OF ACCESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR 12 ENGINEERS PASSED AWAY ON DECEMBER 23RD OF 2024. HE LEAVES BEHIND HIS WIFE AND TWO SONS AND A DAUGHTER-IN-LAW WHO WORKS HERE AT CITY HALL, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE IS AN OBITUARY THAT I AM NOT GOING TO READ FROM. IT TALKS ABOUT HIS FAMILY LIFE. HE WAS A SOLID FAMILY MAN. GOOD HUSBAND. GOOD FATHER. I AM HERE TO SPEAK TODAY TO TALK ABOUT HIS AMAZING EFFORT IN MAKING SURE THAT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG DEALT WITH DISABILITY ISSUES. HE HELPED KEEP ACCESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ORGANIZED. AS YOU KNOW HAVING SERVED ON THAT FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS ALONG WITH MYSELF. I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK WITH HIM WHEN I WASN'T A CITY COUNCILLOR WITH THE COMMITTEE. THERE IS MANY ISSUES AT THE CITY LEVEL AND A LOT OF IMPROVEMENTS AS HE UNDERTOOK HIS WORK. HE ADVOCATED AND COUNCILLOR GILLINGHAM IN ST. JAMES AS THE FINANCE PERSON WAS CHRIS WAS QUITE EXPRESSIVE TO SAY THAT WE ARE NOT PROVIDING ANY ACCESSIBILITY AT THE EMPRESS OVERPASS AND THE WORK DONE THERE. THAT IS ONE OF THOUSANDS OF DISABILITY ISSUES THAT HE WORKED ON OVER 12 YEARS. I HAVE TO SAY I AM SAD BECAUSE I RELIED ON HIM. HE ALWAYS MADE SURE THAT TOP OF THE ISSUES AS ADVISORY TO CITY COUNCIL. IT IS WITH THAT THAT I JUST WANTS TO ACKNOWLEDGE HIS SERVICE. THE SERVICE HE PROVIDED TO US WAS A SERVICE PROVIDED TO ALL PERSONS OF DISABILITIES IN WINNIPEG. HE HAD RESPECT OF ALL OF THEM. I WANT TO LEAVE IT AT THAT BECAUSE THERE WAS SOMEBODY WHO HAD HIS OWN DISABILITIES, ALWAYS WORKED INDEPENDENTLY. NEVER LET IT STOP HIM. USED HIS WHEELCHAIR. I SHOULD MENTION GOOD CURLER. PEOPLE MIGHT KNOW HIM IN THE COMMUNITY FROM HIS CURLING. AT THAT, MADAM SPEAKER, I DON'T KNOW IF WE COULD HAVE A MOMENT OF SILENCE. HE SERVED THIS GREAT CITY OF WINNIPEG IN AN AMAZING VERY RESPONSIBLE AND PASSIONATE WAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR EADIE REMARKS VERY HEARTFELT. APPRECIATE YOU PUTTING THOSE ON THE RECORD. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER WILL YOU MOVE THE MINUTES OF MEETING DECEMBER 12, 2024 READ AND CONFIRMED. COUNCILLOR MAYES. I'M SORRY I MISSED THAT UP. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I WANT TO PRAISE A COUPLE COLLEAGUES. THANK YOU TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE WHO SUPPLEMENTED MY HAND WRITTEN RESEARCH WITH COMPUTER

RESEARCH. 10 WOMEN SERVED ON EPC POST 1993. THAT IS WHEN THEY CONTRACTED OF COURSE. POWERFUL NAMES IN THERE. I AM DOING RESEARCH. BETWEEN COUNCILLOR GILROY AND COUNCILLOR ROLLINS WHO BOTH MADE IT SIX YEARS FOR COUNCILLOR GILROY AND A LITTLE OVER SIX YEARS FOR COUNCILLOR ROLLINS THOSE ARE LONGEST SERVING EXCEPT FOR LILLIAN THOMAS. SUSAN THOMPSON SIX YEARS. SHE WAS THE MAYOR. A WORD AND PRAISE. I KNOW IT IS A CHALLENGE AS COUNCILLOR LUKES SAID DIFFICULT JOB TO BE ON E.P.C. DIFFICULT TO PUT UP WITH THE PRESSURES. WORD AND PRAISE OF COUNCILLOR GILROY'S SIX YEARS AND COUNCILLOR ROLLINS SIX PLUS YEARS. NOT AS LONG AS LILLIAN THOMAS BUT ACCOMPLISHMENTS TO MAKE NOTE OF.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER MOVE MEETING OF DECEMBER 12, 2024. SCHREYER SO MOVED.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT IS CARRIED. DELEGATIONS. DAVID GRANTS APPEARS REMOTELY ON A NUMBER OF ITEMS AS OUTLINED IN THE AGENDA.

WELCOME, DAVID.

GOOD MORNING. GOOD TO HEAR THOSE ANNOUNCEMENTS. GOOD TO SEE. GETTING INTO IT. PUBLIC WORKS TOPIC 4. IT IS VERY INTRIGUING. IT SEEMS THAT THE DELIVERABLES ARE VERY HAZY. NOT VERY CERTAIN AT THE MOMENT. IT MAY HELP WITH DISPATCHING EMERGENCY SERVICES. IT IS SOMETHING WE MIGHT USE INDIVIDUALLY WHEN WE ARE MAKING CHOICES TO LEAVE THE HOUSE OR NOT LEAVE THE HOUSE. IF WE ARE HELD UP BY A TRAIN. DELIVERABLES ARE UNCERTAIN AT THIS POINT. IT IS UNFORTUNATE THEY ARE ASKING FOR MODEST AMOUNT OF MONEY. HALF A MILLION OR SO. ANYWAY, MOVING TO TOPIC ONE. E.P.C. ONE. WINNIPEG 150 WAS A GREAT IDEA CELEBRATIONS. WE SHOULD BE ENTERING THE STAGE WHERE VERBAL REPORTS AREN'T NEEDED. WE SHOULD HAVE A BRIEF WRITTEN REPORT ON THE CELEBRATION PROGRAMME. UNFORTUNATELY WHEN WE WOULD SEE THAT. TOPIC 8. COPIER FOR WPS. PRINCIPAL USES FOR THE CURRENT ONE IS IR SURVEILLANCE. CAN FIND A PERSON IN A FIELD IF THEY WANT TO BE FOUND OR NOT. BEFORE WE COMMIT TO BUYING AND REPRESENTING A SECOND ONE. I BELIEVE WE SHOULD EXPLORE THE USE OF DRONES. AFTER A YEAR OF USING BOTH WE WILL JUSTIFY OBTAINING SECOND. WE NEED THIS INFORMATION IF WE ARE TO PROVIDE BEST POLICE SERVICE. HOURS WHEN THE BIG COPTER IS NOT AVAILABLE. TWO OR MORE DRONES AND CONTROL TEAMS THIS WOULD NEVER HAPPEN. IF TWO EVENTS OCCUR AT ONCE LIKE DIVERSIONARY ONE. WPS COULD COVER BOTH WITH DRONES. WE COULD SAVE MONEY, REDUCE CHANCE OF OFFICER INJURY AND PROVIDE BETTER SURVEILLANCE WITH TWO OR MORE DRONES THAN WITH DECADES OLD TECHNOLOGY. TOPIC 9. TIFF. WE HAVE BEEN TOLD THIS BUILDING WILL SERVE ONLY PUBLIC INTEREST PURPOSES. HAS THE CITY BEEN ASSURED THE BUILDING OWNERS WILL PAY THE ESTIMATED PROPERTY TAXES WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS DONE? PERSONALLY I HAVE ISSUE WITH THE IDEA OF TIFF GIVING

PEOPLE CITY TAX MONEY ON THE HOPE THEY WILL BE THE SAME PEOPLE WILL PAY TAXES YEARS LATER. THE OWNERS HAVE ACCESS TO MANY SOURCES OF FUNDING. CITY IS OUT OF MONEY. UNTIL THE EMERGENCY FUND HAS BEEN REPLENISHED WHY IS NO ONE CONTEMPLATING DELAY IN FUNDING. ASF. U.S. TRIED TO PROHIBIT SALE OF ALCOHOL. IT DID NOT USE. ITS USE CONTINUED. ANOTHER ATTACK ON THE WRONG THING. THE PROGRAMME IS DIVERTS RESOURCES FROM REAL POLICING. WE SHOULD LOBBY NEW MEANS TO FIND GUNS AND OTHER WEAPONS ON THE STREET BEFORE THEY ARE USED IN CRIMES. CHECK STOP ON SIDEWALKS AND ROADS BUT FOR WEAPONS WOULD BE FAR MORE EFFECTIVE THAN ASF. STREET CRIME PEOPLE INVOLVED AND PREFER THE THOUSANDS OF STOLEN LOST POLICE GLOCKS TO HUNTER'S RIFLE. LET'S ENHANCE ABILITY OF POLICE TO FIND GUNS. 10. ARTS FUNDING THIS PROVENS HOW FAR CITY HALL IS OUT OF THE LANE. WHEN THERE IS NO MONEY WHY SUSPEND RULES TO HAND MONEY FOR THOSE NOT QUALIFIED FOR DONATIONS. HOW DO YOU TELL FOLKS WHO CAN BARELY AFFORD REPRESENT THEY MUST PAY MORE -- CANNOT AFFORD RENTS? SO THEY CAN BUY MORE. THE YARD OF SPENDING 170K THAT YOU DO NOT HAVE AND WAS NOT BUDGETED IS EXAMPLE OF FISCAL IM PRUDENCE. SEEMED GOOD AT THE TIME. SAYING NO WOULD HAVE MET THE GOALS OF US BEING OUT OF MONEY. TOPIC 12. I HAVE SUPPORTED CREATION OF CCO POSITION SINCE IDEA WAS FIRST MENTIONED. CONCERNS REMAIN. WE HAVE GIVEN UNUSUAL POWERS TO INDIVIDUALS IN RECENT YEARS TO LEARN THAT THESE GOOD PEOPLE HAD VEERED OUT OF LANES AND MADE BAD DECISIONS. THOSE DECISIONS COST THE DECISION A LOT OF PUBLIC SUPPORT AND MILLIONS OF TAX DOLLARS. IT SEEMS THOSE PERSONS WERE ALLOWED TO NOT REPORT AS OFTEN AS THEY SHOULD. WILL FUNCTIONAL AUDITS CCO REPORTING SCHEDULES PROTECT TAXPAYER INTERESTS FROM TROUBLE STEMMING FROM ACTIONS OF NEW CCO? TOPIC 13. MORE PEOPLE IN WINNIPEG THAN HOMES FOR. WE HAVE ALL SEEN THE SUFFERING THAT HAS GONE ON BECAUSE OF THAT. WE WERE TOLD THE HAF WOULD REVERSE THAT AND DO IT IN MONTHS, NOT YEARS. WE FIND THE FUNDS SPREAD OUT OVER SEVERAL YEARS. NONE OF THE MONEY COVERS INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS TO SUPPORT NEW HOMES. IN THIS BY-LAW REVISION WE SEE PROJECTS INVOLVING THE FUNDS FACE SAME LEVEL OF BUREAUCRACY AS RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS IN THE PAST. WE SEE PEOPLE ARE STILL FLOWING INTO WINNIPEG FASTER THAN THE NET NEW HOMES ARE BEING ADDED. THIS LOGIC CONCLUDES HAF IS NOT MEETING PROMISES MADE WHAT IT WAS ANNOUNCED. THAT IS IT. ANY QUESTIONS?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, DAVID. NO QUESTIONS TODAY. HAVE A WONDERFUL DAY. OUR NEXT DELEGATION IS CHRIS BENSON IN PERSON. PROGRAMME AND EVENTS COORDINATOR WITH THE MANITOBA WILDLIFE FEDERATION IN OPPOSITION TO ITEM 7 OF THE REPORT OF E.P.C. DATED JANUARY 21. REGARDING ASSAULT STYLE FIREARMS COMPENSATION PROGRAMME. CONTRIBUTION AGREEMENT.

THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK TODAY. I AM CHRIS BENTON REPRESENTING

MANITOBA WILD LIFE FEDERATION. NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION BASED IN WINNIPEG THAT REPRESENTS OVER 12,000 MEMBERS ACROSS THE PROVINCE INCLUDING MANY WHO RESIDE IN WINNIPEG. OUR MEMBERSHIP IS MADE OF HUNTERS AND ANGLERS AND SPORTS SHOOTERS WHO ARE LICENSED FIREARM OWNERS. MWF RECENTLY LEARNED POLICY COMMITTEE IS RECOMMENDING CITY OF WINNIPEG IMPLEMENT ASSAULT STYLE FIREARM COMPENSATION PROGRAMME WHICH IS PART OF BILL C21. I AM HERE TO VOICE OPPOSITION TO THIS MOTION. ON BEHALF OF OUR 12,000 MEMBERS. FIRST I WOULD LIKE TO CLARIFY TERM ASSAULT STYLE FIREARM IS MISNOMER. DID NOT DESCRIBE ANY FIREARM UNDER CANADIAN REGULATIONS OR CLASS FIXES. IF YOU WERE TO ASK THE AVERAGE PERSON WHAT AN ASSAULT STYLE FIREARM IS THEY WOULD DESCRIBE A FULLY AUTOMATIC FIREARM. OR ONE CAPABLE OF SHOOTING A LARGE NUMBER OF CARTRIDGES AT A TIME WITH SINGLE DEPRESSION OF TRIGGER. THESE TYPES OF FIREARMS ARE ILLEGAL IN CANADA SINCE THE 1970s. LARGE CAPACITY MAGAZINES ARE ALSO ILLEGAL. MOST SEMI AUTOMATIC RIFLES ARE LIMITED TO FIVE ROUNDS. CANADA THAT THREE CLASSIFICATIONS. NONRESTRICTED SHOTGUNS AND RIFLES USED BY HUNTERS AND SPORTS SHOOTERS. RESTRICT, HANDGUNS. PROHIBITED FIREARMS THAT WERE ONCE LEGAL NO LONGER LEGAL FOR PRIVATE CITIZENS TO OWN. THESE OWNERS HAVE BEEN ALLOWED TO KEEP THROUGH GRANDFATHER CLAUSE. SINCE DECEMBER 1, 1998 NO NEW PROHIBITED LICENSES HAVE BEEN ISSUED F.ONCE THE PROHIBITED LICENSE OWNER PASSED AWAY THEY ARE DESTROYED. THE ASSAULT STYLE FIREARM TARGETS FIREARMS IN THE FIRST CLASSIFICATION NONRESTRICTED. BASED ON WHAT THE FIREARM LOOK LIKE. NOT GUN VIOLENCE IN CANADA. BILL C21 IS ILL CONCEIVED ABOUT THOSE WHO KNOW NOTHING ABOUT FIREARMS. PROGRESS TOWARDS SAFER STREETS AND NEIGHBORHOODS CAN BE ACHIEVED THROUGH CONFISCATION. PLEDGES MILLIONS WILL NOT ADDRESS OR DECREASE VIOLENT CRIME. THE MONEY SHOULD BE IN SUPPORTING POLICE INITIATIVES, TARGETING SOCIAL ISSUES, GANGS, POVERTY AND ADDRESSING THE ROOT CAUSE OF CRIME. STRONGER PENALTIES FOR STRAW PURCHASES AND FIREARM IMPORTS SHOULD BE A MAIN AREA FOCUS. THE OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF FIREARMS USED IN CRIMINAL ACTIVITY ARE IN FACT NOT OR ARE ILLEGALLY SMUGGLED FIREARMS OR GHOST GUNS. LEGAL FIREARM OWNERS ARE NOT THE CAUSE OF FIREARMS ON THE STREET. MEMBERS OF THE WINNIPEG CITY POLICE, PREMIER AND JUSTICE MINISTER AMONG OTHER LEADERS OPPOSED C21. WITH FEDERAL ELECTION ON HORIZON AND OFFICIAL OPPOSITION STATING THEY WILL SCRAP FIREARM LEGISLATION IS RECKLESS. MWF SEEKS COMMITMENT FROM FEDERAL MUNICIPAL AND MUNICIPALITY TO FOCUS ON ORGANIZED CRIME, GANGS AND OTHER LEGAL FIRE ARM ACTIVITIES AS ROOT CAUSES OF FIREARM RELATED CRIMES. NOT TO BURDEN LEGAL FIREARM OWNERS WITHIN EFFECTIVE AND UNNECESSARY RESTRICTIONS ON FIREARM ALSO. I WOULD LIKE TO TOUCH ON THE CANADA FIREARM LEGISLATION AND M WF ROLE. I COULD-MANAGE HUNTER EDUCATION. I AM RESPONSIBLE FOR NEW INSTRUCTORS WHICH CURRENTLY NUMBER OVER 160 INSTRUCTORS IN THE PROVINCE. IN 2024 WE GRADUATED OVER 1700 STUDENTS. THIS PROGRAMME TEACHES FIREARM SAFETY, HUNTING ETHICS, CONSERVATION AND SKILLS HUNDRED TERPS ARE

REQUIRED TO KNOW. THIS IS A REQUIRED COURSE TO TAKE TO PURCHASE A HUNTING LICENSE IN CANADA. I ALSO TEACH CANADIAN FIREARMS SAFETY COURSE. OVERSEEN BY THE CANADIAN FIREARM PROGRAMMES, DIVISION OF RCMP. THIS COURSE COVERS FIREARM AND AMMUNITION SAFETY AND HISTORY OF FIREARMS FOR HOME STORAGE, TRANSPORTATION, TEMPORARY STORAGE OF NONRESTRICTED THE FIREARMS. BOTH COURSES ARE MINIMUM 8 HOURS WITH FINAL EXAMS AT THE END. UPON COMPLETION OF CANADIAN FIREARM SAFETY COURSE INDIVIDUAL MUST APPLY TO RCMP FOR THE FIREARMS LICENSE. AFTER THOROUGH REVIEW INDIVIDUAL MAY BE ISSUED LICENSE. FROM THAT DAY FORWARD THAT INDIVIDUAL IS SUBJECT TO DAILY CRIMINAL RECORDS CHECKS FROM RCMP. IF AN INDIVIDUAL WISHES TO OWN RESTRICTED FIREARMS OR HANDGUNS, THEY NEED TO TAKE YET AN ADDITIONAL COURSE CALLED CANADIAN FIREARMS RESTRICTED SAFETY COURSE AND PASS AN ADDITIONAL WRITTEN AND PRACTICAL EXAM. I HAVE BEEN FOR THE LAST 23 YEARS RUNNING THE PROGRAMMES, AND INTRODUCED HUNDREDS OF MANITOBAS TO THE OUTDOORS. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK TO YOU TODAY. I LOOK FORWARD TOO SPEAKING TO YOU IN THE FUTURE.

MADAM SPEAKER: QUESTIONS. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COUPLE QUESTIONS. I AGREE WITH MOST ALL OF YOUR POINTS THERE. OUR LAW-ABIDING CITIZENS WHO USE FIREARMS FOR SPORTS ARE NOT THE PROBLEM. YOU IDENTIFIED SEVERAL SOURCES THAT COULD BENEFIT FROM FUNDING. AGREED WITH YOU ON ALMOST ALL OF THOSE. MY ONE QUESTION FOR YOU IS MORE ARE YOU AWARE AND PERHAPS YOU CAN GIVE INPUT. THE FUNDS ALLOCATED HERE ARE FOR POLICE SERVICE TO ACTUALLY PROCESS THESE WEAPONS AND DESTROY THEM AND WHAT NOT. IT IS NOT REALLY US VOTING WHETHER TO IMPLEMENT THE LAW OR NOT TODAY. ARE YOU AWARE?

YES, WE AT M WF HAVE TO TURN ON A DIME WHEN WE SEE ANYTHING ENDANGERING OUR MEMBERS' OPPORTUNITY TO GO HUNTING. I DID LEARN THAT SOME OF THE MONEY IS GOING TOWARDS THE STAFF ALLOCATIONS OF IF ANYONE WANTS TO TURN-IN THOSE FIREARMS. PLEASED TO KNOW THAT THE CITY IS NOT ACTIVELY GOING OUT TO CONFISCATE THOSE. THAT WAS GREAT TO HEAR.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: YOU SAID RIFLES AND SHOTGUNS NOTED RESTRICTED. I DON'T UNDERSTAND. I AM CONFUSED ABOUT YOUR OPPOSITION TO THE MATTER HERE IF WE ARE TALKING ASSAULT RIFLES CONFISCATED. THEY ARE BANNED. WHERE ARE YOU IN OPPOSITION TO SOMETHING TO MAKE THE STREETS SAFER?

THE MISCONCEPTION FIREARMS THAT WE ARE CURRENTLY ALLOWED TO OWN ARE UNDER THE CLASSIFICATION OF NONRESTRICTED. GENERAL SHOTGUNS AND RIFLES. ASSAULT STYLE FIREARM IS A MISNOMER. NOT WHAT THE GUN DOES. WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE. WHEN PEOPLE THINK OF HUNTING RIFLE OR SHOTGUN, THEY THINK OF GRANDFATHER WOODSTOCK.

WINCHESTER.

YES, SIR, ASSAULT STYLE FIREARM. INTERNAL WORKINGS ARE THE SAME AS GRANDPA'S SHOTGUN FROM THE 1950s OR 1960s. IT LOOKS DIFFERENT. SYNTHETIC PLASTIC. A BUNCH OF ADD ONS TO LOOK LIKE A MILITARY FIREARM. IT ISN'T. INTERNAL WORKINGS ARE THE SAME AS GRANDPA'S SHOT GUN OR RIFLE. THE WAY I DESCRIBE 2002 COROLLA WITH A RACING STRIPE THAT DOESN'T MAKE IT A RACING CAR. THAT IS THE INTERNAL WORKINGS OF THAT CAR ARE STILL A CAR. A 2002 CAR. FULLY AUTOMATIC FIREARMS WHERE YOU HAVE SEEN IN MOVIES AND IN THE STATES THOSE AREN'T LEGAL IN CANADA. THOSE ARE BANNED SINCE THE 1970s.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I APPRECIATE THE ANSWER. YOU ARE AWARE THAT QUITE OFTEN OUR OFFICERS IN UNIFORM HAVE SECONDS SOMETIMES TO MAKE PAY DECISION ON A SCENE. IT COULD BE LIFE OR DEATH FOR THEM. IF THEY SEE A FIREARM THAT LOOKS LIKE A ASSAULT OR SEMI-AUTOMATIC RIFLE DISGUISED AS SUCH. WHY DO WE WANT THEM ON THE STREETS. WHY NOT A RIFLE LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE?

THE CARS, EVERYONE LIKES CARS THAT LOOK DIFFERENT. FOR FIREARMS WHEN THE OFFICER IS PRESENTED IN THAT SITUATION MOST OFTEN THAT FIREARM THE PERPETRATE FOR HAS IS ILLEGAL SMUGGLED INTO CANADA OR A GHOST GUN. VAST MAJORITY OF FIREARMS USED IN CRIME ARE NOT FROM LEGAL FIREARM OWNERS.

MADAM SPEAKER: LAST QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THIS COULD MAKE A DIFFERENCE LIFE OR DEATH TO OFFICERS WE WOULD WANT TO BE ON THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT HERE?

I CAN UNDERSTAND WHEN AN OFFICER IS FACED WITH A CRIMINAL WITH FIREARM THEY WANT TO PROTECT THEMSELVES. WHAT OUR POSITION IS THOSE MONEYS COULD BE BETTER ALLOCATED TO BETTER SOURCE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT IS MAYOR GILLINGHAM FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE TODAY. I AGREE WITH THE COMMENT IT IS NOT THE LICENSED OWNERS WHO ARE THE PROBLEM. AS LICENSED GUN OWNER AND HUNTER MYSELF, I DO APPRECIATE THAT COMMENT. MY QUESTION TO YOU IS WOULD THE DELEGATE TAKE BACK AND COMMUNICATE TO YOUR MEMBERS CLEARLY THAT THIS IS NOT A CONFISCATION PROGRAMME BUT A VOLUNTARY PROGRAMME WHERE OWNERS OF LICENSED GUNS CAN IF THEY COME TO THE PLACE WHERE WE DON'T NEED, WANT THESE, THIS HAPPENS TO BE PROHIBITED WE WOULD LIKE TO TURN THESE OVER. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT TO DO. TURN OVER TO THE POLICE. GET COMPENSATED FOR THIS. THIS ALLOWS THE OWNERS OF THOSE OR POSSESSORS OF THOSE GUNS TO BE ABLE TO GET COMPENSATION FOR THEM SO THAT NOTHING HAPPENS AS IN THEY GET STOLEN AND END UP IN A CRIMINAL PURPOSE. THIS IS VOLUNTARY. NOT COMPENSATION. THAT IS MY FIRST QUESTION. WOULD THE DELEGATE COMMUNICATE THAT TO HIS MEMBERS?

THANK YOU FOR THE CLARIFICATION ON THAT. WE CERTAINLY WILL LET OUR MEMBERS KNOW THROUGH DIFFERENT SOCIAL MEDIA AND E NEWSLETTER THAT IS THE TYPE OF PROGRAMME YOU ARE RUNNING. WHEN SOMEONE DOES NOT WANT A FIREARM IN THEIR POSSESSION OR WANTS TO TURN IT INTO, TURN IT INTO THE PROPER AUTHORITIES TO HAVE IT DESTROYED OR REMOVED FROM THEIR HOME IT IS CERTAINLY SOMETHING WE ARE SUPPORTIVE OF. WE ENCOURAGE THROUGH OUR PROGRAMMES HUNTER EDUCATION AND DIFFERENT MENTOR HUNTS EMPHASIS IS SAFE OWNERSHIP AND SAFE USE OF FIREARMS, BEING ABLE TO TAKE PART IN THOSE ACTIVITIES. THANK YOU.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I TOOK THE FIREARM SAFETY PROGRAMME YEARS AGO. SAFETY IS THE EMPHASIS HOW TO PROPERLY HANDLE THE FIREARM. SECOND QUESTION. WOULD THE DELEGATE PLEASE BE WILLING TO MAKE MEMBERS AWARE THAT THIS IS A RECOMMENDATION FROM OUR POLICE SERVICE BECAUSE THERE ARE COSTS INCURRED WITH THIS PROGRAMME. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS WILLING TO PROVIDE THOSE FUNDS TO OUR POLICE SERVICE SO THAT OUR OFFICERS DON'T HAVE TO COME OFF THE STREET AND BE DOING OTHER WORK. THIS CAN BE DONE THROUGH SPECIAL DUTIES. WOULD HE MAKE HIS MEMBERS AWARE THIS HELPS OFFSET THE COST ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROGRAMME SO WE DON'T USE OTHER TAX DOLLARS FROM OTHER PLACES. THANK YOU.

YES, ABSOLUTELY. WE CAN DO THAT. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. MAYOR GILLINGHAM TOOK THE WORDS OUT OF MY MOUTH. IT IS A VOLUNTARY PROGRAMME. POLICE AREN'T GOING TO KNOCK ON DOORS. IF PEOPLE WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS PROGRAMME, THEY ARE MORE THAN ABLE TO DO SO. AND A RECEIVE COMPENSATION FOR THE WEAPONS THEY

ARE TURNING OVER. THANK YOU, MAYOR GILLINGHAM. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENT. MWF IS PLEASED TO HEAR THAT IS THE DIRECTION OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WITH THIS. THAT IS SOMETHING WE CAN SUPPORT.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ARE PROVIDING THE FUNDING FOR THE SERVICE. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT IS COUNCILLOR MAYES, EADIE THEN COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU FOR ASKING THIS ISSUE. I HEARD FROM A NUMBER OF YOUR MEMBERS POLITELY, I HAVE TO SAY. THANK YOU FOR FOLLOWING UP WITH MY QUESTIONS. I KNOW COUNCILLOR SANTOS WAS IN OPPOSITION AT E.P.C. A NUMBER OF PROVINCES OPPOSED INCLUDING THE MANITOBA GOVERNMENT. CAN YOU ELABORATE ON THAT FOR US.

I MISSED PART OF WHAT YOU ARE SAYING.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: YOU SAID A NUMBER OF PROVINCES OPPOSE INCLUDING PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT OF MANITOBA, IT APPEARS. I WILL SPEAK TO THIS WHEN WE COME TO IT. WHAT ARE THE CONCERNS OF MATT WEAVE AND BE THE PREMIER?

A LOT OF CONCERNS. FIRE ARMS BANNED WERE FIREARMS.

MADAM SPEAKER: EXTENSION TWO MINUTES. CARRIED.

THE THANK YOU. OPPOSITION IS A LOT OF THE FIREARMS BANNED WERE HUNTING RIFLES USED BY LICENSED HUNTERS AND INDIGENOUS HUNTERS WHO HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO HUNT. A LOT OF FIREARMS HAVE NO REASON FOR WHY THEY ARE ON THE LIST. MOST OF THE FIREARMS ON THERE ARE SPORTING OR HUNTING RIFLES OR SHOTGUNS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I WILL PASS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: MY QUESTIONS WERE ANSWERED. THANK YOU. I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE AN ASIDE. FUNDAMENTAL. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. HE THANKED US FOR ALLOWING HIM TO BE HERE. YOU DON'T HAVE TO THANK US. THIS IS YOUR RIGHT. WE ARE

HERE TO FACILITATE THAT BY THE CHARTER OF WINNIPEG AND GOVERNOR OF MANITOBA IT IS YOUR RIGHT TO BE HERE. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: FOLLOW UP TO LAST RESPONSE TO COUNCILLOR MAYES. IS IT THE FIREARMS WERE BANNED EVEN THOUGH NOT SEMI-AUTOMATIC OR ASSAULT RIFLES BANNED BECAUSE THEY PHYSICALLY LOOK LIKE AN ASSAULT RIFLE IS THAT WHY THEY WERE ON THE LIST? IS THAT A FAIR SUMMARY WHY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BANNED THE LOOK ALIKES?

SOME OF THE FIREARMS ON THE LIST WE DON'T KNOW. IT SEEMS VERY RANDOM CHOICE. I AM SURE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS AN EXPERT THAT IS ADVISING THEM FULLY AUTOMATIC FIREARMS REAL DANGER ARE NOT ON THE -- OR BANNED IN CANADA ALREADY ARE NOT IN THE HANDS OF LEGAL FIREARM OWNERS.

MADAM SPEAKER: WE HAVE ASKED SEVERAL QUESTIONS. NO EXTENSION. THANK YOU. CHRIS BENSON, HAVE A GREAT DAY. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. THAT CONCLUDES DELEGATIONS. WE WILL MOVE TO COMMITTEE REPORTS. IT IS IMPORTANT TO GET TO THE MOTION THAT WAS CIRCULATED. MOTION 1 OF DEPUTY SPEAKER POSITION. SUSPENSION OF RULES TO DEAL WITH THAT AT OUTSET OF THE MEETING. MAYOR MOVES EXTENSION. IN FAVOR MOVES SUSTENTION. THAT IS CARRIED. MR. MAYOR TO INTRODUCE THE MOTION WE COLLABORATED ON.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU I WANT TO THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER IN YOUR WORK TO HELP COORDINATE CHANGE NECESSARY WITH THE CHANGES OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS HERE. I WILL READ THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT COUNCIL ORLIKOW DEPUTY SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR SANTOS APPOINTED TO STANDING COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT DOWNTOWN AREA COUNCILLOR. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS STANDING POLICY WATER WASTE AND ENVIRONMENT IN PLACE OF COUNCILLOR DOBSON.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: ONE ASSUMES COUNCILLOR EADIE IS COMING OFF PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT. THAT IS NOT SPECIFIED?

COUNCILLOR EADIE: YES.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT WAS LISTED IN THE E-MAIL YESTERDAY. GOOD QUESTION. MR. MAYOR TO CLOSES TO THE MOTION. ALL IN FAVOR OF MOTION ONE. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. WE WILL MOVE TO REPORTS. ITEM 6 OF REPORT OF EXECUTIVE POLICY

COMMITTEE DATED OCTOBER 16. THERE WAS ONE ITEM LAID OVER FROM LAST TIME. OCTOBER 16. E.P.C. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: ITEM 6 AND MOVE ADOPTION OF CONSENT AGENDA ITEM 6.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. IT IS STOOD DOWN. WE WILL TAKE A MOMENT. MR. CLERK.

CLERK: PROPOSED ASSAULT CONCRETE LANE PAVEMENT ON BLOCK BOUNDED BY GLENN RAVEN ST. MARY'S ROAD AND OVERTON STREET.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I TALKED TO THESE PEOPLE. WE HAD A LITTLE TOWN HALL WITH MY ASSISTANT AT GLENWOOD COMMUNITY CENTRE. THEY ARE WANTING TO WAIT TO SEE WHAT HAPPENS WITH THE FOURPLEXES. IF YOU HAVE A GRAVEL LANE YOU MAY NOT BE ELIGIBLE, MAY NOT BE PART OF THE PACKAGE ON FOURPLEXES. I MOVE TO RECEIVE AS INFORMATION. I DON'T KNOW IF I NEED TO AMEND THE MAYOR'S MOTION. NOT PROCEEDING AT THIS TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR MAYES I DON'T SEE ANY MOTIONS CIRCULATED. YOU ARE AMENDING AND WILL BE NOTED IN OPPOSITION IS WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?

COUNCILLOR MAYES: TO ACCOMPLISH THAT GOAL ONE WOULD VOTE AGAINST THE RECOMMENDATION.

MADAM SPEAKER: FURTHER SPEAKERS? MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: WE CAN CALL THE QUESTION, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: QUESTION ON ITEM 6. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. NOTED IN OPPOSITION COUNCILLOR MAYES, COUNCILLOR WYATT, COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. THAT IS CARRIED.

THERE WAS A VOTE BUT CONFUSION RELATED TO THIS. COUNCILLOR WANTED TO BRING SOMETHING FORWARD THAT WE SHOULD LOOK AT, TO BE FRANK.

MADAM SPEAKER: DOES SOMEONE WANT TO RESCIND THE MOTION TO DISCUSS IT? THANK YOU. CONCURRENCE OF COUNCIL ALL IN FAVOR. CARRIED. COUNCILLOR MAYES I WILL GO BACK TO YOUR SPEAKING TIME TO CLARIFY.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I WOULD ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO VOTE NO. PEOPLE NO LONGER WISH TO PROCEED AT THIS TIME WITH THE PAVING PROJECT BECAUSE OF THE UNCERTAINTY AROUND OUR MOVING ON FOURPLEXES. WE MAY COME BACK TO THIS. FOR THE TIME BEING I WOULD ASK PEOPLE TO VOTE. IT IS LAID OVER TWICE. I DON'T THINK I CAN LAY IT OVER AGAIN.

MADAM SPEAKER: YOU CAN IF YOU WISH FOR CLARIFICATION.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: LAY IT OVER UNTIL JUNE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES NEXT MONTH OR JUNE. COUNCILLOR MAYES MOVES LAYOVER. I WILL CALL THE QUESTION. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. THANK YOU. WE WILL GET TO THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE JANUARY 21, MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I MOVE ITEMS 1-19 AND PULL SEVERAL OF THEM, PLEASE. 2, 10, 12, 19.

MADAM SPEAKER: JUST A MOMENT. 10 DOWNTOWN ARTS CAPITAL FUNDS. 12. CHIEF CONSTRUCTION OFFICER. 19 IS NORTH END SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: 8, 9, 11, 13, 17.

MADAM SPEAKER: 8 IS AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR POLICE HELICOPTER. 9 CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS REDEVELOPMENT OF HUDSONS BAY BUILDING. 11. UPDATE ON ENCAMPMENT. 12 CHIEF CONSTRUCTION OFFICER. 17 DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT PARAMETERS UPDATE. 13. MULTI-FAMILY SUSTAINABLE HOUSING INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMME. THAT IS PULLED. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: 7.

MADAM SPEAKER: 7 IS ASSAULT FIRE COMPENSATION PROGRAMME. COUNCILLOR GILROY 8 PULLED.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: 8 AND 11.

MADAM SPEAKER: 8 AND 11 ARE PULLED. I WILL CALL QUESTION ON 1, 3, 4, 5 AND 6. 14, 15, 16, 18. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. MR. CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM 2. CUSTOMER SERVICE AND WAIT TIME IMPROVEMENTS WITHIN 311 SERVICE.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I KNOW THIS IS AN EXTENSION OF TIME. THE STAFF NEEDS TIME TO GET THE 2024 NUMBERS IN THE REPORT. I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THE GOOD WORK DONE AND FUNDED BY THIS COUNCIL IN PREVIOUS BUDGET. THAT REPORT THAT WE RECEIVED JUST EARLIER THIS MONTH FROM POLICE INDICATES IN 2022 THE AVERAGE WAIT TIME 311 WAS 11 MINUTES AND 22 SECONDS. THAT WAS IN THE YEAR WITH 578,000 CALLS. IN 2024. THAT AVERAGE WAIT TIME WAS DRASTICALLY CUT FROM 11 MINUTES AND 22 SECONDS IN 2022 TO A MINUTE 43 AVERAGE WAIT TIME IN 2024. CALLS WENT FROM 578,000 IN 2022. UP TO 850,000 IN 2024. BOTTOM LINE MORE REQUESTS, MORE CALLS, SHORTER WAIT TIMES. THAT IS A RESULT OF GOOD WORK BY OUR STAFF. REFLECTION OF THE INVESTMENT THAT WE MADE IN 311 BEARING FRUIT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. FIRST SPEAKER. THANK YOU. CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM 2. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. ITEM 7.

CLERK: ASSAULT STYLE FIREARM COMPENSATION PROGRAMME CONTRIBUTION AGREEMENT.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WANT TO THANK OUR DELEGATE FOR COMING FORWARD. I AGREE WITH THE DELEGATE IT IS NOT LAW-ABIDING REGISTERED GUN OWNERS WHO ARE THE PROBLEM. THAT BEING SAID THIS REPORT BEFORE US IS RELATED TO NOT TO CONFISCATION OF FIREARMS. VOLUNTARY PROGRAMME FUNDING FOR WHICH THE OPERATION OF THE PROGRAMME IS PROVIDED TO THE CITY OF WINNIPEG BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SO THAT OUR OFFICERS, POLICE SERVICE DOESN'T HAVE TO INCUR ITS COST IN PLAYING THE PART IN THIS PROGRAMME. I SUPPORT THIS REPORT WITH THAT UNDERSTANDING.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I WOULD MOVE LAYOVER FOR A MONTH. I KNOW COUNCILLOR SANTOS WAS IN OPPOSITION. NOT CLEAR WHY THE PROVINCE SEEMS TO BE IN OPPOSITION HERE. NOT MENTIONED IN THE REPORT. FEDERAL MONEY NOT TIED TO ZONING REFORM PERHAPS I SHOULDN'T PULL IT. I WOULD LIKE A SENSE OF THE PROVINCIAL POSITION ON THIS. I WOULD MOVE LAYOVER ONE MONTH.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES MOVES LAYOVER TO NEXT COUNCIL MEETING. MR. MAYOR TOP SPEAK.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROVINCE. I WILL NOT SUPPORT LAYOVER. I DON'T THINK THE PROVINCE POSITION HAS ANY BEARING FOR ME OR FOR OUR POLICE SERVICE IF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PAYS THE FUNDINGS. I WILL NOT SUPPORT THE LAYOVER.

MADAM SPEAKER: VOTE ON THE LAY YORE. ALL IN FAVOR OF LAYOVER. CONTRARY. THAT IS DEFEATED. SPEAKING TIME. COUNCILLOR MAYES DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE?

COUNCILLOR MAYES: IN LIGHT OF THAT FOR TODAY AT LEAST I AM NOT GOING TO SUPPORT IT. IT IS NOT CLEAR TO ME WHAT THE PROVINCIAL POSITION IS. I KNOW COUNCILLOR SANTOS WAS AGAINST DPC. NOT SURE WHY. FOR TODAY NOT KNOWING WHY VARIOUS PROVINCES WERE OPPOSED TO THIS. IT SEEMS TO BE A VOLUNTARY PROGRAMME TO GET BANNED ITEMS OFF THE STREET. IT DOESN'T SEEM TO ME TO HANG TOGETHER TOO WELL. I APPRECIATE THE SENTIMENTS VOICED BY MANY COUNCILLORS. I AM NOT GOING TO MAKE A BIG DEAL OF IT. TODAY I WOULD OPPOSE IN LIGHT OF NOT HAVING THAT INFORMATION.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE, CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I RISE. I AM GOING TO SUPPORT THIS. IT IS A FEDERAL PROGRAMME. THE FUNDING MECHANISM IS THERE, MADAM SPEAKER. I SPEAK TO THIS ISSUE, THOUGH. IN LIGHT OF TODAY AND WHAT IS HAPPENING. THE ADVOCATE FOR LEGAL GUN OWNERS SPOKE TODAY, MADAM SPEAKER. HE RIGHTLY MENTIONED THE ISSUE OF SMUGGLING OF ILLEGAL FIREARMS. WHILE THERE ARE FIREARMS THAT CAN BE STOLEN FROM PEOPLE'S PRIVATE PROPERTY, DIFFERENT SECURING MECHANISMS MAY EXIST. THERE IS THE PROBLEM THAT STOLEN GUNS, STOLEN LEGAL GUNS CAN END UP OUT THERE ON THE STREET AS WELL. I KNOW OF SOME EXPERIENCE. MADAM SPEAKER, THE SMUGGLING WHERE ARE THE ILLEGAL FIREARMS COMING FROM? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD FIND OUT. HOW MANY ILLEGAL FIREARMS ARE IN CANADA FROM THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA? THEY TALK ABOUT US AND FENTANYL. THESE ILLEGAL GUNS ARE KILLING PEOPLE IN CANADA, THE COUNTRY. THEY GET SMUGGLED IN. I JUST WANT TO SAY I SUPPORT THIS REPORT. I WANT TO SAY WHEN IS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GOING TO SLAP A TARIFF ON THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR ALL OF THE SMUGGLED ILLEGAL GUNS THAT COME INTO THIS COUNTRY? I WANT TO KNOW.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR EADIE FOR HIS PASSION ON THIS. I AM RISING TO SUPPORT THIS MOTION AS WELL OR THIS INFORMATION OR THIS

REQUEST FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO ALLOW THE WINNIPEG POLICE TO ACCEPT THE $3.2 MILLION FOR THIS PROGRAMME TO TAKE PLACE. AGAIN, VOLUNTARY PROGRAMME. YESTERDAY WE VOTED IN BUDGET TO INCREASE SUPPORT FOR POLICE. DEDICATED TOWARDS COMMUNITY SAFETY. IT WOULD SEEM DISINGENUOUS FOR US IN TERMS OF HAVING THAT VOTE YESTERDAY TO INCREASE COMMUNITY SAFETY AND THEN TURNAROUND TODAY AND VOTE DOWN THIS MOTION HERE. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BACK IN 2020 CREATED A LIST OR EVEN BEFORE THAT CREATED A LIST OF WEAPONS THAT THEY BELIEVE ARE NOT NECESSARY ON THE STREETS HERE IN CANADA. I RECOGNIZE THE FACT THAT THERE ARE SPORT HUNTERS AND WHAT NOT. YOU DON'T NEED THESE TYPE OF WEAPONS TO BE OUT IN THE FIELDS TAKING DOWN THESE ANIMALS. THE RIFLES THAT OUR GRANDPARENTS AND GREAT GRANDPARENTS USED THOSE HUNTING STYLE RIFLES ARE WHAT ARE APPROVED FOR HUNTING. WHEN IT COMES TO ASSAULT STYLE RIFLES AND AUTOMATIC WEAPONS, AGAIN, GUNS ARE A HUGE ISSUE FOR THE POLICE. AMOUNT OF ILLEGAL WEAPONS THAT ARE COMING INTO CANADA THROUGH THE BORDERS AND A LOT OF THEM ARE BEING MAILED IN VARIOUS PIECES AND AS ASSEMBLED IN CANADA. IT IS A PROBLEM. ONE LESS PROBLEM IF PEOPLE TURN OVER GUNS, GETTING COMPENSATED FOR IT AND THOSE WEAPONS ARE DESTROYED. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE IS NO TESTING OF THESE WEAPONS THAT MAY ASSOCIATE THEM WITH OTHER CRIMES. AMNESTY DURING JANUARY 1, 2025 TO OCTOBER -- LET'S SEE, DECEMBER 31, 2025. AGAIN, AMNESTY PERIOD. OPPORTUNITY TO GET THESE ASSAULT WEAPONS OFF OUR STREETS. ONE LESS PROBLEM FOR POLICE. IT IS UNFORTUNATE THE ACTIONS OF A FEW BAD PEOPLE ARE HAVING AN IMPACT ON AN ENTIRE COMMUNITY OF LAW-ABIDING CITIZEN. UNFORTUNATELY IT IS NOT A FAIR WORLD.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR DUNCAN FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: WHAT DOES THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT THINK OF THIS? I WOULD ASSUME THEY ARE NOT OFFERED ANY FUNDS AT THIS POINT IN TIME. DO YOU LIKE THE LAW OR NOT QUESTION? IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH $3.4 MILLION COMING TO WPS. I CAN TELL YOU BUY THIS REPORT IF YOU READ IT. IT IS ON THE JANUARY 30, 2025 COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING AGENDA. FUNDS USED FOR PLANNING, COORDINATING, ADMINISTRATION OF PROJECTS TO SECURELY REMOVE ASFs FROM INDIVIDUAL OWNERS, TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE. IT FUNDS COMPENSATION TO OWNERS WHO SURRENDER. FUNDS SPECIAL DUTY OFFICERS TO EXECUTE. FUNDS SECURE STORAGE. I MEAN THIS IS NOT A QUESTION OF DO YOU LIKE THE LAW OR NOT. IT IS A QUESTION DO YOU WANT TO ACCEPT MONEY FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO ALLOW WPS TO NOT INCUR COSTS OUT OF EXISTING BUDGET. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR SANTOS.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I SUPPORT COUNCILLOR MAYES'

POSITION ON THIS ONE. I HAVE QUESTIONS BUT HE ASKED THE QUESTIONS I WAS GOING TO ASK. YET AGAIN HE MAKES THE POINT BE THAT I WISH TO MAKE. THAT IS WHEN IT COMES TO THINGS LIKE THIS. IT IS GOOD TO KNOW WHERE THE PROVINCE IS COMING FROM BECAUSE WE COORDINATE WITH THEM ON A MORE INNATE BASIS THAN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I UNDERSTAND THIS IS A FEDERAL PROGRAMME. OVER HALF THE PROVINCE HAVING MORE INFLUENCE OVER THESE THINGS IN THE END. INDEPENDENT OF THE PROGRAMMES OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WE ARE WORKING WITH PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT NOT FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THAT IS THE CASE IN THIS CASE. IT IS GOOD TO KNOW WHERE THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT IS COMING FROM BEFORE WE MAKE THE DECISION. I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND THAT. WE ARE IN THE POSITION TO MAKE BETTER LAWS. IT IS WITH THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT WE WILL INTEGRATE. I SUPPORT COUNCILLOR MAYES' POINT OF VIEW. I RESPECT WHAT WE ARE DOING. I DON'T SEE THE RUSH ON THIS. WHAT IS WRONG WITH WAITING TO FIND OUT THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT'S POINT OF VIEW. THAT IS GOING TO BE WHERE OUR POLICY IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES ARE GOING TO BE WITH. ON THAT BASIS I PREFER TO WAIT. THEREFORE TODAY I AM GOING TO HAVE TO VOTE AGAINST IT. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SANTOS.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: THANKS TO COUNCILLOR COLLEAGUE FOR BRINGING UP SOME OF THE CONCERNS ABOUT PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT. I DO AGREE ON SOME OF THE POINTS HE MADE. I ALSO MY REASONS I DIDN'T PUT ON THE RECORD AT E.P.C. I WILL PUT THEM ON TODAY. MORE RESPECT WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WE KNOW THAT WHAT THEY ARE PROPOSING RAISES SIGNIFICANT CONCERNS ABOUT IMPLEMENTATION AND IMPACT ON LAW-ABIDING FIREARM OWNERS INCLUDING HUNTERS AND FARMERS AND SPORT SHOOTERS IN THE COMMUNITY. WE HEARD FROM THE DELEGATION AND I AGREE WITH HIS CONCERNS. I HAVE HAD VETERANS AND SPORT SHOOTERS REACH OUT TO ME EXPRESSING CONCERNS AND CLARITY ON THE FEDERAL PROGRAMME IS WHAT IS REQUIRED. WE HAVE SEEN THIS IN THE PAST WHERE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT LIKE TO BUY PASS PROVINCE OF MANITOBA AND GO STRAIGHT TO MUNICIPALITIES WHEN THEY WANT TO HAND OUT MONEY. WE HAVE SEEN THAT DURING THE HALF PROGRAM AND CITY COUNCILLOR WHO SUPPORTS HOUSING I SUPPORTED THAT QUESTIONING SOME OF THE HALF. HERE WE SEE VERY, VERY SIMILAR TACTICS. I AM QUITE TIRED WHAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS DOING BYPASSING PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT. PROVINCE HAS MADE THEIR CONCERNS ABOUT THE BILL. THEY DON'T SUPPORT IT. I DON'T SUPPORT IT AT THIS TIME. WHILE I AGREE WITH SOME OF THE COMMENTS MADE BY COUNCILLOR COLLEAGUES WE KNOW THAT IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE TACKLE ILLEGAL FIREARMS THAT ARE TRAFFICKED. GUN VIOLENCE I AGREE WITH THAT. MONEY CAN BE SPENT BETTER TACKLING THOSE PROBLEMS. THAT IS WHY I WILL NOT SUPPORT THE REPORT AS IT IS. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE HAVE FURTHER DIALOGUE WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR BROWATY.

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER. I WILL RELUCTANTLY SUPPORT THIS REPORT AS WELL. AS COUNCILLOR DUNCAN ARTICULATED. WE ARE SERVICE PROVIDER INVOLVED IN COLLECTION. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS HELLBENT TO INTRODUCE SOME PROGRAMME TO DO SOMETHING ON GUNS. LEGAL GUN OWNERS ARE NOT THE PROBLEMS. THESE ARE PEOPLE WITH REGISTERED GUNS WITH THE AMNESTY PROGRAMME. BACK IN 2020 AND FCM TABLE WE HAD PEOPLE FROM BOTH SIDES OF GUN ISSUES OPPOSED TO WHAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WAS TRYING TO DO. WE HAD PEOPLE FROM RURAL COMMUNITIES WHERE GUNS ARE A WAY OF LIFE. URBAN QUEBEC COUNCILLORS IF EVERY COMMUNITY GETS TO CHOOSE AT THAT TIME THE DIVERSION OF THE PROGRAMME AT THAT TIME COMMUNITIES CO-OPT IN OR OUT OF HAVING GUN RESTRICTIONS ON THIS NEW LIST OF WEAPONS. IT IS A BIT OF A BIG BEGINNING THAT CHANGED. IT WAS TURNED DOWN BY THE POST OFFICE AND UNION. THIS IS A REASONABLE WAY TO SAFELY REMOVE THESE WEAPONS FROM CIRCULATION. SILLY PROGRAMME THAT COULD CHANGE WHEN GOVERNMENT CHANGES. I WILL SUPPORT THIS TODAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I WANT TO STATE THAT I WILL SUPPORT THE E.P.C. REPORT AS WELL. AS SOMEONE WHO LIKE MANY IN THIS CHAMBER I WAS STRONGLY OPPOSED TO THE LONG-GUN REGISTRY WHEN IT EXISTED. IT IS ABOLISHED. THAT IS NOT WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE. I THINK THAT SHOULD BE MADE VERY CLEAR. IT SOUNDS LIKE THERE IS A FEAR WHETHER IT IS REAL OR NOT THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS GOING DOWN THE SAME PATH, BUT RATHER THAN TRYING TO LOOK AT SHADOWS OR GUESS WHAT SOMEBODY MAY WANT TO DO IN THE FUTURE, LET'S LOOK AT THE FACTS OF THE SITUATION AND WHAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PASSED. NOT REINVENTION OF LONG-GUN REGISTRY WHICH I WOULD BE 100% IN OPPOSITION TO. I WILL SIDE ON SIDE OF ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS AND MEN AND WOMEN IN THE STREET TRYING TO MAKE THE CITY SAFER FOR THEMSELVES AND THOSE WITH THESE WEAPONS TO ENSURE THEY RESPECT NO THE IN A SITUATION COMPROMISED AND THERE IS INJURY. OR LOSS OF LIFE. I SUPPORT THE CLAUSE OUT OF EMC. I DON'T CARE ABOUT THE PROVINCE TAKE ON THAT. WE HAVE A PROGRAMME LET'S MOVE AND TAKE ADVANTAGE OF IT. WE TALK ABOUT FEDERAL MONEY. WE ARE GETTING IT. WHY AREN'T WE TAKING IT UP? THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR BACK TO YOU TO CLOSE. PARDON ME. ONE MORE SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR DOBSON.

COUNCILLOR DOBSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I HAVE A NUMBER OF CONCERNS HERE.

CONCERNED THAT THE PROVINCE IS NOT ON BOARD WITH BILL C21. CONCERNED THAT THE OFFICIAL OPPOSITION DOWN IN OTTAWA MAY CANCEL THIS PROGRAMME IF THEY GOT ELECTED. I AM CONCERNED WITH THE ADDITIONAL WORK THAT THE WPS IS GOING TO HAVE TO DO TO COLLECT THESE FIREARMS. AT THIS TIME I AM NOT GOING TO SUPPORT THIS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: GOOD DISCUSSION. CALL THE QUESTION, PLEASE. I HAVE MADE MY POINT.

MADAM SPEAKER: QUESTION ON ITEM 7. IN FAVOR PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: GILLINGHAM, ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DUNCAN, EADIE, LUKES, ROLLINS, WYATT, ORLIKOW AND SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: OPPOSED.

CLERK: DOBSON, MAYES, SANTOS AND SCHREYER. 12-4.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 7 PASSES. ITEM 8, MR. CLERK.

CLERK: AWARD OF CONTRACT PROVISION FOR LEASE TO OWN POLICE HELICOPTER BID OPPORTUNITY 677-24T.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THERE WAS GOOD DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS ITEM. I HAVE BEEN SUPPORTIVE OF THE POLICE HELICOPTER AND ITS USEFULNESS IN MAKING THE CITY OF WINNIPEG SAFER. HELPING TO SEARCH FOR INDIVIDUALS, LOST INDIVIDUALS. CERTAINLY HELPING TO ASSIST WITH VEHICLE PURSUITS AND ALLOWS THE POLICE SERVICE TO NOT HAVE TO PURSUE WITH THEIR CARS WHEN THE HELICOPTER IS IN THE AIR. MANY, MANY YEARS AGO I DID TAKE A RIDE WITH THE POLICE SERVICE ON THE HELICOPTER. I SAW IT IN USE FIRST HAND. QUITE REMARKABLE. HELICOPTER CAN GET FROM ONE SIDE OF WINNIPEG TO THE OTHER IN A FEW MINUTES. A CRUISER CAR WOULD TAKE HALF HOUR TO 40 MINUTES TO TRAVERSE FROM ONE SIDE TO THE OTHER. I DID ASK THE QUESTION AND ONE OF OUR DELEGATES MENTIONED. I HAVE ASKED ABOUT THE USE OF DRONES. WHETHER WE CAN GET TO THE PLACE WHERE DRONES CAN DO THE WORK OF THE HELICOPTER. THEY ARE LOOKING AT IT. THEY ARE NOT THERE YET. WE APPRECIATE AND UNDERSTAND. IN THE MEANTIME HAVING THE POLICE HELICOPTER LEASE TO OWN, NOT LAYING OUTLINED ALL CASH AT ONCE WITH CLAUSES IN THE CONTRACT THAT WOULD

PERMIT THEM TIME TO REASSESS TO THROW OUT THE CONTRACT IS WHAT IS BEFORE US TODAY. BY ALL MEANS AS A CITY WE SHOULD LOOK AT USE OF TECHNOLOGY. COUNCILLOR ALLARD WHEN I ASKED HIM TO IDENTIFY TECHNOLOGY OPPORTUNITIES TO PROVIDE BETTER SERVICE TO RESIDENTS AND BETTER CUSTOMER SERVICES HE HAS TAKEN THAT SERIOUSLY AND IS WORKING ON THAT. POLICE SERVICE THEY ARE EXPLORING TECHNOLOGY. THEY REPORT PUBLICLY TO THE POLICE BOARD REGULARLY ON THE WORK THEY ARE DOING. ONE OF THE THINGS THEY ARE LOOKING AT IS USE OF DRONES IN VARIOUS WAYS. FIRE DEPARTMENT IS USING DRONES RIGHT NOW. TECHNOLOGY CONTINUES TO DEVELOP, CONTINUES TO ADVANCE. AS THAT UNFOLDS PERHAPS THERE WILL BE A TIME IN THE FUTURE WHERE THE HELICOPTER CAN BE REPLACED WITH OTHER TECHNOLOGY. WE ARE NOT THERE YET. I WILL SUPPORT THIS REQUEST.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THIS IS NOT THE PURCHASE OF SECOND HELICOPTER. THIS IS REPLACEMENT OF THE ORIGINAL OR EXISTING HELICOPTER. IF YOU LOOK AT THE FLY TO OPERATIONS UNIT. THIS IS PUBLICLY AVAILABLE ONLINE. IT DOES TALK ABOUT THE FACT THAT THE POLICE HELICOPTERS IN THE USE 247 OUT OF 364 DAYS. THEY HAVE CONFISCATED AND THIS IS THE REPORT I AM READING FROM. THEY WERE ABLE TO TAKE 318 FIREARMS, WEAPONS, ASSAULT TYPE WEAPONS OFF THE STREETS. ATTENDED 2247 EVENTS. LOCATED 574 MISSING PERSONS. THEY HAD 95 VEHICLE PURSUITS. AGAIN, IT SHOWS THE UTILIZATION OF THE POLICE HELICOPTER. MAYOR GILLINGHAM TALKED ABOUT USE OF DRONES. THIS IS A TOPIC OF DISCUSSION AS WE LOOK AT TECHNOLOGY TO FIGHT CRIME. I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY WHILE I WAS IN VANCOUVER ON A CONFERENCE TO ATTEND THE VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT AND SAW THEIR FLIGHT OPERATIONS UNIT WHICH INCLUDED A DRONE PROGRAMME. WITH DRONES THEY WERE ABLE TO DEPLOY THEM AND SEE A DRUG TRANSACTION WHILE THE DRONE WAS IN THE AIR SEVERAL THOUSAND FEET IN THE AIR. COULD SEE THE COLOR OF CURRENCY EXCHANGING HANDS. YES, IT IS AN EFFECTIVE TOOL. MORE COST-EFFECTIVE, BUT THERE ARE LIMITATIONS WITH ITS USE AGE THAT THE POLICE HELICOPTER EXPANDS THE USAGE AND KEEPS STREETS SAFE. I ALSO WANT TO PUT ON THE RECORD THE FACT THIS IS LEASE TO OWN AS MENTIONED BY THE MAYOR. THE MONTHLY COST AROUND $74,000. ROLLS UP TO 800 OR $900,000 A YEAR INVESTMENT. INCLUDED ARE MAINTENANCE COSTS. EVERY COUPLE YEARS THE CURRENT HELICOPTER HAS TO BE TAKEN OFFLINE STRIPPED DOWN TO THE FRAME, INSPECTED AND PUT BACK TOGETHER. THIS IS PART OF THE AGREEMENT THE SERVICE HAS IN TERMS OF THE AGENCY THAT ADMINISTRATORS FLIGHT OPERATIONS IN CANADA. THAT COMES AT A SIGNIFICANT COST. $600,000 EVERY TIME THAT HELICOPTER EVERY COUPLE YEARS IS OFFLINE FOR THE INSPECTION. THE COST FOR THE NEW HELICOPTER ARE BORNE IN THE LEASE AGREEMENTS. IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE WHILE THE HELICOPTER IS INSPECTED IT IS OFFLINE TWO MONTHS WHICH HAS VULNERABILITY IN THE CITY. WITH THIS NEW LEASE TO OWN, AGAIN, THE COMPANY WOULD PROVIDE THAT

BACK UP HELICOPTER WHEN NEEDED DURING THE INSPECTION PERIODS. IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE WE ARE GOING FROM H120 HELICOPTER TO H125 HELICOPTER. PILOTS CURRENTLY OPERATING THE CURRENT HELICOPTER HAVE EXPERIENCE OF OPERATING THE H125 AS WELL. NO ADDITIONAL TRAINING NEEDED. EQUIPMENT THEY HAVE ON THE H120 COMPATIBLE WITH H125. NO NEW EQUIPMENT HAS TO BE PURCHASED. THERE IS A GOOD DEAL. KEEPS OFFICERS SAFE, KEEP RESIDENTS SAFE IN TERMS OF THOSE VEHICLE PURSUITS THAT HAPPEN WHERE THEY UTILIZE THE HELICOPTER FOR THAT SURVEILLANCE, ALLOWING FOR THE CAR PURSUITS TO HAPPEN AT MORE SAFE RATE. IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT TO NOTE THROUGH THE POLICE AGREEMENT IF NEW TECHNOLOGY IS ON THE HORIZON AND THEY WANT TO MOVE UP, THEY CAN OPT OUT OF THEIR AGREEMENT. THIS IS A GOOD DEAL FOR WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE, GOOD DEAL FOR CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG WHO HAVE WANTING TO SEE US INVEST IN SAFETY IN OUR COMMUNITIES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT IS COUNCILLOR WYATT FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: MADAM SPEAKER I WILL SUPPORT THE CLAUSE. WHEN THE POLICE HELICOPTER CAME TO DISCUSSION.IT WAS MYSELF AND COUNCILLOR JABASSY WHO VOTED AGAINST IT. THE RESOURCES THAT IT DOES TAKE IN SOME WAYS NOT THE ISSUE OF OPPOSITION TO THE IDEA OF HELICOPTER RATHER THE COMMENT THAT HELICOPTER IS NEEDED IN THE FIRST PLACE. IN OTHER WORDS, THE STATE OF OUR CITY, THE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CHALLENGES FACED IN OUR NEIGHBORHOODS THAT REQUIRE HELICOPTER TO BE ABLE TO ENFORCE THE LAWS THAT WE HAVE. AS WELL THE CONCERN AT THE TIME WHICH WAS EXPRESSED IT IS BETTER TO HAVE OFFICERS ON THE GROUND AND IN OUR COMMUNITY PROACTIVE COMMUNITY-BASED POLICING, NEIGHBORHOOD POLICING THAT IS APPROACHABLE. RATHER THAN THE FANCY TECHNOLOGY WHICH OFTEN IS VERY ATTRACTIVE. HAVING SAID THAT I KNOW THE HELICOPTER HAS BEEN A GREAT USE TO THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE. I AM LOOKING FORWARD TO THE NEXT CHIEF OF POLICE SO THAT WE CAN HAVE REDEDICATION TO COMMUNITY-BASED POLICING THAT WE ONCE SAW IN THIS CITY. POLICING THAT IS ACCESSIBLE AND APPROACHABLE AND I KNOW THE WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE WORK HARD IN THAT ALREADY. IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO RECOMMIT OURSELVES TO. I JUST POINT OUT THE FACT THAT WE HAVE THE RESOURCES HERE TO LEASE A HELICOPTER $300,000 AIR YEAR AND GOING UP. WE STILL HAVE THE EAST DISTRICT AND WEST DISTRICT STATION CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC. I HAVE TO QUESTION NOW THE NORTH DISTRICT STATION. WHY DO WE BUILD THESE MULTI-MILLION DOLLAR FACILITIES AND THEN LOCK THE DOORS TO THE PUBLIC? IF CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG WANT TO MAKE A POLICE REPORT IN PERSON GO DOWNTOWN. MANY DO NOT. BECAUSE THEY DO NOT WANT TO HAVE THE HEADACHE OR TIME OR FEAR OF BEING DOWNTOWN NOW PERCEIVED OR OTHERWISE. THAT ACTUALLY DRIVES DOWN THE ACTUAL RECORDING OF STATISTICS NECESSARY TO DETERMINE OUR LEVEL OF POLICING IN THE CITY WHICH

FEEDS INTO ITSELF BY THE IRONY OF HAVING THE DISTRICT OFFICES CLOSED. PANDEMIC IS OVER. DISTRICT OFFICES SHOULD BE OPEN TO PUBLIC. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN AND I HAVE SPOKEN MORE THAN ONCE AT THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD ON THIS E.IT HAS FALLEN ON DEAF EARS. FRUSTRATING WE HAVE A REPORT WE HAVE RESOURCES FOR HELICOPTER WHICH I SUPPORT. WE STILL HAVE THESE DISTRICT OFFICES CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC. WE NEED TO GET THEM BACK OPEN AGAIN. IF ANYTHING YOU COULD ARGUE IT IS SYMBOLIC IN TERMS OF THE APPROACH TO COMMUNITY-BASED POLICING. I TAKE IT FURTHER. IT IS INTEGRAL TO THE IDEA OUR OFFICERS ARE APPROACHABLE BY THE PUBLIC AND THEIR OFFICES. I APPRECIATE IT IS NOT A FIREHALL. POLICE ARE IN THE STREETS ALL OF THE TIME, ALWAYS ON PATROL. THERE ARE TIMES WHEN MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC NEED TO ACCESS POLICE DISTRICT STATION. SOMETIMES AS SIMPLE AS MAKING POLICE REPORT FOR SAKE OF AUTO ACCIDENT THAT THEY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WITH. WE CANNOT DO THAT WITH THESE OFFICES CLOSED. THE TIME HAS COME TO OPEN THESE OFFICES. IT IS TWO YEARS SINCE THE LAST ELECTION. THIS WAS AN ISSUE IN THE LAST ELECTION. PUBLIC WAS OUTRAGED. PANDEMIC IS OVER. GET THESE OFFICES OPEN. WE SPENT MILLIONS OF DOLLARS BUILDING THESE FACILITIES THAT ARE STILL CLOSED. POLICE BOARD DOES NOTHING. ABSOLUTELY NOTHING TO ADDRESS THIS CONCERN. IT IS GROWING. I CAN TELL YOU THE PUBLIC IS LOSING PATIENCE THE OFFICES ARE CLOSED. I SUPPORT THIS. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOMETHING DONE SOON TO GET THESE DISTRICT OFFICES OPEN TO THE PUBLIC SO THEY CAN MAKE POLICE REPORTS, COME AND SPEAK TO OFFICERS ABOUT CONCERNS AND ISSUES IN THE COMMUNITY. KEEP IN MIND, MADAM SPEAKER, WE WENT DOWN FROM SIX DISTRICTS TO FOUR. THAT IS HOW WE BUILT THESE STATIONS. THE RATIONALE AT THE TIME WAS THAT THEY WOULD BE BETTER FACILITIES, THEY WOULD BE ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC AND OPEN, BEING THEY ARE MORE CENTRALIZED. WE WENT FROM TWO STATIONS TO ONE ON THE ENTIRE EAST SIDE OF THE RED RIVER ON THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. ENTIRE EAST AREA OF WINNIPEG. USED TO BE ONE SERVING SOUTHEAST WINNIPEG. AND ONE SERVING NORTHEAST. WE PUT IT ALL-IN-ONE. RATIONALE IN THE REPORT GIVEN TO US BY THE EXECUTIVE AT THE TIME AND THE ADMINISTRATION AND THE MAYOR AT THE TIME MURRAY WAS THAT THIS WOULD BE BETTER PUBLIC SERVICE. DISTRICT OFFICES MORE ACCESSIBLE AND THEY ARE CLOSED. CONTRARY TO THE INTENT OF THAT REPORT THAT WAS PASSED BY COUNCIL IN JULY OF 2003. THE TIME HAS COME TO REOPEN THESE OFFICES TO LIVE UP TO THE PROMISES MADE TO THE PUBLIC WHEN THOSE MULTI-MILLION DOLLAR BRAND NEW FACILITIES WERE BUILT AND MAKE THEM ACCESSIBLE AGAIN SO WE GET A TRUE PICTURE, MADAM SPEAK CUROF CRIME AND ISSUES IN THE COMMUNITY PEOPLE CAN REPORT RATHER THAN PEOPLE SAYING I AM NOT GOING DOWNTOWN TO MAKE THE REPORT. TOO MUCH HEADACHE. WHERE AM I GOING TO PARK? I DON'T FEEL SAFE? WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE. GET THE DISTRICT OFFICES OPEN THAT IS THE COMMITMENT WHEN WE REDUCED AMOUNT OF POLICE SERVICES CENTRES FROM SIX TO FOUR. WE SPENT TENS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS BUILDING THESE NEW FACILITIES. THE POLICE BOARD IGNORES THIS REPORT IS FRUSTRATING. I CAN TELL YOU THE PUBLIC IS MORE AND MORE FRUSTRATED AS THEY TRY TO GO TO THE OFFICE AND IT IS

CLOSED AND THEY HAVE TO GO DOWNTOWN. THAT'S MY COMMENTS, MADAM SPEAKER. I RAISE IT NOW BECAUSE OF THE FACT WE HAVE MONEY HERE. I SUPPORT THIS. I DIDN'T SUPPORT THE HELICOPTERS IN THE PAST. I SUPPORT WITH THE HOPE MY CONCERNS I HEAR IN THE COMMUNITY GET DRESSED SOON BY THE POLICE BOARD AND/OR THE NEW CHIEF OF POLICE WHENEVER THAT SHOULD TAKE PLACE. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THIS IS A CONCERN I WANT TO BRING FORWARD AT THIS TIME WHEN WE DEAL WITH A POLICE MATTER THAT I AM LEARNING AS NEW MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES. IT GOES INTO WHAT COUNCILLOR WYATT WAS SAYING. THERE HAS BEEN CHANGES AT THE CITY. I DON'T WANT TO TAKE UP -- COUNCILLOR LUKES DID A GREAT JOB ADVOCATING FOR MORE TRANSPARENCY AT CITY HALL. I DON'T WANT TO TAKE UP HER SPACE. SHE DID A GREAT JOB, BUT WHEN IT COMES TO TRANSPARENCY WE ARE DECREASING IT RIGHT NOW. PART OF THAT WITH THE POLICE BUDGET HERE IS THAT WE USED TO HAVE IT COME FORWARD AT COMMUNITY SERVICES. WE HAVE TAKEN A BIG PART OF COMMUNITY SERVICES BUDGET AND POLICING STUFF AND NOW TO E.P.C. I THINK THAT IT IS CRITICAL BECAUSE I THINK THE COMMUNITY WE ALL GET THE CALLS IN OUR OFFICE ABOUT POLICING. THE LACK OF POLICING. WHAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE OR WHAT THEY ARE SPENDING. WE GET THOSE CALLS. YET YOU HAVE TO BE A MEMBER OF E.P.C. TO BE SITTING THERE ASKING THE QUESTIONS AND REALLY GETTING THE INFORMATION THAT WE NEED. WE ALL KNOW THE IMPORTANCE OF SITTING DOWN TO HAVE SOMETHING ON THE AGENDA AND NON E.P.C. HAVING ABILITY TO DIRECTLY ASK ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS. NOW WE DON'T HAVE THAT. WE USED TO REMEMBER A TIME WHEN WE USED TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT AT COMMUNITY SERVICES AND GO THROUGH THE BUDGET WITH OUR PUBLIC SERVICE WITH OUR POLICE FORCE AND ASK QUESTIONS THAT WE WANT TO HEAR. NOW THIS IS REALLY TAKEN AWAY FROM US AS NON-E.P.C. MEMBERS. I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER THAT SOMEBODY ON E.P.C. AND NOT ON E.P.C. WHEN YOU ARE ON E.P.C. YOU HAVE YOUR OWN TIME YOU ARE WORRYING ABOUT. I THINK THAT IS A GOOD THING WE WANT CHAIRS TO BE WELL ACKNOWLEDGED. HAVING SOMEBODY NONE.P.C. MEMBER ABLE TO ASK QUESTIONS TO PUBLIC SERVICE, SCRUTINIZE BUDGET, SCRUTINIZE POLICY DIRECTIONS IS REALLY CRITICAL. I AM VERY UPSET THAT WE CHANGED THE SYSTEM AND IT GOES DIRECTLY TO E.P.C. LESS CONTACT. I FEEL LIKE I DON'T HAVE A CONNECTION WITH WINNIPEG POLICE BECAUSE WE HAVE A POLICE BOARD, WE HAVE THIS KIND OF SYSTEM. I REALLY FEEL THE PUBLIC FEELS IT IS NOT WORKING. US HAVING TO MANAGE A BUDGET AND HAVING REALLY VERY LIMITED ACCESS TO ASKING THE QUESTIONS WE NEED TO HAVE, YOU KNOW, IS VERY CONCERNING. WHEN I AS COUNCILLOR ASKED FOR COUNCIL SEMINAR, WE USED TO HAVE MANY SEMINARS ON MULTIPLE THINGS. NOW WE GET VERY LITTLE INFORMATION, VERY LITTLE SEMINARS. I SHOULD BE ABLE TO ASK FOR COUNCIL SEMINAR WHAT IS GOING ON WITH HIRING OF CHIEF OF POLICE, WHAT IS GOING ON ON

POLICE MATTERS. THOSE ARE THINGS I SHOULD BE ABLE TO ASK. IT IS REALLY DENYING THE COMMUNITY ACCESS TO INFORMATION WHEN THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO COME TO ANOTHER COMMITTEE TO BE ABLE TO COME IN DELEGATION AND ASK QUESTIONS AND ALSO SCRUTINIZE WHAT WE ARE DOING HERE AT THE CITY IN TERMS OF POLICY AND BUDGETS. I WANT TO SHARE THAT. I REALLY DON'T THINK MY COUNCILLOR COLLEAGUES KNOW THIS HAS CHANGED. I WANT TO BRING IT UP BECAUSE IT MAY BE WAS NOT THE INTENTION OF THIS CHANGE. I HOPE IT WASN'T THE INTENTION OF THE CHANGE. I HOPE WE CAN REALLY SEE THIS MOVE BACK TO COMMUNITY SERVICES WHERE WE CAN HAVE A MORE EQUITABLE LENS ON THE BUDGET AND POLICIES OF POLICING AND ALSO OUR COMMUNITY SERVICES. IT HAS BEEN TAKEN OUT AND PUT INTO THE CAO'S OFFICE. THOSE ARE CRITICAL THINGS THAT WE AS COUNCIL SHOULD BE VIEWING. NOT JUST E.P.C. THAT IS VERY, VERY CONCERNING. YOU KNOW, THE LAST COUNCIL WAS VERY CONCERNED ABOUT TRANSPARENCY. I AM SEEING LESS. I WANT TO RAISE THIS BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS THE INTENT, BUT THIS IS A MAJOR CONCERN FOR ME. SOMEBODY WHO GETS MULTIPLE CALLS OVER POLICING AND WANTS TO BE MORE ENGAGED. IT IS NOT THE SAME. COUNCILLOR GILROY YOU CAN PICK UP THE PHONE TO CALL SOMEBODY. IT IS DIFFERENT AT THE COMMITTEE ON THE AGENDA ALL COUNCILLOR COLLEAGUES FEED OFF EACH OTHER AND SCRUTINIZE AND ASKING QUESTIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR GILROY. ARE YOU DONE WITH YOUR PRESENTATION?

COUNCILLOR GILROY: A COUPLE MINUTES.

MADAM SPEAKER: WE ARE ON REPORT OF POLICE OFFICER.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: WE DIDN'T HAVE OPPORTUNITY TO ENGAGE IN THIS TOPIC AND TOPICS COUNCILLOR WYATT WAS TALKING ABOUT. I WILL SUPPORT THE POLICE HELICOPTER. HOPEFULLY MAYBE WHEN THE MAYOR CLOSES HE CAN I HOPE QUIETER ONE. THAT IS ONE OF THE THINGS I HEAR. I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO RAISE THIS BECAUSE NOT EVERYBODY IS GETTING THE SAME LEVEL OF ACCESS TO THE INFORMATION. THAT NEEDS TO CHANGE, MADAM SPEAKER. THAT HAS VERY MUCH ABOUT THE POLICE HELICOPTER. I KNOW SOMEBODY RAISED THIS. THIS HAS EVERYTHING TO DO WITH THE BUDGET THAT IS RIGHT IN FRONT OF US AND WHAT WE ARE DOING RIGHT NOW. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR MAYES, FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THANK YOU. I WOULD LIKE TO SUPPORT THIS BUT COUNCILLOR SCHREYER KNOCKED IT OUT OF THE PARK YESTERDAY POINTING OUT THE SIMPLE QUESTION NOT ADDRESSED HERE. IN 2010 THERE WAS A REPORT TO THE COMMUNITY SERVICES THE PRICE WAS $3.49 MILLION. THAT IS IN 2010 FOR THE SAME COMPANY

AIRBUS. OLDER MODEL. $3.5 MILLION WITH A LOT OF PROVINCIAL ASSISTANCE. THEN PREMIER SALAGER WITH THEN MAYOR KATE. HE SAID 350 MORE I WOULD SAY MORE TO GET TO $14 MILLION. IT IS $900,000 A YEAR FOR 15 YEARS. $75,000 PER MONTH. 180 MONTHS. WHY IS IT FOUR TIMES DEPENDING ON THE MATH. WHY IS IT FOUR TIMES MORE EXPENSIVE? WE ARE LEASING IT. THAT DOESN'T AS THE QUESTION. MAYBE IT WOULD BE SMARTER TO BUY IT. NO PROVIDED AN OPTION. WE SEEM TO HAVE THE MONEY IN THE BUDGET THIS REPORT SAYS. IT IS A 15 YEARLONG COMMITMENT OPERATING COSTS IN ADDITION TO CAPITAL COSTS. HOW WE CAN SAY IT IS IN THE BUDGET FOR NEXT 15 YEARS IS A BIT MYSTERIOUS. NO EVIDENCE OF PROVINCIAL SUPPORT WHICH IS WHAT THE COUNCIL HAD IN 2010. NOT OPPOSED TO POLICE HELICOPTER. I SERVED ON POLICE BOARD. I AM OPPOSED TO REPORT THAT SAYS $14 MILLION. DOESN'T SAY THE VERY QUESTION COUNCILLOR SCHREYER ASKED. THAT SEEMS LIKE A LOT MORE. CONSTRUCTION INFLATION? WHO KNOWS? IT IS $3.5 MILLION IN 2010. SAME COULD. NOW $14 MILLION FOR ONE HELICOPTER. MAINTENANCE COST BUILT IN. REPORT FROM 2010 THE $3.5 MILLION INCLUDED COST OF BUILDING A HANGAR. NOT ADEQUATE INFORMATION. GOING TO COUNCILLOR GILROY HELPFUL TO HAVE A COUNCIL SEMINAR ON THIS. I SUPPORTED NUMBER OF POLICE INITIATIVES WHEN PEOPLE NOT HERE VOTED TO CHANGE THE PENSION PLAN. I OPPOSED THAT. PEOPLE VOTED AGAINST PLAN ON PARKING. I OPPOSED. 13-3 IN FAVOR OF THAT. WE DIDN'T HAVE TO PAY ANYTHING. IT WAS VERY GOOD BIT OF PUBLIC POLICY. IN SHORT. I DON'T OPPOSE A HELICOPTER IN THEORY. I OPPOSE A REPORT THAT IS A 400% INCREASE. THAT IS HOW I WOULD DO THE MATH. I DON'T KNOW HOW COUNCILLOR SCHREYER GOT 260. $3.5 MILLION IN 2010. $14 MILLION NOW HUGE INCREASE. MAYBE GOOD EXPLANATION. SAME COMPANY. 10%PASTURE. 120 KNOTS UP TO 130 KNOTS, LOUDER, NOT QUIET. NO NOISE MUFFELING. LAYOVER IS POINTLESS. I KNOW THE MAYOR AND OTHERS HAVE A GOOD RECORD OF SUPPORTING POLICE INITIATIVES. I AM NOT TRYING TO ELEVATE DEBATE. I DON'T FEEL I HAVE THE INFORMATION IN FRONT OF ME TO EXPLAIN WHY HAS THERE BEEN AN INCREASE IN 15 YEARS? WAGES HAVEN'T INCREASED 400% SINCE 2010. WHY COST OF HELICOPTER? IT INCLUDED BUILDING THE HANGAR IN 2010. NOW WHY IS IT THAT MUCH MORE EXPENSIVE? I WOULD OPPOSE THIS AT THIS TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I RISE IN SUPPORT OF THIS REPORT. IT IS ON THE E.P.C. AGENDA BECAUSE OF ITS BUDGET EFFECT. E.P.C. AS EVERYBODY ON COUNCIL KNOWS, E.P.C. HANDLES THE MONEY REQUESTS. THIS ISN'T A CONTRACT RENEWAL THAT MAY SHOW UP ON COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENDA, MADAM SPEAKER. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE HELICOPTER. WHEN IT WAS FIRST BROUGHT IN. ONE THING TO BE CLEAR WHILE THE HELICOPTER CAN BE ACROSS THE CITY QUITE QUICKLY FOLLOWING SAY STOLEN VEHICLE CHASE OR ROBBERY IN VEHICLE INVOLVED, YES, ABILITY TO FOLLOW IT. TO BE CLEAR IT IS NOT THAT THE POLICE CRUISERS DON'T HAVE TO GET OVER TOWARDS

WHERE THAT STOLEN VEHICLE OR ROBBERY INVOLVED MOTOR CHASE. WHAT HAPPENS IS THE POLICE OFFICERS DON'T HAVE TO TAKE THE PUBLIC RISK IN DRIVING AT THE SPEED THEY NEED TO TO DEAL WITH THAT MOTOR VEHICLE. THE CHOPPER IS IN THE AIR, FOLLOWED, VERY IMPORTANT. YOU COULD PROBABLY DO THAT WITH A DRONE AS WELL, MADAM SPEAKER. TECHNOLOGY IS BEING LOOKED AT. I DON'T KNOW HOW BIG DRONE IT WOULD NEED TO BE. IT IS STILL SOMEBODY STILL IS CONTROLLING IT, MADAM SPEAKER. ONE THING WE SHOULD NOTE. BIGGEST ISSUE BACK IN THE DAY WHEN WE WERE LOOKING AT THE HELICOPTER WAS PEOPLE'S PRIVACY, MADAM SPEAKER. POLICE SERVICE FLYING OVER PEOPLE'S PROPERTY. DRONE DOES THE SAME THING. IF YOU ARE LOOKING AND OPPOSED TO HELICOPTERS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT AND I KNOW THERE WAS A NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN MY WARD WHO WERE QUITE UPSET ABOUT DRONES OR HELICOPTERS IN THE AIR INTERRUPTING THEIR PRIVACY WHEN THEY HAVEN'T BE DONE ANYTHING WRONG. THAT IS ONE OF THE ISSUES. NOW WE DO HAVE TO BE INTERESTED IN THE PUBLIC'S SAFETY. THAT IS WHY I AGREE WITH MOVING AHEAD WITH IT. TRY TO AVOID PRIVACY ISSUES REGARDING PEOPLE WHO ARE JUST LEGALLY DOING WHATEVER THEY ARE DOING IN THEIR YARDS OR WHATEVER. NOISE IS A FACTOR, MADAM SPEAKER. I GET CALLS ABOUT THAT. WHAT I NOTICED ABOUT THE HELICOPTER BECAUSE I IT IS OUT ON MY STAIR STOOP OVER ON SCOTIA. I HEAR THE HELICOPTER. HOWEVER, IT IS IN A QUIET MODE UNTIL IT HAS TO GET SOMEWHERE FAST. THEN IT IS MAKING A FAIR AMOUNT OF NOISE BECAUSE IT IS RUNNING AT FULL POWER AND THERE IS A LOT OF NOISE. HOPEFULLY NOISE ATTENTION OFFSETTING THAT IS IMPORTANT. NOW, I WANT TO GO BACK TO SOMETHING HERE. THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA CREATED -- WELL-MADE WINNIPEG CREATE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD, MADAM SPEAKER. TO DEAL WITH ALL OF THESE MAKE A BUDGET RECOMMENDATION TO THE CITY. FOR WHAT THEY NEED TO DO FOR WHAT THE POLICE SERVICE NEEDS TO DO TO KEEP OUR COMMUNITY SAFE. WHAT ONE WOULD FIND WHILE MEMBERS OF THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD ARE NOT TO TALK ABOUT ISSUES, I HAVE GOTTEN IN TROUBLE THERE IN THE PAST. HOWEVER, WHAT I WILL POINT OUT IS EVERY WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD MEETING THERE ARE REPORTS. THEY HAD A MEETING TO DEAL WITH THEIR BUDGET REQUEST OF THE CITY. THE HELICOPTER WOULD HAVE BEEN PART OF THAT. WHAT I WOULD SAY IS FOR MY COUNCIL COLLEAGUES IF YOU SHOWED UP AT THAT MEETING AND YOU READ THAT REPORT, YOU COULD GO THERE IN DELEGATION AND SAY, WELL, THIS KIND OF INFORMATION IS MISSING. CAN YOU PLEASE GET US AN ANSWER BY THE TIME IT ARRIVES AT CITY COUNCIL ON SOME OF THESE ISSUES. YOU ARE QUITE CAPABLE OF DOING THAT. FOR THOSE WHO REALLY WANT TO KNOW INTERNALLY ALL OF THAT INTEREST THE POLICE BOARD, I BELIEVE ONCE THE CHIEF IS HIRED THERE WILL BE A POSITION THAT IS OPEN FOR ANY COUNCILLOR IF THEY WANT TO BE ON THE POLICE BOARD BECAUSE THERE ARE TWO MEMBERS ON THERE OF COUNCIL. THERE IS A CHAIR APPOINTED BY THE MAY MAYOR AND AFTER 22 ELECTION. I DON'T KNOW WHAT WILL HAPPEN BY NOVEMBER. HOPEFULLY THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD IS MOVING AS FAST AS THEY CAN TO HAVE A NEW CHIEF OF POLICE, BUT DIGRESSING A BIT ON THE HELICOPTER. TO SAY E.P.C. SOMEHOW WE BECAME PRIVY, E.P.C. WOULD HAVE BECOME PRIVY ONCE

THE POLICE BOARD SUBMITTED THEIR REQUEST OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. YES, THERE IS BACK AND FORTH DURING THE BUDGET PROCESS WHERE THERE ARE DISCUSSIONS REGARDING THAT BUDGET. I'M NOT GOING TO BRING UP ISSUES. I WILL POINT OUT THAT E.P.C. OR THE BUDGET WORKING GROUP EVEN. WE NEVER HAD THE POLICE BOARD COME TO US AS THE BUDGET WORKING GROUP WHEN I WAS THERE. I DON'T THINK IT DID THIS YEAR EITHER. BECAUSE IT IS THE POLICE BOARD BUDGET PEOPLE WHO ARE PUTTING FORTH THAT TO CITY COUNCIL ACCORDING TO THE RULES OF THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. I JUST WANT TO REMIND EVERYBODY OF THAT. WE DO NEED THE HELICOPTER. 15 YEAR AGREEMENT, I THINK IT IS. LEASED TO OWN. I DON'T KNOW IF THE MAINTENANCE IS BUILT IN. THE LEASE TO OWN, ACTUAL LEASE COMPONENT BECAUSE WE END UP OWNING IT IS A CAPITAL ITEM. MAINTENANCE PART WOULD BE OPERATING ISSUE. MAYOR MAY CLARIFY SOMETHING REGARDING THE MAYTENANCE OF SAID NEW HELICOPTER. I WILL END BY SAYING I AM DISAPPOINTED. ORIGINALLY THE CONCEPT WAS WHEN I WAS ON THE POLICE BOARD. CONCEPT WAS THAT WE WOULD LEASE, NOT LEASE TO OWN, BUT WE WOULD LEASE THE HELICOPTER AND HOPEFULLY IF IT NEEDED REPAIRS THE LEASE OR WOULD PROVIDE ANOTHER ONE TO STAY IN THE AIR AS LONG AS POSSIBLE. ASIDE FROM THAT I AM IN SUPPORT. I HOPE MY COLLEAGUES AND WE SHOULD SPENT MORE TIME UNDERSTANDING HOW THE POLICE BOARD YOU SHOULD SPEND MORE TIME GOING TO THE MEETINGS. QUESTIONS? ALL REPORTS DOESN'T MATTER THE ISSUE THE REPORTS SHOW UP ON THEIR AGENDA.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: MADAM SPEAKER, GOOD DISCUSSION. INDICATIVE OF THE PROBLEM. I WANT TO SAY COUNCILLOR GILROY WAS COMPLETELY ABSOLUTELY IN ORDER WHEN SHE WAS TALKING ABOUT WHAT SHE WAS TALKING ABOUT. WHY? LIKE YESTERDAY. NOT LIKE WE DON'T WANT COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS. IT IS A MATTER OF MAKING PRIORITIES. WE HAVE TO COMPARE ONE OPTION TO ANOTHER. ABSOLUTELY. THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT YESTERDAY WAS ABOUT. OUR PRIORITIES. EVERYBODY INDIVIDUALLY HAS TO MAKE THEIR ONLY DECISIONS, PRIORITIES. OF COLLECTIVELY AS CITY HALL COUNCIL WE HAVE DECIDE DO THIS OR THAT. WHAT I SAID IN MY PRAYER I DID THE CITY HALL PRAYER IN SOLIDARITY. WE HAVE TO MAKE DIFFICULT DECISIONS. THAT IS WHAT CINDY GILROY WAS TALKING ABOUT. THANK YOU. SO WAS RUSS WYATT. THAT IS ALL WE DO HERE. MAKE THIS DECISION INSTEAD OF ANOTHER ONE. IT IS CALLED PRIORITIES. THAT IS ALL CINDY GILROY WAS TALKING ABOUT. PRIORITIES. WE DO IT ALL OF THE TIME WHETHER WE ACKNOWLEDGE IT OR NOT. I AM NOT AGAINST A HELICOPTER. IT IS A QUESTION OF PRIORITIES JUST LIKE COUNCILLOR MAYES SAID. BY THE WAY IF IT WASN'T FOR CONSTRUCTION INFLATION CAPITAL INFLATION WE WOULDN'T BE HAVING THIS CONVERSATION. LET'S FACE IT. WE LITERALLY THIS REPORT ITEM WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN STOOD DOWN. WHY? PEOPLE HAVE TO MAKE A DECISION OVER COST. PUT ON THIS INSTEAD OF SOMEWHERE ELSE. THAT IS THE DECISION WE ARE MAKING TODAY. NO ONE IS

SAYING HELICOPTER IS BAD THING. IT IS PRIORITIES. LIKE EVERYTHING YESTERDAY FOR $2 BILLION. PRIORITIES. NOW, THANK YOU TO COUNCILLOR MAYES FOR DOING A LITTLE REFINEMENT ON THE INFLATION RATES OVER 15 YEARS. SOME FORM OF REFINEMENT ON THAT OVER 15 YEARS BETWEEN THE CAPITAL COSTS OF THE HELICOPTER VERSUS DE SERVICING COSTS. OF COURSE, MARKUS CHAMBERS DID MAKE THE POINT YESTERDAY IN TERMS OF THE DIFFERENCE IN THE LEASE. I DO APPRECIATE THAT. HOWEVER,S COUNCILLOR MAYES MADE THE POINT I DIDN'T REALIZE THAT THE ORIGINAL $3.5 MILLION VERSUS 15 YEARS LATER $13.5 MILLION OR $14 MILLION, THAT CAPITAL ACQUISITION, PURCHASE OF 3.5 INCLUDED BUILDING OF THE HANGAR, CONSTRUCTION OF HANGER. I DIDN'T FIT THAT INTO THE EQUATION. CONSTRUCTION INFLATION. CAPITAL INFLATION, INFLATION IN CAPITAL ASSETS. AM I EXACTINGER RATING, UNDERSTATED? IT IS A FACT. WHAT AM I SAYING IS NOT QUITE RIGHT? WE CAN TALK ABOUT THE PRIORITIES. PEOPLE WHO SUPPORT THIS ARE BECAUSE OF IT IS WORTH THE MONEY. OKAY. THAT IS YOUR PRIORITY. YOU MADE THAT CHOICE. COUNCILLOR GILROY MADE THE POINT AND BE COUNCILLOR WYATT, TOO, IN TERMS IF WE ARE SERIOUS ABOUT OPTIMIZING OUR RESOURCES AND TECHNOLOGY AND CAPITAL EXPENDITURE AND THE POLICE THAT INCLUDES POLICE ENGAGEMENT. THE POINT WAS MADE WHEN YOU SEPARATE PEOPLE FROM THE POLICE BY THE WAY OUR NEW DISTRICT OFFICES WORK, THE POLICE CAN'T GET AS MUCH OF THEIR WORK DONE. THAT IS WORTHWHILE ISN'T IT? TALKING ABOUT A HELICOPTER WHICH WILL COST THE CAPITAL COSTS PLUS EXTRA -- THEY SAY SERVICE COSTS. THAT DOESN'T MEAN ALL OPERATIONAL COSTS. ON THAT BASIS IS POINT MADE BY GILROY AND WYATT. CONCERNED ABOUT THE POLICE BEING ABLE TO DO THEIR JOB HELP THEM. WHEN WE HAVE GREATER CONNECTION BETWEEN PUBLIC AND POLICE, POLICE CAN DO A BETTER JOB. IF WE ARE WORRIED AND THINK HELICOPTER WILL SOLVE THAT IT WON'T. WE NEED GREATER COMMUNICATION BETWEEN PEOPLE OF WINNIPEG AND POLICE. THAT WAS A PRIORITY EXPRESSED. THAT IS HOW WE THINK HERE AT CITY HALL. COUNCILLOR EADIE MADE A POINT. FURTHER INFORMATION WAS AVAILABLE TO COUNCIL BEFORE TODAY'S VOTE. FAIR ENOUGH. I THINK SOME OF THAT IS OFFERED. I AM GOING TO MAKE THE POINT NOT ANY TRUE DISCUSSION WAS AVAILED BY POLICE BOARD AND NATURE OF DILEMMA WE ARE IN TODAY MORE THAN 15 YEARS AGO IN TERMS OF INCREASING COSTS, NOT LABOR OR OPERATIONAL COSTS. THE COST OF BUYING THINGS. CAPITAL COSTS, BUILDING CONSTRUCTION COSTS, CAPITAL INFLATION. WE HAVE TO MAKE SERIOUS DECISIONS OF PRIORITIES. I AM GOING TO SAY IN FAVOR OF ROSS EADIE. I THINK HE IS ONE MEMBER OF E.P.C. TO VOTE FOR TRUE NORMAL NATURAL ROBUST REPORT ON CONSTRUCTION INFLATION, CAPITAL INFLATION. HOW IT AFFECTS EVERY DECISION WE ARE MAKING MORE AND MORE EVERY DAY. IF YOU WANT THAT FORTHCOMING INFORMATION AND CONTEXT OF MAKING DECISIONS TODAY ABOUT THINGS GETTING WAY MORE EXPENSIVE THAN TAXPAYERS AFFORD. WE SHOULD HAVE THAT REPORT ON CAPITAL INFLATION. COUNCILLOR EADIE WOULD VOTE FOR IT. HE VOTED FOR IT BEFORE. UNLESS YOU THINK I AM WASTING YOUR TIME. IF SOMEBODY ELSE IS SAYING THAT. TELL ME TO SIT DOWN.

MADAM SPEAKER: STAND DOWN.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: PEOPLE NEED TO KNOW. PEOPLE ARE WATCHING. AM I WASTING YOUR TIME? ALL RIGHT. COUNCILLOR SANTOS SAYS I AM NOT WASTING YOUR TIME. I AM ALMOST DONE. AS MATTER OF FACT LET'S FACE IT. WE ARE LOOKING AT ALTERNATIVES, PRIORITIES THIS VERSUS THAT. FACE IT COST OF REALLY GOOD DRONE. .2% THE COST. THAT IS VERY LOOSE ESTIMATE. .2% OF THE COST OF HELICOPTER INCLUDING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE. BEAR THAT IN MIND WHEN WE HAVE TO MAKE HARD DECISIONS THAT GET HARDER AND HARDER BECAUSE WE ARE NOT DEALING WITH HOW THE COST OF CAPITAL ASSETS, THINGS BUILT AND CONSTRUCTED IS GOING WAY BEYOND THE PEOPLE THAT BUILD THE WAGES AND SALARIES OF THE PEOPLE THAT BUILD THEM OR TAXPAYERS THAT PAY FOR IT. I WILL MAKE THAT POINT AGAIN. YESTERDAY DURING BUDGET MEETING IT WAS IMPLIED ALL INFLATION IS SAME. WAGE INFLATION IS SAME AS CONSTRUCTION INFLATION. IF THAT WERE THE CASE WE WOULDN'T HAVE INFLATIONARY PROBLEMS. THE PROBLEM IS IT IS HARDER FOR PEOPLE TO PAY FOR IT. THAT IS INFLATION. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. MR. MAYOR, YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU. I WILL TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A COUPLE COMMENTS RELATED TO COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS POSED COUNCILLORS. ONE COUNCILLOR SCHREYER'S COMMENT ABOUT CONSTRUCTION INFLATION. IN 2010 CANADIAN DOLLAR WAS CLOSER THAN PAR THAN IT IS TODAY. COSTS OF PROCURING ANYTHING FROM UNITED STATES OUR OUTSIDE OF CANADA HAS RISEN AS WELL. TO COUNCILLOR WYATT'S POINT. AS MEMBER OF THE POLICE BOARD, NOT SPEAKS FOR THE BOARD AS MEMBER OF THE BOARD I APPRECIATE HIS COMMENTS REGARDS DISTRICT OFFICES. I CAN ASSURE HIM AS MEMBER OF THE BOARD THE BOARD TAKES THAT SERIOUSLY. HIS COMMENTS REQUESTS ARE NOTED ONCE AGAIN. AS PER THROUGH YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. CONCERNS RAISED BY COUNCILLOR GILROY. I JUST WANT TO REMIND COUNCILLOR. POLICE BUDGET IS ON THE THREE SEPARATE AGENDAS. POLICE BOARD PUBLIC POLICY AND COUNCIL PUBLIC AGENDA AS WELL. I DO KNOW IF THERE ARE QUESTIONS THAT COUNCILLOR WANTS TO ASK RELATED TO POLICE BUDGET I AM CONFIDENT THAT I AS MEMBER WILL BE WILLING TO TRY TO GET INFORMATION FOR ANY COUNCILLOR OR MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC FOR THAT MATTER. I WILL SPEAK FOR THEM. THE POLICE BOARD CHAIR, IF I CAN, WOULD BE OPEN TO FIELDING ANY QUESTIONS AS WELL. THE BIGGER THING THAT PERHAPS AND I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK FOR HER PERHAPS COUNCILLOR GILROY'S FRUSTRATION STEMS FROM IN 2010s WE KNOW PROVINCE OF MANITOBA INSTITUTED POLICE SERVICES ACT BROUGHT IN POLICE BOARDS. POLICE BEAREDS ARE CHARGED WITH -- POLICE BOARDS ARE CHARGED WITH CIVILIAN GOVERNANCE, ENFORCEMENT OF LAW, MAINTENANCE OF PUBLIC PEACE AND

PREVENTION OF CRIME. POLICE SERVICES ACT GOES ON TO TALK ABOUT ROLE OF POLICE BOARD SEPARATE FROM COUNCIL. PART OF RATIONALE IF I RECALL BACK IN THAT DAY TO BRING POLICE BOARDS INTO PLACE IN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG BY THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA IS TO TRY TO SEPARATE ANY POTENTIAL OF POLITICAL INFLUENCE OR INTERFERENCE THAT COULD HAVE BEEN POSSIBLE AND REMOVE THE OVERSITE OF POLICE SERVICE AND PUT IN HANDS OF CIVILIAN BODY. WE KNOW ACROSS CANADA POLICE BOARDS ACROSS THE U.S. IN SOME PARTS AS WELL. THE ROLE OF THE POLICE BOARD IS IN THE LEGISLATION. WE AS CITY ARE REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THAT LEGISLATION AS WELL. COUNCILLOR EADIE WAS TALKING ABOUT THAT. I APPRECIATE HIS COMMENTS ON THAT. BACK TO THIS HELICOPTER. GOING BACK IN THE REFERENCE HERE. THE FIRST MENTION OF THE FACT POLICE SERVICES WAS LOOKING FOR NEW HELICOPTER WAS FOUR MONTHS AGO. THIS HAS BEEN IN PUBLIC DOMAIN SINCE OCTOBER 1, 2024. THIS HAS BEEN A MATTER KNOWN AND CIRCULATED IN THE PUBLIC FOR AT LEAST FOUR MONTHS NOW. WHEN SOMETHING HAS BEEN IN AND MADE PUBLIC AND BEEN KNOWN OR AVAILABLE FOR FOUR MONTHS. GETTING INFORMATION ON IT IS SOMETHING I ENCOURAGE ALL COUNCILLOR GOES TO DO. IF THERE ARE MORE QUESTIONS TO BE ASKED THEY CAN ASK THAT. I WANT TO ADDRESS THIS AS WELL. COUNCILLOR FROM DANIEL McINTYRE REFERENCED COUNCIL SEMINAR REQUEST. I DO KNOW I SAW AN E-MAIL ABOUT REQUEST RELATED TO POLICE AND THE POLICE RECRUITMENT PROCESS. I WOULD REMIND ALL OF US THAT HR RECRUITMENTS PROCESS IS JUST THAT. WE WOULDN'T HAVE COUNCIL SEMINAR IN THE MIDDLE OF HR RECRUITMENT PROCESS FOR CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OR CFO. MANY PEOPLE AROUND THE TABLE HAVE BEEN INVOLVED ONE WAY OR THE OTHER ON THE SELECTION COMMITTEE OR HR PROCESS TO HIRE SOMEONE. ASPECTS ARE CONFIDENTIAL FOR GOOD REASON. YOU LOOK AT CANDIDATES WHO MAY WANT NAME FORWARD. TO PUT NAME FORWARD AND THAT IS AN EXAMPLE EXPOSED IN COUNCIL SEMINAR OR INFORMATION SHARING SESSION IN THE MIDDLE OF RECRUITMENT PROCESS COULD JEOPARDIZE THAT PERSON'S CURRENT BE WORKPLACE AND EMPLOYMENT. HR PROCESSES HAVE A METHOD THEY FOLLOW AND STEPS THEY FOLLOW AND HAVING A COUNCIL SEMINAR IN THE MIDST OF THEM WOULD NOT BE SOMETHING THAT I DON'T THINK I WOULD SEE EVER HAPPEN IN ANY HR RECRUITMENT PROCESS. HOPEFULLY THAT HELPS. AGAIN, IF COLLEAGUES AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WANT INFORMATION FURTHER TO THE PUBLIC INFORMATION GIVEN, THIS ISSUE OF HELICOPTER IS KNOWN FOUR MONTHS NOW. THE POLICE BUDGET HAS BEEN NO LESS THAN THREE PUBLIC AGENDAS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: VOTE. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. COUNCILLOR MAYES AND SCHREYER NOTED IN OPPOSITION. THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR GILROY DID YOU VOTE FOR THIS? SHE VOTED FOR IT. OPPOSITION MAYES AND SCHREYER. ANYBODY ELSE? THAT IS CARRIED. THANK YOU. NEXT ITEM, MR. CLERK. ITEM 10.

ITEM 9.

CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS REDEVELOPMENT OF FORMER HUDSON BAY BUILDING 450 PORTAGE AVENUE.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO INTRODUCTION.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM COLLEAGUES. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I STOOD THE ITEM DOWN. THIS MATTER WAS BEFORE US BECAUSE A NUMBER OF MONTHS AGO WE HAD TRUE NORTH BEFORE US ALONG WITH CHIEF JERRY DANIELS RELATED TO THE PORT PLACE REDEVELOPMENT AT THAT TIME. THEM WERE HERE AND MADE THAT DELEGATION. AT THAT TIME MEMBERS OF COUNCIL WERE ABLE TO ASK QUESTIONS. I ASKED THE CHIEF JERRY DANIELS QUESTIONS UPON WHICH THE RESPONSE WAS I WAS CONCERNED AND I STILL AM CONCERNED. THE ISSUE OR QUESTION I ASKED WAS HOW LONG OR WHEN WILL WE SEE THE UNDERTAKING OF THE REDEVELOPMENT OF HUDSON'S BAY UNDERWAY? THE OPENING OF A NEW FACILITY THERE. THE RESPONSE WAS TWO TO THREE YEARS. IT WAS VAGUE COMING FROM THE CHIEF. I WAS CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. I AM STILL CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. I AM VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THE PROJECT. THERE HAS BEEN COMMITMENT OF SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC DOLLARS. TIFF IS TIED TO IMPROVEMENTS TO THE SIDEWALK AND STREETSCAPING AND THE PROJECT ITSELF. HOWEVER, THERE MAY BE I LIKE TO KNOW IN TERMS OF RESPONSE OR IN TERMS OF COMMENTS SHED ON THIS BY THE MAYOR OR ANY OTHER MEMBER OF COUNCIL. IN TERMS WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAVE DISCUSSIONS. WE HAVE IN THE PAST PLACED SUNSET CLAUSES ON TIFF AGREEMENTS WHERE IN THE EVENT WE WANT A PROJECT TO MOVE FASTER. AND WE DON'T SEE IT MOVING IN THE DIRECTION WE WANT IT MOVING IN WE PLACE SUNSET CLAUSE ON THE TIFF AGREEMENT SAYING IT WILL EXPIRE IF DEVELOPMENT PERMITS ARE NOT PULLED FOR SAKE OF TIFF. RIGHT NOW THIS TO KEEP IN MIND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AS PER REPORT HERE COUNCIL VOTED PRIOR TO THE LAST ELECTION JUNE 23 OF 2022 TO APPROVE THE TAX INCREMENT FINANCING GRANT TO SOUTHERN CHIEFS ORGANIZATION WITH RESPECT TO 450 PORTAGE AVENUE. WE ARE COMING TO THREE YEARS OF WHEN THE TIFF WAS APPROVED. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THE PROGRESS ON THE PROJECT. IT IS IMPORTANT FOR DOWNTOWN. HISTORIC BUILDING. MAJOR COMMITMENT OF PUBLIC MONEY. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE COMMITMENTS MADE ARE FULFILLED. IF THERE ARE ANY ISSUES OR CONCERNS OR IF THEY HAVE DIFFICULTY OF ANY KIND WE ARE HERE TO ASSIST THEM. THAT WE SEE THIS PROJECT MOVE PROACTIVELY IN LIGHT OF THE PUBLIC COMMITMENT AND FUNDING GIVEN TO THIS PROJECT BECAUSE AS WE KNOW AS WELL THE LONGER IT TAKES TO DO A PROJECT, HIGHER COSTS GO. MY FEAR IS THAT THE LONGER IT TAKES THAT THERE WILL BE MORE REQUESTS FOR MORE PUBLIC FUNDING BECAUSE OF THE DELAYS IN BRINGING THE PROJECT

FORWARD IN THE FIRST PLACE. THAT COULD BE QUITE SUBSTANTIAL IF THESE DELAYS ARE ALLOWED TO CONTINUE. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THE PROJECT. THOSE ARE MY CONCERNS AND WHY I STOOD THE REPORT DOWN TO SEE WHAT IS HAPPENING. WE HAVE ASKED FOR 90 DAY EXTENSION WHICH I AM FINE WITH. CLEARLY WE NEED TO SEE THIS CONSTRUCTION PROJECT UNDER WAY AND RENOVATION OF THAT BUILDING. THE IMPORTANT PART IT WILL PLAY IN TERMS OF RECONCILIATION. TO GET IT MOVING TO SEE IF THE SOUTHERN CHIEFS NEED ASSISTANCE FROM CITY OF WINNIPEG OR PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. PULLING PERMITS, DEVELOPMENT PLANNING. WE HAVE A NEW HEAD OF CENTRE VENTURE WHO STEPPED UP. MAYBE THEY CAN STEP UP TO ASSIST. WHAT CAN BE DONE TO AS TEST TO GET THIS PROJECT MOVING SO WE CAN PREVENT A REQUEST FOR FURTHER FUNDING BECAUSE OF THE COSTS RISING BECAUSE OF DELAYS IN TERMS OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECT WHICH WE KNOW DELAYS IN CONSTRUCTION PROJECT AND COSTS. I DON'T WANT TO SAY CONSTRUCTION INFLATION. CAN BE RELATED TO PROJECT ITSELF. THAT'S MY CONCERN. VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THE PROJECT. IMPORTANT IMPORTANT TO RECONCILIATION, IMPORTANT TO DOWNTOWN, GET IT MOVING, WORK WITH SOUTHERN CHIEFS TO MAKE IT HAPPEN. GOOD OF OUR COMMUNITY BOTH INDIGENOUS AND NON INDIGENOUS. THOSE ARE MY THOUGHTS. I WANT TO THANK THE SPEAKER FOR ALLOWING ME THE TIME TO SPEAK. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THIS IS EXTENSION OF TIME. MR. MAYOR DO YOU WISH TO CLOSE?

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: TO SAY I WOULD RECOMMEND COUNCILLOR WYATT REACH OUT TO C.E.O. I DON'T HAVE THE INFORMATION YOU ARE SEEKING. PERHAPS C.E.O. HAS THE INFORMATION. CALL THE QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: VOTE ON ITEM 9. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. ITEM 10, MR. CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM 10. DOWNTOWN ARTS CAPITAL FUND GRANTS.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THIS IS GOOD NEWS STORY. GREAT TO SEE SUPPORT FOR ARTS COMMUNITY. PERFORMING ARTS COMMUNITY ARE IMPORTANT TO THE CULTURE OF THE CITY AND DOWNTOWN. APPRECIATE INVESTMENT. $2 MILLION OVER FOUR YEARS. YOU CAN SEE THE OPERA ASSOCIATION FOR COMPUTER SYSTEM AND AND THE TICKETING. FOR THE PLAYHOUSE AND THEATER AND BALLET FOR EXPANSION. $300,000 TO THE MANITOBA THEATRE FOR COMMUNITY SAFETY AND ACCESSIBILITY. IT IS GOOD NEWS. OF COURSE, UP TO ADDITIONAL $750,000 IN FUTURE YEARS TO THE PERFORMING ARTS FOR PLAYHOUSE IN SAME AMOUNT TO BALLET FOR MODERNIZATION EXPANSION. THESE ARTS ORGANIZATIONS HAVE BEEN SO CENTRAL TO THE LIFE AND VITALITY OF OUR CITY. SO IMPORTANT TO SO MANY. REMIND US THAT WINNIPEG BALLET. STUDENTS MOVE FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD TO BE PART OF THE TRAINING PROGRAMME. THEY ANNOUNCED

NEW ARTISTIC DIRECTOR. WE WELCOME HIM. I AM GLAD WE AS COUNCIL SUPPORT OUTSTANDING ARTS ORGANIZATIONS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: GOOD INITIATIVE. I SUPPORT IT. IT IS UNFORTUNATE THAT AS YOU KNOW I AM THE COUNCILLOR ON THE WAG BOARD FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS. THEY HAD A PROPOSAL IN. THIS IS DETERMINED BY PUBLIC SERVICE. I HOPE THE WAG COMES BACK AND WE APPROVE $14 MILLION IN THAT SPENDING ON POLICE HELICOPTER. YESTERDAY WAS NEW SPENDING. HOPEFULLY WE CAN WORK OUT SOMETHING WITH THE WAG. THEY NEED TO REPLACE ELEVATORS THAT DATE BACK TO OPENING IN 1971. OBVIOUSLY THESE ARE WORTHY RECIPIENTS DOING GOOD WORK AS WELL. HOPEFULLY IF WAG COMES FORWARD WITH A REQUEST IN THE INTERIM MEASURE OR NEXT BUDGET WE CAN MAKE THAT WORK. THEY ARE AT RISK OF LOSING PROVINCIAL AND PRIVATE FUNDING. GOOD INITIATIVE FROM THE MAYOR. I SUPPORT IT.

MADAM SPEAKER: ANY OTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: I AM GOING TO DECLARE CONFLICT OF INTEREST. MY WIFE IS CHAIR OF MANITOBA OPERA. I WILL RECUSE MYSELF FROM THIS VOTE.

MADAM SPEAKER: FURTHER SPEAKERS? MR. MAYOR. CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM 10. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. SHALL WE GET TO NEXT ITEM OR TAKE RECESS NOW?

COUNCILLOR EADIE: COULD WE CALL LUNCH AND COME BACK AT 1 20 P.M.

MADAM SPEAKER: WE WILL RECONVENE AT 20 AFTER. WE WOULD LIKE TO CONVENE ON TIME. PLEASE COME BACK AT THAT TIME. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: GOOD AFTERNOON. I WOULD LIKE TO RECONVENE OUR COUNCIL MEETING JANUARY 30, 2025. ON THE REPORT OF EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. ITEM 11. MR. .CLERK.

CLERK: UPDATES. ENCAMPMENT CLEAN UP PILOT.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO INTRODUCE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM COLLEAGUES.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: IT OFFERED NEW WORK FOR PARTICIPANTS FOR MOST PROGRAMMES. I DON'T THINK THIS ISSUE IS GOING AWAY ANY TIME SOON. THOUGH WE HAVE TAKEN THIS POSITION NOW, ENCAMPMENTS, I THINK ARE DEFINITELY A SIGN OF THE NEED FOR US TO ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS CRISIS AND MENTAL HEALTH ADDICTION CRISIS IN THE CITY. IT WILL ONLY GET WORSE. I REFER AGAIN TO THE ILLICIT DRUG TASK FORCE THE REPORT FIVE YEARS AGO IN JUNE WITH AMAZING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ALL LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT TO ACT UPON. IT HAS SAT ON THE SHELF WITH VERY LITTLE DONE PERTAINING TO THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS. IF WE WERE TO TAKE THAT REPORT OFF THE SHELF AND START TO ACT UPON THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ILLICIT DRUG TASK FORCE ALL THREE LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT. MAYOR BOWMAN AT THE TIME, CALVIN AND THE MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT, FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS SOLID. UNFORTUNATELY, THERE WAS NOT A 5W TIED TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS WHO, WHAT, WHERE, WHEN, WHY. WHEN I ASKED A CITIZEN MEMBER APPOINTED TO COMMITTEE WHY DID IT NOT OUTLINE IN THE RECOMMENDATIONS WHO IS IMPLEMENTING THESE RECOMMENDATIONS? WHEN, WHY, WHERE, HOW? THAT IS WHAT THE LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT WANTED. WHICH IS CYNICAL, OF COURSE. WHY WOULD YOU WRITE A REPORT AND THEN NOT HAVE THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE REPORT EXCELLENT RECOMMENDATIONS. I ENCOURAGE ANYBODY WHO HASN'T READ THAT REPORT TO READ THAT REPORT. STRUGGLING WITH THE CHALLENGES IN STREETS TODAY. YOU DON'T HAVE TO REINVENT THE WHEEL. THAT REPORT OUTLINES THE PROBLEMS CLEARLY ON VARIOUS LEVEL. WHOLE HOST OF RECOMMENDATIONS IF WE ACTED UPON THEM COULD MAKE EVEN A FRACTION OF THEM COULD MAKE DRAMATIC CHANGES IN OUR STREETS TODAY. FOR THE INDIVIDUALS OUT THERE SICK AND SUFFERING. WE WOULDN'T HAVE TO NECESSARILY HAVE ENCAMPMENTS. I WANT FOR THE RECORD AS WELL THAT THERE ARE MANY ENCAMPMENTS NOT IDENTIFIED OR FOLKS ON THE SIDES OF RIVERBANKS, UNDER BRIDGES, MISSING IN THE SENSE FROM OUR VIEW AND VISTA BUT VERY MUCH THERE. WE CAN'T FORGET THE KIND OF CITY WE ARE. WE ARE A COLD CITY IN TERMS OF OUR CLIMATE. THERE HAS TO BE A BETTER WAY. THERE IS A BETTER WAY. THAT WAS OUTLINED IN THAT REPORT. I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE MAYOR'S OFFICE AND OTHER LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT TO DUST IT OFF AND START TO IMPLEMENT SOME OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS, VERY SIMPLE THINGS WE COULD BE DOING. WE AS A CITY, YOU KNOW. IDEA OF HAVING FIRST PRIMARY HOUSING FOR THOSE WHO ARE COMING OUT OF RECOVERY. HAVING HOUSING AVAILABLE FOR EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY, PLUGGING SERVICES IN. HAVING PROPER MEN AND WOMEN DETOX FACILITIES, PROPER RECOVERY FACILITIES AVAILABLE IMMEDIATELY WHEN SOMEBODY ASKS FOR HELP. WET AND DRY FACILITIES FOR PEOPLE TO LIVE IN OVERNIGHT. THAT MEANS PEOPLE DRUNK OR HIGH SOMEWHERE TO SLAY. THEY ARE TURNED AWAY FROM SLOW MISSION IN DRUNK OR HIGH. IF THEY ARE SUFFERING AT THAT MOMENT TO TURN AWAY, THAT DID NOT EXIST. IF

THE MAIN STREET PROJECT IS FULL. WE HAVE TO DO MORE IN TERMS OF THIS FILE. MUCH MORE. THOUGH THEY DO NOT VOTE IN MANY WAYS, WE DO NOT HEAR. ONE ISSUE I WAS REMARKABLY TOUCHED BY. SENIORS WERE CONCERNED WHAT IS HAPPENING OUT THERE. MANY HAD FAMILY MEMBERS THEY DIDN'T WANT TO SAY WHO WERE STRUGGLING. IT SHOULD BE POINTED OUT. THE OVERDOSES IN THE CITY, MADAM SPEAKER, ARE NOT IN THE INNER CITY. HIGHEST LEVELS. IN THE SUBURBS WHERE PEOPLE ARE UNFORTUNATELY QUIETLY DYING IN THEIR HOMES. THE CRISIS IS NOT JUST AN INNER CITY ISSUE. IT IS ACROSS THE CITY. SO MUCH WE CAN BE DOING. I KNOW THE MODEL IS POINTED OUT DOWN IN THE STATES. WE DON'T HAVE TO GO THAT FAR. PROVINCE OF ALBERTA IS LEADING THE COUNTRY WITH REGARDS TO WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN DOING WITH REGARDS TO THIS. SO MUCH SO FOR THE FIRST TIME IN AUGUST OF LAST YEAR OVERDOSES LAST STATISTICS THE RATE DROPPED 44%. UNHEARD OF. FIRST TIME IN THIS COUNTRY. THEY ARE BUILDING FACILITIES, BUILDING REHAB FACILITIES, BUILDING SECONDARY STAGE HOUSING, GETTING FOLKS OUT. THEY HAVE DECIDED THAT IT IS NOT THE SUBSTANCES THAT SHOULD BE STIGMATIZED. THE TRAUMA PEOPLE ARE LIVED THROUGH THAT THEY ARE STRUGGLING WITH THAT CAUSES THEM TO FALL INTO SUBSTANCE ABUSE, WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE. I ENCOURAGE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AND MAYOR'S OFFICE TO REIGNITE THIS ISSUE THAT THIS CAN'T BE THE LAST THING WE HAVE. SO MUCH WE MUST DO ON THIS. ILLICIT DRUG TASK FORCE GAVE AMAZING RECOMMENDATIONS THAT IF EVEN WE ACTED ON 10% OF THEM WE WOULD MAKE A HUGE DIFFERENCE IN OUR COMMUNITY OUT THERE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WASN'T GOING TO SAY ANYTHING. COUNCILLOR WYATT MADE GOOD POINT WAS. RECOMMENDATIONS FROM A REPORT AS WELL AS CONTENT OF THAT REPORT AND HOW THESE REPORTS ARE PREPARED. WHERE THE INFORMATION COMES FROM. IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT WHERE THE INFORMATION COMES FROM. AS WE DUST THESE THINGS OFF AND AS COUNCILLOR WYATT SAID, THIS ISN'T GOING AWAY SOON. ON THAT BASIS IS IT STATIC? NO, CONSTANTLY CHANGING. IT HAS GROWN TO WHERE WE HAVE TO LOOK AT IT IN THAT WAY. IT IS THERE FOR A LONG TIME. EXPRESSION OF SOMETHING ALWAYS BEEN THERE. IN THIS DAY AND AGE. I WILL NOT GO INTO FACTORS. IN THIS DAY AND AGE GIVEN A FEW HEAVY FACTORS IT IS GETTING WORSE. I WANT TO MENTION THE FACT THERE ARE ORGANIZATIONS OVER THE YEARS THAT HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN HELPING PEOPLE THAT ARE HOMELESS LIVING ON RIVERBANKS. THESE ARE THE PEOPLE TO SPEAK DIRECTLY TO THIS REPORT AND IN CONTEXT OF WHAT COUNCILLOR WYATT SAID AS WELL. WHERE DO THE RECOMMENDATIONS COME FROM? I WANT TO THANK THOSE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN ON THE FRONT LINES FOR YEARS AND BEEN WITNESS TO THE GROWTH OF THIS. IN PARTICULAR, GIVEN IT IS MY EXPERIENCE IN MY WARD. I WANT TO THANK THE CHAMBERS NEIGHBORHOOD ASSISTING ME TO MAINTAIN SOME

SORT OF ACTIVE ON THE GROUND INTERACTION WITH THOSE HOMELESS IN OUR COMMUNITY LIVING ON THE RIVERBANKS IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD. THIS IS MY FIRST TWO TERMS ON COUNCIL. IT CAN BE MISINTERPRETED FROM TERMS OF MY UNDERSTANDING OR KNOWLEDGE. THE POINT I DO LIVE ACROSS THE STREET FROM 30 SECOND A HOMELESS ENCAMPMENT ACROSS FROM THE BRIDGE. TWO MINUTES FROM A COUPLE MORE ON THE OTHER SIDE. FIVE MINUTES FROM A FEW MORE. 15 MINUTE WALK ON FOOT. I WALK. I HAVE HAD FOUR DIFFERENT INCARNATIONS OF COMMUNITY WALK IN MY 10 YEARS AS COUNCILLORS HAVING FOUNDED THE WEST KILDONAN FOOT PATROL IN 2000. WHAT WE LEARN IS WHAT I HAVE LEARNED ESPECIALLY FROM WALKING WITH THE CRC, BEAR CLAN PATROL. I WANT TO THANK LORRAINE KALER AND THOSE THAT LED THE COMMUNITY WALKS IN MY WARD. I KNOW WHEN IT COMES FROM THE REPORTS THE CITY DID LEARN FROM IT. COUNCILLOR WYATT TALKED ABOUT THE BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS. THAT IS ONE THING THAT I WAS FASCINATED WITH WALKING WITH THE BEAR CLAN, ELMWOOD BEAR CLAN BACK IN THE SECOND INCARNATION OF COMMUNITY WALK IN MY WARD THAT I WAS ASSISTING WITH. WHAT I WAS ASTOUNDED BY. WHAT STRUCK ME THE MOST WAS THE TRUST. THE TRUST THAT AT THAT TIME THAT INCARNATION WAS CALLED BEAR CLAN WALK. I SAW THE TRUST WHEN WE WALKED TOWARDS THE PEOPLE LIVING ON THE RIVERBANK. THAT IS IMPORTANT. WHEN COUNCILLOR WYATT MENTIONED THE BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS. THAT'S WHAT I WAS WITNESS TO. IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT. WE CAN ALL LEARN FROM THAT. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SANTOS.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I WILL TALK ABOUT HOW WE GOT HERE UNTIL TODAY. LAST YEAR OUR TWO YEARS AGO WHEN I WAS RE-ELECTED MYSELF AND COUNCILLOR LUKES, MAYOR, SOME PUBLIC STAFF MET WITH SOME RESIDENCES WITHIN THE WATERFRONT DRIVE, EXCHANGE DISTRICT AND PEOPLE NEARBY ENCAMPMENT. AT THAT TIME THERE WAS DISCUSSIONS ABOUT ENCAMPMENTS HOW TO SOLVE HOMELESSNESS. DIFFERING OPINIONS AND VIEWS ON HOW THAT LOOKED LIKE AND WHAT THE OUTCOMES WERE GOING TO BE. I.

AT THAT TIME THERE WAS DEFINITELY A COMMITMENT FROM ALL OF US WE WERE GOING TO NEED TO WORK TOGETHER WITH NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS, PROVINCE OF MANITOBA, FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO SOLVE OR REDUCE THE CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS WE SEE TODAY. I KNEW WHEN I WAS WALKING DOWN WATERFRONT DRIVE THE GARBAGE WAS A LOT. VERY DISTURBING TO SEE ENCAMPMENTS LEAVE AS THEY LEAVE THE WINTERTIME AND NOBODY WAS GOING IN AND CLEANING UP THE RIVERBANKS. THERE WERE SERIOUS CONCERNS A LOT OF THIS WAS GOING INTO THE LAKES AND RIVERS. AT THAT TIME LAST YEAR I HAD DECIDED TO MOVE A MOTION TO AT LEAST START A PILOT PROJECT TO DO SOME MINDFUL CLEANUPS. WORKING WITH SOME NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS THIS WAS AN IDEA THAT CAME ABOUT TO, YES, CREATE BUILD CAPACITY

IN MAKING CONNECTIONS WITH THOSE LIVING IN ENCAMPMENTS. SEEING IF THEY NEED HOUSING OR WHAT SERVICES THEY NEEDED. AT THE SAME TIME HELPING THEM WITH SOME OF THE LARGE DEBRIS OR SMALLER DEBRIS THAT THEY BRING THERE. THERE ARE CHALLENGES WITH THAT. I WON'T GET INTO IT, BUT I AM HAPPY THAT E.P.C. AT THAT TIME SUPPORTED MY REQUEST FOR MY OFFICE TO AT LEAST SEE WHAT THE COST WOULD BE. THEY ALSO DID ACROSS JURISDICTIONAL SCAN OF WHAT A SANCTION ENCAMPMENT SITE WOULD LOOK LIKE. THEY HAD MENTIONED THAT THEY WOULD WORK WITH SOME NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS TO SEE HOW MUCH IT WOULD COST TO WHAT A MINDFUL WEEKLY CLEANUP WOULD BE. FAST FORWARD TO TODAY. WE HAVE A REPORT IN FRONT OF US TO DISCONTINUE. I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THE REPORT COMES AT VERY TIMELY JUNCTURE. JUST RECENTLY AND BEFORE THE REPORT CAME LIVE WE HEARD FROM THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT THAT A NEW PLAN TO DEAL WITH ENCAMPMENTS CAME ABROAD. I READ THAT REPORT. I WANT TO THANK MINISTER BERNADETTE AND HER CABINET TO MEET WITH ME SHORTLY THEREAFTER. IT WENT LIVE. TO GO OVER THE PLAN TO HAVE FURTHER DIALOGUE WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE. I DID HAVE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT HOW THEY WERE GOING TO CLEAN UP THE SITE, WHERE THEY WERE GOING TO LOCATE THEM WITH THE SOCIAL HOUSING THEY SAID THEY WERE BRINGING ONLINE. WHAT THOSE WRAPAROUND SUPPORTS LOOKED LIKE, AND SHE MENTIONED THAT THEY WOULD DO EVERYTHING IN TANDEM AND CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. THE DOCUMENT IS NOT PERFECT. I KNOW THERE ARE SOME SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGES AND DIFFERENT PHILOSOPHIES TO DEAL WITH HOMELESSNESS. AT LEAST WE ARE DOING SOMETHING. AT LEAST THE PROVINCE AND CITY OF WINNIPEG HAVE FINALLY HAVE A PLAN TO DEAL WITH ENCAMPMENTS. I WOULD LIKE TO BELIEVE THAT WHAT WE HAVE DONE AT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WITH THIS PILOT PROJECT LED INTO WHAT IS POSSIBLE. HOW MUCH IT COSTS TO ACTUALLY DO SOME OF THE WORK AND CLEANUP. NOW WE HAVE DATA. WE HAVE PROOF THAT GOING INTO ENCAMPMENTS AND BUILDING BRIDGES AND RELATIONSHIPS IN A MORE MINDFUL WAY WE CAN HAVE VERY SUCCESSFUL MANITOBA PLAN. I HAVE IT HERE. YOUR WAY HOME PLAN. VERY SUCCESSFUL PLAN MOVING FORWARD. I AM HAPPY TO RECEIVE THIS REPORT FOR INFORMATION. MAYBE AT DIFFERENT TIME IF THERE WAS NO YOUR WAY HOME PLAN I WOULD BE ASKING THE PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION TO CONTINUE ON. WE ARE NOT THERE. WE HAVE A PLAN MOVING FORWARD TO DEAL WITH ENCAMPMENTS ONE AT A TIME. I AM SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WYATT. HE MADE GOOD POINTS THERE. I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR SANTOS. THIS WAS A GOOD PILOT PROJECT AND SOMETHING SHE USHERED IN. MY OPEN WARD I HAVE USED MY OWN EXPENSES TO CLEAN UP MY ENCAMPMENTS BECAUSE THE CITY WASN'T ACTING FAST ENOUGH AND FUNDS WEREN'T THERE. IT WAS A VERY, VERY GOOD PROJECT

OVERALL. I GUESS I AM TRYING TO UNDERSTAND IF THE CITY IS AT ALL INVOLVED IN ANY OF THE CLEANUP. I KNOW RIGHT NOW WE DO CLEANUP. THERE IS A COST TO US. WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE WHEN ENCAMPMENTS ARE DEALT WITH THERE IS SOMEBODY TO KEEP CHECKING ON THEM. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WORK IS GOING TO HAPPEN. I HEARD A LOT ABOUT THE PROVINCIAL PLAN. DIDN'T HEAR HOW THE CITY WAS GOING TO SUPPORT THE PLAN. WHERE IS THE PROVINCE PAYING FOR THE CLEANUP OR THE CITY IS GOING TO HAVE TO FUND? THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR ME TO UNDERSTAND A LITTLE MORE. IN TERMS OF YESTERDAY'S COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS THIS IS AN AREA WHERE THE DOWNTOWN SAFETY PARTNERSHIP FITS IN TERMS OF DOING THAT WORK. THEY WERE PART OF THE PILOT PROJECT AS WELL. JUST SOME CLARITY. THIS COULD BE SOMETHING WE COULD KEEP GOING. I GUESS I AM WORRIED ABOUT THE COST OF CLEANUPS AND WHO IS PAYING FOR IT. I DIDN'T HEAR THE PROVINCE WAS PAYING FOR THE CLEANUPS. IF THEY ARE THAT IS GREAT. IF THE MAYOR COULD PROVIDE CLARITY ON THAT. I DON'T THINK WE BUDGETED FOR IT. IF WE ARE RECEIVING THIS AS INFORMATION, IT WOULD BE NICE TO KNOW IF THERE IS COST TO THE CITY FOR MONITORING OR ANY CLEANUPS. THANKS.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU FOR COMMENTS. I WOULD BE GLAD TO RESPOND TO COUNCILLOR GILROY'S QUESTION THERE. WITH THE USER WAY HOME PLAN THAT THE PROVINCE HAS BROUGHT IN, I AM PLEASED WE ARE WORKING OFF ONE COORDINATED PLAN NOW. ULTIMATELY WHAT THAT PLAN CALLS FOR IS ALL OF DIFFERENT AGENCIES DOING THE PART TO CONTINUE TO DO THE PART AND PLAY PART IN THE PLAN IN DELIVERY OF THE ONE PLAN. THERE ARE ROLES FOR MOBILE OUTREACH AGENCIES, ROLES FOR FOLKS WORKING IN HOUSING AND ROLES FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. THIS IS A BRAND BE NEW PLAN. GOING TO BE WORKED OUT. PROVINCE DEVELOPED IN DIALOGUE WITH CHRIS FRIENDS AND INTERIM CAO AND OTHERS AS WELL, FIRE PARAMEDICS FOLKS. THERE ARE ROLES FOR THE CITY TO PLAY. ONE ROLE TO CONTINUE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE CLEANUP. GOAL IN THE SIMPLE TERMS GET PEOPLE OUT OF ENCAMPMENTS INTO HOUSING. ONCE THE ENCAMPMENT IS IDENTIFIED AND PEOPLE TAKEN FROM THERE. THERE IS A DIALOGUE NOT TAKING YOU OUT THERE FORCIBLY. IT IS ONGOING RELATIONSHIP SOME FRONT LINE AGENCIES AND THIRD PARTY AGENCIES HAVE WITH INDIVIDUALS IN ENCAMPMENT AND WORKING TO GET FROM ENCAMPMENT INTO HOUSING WITH SUPPORTS. MAKING SURE ALL BELONGINGS THEY WANT TO TAKE WITH THEM ARE TAKEN BE WITH THEM. YES, OUR STAFF WILL GO IN AND CLEANUP. IT IS THE ROLES THAT WE ARE TO PLAY. DISCUSSION WITH MINISTER SMITH QUITE SOME TIME AGO RELATED TO THIS TALKING ABOUT THE PLAN THEY WERE DEVELOPING. SHE SAID SHE APPRECIATES THE ROLES WE PLAY. FUNDING TO 24-7 SAFE SPACES, OUTREACH, COORDINATION WITH FIRE PARAMEDIC, PARTNERSHIP WITH AGENCIES AND WORK TO CLEAN UP ENCAMPMENTS. HER REQUEST THE CITY CONTINUE TO PLAY THOSE ROLES FOR THIS PLAN TO WORK. LONG ANSWER TO SAY YES AS

CITY AND PARTNERS WE WILL BE CLEANUP AGENCY WHEN IT COMES TO CLEAN UP ENCAMPMENTS WHEN FULLY VACATED. I INVITE YOU TO CALL THE QUESTION, MADAM SPEAKER. CHRIS FRIENDS IS AVAILABLE. THERE WAS A COUNCIL SEMINAR. MAYBE NOT EVERYONE COULD BE THERE. IF YOU HAVE MORE QUESTIONS FOR CHRIS FRIENDS RIGHT NOW WE COULD DO THIS IN THE BUDGET THIS YEAR. THAT IS THE PLAN. FIVE TO SIX MONTHS FROM NOW THEY WILL WATCH TO SEE IF THEY NEED MORE FUNDING. FEEL FREE TO REACH OUT.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 11 ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. ITEM 12.

CLERK: CHIEF CONSTRUCTION OFFICER.

EXCITED TO SEE THE RECOMMENDATION BROUGHT FORWARD BY THE COMMITTEE. I WANT TO STOP AND THANK RIGHT HERE AND NOW COUNCILLOR MAYES AND COUNCILLOR DOBSON AND SCHREYER FOR GOOD WORK. THEY WORKED MANY HOURS ON IDENTIFYING THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATE. WE HAVE HERE THE CANDIDATE THEY BROUGHT FORWARD MR. THOMAS AS CHIEF CONSTRUCTION OFFICER OF CITY OF WINNIPEG EFFECTIVE NO LATER THAN APRIL 1, 2025. THIS POSITION WAS SOMETHING I CAMPAIGNED ON. ON OCTOBER 17, 2023 I WANT TO MAKE CLEAR THIS BECAME AND I THANK COUNCIL FOR THIS. A COUNCIL POSITION AND PURSUIT. THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED COUNCIL SEEKED TO HIRE CHIEF CONSTRUCTION OFFICER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. I COMMEND ALL OF COUNCIL FOR IDENTIFYING THE NEED FOR THIS INDIVIDUAL IN THIS KIND OF WORK. I KNOW THAT THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE GROUP HAS BROUGHT TO US IS VERY, VERY TIMELY. A FEW MOMENTS FROM NOW WE WILL DEAL WITH ITEM 19. NEW PICK AUDIT REPORT. MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS WE NEED TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE ALL LEVEL OF OVERSIGHT WE CAN HAVE AND SOMEONE WITH THE SKILLS MR. SPARROW HAS AND EXPERIENCE AND BACKGROUND PUBLIC SECTOR, PRIVATE SECTOR EXPERIENCE, KNOWLEDGE, SOMEONE FROM OUTSIDE THE CITY. IT WILL BE VERY VALUE ANNUAL. I WILL SAY A FEW MORE THINGS IN THE CLOSE. I WANT TO THANK THOSE AROUND THE CHAMBER WHO WORKED SO HARD TO BRING THIS NAME FORWARD.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: YES, THIS WAS A CAMPAIGN COMMITMENT OF THE MAYOR I AGREED WITH. GOOD LINE UP. MAYOR HAD DIPLOMATIC. BRIAN KELSEY AND BRENT FROM THE CITY STAFF. GOOD LINEUP. GOOD HIRE, I THINK. I DID CALL HIM YESTERDAY FOR PEOPLE TO ELEVATE DEBATE. I WILL TAKE MY ADVICE. THERE WERE COMMENTS I AM NOT GOING TO MAKE. I WILL LEAVE IT AT THAT. GOOD PROCESS. GOOD GROUP. SUPPORT RECOMMENDATION AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN GET THIS PERSON UP AND RUNNING AND GET THEM FOCUSED ON SOME OF OUR MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: IT IS REDUNDANT. THIS IS AN EXCELLENT IDEA. I WANT TO THANK BRIAN KELSEY. YESTERDAY WE WERE TOLD NOT TO DISPARAGE THE STAFF OF POLITICIANS. ANYBODY GOING TO STOP ME FROM THANKING BRIAN KELSEY FOR HIS GOOD WORK HERE. QUITE FRANKLY HIS INSPIRING WORDS. HE EXPRESSED HIS MOTIVATION FOR BEING PART OF THE PROCESS, PART OF INITIATION OF THE PROCESS TO FIND SUITABLE CONSTRUCTION CHIEF CONSTRUCTION OFFICER. I WANT TO THANK BRETT FROM HUMAN HE SOURCES EXCELLENT WAY TO FORMAT THE PROCESS. NOT SAYING I AGREE WITH THE PROCESS. YOU KNOW, ANY INDIVIDUAL RUNNING THAT WOULD HAVE DONE IT DIFFERENTLY. I WOULD HAVE LEARNED SOMETHING IN TERMS OF WHAT HUMAN RESOURCES LEARNED AND CAN APPLY AS SOMETHING AS SERIOUS AND COMPLEX FINDING SUITABLE CHIEF CONSTRUCTION OFFICER FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. IT WAS A PLEASURE TO WORK ARMBRUSTER. THIS IS IMPORTANT. TAKE BRIAN KELSEY'S WORD FOR IT. EXPRESSED TO ALL CANDIDATES. WE HAD EXCELLENT CANDIDATES. CONSIDERING THE CALIBER OF CANDIDATES. TOM PAIR ROW IS SOMETHING. YOU WILL SEE. NOT GOING TO GET IN HIS BACKGROUND. THIS GUY IS SUPER-QUALIFIED. HAVING SAID THAT WE ARE GETTING INTO SUPER-DIFFICULT TIMES WHEN IT COMES TO HOW WE CREATE EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS IN CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTING. WHY? BECAUSE OF THE NEED TO PRIORITIZE AND BE AS EFFICIENT AS POSSIBLE GIVEN CONSTRUCTION INFLATION. IT IS INVOLVED IN ALMOST EVERYTHING WE DO. HATS OFF. CONGRATULATIONS TO TOM SPARROW. LEARN ABOUT THIS FELLOW. HE IS GOING TO HAVE BIG CHALLENGES BECAUSE WE DO. I WISH THE BEST FOR MR. SPARROW AND US AS WE MOVE FORWARD WITH A BRAND-NEW CONCEPT. CHIEF CONSTRUCTION OFFICER. I WANT TO THANK HIS WORSHIP, SCOTT GILLINGHAM FOR INITIATING THIS. CHALLENGES START NOW WITH AN EXTRA CONDUIT OF ACCOUNTABILITY. LITERALLY IN THE MIDDLE OF EVERYTHING, TRYING TO BE AS EFFICIENT AS WE CAN. I WILL LEAVE IT AT THAT AND MOVE FORWARD. TOM SPARROW HAS HIS HANDS FULL. HE WILL WITH ME AS WELL. HE IS GOOD AT HIS JOB. WE WERE DISCUSSING IF WE CAN HAVE ASSISTANT CONSTRUCTION OFFICER WE WOULD HAVE. THERE ARE FANTASTIC CANDIDATES. WE WILL LEARN A LOT FROM NOW ON AS WELL. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. NOW THAT EVERYBODY IS FINISHED PATTING EACH OTHER ON THE BAT. A COUPLE THINGS. NUMBER ONE. WE ARE LOOKING HERE AT A NEW POSITION. SIGNIFICANT POSITION OFFICER OF COUNCIL REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES SIMILAR IN PLACE FOR THE STATUTORY OFFICERS OF COUNCIL. ACKNOWLEDGES THE CHIEF INSTRUCTION OFFICER POSITION IS NOT STATUTORY IN THE WINNIPEG CHARTER. I WILL LOOK FORWARD WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF COUNCIL WITH SEEING NEW POLICIES, NEW DIRECTION WITH REGARDS LED BY THE CHIEF

CONSTRUCTION OFFICER. WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO SEE MEASURES TAKEN TO IMPROVE EXISTING TENDERING PROCESSES. TO SEE HOPEFULLY COOPERATION BETWEEN THE CITY AND PROVINCE OF MANITOBA. IDEAS AROUND ACCESSING LABOR AS WELL AS PRODUCTS SUCH AS AGGREGATE. TO BE ABLE TO BRING DOWN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION IN THE CITY WITH REGARDS TO ROADS AND TO PUT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG MORE IN THE DRIVER'S SEAT WITH TENDERS WHERE WE ARE OFTEN TENDERING LATE IN THE PROCESS. WE WONDER WHY THE TENDERS COME IN HIGH. WE LOOK FORWARD TO THAT ENTIRE SUPPLY CHAIN THAT I JUST MENTIONED BEING ADDRESSED BY THE CHIEF CONSTRUCTION OFFICER. SOMETHING THAT IS CRUCIAL. THE FACT THAT THE POSITION ALLOWS FOR THEM TO DIRECTLY REPORT THROUGH TO COUNCIL WITHOUT NECESSARILY HAVING TO GO THROUGH DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS OR ANOTHER DIRECTOR OF CITY OF WINNIPEG. THEY WILL WORK WITH THOSE DIRECTORS IN TERMS OF IMPROVING PROCESSES. TO BRING FORWARD NEW POLICY INITIATIVES TO DRAMATICALLY CHANGE HOW WE DO CONSTRUCTION IN THE CITY TO IMPROVE OUR POSITION WHEN IT COMES TO VALUE FOR MONEY FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS. THAT IS NOT JUST RODES. THAT IS MANY OTHER PROJECTS UNDERWAY AS POINTED OUT NOT THE MENTION THE UNDERGROUND WORK WITH WATER AND WASTE AND NEW REC FACILITIES WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO BUILD. THESE ARE ALL CRUCIAL FOR THIS POSITION TO BE ABLE TO ADDRESS TO ENSURE WE ARE GOING TO HAVE THE POLICIES IN PLACE SO AS TO MINIMIZE THE RISK ASSOCIATED WITH CONSTRUCTION WHEN IT COMES TO CITY OF WINNIPEG'S POINT OF VIEW. I LOOK FORWARD TO THAT. I HOPE THAT THESE NEW POLICIES WILL COME SOONER RATHER THAN LATER VIA EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE NOT STANDING COMMITTEE BASED ON REPORTING STRUCTURE AS I SEE IT HERE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

THIS IS A NEW UNIQUE POSS. MR. SPARROW'S REPORTING WILL BE NEW AND UNIQUE IN THE SENSE THIS IS NOT A POSITION THAT WILL REPORT TO THE MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THIS IS A POSITION TO REPORT TO COUNCIL. THAT IS ALWAYS THE WAY IT WAS INTENDED. THE WAY IT IS STRUCTURED. NOT A STATUTORY OFFICER BUT WILL FUNCTION LIKE THE ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES TO THOSE IN PLACE FOR STATUTORY OFFICERS. THAT IS OUT OF THE MOTION THAT AS COUNCIL WE AGREED TO. THIS IS ABOUT MAKING SURE MORE INFORMATION IS ACCESSIBLE TO ALL COUNCIL FASTER RELATED TO THE IMPORTANT WORK HE IS GOING TO DO. THAT IS THE DECISION WE COLLECTIVELY HAVE MADE. I AM GLAD FOR THAT. THAT WAS ALWAYS THE INTENTION. I DO WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE MR. KELSEY FROM MY OFFICE AND GREAT WORK WORKING WITH EVERYBODY AND THE PRIORITY TIME AND EFFORT. I DID SPEAK WITH HIM THIS MORNING. HE MILED AND SAID HE UNDERSTANDS MR. SPARROW IS WAITING. AS SOON AS WE APPROVE HIS NAME THE FIRST BIT OF READING MATERIAL HE WILL BREAK OPEN IS KPMG AUDIT OF THE NORTH END PLANT. HE IS POISED AND READY AS SOON AS WE SAY YES TO

HIM, HE GOES TO WORK. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: CALL THE QUESTION ON ITEM 12. ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS UNANIMOUS. CARRIED. THANK YOU. NEXT ITEM MR. CLERK. ITEM 13. MULTI FAMILY SUSTAINABLE HOUSING INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMME.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO INTRODUCE THE ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM COLLEAGUES.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT. YOU STOOD IT DOWN. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: THIS REPORT ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE PORTION OF HOUSING ACCELERATOR IS A OFFSPRING OF THE REPORT WE HAD IN DECEMBER ON ALL ASPECTS OF HOUSING ACCELERATOR REPORT. YOU MAY REMEMBER THAT REPORT SAID GET DELAY OF TIME. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DELAYS THE INFRASTRUCTURE. NOT THE CASE. WE GOT THE LAST COMMENT AMENDMENT BEFORE THE DECEMBER COUNCIL MEETING ABOUT THE INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN. I SUPPORT THIS. THE STAFF MAY THINK FEDERAL BUREAUCRATS THE IN SUPPORT WE SHOULD BE CAUTIOUS UNTIL WE GET THAT IN WRITING. NUMBER OF POSITIVE THINGS TO ELEVATE. THANK YOU TO RICHARD MAHA FOR TALKING ABOUT THE NUMBER OF HALF INITIATIVES. THE REPORT THAT CAME FORWARD IN DECEMBER WAS VERY GOOD. SOMETHING POSITIVE ABOUT THAT IT HAD ELEMENT TO REALITY. IT SAID HOW FUNDING IS SUBJECT TO PARLIAMENTARY APPROPRIATION EACH FISCAL YEAR. THEREFORE IS THE POTENTIAL FOR CHANGE IN PRIORITIES. THAT WAS REALISTIC APPREHENSION WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN IN THE FEDERAL ELECTION. AUTHOR SHOULD BE COMMENDED. AS COUNCILLOR LUKES SAID IN DECEMBER IN THE DEBATE ON THIS ITEM. WHAT WILL PROBABLY HAPPEN IS CHANGE OF GOVERNMENT. THAT IS IMPORTANT TO MAKE THAT REALISTIC NOTE AS WE GO FORWARD. ACCOMMODATIONS TO THE STAFF AND COUNCILLOR LUKES. WE SHOULD BE CAUTIOUS WHEN WE GO FORWARD IN JUNE IN OUR DEBATE. I WAS TOLD EARLIER TODAY ASK QUESTIONS EARLIER. I HAVE BEEN ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS AND WAS TOLD THE FEDS HAVE TO SIGN OFF ON INFORMATION. THAT WAS NOT THE CASE. I DID GET A 52 PAGE DISCLOSURE TO MR. MAHA. IT WAS INTERESTING READING FOR ME. I WANT TO COMMEND OUR CFO TRACEY GRAHAM TO ELEVATE DEBATES. ATTESTATION WITH THE REPORT TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I AM NOT AWARE OF ANY CIRCUMSTANCES TO THE TIME LINES SET OUT IN TIMELINES CONTRIBUTION AGREEMENT. THAT WAS IN DECEMBER. HAVE HEARING IN JUNE ON FOURPLEX. RISKY MIGHT MISS DEADLINE. GOT THE SECOND TRAUNCH OF MONEY $30 MILLION. WE HAVE ATTESTATION FROM C.E.O. SAYING NO CIRCUMSTANCES TO PREVENT GETTING DONE BY JUNE 12 TIMEFRAME. GOOD WE WILL HAVE HEARING IN JUNE. SOME CONCERN WE CAN'T HAVE THE HEARING IN JUNE. WE CAN. REPORT THAT WENT IN 52 PAGE REPORT MULL SPELL TIMES TALKS ABOUT HEARING IN JUNE. WE ARE ON SAME

PAGE. I WILL HAVE QUESTIONS LATER FOR INFORMATION WHAT IS PUBLIC FOR A GOOD DEBATE IN JUNE ABOUT THE FOUR FLEXES IF WE HAVE TO. MAYBE THERE WILL BE AN ELECTION WHEN WE AN HAVE THAT DEBATE. IN TERMS OF INFRASTRUCTURE THIS IS HOPEFULLY WE WILL GET TO SPEND SOME OF THE MONEY IF WE GET A THIRD TRAUNCH. THIS IS COUNCILLOR BROWATY'S GOAL UNDER HOUSING ACCELERATOR. REPORT SAYS $35 MILLION THAT WAS THE ONLY BE NUMBER. NOW WE ARE TOLD $12 MILLION. IT IS COMMENDABLE BIT OF REAL POLITICS FROM THE STAFF. PUSHING OFF TO THIRD YEAR INFRASTRUCTURE. WE ARE NOT SURE WE WILL EVER GET MONEY BECAUSE OF CHANGING REALITIES HAND WHAT WILL HAPPEN CHANGE IN GOVERNMENT IN THE COMING FEDERAL ELECTION. INTERESTING TO NOTE THANKINGS FOR THE REPORT THAT WE ARE ALREADY AT 1002 UNITS MISSING MIDDLE HOUSING. UP FROM 850 A MONTH FROM MORE. WHY DOES THAT MATTER? OUR GOAL OVER THREE YEARS FOR MISSING MIDDLE. 1026 UNITS. WE ARE AT 1002. WE ADDED 150 IN ONE MONTH. BY TODAY WE ARE LIKELY OVER TARGET. THAT BOILS DOWN. WHEN WE MEET IN JUNE FOR THAT WEEK OR TWO WEEKS OF HEARING WE WILL BE ABLE TO SAY THE ONLY RATIONALE IS TO DO THIS FOR THE MONEY. WE WILL ALREADY HAVE MET THE TARGET. WE WON'T NEED TO MEET TARGET. WE ARE THERE. WE ONLY SPENT $1 MILLION OF 30 WE HAVE GOT. WE ALMOST HIT MISSING MIDDLE WHICH INCLUDES FOURPLEXES. IN SHORT GOOD TO SEE STRAIGHTFORWARDNESS FROM THE STAFF FAMILIAR ON REALITIES. GOOD TO SEE THE STAFF SAYING HAVE THAT HEARING IN JUNE. NO ISSUES TO COMPLICATE THE AGREEMENT. GOOD TO SEE THE STAFF MR. MAHA AND OTHERS SAYING WE ARE AT 1020 UNITS ON MISSING MIDDLE. INFRASTRUCTURE IS NOT GOING TOWARDS FOURPLEXES. FROM SIR A LENNIE SERIES OF MAPS COVERING ALL WARDS. IT MAKES SENSE WHERE THE MONEY WOULD GO IF WE EVER GET IT. I WILL SUPPORT THIS TODAY. TRYING TO BE POSITIVE. GOOD WORK BY THE STAFF IN ANSWERING QUESTIONS I HAD ABOUT THIS PART OF THE HOUSING ACCELERATOR AND OTHER PARTS. AS WE TURN THE CORNER TOWARD THE HEARING IN JUNE. THERE IS TIME WE HAVE TO GET THAT DEBATE GOING. I WILL ASK MAYOR ABOUT THAT LATER TODAY. WE HAD GOOD BRIEFING DECEMBER 9 AND 10 AND HAVE HEARD NOTHING SINCE THEN. WHEN WE VOTED AS MAJORITY TO HAVE HEARING IN JUNE. IT WAS HAVE MORE PUBLIC INPUT. TODAY IT IS ABOUT INFRASTRUCTURE. THANK YOU FOR ANSWERING QUESTIONS AT VARIOUS HOURS OF THE DAY AND NIGHT. WE NEED TO CLARIFY. IT IS IN THE PUBLIC REPORT. $35 MILLION IS NOT CORRECT. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INSERTED THAT. WE WILL PROBABLY NEVER GET THE $12 MILLION. WE KNOW NOW WE WILL PROCEED IN JUNE ON THE FOURPLEX VOTE. WE KNOW WHERE MOST OF THE WAY -- WELL, WE MET OUR TARGET ON THAT. WE WILL SUPPORT THE REPORT AND THE BY-LAW TODAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THERE APPEARS TO BE AN ERROR ON PAGE 13 OF 21 IN THE BY-LAW. MAPPING. MAP IS REFERRED TO AS THE POLL PARK AREA. I ASSUME THEY MEN KILDONAN PLACE NOT PARK. THAT IS ON MAIN STREET. THE PLACE IS ON REGENT AVENUE. MAPPING

IS INCORRECT. I NEED CLARIFICATION ON THAT BEFORE WE VOTE. IF THE BY-LAW WOULD BE CORRECTED. IT IS REFERRED TO AMEND HOUSING ACCELERATOR GRANT PROGRAMME BY-LAW. DRAFT ATTACHED HERE TO AS AID TO THIS REPORT. I NEED CLARIFICATION PRIOR TO THE VOTE IF THIS WILL BE CORRECTED. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. CLERK.

CLERK: YOU MEAN TOO TITLE? WE WOULD HAVE TO CHECK WITH LEGAL SERVICE ES.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: CAN YOU COME BACK. THE CORRECTION THAT PROBABLY NEEDS MADE. THE TITLE ON THE MAP, I ASSUME, IS I BE CORRECT.

MADAM SPEAKER: ANY OTHER SPEAKERS ON THIS ITEM? MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: PROCEED WITH OTHER ITEMS AND COME BACK TO THIS ONCE WE GET AN ANSWER TODAY. I ASSUME IT IS A SIMPLE ANSWER. YEAH OR NAY.

MADAM SPEAKER: STAND THAT ITEM DOWN. PROCEEDING TO THE NEXT ITEM. ITEM 17.

CLERK: 2024 DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT PARAMETERS UPDATE.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO INTRODUCE ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL WAIT TO HEAR FROM COLLEAGUES ON THIS ITEM. THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I STOOD THE ITEM DOWN. I WOULD LIKE CLARIFICATION ON THE ITEM. SIMPLY THAT UNDER THE CLAUSE IT STATES THAT THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT PARAMETER BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS. LEAD SECTION 7 DELETE 7.3 AND REPLACE WITH FOLLOWING. I TRIED TO LOOK THROUGH THE DOCUMENT TO SEE WHERE THE ORIGINAL 7.3 WAS. MAYBE I AM MISSING IT, BUT WE HAVE CURRENT WORDING THEN A PROPOSED NEW WORDING. MY CONCERN IS THAT THE CLARIFICATION ON THIS IS THAT THE DEVELOPER WILL PROVIDE TWO YEAR WARRANTY TO THE PROJECTS AT HAND. WHY WOULD WE CHOOSE A TWO YEAR WARRANTY VERSUS EVEN GOING FURTHER? THAT IS THE CONCERN. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR BACK FROM MAY OR ON THIS. PREVIOUSLY IT WAS ONE YEAR MAINTENANCE WARRANTY. WE KNOW THE CONCERN I HAVE TWO YEAR WARRANTY IS QUITE OFTEN NOT -- ONE YEAR WARRANTY -- TWO YEAR WARRANTY IS BETTER THAN ONE YEAR. I ALWAYS TOOK THE UNDERSTANDING THAT WHEN IT CAME TO A LOT OF STREETS WE HAD A LONGER WARRANTY. ONE YEAR WARRANTY DID WE GET CLARIFICATION FROM MAY OR HOW IT IS IMPROVEMENT FROM THE CITY PERSPECTIVE WHEN IT COMES TO DEVELOPERS AT HAND WE ARE DEALING WITH?

MADAM SPEAKER: SPEAKER? MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THE QUESTION POSED BY COUNCIL. I DON'T HAVE INFORMATION DETAILED BACKGROUND OF THIS. I DO KNOW THAT TWO YEAR WARRANTY IS BETTER THAN ONE YEAR WARRANTY. I DON'T HAVE THE ANSWER IN FRONT OF ME.

MADAM SPEAKER: I WILL CALM QUESTION ON ITEM 17. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. COUNCILLOR WYATT IN OPPOSITION. ORLIKOW AND WYATT IN OPPOSITION. ITEM 19, MR. CLERK.

CLERK: NORTH END SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE AUDIT REPORT.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. TO SAY THAT THIS REPORT COMMISSIONED BY OUR AUDIT TEAM REVEALS THE REASON WE HAVE AUDITOR AND WE DO AUDITS. ULTIMATELY REVEAL ALSO IN THE NORTH END PLANT THREE PHASES IS A PROJECT THE MAGNITUDE, COST AND COMPLEXITY WHICH THE CITY HAS NEVER UNDER TAKEN BEFORE. THE STAFF IS FOLLOWING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AND DOING WORK AS THEY SHOULD, THIS IS GOVERNMENT STRUCTURE NOT FITTED. WE NEED TO DO SOMETHING DIFFERENT AND MORE THIS IS DIFFERENT AND MORE THAN WE HAVE SEEN BEFORE. I KNOW THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE MY ASSUMPTION. I WILL BE CAREFUL IN SUMMING. MAJORITY OF ALL COUNCIL WOULD FEEL THAT WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE IMPLEMENTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THIS AUDIT. FIRST AND FOREMOST RECOMMENDATION IS TO CHANGE THE GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE TO ADD MORE TO IT SO WE HAVE A GOVERNANCE ENTITY TO OVERSEE THE PROJECT THAT MATCHES THE COMPLEXITY SCALE AND COST OF THE PROJECT. THAT IS ONE OF THE FIRST URGENT RECOMMENDATIONS WE ARE TAKING VERY SERIOUSLY. AMENDING MOTION ACCOMPANYING THIS REPORT PUT FORWARD BY COUNCILLOR DUNCAN AS CHAIR OF WATER WASTE IS SOMETHING I WILL CERTAINLY SUPPORT. KPMG WILL ASSIST WITH ESTABLISHMENT OF THAT GOVERNMENT STRUCTURE. THEY WILL WORK WITH OUR STAFF SIDE-BY-SIDE TO MAKE SURE WE GET THE STRUCTURE IN PLACE TO REQUIRED TO PROVIDE OVERSIGHT THIS PROJECT WARRANTS AND NEEDS. COUNCILLOR EADIE HAS ALREADY EXPRESSED HIS COMMITMENT TO GIVE HIS OVERSIGHT AND HIS NEW ROLE AS CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE TO THIS PROJECT. LOOKING FORWARD TO HEARING FROM COLLEAGUES ON THE REPORT OF THIS AUDIT.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I DID ASK TO SPEAK TO THIS. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. OF

COURSE, I SUPPORT THIS REPORT I DO THANK COUNCILLOR DUNCAN FOR MOVING FORWARD WITH AMENDMENT TO GET THIS GOVERNANCE ASPECT DONE ON THIS HUGE PROJECT. I WANT TO SPEAK IN TERMS OF THE AGENDA SET FOR FEBRUARY 3 WATER WASTE, ENVIRONMENT MEETING. I THINK MY COLLEAGUES ON THERE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO SEE THE REPORTS. THERE ARE SOME DELEGATED AUTHORITY MOTIONS ON THAT REPORT. THAT IS ONE OF THE ITEMS THE EXTERNAL AUDITOR POINTED OUT. WHEN YOU HAVE PROGRESSIVE DESIGN BUILD PROJECT, ESPECIALLY THE SIZE THIS IS THAT BECAUSE IT IS PROGRESSIVE YOU NEED TO BE ABLE TO RESPOND QUICKER AT GROUND LEVEL. YOU HAVE TO TRUST AND ALLOW FOR GREATER DELEGATED AUTHORITY. THAT GREATER DELEGATED AUTHORITY ONCE OR GOVERNANCE OF THIS VERY LARGE PROJECT IS IN PLACE WILL THAT GOVERNANCE MECHANISM WILL LEARN AND KNOW WHAT THE DELEGATED AUTHORITY HAD TO PUT FORWARD TO THE COMPANY DOING THE PROGRESSIVE CONSTRUCTION AND WE ALSO HAVE AN OWNER'S ADVOCATE THAT COMPLICATES THINGS IN THIS PARTICULAR AUDIT. THERE WAS A LOOK AT HOW THE PROJECT WAS PROGRESSING. NOT REALLY NOT EVERY ASPECT WAS LOOKED AT. I'M HOPING TO SEE AND THERE WILL BE MORE AUDITS, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE IS A NEED. IT IS HUGE. IT NEEDS TO OPERATE. THIS IS A PUBLICLY DELIVERED PROGRESSIVELY DESIGN BUILD. IN PRIVATE SECTOR A LOT OF REFERENCE TO COMMERCIAL ASPECT OF THIS PROJECT THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED TO BE COMMERCIAL PORTION. SOME OF THOSE MECHANISMS NEED LOOKED AT. THIS WAS THE FIRST PUBLICLY DELIVERED PROGRESSIVE DESIGN BUILD IN WINNIPEG, MANITOBA FOR SURE. THERE HAD BEEN A NUMBER OF THEM IN BRITISH COLUMBIA. THE MAIN AUDIT PERSON IS COMING FROM DC AND KNOWS HOW AND MAYBE THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH THAT PUBLISHED DELIVERED PROGRESSIVE DESIGN BUILD IN SURREY, BRITISH COLUMBIA AND OTHERS AS WELL, MADAM SPEAKER. I DON'T WANT TO BE RATE THIS A LOT. I PAID VERY CLOSE ATTENTION TO WHEN THE AUDITOR WAS PRESENTING THIS TO US. I WILL DILIGENTLY TRY TO MAKE SURE THAT THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS GET ACCOMPLISHED SO THAT THIS PROJECT SO THERE WILL TRANSPARENCY, ACCOUNTABILITY, WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THEIRS CAN RUN IN AN EFFICIENT MATTER. PROGRESSIVE DESIGN BUILD IS BETTER DOESN'T MATTER PRIVATELY OR PUBLICLY DELIVERED. IT HAS TO BE DELIVERED IN THE RIGHT WAY. THAT IS OUR RECOMMENDATIONS HERE. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: IT IS VERY GOOD TO NOTE THAT THE CHAIR OF WATER AND WASTE NOTED MOTIONS ON THE RECORD. THAT IS THE COMMENTS THAT I HAVE TODAY. THE MOTION TO RECEIVE REPORT AS INFORMATION. IT FEELS LIKE THE MOTION ITSELF ISN'T FIT FOR PURPOSE, TO QUOTE REPORT. THAT BEING SAID THERE IS A LOT OF WORK TO DO. TO THAT END I WANT TO UNDERLINE SOME OF WHAT I WILL BE HOPING TO WORK WITH COUNCIL ON. NUMBER ONE. COUNCIL'S ROLE OVERSIGHT AND LEADERSHIP. WE DO PLAY A CRUCIAL ROLE IN PROVIDING DIRECTION, OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR NEW

PICK. HOWEVER, WE DON'T HAVE A COUNCIL COMMITTEE LIKE WE JUST HAD ON THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT PARAMETERSSH WHICH ASK MULTI MILLIONS. I CAN SEEN MY COLLEAGUE. I APPRECIATE THAT MORE THAN SHE KNOWS, NODDING HER HEAD, MADAM SPEAKER. HE WOULD H WE SHOULD CREATE DEDICATED PROJECT OVERSIGHT WITH PROVIDING INFORMATION HERE. THE PACE OF DECISION MAKING IS OVER A YEAR WHEN I THOUGHT AS CHAIR OF THEN PROFIT AND DEVELOPMENT I SHOULD TURN TO MY THEN COLLEAGUE CHAIR OF WATER AND WASTE AND MEET EVERY TWO MONTHS TO JUST GET MOMENTUM UNDER OUR BELTS. DELAYS COSTS US MILLIONS. I WILL STARE AT COUNCILLOR SCHREYER WHO REMINDS US WHAT CONSTRUCTION INFLATION IS AND DOES AT EVERY CHANCE WE HAS. THE CASCADING EFFECTS ARE OVERWHELMING ON THIS NORTH AMERICA'S GENERATIONAL PROJECT. IT ISN'T JUST WINNIPEG'S. WE SOME POW DUCK TOWN. WE FEEL LIKE WE ARE AN ELEVATED CITY WHEN WE HAVE THIS GENERATIONAL PROJECT SO IMPORTANT TO THE RED RIVER BASIN. THE PACE OF DECISION MAKING NEEDS TO BE UNDERLINED AND THERE NEEDS TO BE A MOTION WITHOUT WAITING TO ENSURE THOSE OPERATIONAL HURDLING THAT HAVE BEEN JUST PROVIDED THE MOST BASIC OF BRUSH STROKES IN THIS REPORT. IT IS GOOD TO HEAR THERE IS ANOTHER MEETING WITH KPMG BECAUSE THEY REACHED OUT TO THOSE OF US WHO I GUESS APPEARED MOST INQUISITIVE. TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY. REPORTING AND ACCOUNTABILITY FRAMEWORK IS ESSENTIAL FOR NOT ONLY THE STAKEHOLDERS BRUT THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY, RESIDENTS AND ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS. REGULARITY OF UPDATED SHOULD BE PROVIDED NOT ONLY TO COUNCIL BUT TO THE PUBLIC. REALLY OUTLINING THE CHALLENGES AND FINANCIAL STAT THE TUESDAY. IT SHOULDN'T BE LOST ON US THAT WE WERE ONE OF TWO GENERATIONAL PROJECTS MENTIONED IN FALL UPDATES. WHAT IS REALLY DIFFICULT THERE WAS NO MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT THAT DID A VICTORY LAP AROUND THAT STRUCTURE. PAPS IT WAS BECAUSE OF THE DRAMA THAT UNFOLDED TO THE POINT WHERE THE FILL NOR COULDN'T DELIVER THE FALL FISCAL UPDATE. THAT UNDERLINES THE ALL PARTY NECESSITY OF THIS PROJECT AND NECESSITY OF OUR ORGANIZATION. TO THAT END WE SEE CONSERVATIVE NEW DEMOCRAT IN THE SOCIAL CIRCLE. IT IS KEY TO HAVE TRANSPARENCY, ACCOUNTABILITY AND JUST AN UNDERLINING OF THE PACE. AS WELL AS VERY HEALTHY RELATIONSHIP WITH COLLEAGUES IN SURREY WHO ARE A LITTLE AHEAD OF US IN TERMS OF DEVELOPING STRUCTURES AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INVESTMENT IN WHAT IS THEIR GENERATIONAL PROJECT. WE HAVE AN INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT WORKING ON OUR BEHALF RIGHT NOW. PROFESSIONALS AT E CONAND MORE. I MENTIONED STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION. THAT IS IMPORTANT TO THIS PROJECTOR. IT REQUIRES STRONG ENGAGEMENT WITH A WIDE RANGE OF STAKEHOLDERS. I MADE SOME OF THOSE CALLS ALREADY. THIS COMMUNICATION STRATEGY IS KEY. IT IS A KEY TO THOSE INVOLVED? IT IS KEY TO THE STRUCTURES THAT HAVE TO DEVELOP IN THE PROVINCE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO WRAP THEIR HEADS AROUND WHAT IT BEACHES WITH POPULATION GROWTH. TO THAT END I WILL LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH COLLEAGUES TO ESTABLISH REGULATIONS ON DELEGATED DECISIONS WHICH COMES NEXT MONTH

TOUCHES SURFACE WITHIN THE EXISTING STRUCTURE. ONE LONE STAFF CYNTHIA DOES THIS OFF THE SIDE OF HER DESK. I LOOK FORWARD TO COMPREHENSIVE SYSTEM INCLUDING PUBLIC, REGULAR REPORTS TO COUNCIL AND THOSE THAT NEED TO BE INVOLVED THAT THEY ARE NOT. ENSURES STRONG STAKEHOLDER ENGAGE MELT AND TARGETS FOR THIS. THE NORTH END SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. I USED TO LOOK FORWARD TO COUNCILLOR MAYES LEANING IN ON COMBINED SEWER. WHY? IT TOOK ME SIX YEARS TO REALLY WRAP MY HEAD AROUND THIS WATER AND WASTE FILE. I WOULD ARGUE WE STILL REQUIRE ADVISORY MEMBERS. HELP FROM KPMG. THE CRITICAL PROJECT FOR WINNIPEG, SUCCESS OF CITY ON THE TECHNICAL EXECUTION. WE HAVE EMBARRASSMENT OF RICHES. TIM AND CYNTHIA CAN'T DO IT ALL. MAINTAINING TRANSPARENCY, MADAM SPEAKER. I JUST NEED AN EXTENSION HERE. CITY NEEDS TO EMBARK ON THIS GENERATIONAL CHALLENGE. EMBARK ON IT LIKE WE EMBARKED AS A TEAM AT NO OTHER TIME. TO EVEN SURE THE SUCCESS OF NEW PROJECTS AND TO POSITION THE CITY FOR GROWTH, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: YES, MADAM SPEAKER. CLARIFICATION. THE REPORTING BACK IN TERMS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE KEY AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS BY END OF SECOND QUARTER 2025. I DON'T SEE RECOMMENDATION COMING OUT OF E.P.C. I HEARD WHAT COUNCILLOR EADIE SAID WITH REGARD TO REPORTING OR NO REPORTING. I WAS CONFUSED WITH THAT. I NEED UNDERSTANDING REPORTING BACK IN TERMS OF AUDIT AND RECOMMENDATIONS AS WELL. OVERALL THE SIZE AND SCALE OF PROJECT. WOULD TRIGGER AND I AM MAKING ASSUMPTIONS THOUGH I THINK IT IS RIGHT REGULAR REPORTING THROUGH FINANCE COMMITTEE BASED ON SCALE AND SIZE OF PROJECT. IN TERMS OF RECOMMENDATION HERE THERE WILL BE SOME SORT OF TRIGGER TO FOLLOW UP TO ENSURE REPORT IS BACK TO THE E.P.C. AND COUNCIL AND PERTAINING TO AUDIT BEING IMPLEMENTED ON TIMELY MANNER WITH RECOMMENDATIONS ATTACHED HERE. I NEED THAT RECOMMENDATION ON THAT.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: TO SPEAK WELL OF COUNCILLOR DUNCAN. HE MANAGED TO GET INTERESTING IN HIS RELATIVELY BRIEF TERM ON WATER AND WASTE. WORK IS UNDERWAY ON THIS PROJECT. BIOSOLIDS BUILDING. CONTACT 447 OF 2024. $8 MILLION OF WORK UNDERWAY ON THE SITE. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE AT THE COMMITTEE I WILL TALK TO WATER AND WASTE COLLEAGUES ABOUT SOME MONTHLY UPDATE ON WORK AS IT IS UNFOLDING. IT IS UNDERWAY. WE SEEM TO ALREADY HAVE THIS DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY PIECE OF AUDIT COMING REIT AWAY. WE DON'T HAVE THE OTHER PIECE. LET'S HAVE MORE OVER STEP. AS FIRST STOP WE CAN GET TALKING ABOUT GETTING REPORTS, WORK IS UNDER BE WAY TO CREDITED OF COUNCILLOR DUNCAN AND STAFF. $8 MILLION

ALREADY GOING. THAT IS POSITIVE TIMEFRAME TO BE DONE BY 2030. I WILL LOOK FORWARD TO GETTING THAT MOTION PASSED AT NEXT WATER AND WASTE AND START GETTING MONTHLY SUPDATES ON YOU HOW THE WORK IS PROGRESSING. WE ARE UNDERWAY WHICH IS GOOD. WE ARE UP AGAINST THE CLOCK OF 2030. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: I WILL JUST SPEAK BRIEFLY, MADAM SPEAKER. WE HAD OUR FIRST WATER TREATMENT PLANT. HOW OLD IS IT 100 YEARS? 90 YEARS. WE SHOULD CONSIDER THE COSTS BACK THEN. IN THE HERE AND NOW WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH WHAT WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH WHEN IT COMES TO CONSTRUCTION AND SOMETHING AS IMPORTANT AS THIS. AS A MATTER OF FACT MOST OF THE TIME BECAUSE CONSTRUCTION MOST OF OUR CARTAL INVESTMENT CERTAIN EESSENTIAL TO IT. BY VIRTUE OF SEPARATE LEGISLATION WE HAVE TO DO IT. THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE DO. I WILL SAY IT AGAIN. AS STATED BY COUNCILLOR ROLLINS. THE REASON I TALK ABOUT CONSTRUCTION INFLATION IS THAT IT IS DISPROPORTIONATELY NOT STATED TO THE EXTENT WE DEAL WITH IT. IF IT WAS DEALT WITH AS OTHER CHALLENGES I WOULDN'T BE TALKING AS MUCH AND IT WOULDN'T BE ON ME. BEAR IN MIND. IT DOESN'T MATTER IT IS ESSENTIAL. WE HAVE TO DO IT. THAT IS TRUE. WE HAVE TO DO IT I WILL MAKE THE ARGUMENT IT IS MORE IMPORTANT WHEN YOU DEAL WITH THE COSTS. SOME THINGS THAT AREN'T AS ESSENTIAL THE COST DOESN'T MATTER. IF IT IS NOT AS ESSENTIAL YOU DO LESS OR GET RID I THE PROGRAMME IF NOT AS ESSENTIAL. WE DO THAT HERE. JUST LIKE COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS. WE HAVE TO DECIDE. WE ARE GETTING RID OF IT. HOW ESSENTIAL IS IT IN THE BREAD BASKET OF THE OTHER SERVICES WE PROVIDE? MANY ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION ARE ESSENTIAL. AT THIS TIME TAKES MORE DEDICATION, FOCUS, CONCENTRATION BECAUSE THE COSTS ARE THAT MUCH MORE IMPORTANT. THAT MUCH MORE INFLUENTIAL. THEREFORE POSSIBLY THAT MUCH MORE DETRIMENTAL. MADAM SPEAKER, WE TALK ABOUT ALL SORTS OF PROBLEM ON A REGULAR BASIS. HOMELESSNESS EVERY COUNCIL MEETING. ALL OF US AS COUNCIL, ADMINISTRATION, WE TALK ABOUT POVERTY IN SOME SORT OF GENERAL WAY. RECOGNIZING IT EXISTS. WE WILL TALK ABOUT IT. ANY MEMBER OF COUNCIL WILL TALK BEFORE THAT. ON ANY GIVEN DAY IN THIS BUILDING WHILE THEY ARE DOING OTHER BUSINESS INCLUDING OFFICIAL BUSINESS. WHAT DAYS DO WE GO BY ON CITY HALL BUSINESS DAY WHEN WE DON'T TALK ABOUT ANY OR EVERY MEMBER DOESN'T TALK ABOUT HOMELESSNESS OR POVERTY OR INFLATION LIKE IT IS MAGIC NOT TO BE DISSECTED SERIOUSLY PIECE BY PIECE. WE WILL TALK ABOUT COST OF FOOD. TALK BEFORE PROBLEMS WITH HOUSING. UNDER LYING POINT HERE IS INFLATION RATE. RELATIVE TO PEOPLE'S INCOME, OF COURSE. THERE IS INFLATION EVERYWHERE. THE CLASSIC MODEL IF ALL THINGS GO UP AT SAME RATE OF INFLATION, SAME RATE IT IS A DEAL. IT DOESN'T CHANGE ANYTHING. THAT IS TRUE. ALL THINGS GO UP AT SAME RATE OF INFLATION. IT IS NOT INFLATION THAT IS THE PROBLEM. IT IS THE DIFFERENCE IN INFLATION RATE. I WILL TELL YOU HERE. THE RATE OF INFLATION SOME THINGS ARE 10 YEARS, TWICE THE RATE AS

SOMETHING ELSE IN 10 YEARS. NOT GOING TO STAY AT TWICE THE RATE. ANOTHER 10 YEARS FOUR TIMES, ANOTHER 10 YEARS EIGHT TIMES. THAT'S REALITY OF ECONOMICS, MADAM SPEAKER. WHEN IT COMES TO ESSENTIAL SERVICES THIS IS IMPORTANT. CAPITAL INVESTMENT WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND WHY WE HAVE SCHOOLS AND HOSPITALS. WHY WE HAVE POLICE, FIRE AND PARAMEDIC SERVICES. THESE THINGS AS WELL GROW OUR SOCIETY, SAFEGUARD OUR SOCIETY. NOT JUST SOCIALLY. ECONOMICALLY. WHEN WE DON'T DEAL WITH THESE THINGS AS WELL IT AFFECTS US ECONOMICALLY. ALL THE BEST TO EVAN DUNCAN FOR THE NEW ERA, NEW CENTURY OF NEW SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT. BEAR IN MIND WE WILL BE BEARING THE COST OF THIS. AFFECTING US IN OTHER WAYS TO DEAL WITH YESTERDAY PAINFULLY. MY SPEECH IS DONE NOW.

MADAM SPEAKER: MAYOR GILLINGHAM. WE WISH COUNCILLOR EADIE THE NEW CHAIR THE BEST WITH THAT COMMITTEE. MAYOR GILLINGHAM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS. LOOKING AT WHAT THE AUDIT RECOMMENDATION INCLUDES. PERHAPS POINTS TO CLARITY. COUNCILLOR WYATT WAS SEEKING. KEY RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE NEW GOVERNANCE AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SHOULD BE ELEVATED. CHAIRED BY CAO AND REPORT DIRECTLY TO COUNCIL. THE MATTER WITH KPMG AND HIRING TO ASSISTING STAFF IN ESTABLISHING DEVELOPMENT MODEL ALL OF THAT CLARITY WILL COME TO THE GOVERNANCE MODEL THEY PROPOSE TO US HERE. MORE TO COME ON THAT. APPRECIATE INPUT HERE. A LOT OF WORK TO DO. YOU CAN CALL THE QUESTION, PLEASE.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR OF ITEM 19. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 13. LET'S GO QUESTION PERIOD RIGHT NOW NOR THE MAYOR. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: ON DECEMBER 18 WE GOT AN E-MAIL FROM MAY OR INDICATING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN FALL ECONOMIC STATEMENT PROMISED $150 MILLION TOWARDS BIOSOLIDS PROJECT. WE RECEIVED NO FURTHER DETAILS ON THAT. SEVERAL PROBLEMS. IT HAS NO LEGAL FORCE AND EFFECT. NUMBER TWO. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SAID THIS MONEY WOULD FLOW THROUGH THE FEDERAL PROVINCIAL STREAM, PART OF HOUSING. NOT HOUSING. THIS IS DIFFERENT FUN. NO AGREEMENT IN PLACE THAT WE ARE AWARE OF. I WONDER IF THE MAYOR COULD ASSURE US NO APPLICATION BROUGHT FORWARD TO COUNCIL TO FEBRUARY TO MARCH TO ACCESS FUNDING WITHOUT REFERENCE BACK. WE WILL HEAR IN JUNE. GOOD WORK BY MR. KELSEY BACK WHEN WE ORIGINALLY DEBATED LIMITS ON THAT, LOT SIZE, ETC. THEY ARE LIMITED EXCEPTIONS TO ZONING REQUIREMENTS AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WILL DECIDE. I DON'T WANT TO RUSH TO HEARING OF SOME SORT IN MARCH WHEN WE ARE CLEARLY HEADING TO A BIGGER HEARING WITH ACTUAL BY-LAWS IN PLAY IN JUNE. WHAT CAN THE MAYOR TELL

US ABOUT REQUIREMENTS UNDER THIS CANADIAN HOUSING INFRASTRUCTURE FUND. CAN HE REASSURE US NOTHING IS COMING IN FEBRUARY OR MARCH BY WHICH TO VOTE ON SOME SORT OF APPLICATION?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THAT STATEMENT 150 WAS ANNOUNCED ON A DAY WHEN THERE WAS A LOT OF OTHER THINGS GOING ON. IT DIDN'T GET A LOT OF PLAY. WE DID NOTICE IT. IT WAS RAISED TO US. WHEN I SAY WE MY OFFICE AND ALSO OUR CAO CORPORATE FINANCE AND OTHERS ARE TRYING TO GET CLARITY FROM FEDERAL GOVERNMENT RIGHT NOW RELATED TO APPLICATION PROCESS, CITY IS WORKING WITH DANIEL BLAKEY ON BEHALF OF PREMIER IN COORDINATING FEDERAL PROVINCIAL FUNDING RELATED TO INCREASED FUNDING TO NORTH END PLANT. DETERMINING WHAT TREATMENT AND WHAT APPLICATION PROCESS IS REQUIRED. YES, WE ARE ASKING THE QUESTION YOU ARE ASKING AS FAR AS TIMELINES AND HOW THAT RELATES TO WHAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SAID CONDITIONS ON ACCESSING FUNDING. ALL I CAN SAY THIS IS A VERY FEW TIMES A WEEK CONVERSATION BETWEEN OUR STAFF, MY OFFICE, OUR ADMINISTRATION, PROVINCE OF MANITOBA AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AS WELL. AS SOON AS WE HAVE CLARITY AND UNDERSTANDING WHAT THE NEXT STEPS ARE, SEQUENCE WE WILL BE PROVIDING THEM. WE ARE SEEKING CLARITY AS WELL.

MADAM SPEAKER: SECOND QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: IT IS GOOD NEWS TO GET MONEY TOWARD NORTH END. UNFORTUNATELY IT IS 26 AND 27 THE WAY THE WORDING COMES. IT THE MONEY IS NOT COMING BEFORE THE UPCOMING FEDERAL ELECTION. CAN WE GET ASSURANCE THAT THE FOURPLEX WORDING IS ROUGHLY AS MR. KELSEY DRAFTED SUBJECT TO DEBATE HERE IN JUNE BECAUSE THE WORDING THEY SEEM TO BE FLOATING IS DEALING WITH ANOTHER SET OF FEDERAL BUREAUCRATS ON A DIFFERENT TEMPLATE THAN WHAT WAS DRAFTED BY MR. KELSEY IN GOOD FAITH BACK FROM 2023.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: AS I SAID A MOMENT AGO. WE ARE SEEKING CLARITY ON ALL THINGS, ALL ALL APPLICATION AVENUES. DOING ALL WE CAN. WE WILL DO, I WILL DO AND STAFF WILL DO ALL WE CAN TO GET THIS $150 MILLION LOCKED UP AND ASSURED. IF THERE IS A WAY. A WAY TO COMMIT COMMENT TO CERTAINTY THAT IS AVAILABLE WE WILL LOOK TO THAT AS WELL. WE ALL KNOW AROUND THIS CHAMBER. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE SIZE AND COMPLEXITY OF NORTH END PLANT. OUR CONVERSATION HERE FOR YEARS. CONVERSATION FOR YEARS TO COME. EVERY AVAILABLE DOLLAR WE CAN DRAW DOWN FROM FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WE ARE DOING ALL WE CAN TO MAKE THAT

HAPPEN. AS WE KNOW THE TREATMENT PLANT IN THE NORTH OF WINNIPEG IS TIED DIRECTLY TO CAPACITY TO GROW AS CITY, DEVELOP AS CITY, EXPAND ECONOMY AS CITY. EXPANSION AND GROWTH OF OUR ECONOMY IS OF INTEREST NOT ONLY TO COUNCIL BUT TO THE GOVERNMENT AS WELL. THERE IS A REAL VESTED INTEREST THEY HAVE. THEIR FORTUNES ARE TIED TO THIS PROJECT AS WELL. THIS IS THE TOP PRIORITY IN OUR DISCUSSION IN DEALINGS WITH. I AM REALLY PLEASED WE DO HAVE CREDIT TO EVERYONE INVOLVED IN THIS SO FAR WE HAVE ANNOUNCEMENT OF $150 MILLION FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. PROVINCE HAS INDICATED $30 MILLION WHICH IS REALLOCATION OF STRATEGIC INFRASTRUCTURE BASKET AND THEY INDICATED MORE TO COME. I HAD DISCUSSION WITH FINANCE MINISTER SAL LA. HE RESTATED THIS IS A PRIORITY. THAT IS GOOD TO HEAR IT IS A PRIORITY. BOTTOM LINE WE NEED MONEY. WE NEED SIGNED AGREEMENTS OF THE FUNDING TO COME TO THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. THAT IS A PRIORITY WORK I AM DOING. I BELIEVE A LOT OF US ARE DOING AS WELL. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: FINAL QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I HAVE NO IDEA HOW MUCH IT IS. THIS IS SEWAGE CONDITION PLANT. I APPRECIATE TRYING TO GET FUNDING. I TRIED FOR MANY YEARS. CONDITION. CAN THE MAYOR CONFIRM MONEY ANNOUNCED NO PROVINCIAL FUNDING TO MATCH THAT STARTING IN 26-27. DO WE HAVE ANY INDICATION IT MIGHT COME SOONER?

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: WE DON'T. I, THE TEAM, EVERYONE ON THE POLITICAL SIDE IS WORKING TO GET THE MONEY AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. THE LAST THING I READ ON THE FEDERAL WEBSITE. WE HAD TO APPROVE. I DON'T WANT THE WORDS WRONG. SPRING FOURPLEXES BY SPRING, NOT JUNE, BUT BY SPRING. I WANT TO FLAG THAT. WE WILL GET CLARITY. JUNE IS LATER THAN SPRING. I DON'T WANT TO JEOPARDIZE FEDERAL MONEY WE CAN SECURE IF THE DATE IS SPRING. I FLAGGED THAT. MORE TO COME.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: BACK IN JUNE LAST YEAR THERE WAS A TRAGIC AND TERRIBLE EXPLOSION IN MY WARD. THERE WAS NO LOSS OF LIFE THAT TOOK PLACE. RESIDENTS HAVE BEEN DISPLACED. I KNOW THAT YOU WERE OUT THERE AND SPOKE TO RESIDENTS AND I KNOW PEOPLE APPRECIATED SEEING YOU THERE. SINCE THAT TIME HOMEOWNERS AT 33 AND 37 CAMELS BAY AND IF YOU NEED TO TAKE THIS UNDER ADVICEMENT BE I APPRECIATE THAT. THE CONTRACTORS HAVE BEEN HIRED BY INSURANCE COMPANIES AND FRUSTRATED OVER ABILITY TO PULL PERMITS TIMELY FROM THE CITY OF WINNIPEG PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT. IN SOME CASES I GIVE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT. SOMETIMES IT IS INFORMATION NOT FROM THE CONTRACTOR TO PERMIT STAFF. I

DON'T NECESSARILY THEREFORE SAY IT IS THE PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT IT COULD BE IN TERMS TIMELINESS OF DEMOLITION PERMIT AND DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AND BUILDING PERMIT. HOMEOWNERS HAVE HAD INCONVENIENCE LIVING IN TEMPORARY RENTAL HOUSING INSURANCE COMPANY IS PAYING FOR. THEIR INSURER CAUSED TRAUMA TO FAMILIES INVOLVED. I HAD OPPORTUNITY TO MEET WITH THEM PRIOR TO CHRISTMAS ON THE STREET TALKING TO THEM AND THE FRUSTRATION THEY ARE FEELING AND SENSE THEY ARE FACING RED TAPE WITH THE CITY. COULD YOU LOOK INTO THIS MATTER TO SEE WHAT COULD BE DONE IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM TO EXPEDIATE PERMIT PROCESS IN LIGHT OF TRAGIC EVENT THAT OCCURRED ON THAT STREET. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION. PLEASE ASK THE OFFICE TO FORWARD TO ME AND COUNCILLOR DUNCAN AS CHAIR OF PROFIT AND BE DEVELOPMENT FOR INFORMATION. IF WE CAN DO ANYTHING TO LOOK INTO THIS WE WOULD BE GLAD TO DO IT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOUR OFFICE AND COUNCILLOR DUNCAN TO GET THIS INFORMATION TO THE RESIDENTS AND CONTRACTOR AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, ARE YOU AWARE. THANK YOU.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I AM NOW. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT COUNCILLOR MAYES BACK TO YOU.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: WE SPOKE EARLIER ABOUT THE DISCLOSURE THAT CAME THROUGH TO THE CREDIT OF THE HAF OFFICE AND TO MS. GRAHAM, DISCLOSURE ON HOUSING ACCELERATOR REPORT THAT WAS ISSUED IN DECEMBER. I WANT TO THANK CFO GRAHAM FOR PUTTING THAT OUT. MAKING IT FORWARD THERE IS NO ISSUE WITH HAVING A HEARING IN JUNE. WE WILL BE HAVING THAT HEARING. WE VOTED LAST MONTH TO HAVE MORE TIME FOR THE PUBLIC TO HAVE INPUT. WE GOT BRIEFING DECEMBER 9 AND 10 ON STAFF PLANS FOR THE MISSING MIDDLE FOURPLEXES. WE WERE TOLD THEY WOULD BE GOING TO THE PUBLIC IN JANUARY. WE HAVE SEEN NONE OF THAT. IN LIGHT OF REPORT THAT SAYs SERIES OF PUBLIC OPEN HOUSES IN EARLY 2025. WHEN WILL THE PUBLIC SEE THE DOCUMENTS? SEE WHAT IS PROPOSED? THERE IS A SERIES OF PUBLIC OPEN HOUSES. INFORMATION TO COME OUT IN JANUARY. WHAT CAN THE MAYOR TELL US WHEN THAT INFORMATION WILL COME OUT?

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION. I DON'T HAVE THE DATE. I WILL LOOK INTO AND ASK OUR CAO TO LOOK INTO TIMING OF RELEASE OF THAT INFORMATION. SECOND QUESTION. COMMENT TO GO BACK TO YOU REFERENCED JUNE. WE WANT TO BE CLEAR. GIVE THOUGHT TO THIS. WE DON'T HAVE TO DECIDE THIS TODAY OR TOMORROW. THOUGHT TO THIS. IF INDEED $150 MILLION FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE NORTH END PLANT AND POTENTIALLY SOME INCREMENTAL FUNDS RELATED TO THAT PLANT COME FROM THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT AND IF THAT REQUIRES US TO MOVE QUICKER ON HOUR HEARING ON THE FOURS AND FOURS TO MOVE BACK TO SPRING DATE, I KNOW I FOR ONE WOULD NOT WANT TO JEOPARDIZE 150 PLUS MILLION DOLLARS FOR NORTH END TREATMENT PLANT SECOND PHASE BASED ON A FEW WEEKS. PUT THAT AS FLAG TO ASK US TO CONSIDER THAT. NO DECISION, NO COMMENT TODAY IF NO ONE WANTS TO. JUST A THOUGHT ON THAT. I DON'T KNOW IF IT DOES COME TO THAT WE WOULD NEED TO GIVE CONSIDERATION TO MONEYS MADE AVAILABLE WITH DATES ATTACHED TO THEM.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: WHAT WILL JEOPARDIZE MONEY IN 2026 IS THE LOOMING FEDERAL ELECTION NOT ACTIONS BY ME OR PEOPLE AROUND THE TABLE. WE HAVE IN WRITING PUBLIC ATTESTATION JUNE HEARING CAN PROCEED. IF THE MAYOR CAN FINANCE $150 MILLION PAYABLE BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION WE SHOULD LOOK AT THAT SERIOUSLY. SECOND QUESTION. WE WERE CHIDED EARLIER TODAY NOT ASKING MORE QUESTIONS EARLIER IN RELATION TO SOME ITEM. I HAVE BEEN ASKING SINCE OCTOBER ABOUT THE MAP. CIRCULATED A YEAR AGO ABOUT 800 METERS FROM FREQUENT TRANSIT STOPS. IT IS STILL ACCURATE. WHEN WILL THE MAP BE MADE PUBLIC? WE WERE TOLD TO ASK QUESTIONS EARLIER. I HAVE BEEN ASKING SINCE OCTOBER FOR THE MAP. WHEN WILL THE MAP BE MADE AVAILABLE SHOWING THE 800-METER ZONE FOR THE GREATER HEIGHT LIMIT?

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: CERTAINLY I WILL INCLUDE THAT QUESTION WITH E-MAILS. I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THIS IF INDEED THE MOTION TO DELAY THE HEARING THREE MONTHS HAD ANY IMPACT ON THE TIMING OF OPEN HOUSING. I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER. I WILL GET CLARITY ON BOTH ITEMS. I WILL ADD AND THERE IS TALK ABOUT POSSIBILITY OF LIKELIHOOD OF FEDERAL ELECTION REMINDS ME THAT THE CURRENT LEADER OF OPPOSITION HAS BEEN VERY CLEAR AND CONSISTENT THAT HE AND IF HE FORMS GOVERNMENT WOULD WANT TO SEE MORE HOUSING BUILT IN CITIES FASTER. NOT SLOWER BUT FASTER. HE HAS CONSIDERED IN PAST ON RECORD TALKING ABOUT MAYBE EVEN PUTTING CONDITIONS ON THE COMMUNITY BUILDING FUND. THE GAS TAX. THAT IS A FUND NOW THAT HAS VERY LITTLE IF NO CONDITIONS ON IT. WE RELY UPON IT

AS EVERY MUNICIPALITY DOES. I THINK WE HAVE TO BE REALLY AWARE AS COUNCIL THAT BOTH CURRENT GOVERNMENT AND IDENTIFIED AS GOVERNMENT WAITING BOTH HAVE BEEN CLEAR THEY WANT CITIES TO BUILD MORE HOUSING FASTER AND SO I THINK THAT WHOEVER ULTIMATELY ENDS UP AS NEXT PRIME MINISTER OR TWO PRIME MINISTERS IN NEXT 12-14 MONTHS GETTING HOUSING BUILT IN CITIES QUICKLY IS AT THE TOP OF THEIR AGENDA.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: NOTES THE LEADER OF OPPOSITION SAID SCRAP HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND WHICH IS SOURCE OF FUNDING WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. CAN WE GET CLARITY IN THE E-MAIL. ONE ITEM COMING TO THE JUNE HEARING IS 20 TO 30 LOCAL AREA PLANS. 20 TO 30. GET A LIST WHAT THEY ARE. WHAT PROPOSED AMENDMENTS ARE. COUNCILLOR ALLARD IS FLAGGED ON THE FLOOR. THERE COULD BE POSTING REQUIREMENTS AROUND FRENCH LANGUAGE. THIS IS A CONCERN. WE DON'T KNOW THE 20-30 LOCAL AREA PLANS SUBJECT TO PUBLIC CONSULTATION AND HEARING TO BE HELD IN JUNE. CAN WE GET CLARITY WHAT THE LISTING OF PLANS WHAT IS THE LIST OF PLANS? WHAT IS PROPOSED AMENDMENT?

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WILL ASK STAFF TO COME BACK. I APPRECIATE THE COUNCILLOR NOTES THAT HE INDICATED SCRAP HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. THE DIRECT STREAM FROM FEDS TO MUNICIPALITIES. OTHER STREAM GOES THROUGH PROVINCES. MORAL OF THE STORY IN MY MIND WHEN THE WINDOW IS OPEN TO ACCESS FUNDING, WE JUMP THROUGH IT QUICKLY. THAT IS WHY I THINK WE NEED TO DO ALL WE CAN TO EXPEDITE IN EVERY POSSIBLE MANNER OUR OPPORTUNITY TO SECURE FUNDING FOR THE NORTH END PLANT. IF THERE IS AN ELECTION, WHEN THERE IS AN ELECTION, WE DON'T KNOW DETAILS. TONS OF PECK SLAYINGS. IT IS VERY, VERY LIKELY. IT IS VERY LIKELY TO HAVE A DIFFERENT GOVERNMENT. THAT IS NOT TODAY. THAT IS TOMORROW. WHAT I AM DOING TODAY AND COUNCIL DOING TODAY DRAW DOWN EVERY SINGLE DIME AVAILABLE TO THE CITY OF WINNIPEG TO FUND INFRASTRUCTURE. I WOULD ARGUE WE SHOULD DO NOTHING TO JEOPARDIZE OUR OPPORTUNITY TO SECURE WHAT MAY BE AVAILABLE TO US.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT CONCLUDES QUESTION PERIOD. COUNCILLOR WYATT. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. WE WILL COME BACK TO YOU COUNCILLOR WYATT. COUNCILOR ORLIKOW.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: I APPRECIATE MAYOR'S COMMENTS TODAY. WE APPLIED FOR $192 MILLION FOR HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. MAYOR'S NEGOTIATION RESULTED IN $122 MILLION. RESULTED IN CALLING PEOPLE THAT WOULD HAVE A SAY IN DEVELOPMENT OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. I GOT THAT. I UNDERSTAND WHERE HE IS COMING FROM. CAN HE EXPLAIN TO ME THAT WE AGREE FOR THINGS LIKE TO GET THE MONEY AHEAD OF TIME

BEFORE THE MONEY IS SECURED? THIS IS INCLUDING THINGS. [INAUDIBLE] IT REQUIRES TO WE AND THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AVOID PARKING RESTRICTIONING WHICH IS A CONCERN FOR NEIGHBORHOODS TO CAP THE MONEY ON THE TRANSIT FUND. WHERE ARE WE AT? IT SEEMS WE WITH -- WE ARE -- WHERE IS THE MAYOR SAYING MONEY IS IMPORTANT. I DON'T DISAGREE. WHERE ARE WE LINED UP OH, YEAH, WE DON'T DISAGREE WE NEED MONEY. WHERE ARE WE DECIDING THAT THE PUBLIC INTEREST FOR WINNIPEGGERS MAY BE NOT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PREROGATIVE TO TIE FEDERAL MONEY THINGS THAT ARE MUNICIPAL ISSUES? I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THAT. I AM CONCERNED WE WILL ACQUIESCE FOR THE CASH THAT WILL HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT ON SOME NEIGHBORHOODS.

MADAM SPEAKER: SPECIFIC QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: WHERE THE MAYOR STANDS ON THAT.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: FIRST OF ALL, I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS REMIND US AGAIN COUNCILLOR MAYES AND I ARE GOING BACK AND FORTH ON. THERE IS A PUBLIC HEARING ON THE NEXT ASPECT OF THIS FUNDING. THERE IS PUBLIC INPUT. NO ACQUIESCING. PUBLIC INPUT ON FOURS AND FOURS HEARING PROCESS WHERE COUNCILLORS AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC CAN SHAPE. NOT EVERY CITY GOT EVERYTHING THEY WANTS OR APPLIED FOR. I REMEMBER WHEN GEAR WAS HERE AND TOLD US SHE WAS AGGRESSIVE IN HER APPLICATION. IF WE WOULD HAVE GOT $192 MILLION, I WOULD HAVE BEEN SHOCKED. THERE IS ALWAYS NEGOTIATION BETWEEN CITY OF WINNIPEG AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AS THEY NEGOTIATE ON THIS HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND. AS IT COMES TO NEXT STEPS AND FUTURE TRAUNCH OF FUNDING WE REQUIRE PUBLIC INPUT. EVERY NEIGHBORHOOD CAN HAVE THEIR SAY. PUBLIC HEARING. WE CONTINUE TO NEGOTIATE. WE DON'T ROLL OVER AND SHOW OUR BELLY TO SAY WHATEVER YOU WANT YOU GO AHEAD AND DO. WE DON'T DO THAT. I CAN ASSURE YOU OF THAT TODAY. I WILL MAKE THIS COMMITMENT WHEN IT COMES TO THINGS LIKE APPLYING FOR AND SEEKING ACCESS TO TRANS IT FUNDING FROM FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I WILL DO MY BEST TO TALK TO KEEP COUNCIL INFORMED ON THESE THINGS. WE HAVE HAD HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND INFORMATION AND REPORTING THAT HAVE FLOWN FROM OUR STAFF TO COUNCIL AS WELL. IF THERE IS INFORMATION PEOPLE ARE SEEKING, PLEASE, I WILL DO WHAT I CAN TO PROVIDE IT TO YOU AND TO PROVIDE IT TO PEOPLE. THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: DOES THE MAYOR AGREE WE SHOULD ACCEPT REGARDLESS OF NOT MUCH MONEY FROM TRANSIT FUNDING TO WAIVE PARKING MINIMUMS TO RECEIVE THE TRANSIT FUNDING? WHAT IS HIS POSITION?

MADAM SPEAKER: CAN YOU RESTATE THE QUESTION? IT IS DIFFICULT TO HEAR.

COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW: WE KNOW TRANSIT FUNDING PROPOSED BY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT REQUIRES THAT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WAIVE PARKING RESTRICTIONS FROM 800 METERS FROM TRANSIT LINES. DOES THE MAYOR HAVE A POSITION ON THAT OR IS IT MORE IMPORTANT TO GIVE THE MONEY FOR TRANSIT?

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I HAVE NOT LOOKED AT THAT. GOOD QUESTION. MY FOCUS IS ON THE NORTH END FUNDING. THAT IS THE FOCUS OF DIALOGUES. I HAVE NOT LOOKED INTO DETAILS OF TRANSIT FUNDING APPLICATION. I WILL. THANK THE COUNCILLOR FOR HIS QUESTION.

MADAM SPEAKER: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: DID THE MAYOR FOR WHAT HE IS ABLE TO SPEAK TO AND IF HE NEEDS TO DELEGATE TO THE CHAIR OF POLICE BOARD SOMETIMES THE QUESTION PERIOD IS DELEGATED THAT WAY. SHED LIGHT IN TERMS OF POSITION WE ARE AT IN TERMS HIRING OF NEW CHIEF OF POLICE. I AM GETTING QUESTIONS. WHEN WILL WE HAVE CHIEF OF POLICE? TO SHED LIGHT ON WHERE WE ARE. WE HAVE BEEN READING IN THE NEWSPAPERS AND ONLINE AND CBC. I DON'T WANT TO BELIEVE WHAT I READ. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR WHERE WE ARE AT IN TERMS OF THAT PROCESS RIGHT NOW.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WAS THINKING ABOUT THE FACT I WILL LOOK INTO TRANSIT FUNDING REQUIREMENT. I DID RUN ON COMMITMENT TO WAIVING PARKING MINIMUMS ON CORRIDORS. I KNOW YOU ARE TALKING 800 METERS. ON CORRIDORS WHICH WE APPROVES MALLS AND CORRIDORS RECENTLY. I WILL GET MORE DETAILS ON THAT. THROUGH YOU, COUNCILLOR, MADAM SPEAKER TO COUNCILLOR WYATT. I AM THE MAYOR AND IT IS ON THE BOARD. I AM NOT THE SPOKESPERSON FOR THE BOARD. I WILL ECHO WHAT THE BOARD CHAIR SAID CONSISTENTLY. PROCESS IN PLACE. IN THE PROCESS IN DECEMBER WE AND I THINK EVERYONE IN THE CHAMBER GOT INFORMATION. BOARD IS TAKING EVERY BIT OF INFORMATION SERIOUSLY. IS FOLLOWING THE PROCESS AND TAKING INFORMATION SERIOUSLY. ONCE THE PROCESS IS COMPLETE BOARD WILL HAVE ANNOUNCEMENT. THAT IS WHAT THE CHAIR SAID, SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT.

MADAM SPEAKER: NEXT QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: HIRING FIRM REHIRED FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONCLUDING HIRING OR HAS THAT BEEN NOW DELEGATED TO THE LAW FIRM THAT HAS BEEN HIRED. EXTERNAL LAW FIRM, JUST TO GET CLARITY.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I THINK COUNCIL IS ASKING HR RECRUITMENT QUESTION I AM NOT PREPARED TO ANSWER.

MADAM SPEAKER: FINAL QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: WHEN THE HIRING IS MADE WITH THE MAYOR OR THE BOARD BE PREPARED TO RELEASE INFORMATION PERTAINING TO HIRING AND COSTS INVOLVED, IE CONTRACT COSTS LEGAL OR AND/OR HIRING OF THE HIRING FIRM SINCE THIS WOULD BE PUBLIC COSTS NONETHELESS?

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THE BOARD FALLS UNDER THE CITY OF WINNIPEG CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DETAILS ARE PART OF THE CITY'S DOCUMENTS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYS.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: I APPRECIATE THE COMMENTS ABOUT JUMPING THROUGH WINDOWS AND ACCESSING FUNDING. FOR CLARITY OBVIOUSLY WE CAN'T GET HOUSING ACCELERATOR ADDITIONAL MONEY UNTIL 2026. WE KNOW THAT. ANNOUNCEMENT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WITH NO LEGAL EFFECT. THAT WAS FOR THE OTHER FUND CHF THAT STARTS IN 26 AND EXTENDS FOUR YEARS. IF WE ARE GOING TO ENGAGE IN THE BIGGEST RE-ZONING IN THE CITY'S HISTORY I WANT THE PUBLIC TO BE AWARE. NONE OF THE FUNDING IS AT THIS STAGE SET TO FLOW BEFORE THE 2025 FEDERAL ELECTION, IS THAT CORRECT?

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: WHAT I HAVE READ HERE IS THAT REALLY WHETHER WE AGREE OR NOT. FUNDS THAT COUNCILLOR HAS IDENTIFIED FLOWING IN 2026 APPEAR TO HAVE CONDITIONS IN 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: FURTHER QUESTIONS? SEEING NONE THAT CONCLUDES QUESTION PERIOD. BACK TO THE LAST E.P.C. REPORT SECTION. ITEM 13. MULTI-FAMILY SUSTAINABLE HOUSING INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMME. WE WILL HAVE A MOTION TO AMEND THE MAP SHORTLY WHEN WE DEAL WITH BY-LAW SECTION.

WE HAVE BEEN CLEAR ABOUT MAKING ITEMS AVAILABLE TO WHOLE OF COUNCIL. WE

HAVE A MAP. MISTAKE. NOT ALL OF US ARE ABLE TO SEE SAID MAP. I HAVE DONE WORK WITH THE DEPARTMENT ON EXPLAINING WHY THIS IS SUCH A PROBLEM.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: I DON'T SEE DESCRIPTION HERE EITHER. PERHAPS THERE IS ONE AVAILABLE.

MADAM SPEAKER: WE WILL GET INTO THAT SHORTLY. IT IS A TITLING ISSUE, I BELIEVE. NOT SO MUCH TO DO WITH AREA OF THE MAP. PARDON ME. YES, CIRCULATED. MOTION THREE. WE WILL DEAL WITH THAT IN BY-LAW SECTION. WE WERE AT POINT WHERE THE MAYOR WAS CLOSING ON THIS ITEM.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I WONDER HOW WE HANDLE THAT. DO I JUST WAIT AND INTRODUCE AMENDING MOTION NOW?

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT IS WITH BY-LAWS. IT IS PREPARE WITH BY-LAWS SECTION.

CLERK: THE MAP SHOULD BE CALLED KILDONAN. THERE IS AN AMENDING MOTION FOR BY-LAW PREPARED AND DISTRIBUTED WHICH CHANGES NAME TO JUST KILDONAN. THIS IS JUST A CORRECTING AN ERROR. MOTION WOULD BE DONE JUST BEFORE WE GET INTO THE BYLAWS. WHEN YOU SPEAK TO THE ITEM BEFORE THE BY-LAW.

MADAM SPEAKER: WE WERE STILL AT SPEAKING TIME. MAYOR HASN'T CLOSED YET. COUNCILOR SCHREYER I DON'T KNOW IF YOU SPOKE TO THIS ITEM. JUST A MOMENT. THE MAYOR. COUNCILLOR WYATT SPOKE, COUNCILLOR MAYES. .

IT IS MOTION TO BUY LAW. MAY WE SPEAK ON THE MAIN MOTION ON THE FLOOR?

MADAM SPEAKER: ITEM 13.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: IN CONFERENCE RIGHT NOW WITH COUNCILLOR WYATT. WE BELIEVED IT DID NOT SAY KILDONAN MORE TO THE WEST SIDE OF THE RED RIVER OVER THE DECADES. I CAN EXPLAIN THAT. HAVING SAID THAT WE THOUGHT IT SAID IN THE BRIEFINGS KILDONAN PLACE. I WANT THAT IN CASE ANYONE FROM ADMINISTRATION IS LISTENING. SINCE COUNCILLOR WYATT PAID ATTENTION TO BRING BACK TO THE FLOOR OF COUNCIL THAT IN FACT IS THE INTENT OF ADMINISTRATION SEEING WE THOUGHT IT SAID KILDONAN PLACE. THAT IS MY POINT? THERE IS NOTHING NAMED KILDONAN ON THIS SIDE OF THE RIVER SINCE PETER FOX WAS MLA FOR KILDONAN BACK IN THE 1980s. ON THAT BASIS THE WORD KILDONAN NOW REFERS TO THE FELLOW COUNCILLOR BROWATY AND WYATT MIGHT HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY ABOUT THAT. WITH THE CONSTITUENT CITY OF KILDONAN IS EXCLUSIVE LEO THE WEST SIDE OF THE RIVER. THE FACT IT SAYS KILDONAN PARK MAKES ME ADD TO MY BELIEF THAT IT SAID KILDONAN PLACE.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES OR NO COUNCILLOR WYATT IT MEETS INTENT WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO? YOUR NAME IS ON THE MOTION FOR LATER. NOT SPEAKING TIME.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I AM TAKING ADVICE OF ADMINISTRATION. THEY MEANT TO CALL IT KILDONAN. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER'S POINT. FOR US ON NORTHEAST SIDE OF THE RIVER. KILDONAN WHEN YOU SAY JUST KILDONAN THAT IMPLIES KILDONAN PARK, WEST KILDONAN.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT IS YOUR NAME ON THE MOTION.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I WILL SUPPORT WHAT IT SAYS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I AM GLAD COUNCILLOR WYATT FOUND THE ERROR IN THE NAMING. WE ARE NOT BUILDING HOUSING IN KILDONAN PARK. MADAM SPEAKER WHEN I HEARD WHERE IN KILDONAN WHERE? NORTH, EAST, WEST, OLD KILDONAN? IT SEEMS ON THE MAP WITH THE WORD KILDONAN IT IS NEAR PUBLIC ROAD NORTH OF PUBLIC ROAD TRADITIONALLY BEEN NORTH KILDONAN AROUND THE DRIVE ALL THE WAY UP TO KNOLLS EXTENSION. NORTH KILDONAN. AS FAR SOUTH. PUBLIC ROAD IS SLIGHTLY NORTH OF CONCORDIA. SOMEBODY CAN HELP ME OUT. WHERE THIS KILDONAN WORD IS SITUATED IS NOTER OF KILDONAN PLACE IN THAT AREA AROUND THE DRIVE UP TO KNOLLS. IT IS EAST. VERY CONFUSING. I AM GLAD THE WORD PARK IS NOT THERE. YOU ARE GOING TO CONFUSE PEOPLE IN THE FUTURE. WHAT DOES THAT BY-LAW KILDONAN. WHAT? I AM LEAVING IT AT THAT. THE.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR TO CLOSE. ITEM 13 ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. NOW TO THE BY-LAW SECTION. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I MOVE BY-LAW NO. 2 OF 25 BE READ FIRST TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAW NO. 2 OF 2025.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: BY-LAWS 74, 86, 122 OF 2024 BE READ SECOND TIME.

74, 86 AND 142 OF 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: NOW THE MOTION BEFORE US MOTION 3. WE ARE GOING TO VOTE ON

THAT. CLEARING UP THE MAP. COUNCILLOR WYATT TAKE YOUR SEAT. MOTION THREE CIRCULATED IN PAPER COPY MOVED BY WYATT SECOND BY DUNCAN IN FLAVOR. THAT IS CARRIED. COUNCILLOR EADIE IN OPPOSITION. NEXT SET OF BY-LAWS MR. MAYOR AS AMENDED.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: CLARITY I MOVE FOLLOWING BY-LAWS FIRST TIME. 1-2025 AS AMENDED AND 9 OF 2025.

IN FAVOR, CARRIED.

CLERK: 1 AS AMENDED AND 9 OF 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR. MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I MOVE BY-LAWS 1 OF 2025 AND 9 OF 2025 BE READ SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: IN FAVOR. CONTRARY.

CLERK: 1 OF 25 AND 9 OF 25.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: RULE BE SUSPENDED 1 AS AMENDED AND 9 BE READ THIRD TIME AND PASSED TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED.

MADAM SPEAKER: IN FAVOR. THAT IS CARRIED. THANK YOU. NEXT SECTION. STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON WATER AND WASTE AND ENVIRONMENT. COUNCILLOR EADIE. REPORT DATED JANUARY 14.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I RISE TO MOVE THE CONSENT AGENDA OF THE WATER, WASTE AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE MEETING OF THE SAID DATE. REPORT ITEM 1.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOR OF THAT ITEM. CONTRARY. CARRIED. THERE IS NO MOTIONS. NO BY-LAWS TODAY. ANY QUESTIONS FOR OUR CHAIR. COUNCILLOR EADIE? MR. MAYOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: WELCOME COUNCILLOR EADIE TO HIS ROLE. THANK YOU FOR TAKING ON CHAIR OF WATER AND WASTE AND IN GENERAL. TELL US WHAT HIS PRIORITIES OF THIS NEW ROLE WILL BE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: THANK YOU. THROUGH YOU TO THE MAYOR, MADAM SPEAKER. WELL, WE DO HAVE SOME VERY PRIORITIES TO TRY TO ENSURE ALL OF THESE MAJOR

CHANGES WE HAVE COMING SOME SOLID WASTE CHANGES AND WE HAVE MANY DIFFERENT REPORTS. MY MAIN FOCUS IS TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT, MADAM SPEAKER HOW WE KEEP THINGS AFFORDABLE FOR WINNIPEGGERS WHO ARE ACTUALLY PAYING FOR ALL OF THIS. WHETHER IT IS THROUGH FEDERAL, PROVINCIAL, INCOME TAXES OR SALES OR PROPERTY TAXES. ESSENTIALLY THESE ARE IMPORTANT SERVICES WE NEED. WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO DO IT MOST AFFORDABLE WAY FOR WINNIPEGGERS. THAT IS PRIORITY. I WANT TO SAY THAT I LOOKED WHEN I ACCEPTED THE APPOINTMENT, MADAM SPEAKER, I ALSO NOTED THE TALENT THAT WAS ON MY COLLEAGUES THAT ARE ON THAT COMMITTEE. I THOUGHT, WOW, THAT IS A GOOD TEAM. WE HAVE COUNCILLOR MAYES AND ROLLINS AND COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. MADAM SPEAKER, I WILL BE WORKING ALONG WITH THEM BECAUSE I KNOW THAT THEY HAVE IN MIND WHAT I DO IN TERMS OF TRYING TO DELIVER FOR THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND OUR RESIDENTS. I WILL BE WORKING WITH THEM AS MUCH AS I CAN. I THINK THAT IS IT, MADAM SPEAKER. I AM STILL IN SHOCK. I APPRECIATE, MADAM SPEAKER, THAT I HAVE BEEN GIVEN THIS ROLE. I KNOW THAT I AM READY I KNOW THIS FILE QUITE WELL. I HAVE BEEN ON THE STANDING COMMITTEE. I HAVE WORKED DILIGENTLY IN THESE AREAS AND BEEN IMMERSED IN IT. THIS IS MY 15TH YEAR, LIKE YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I HAVE TO SAY THAT ITEN DIDN'T TAKE ME LONG TO FIGURE OUT THINGS. ONE OF THE BIGGER CHALLENGES BESIDES MAJOR PROJECTS TO DEAL WITH, MADAM SPEAKER, IS JUST HOW WE CAN WORK ON THE ENVIRONMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE MEETING THE NEEDS, AS COUNCILLOR ALLARD PUT IT TODAY, NEEDS FOR US NOT TO OVER POLLUTE AND DESTROY. I THINK THOSE THINGS ARE VERY IMPORTANT AS WELL. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: MR. MAYOR NEXT QUESTION.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU AND I APPRECIATE COUNCILLOR AND WORKING TO MAINTAIN AFFORDABILITY IS CRITICAL. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR MAYES FOLLOWED BY COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: CONGRATULAT IONS. IF THE MAYOR IS RAISING SOMETHING. I WOULD ASK COUNCILLOR EADIE IF HE CAN AT SOME POINT TAKE UNDER ADVISEMENT GET BACK TO US WHEN NEXT THE ADMINISTRATION IS GOING TO MARKET FOR GARBAGE AND RECYCLE PICK UP. THAT OCCURS ROUGHLY EVERY SEVEN YEARS. THE GROUP IN THE LAST TERM NEVER SAW THIS ISSUE. IT COMES UP VERY RARELY. THERE WAS A TON OF LITIGATION OUT OF THE LAST GO AROUND. GRIEVANCES AND SETTLEMENTS AND ON AND ON. YOU HAVE BEEN A GOOD VOICE ON BRINGING BULKY WASTE IN HOUSE. FOR THE SHORT TERM WHEN IS THE ADMINISTRATION GOING OUT TO MARKET, LOOK FORBIDS ON GARBAGE AND RECYCLE. BEFORE THEY DO WE CAN DEAL WITH ISSUES THIS TIME. LAST TIME WE ENDED UP IN TON OF LITIGATION. IF HE COULD LET US KNOW. TAKE THAT UNDER ADVICEMENT AND LET US KNOW WHEN THE ADMIN PLANS TO GO TO MARKET.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING CHANGES TO HOW RECYCLING WILL WORK WITH PROVINCIAL LEGISLATION THAT THE CURRENT GOVERNMENT IS CONSIDERING PASSING. I WILL BE PAYING ATTENTION. WE DO KNOW WHEN WE EVENTUALLY GO TO CURB SIDE COMPOSTING THAT THERE WILL BE CONTRACTING THAT WILL HAVE TO BE RENEWED. THANKS.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I WANTED TO RISE AND CONGRATULATION COUNCILLOR EADIE. HE WILL DO EXCELLENT JOB AS CHAIR OF COMMITTEE. PARTLY WHERE THE MAYOR LEFT OFF IN TERMS OF WATER AND WASTE AND NORTH END TREATMENT PLANT. WE OFTEN FALL BACK. WE THINK CHAIRS OF COMMITTEE MINISTERIAL. THE CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE IN THE EVENT CHALLENGES WITH THE PROJECT BECOME LARGE THAT IT IS A RESPONSIBILITY OF ALL OF COUNCIL NOT JUST CHAIR THAT IS THERE. I WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR THE BUCK THAT IT IS NOT FALLING ON ONE PERSON AS UNFAIR THAT WOULD BE BECAUSE IT IS NOT A MINISTERIAL SYSTEM. I THANK COUNCILLOR EADIE. HE WILL DO AN EXCELLENT JOB. THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I WILL RISE TO THE COMMENT. WHEN I TOOK THE JOB I KNEW IT WAS MORE THAN JUST ME. I HAVE BEEN ON THIS COUNCIL FOR 15 YEARS, AS I MENTIONED. I HAVE BEEN ON ALL SIDES. WE HAVE TO RESPECT PUBLIC SERVANTS AND WORK WITH THEM AS WE MOVE AHEAD WITH THESE IMPORTANT PROJECTS. NOT JUST US GOVERNANCE COUNCIL. IT IS ALL OF US THAT HAVE TO WORK TOGETHER. I CAN TELL YOU RIGHT NOW THAT I WILL HAVE BEEN ON THIS FOR A WEEK AND I CAN TELL YOU THAT THERE IS A LOT OF PEOPLE WORKING TO MAKE SURE THINGS ARE AFFORDABLE AND MAKING SURE THAT THESE DON'T GO SIDEWAYS AND NOT JUST ONE PERSON. ABSOLUTELY. WE ARE GOVERNANCE AT THIS LEVEL. WE HAVE TO LOOK AT HOW THINGS GO FOR OUR PUBLIC SERVANTS. THEY ARE WORKING VERY HARD. I KNOW THAT. WE ASK THEM TO WORK FOR US. WE ASK THEM AND WE CHALLENGE THEM. THEY COME BACK. WE ARE SHOWING GREAT RESPECT FOR OUR CORPORATION AND THE PUBLIC SERVANTS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT CONCLUDES QUESTION PERIOD UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. NEXT IS STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY SERVICES. CHAIR SANTOS.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: I INTRODUCE REPORT OF STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE DATED JANUARY 10, 2025 AND MOVE ITEMS 1 AND 2 AND I AM PULLING 3 BECAUSE THERE IS AN AMENDMENT ON THE FLOOR.

MADAM SPEAKER: 3 IS VIDEO CAMERA ENFORCEMENT. 2 IS PULLED. USER AGREEMENT BETWEEN SWIM AND MANITOBA. QUESTION ON ITEM ONE IN FAVOR. CARRIED. MR.

CLERK.

CLERK: ITEM 2. USER AGREEMENT BETWEEN SWIM AND MANITOBA INC. AND CITY OF WINNIPEG.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SANTOS.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: THIS IS USER AGREEMENT THAT HAS BEEN MADE BETWEEN THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND SWIM MANITOBA. IT IS A 20 YEAR USER AGREEMENT. BASICALLY NEAR EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE TRAINING TANK WHICH IS LOCATED AT PAN AM POOL. THE COUNCILLOR DID IT IS ON THE COMMITTEE WHEN WE WERE DISCUSSING IT AND HAD REQUESTED THE PUBLIC SERVICE TO GO BACK AND CONTINUE WITH NEGOTIATIONS. HERE WE ARE TODAY. TERMS AND CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN ADDED. I THINK WHAT HAS BEEN REALLY GOOD TO NOTE IS THAT EVERY YEAR THERE WILL BE AN INCREASE THAT IS APPROPRIATE TO THE CPI INDEX. THAT IS WHAT IS AGREED ON AS WELL AS SOME EXCLUSIVE SHARED ACCESS TO OFFICE, STORAGE AND TRAINING SPACES. SOMETHING NEW IS THAT A RESERVE ACCOUNT IS GOING TO BE CREATED BY SWIM MANITOBA. THAT ANY REVENUES OR INCREASED REVENUES THAT HAVE BEEN GENERATED BY SWIM IS GOING TO GO TO THIS RESERVE ACCOUNT TO BE USED FOR MAINTENANCE IF REQUIRED FOR ANY FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS TO THIS PAN AM SWIM TANK. THAT IS SOMETHING NEW AND SOMETHING TO BE PROUD OF. WE WANT TO NOTE THE CONTRIBUTION. THAT IS WHAT THIS REPORT IS ABOUT.

MADAM SPEAKER: ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: I STOOD THIS DOWN. WE ARE INVOLVED WITH THE STANDING COMMITTEE THERE BEFORE US. I STAND IT DOWN TO MAKE A FEW COMMENTS. PLEASED TO SEE THE USER AGREEMENT HAS COME FORWARD. I WILL SAY THAT ONE OF THE CHALLENGES WE AS CITY HAVE HAD WHEN APPROACHES NOT-FOR-PROFIT GROUPS. TOO MUCH I SENSE AND I COULD BE US IT IS US VERSUS THEM. WE ARE ON THE SAME TEAM. THEY ARE OFFERING SERVICES TO CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG IN OUR FACILITIES. QUITE OFTEN OFFERING SERVICES WE OURSELVES ARE INCAPABLE OF OFFERING OR NOT OFFERING IN TERMS OF RECREATION IN THIS CASE. I THINK WE HAVE TO HAVE THE APPROACH, MADAM SPEAKER, MORE SO WE NEED TO WORK IN A COOPERATIVE FASHION TO FIND SOLUTIONS VERSUS US VERSUS THEM APPROACH. THAT IS ONE OF THE CHALLENGES WE STILL HAVE A CITY. WHAT I FIND INTERESTING THIS IS USER AGREEMENT. NOT CALLED LEASE AGREEMENT. WE HAVE THIS INTERESTING DICHOTOMY WITH LEASE AGREEMENT GOES WITH NOT FOR PROPERTY GROUPS USING FACILITY ALSO. TALK TO REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT WHO OVERSEES THE LEASE AGREEMENTS. THEY WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO GET THIS OFF THEIR WORKLOAD BECAUSE THEY ARE VERY TIME CONSUMING. NOT REALLY REVENUE GENERATING VEHICLES. THEY ARE REALLY MORE GEARED TOWARDS

USER AGREEMENTS. ANYTHING TO DO SIMPLIFY THE USER AGREEMENT PROCESS WOULD BE POSITIVE. WE WERE CONCERNED HOW TO SIMPLIFY THE PROCESS. I GO BACK AND REALIZE THIS WAS A DIFFERENT TIME. 20, 30 YEARS WHEN WE HAD USER AGREEMENTS TWO PAGES LONG. WITH COMMUNITY GROUP. NOW I REALIZE THIS IS A LARGER COMPLEX, MORE ISSUES AT STAKE. NOT ALL WILL FIT THE SAME. REALITY THERE ARE THINGS WE COULD POSSIBLY DO TO MAKE THE PROCESS MORE STREAMLINED, SIMPLER FOR NOT-FOR-PROFIT GROUPS RATHER THAN HAVING ISSUES COME UP WHERE IT TAKES SO LONG TO GET THIS BACK TO COUNCIL. THIS HAS TAKEN A LONG TIME. THIS IS THAT KIND OF CUSTOMER FRIENDLY APPROACH WE NEED TO HAVE WITH NOT FOR PROFIT GROUPS. AS A FORMER PERSON IN INSURANCE I HAVE NOT UNDERSTOOD WHY WE CANNOT ADD NOT-FOR-PROFIT GROUPS UNDER GLOBAL INSURANCE POLICY SAVING THEM THOUSANDS, TENS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN THIRD-PARTY LIABILITY COSTS. ESPECIALLY IF THEY HAVE A PROVEN TRACK RECORD WHEN IT COMES TO THEIR INSURANCE HISTORY AND RISK HISTORY. THERE ARE STEPS WE NEED TO TAKE IN TERMS OF THESE USER AGREEMENTS TO MAKE THE SYSTEM FAR MORE USER FRIENDLY WHEN IT COMES TO US APPROACHING NOT-FOR-PROFIT GROUPS. THEIR INTENT IS VERY POSITIVE. CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE COMMUNITY IS VERY POSITIVE. THEY ARE DOING AND OFFERING SERVICES ABOVE AND BEYOND WHAT THE CITY IS ABLE TO OFFER FINANCIALLY. THEREFORE WE SHOULD FIND IT TO MAKE THE PROCESS AS STREAMLINE AND SIMPLE AS POSSIBLE FOR ALL GROUPS. IF THERE IS A WAY TO MOVE AWAY FROM LEASE AGREEMENTS WITH SO MANY DAYCARES AND GROUPS USING CITY FACILITIES SIMPLIFY THE USER AGREEMENT SO THAT IT IS USER FRIENDLY. THAT WOULD BE A WONDERFUL STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION TO MAKE US MORE CUSTOMER SERVICE ORIENTED AND MORE, GETTING AWAY FROM MENTALITY US VERSUS THEM. WE ARE ON THE SAME TIME. TRYING TO OFFER MUNICIPAL SERVICES. FACILITIES ARE UNDERUTILIZED. COMMUNITY GROUPS ARE THERE DECADES ENSURING THE FACILITIES ARE USED PROACTIVELY. THEIR SERVICES ARE OFFERED IN THE COMMUNITY THAT MAY NOT BE THERE. MANY OF YOU ON COUNCIL KNOW THOSE GROUPS USING THE FACILITIES WE ARE NOT OFFERING SERVICES. NOT-FOR-PROFIT GROUP IS. I WANTED TO MAKE COMMENTS ABOUT THIS. THIS HAS TAKEN A LONG TIME TO GET HERE. IT SPEAKS TO NEED TO SIMPLIFY THE PROCESS AND I THINK THERE IS A REAL OPPORTUNITY TO MOVE PAY LOT OF THE STUFF THAT REAL ESTATE HAS TO DEAL WITH TOWARDS USER AGREEMENT IF WE CAN MAKE THAT STREAMLINED AND MORE FAIR AND USER FRIENDLY. I WANT TO THANK THE PUBLIC SERVICE THEY WORKED VERY HARD TO GET IT TO THIS POINT. I CONGRATULATE MANITOBA FOR THE NEW AGREEMENT AND HOPEFULLY THE OBLIGATIONS ARE NOT TOO DEAR THAT WE HAVE TO REVISIT THIS IN THE FUTURE. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SANTOS OR I CAN CALL THE QUESTION.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: NO OTHER KEPTS? I AM GOOD. COMMENTS NOTED. I APPRECIATE HIS FEEDBACK.

MADAM SPEAKER: VOTE ON ITEM 2. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. THAT IS CARRIED. ITEM 3, MR. CLERK.

CLERK: VIDEO CAMERA ENFORCEMENT FOR ILLEGAL GARBAGE DUMPING.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SANTOS AND COUNCILLOR EADIE WHO HAS CIRCULATED AMENDING MOTION.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: I WANT TO THANK COUNCILLOR EADIE FOR COMING UP TO ME TODAY SAYING HE WANTED CLARITY ON THE CURRENT MOTION WHICH I AM SUPPORTIVE. DURING THE COMMITTEE MEETING WE HAD DISCUSSED ABOUT THE RENEWED VIDEO CAMERA ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMME TO BE REESTABLISHED. HE WAS LOOKING FOR COSTS. WE FLUSHED THROUGH THE COMMITTEE. ORIGINALLY $150,000 BACK IN 2018. THOSE COSTS HAVE NOW INCREASED TO $175,000. THEN WE HAD WINSTON COME IN AND TALK ABOUT HOW THE CAMRAS WERE SITUATED AND WHERE AND GREETINGS WERE NEED TO BE MADE WITH HYDRO. THEY ARE NOT AGAINST DOING THIS ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMME. I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO LOOK AT THE DATA FIRST AND ENSURE WHAT THE TOTAL BUDGET WAS FOR ENFORCEMENT AND REMEDIATION OF ILLEGAL GARBAGE DUMPING AROUND THE CITY. AMENDMENT BREAKS IT DOWN. COUNCILLOR EADIE WILL BREAKDOWN BETWEEN LANES, PARKS, SIDEWALKS, EVEN PROPERTIES IN BETWEEN RESIDENTIAL HOMES GETTING ILLEGALLY DUMPED ITEMS ON THERE AND PRIVATE PROPERTY.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR EADIE.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I UNDERSTAND THERE IS A COST OF THE VIDEO CAMERA THAT HAS GONE UP. TECHNOLOGY IS BETTER AND USES LESS ELECTRICITY. THAT AS SIDE. RECOMMENDATION FROM THE STANDING COMMITTEE IS FINE WITH ME IN A WAY. I KNOW THAT SOLID WASTE HAS BEEN DOING A GREAT JOB. THEY ARE SPENDING MONEY BUT DOING A GREAT JOB CLEANING THE BACK LANES THAT WE KNOW EVERY YEAR IN DANIEL MACK AND POINT DOUGLAS AND MYNARSKI. WE KNOW PEOPLE ARE DUMPING STUFF. THERE ARE PEOPLE GOING THROUGH GARBAGE. A LOT OF DUMPING FROM BLOCK OVER OR ANOTHER NEIGHBORHOOD OR ANOTHER WARD. PEOPLE ARE TOO LAZY DON'T WANT TO GO TO BRADY TO GET RID OF BULK WASTE. JUST TO CLARIFY. I WANT TO CLARIFY. CITY IS SPENDING MONEY TO CLEAN UP PUBLIC PROPERTIES. WHETHER IT IS PUBLIC PARKS AND OPEN SPACES OR PUBLIC WORKS OR SOLID WASTE. WE KNOW THE CITY IS PUTTING A LOT OF MONEY. WE WILL FIND OUT HOW MUCH ALTOGETHER THROUGH A. B, RECOMMENDATION I WANT TO BE SPLIT UP. I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO REFLECT PROPERTY OWNERS. NOT THEIR GARBAGE, NOT THEIR STUFF. WE SEND BY-LAW ENFORCEMENT THERE AND ORDER THEM WHETHER THEY HAVE MONEY OR NOT. ORDER

THEM TO CLEAN IT UP. THEY NEVER CREATED IT. THEY ARE A VICTIM. WE NEED TO TRY TO DO SOMETHING TO HELP THOSE VICTIMS TO PREVENT IT. NOW, I WILL POINT OUT THAT THE BIDS THROUGH THE FUNDING THROUGH MYNARSKI OFFICE THEY ARE GOING TO TRY PILOT PROJECT. WE WILL SEE HOW IT GOES. IF IT DOES PREVENT PRIVATE PROPERTY. IF IT DOES WORK THAT IS THE NEXT THING TO BRING DOWN THE PIKE, MADAM SPEAKER. WE NEED TO RESOLVE THIS ISSUE. I WILL AGAIN EXPLAIN JUST ONE SMALL RESTAURANT IN THE NORTH END. SALTER AND ABERDEEN. THEY TAKE CARE OF THEIR GARBAGE. THEY HAVE A BIN THAT IS LOCKED. THEY TAKE CARE OF IT. THEY NEED PARKING FOR CUSTOMERS THAT COME. BUT THERE IS DUMPING. PEOPLE COME DRIVING DOWN SALTER. LOOK, WE WILL DUMP OUR BULK WASTE HERE. NOBODY WANTS TO PICK IT UP. THEY HAVE A CITY SERVICE. THEY WON'T PICK IT UP BECAUSE THE BULK WASTE IS AROUND IT. THEY CAN'T GET THE TRUCK INTO IT. THAT'S NOT THE CITY CONTRACT TO MOVE THE BULK WASTE, RIGHT? HERE WE ARE WITH A PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNER TRYING TO MAKE ENDS MEET, TRYING TO MAKE A LIVING. IT IS A FAMILY LIVING AT LUDA'S RESTAURANT. SHE IS GOING TO BUILD A FENCE NOW AND HOPEFULLY PEOPLE DON'T KICK IT DOWN. SHE DOESN'T HAVE A LOT OF MONEY. SHE IS NOT ALLOWED TO BUILD 7-FOOT FENCE THAT WORKS ON SALTER PROBABLY. I DON'T KNOW. ISSUES THERE. SHE IS JUST TRYING TO PROTECT HER PROPERTY. WE NEED TO TAKE CARE OF PEOPLE WHOSE PRIVATE PROPERTY IS ABUSED BY OTHERS DUMPING THEIR GARBAGE. GOOD TO KNOW WHAT KIND OF NUMBERS WE TALK ABOUT SO YOU CAN SEE HOW BAD IT REALLY IS. I KNOW THE CITY OWNS PRIVATE PROPERTY THROUGH TAX SALE. THEY HAD TO PAY. I DON'T KNOW IF WE HAD TO ISSUE AN ORDER AGAINST REAL ESTATE OR NOT. IT IS GETTING MATTRESSES AND STUFF DUMPED ON IT. IT IS A MESS. WE HAVE THE ORDERING TO GET IT OUT OF THERE BECAUSE IT MAKES THE NEIGHBORHOOD LOOK AWFUL. THANKS FOR ACCEPTING MY MOTION TO THE CHAIRPERSON. LET'S FIND OUT REALLY HOW BAD IT REALLY IS. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SANTOS TO CLOSE. CALL THE QUESTION ON AMENDING MOTION 2. IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. THE MAIN ITEM AS AMENDED ALL IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. WE WILL MOVE INTO BY-LAWS UNDER THIS COMMITTEE.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: ONE MORE REPORT. I MOVE REPORT OF STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE DATED JANUARY 15, 2025. MOVE ADOPTION AND CONSENT AGENDA ITEM 1.

MADAM SPEAKER: IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. BY-LAWS. COUNCILLOR SANTOS.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: THIS IS MY FIRST TIME MOVING A BY-LAW. I MOVE THAT BY-LAW NO. 11, 2025, BE READ FIRST TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAW 11 OF 2025.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: EYE MOVE BY-LAW 11 OF 2025 BE READ A SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: IN FAVOR, CONTRARY, CARRIED.

CLERK: 11 OF TO 25.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: RULE BE SUSPENDED BY-LAW 11 OF 2025 BE REGARD THIRD TIME AND PASSED IN ORDER TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED.

MADAM SPEAKER: IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. WE WILL HAVE QUESTION PERIOD UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. QUESTIONS FOR COUNCILLOR SANTOS? COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THROUGH YOU TO THE CHAIR OF COMMUNITY SERVICES. I PROBABLY SHOULD HAVE APPROACHED YOU PRIOR, BUT I AM RECEIVING E-MAILS FROM CONSTITUENTS IN MY WARD WITH RESPECT TO MARGARET GRANT POOL AND EARLY SWIM TIMES. I WONDER IF THE CHAIR COULD FIND OUT MORE INFORMATION. THE INFORMATION I RECEIVED IS THAT MARGARET GRANT IS ACCOMMODATING SWIM TIMES WITH RESPECT TO TEMPORARY CLOSURE AND TAKING ON SOME OF THAT ACTIVITY. SWIMMERS IN MY WARD WANT TO KNOW HOW THIS MIGHT BE IMPACTED ONCE BONNIE PATEL POOL IS OPEN. IF YOU CAN GET INFORMATION TO ME WITH RESPECT TO THE POOL AND THE OPERATING TIMES IN 2025. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILOR SANTOS.

COUNCILLOR SANTOS: THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION. I AS CHAIR OF COMMUNITY SERVICES HAVE RECEIVED SIMILAR E-MAILS AND CONCERNS ABOUT MARGARET GRANT POOL. I HAVE BEEN WORKING CLOSELY WITH DIRECTOR. SHE ADVISED RIGHT NOW NO HOURS HAVE BEEN CHANGED. NEW SCHEDULES WILL BE SET FOR APRIL AND, OF COURSE, WE CAN HAVE THAT ONGOING CONVERSATION. YOU ARE CORRECT HOURS HAVE BEEN EXTENDED AT MARGARET GRANT WHITE THE OTHER POOL IS CLOSED FOR RENOVATIONS AND HOW THE HOURS ARE GOING TO WORK AT MARGARET GRANT POOL. WHAT DOES THAT LOOK LIKE? I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT IS GOING TO BE. I AM HAPPY TO TAKE THIS OFFLINE.

MADAM SPEAKER: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS. NEXT IS THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS. COUNCILLOR LUKES. REPORT.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I WOULD LIKE TO BRING FORWARD FOUR REPORTS FROM PUBLIC WORKS DATED JANUARY 9, 2025. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE ADOPTION AND CONSENT

AGENDA ITEMS 1-4.

MADAM SPEAKER: IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. BY-LAWS, COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: BY-LAW NO. 1425 BE READ FIRST TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: IN FAVOR. AYE. CONTRARY. CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAW NO. 14 OF 2025.

SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: CARRIED.

CLERK: BY-LAW 14 OF 2025.

I WOULD MOVE RULE SUSPENDED BY-LAW 14 OF 2025 BE READ THIRD TIME AND PASSED TO BE SIGNED AND SEALEDDED.

MADAM SPEAKER: IN FAVOR. CONTRARY. CARRIED. QUESTION PERIOD UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: SPEAKING TO THIS I THOUGHT I COULD REQUEST THROUGH Q&A. REPORT NUMBER ONE THE REPLACEMENT PROJECT BUDGET STATUS. RECOMMENDATION OF THE REPORT SPEAKS TO THE NEED FOR THE FACT WE AS COUNCIL REDUCED SCOPE OF THE NORTH GARAGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT AND ITS POSSIBLE RAMIFICATIONS FOR THE WINNIPEG TRANSIT MASTER PLAN WHICH WE VOTED ON PRIOR. THE REPORT REQUESTS 150 DAYS BE GRANTED TO COME BACK TO THE STANDING COMMITTEE IN TERMS OF THAT. THAT IS CUTTING IT TIGHT WITH REGARDS TO THE IMPACT WITH REGARDS TO THE TRANSIT MASTER PLAN AS WE KNOW. NEW TRANSIT SYSTEM IS ROLLED OUT AT END OF JUNE. ANY CONCERN THE CHAIR HAS WITH REGARDS TO THAT? COULD YOU GIVE US SOME INDICATION PERTAINING TO THAT HOW THAT WILL ACTUALLY WORK IN TERMS OF ROUTES THAT COULD BE IMPACTED PERTAINING TO NEW TRANSIT MASTER PLAN.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR LUKES.

COUNCILLOR LUKES: RIGHT-OF-WA Y WILL NOT IMPACT WHAT IS HAPPENING IN JUNE. OUR ROUTES WILL BE CHANGING. THIS IS THE NORTH GARAGE THAT THE EXPANSION IS BEING MODIFIED. I THINK YOU WERE ON THE COMMITTEE AT THE TIME WHERE WE SENT THIS REPORT OFF. THEY ARE COMING BACK SAYING THEY NEED TO HAVE MORE TIME TO GET BETTER DETAILED ANALYSIS OF THE STRUCTURE AND THEN THE IMPLICATIONS THAT

WILL FOLLOW FROM YOU MODIFYING THE STRUCTURE TO FIT THE BUDGET. ULTIMATELY IF WE DON'T GET ADDITIONAL FUNDING IN THE FUTURE TO CONTINUE THE EXPANSION, THREE TO FOUR YEARS DOWN THE ROAD WHEN WE HAVE A GREAT UP TAKE IN THE NEXT COUPLE YEARS OF PEOPLE RIDING THE BUS BECAUSE OF THE NEW SYSTEM AND THE DESIRE TO BUY MORE BUSES TO SUPPORT DEMAND THAT WE ARE ANTICIPATING NOT HAVING GARAGE TO PUT THEM IN. IT ISN'T CONNECTED NOT IMMEDIATELY. IF WE DON'T GET ADDITIONAL FUNDING IN FOUR TO FIVE YEARS WE WILL SEE BIGGER IMPACT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THE IMPRESSION ON THE COMMITTEE WAS THAT THIS COULD HAVE DIRECT IMPACT IMMEDIATELY. THAT IS NOT THE CASE NOW.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: WE HAVE ANTICIPATED WE HAVE HIGHER FREQUENCY ROUTES. WE ANTICIPATE HIGHER USE OF TRANSIT SERVICE. MORE PEOPLE USING TRANSIT. WHICH WILL CREATE DEMAND BY MORE BUSES TO CREATE NEED TO HAVE MORE STORAGE. THAT IS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS. ULTIMATELY WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A CHALLENGE BECAUSE RIGHT NOW WE HAVE GOT A SET BUDGET FOR THIS GARAGE THAT REDUCES ITS SIZE. THERE IS A LOT IN TRANSIT COMING DOWN THE ROAD THAT CAN CAUSE CONCERNS. IT IS NOT GOING TO IMPACT ROUTE CHANGES THIS YEAR.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THIS MAY LEAD TO ANOTHER ROUND OF QUESTIONS. IS THE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT STILL CONTEMPLATING USE OF HYDROGEN VERSUS ELECTRIC KNOWING THE UNKNOWN IMPLICATIONS FOR THE BUILDING CODE THEY WERE AWARE HAS NOT BEEN SORTED OUT WITH REQUIREMENTS POTENTIAL BUILDING CODE CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS ON THE NORTH GARAGE IN THE EVENT WE DECIDE TO USE HYDROGEN VERSUS HYDROELECTRIC OR ELECTRIC BUSES, ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THAT? ARE WE STILL GOING DOWN THE ROAD OF THE HYDROGEN VERSUS ELECTRIC BUS ROUTE?

THE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT IS WORKING WITH OTHER TRANS BE IT IS DEPARTMENTS ACROSS CANADA AND WITH VARIOUS FIRE REGULATIONS CURRENTLY THAT ARE SET UP FEDERALLY. THEY ARE CONSTANTLY AWARE OF THE REGULATIONS. NOTHING MAJOR HAS COME THROUGH IN CHANGES LIKE WE TALKED ABOUT WITH THE DIALOGUE ON IT. NOTHING THEY ARE AWARE OF IT. NO CHANGES YET. I FULLY ANTICIPATE SOMETHING TO COME OF IT EVENTUALLY BECAUSE OF THE CHALLENGES PUTTING OUT ELECTRIC FIRES. AS YOU KNOW WE AFTER THIS LAST BATCH OF ELECTRIC BUSES WE GET WE ARE NOT PURCHASING ANY MORE PASSED IN THE BUDGET. WE ARE PURCHASING DIESEL BUSES. TALKING TO THE DEPARTMENT ABOUT INNOVATION USING HYDROGEN DIESEL. WE ARE LOOKING AT THE PROCESSES USING AT CALGARY THAT WE MAY USE HERE WITH DIFFERENT FORMS OF FUEL.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT CONCLUDES QUESTION PERIOD UNDER THIS COMMITTEE.

COUNCILLOR WYATT.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN. WHAT IS STATUS OF THAT? I KNOW THERE ARE SOME SIGNIFICANT CHANGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN THAT COULD BE SOMEWHAT CONTROVERSIAL IN TERMS OF ISSUES RELATED TO WARDS. WHAT IS THE PLAN, SCHEDULE? ANY IDEA WHAT WE ARE LOOKING AT FOR ROLLOUT OF TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN? WHEN, WHERE, HOW, ALL OF THAT STUFF?

THE DEPARTMENT HAS SPENT A LONG TIME WORKING ON THIS PLAN THROUGH COVID. NOW THE PROVINCE WAS LOOKING AT IT. WE THOUGHT IT WOULD COME FORWARD SOONER THAN PLANNED. PROVINCE IS LOOKING AT IT. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT IT MAY BE COMING FORWARD IN MARCH, FOR SURE BY APRIL. MY GOAL IS TO HAVE ANOTHER SESSION, INFORMATION SESSION WITH COUNCILLORS. YOU WILL REMEMBER WE HAD A COUPLE OF THEM A YEAR AGO, YEAR AND A HALF AGO, BUT WE ALL HAVE A LOT OF INFORMATION TO ABSORB. I HAVE ASKED THE DEPARTMENT IF WE COULD HAVE A COUPLE COUNCILLOR INFORMATION SESSIONS BEFORE IT HITS THE AGENDA WHERE WE HAVE FOUR DAYS TO LOOK AT IT. I AM WAITING TO HEAR BACK. DIRECTOR WAS GONE. WE HAVE PLANS FOR THE NEW YEAR. ONE IS TO HAVE AN INFORMATION SESSION ON THE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN. IT ALSO INCLUDES GOOD TO MOVEMENT PLAN, PEDESTRIAN CYCLING PLAN. HUGE AMOUNT OF INFORMATION. I THINK WE NEED TO BREAK IT UP FOR EVERYONE.

COUNCILLOR WYATT: RECENTLY RESIDENTS IN MY WARD RECEIVED MAILER PERTAINING TO FUNCTION AT DESIGN STUDY. FLIER WAS SAME FLYER WE RECEIVED A YEAR AND A HALF AGO WHEN PREVIOUS GOVERNMENT WAS IN POWER DOING THE FUNCTIONAL DESIGN FLIER. THEY RE-DUSTED OFF STUDY NOW UNDERWAY AS NEW GOVERNMENT. HAVE WE RECEIVED ANY INFORMATION EVEN THOUGH IT APPEARS SAME STUDY UNDERWAY. ANY CHANGES? ANY IMPLICATIONS TO OUR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM WHICH I'M SURE THERE WOULD BE. WHAT SORT OF INTERFACE AT THE STAFF LEVEL AND WITH OURSELVES WITH REGARDS TO THAT FUNCTIONAL DESIGN STUDY FROM THE PROVINCE? [CAPTIONER SWITCH]

I GOT AN ANNOUNCEMENT. I THINK THEY DID A PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT ON IT. I DON'T KNOW. I WOULD THAT DEPARTMENT IS IN CONVERSATION WITH THE PROVINCE. I DON'T KNOW. I'D LIKE TO THINK THEY ARE, SO I'LL GET AN UPDATE ON THAT, TOO.

MADAM SPEAKER: FINAL QUESTION?

ARE YOU AWARE THAT THIS WAS SOMEBODY WHO RECEIVED THE FLYER ALONG WITH ALL THE OTHER RESIDENTS, THAT IT WAS EXACTLY THE SAME FROM A YEAR AND A HALF AGO

FROM THE PREVIOUS GOVERNMENT. ARE YOU AWARE OF THAT? I'M GLAD YOU'RE WORKING ON IT. I'M GLAD IT'S BEING PURSUED. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PROJECT IS BEING DISCUSSED BETWEEN OUR STAFF AND THEIRS.

I'LL FIND OUT AND GET BACK TO YOU ON THAT. I KNOW WE HAD MANY DISCUSSIONS WITH THE PROVINCE. I CAME A LITTLE LATE TO THE GAME ON THE REROUTING, AND THERE WAS A BREAKDOWN IN DISCUSSIONS. WE DON'T HAVE AN ORIGINAL PLAN, REALLY, SO I LOUD AND CLEAR.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER?

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANKS, MADAM SPEAKER. SINCE WE'RE ON THE SUBJECT, LAST YEAR DURING THE FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING, GETTING A BRIEFING FROM THE ADMINISTRATION ON THE TRANSIT PLAN, THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT THE TRYING DIFFERENT MODES OF POWER SOURCING THE SYSTEM. WE CALL IT GREEN ALTERNATIVE OR GREEN TRANSIT, AND THAT INCLUDED THE USE OF HYDRO-FUEL CELLS. TO EXPLAIN FOR THE RECORD RIGHT HERE IN THE COUNCIL, RIGHT HERE IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, THAT HOW IT IS THAT THE HYDROGEN SOURCE AT THIS TIME IS A FOSSIL FUEL BECAUSE IT'S STRIPPED FROM METHANE ETHANOL. THEREFORE IS, ABSOLUTELY UNEQUIVOCALLY A FOSSIL FUEL OF SORTS. THEY EXPLAINED DURING THE SEMINAR THE REASON THEY ARE ABOUT DOING IT WAS BECAUSE THEY WERE, IN THE CONTEXT OF DEVELOPING THIS IDEA, THERE WERE TWO LOCATIONS IN THE UNITED STATES THAT WERE PROPOSING IT. I ASKED WHERE THEY WERE. THEY DIDN'T KNOW. I WANTED TO KNOW SINCE THE QUESTION THEREFORE IS, THE COUNCILLOR MENTIONED CALGARY. I'M VERY AWARE THEY ARE EXPERIMENTING WITH HYDROGEN FUEL CELLS IN CALGARY. WE DON'T KNOW AT THIS TIME WHETHER CALGARY IS USING HYDROFUEL CELLS FOR TRANSIT, DO WE?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR LUKES?

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I'M NOT CLEAR ON THAT. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THROUGH YOU TO THE CHAIR, I WOULD LIKE IT KNOW IF SHE WAS AWARE THAT I ALSO RECEIVED THE SAME FLYER. I THOUGHT THE SAME THING AS COUNCILLOR WAY THE INFORMATION AND THE FLYER LOOKED IDENTICAL TO THE PREVIOUS ONE. IS THE CHAIR AWARE THAT I ATTENDED THE OPEN HOUSE AND FOUND OUT THAT, IN FACT, THE SESSION PRESENTED THE PREFERRED OPTION, THEY PROVIDE AT EACH OF THE DIFFERENT PLACES ON THE NORTH PERIMETER HIGHWAY FROM ASSINIBOINE DOWN TO THE PERIMETER HIGHWAY, THE PREFERRED OPTIONS THEY HAD SETTLED ON FROM THE WORK THEY STARTED PREVIOUSLY. IS THE CHAIR AWARE THAT APPARENTLY, COUNCILS IN EAST ST. PAUL, AND SPRINGFIELD RECEIVED CONSULTATION FROM THE CONSULTANTS WHILE WE HERE DID NOT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR LUKES?

COUNCILLOR LUKES: I'M NOT AWARE OF THAT. THAT'S VERY INTERESTING. I'D LIKE TO REITERATE OR HOPE THAT THE PROVINCE, THEIR DEPARTMENT DID WORK WITH OUR STAFF IN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT. I WILL INVESTIGATE THAT FURTHER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES QUESTION PERIOD UNDER THIS COMMITTEE. NEXT IS THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. CHAIR COUNCILLOR BROUGHTY?

COUNCILLOR BROWATY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE REPORT FROM JANUARY 17, 2025 AND MOVE THE REPORT.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? THAT'S CARRIED. I'LL GET RIGHT INTO QUESTION PERIOD. ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR? SEEING NONE, NEXT COMMITTEE IS THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT. CHAIR COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: BEFORE I INTRODUCE THE REPORT, I JUST WANTED TO EXTEND A THANK YOU TO COUNCILLOR ROLLINS FOR HER WORK AS CHAIR OF PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT OVER THE PAST TWO PLUS YEARS AND ON PC FOR THE LAST SIX PLUS YEARS. I KNOW SHE'S A STRONG HOUSING ADVOCATE AND I LOOK FORWARD TO CONTINUING TO WORK WITH HER IN HER ROLE AS COUNCILLOR. I'D ALSO LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE COUNCILLOR EADIE, BEING THE FIRST COUNCILLOR TO REPRESENT THE AWARD ON EPC IN 30 PLUS YEARS, AND THE FINE WORK PEOPLE WILL DO ALREADY IN SOME PRECONSISTENT DIALOGUE WITH THE COUNCILLOR. WE'RE GOOD TO GO ON THAT FRONT. WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE THE REPORT AND MOVE ADOPTION 117 ON REPORT 13 JANUARY 13, 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR WYATT? COUNCILLOR, WE'RE GOING TO STAND THAT ONE DOWN. WE'LL DEAL WITH THE OTHERS FIRST. COUNCILLOR WYATT? COUNCILLOR WYATT. STAND DOWN NUMBER EIGHT. I'LL CALL THE QUESTION ON ONE THROUGH SEVEN. 9-17. THANK YOU. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? THAT'S CARRIED. COUNCILLOR WYATT, YOU HAVE A CONFLICT ON ITEM 8? AND THE CONFLICT IS? THANK YOU. Mr. CLERK.

EXTENSION OF TIME ZONING. 38 OF 2021.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

CALL THE QUESTION MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? THAT'S CARRIED. ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE CHAIR? COUNCILLOR MAYES? HAVE BYLAWS. THANK YOU COUNCILLOR BROWATY. COUNCILLOR?

THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. I'D LIKE TO MOVE BYLAW 12, 2025. YOU READ THE FIRST TIME. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED.

BYLAW NUMBER 12 OF 2025. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

MADAM SPEAKER I'D LIKE TO MOVE THAT BYLAW 2025 BE READ A FIRST TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED.

BYLAW 13 OF 2025.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I MOVE IT BE READ A SECOND TIME.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR.

BYLAW 13 OF 2025. I'D LIKE THAT THE RULES BE SUSPENDED THAT BYLAW 13 OF 2025 BE READ A THIRD TIME, SAYING THE PASSED, ORDERED TO BE SIGNED AND SEALED.

MADAM SPEAKER: ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED.

I DON'T RECALL. DID WE ACTUALLY DO NUMBER 12 A THIRD TIME? I DON'T RECALL DURING THAT FREEZING.

MADAM SPEAKER: THAT WAS THE FIRST READING? YES. WE'LL NOW MOVE INTO QUESTION PERIOD FOR THE CHAIR. COUNCILLOR MAYES.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: SOME OF US OR PERHAPS IT'S ME, BUT I SUSPECT SOME OF US HAVE BEEN GETTING EMAILS FROM CONCERNED MEMBERS OF THE GRANITE CURLING CLUB. CAN YOU JUST CLARIFY IF YOU ARE ABLE TO, I THINK IT'S A PUBLIC HEARING. I THINK IT'S COMING TO COMMUNITY COMMITTEE. VARIOUS AGENDAS HAVE NOT BEEN PUB PEOPLE ARE E-MAILING US FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING, IT WILL ROLL UPWARDS IF APPROVED OR

NOT. BUT IF YOU YOU'RE A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC AND YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO THAT ITEM, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE ONE WINDOW FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING. THIS ISN'T A LAND SALE OR A CLOSING OF A ROAD. IF YOU CAN, CAN YOU CLARIFY WHAT WE CAN SAY TO PEOPLE WHO MIGHT BE CONCERNED? I KNOW THERE'S TWO DIFFERENT SIDES. WHAT CAN WE SAY TO PEOPLE WHO MIGHT HAVE CONCERNS ON THIS.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR DUNCAN?

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: THANK YOU. THROUGH YOU, THE MEETING ON THE POLICY COMMITTEE WILL TAKE PLACE FEBRUARY 6th WHERE THERE WILL BE A PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THIS MATTER. THOSE THAT ARE INTERESTED IN SPEAKING OR REGISTERINGED REGISTER THROUGH THE CLERK'S OFFICE.

COUNCILLOR MAYES: NOT THROUGH COMMUNITY, IT'S COMING TO A HEARING ON THE 5th, THE 6th. WHY ISN'T THAT GOING TO A COMMITTEE I GUESS IS THE QUESTION?

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. SECOND QUESTION. THAT WAS COUNCILLOR DUNCAN.

COUNCILLOR DUNCAN: I WOULD HAVE TO GET CLARIFICATION. I IMAGINE IT'S A MATTER OF THE HOUSING ACCELERATOR FUND, THE COUNCIL ALREADY VOTED ON, YES. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? SEEING NONE. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. OK. THAT CONCLUDES QUESTION PERIOD UNDER THAT COMMITTEE. NEXT IS THE REPORT OF THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER. THIS IS AN INVESTIGATION REPORT ON THE ACTING COMMISSIONER FROM A COMPLAINT MADE BY THE COUNCIL CODE OF CONDUCT BYLAW 2018. THE ITEM WAS LAID OVER FROM OUR NOVEMBER 21st MEETING AND SUBSEQUENTLY FROM THE DECEMBER 12th MEETING. AS FOR THE PROTOCOL BYLAW, MEMBER COUNCIL SUBJECT TO SUCH AN INVESTIGATION MAY NOT PARTICIPATE OR VOTE, BUT IS PERMITTED TO ADDRESS COUNCIL. COUNCILLOR WYATT, WOULD YOU LIKE TO ADDRESS COUNCIL?

COUNCILLOR WYATT: THANK YOU. THIS IS FINE, MADAM SPEAKER. MADAM SPEAKER, I'D LIKE TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO THANK THIS THE OPPORTUNITY IT ONCE AGAIN, SPEAK BEFORE YOU TO ADDRESS THE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY Ms. JANEY PATEL THE ACTING COMMISSIONER OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. I WANT TO STATE RIGHT NOW THAT I BELIEVE I HAVE DONE NOTHING WRONG. I SIMPLY WAS DOING WHAT IS MY RESPONSIBILITY TO THE CITIZENS THAT I REPRESENT, AS UNDER THE OATH OF COUNCIL, TO HOLD ACCOUNTABLE OUR SENIOR, MOST SENIOR ADMINISTRATION. I STILL BELIEVE THAT ANY SENIOR ADMINISTRATOR WHO DOES NOT ACT UPON A DECISION MADE BY A COURT TO AWARD DAMAGES AGAINST THE CITY FOR $5 MILLION LOSS BECAUSE OF THE DIRECT

ACTIONS OF AN EMPLOYEE, AND IN THIS CASE, AN EMPLOYEE IS STILL ON THE PAYROLL, NEITHER ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE ULTIMATELY FALLS ON THE SHOULDERS OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF OUR CAO. ON THAT MATTER, ANY OTHER EMPLOYER, ANY OTHER EMPLOYER OUT THERE, AND I'VE TALKED TO MANY OF THEM, SMALL AND LARGE BUSINESSES, WOULD IMMEDIATELY TAKE ACTION DEALING WITH THAT EMPLOYEE, BUT NOT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. NOT THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, MADAM SPEAKER. THERE'S NO ACCOUNTABILITY, IT SEEMS, INSIDE THE CITY OF WINNIPEG WHEN IT COMES TO BEING FOUND LIABLE IN A COURT FOR MALFEASANCE. YOU CAN HAVE A $5 MILLION AWARD AGAINST THE VERY EMPLOYER YOU'RE WORK FOR BECAUSE OF YOUR ACTIONS, AND THERE'S NO CONSEQUENCES, NO ACCOUNTABILITY, NO TRANSPARENCY. TO ME, THE HIGHER CALLING, OUR DUTIES TO SERVE OUR CITIZENS AS ELECTED MEMBERS IN THIS CHAMBER AND THE RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF SPEECH IS WHAT IS AT STAKE HERE TODAY. THE RIGHT AN ELECTED REPRESENTATIVE OF COUNCIL TO BE ABLE TO SPEAK THEIR MIND, TO BE ABLE TO SAY WHAT THEY SEE AS RIGHT OR WRONG IS A DIRECT RESPONSIBILITY AND OBLIGATION TO THE PEOPLE THAT THAT PERSON WAS ELECTED BY. IT IS TO THE VERY ESSENCE THE HEART OF OUR DEMOCRACY, AND IT WAS HARD ENOUGH BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATIVE STATE ACCOUNTABLE. BUT IF YOU DECIDE TO PUNISH AND ATTEMPT TO SILENCE MY VOICE, BE VERY WARY OF SUCH A DECISION GOING FORWARD, BECAUSE AT THE END OF THE DAY, IT IS ALL AN INDIVIDUAL MEMBER OF COUNCIL HAS, ESPECIALLY IF THEY ARE NOT ON THE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE. ALL THEY HAVE IS A MICROPHONE GIVEN TO THEM BY THE PEOPLE OF THEIR WARD, WHO ELECTED THEM. IN MY CASE, THE GOOD PEOPLE OF TRANSCONA, TO HOLD SENIOR ADMINISTRATION TO ACCOUNT, WHICH EVEN NOW IS BEING THREATENED TO BE TAKEN AWAY. IF YOU MAKE THIS DECISION TO PUNISH ME IN ANY WAY OR FORM, BE VERY CAREFUL BECAUSE TODAY IT MAY BE ME, BUT TOMORROW IT COULD BE YOU. COUNCIL POLL CIRCUMSTANCES THE CHARTER, THE OBLIGATION TO THE PUBLIC GOOD, AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST, I BELIEVE, IS A HIGHER RESPONSIBILITY AND A HIGHER CALLING. THE CODE OF CONDUCT IS BEING WEAPONIZED IN MY OPINION AND USED POLITICALLY AGAINST MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, WHICH WAS NOT ITS INTENT. I ASK YOU AND I REMIND YOU TO REMEMBER THE FACT THAT Mr. JACK WAS NOT A STAFF MEMBER OF MINE, AS Ms. PATEL WRONGLY CONTENDS. HE WAS NOT AN EMPLOYEE OF MINE. MY EMPLOYEE IS MY ASSISTANT, WHO I HAVE EMPLOYED BECAUSE HE'S A LOT SMARTER AND MORE TALENTED THAN MYSELF, AND I ALWAYS LIKE TO HIRE PEOPLE WHO ARE SMARTER AND MORE TALENTED THAN MYSELF TO MAKE UP FOR MY SHORTFALLS. I HAVE MANY FAULTS, BUT ONE IS NOT INGRATITUDE. I'M GRATEFUL TO THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE ELECTED ME, AND I'M ALWAYS MINDFUL OF THE OBLIGATION, THE RESPONSIBILITIES THAT COME WITH THAT, INCLUDING HOLDING THE PERSON WHO IS THE HIGHEST CIVIL SERVANT TO ACCOUNT, AS HARD AS THAT MAY BE. AND IT SHOULD BE POINTED OUT AT THIS TIME, AGAIN, THAT IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT HE WAS NOT A STAFF PERSON OF MINE NO WAY, SHAPE OR FORM DID I HARASS HIM IN ANY WAY AS PYTEL CONTENDS IN HER REPORT WRONGLY. I DID MY JOB TO HOLD HIM TO ACCOUNT. DO NOT TAKE THAT POWER AND RESPONSIBILITY AWAY, COUNCILLORS AND MEMBERS OF

COUNCIL FROM ELECTED MEMBERS OF THIS BODY BECAUSE ONE DAY, YOU AS AN ELECTED COUNCILLOR, MAY BE IN A POSITION TO HAVE TO CALL FOR THE RESIGNATION OF A C.E.O. OR SENIOR ADMINISTRATOR. AND IF THIS PRECEDENT IS SET, I BELIEVE YOUR POWERS TO BE ABLE TO TO DO SO WILL BE GREATLY DIMINISHED. AND THEREFORE, I SAY TO ALL OF YOU HERE TODAY THAT I DID NOTHING WRONG. I SPOKE MY MIND. I ASKED A SERIOUS QUESTION ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE I REPRESENT, WHICH IS WHAT WE ALL HAVE SWORN AN OATH OF OFFICE AND ARE ELECTED TO DO. INDEED, THERE ARE MANY OF YOU HERE IN THIS ROOM WHEN THE C.A.O. ARGUABLY SAID FAR WORSE. Mr. JACK FOUND IT IN HIS RIGHTEOUS MIND TO FILE A COMPLAINT AGAINST ME, BUT HE DIDN'T FILE AN EXECUTIVE -- MEMBER, EVEN THOUGH THERE WERE THINGS SAID AT HIS TERMINATION THAT COULD ARGUABLY BE FAR WORSE THAN WHEN I CALLED FOR HIS RESIGNATION. I SAY THIS TO YOU WITH THE GREATEST RESPECT, THAT WE MUST NOT ALLOW THE CODE OF CONDUCT, WHICH IS THEREFORE, A GOOD REASON TO ENSURE DIGNITY IN THE WORKPLACE AND RESPECT IN THE WORKPLACE TO BE USED IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM AND WEAPONIZED AS IT SEEMS TO BE TODAY AGAINST ELECTED MEMBERS OF OUR BODY, INCLUDING MEMBERS OF COUNCIL. IT WILL SEND A CHILL ACROSS OUR EBB TIRE ORGANIZATION. AND FREEDOM OF SPEECH, AS WE KNOW IT, WILL BE ALTERED POTENTIALLY PERMANENTLY. I CAN TELL YOU AS WELL, ACCORDING TO MY OWN LEGAL COUNCIL, THIS COUNCIL DOES NOT HAVE THE JURISDICTION IS BEING PROPOSED IN THE MOTION BEFORE YOU TODAY. I SOUGHT LEGAL COUNCIL, AND I'M PREPARED TO DO WHAT MAY HAVE TO BE DONE FOLLOWING THIS, AND I PRAY IT DOESN'T HAVE TO GO TO THAT EXTENT. Mr. JACK WAS NOT AND IS NOT MY EMPLOYEE. SO I ASK ALL MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL, MADAM SPEAKER, LET US NOT TREAD DOWN THIS DANGEROUS PATH BECAUSE YOU MAY NOT THINK THAT IT IS BECAUSE YOU MAY THINK THAT IT IS ME BEING PUNISHED TODAY, BUT TOMORROW, IT COULD BE YOU. AND YOU WILL NOT ONLY BE RESTRICTING, BUT SETTING A MOTION OF PRECEDENT THAT WILL CAUSE EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL TO HAVE TO LOOK OVER THEIR SHOULDER IN THE FUTURE, WHENEVER THEY WANT TO STAND UP AND SPEAK THE TRUTH, AS THEY SEE IT, AND AS THEY WERE ELECTED TO SWORN TO DO SO. THAT, MY FRIENDS, WILL BE A REAL THREAT TO OUR LOCAL DEMOCRACY. I THANK YOU FOR THIS TIME. MY LEGAL COUNCIL HASED ME THAT I SHOULD NOT TAKE QUESTIONS IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT DEPENDING ON YOUR DECISION, THIS MATTER COULD BE ADDRESSED BEFORE THE COURT. SO I WILL BE STEPPING AWAY FROM YOUR DISCUSSION AT THIS POINT IN TIME. I WOULD RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT YOU RECEIVE THIS MATTER BEFORE YOU, AS INFORMATION AND LET'S GET BACK TO WORK AND FOCUS ON THE REAL PRIORITIES OF THE CITIZENS OF WINNIPEG. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR WYATT. THERE'S NO QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME. FOR COUNCILLOR WYATT, AS WE NOTED. THERE'S NO QUESTIONS. I'D LIKE TO TAKE A MOMENT TO JUST REVIEW A MOTION. MOTIONS MOVED BY THE MAYOR, SECONDED BY MYSELF. YES. YES, Mr. CLERK, HAS COUNCILLOR GILROY, IF SHE COULD RECEIVE A COPY,

PLEASE.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: COULD WE HAVE THE CLERK READ OUT MY MOTION?

MADAM SPEAKER: YES, WE'LL EXPLAIN IT. IN A MOMENT, WE'LL HAVE EVERYONE GET A COPY. NO, THE MOTION BEFORE US. Mr. MAYOR, IF YOU'D LIKE TO SPEAK. CERTAINLY, WE CAN HAVE THE CLERK READ ANY CLAUSE INTO THE RECORD YOU WISH, UNLESS YOU'D LIKE TO.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: SURE, MADAM SPEAKER. I'M WONDERING IF WE COULD, AS YOU SAID, GIVE COUNCIL A MOMENT TO READ THROUGH IT, AND THEN I'LL BE GLAD TO SPEAK.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES, WE WILL DO THAT.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: DO WE HAVE OUR INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER ONLINE?

MADAM SPEAKER: YES, WE DO.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: TO ASK QUESTIONS?

MADAM SPEAKER: WE CAN GET HER ON THE LINE, YES.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: THAT WOULD BE NICE.

MADAM SPEAKER: OK, THERE ARE QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION. THEY WERE ASKED PREVIOUSLY AS WELL. Mr. MAYOR, YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. WE'LL BRING IN THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER ONLINE IF NEED. COUNCILLOR EADIE?

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I'M NOT SURE LINE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, BUT THIS, WE DID ASK THE ACTING INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER A WHOLE HOST OF QUESTIONS.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES, WE DID. THAT IS CORRECT. Mr. MAYOR, YOU HAVE THE FLOOR.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I THINK THE MOTION IS FAIRLY STRAIGHTFORWARD, AND I'LL JUST NOTE IT BE RESOLVED AS BASICALLY, TO IMPLEMENT ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS CITED BY THE ACTING COMMISSIONER IN HER REPORT. AND SO THAT'S WHAT THE MOTION STATES CLEARLY, AND JUST THE RESPONDENT BE REPRIMANDED FOR MEMBERS CODE OF CONDUCT, IT'S OUTLINED IN THE REPORT THE COMMISSIONER DATED OCTOBER 28th, 2024, AND ISSUED A PUBLIC APOLOGY FOR HIS CONDUCT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ANY FURTHER SPEAKERS? IF THERE'S QUESTIONS OF CLARIFICATION, BUT WE DID DO THAT PREVIOUSLY AS WELL. COUNCILLOR EADIE?

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I'D LIKE TO SPEAK TO IT AND COUNCILLOR SCHREYER DOESN'T REMEMBER, HE CAN ASK A QUESTION. MADAM SPEAKER, I'M GOING TO RISE AND SPEAK TO IT BECAUSE I QUESTIONED, I HAD SOME CONCERNS. I DID GET THE ANSWERS I WAS SEEKING FROM OUR ACTING INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER, WHO, WHILE FROM EDMONTON, DID KNOWS AND READ THROUGH THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S MEMBERS OF COUNCIL'S CODE OF ETHICAL CONDUCT BY LAW. MADAM SPEAKER, IN THERE IT DOES SET OUT PROCEDURES TO FOLLOW WHEN COMPLAINTS ARE FILED. AND I WILL NOTE, I DON'T SEE -- MY IS COUNCILLOR WYATT SOMEHOW ALLUDES THAT IF WE'RE GOING TO FOLLOW THIS MOTION, THAT SOMEHOW THAT'S ILLEGAL. IT'S SPECIFIED IN THE BYLAW, THE PROCESS. IT'S SPECIFIED WHAT THE OUTCOMES MIGHT BE, MADAM SPEAKER. NOW I DON'T EXACTLY FOLLOW THE INTERPRETATION OF THE WORD "AUTHORITY" AS IT'S LAID OUT IN THAT BYLAW. WHEN WE LOOK AT AUTHORITY OVER THE CITY OF WINNIPEG EMPLOYEES, OVER EMPLOYEES, WE HAVE A STRUCTURE THAT'S SET UP. BUT A PERSON WHO AT A MINIMUM IS JUST A MEMBER OF COUNCIL NOT ON EPC, NOT ON THE HIRING COMMITTEE, EPC YOU COULD ARGUE WOULD HAVE THE, AS IT'S THE HUMAN RESOURCE COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, OUR CITY COUNCIL. WE ALSO HAVE A CHIEF OFFICER, WHO HAS MOVED A MOTION BECAUSE NOT ONLY IS MAYOR GILLINGHAM THE MAYOR, HE'S ALSO THE CHIEF OFFICER IN THE CHARTER THAT WE HAVE FOR THIS CITY, FROM THE PROVINCE. CHIEF OFFICER MEANS THE CHIEF OFFICER. WE HAVE A CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER. WE HAVE A CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER. WE HAVE A CLERK. WE HAVE AN AUDITOR. I THINK THAT ONE SHOULD BE A LITTLE BIT MORE AT ARM'S LENGTH. NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE MAYOR IS ACTUALLY ULTIMATELY, LIKE A MINISTER THE PROVINCE. SO THEN WE HAVE EPC MEMBERS, AND I AM NOW ON THE HUMAN RESOURCE COMMITTEE. OK. SO YOU CAN SAY THAT I HAVE SOME AUTHORITY OVER A C.A.O. AND OVER MAYBE EVEN DIRECTORS OR WHATEVER IT MIGHT BE, MADAM SPEAKER. BUT NO MEMBER OF COUNCIL. WE NEED TO DEFINE THE WORD "AUTHORITY" BECAUSE THERE IS NO AUTHORITY. NOW I JUST WANT TO SPEAK TO IN THIS CHAMBER, THE MEMBER OF COUNCIL DOES HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY NOT TO IMPUGN THE MOTIVATION OF FOR DECISIONS AND VOTES MADE, MADAM SPEAKER. WHAT COUNCILLOR WYATT IS GUILTY OF IN MY MIND, SO I DON'T SEE WHAT HE DID DO IN PUBLIC WAS IMPUGN THE MOTIVATION OF THE THEN CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER FOR APPEALING A DECISION THAT WE REPRESENTED. WE WERE ALL OFFERED A SEMINAR. WE HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT LAWSUIT AND WHAT THE OUTCOMES WERE, AND WE HAD A CHANCE TO TALK TO OUR LEGAL ADVISERS, MADAM SPEAKER. IN NO WAY WAS THE C.A.O. MOTIVATED IN THE WAY THAT COUNCILLOR WYATT STATED. AND HE SHOULD APOLOGIZE FOR THAT. YES, HE HAS THE FREEDOM TO GO OUT AND SAY WE NEED THE C.A.O. TO BE FIRED. FINE. THAT'S FINE. I'VES AS A MATTER OF FACT, MYSELF. MY FIRST TERM, CALLING FOR THE RESIGNATION, THE FIRING OF A C.A.O. AND I WAS RIGHT IN THE END, MADAM SPEAKER. HOWEVER, I DIGRESS. I NEVER SAID OR ACCUSED ANYBODY OF

ANYTHING. I JUST CALLED FOR THEIR RESIGNATION BECAUSE OF THE SIDEWAYS MOVEMENT OF A PARTICULAR PROJECT THE CITY WAS UNDERTAKING. NOW I'M JUST GOING TO CONCLUDE BY SAYING THAT I AM IN SUPPORT OF THIS RECOMMENDATION FROM OUR CHIEF OFFICER, FROM OUR MAYOR, AND I BELIEVE SECOND BY YOU, SPEAKER SHARMA, OR MADAM SPEAKER. SORRY.

MADAM SPEAKER: YES.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: WE THE RULES ARE. WE READ THEM. I DEBATE THE INTERPRETATION OF AUTHORITY, AND AFTER THIS IS ALL OVER, I'VE SPOKEN TO OUR EXISTING INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER, WHO WAS IN A CONFLICT AND WAS UNABLE TO INTERPRET OR MAKE DECISIONS ON THIS. BUT THE ACTING INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER, I THINK, DID A THOROUGH JOB. YOU DON'T HAVE TO AGREE WITH EVERYTHING THAT SHE PRESENTED, BUT WHICH I DON'T. THE ONLY THING I DID AGREE WITH IS THE AUTHORITY, INTERPRETING WHAT AUTHORITY WE HAVE OVER OUR PUBLIC SERVANTS THAT ARE THE C.A.O. AND DOWN. AND SO I'LL LEAVE IT AT THAT. TAKE IT TO COURT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU.

COUNCILLOR EADIE: I WAS FOUND IN VIOLATION OF THE WINNIPEG POLICE BOARD'S MEMBERS CONDUCT. YEAH, I ADMIT I VIOLATED IT. BUT I'D DO IT AGAIN, AND I SAID THAT IN A PUBLIC MEETING WHERE I WAS CONDEMNED FOR MY ACTIONS. AND I THINK THAT I THINK COUNCILLOR WYATT HAS GONE OVERBOARD ON HIS PRESENTATION THERE. JUST WAY OVERBOARD. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR ROLLINS?

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. SORRY FOR THE INTEREST OF ALL I REQUIRE LARGER FUND. LOOK, I -- I'M GOING TO REPEAT SOME OF THE THINGS I SAID THE LAST TIME ON WAS ON THE RECORD. I'M ALSO GOING TO SAY AND NOTE THE INTERVENING TIME THAT STANDS OUT FOR ME, AND I WILL SAY, I BELIEVE THE PENALTY IMPOSED, MADAM SPEAKER, BY THE MOTION THAT YOU AND THE MAYOR HAVE SIGNED IS INADEQUATE. I'M GOING TO ASK THIS COUNCIL TO CONSIDER THE NATURE OF THE OFFENDING BEHAVIOUR, THE DURATION, WHAT I SEE TO BE CLEAR PERSISTENCE AT THE PODIUM YET AGAIN. A DELIBERATENESS AT THE PODIUM YET AGAIN. AND WHETHER THE MEMBER, COUNCILLOR WYATT, TRIED TO REMEDY THAT, AND WE KNOW THERE IS NO REMEDY WHEN YOU DEFY, AND ACTUALLY NOTE YOU DID NOTHING WRONG. AND THEN FINALLY, ANOTHER FACTOR, PAST RECORD THAT I HAVE SOME EXPERIENCE IN I THINK, MADAM I WANT TO FIRST TO RESPOND IN TERMS OF WHAT WE HEARD IN TERMS OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH AS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT. BUT WE KNOW AND I THINK COUNCILLOR EADIE LAID IT OUT PRETTY WELL, THAT WE'RE A WRONG PARADIGM, WRONG

TIME AND PLACE. WE KNOW FREEDOM OF SPEECH IS NOT UNLIMITED OR AN UNCONDITIONAL RIGHT. THE PRINCIPLE OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH MUST BE BALANCED WITH RESPONSIBILITY TO ENSURE THAT THE INDIVIDUALS ARE NOT SUBJECTED TO HARM, HARASSMENT, OR DISCRIMINATION, WHICH WAS FOUND HERE, ESPECIALLY IN PROFESSIONAL SETTINGS, WHICH WAS LOCAL GOVERNMENT HERE. THE IDEA THAT LIMITS OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH CAN SERVE AS AN EXCUSE FOR ELECTED OFFICIALS TO HARASS OR PUBLICLY DISRESPECT CITY STAFF IS NOT ONLY LEGALLY AND ETHICALLY FLAWED, MADAM SPEAKER, IT ALSO UNDERMINES THE INTEGRITY OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE AND THE FUNCTIONING OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT. A PROFESSIONAL, NONPARTISAN PUBLIC SERVICE IS FUNDAMENTAL TO THE FUNCTIONING OF OUR DEMOCRACY. CIVIL SERVANTS ARE ESSENTIAL TO THE EFFICIENT OPERATION OF COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS AND THE COMPLETION OF CITY BUSINESS. AND WHEN THESE INDIVIDUALS ARE PUBLICLY DISRESPECTED, PARTICULARLY BY ELECTED OFFICIALS, IT SEVERELY UNDERMINES THE ABILITY OF THE CITY TO FUNCTION AND SERVE THE PUBLIC. THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT POSTED NOVEMBER 15th AND DISCUSSED DURING NOVEMBER 21st COUNCILLOR AGENDA SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSED THE CONDUCT OF COUNCILLOR WYATT. THE REPORT CONCLUDED THAT COUNCILLOR WYATT HAD PUBLICLY DISRESPECTED AND HARASSED THE C.A.O. OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG. NOW DEPUTY MINISTER MIKE JACK. HE DID THIS, IT SAYS, THROUGH A SERIES OFED YEAH, WHICH WERE FOUND TO BE UNFAIR -- IN A SERIES OF COMMENTS IN THE MEDIA. THE REPORT FURTHER RECOMMENDEDED THAT COUNCILLOR WYATT ISSUE A PUBLIC APOLOGY. WHY? FOR THOSE OF US WHO HAVE BEEN AROUND, WHEN YOU DO SOMETHING IN PUBLIC, THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER WILL SAY IT OUGHT TO BE PUBLICLY APOLOGIZED FOR. SO HE WAS TO ISSUE A PUBLIC APOLOGY, ACKNOWLEDGING THE INAPPROPRIATE COMMENTS, AND COMMITTING TO TREAT ALL CITY STAFF WITH RESPECT AND PROFESSIONALISM MOVING FORWARD. WHY? BECAUSE AT ISSUE, I WILL REMIND YOU, AND I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT TO REMIND YOU OF THIS, THAT THERE'S A CURRENT MEMBER OF OUR STAFF AT ISSUE HERE. HIS COMMENTS IN THE MEDIA CONCERNING MIKE JACK WAS THAT HE SHOULD HAVE FIRED A CURRENT MEMBER OF OUR STAFF. THEY A CURRENT MEMBER OF OUR CIVIL SERVICE THAT OFTEN APPEARS BEFORE COUNCIL AND CERTAINLY, IN THE STANDING POST COMMITTEE OF PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT, MADAM SPEAKER. COUNCILLOR WYATT'S CONTINUED DEFIANCE AND DISREGARD FOR THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER'S FINDING REFLECT A DISTURBING PATTERN THAT I BELIEVE WE'VE ALL WITNESSED FIRSTHAND, AND I BELIEVE WE ALL HOLD RECORDS THAT ARE ILLUSTRATED TO US BEFORE US, IN THE INTERVENING TIME. I PARTICULARLY EXPERIENCED WHAT HAS BEEN DESCRIBED AND DO NOT BELIEVE INCIDENTS PERTAINING TO MIKE JACK ARE IN ISOLATION OR UNIQUE TO MIKE JACK. I'VE HAD OTHER MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE DEBATE SINCE THIS DEBATE STARTED IN NOVEMBER, WHETHER THEY SHOULD EVEN BOTHER SUBMITTING AN INTEGRITY COMMISSIONS BASED ON WHAT THIS COUNCIL SAID LAST TIME. THIS ONGOING PATTERN RAISES QUITE A FEW CONCERNS FOR ME. THE LACK OF RESPONSE EVEN MORE. I BELIEVE THAT IS A CONTINUED SHOW OF DISRESPECT. IN MEDIA INTERVIEWS, YOU'LL RECALL

FOLLOWING THE RELEASE OF THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT, COUNCILLOR WYATT PERSISTED IN HIS NEGATIVE REMARKS ABOUT MIKE JACK, AND THE PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT STAFF IN QUESTION. HE DID SO AGAIN AT THE PODIUM, EVEN AFTER THE REPORTS RECOMMENDATIONS HAD BEEN MADE CLEAR. HIS COMMENTS CONTINUE TO IGNORE THE PROCESS AND THE INTEGRITY OF THOSE INVOLVED. IT EXACERBATES THE SITUATION BY REPEATING IT, SO MUCH SO I WAS CONCERNED I SHOULD JUST START ANEW, WRITE A NEW INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER REPORT. HE REPEATED ALL OF THIS AGAIN. TODAY. HE REPEATED IT IN NOVEMBER. HE REPEATED IT AGAIN WHEN IT HIT THE AGENDA. AGAIN, I'VE HAD SENIOR PUBLIC SERVANTS DISCLOSE TROUBLING MATTERS TO ME PERTAINING TO COUNCILLOR WYATT. WHILE WE DO NOT POLICE OTHER MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AND BELIEVE YOU ME, I'VE SPENT A GREAT DEAL OF TIME WITH SHERI WALSH AS A CHAIR OF PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT, IN PARTICULAR, SINCE COUNCIL HAS -- COUNCIL APPOINTED HIM TO THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE. THE POLICE OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS. THAT'S FOR THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER. AND WE DON'T WRITE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER REPORTS ON BEHALF OF STAFF, PER SE. WE HAVE COUNCIL THROUGH, MADAM SPEAKER, WE HAVE A GROWING LIST OF WOMEN THAT HAVE LEFT THE PUBLIC SERVICE. OUR C.F.O., OUR DIRECTOR OF H.R., OUR MANAGER OF PERMITS, AND OUR DEPUTY C.A.O. WHO I KNOW RECENTLY WAS REPLACED BY THREE MEN. I THINK THIS IS NOT SUFFICIENT. WE HAVE NOT SUGGESTED MANDATORY TRAINING. WE HAVE NOT ALLOWED FOR EVEN ONE MEETING, TIME-OUT, COUNCILLOR WYATT. TAKEN TOGETHER, I CAN'T SUPPORT THE MOTION. MY OWN DECISION, MADAMS AND I NEED ONE MORE MINUTE.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER MOVES EXTENSION. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY. CARRIED.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: THE PATTERN TO BEHAVIOUR RATES AN ATMOSPHERE WHERE OUR CIVIL SERVICE STAFF COULD FEEL MARGINALIZED, UNDERVALUED, AND ULTIMATELY, DISCOURAGING THEIR PARTICIPATION IN SENIOR LEVEL ROLES AND LEADERSHIP WITHIN THE CITY. I'M REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. WHEN THE C.A.O. CAN BE HARASSED BY COUNCIL, ANYONE CAN BE HARASSED. SO MY OWN DECISION TO STEP DOWN AS CHAIR OF PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT WAS DRIVEN BY GROWING CONCERNS OF TRANSPARENCY AND GOVERNMENT. BUT IN NOVEMBER WHEN THIS COUNCIL PUT COUNCILLOR WYATT ON THE VERY COMMITTEE WHERE HE CONTINUED HIS ACTIONS, NOT ONCE, NOT TWICE,THREE TIMES, FOUR TIMES TODAY. THAT CONCERNS ME. IT UNDERLINES AN OVERALL TOXIC ENVIRONMENT, I BELIEVE. IT'S A CONSISTENT ISSUE. I THINK THERE'S CONSISTENT DISREGARD FOR PROFESSIONALISM AND RESPECT. I'M CONCERNED ABOUT ONGOING BEHAVIOUR. I BELIEVE IT'S DAMAGING TO THE CITY'S ABILITY TO FUNCTION EFFECTIVELY. THE LACK OF MEANINGFUL ACTION OR CHANGE TO ADDRESS THESE ISSUES IS IN PART WHY I FELT IT NECESSARY, AMONG OTHER THINGS, TO STEP DOWN. I BELIEVE THAT UNTIL THIS ISSUE IS ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED, WE'LL CONTINUE TO SEE THE SAME PATTERNS OF

DISRESPECT, DYSFUNCTION, WHICH WILL ULTIMATELY HARM THE CITY'S ABILITY TO SERVE THE PUBLIC IN A PROFESSIONAL AND EFFECTIVE MANNER. I'D LIKE TO SEE TWO ADDITIONAL ITEMS. ONE, MANDATORY TRAINING. I WOULD SAY HE DOESN'T RETURN TO THIS CHAMBER UNTIL HE GETS IT. AND TWO, I BELIEVE HE SHOULD BE SUSPENDED FOR ONE MEETING OF COUNCIL. YOU ALL KNOW I WANTED HIM OFF THE STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE OF PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT. I BELIEVE HE IS OFF NOW.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR ROLLINS.

COUNCILLOR ROLLINS: YEAH, I BELIEVE HE'S OFF NOW. I BELIEVE THAT HASN'T BEEN CONFIRMED FOR COUNCIL AND I BELIEVE THAT IS UNFAIR.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. COUNCILLOR MAYES?

COUNCILLOR MAYES: WELL I CERTAINLY HOPE THIS IS THE LAST TIME WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH THIS. SO WHATEVER WE DO, LET'S MAKE A DECISION ON IT BECAUSE THIS CIRCUS HAS COME TO TOWN WITH THIS THING, SO LET'S JUST DEAL WITH IT. NOT A BAD MOTION. IT'S A COMPROMISE, I THINK. THANKS TO MAYOR, COUNCILLOR SHARMA, I WOULDN'T SUPPORT IT. I WOULDN'T SUPPORT THE ADDITIONAL ITEMS COUNCILLOR ROLLINS IS SUGGESTING. SUPPORT THIS. A NUMBER OF THINGS. I THINK MIKE JACK IS A FRIEND OF MINE. I THINK COUNCILLOR WYATT IS A FRIEND OF MINE. I CAN'T QUITE BELIEVE THIS THING COULDN'T GET WORKED OUT. HIS FORMER ASSISTANT HAD NUMEROUS SHOUTING MATCHES. WE ALWAYS WORKED IT OUT. WE GET ALONG PRETTY WELL NOW. IT'S NOT BEYOND THE SKILLS OF HUMAN BEINGS TO RESOLVE THIS SORT OF THING. SO MAYBE FOUR, FIVE THINGS. NUMBER ONE, YEAH. AS EADIE SAID, THIS IS PROBABLY OVER THE TOP FROM WYATT. BUT WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO CRITICIZE PUBLIC SERVANTS. OTHERWISE, WHY ARE WE HERE? NUMBER TWO, A LOT OF PEOPLE IN HERE WHO SAID A LOT OF STUFF ABOUT MIKE JACK IN THIS CHAMBER BEFORE HE LEFT, A LOT OF PEOPLE WONDERED ABOUT. IT'S A LITTLE CROCODILE TEARSISH TO SAY WHY IT'S CRITICAL OF MIKE JACK. WELL JACK IS NOT HERE ANYMORE. I DON'T WANT TO GET INTO ANY MORE DETAILS, BUT LET'S PLAY IT AS IT GOES HERE. LET'S DEAL WITH THE COMMENTS HERE. LET'S NOT PRETEND THERE WERE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO DIDN'T WANT MIKE JACK TO BE AROUND. I WASN'T ONE OF THEM. I THOUGHT HE WAS A GOOD C.A.O. REGARDLESS, THE CONTEXT HERE IS IMPORTANT, RIGHT? WHY WAS OUR INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER NOT ON? WELL BECAUSE HER LAW FIRM IS INVOLVED IN THIS LITIGATION AGAINST THE CITY OF WINNIPEG AND SOME OF ITS EMPLOYEES, LITIGATION IN WHICH WE LOSE. BUT WITHIN THAT, AND WE'RE APPEALING. WE SHOULD APPEAL. MIKE JACK WAS RIGHT THAT THIS BREACHED PUBLIC COMMENT ABOUT STRATEGY ON APPEAL AND ANY DISCIPLINE WOULD BE CRAZY TO DO BEFORE AN APPEAL WAS DECIDED ON. SO, YOU KNOW, LIKE WE'LL PLAY THIS OUT. WE'LL SEE WHAT HAPPENS AFTER THE APPEAL JUDGEMENT COMES DOWN. BUT BEAR IN MIND, THE BIG DEFENCE OF SOME OF THE CITY STAFF IN THAT LITIGATION WAS ORLIKOW IS

RESPONSIBLE. WE WERE JUST FOLLOWING ORDERS FROM COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW. THAT'S ON THE RECORD. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW WASN'T A PARTY TO THAT. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW WASN'T ADVISED TO THAT. COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW HAD TO RESPOND TO THE MEDIA AFTER THE JUDGEMENT FROM THE QUEENS BENCH REGARDLESS, AFTER THE JUDGE BRINGS DOWN THE DECISION. ORLIKOW, HUGELY TRAUMATIC FOR HIM. I'M SURE HE HAS TO GO FORWARD AND DEFEND HIMSELF AGAINST THESE ARGUMENTS. IF I WERE REPRESENTING THE CITY OF WINNIPEG, I WOULD HAVE MADE THAT ARGUMENT AS THEIR JOB AS A DEFENCE COUNCIL, ESPECIALLY IF YOU'RE BEING SUED AS A DEFENCE COUNCIL, DEFENDANT COUNCIL. YOU'RE BEING SUED FOR MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. YOU. IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN NICE IF THE PUBLIC SERVICE OF THE WINNIPEG MIGHT HAVE LET COUNCILLOR ORLIKOW KNOW THAT THESE COMMENTS WERE BEING MADE ABOUT HIM IN A COURT OF LAW. HE HAD TO RESPOND IN THE MEDIA. SO A LOT OF ACCUSATIONS ARE GOING BACK AND FORTH EADIE SPOKE WELL, THIS IS I THINK OVER THE TOP. WHICH IS TOO BAD. HE HAD HIS CHANCE TO APOLOGIZE. HE DIDN'T. NOT BAD. COUNCILLOR SHARMA SAID WELL LOOK, HE'S NOT APOLOGIZED FOR A REPRIMAND FOR WHATEVER IT'S WORTH. THAT'S FINE. THERE'S MAYBE ONE PERSON WHO COMES OUT OF THIS LOOKING GOOD AND THAT PERSON IS JOHN WHO WAS A DEFENDANT IN THAT LITIGATION BECAUSE A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO WHEN JOHN WAS WORKING HERE, ONE OF HIS STAFF VERY PUBLICLY ON SOCIAL MEDIA, TROUNCING ME. I THINK COUNCILLOR ROLLINS, DEMANDING THAT WE DO CERTAIN THINGS THAT DIDN'T CONFORM WITH THE DIRECTIONS OF THAT CERTAIN BOSS. IN OTHER WORDS, DON'T FOLLOW THE SENIOR CIVIL SERVICE ADVICE. DO WHAT WE SAY OR WE'LL MAKE SURE YOU'RE VOTED OUT. I WOULD SAY, WELL HOW MIGHT YOU DEAL WITH THIS? ISN'T THERE ONE PUBLIC SERVICE HERE? HOW MIGHT I DEAL WITH THIS? TALK TO THE EMPLOYEE, WHO HADED UP TALKING WITH. I THINK. BUT YOU GOT TO HAVE ONE PUBLIC SERVANT. YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE MUTUAL RESPECT IS MY POINT. THE COMMENTS HERE ARE NOT RESPECTFUL. I'M NOT GOING TO PRETEND OTHERWISE. I'LL VOTE WITH THE MAYOR ON THIS, BUT IT'S A TWO-WAY STREET, MAN. YOU CAN'T HAVE PUBLIC SERVANTS SAYING YOU SHOULD BE DOING THIS PROJECT NOT THAT PROJECT {RS} EVEN THOUGH MY BOSS SAYS YOU SHOULD DO THE FIRST AND YOU'LL GET TROUNCED IF YOU DON'T AGREE WITH HIM. I'LL TROUNCE YOU AS WELL VERY PUBLICLY. WHAT KIND OF WORKPLACE IS THAT? HOW IS THAT NOT INSUBORDINATION. ANYWAY, WE'RE DONE WITH THAT PROBLEM. I HOPE OTHER CIVIL SERVANTS DO THE SAME OUT HERE SO WE TRY TO HAVE SOME UNITY OF PURPOSE. FOR TODAY YEAH, PLEASE, WHATEVER WE DO, LET'S DEAL WITH IT TODAY. I WOULDN'T SUPPORT ADDITIONAL PENALTIES. I DON'T THINK IT'S REALLY FAIR TO START TALK -- I'VE TALKED WITH BOTH OF THOSE FORMER EMPLOYEES. THEY WEREN'T CITING WYATT IS THE REASON THEY WERE LEAVING, ALL OF WHICH IS HEARSAY. I DIDN'T WANT THAT INSINUATION TO BE LYING OUT THERE. SO I'LL GO WITH, THIS SEEMS LIKE A REASONABLE COMPROMISE, THE MOTION YOU PUT FORWARD, Mr. MAYOR AND SPEAKER SHARMA. SO WITH SOME RELUCTANCE, I'LL CERTAINLY BACK IT. LET'S GET THIS BEHIND US AND MOVE ONTO SOMETHING ELSE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR MAYES. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER, THEN COUNCILLOR ALLARD.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. THE PERSPECTIVE FROM OUR ACTING COMMISSIONER FROM CALGARY, THAT I'M THE BOSS OF THE C.A.O. WHEN I WAS ELECTED ONE EVER TOLD ME I WAS THE {BOTION} OF THE C.A.O., THAT THE C.A.O. WORKED FOR ME AS AN INDIVIDUAL COUNCILLOR. COLLECTIVELY AS A COUNCIL, AND ONLY IN THIS ROOM, WE HIRE THE C.A.O. THUS, WE MAY FIRE THE C.A.O. THAT'S MY ROLE, I BELIEVE IF SOMEONE WANTS TO MAKE A POINT OF ORDER. IN THIS CHAMBER ONLY, I'M INVOLVED IN THIS PROCESS WITH MY VOTES AND COMMENTS ABOUT HIRING AND FIRING THE C.A.O. I STILL DON'T KNOW IF I'M THE BOSS. NO ONE EVER TOLD ME I WAS THE BOSS OF THE C.A.O. BUT I HAVE A VOTE IN FIRING THE C.A.O., JUST LIKE I HIRED THE C.A.O IN THIS CHAMBER ALONE. I'M NOT THE BOSS OR THE POLICE CHIEF. I'M A CITIZEN OUTSIDE THIS CHAMBER LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE. IN THIS CHAMBER, THE IN HIRING AND FIRING THE POLICE CHIEF AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE ADMINISTRATION. WE DON'T -- WHAT THE POLICE REPORT, THERE WE GO. WE ELECT A VOTING MEMBER TO THE POLICE BOARD FROM HERE. WE DECIDE THEIR SALARIES. BUT I CAN'T TELL A POLICE OFFICER WHAT IT TO DO. AND IF THE C.A.O. DOES NOT RESPOND TO ONE OF US, DOES NOT DO WHAT WE ASK, DOES THAT MEAN THE C.A.O. IS NOT DOING THEIR JOB? WAIT A MINUTE. THAT'S A GREY AREA, BUT THAT CAN HAPPEN. I'M NOT THE BOSS. C.A.O., TO MY UNDERSTANDING. THEREFORE, WE'VE GOT A DILEMMA HERE. THIS IS A BIT OF A GREY AREA. HOW DO I SAY THAT RUSS WYATT IS THE BOSS OF THE C.A.O? AND THAT IS A PILLAR OF THE CONSIDERATION HERE THAT YOU CAN'T SPEAK OF A CAO THAT WAY BECAUSE HE'S BOSS OF THE C.A.O. BUT I DON'T SEE IT THAT WAY. I DON'T SEE IT MYSELF THAT WAY. HOW CAN I SEE IT IN TERMS OF RUSS WYATT? I'VE NEVER CALLED ON ANYBODY TO RESIGN HERE. BUT COUNCIL, AND I BELIEVE THE ONLY WAY I CAN DO THIS AS A MEMBER OF COUNCIL, I CAN MOVE A MOTION, CAN WE NOT? WE CAN MOVE A MOTION TO HIRE A C.A.O. WE CAN MOVE A MOTION TO FIRE A C.A.O. HAS SOMEONE EVER SAID THE COUNCIL CANNOT FIRE THE C.A.O? IF THAT'S THE CASE, WE HAVE TO MAKE THE MOTION. CERTAINLY, THIS ISN'T SO PRIVATE THESE MOTIONS CAN'T BE MADE PUBLIC BEFORE THE MOTION COMES TO COUNCIL. THEREFORE, IT'S THE PREROGATIVE OF ANY MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL TO MAKE A MOTION TO FIRE THE C.A.O. PRESUMABLY, THEY WILL MAKE THOSE COMMENTS PUBLICLY AND THEY WILL DEFEND THEM PUBLICLY HAPPENS TO BE THAT IT'S BEEN SAID THAT COUNCILLOR WYATT HAS REPEATED HIS COMMENTS OVER AND OVER AGAIN. I WOULD HAVE TO PERCEIVE THAT AS COUNCILLOR WYATT CONTINUALLY DEFENDING HIS COMMENTS AND THUS, THE COMMENTS GET REPEATED OR BROUGHT BACK UP BY THE MEDIA, OR WHOEVER IS ASKING HIM. THUS, THEY ARE REPEATED OR BROUGHT BACK UP AGAIN, AS HE DEFENDS WHAT HE DID. SO IT HAPPENS TO BE, IN THIS CITY, IT DOESN'T HAPPEN VERY OFTEN, BUT IT HAPPENED HERE IN WINNIPEG, AND IT TOOK PLACE. SOME OF IT TOOK PLACE IN THIS COUNCIL. I'M GOING TO MAKE THE ARGUMENT NOT ENOUGH TOOK PLACE BECAUSE JUST BEFORE I GOT ELECTED, WE HAD THIS VERY ISSUE IN WHICH

IT'S ALREADY BEEN DECIDED BY THE PROVINCIAL COURTS AND THIS PROVINCE. 14 COUNTS, AND CONVICTION. 14 COUNTS AGAINST A PREVIOUS C.A.O. OF THE CITY, DEFENDED BY THE COURT OF APPEAL, WHICH THE COURT SAID WAS NOTHING SHORT OF ASTONISHING. NOT IN MY WORDS. THAT'S THE COURT'S OFFICIAL RECORD IN DEFENDING THE CONVICTIONS AGAINST THE PREVIOUS C.A.O. RUSS WYATT WAS ONE OF THOSE FEW PEOPLE THAT SAID THAT THE C.A.O. SHOULD BE FIRED. WAS HE WRONG? DID HE DO IT IN A WRONG WAY? HE SAID THE C.A.O. SHOULD BE FIRED. AND ALL RIGHT. HE WAS TOLD, HE WAS ON THE HUMAN RESOURCE COMMITTEE, BUT HE WAS A MEMBER OF COUNCIL. IS HE NOT ALLOWED TO DO THAT AS A MEMBER OF COUNCIL? IS ONE ALLOWED TO DO THAT AS A MEMBER OF COUNCIL TO SAY SO? AM I ALLOWED TO SAY THAT? ON THAT BASIS, HAVE WE EVER THANKED COUNCILLOR WAY YACHT SAID IN SOME WAY, SHAPE OR FORM HE WAS INADEQUATE IN WHAT HE SAID? WE KNOW CITY HALL WAS INADEQUATE. THE WHOLE SYSTEM WAS INADEQUATE. HOW DOES THIS HAPPEN? BUT AT LEAST RUSS WYATT SAID THE C.A.O. SHOULD BE FIRED. RUSS WYATT DID. HAVE WE THANKED HIM? YOU KNOW, IT LOOK A LOT OUT OF -- I'M GOING TO MAKE THE POINT THAT TOOK A LOT OUT OF CITY HALL.

IT'S HARD TO NOT SEE THIS AS COUNCILLOR SCHREYER REPEATED A PATTERN IT'S HARMFUL TO MIKE JACK. SO WHILE IT IS REALLY DIFFICULT TO TALK AND THERE'S CLEARLY DIFFERENCES OF OPINION, IT'S REALLY HARD TO NOT SEE THIS AS PROBLEMATIC FOR MIKE JACK AND FRANKLY, FOR US.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR SCHREYER, JUST A REMINDER, WE HAVE A MOTION BEFORE US.

COUNCILLOR SCHREYER: I WOULDN'T HAVE SAID ANY OF THIS IF IT WEREN'T FOR THE MOTION. I CAN'T IMAGINE SAYING ANY OF THIS RIGHT NOW IF IT WEREN'T FOR THE MOTION AT HAND. I'M NOT REFERRING TO ANYTHING ELSE. OK. I'LL BRING IT AROUND IN A FEW SECONDS HERE. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT PRECEDENT. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT EXAMPLE. RIGHT HERE IN WINNIPEG. I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT A THEORY. THIS REALLY HAPPENED IN WINNIPEG. IT REALLY HAPPENED WHEN THE C.A.O -- WHAT DO I NEED TO SAY? WE'RE TALKING REALITY HERE. IT HAPPENED. NOT A THEORY. RIGHT HERE IN WINNIPEG JUST 12 YEARS AGO. I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY. I'M NOT TALKING THEORY. I'M TALKING US. SOME OF US WERE ON COUNCIL. I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY. IT'S TAKEN THE HEART AND SOUL. YOU KNOW WHAT THIS DOES TO DEMORALIZE OUR ADMINISTRATION WHEN THIS HAPPENS? AND I SAID IT LAST TIME, TOO. IN HIS PARTING WORDS, BRIAN BOWMAN SAID WE'RE STILL REELING. PHRASE NOW. WE'RE STILL RECOVERING FROM THAT ERA. OUR FORMER MAYOR SAID WE'RE STILL RECOVERING FROM THAT ERA. HAVE WE THANKED RUSS WYATT? I UNDERSTAND IT MAYBE SUITS HIS PERSONALITY, BUT WAS HE WRONG? I'M GLAD HE SAID TAX IT'S UNFORTUNATE. I NEVER SAID SUCH A THING -- HE SAID IT. BUT WAS IT WRONG? NOW WHAT DO WE DO? RUSS

WYATT HAS BEEN RIGHT IN THE PAST. THE COURT OF MANITOBA HAS PROVEN IT, AND THE COURT OF APPEAL DEFENDED IT. BRIAN BOWMAN SAID IT HIMSELF, THAT WE'RE STILL HEALING FROM THIS. ARE WE HEALED? WHAT ARE WE SUPPOSED TO DO WITHOUT THESE TOOLS? I'M NOT SURE. I DON'T WANT THAT TO HAPPEN AGAIN. RUSS WYATT WAS THERE. THIS ISN'T THEORY. THIS IS THE REALITY. AND OUR PREVIOUS MAYOR JUST SAID WE HAVEN'T RECOVERED FROM THIS, AND IT'S NOT RUSS WYATT'S FAULT.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU. NEXT, COUNCILLOR ALLARD?

COUNCILLOR ALLARD: YES, OK. I HAVE QUITE A BIT OF NOTES ON THIS, AND I THINK I'VE ANALYSED THE DOCUMENTS THAT WE ARE EVALUATING TODAY. AND SO HERE WE GO. THE PROCESS THAT WE VOTED FOR. THE PROCESS FOR INTEGRITY COMPLAINTS WHEN INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER FINDS CITY COUNCIL HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN HARASSING A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC IS OUTLINED IN BYLAW NUMBER 19, 2018. MEMBERS OF COUNCIL CODE BYLAW. OCTOBER 24, I'M LOOKING AT THE COUNCIL MINUTE. IT SAID THAT THIS REPORT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EADIE, AND THAT IT WAS CARRIED AND COUNCILLOR MAYES WAS PASSED THE MOTION. THERE'S A FEW COMMENTS I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE RELATED TO THE CONVERSATION. THE IDEA OF GOING FARTHER THAN WHAT WE'VE ALREADY VOTED ON, THE CODE OF CONDUCT DOES NOT SIT WELL WITH ME. I THINK THE CODE OF CONDUCT IS QUITE BROAD IN THE AUTHORITY AS WE GIVE THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER TO MAKE ABOUT THE DETERMINATION ABOUT A MEMBER OF COUNCIL CONDUCT. AND IF WE ARE TO MAKE THE CODE BROADER THAN IT IS, I THINK THAT WE SHOULD DO THAT AND THAT WE SHOULD JUDGE THE COUNCILLOR'S CONDUCT BASED ON THE CODES OF CONDUCT HAD A ARE APPROVED AT THAT TIME. ON THIS PARTICULAR COMPLAINT, WE ARE DEALING WITH THE OLDER CODE OF CONDUCT, NOT THE ONE THAT WAS PASSED IN 2024. AND SO IN A CODE, WE HAVE ALREADY UPDATED ONE TIME. IT DOES, BY THE WAY, REMOVE THE WORD "HARASSMENT" BUT IT DOES BROADEN THE ALLOWANCES FOR THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER TO LOOK INTO A MATTER. REGARDING THE IDEA OF THE PUBLIC VERSUS THE STAFF. THE OLD CODE OF CONDUCT STATES THAT ALL MEMBERS HAVE A DUTY TO TREAT MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, ONE ANOTHER AND STAFF WITH RESPECT AND WITHOUT ABUSE. HARASSMENT INCLUDES INTIMIDATION. HARASSMENT INCLUDES ANY BEHAVIOUR ANY REASONABLE PERSON KNOWS IS TO BE UNWELCOMED AND IS INAPPROPRIATE, DEMEANING, EMBARRASSING, OR OTHERWISE OFFENSIVE. HERE IS THE HEART OF THE MATTER IN TERMS OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT AND WHETHER OR NOT WE AGREE WITH THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER, SO PLEASE BEAR WITH ME. SO THERE ARE NEED TO BE MET. ANY BEHAVIOUR THAT A REASONABLE PERSON SHOULD HAVE KNOWN WOULD BE UNWELCOMED, UNWELCOMED IS ONE. AND THEN THERE'S A LIST OF OTHER WORDS WHERE IT'S ANY OF THE OTHER WORDS BECAUSE THE QUALIFIERS, SO INAPPROPRIATE. WE HAVE UNWELCOMED. IF THAT'S GRANTED, THEN WE HAVE TO DECIDE IF INAPPROPRIATE, DEMEANING, HUMILIATING, EMBARRASSING, OR OTHERWISE OFFENSIVE. IN THAT LIST, THE ONE WORD

THAT STANDS OUT TO ME, IN PARTICULAR, IS HUMILIATING. AND THAT'S THE ONE WORD THAT WAS TAKEN OUT OF THE NEW CODE OF CONDUCT. WE ARE, HOWEVER, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THIS COMPLAINT BASED ON THE OLDER CODE. SO I'M USING THE LANGUAGE THAT WAS PART OF THE CODE THEN. SO I DON'T THINK IT IS GERMANE THAT THE C.E.O. WAS AN EMPLOYEE BECAUSE AS AN EMPLOYEE, HE IS ALSO A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC, WHICH IS ALSO COVERED BY THE SAME PROVISIONS. SO I DON'T ANY THAT IS -- I DON'T THINK THAT IS IMPORTANT DETERMINATION IN THIS DEBATE. I THINK THAT'S IT. FOR ME, WHAT HAS MADE THIS ISSUE CLEAR, IT'S THAT ONE SENTENCE ABOUT WHAT HARASSMENT IS. BEHAVIOUR THAT WOULD BE UNWELCOMED AND ANY OF THESE -- INAPPROPRIATE, DEMEANING, HUMILIATING, OR EMBARRASSING, OR OTHERWISE OFFENSIVE. I THINK I AGREE WITH THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER'S EVALUATION GOING FORWARD, IF WE AS A COUNCIL THINK THAT WE HAVE TOO WIDE A NECK IN TERMS OF COUNCIL'S BEHAVIOUR, WE CAN CHANGE IT. BUT I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD GOVERN OURSELVES WITH SOMETHING THAT'S OVER AND ABOVE THE CODE THAT WE'VE ALREADY VOTED ON. AND I GUESS THAT'S MAYBE THE LAST POINT. WHOEVER WAS IN THE ROOM, I KNOW I VOTED FOR THIS CODE. MOST OF US VOTED FOR THIS CODE. IT PASSED. FOR THAT REASON, I BELIEVE THAT I HAVE ENDORSED THAT PARTICULAR SENTENCE, AND READING THE FACTS IN THE REPORTS, I THINK THIS SENTENCE WARRANTS THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER. SO I'LL BE SUPPORTING THE MAYOR'S MOTION. I DON'T SUPPORT GOING OVER AND ABOVE WHAT IS ALREADY THE CODE. AND PUBLIC LIFE IS ALREADY LIVING UNDER A MICROSCOPE. WE DO NEED TO HOLD STAFF ACCOUNTABLE, AND I THINK THERE'S A WAY THAT WE CAN DO THAT. AND BE RESPECTFUL. SO I'LL LEAVE MY COMMENTS THERE.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR. COUNCILLOR GILROY?

COUNCILLOR GILROY: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. MAYBE IF THE MAYOR WHEN HE CLOSES CAN JUST -- I THINK WHAT I'M UNDERSTANDING, I'M NOT SURE IF I'M UNDERSTANDING THIS RIGHT, IF WE'RE ACTUALLY GOING TO DO A REPRIMAND AT THE NEXT MEETING AND DECIDE WHAT THAT REPRIMAND IS. I'M KIND OF NOT CLEAR UNDER ITEM THREE, THAT IF APOLOGY ISN'T GIVEN, THAT THE NEXT MEETING WE WOULD DECIDE ON WHAT THAT REPRIMAND IS. SO MAYBE IN HIS CLOSE, HE. LIKE I DON'T KNOW WHO DECIDES THAT. I KNOW THAT WHEN I WAS ON THE SCHOOL BOARD, WE DID THIS AND WE DID REMOVE SOMEBODY FROM THE MEETING, FROM THE ACTUAL PUBLIC MEETING. AND I THINK THAT'S VERY FAIR.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR GILROY? IF I MAY, I WOULD LIKE THE MAYOR TO SPEAK TO THE REPRIMAND OF THE MEETING BECAUSE IT HAS COME UP BEFORE. IF YOU WOULDN'T MIND, Mr. MAYOR. I'LL COME BACK TO YOU.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: I OFFER TO ANSWER BECAUSE IT MAY CHANGE WHAT MY

COLLEAGUE IS GOING TO SAY THROUGH YOU. NO, THE INTENTION THROUGH YOU SPEAKER TO MADAM GILROY IS THAT WE WOULD DEAL -- THIS MOTION WOULD BE REPRIMAND AND THE MATTER WOULD BE OVER TODAY IF THIS IS WHAT COUNCIL AGREED TO. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR GILROY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: OK IN. THAT CASE, I DON'T BELIEVE WE JUST SAY HE'S VERBALLY REPRIMANDED. I DON'T AGREE WITH THAT. FROM MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE POLICY WHEN WE WORKED ON IT, THAT WE WOULD BE MAKING DECISIONS ON WHAT THAT WOULD LOOK LIKE, WHETHER THAT WAS A REMOVAL FROM ONE MEETING.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR GILROY, I JUST WANT TO INTERJECT, THE PUBLIC REPRIMAND LISTED HERE IN THE MOTION IS SOMETHING THAT COMES FROM THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER AS A RECOMMENDATION AS WELL. SO IT MAKES A STATEMENT. IT'S COUNCIL. YEAH, OK. SO THAT'S COMING FROM THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER AS A RECOMMENDATION.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: OK. THAT'S VERY HELPFUL. I APPRECIATE YOU INTERJECTING. YEAH, THAT'S HELPFUL. I DO THINK THAT AS POLITICIANS, WE ARE REALLY STRUGGLING WITH WHAT WE'RE SEEING DOWN SOUTH AND THE CHANGES INTERACT WITH US IS CHANGING, AND I THINK, YOU KNOW, HOW WE COMMUNICATE IS REALLY, REALLY IMPORTANT. I THINK THERE IS A WAY. I AGREE WITH COUNCILLOR ALLARD. THERE IS TOTALLY A WAY THAT WE CAN COMMUNICATE AND STILL HAVE DISAGREEMENTS, AND STILL SHOW HOW UNHAPPY WE ARE. AND I THINK THAT, AND I ALSO THINK THAT I DO WANT TO TALK ABOUT COUNCILLOR EADIE PUT SOME REALLY GOOD WORDS ON THE TABLE, TOO, TO REMIND PEOPLE IT WASN'T NECESSARILY THAT HE'S THE EMPLOYEE OR ANY SUCH THING. THERE ARE OTHER THINGS AROUND THAT, AND COUNCILLOR EADIE SAID THAT A LITTLE BIT MORE POETICALLY THAN I CAN. SO COMMENTS THAT WERE MADE VERY PUBLICLY, AND, YOU KNOW WHAT, I THINK THAT IT'S VERY DISTURBING TO FIND OUT THAT, YOU WE HAVE HAD TWO CHANCES, AND HE'S NOT -- HE'S NOT LISTENING TO WHAT OUR INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER HAS SAID. SO I AM GOING TO SUPPORT THIS, BUT I DO THINK THAT COUNCILLOR ROLLINS IS RIGHT. I CAN'T BELIEVE ON THE SCHOOL BOARD, WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO REMOVE SOMEBODY FROM ONE PUBLIC MEETING. ACTUALLY, IT WAS THREE PUBLIC MEETINGS THAT WE REMOVED THE PERSON FROM, AND WE DON'T HAVE THAT SAME ACCESS HERE IS CONCERNING, BECAUSE I DO THINK THAT WE, AS COUNCILLORS AND POLITICIANS, NEED TO BE SETTING THE BAR HIGHER. AND I FOUND IT VERY HARD TO COME BACK AND WORK WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE, AND I'VE HAD TO BUILD UP TRUST WITH MY PUBLIC SERVICE BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T TRUST ME. THAT'S BECAUSE OF HOW THEY WERE TREATED IN THE PAST ON THE INFORMATION THAT THEY WERE GIVEN TO OTHER COUNCILLOR THE AND THAT HURTS EVERYBODY BECAUSE THAT HURTS NOT ONLY THE

COUNCILLORS, BUT ALSO HURTS, YOU KNOW, THE PUBLIC THAT WE'RE TRYING TO PEOPLE.

PEOPLE GOT IT. BY THE SENIOR ADMINISTRATION.

MADAM SPEAKER: ORDER. COUNCILLOR SCHREYER. COUNCILLOR GILROY HAS THE FLOOR. IT'S ESPECIALLY DIFFICULT TO HEAR BECAUSE SHE'S PRESENTING REMOTELY.

COUNCILLOR GILROY: SORRY. THAT'S OK. I'M DONE. I'LL SUPPORT THIS, BUT I DO WANT TO RAISE A CONCERN THAT SOMETHING THAT IS GOVERNED, WE SHOULD TAKE BACK AND TALK TO THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER. WE NEED MORE THAN JUST REPRIMANDING. I THINK THAT, YOU KNOW, THE PUBLIC NEEDS MORE, RIGHT? AND I THINK THAT IF WE WANT TO BE HELD TO A HIGHER REGARD, WE GOT TO HOLD OURSELVES TOS RIGHT? I HOPE THAT GETS TAKEN BACK BY THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE LISTENING HERE TODAY. THANKS, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANKS, COUNCILLOR GILROY. Mr. MAYOR TO CLOSE. COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS.

COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS: THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER. I'D LIKE TO THINK I GET ALONG WITH EVERY MEMBER OF COUNCIL HERE AND HAVE THE ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE EFFECTIVELY WITH THEM. I ALSO LIKE TO BELIEVE I GET ALONG VERY WELL WITH OUR PUBLIC SERVICE. AND WHEN QUESTIONING CAN ASK QUESTIONS IN A CIVIL MANNER THAT PROVIDES A RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION I'M ASKING. I SAY THAT ONLY BECAUSE I'VE BEEN IN A STANDING POLICY MEETING, AND I DON'T KNOW IF I'M CONFUSING ISSUES HERE. BUT I'VE BEEN IN A POLICY MEETING WHERE COUNCILLOR WYATT HAS MADE COMMENTS, NOT NECESSARILY AGAINST OUR PUBLIC SERVICE, BUT AGAINST OTHER MEMBERS OF OUR COMMUNITY. IN A PARTICULAR INCIDENT, IT WAS SOME DEROGATORY COMMENTS THAT WERE MADE TO A CYCLING COMMUNITY. I SAY THAT, I RAISE THAT, AGAIN, TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THERE IS A PATTERN OF LACK OF RESTRAINT IN TERMS OF THE COMMENTS THAT ARE BEING MADE. SO IF ANYTHING, A CONSEQUENCE HAS GOT TO BE SET, SO THAT IT PROHIBITS THAT KIND OF LANGUAGE OR THAT KIND OF SENTIMENT FROM BEING STATED. NOT TO MUZZLE AN INDIVIDUAL, BUT TO USE BETTER WORDS TO EXPRESS OR CONVEY CONCERNS, OR TO ASK A QUESTION TO GET A PROPER RESPONSE. SO AGAIN, THE CONSEQUENCE HERE HAS TO BE FITTING IN ORDER TO GIVE PAUSE WHENEVER THIS PARTICULAR COUNCILLOR IS ADDRESSING SOMEBODY, WHETHER IT'S PART OF A PUBLIC SERVICE, OR PART OF A GROUP THAT IS SPEAKING IN DELEGATION AT A STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE EXECUTIVE POLICY COMMITTEE, OR AT COUNCIL. SO AGAIN, IT IS A PATTERN OF BEHAVIOUR THAT WE'RE TRYING TO CHANGE. AND SO MAYBE THE CONSEQUENCE SHOULD MATCH THE CONDEMNATION THAT COUNCILLOR WYATT EXPRESSED, AND HOPEFULLY, GIVE HIM PAUSE FOR USING BETTER LANGUAGE, MORE APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE IN THIS CONTEXT. SO AGAIN, JUST TO REPRIMAND, I DON'T

KNOW THAT THAT WOULD DO THAT. BUT CERTAINLY, HAVING A CONSEQUENCE FITTING THE ACTIONS THAT HE TOOK I THINK WOULD SERVE THIS, THE INTEREST OF OUR COUNCIL BETTER. THANK YOU, MADAM SPEAKER.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERS. Mr. MAYOR, TO CLOSE.

MAYOR GILLINGHAM: THANK YOU, MA MADAM SPEAKER. I WON'T SAY MUCH. I WILL WRAP UP WITH A COUPLE OF THINGS. THE COUNCILLOR SAID WHEN HE MADE HIS STATEMENT SAID FULFILLING OUR HIGHER CALLING THE I TRULY BELIEVE AND I THINK WE ALL IN THIS CHAMBER BELIEVE WE CAN FULFIL OUR HIGHER CALLING OF ELECTED OFFICE IN A MANNER THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE MEMBER'S CODE OF CONDUCT. WHEN HE CITES FREEDOM OF SPEECH, WE ALL KNOW THAT YES, THERE'S LAWS AROUND FREEDOM OF SPEECH BUT FREEDOM IN THIS COUNTRY DOESN'T MEAN YOU CAN SAY ANYTHING AT ANY TIME. WE'RE NOT BASE ANIMALS, OR WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE. WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO DISCIPLINE OURSELVES, OUR COMMENTS AND OUR CONDUCT. WE PUT THE MEMBER'S CODE OF CONDUCT IN PLACE AS A COUNCIL. IT'S COUNCIL'S DECISION. WE SUPPORTED IT. WE ESTABLISHED AN INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER BECAUSE WE SAID THIS IS IMPORTANT TO US. THE ACTING INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER HAS PRESENTED A REPORT. HER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ARE THAT WE HAVE OPTIONS IN WHAT WE DO IN THE CASE OF THE COUNCILLOR'S QUESTION DOES NOT ISSUE AN APOLOGY. HE HAS NOT DONE SO. I DO APPRECIATE THAT OTHER COUNCILLORS AROUND THIS CHAMBER BELIEVE WE SHOULD COME DOWN ON A DIFFERENT RECOMMENDATION AND A DIFFERENT OUTCOME, AND A DIFFERENT LEVEL OF TREATMENT. THE MOTION IS BEFORE US. I CERTAINLY RESPECT HOW ANYONE AND EVERYONE WILL VOTE AT THIS TIME. BUT I THINK ALL OF THIS TO SAY AND SEVERAL COUNCILLORS HAVE SAID IT HERE ALREADY, AND I'VE THANKED THEM FOR IT, IS A REMINDER TO ALL OF US THAT WE CAN DO OUR JOBS WITH DILIGENCE AND EFFECTIVELY, HOLDING THE PUBLIC SERVICE TO ACCOUNT. WE CAN DO SO IN A MANNER THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE RULES AND THE CODE OF CONDUCT THAT WE'VE ESTABLISHED AND WE AGREED TO. THANK YOU.

MADAM SPEAKER: THANK YOU, Mr. MAYOR. I'LL CALL THE VOTE. ALL IN FAVOUR, THE -- PLEASE RISE. ALL IN FAVOUR OF MOTION FOUR AS PRESENTED, PLEASE RISE. JUST THE MOTION. THAT'S THE ONLY VOTE ON THIS MATTER. Mr. CLERK?

CLERK: HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS. ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, LUKES, MAYES, SANTOS, AND MADAM SPEAKER COUNCILLOR SHARMA.

MADAM SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR GILROY.

AND COUNCILLOR GILROY.

MADAM SPEAKER: THOSE OPPOSED, PLEASE RISE.

CLERK: COUNCILLORS ROLLINS AND SCHREYER.

THE VOTE, MADAM SPEAKER. YEA IS -- MOTION FORWARD CARRIES. COUNCILLOR MAYES WOULD YOU MOVE ADJOURNMENT. ALL IN FAVOUR? CONTRARY? CARRIED. WE'LL HAVE ROLL CALL, Mr. CLERK.

CLERK: MADAM SPEAKER, COUNCILLOR SHARMA. HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR GILLINGHAM, COUNCILLORS ALLARD, BROWATY, CHAMBERS, DOBSON, DUNCAN, EADIE, LUKES, MAYES, ROLLINS, SANTOS, SCHREYER, AND GILROY.