Minutes – Appeal Committee – June 17, 2026

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Item No. 1 **Appeal – Conditional Use – Rowntree Avenue**
(Waverley West Ward)
File DCU 241747A/2025C [c/r DAV 122903A/2026C]

COMMITTEE DECISION:

The Appeal Committee denied the appeals, concurred in the decision of the Director of Planning, Property and Development, confirmed Conditional Use Order DCU 241747/2025C and approved a Conditional Use to permit on "the land the establishment of a multi-family dwelling use,

Subject to the following conditions:

1. That if any development granted by the Order is not established within two (2) years of the date thereof, the Order, in respect of that Conditional Use shall terminate.

2. That the development shall be established in substantial conformance with plans identified as Sheets 1-2 for File No. DCU 25-241747/C, and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning, Property, and Development.

   OR

   That the Owner must submit plans showing the location and design of any and all proposed:

   A. buildings
   B. accessory parking areas
   C. garbage enclosures
   D. fencing; and
   E. landscaping

   on the Owner's Land ("Works") to the Director of Planning, Property and Development for approval prior to the issuance of any building or development permit, and thereafter must construct the Works in substantial conformance with the approved plans and maintain the Works to the satisfaction of the Director.

DECISION MAKING HISTORY:

The Winnipeg Public Service advised that all statutory requirements with respect to these appeals have been complied with.

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
That the report of the Winnipeg Public Service be taken as read.

Carried

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
That the receipt of public representations be concluded.

Carried

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
That in accordance with Section 254 and Subsection 247(3) of The City of Winnipeg Charter, the Conditional Use,

(a) [✓] is consistent [ ] is not consistent
with Plan Winnipeg, and any applicable secondary plan;

(b) [✓] does not create [ ] does create
a substantial adverse effect on the amenities, use, safety and convenience of the adjoining property and adjacent area, including an area separated from the property by a street or waterway; and

(c) [✓] is [ ] is not
compatible with the area in which the property to be affected is situated.

Supporting Reasons:

1. This was already zoned an R2.

2. It’s adding more density to community.

3. The project is well suited for the area.

Carried

DECISION MAKING HISTORY (continued):

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
That the appeals be denied, and the decision of the Director of Planning, Property and Development be concurred in.

Carried

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
That Conditional Use Order DCU 241747/2025C be confirmed.

Carried

Moved by Councillor Gilroy,
That the public hearing with respect to these appeals be concluded.

Carried

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

On June 3, 2026, the Appeal Committee did not hear any representation on the appeal and adjourned the public hearing to its meeting on June 17, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. in the Council Building at 510 Main Street, due to improper notice.

THE CITY OF WINNIPEG
CONDITIONAL USE APPEAL ORDER
APPEAL COMMITTEE

DCU 241747A/2025C

Before:	Appeal Committee Councillor Santos, Chairperson Councillor Allard Councillor Durand-Wood Councillor Gilroy
Hearing	June 17, 2026 June 3, 2026 Council Building, 510 Main Street
Applicant	GS Homes Ltd. (Waqar Younas)
Premises Affected	Rowntree Avenue
Legal Description	LOT 53 BLOCK 2 PLAN 60574, hereinafter called "the land"
Property Zoned	"R2" (Residential Two-Family)
Nature of the Application	For a Conditional Use under the Winnipeg Zoning By-law No. 200/2006 to permit the establishment of a multi-family dwelling use.

ORDER MADE BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING, PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT:

The Director of Planning, Property and Development approved a Conditional Use, File DCU 241747/2025C to GS Homes Ltd. (Waqar Younas), for the premises located at Rowntree Avenue, to permit on "the land" the establishment of a multi-family dwelling use,

subject to the following conditions:

1. That if any development granted by the Order is not established within two (2) years of the date thereof, the Order, in respect of that Conditional Use shall terminate.

2. That the development shall be established in substantial conformance with plans identified as Sheets 1-2 for File No. DCU 25-241747/C, and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning, Property, and Development;

   OR

   That the Owner must submit plans showing the location and design of any and all proposed:

   A. buildings;
   B. accessory parking areas;
   C. garbage enclosures;
   D. fencing; and
   E. landscaping

   on the Owner's Land ("Works") to the Director of Planning, Property and Development for approval prior to the issuance of any building or development permit, and thereafter must construct the Works in substantial conformance with the approved plans and maintain the Works to the satisfaction of the Director.

Pursuant to the provisions of The City of Winnipeg Charter, Chaimae Bouamira, Bilian Cen, Hiu Fan Cheung, Joanne Choi, Tin Kin Kenneth Chui, Bibek Ranabhat, Mandeep Saini, Kwan Yu Wong, and Stanley Yeung appealed the Conditional Use Order DCU 241747/2025C, and after Notice, the appeal was heard on June 17, 2026.

Upon consideration of the evidence adduced and submissions made, the Appeal Committee is of the opinion that, subject to the conditions imposed, if any, this Appeal Order meets the statutory criteria as outlined in Section 254 and Subsection 247(3) of The City of Winnipeg Charter, in that it:

(a) [✓] is consistent [ ] is not consistent
with Plan Winnipeg, and any applicable secondary plan;

(b) [✓] does not create [ ] does create
a substantial adverse effect on the amenities, use, safety and convenience of the adjoining property and adjacent area, including an area separated from the property by a street or waterway; and

(c) [✓] is [ ] is not
compatible with the area in which the property to be affected is situated.

Supporting Reasons:

1. This was already zoned an R2.

2. It's adding more density to community.

3. The project is well suited for the area.

ORDER:

The Appeal Committee orders that the appeal is dismissed and that Conditional Use Order DCU 241747/2025C dated April 21, 2026, is confirmed.

THIS ORDER IS SUBJECT TO ALL BUILDING, HEALTH OR OTHER REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO THE LAND HEREIN REFERRED TO.

DATE OF ORDER: June 18, 2026

CERTIFIED BY:



Karly Dolski
Senior Committee Clerk

THE FOLLOWING PERSONS MADE REPRESENTATIONS:

In Support of the Appeal:

Nil

In Opposition to the Appeal:

Janice Lukes
Allison Driedger
Jay Singh
Arshdeep Jassal
Brent Dhillon
Damanpreet Singh
Daniel Olivier
Gautam Khatter
Gobind Singh Vasir
Jeremy Gay
Jujhar Singh
Navneet Kaur
Surinder Pal GrewalFor Information on the Appeal:

For Information:

Nil

For the City:

M. Robinson, Principal Planner, Property and Development Department
I. Stonehouse, Planner, Property and Development Department
G. Kolody, Planner, Property and Development Department
S. Klassen, Planner, Property and Development Department

EXHIBITS FILED FOR DCU 241747A/2025C

1. Order DCU 241747/2025C dated April 21, 2026
2. Notice of Appeal filed by Kwan Yu Wong, received April 23, 2026
3. Notice of Appeal filed by Hiu Fan Cheung and Tin Kin Kenneth Chui, received April 24, 2026
4. Notice of Appeal filed by Chaimae Bouamira, received April 24, 2026
5. Notice of Appeal filed by Stanley Yeung and Joanne Choi, received on April 26, 2026
6. Notice of Appeal filed by Bilian Cen, received April 27, 2026
7. Notice of Appeal filed by Mandeep Saini, received May 2, 2026
8. Notice of Appeal filed by Bibek Ranabhat, received May 4, 2026
9. Notification of Public Hearing dated June 15, 2026 and June 21, 2026
10. Manitoba Status of Title 3367833/1
11. Letter of authorization dated September 12, 2025 from 10237251 Manitoba Ltd. (Guriqbal Ghuman) to GS Homes (Satnam Singh, Gobind Vasir, Waqar Younas)
12. Confirmation from the Zoning and Permits Administrator that the subject property may be posted in substitution for newspaper advertising
13. Plans, Sheets 1 and 2, for File DAV 122903/2026C dated February 27, 2026
14. Report from the Urban Planning Division dated April 10, 2026
15. Notice of Adjournment dated June 4, 2026
16. Communication from Arshdeep Jassal in opposition to the appeal
17. Communication from Brent Dhillon in opposition to the appeal
18. Communication from Damanpreet Singh in opposition to the appeal
19. Communication from Daniel Olivier in opposition to the appeal
20. Communication from Gautam Khatter in opposition to the appeal
21. Communication from Gobind Vasir in opposition to the appeal
22. Communication from Jeremy Gay in opposition to the appeal
23. Communication from Jujhar Singh in opposition to the appeal
24. Communication from Navneet Kaur in opposition to the appeal
25. Communication from Surinder Pal Grewal in opposition to the appeal
26. Communication from Allison Driedger in opposition to the appeal
27. Inspection Report
28. Communication dated June 17, 2026, from Mandeep Saini in support of the appeal

Exhibit “14” referred to in File DCU 241747A/2025C

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

Title: DCU 25-241747\C – Rowntree Ave

Issue: For consideration of a Conditional Use for a multi-family dwelling use in the “R2” zoning district.

Critical Path: Director of Planning, Property, and Development

AUTHORIZATION

Author	Department Head	CFO	CAO
Sawyer Klassen, MCP	n/a	n/a	

DECISION AND CONDITIONS

The Urban Planning and Design Division **approves** the application for a Conditional Use under Winnipeg Zoning By-Law No. 200/2006 to permit the establishment of a multi-family dwelling use.

Subject to the following condition(s):

1. That if any development granted by the Order is not established within two (2) years of the date thereof, the Order, in respect of that Conditional Use shall terminate.
2. That the development shall be established in substantial conformance with plans identified as Sheets 1-2 for File No. DCU 25-241747/C, and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning, Property, and Development;

   OR

   That the Owner must submit plans showing the location and design of any and all proposed:

   i. buildings;

   ii. accessory parking areas;

   iii. garbage enclosures;

   iv. fencing; and

   v. landscaping

on the Owner's Land ("Works") to the Director of Planning, Property and Development for approval prior to the issuance of any building or development permit, and thereafter must construct the Works in substantial conformance with the approved plans and maintain the Works to the satisfaction of the Director.

REASON FOR THE REPORT

- The applicant is proposing to build a multi-family dwelling and requires a Conditional Use for the "R2" zone requirements of the *Winnipeg Zoning By-Law*.
- This report provides information regarding the subject application's compliance with *The City of Winnipeg Charter*, section 249.

FILE/APPLICANT DETAILS

FILE:	**DCU 25-241747\C**
RELATED FILES:	DAV 122903/2026C
COMMUNITY:	Assiniboia Committee
NEIGHBOURHOOD #:	2.684 - (BRIDGWATER TRAILS)
SUBJECT:	For a Conditional Use under Winnipeg Zoning By-Law No. 200/2006 to permit the establishment of a multi-family dwelling use.
LOCATION:	Rowntree Ave
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	LOT 53 BLOCK 2 PLAN 60574
APPLICANT:	Waqar Younas (GS Homes Ltd.) 943 Kapelus Dr Unit 5 West St Paul, MB R4A 5A4
OWNER:	THE MANITOBA HOUSING AND RENEWAL CORPORATION 352 Donald St 200 Winnipeg, MB R3B 2H8

HISTORY

N/A

DISCUSSION

CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

Pursuant to Section 247(3) of *The City of Winnipeg Charter*, an application for a Conditional Use with respect to a property may be approved if the Conditional Use:

(a) is consistent with *Plan Winnipeg* and any applicable secondary plan;

(b) does not create a substantial adverse effect on the amenities, use, safety and convenience of the adjoining property and adjacent area, including an area separated from the property by a street or waterway;

(d) is compatible with the area in which the property to be affected is situated.

SITE DESCRIPTION

- The subject property is located on Rowntree Ave, in the Bridgwater Trails neighbourhood of the South Winnipeg - St Norbert ward.
- The subject site is 22,984 square feet (2,135 square metres) in area. The site is currently occupied by vacant land.
- Under the *Complete Communities Direction Strategy 2.0*, the site is within the Recent Community policy area. The property is zoned “R2” Residential Two-Family District.
- The site is within the Waverley West – West Secondary Plan, where it is classified as Lower Density Residential Area.



Figure 1: Aerial photo of subject site and surrounding area *(flown 2024)*

SURROUNDING LAND USE AND ZONING (See Figure 2)

North: Rowntree Avenue, then vacant land zoned “R2” Residential Two-Family.

South: Single-family residential uses zoned “R1-M” Residential Single-Family Medium.

East: Eaglewood Drive, then vacant land zoned “R2” Residential Two-Family.

West: Two-family residential uses zoned “R2” Residential Two-Family.



Figure 2: Zoning of the site and surrounding area.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- The applicant intends to construct a 12-unit multi-family dwelling.
- The proposal includes 19 parking stalls.
- The applicant is proposing a building height of 34 feet (10.36 metres).
- The submitted site plan shows that the proposed access will be on Rowntree Avenue.
- The proposal is for up-down townhouses.

Associated Variance (DAV) Application

- The proposed Conditional Use is also the subject of an associated Variance (DAV) application concerning the establishment of an accessory parking area to permit a front yard of 4 feet instead of 19 feet. Please refer to the Administrative Report under file no. DAV 26-122903 for more information.

ANALYSIS AND ISSUES

COMPLETE COMMUNITIES DIRECTION STRATEGY 2.0

- Goal 1 1.0 Encourage compatible residential development within Established Neighbourhoods to build more Complete Communities and align with the City's residential intensification target.
    - 1.1 Encourage residential development that positively contributes to neighbourhood character while expanding housing options for residents in a manner that is sensitive and complementary to existing development.
    - 1.2 Increase the population within Established Neighbourhoods to contribute to the physical renewal and revitalization of older neighbourhoods.
    - 1.5 Support up to mid-rise residential development in Established Neighbourhoods that is compatible with neighbourhood context.
    - 1.15 Low-rise residential uses will generally be encouraged to locate on arterial roads and collector roads where compatible with adjacent land uses and where they can be conveniently served with transit and local commercial amenities, and in consideration of the factors listed in Policy section 1.6
- Goal 3 3.0 Ensure all communities provide a diversity of housing options and meet resident life-cycle housing needs.
    - 3.1 Encourage a variety of housing types and tenures within Established Neighbourhoods to meet life-cycle housing needs and affordability ranges.
    - 3.2 Encourage a mix of types, tenures and unit sizes within residential developments. Make provisions for unit sizes that can accommodate varying family sizes and multi-generational households.

WAVERLEY WEST – WEST SECONDARY PLAN

- 5.1.1 Composition of Lower Density Residential Area Policy
    - (1) Ground-oriented, single-family uses shall be the predominant land use, allowing for single-family attached and detached housing, townhouses and duplexes.

CITY OF WINNIPEG ZONING BY-LAW 200/2006

Multi-family housing is a Conditional Use in the "R2" Residential Two-Family zone in the Winnipeg Zoning By-law. For this reason, a Conditional Use is required.

ANALYSIS OF THE CONDITIONAL USE

The multi-family townhouse proposal fits the existing neighbourhood by adding slightly increased density to the duplexes present on the block, providing alternative forms of housing without disrupting the compatibility of the block. It is located on the corner of the block, providing a higher-density edge and transition into the adjacent duplexes.

The proposal is in line with Complete Communities 2.0, as it provides a mix of housing options to the neighbourhood while appropriately increasing the density. It also aligns with the Waverley West – West Secondary Plan, as it is providing townhouses that are ground-oriented.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. That if any development granted by the Order is not established within two (2) years of the date thereof, the Order, in respect of that Conditional Use shall terminate.
2. That the development shall be established in substantial conformance with plans identified as Sheets 1-2 for File No. DCU 25-241747/C, and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning, Property, and Development;

   OR

   That the Owner must submit plans showing the location and design of any and all proposed:

   i. buildings;

   ii. accessory parking areas;

   iii. garbage enclosures;

   iv. fencing; and

   v. landscaping

   on the Owner's Land ("Works") to the Director of Planning, Property and Development for approval prior to the issuance of any building or development permit, and thereafter must construct the Works in substantial conformance with the approved plans and maintain the Works to the satisfaction of the Director.

REASONS FOR DECISION

In the context of Section 247(3), the Urban Planning and Design Division **approves** the application for the following reasons:

(a) is consistent with *Plan Winnipeg* and any applicable secondary plan;

In that it aligns with Complete Communities 2.0 and the Waverley West – West Secondary Plan.

(b) does not create a substantial adverse effect on the amenities, use, safety and convenience of the adjoining property and adjacent area, including an area separated from the property by a street or waterway;

In that it will have minimal impact on the neighboring properties.

(d) is compatible with the area in which the property to be affected is situated.

In that it is a supported use at this location, and aligns well with the existing properties.

CONSULTATION

In preparing this report there was internal consultation with: N/A

OURWINNIPEG 2045 POLICY ALIGNMENT

- 6.0 City Building
  - Objectives

        - 3 Facilitate development opportunities that complete established communities, and plan new communities as complete and connected from the outset.
    - Policies
        - 6.1 Sustainable Urban Growth
            - The City must accommodate physical development that reflects this Plan's goals, and achieves a continuum of complete and connected communities over the lifecycle of the Plan.

WINNIPEG CLIMATE ACTION PLAN ALIGNMENT

Consideration was given to whether this report connects to any of the specific Goals and Objectives in the *WCAP* and it was determined the *WCAP* is not applicable to this specific report.

WINNIPEG POVERTY REDUCTION STRATEGY ALIGNMENT

Consideration was given to whether this report connects to any of the specific Goals and Objectives in the *PRS* and it was determined the *PRS* is not applicable to this specific report.

SUBMITTED BY

Department:	Planning, Property and Development
Division:	Urban Planning and Design
Prepared by:	Sawyer Klassen, MCP
Date:	Wednesday, April 8, 2026
File No.	DCU 25-241747\C

Exhibit “13” referred to in File DCU 241747A/2025C





1 B4 BUILDING ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"
SHEET REF. B4-1



2 B4 BUILDING ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"
SHEET REF. B4-1



3 B4 BUILDING ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"
SHEET REF. B4-1



4 B4 BUILDING ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"
SHEET REF. B4-1

ZONING AND PERMIT BRANCH
THIS PLAN IS SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL IN
CONNECTION WITH: DCU 25-241747/C
SHEET # 2 OF 2
DATE: February 27, 2026

1a	LEGEND
MARK	DESCRIPTION (GROUP, ITEM)
1 - GRADE	
1a	EXTERIOR FINISHED GRADE
2 - WALL	
2a	CEMENT BASED, 3 COAT FLAT STUCCO - WHITE
2b	FIBER CEMENT HARDIE PANEL, FLAT FINISH - BLACK
2c	FIBER CEMENT HARDIE PANEL, FLAT FINISH - BEIGE
2d	BRICK, H.C. MUDDOX SERIES - CAROB
2e	FIBER CEMENT HARDIE PLANK SIDING - BEIGE
3 - ROOF	
3a	METAL STANDING SEAM ROOF - BLACK
3b	SNOWICE STOPS
4 - DOOR/WINDOW	
4a	WINDOW
4b	MAN DOOR
5 - BLDG. FEATURES	
5a	DOWNSPOUT c/w CONC. SPLASH PAD
5b	METAL EAVESTROUGH
5c	ALUMINUM & GLASS RAILING

MECHANICAL & ELECTRICAL FEATURES TO BE ADDED

ISSUED FOR REVIEW

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	INITIALS
06	2025-09-25	CLD ISSUED FOR REVIEW	PS
05	2025-09-19	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	BP
04	2025-09-09	84 - ISSUED FOR CONCEPT REVIEW	BP
03	2025-08-26	84 - ISSUED FOR CONCEPT REVIEW	BP
02	2025-07-16	84 - ISSUED FOR CONCEPT REVIEW	BP
01	2025-06-30	ISSUED FOR CONCEPT REVIEW	BP

PLOTTED DATE: 10/27/2025 12:24:15 PM

PROFESSIONAL'S SEAL

DGH ENGINEERING LTD.
CIVIL | STRUCTURAL | MECHANICAL | ELECTRICAL | INDUSTRIAL
T: 204-334-8846
www.dghengineering.com

NOTE TO CONTRACTOR:
THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON THE JOB AND SHALL REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES TO THE ENGINEER BEFORE COMMENCING WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT SCALE DRAWINGS. USE ONLY THE FIGURED DIMENSIONS. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST EDITION OF THE MANITOBA BUILDING CODE AND ALL OTHER APPLICABLE CODES AND REGULATIONS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL SHOP DRAWINGS AND SHALL CHECK AND COORDINATE ALL SHOP DRAWINGS PRIOR TO SUBMISSION TO THE ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL TEMPORARY BRACING AND SHORING REQUIRED DURING CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAFETY MEASURES AND PRECAUTIONS TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF ALL PERSONNEL ON THE JOB SITE AND SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE MANITOBA OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS THE ENGINEER AND HIS EMPLOYEES FROM AND AGAINST ALL CLAIMS, DAMAGES, LOSSES AND EXPENSES ARISING OUT OF OR RESULTING FROM THE PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK.

COPYRIGHT 2025
THESE DRAWINGS AND REPORTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF DGH ENGINEERING LTD. AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED OR USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF DGH ENGINEERING LTD. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE AND ITS PERMITTED ASSIGNEES. UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THESE DRAWINGS AND REPORTS IN ANY FORM AND BY ANY PARTY WILL BE AT THE SOLE RISK OF THE PARTY AND WITHOUT LIABILITY TO DGH ENGINEERING LTD. THE UNAUTHORIZED PARTY WILL HOLD HARMLESS DGH ENGINEERING LTD. FOR ANY DAMAGES RESULTING THEREFROM. DGH ENGINEERING LTD. ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DECISIONS MADE OR ACTIONS TAKEN BASED ON THESE DRAWINGS AND REPORTS WITHOUT THE EXPRESSED AND WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OF DGH ENGINEERING LTD.

CLIENT: GS HOMES
-
943 KAPELUS DR. UNIT 5,
WEST ST. PAUL, MB. R4A 5A4

DESIGNED: J.P
DRAWN: RP
CHECKED: JBL
DATE: JUNE/2025
SCALE: AS NOTED
JOB # AND PHASE

PROJECT TITLE: MULTI-FAMILY DEV.
PROJECT LOCATION: ROWNTREE AVE, WINNIPEG, MB
PROJECT NUMBER: 2025-1109
DRAWING TITLE: BUILDING ELEVATIONS
B4
REV.○ ROD

2025-10-27 12:24:15 PM, ISSUED FOR REVIEW, DWG NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

Exhibit “8” referred to in File DCU 241747A/2025C

Hello,

I am writing this email in reference to the dissatisfaction of the project DCU 25-241747C (Townhouse) and the parking lot that has been approved by the city. It is utterly stunning how the city officials approved the project without keeping in mind that spot is just by the narrow roundabout.

On top of that, the street (Rowntree Ave) is already crowded and there is already huge traffic in that street, also a new school is in construction in that street. Does the city not care about the safety of people and future students when the traffic gets over crowded? Aesthetics wise also it does not look to be properly designed. It takes away the beauty of the community.

The spot where they have proposed to put the garbage bin will directly affect the surrounding buildings. It gets smelly and just by the decks of the neighbouring houses. When you open the windows of your bedroom it is just infront and city doesn’t care about the wellbeing and hazards that is created by the dumpster.

I believe the project it self is too big for that small space. I know the builders wouldn’t want to lose money but you have to think about the neighborhood too. I hope city will take proper actions.

Thank You!

Regards,

Bibek Ranabhat

Resident of the neighborhood

Exhibit “7” referred to in File DCU 241747A/2025C

Hello there,

I would like to formally appeal the 3 storey building being approved to built on rowntree ave.

Reasons- Street safety

Neighbourhood character - does not match the character of the neighborhood

Privacy- the 5 house on the back will be critically impacted as the balconies will be over long the back yard and eventually the inside the houses, the builder should plant privacy trees in the back as well.

Thank you

Mandeep Saini

Exhibit “6” referred to in File DCU 241747A/2025C

File Number: DCU 25-241747/C

Subject: Formal Objection to Proposed Rezoning from R2 to Multi-Family on Rowntree Avenue

Dear appeal decision committee,

I am writing as a resident of the Rowntree Avenue neighborhood in Winnipeg to formally object to the proposed rezoning of the nearby R2-designated land from R2 to Multi-family dwelling use.

I respectfully disagree with the stated Reasons for Decision provided by the Urban Planning and Design Division under Section 247(3), and I believe the approval does not accurately reflect the real and significant impact this development will have on our established residential community.

Regarding point (a), which states that the proposal is consistent with Plan Winnipeg, Complete Communities 2.0, and the Waverley West – West Secondary Plan, I strongly disagree. Our neighborhood is primarily composed of side-by-side, low-density family homes designed for quiet and stable residential living. Introducing a 12-unit multi-family building into a uniformly low-density area is inconsistent with the original planning intent and significantly changes the established character of the neighborhood. “Complete Communities” should enhance communities, not reduce the quality of life and security of existing residents.

Regarding point (b), which claims that the development does not create a substantial adverse effect on the amenities, use, safety, and convenience of adjoining properties, I strongly object.

The 12-unit multi-family building will create serious privacy concerns for surrounding homeowners. Residents’ bedrooms, backyards, and family living spaces will be directly overlooked, resulting in a major loss of privacy. Homeowners should not be forced to keep curtains closed at all times simply to feel comfortable in their own homes.

Parking is another major issue. The proposed lot is too small to reasonably support the parking demand created by higher-density residential use. Standard parking spaces are being reduced significantly, leading to serious parking shortages. Overflow parking will inevitably spread into surrounding residential streets, creating inconvenience and frustration for existing homeowners and visitors.

Traffic safety is also a serious concern. Rowntree Avenue already serves as an important traffic route with heavy daily vehicle flow. Additional residents and vehicles will create congestion, increase accident risks, and pose safety hazards—especially for the many

children and families living in this neighborhood. Increased traffic also means more noise, air pollution, and a decline in overall neighborhood safety and livability.

Another major concern is the garbage enclosure being located too close to neighboring backyards. This will likely create unpleasant odors, attract pests such as rats and insects, and introduce sanitation issues that directly affect nearby residents' health and enjoyment of their homes.

Regarding point (d), which states that the development is compatible with the surrounding area, I strongly dispute this conclusion. The surrounding neighborhood is made up almost entirely of side-by-side homes and low-density residential properties. A 12-unit multi family building is clearly out of scale and incompatible with the existing streetscape, neighborhood design, and family-oriented environment. It does not align with existing properties—it disrupts them.

Additionally, this rezoning may negatively affect surrounding property values. Homeowners made significant long-term investments based on the understanding that this area would remain a low-density residential community. Changing the zoning to allow higher-density apartment development undermines that expectation and may reduce both property desirability and property value.

This proposal places the burden of overdevelopment on existing residents while offering little benefit to the surrounding community. Responsible urban planning should protect the safety, privacy, and quality of life of the people who already live here.

For all of these reasons, I strongly urge the City to reconsider and reject the rezoning application from R2 to Multi family dwelling use.

Please protect the integrity of our neighborhood and the wellbeing of the families who call it home.

Sincerely,

Bilian Cen

Exhibit “5” referred to in File DAV 122903A/2026C

Dear Sir / Madam,

I am writing as a resident to express my concern regarding the Conditional Use and Variance for the proposed multi-family townhouse approved recently.

This decision raised serious concerns regarding the long term living conditions, safety and capacity of the neighbourhood with the potential increase in population density and overcrowding. Our neighborhood already has a certain capacity in terms of infrastructure, green space, and community resources. A development that significantly increases the number of residents may put additional strain on these existing systems and change the character and livability of the area.

In terms of environmental health, our neighbourhood has already been facing a persistent issue of flies. The ongoing problem indicates underlying challenges in waste management and sanitation. Based on the development floor plan, the garbage area will be sitting in immediate proximity to others’ property which raised significant concerns. If not carefully planned, this could create ongoing hygiene issues such as odors, pests, and general sanitation concerns that would directly affect nearby homes and shared spaces. It is important that waste facilities are thoughtfully placed and properly designed to minimize these impacts to nearby residents.

In addition, traffic and parking are ongoing challenges in our community. The plan seems did not take into consideration of the traffic flow in Rowntree Avenue, reviewing the adequacy of parking for both new and existing residents. There have already been vehicles parking on the streets creating congestion. Additional units will likely bring more vehicles, which could worsen congestion on local streets and make parking even more limited for current residents. It will worsen the congestion, reduce road visibility and increase conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians. This will create safety hazards and further strain an already limited roadway system.

Furthermore, with the construction of a new school nearby, traffic volume will undoubtedly increase significantly especially during peak hours. The roundabout connecting Rowntree Avenue is already known to be hazardous during winter months due to ice and snow accumulation, and frequent traffic incidents occurred under these conditions. A sudden increase in population and vehicles will significantly increase these risks and create more dangerous conditions for drivers and pedestrians.

Also, recreational facilities are already insufficient to support the current population. Nearby parks are already exceeding their capacity and there is a clear shortage of safe and adequate play space for children. The addition of 12 households will further limit available resources, leaving children without appropriate recreational spaces and negatively impacting community well-being.

With respect to above concerns, I strongly request for a comprehensive traffic impact assessment conducted before taking any further steps. Without a thorough and transparent evaluation of traffic flow, safety risks and infrastructure capacity, it is irresponsible to proceed with this development.

I understand the importance of accommodating growth in our city, but I hope that these concerns, coming from someone who lives in the area, will be taken seriously. I respectfully ask that the Appeals Committee carefully review this proposal and consider adjustments or conditions that will help protect the health, safety, and quality of life in our neighbourhood.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I would appreciate being kept informed of any decisions or updates related to this development.

Yours faithfully,

Stanley Yeung and Joanne Choi

Exhibit “4” referred to in File DCU 241747A/2025C

To: Appeals Committee
City of Winnipeg
510 Main Street
Winnipeg, MB

Re: Appeal of Conditional Use Approval – File DCU 25-241747C (Rowntree Avenue)

I am writing to formally appeal the approval of the Conditional Use application (File DCU 25-241747C) permitting the establishment of a multi-family dwelling on Rowntree Avenue.

I respectfully request that the Appeals Committee overturn this decision on the basis that the proposal does not meet the required tests under the City of Winnipeg’s planning framework, including the Winnipeg Zoning By-law No. 200/2006 and applicable secondary plan policies.

1. Failure to Meet Conditional Use Approval Criteria (Zoning By-law No. 200/2006)

Under the Zoning By-law, a Conditional Use may only be approved if it is:

Consistent with Plan Winnipeg and any applicable secondary plan
Compatible with the surrounding area in terms of scale, intensity, and form
Not detrimental to the health, safety, convenience, or general welfare of persons living or working in the surrounding area

The proposed development fails to meet these criteria:

It introduces a significantly higher density and building form that is not compatible with the existing low-density, side-by-side residential character of Rowntree Avenue.
It creates adverse impacts on neighboring properties, including traffic, parking overflow, privacy loss, and noise.
It undermines the stability and livability of the neighborhood, which is a key consideration in Conditional Use decisions.
2. Inconsistency with Plan Winnipeg and Complete Communities Direction Strategy

The City’s long-term planning documents, including Plan Winnipeg and the Complete Communities Direction Strategy, emphasize:

Context-sensitive infill development
Respect for existing neighborhood character
Gradual and compatible intensification

While these policies support infill and increased density in appropriate areas, they clearly state that intensification must be:

Sensitive to the existing built form
Compatible with surrounding land uses
Designed to minimize negative impacts on adjacent properties

The proposed multi-family development does not represent “gentle density” or context-sensitive infill. Instead, it constitutes a material intensification that is out of scale with the surrounding single-family and side-by-side homes.

3. Lack of Compatibility with Established Neighborhood Character

Rowntree Avenue is characterized by low-density residential development, primarily side-by-side and single-family dwellings. The proposed multi-family building:

Alters the established streetscape and massing
Introduces a building form and occupancy level inconsistent with the area
Creates a visual and functional disruption to the neighborhood fabric

This conflicts with the City’s objective to maintain predictable and stable residential environments.

4. Traffic, Parking, and Safety Impacts (Public Interest Considerations)

The Appeals Committee must consider whether the proposal is detrimental to public safety and convenience. The development raises several concerns:

Increased vehicle traffic on a street designed for low-density residential use
Insufficient on-site parking, leading to spillover onto public streets
Reduced visibility and maneuverability, increasing the risk of accidents
Restricted access for emergency vehicles due to congestion

These impacts are inconsistent with the requirement that Conditional Uses must not negatively affect public safety or convenience.

5. Adverse Impact on Adjacent Properties

The proposal will have direct negative effects on neighboring residents, including:

Loss of privacy due to increased height, windows, and occupancy
Increased noise and activity levels
Potential reduction in property values due to change in neighborhood character
Shadowing and overlook impacts

These effects demonstrate that the development is detrimental to the general welfare of surrounding residents.

6. Precedent and Cumulative Impact

Approval of this application sets a precedent for similar developments within the area. This creates a risk of:

Gradual but significant erosion of low-density zoning intent
Incremental transformation into higher-density housing without comprehensive planning
Increased pressure on infrastructure and services

Such piecemeal intensification is inconsistent with the City's planning principles, which call for coordinated and context-aware growth.

7. Infrastructure Capacity Concerns

Existing infrastructure—including roads, drainage systems, and municipal services—was designed for low-density residential use. The increased demand from a multi-family development may:

Strain existing systems
Reduce service levels
Lead to long-term maintenance and capacity issues

This further supports the conclusion that the proposal is not appropriate for this location.

Conclusion

Based on the above, the approved Conditional Use does not satisfy the statutory and policy requirements set out in:

Winnipeg Zoning By-law No. 200/2006
Plan Winnipeg
Complete Communities Direction Strategy

The proposal is incompatible with the surrounding area, detrimental to residents, and inconsistent with sound planning principles.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Appeals Committee overturn the approval of Conditional Use File DCU 25-241747C.

Thank you for your consideration.

Resident on Rowntree Avenue.

Exhibit “3” referred to in File DCU 241747A/2025C

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing as a concerned resident to formally object to the recently approved Conditional Use and Variance for the proposed multi-family townhouse development on Rowntree Avenue.

This decision raises serious concerns regarding the long-term livability, safety, and infrastructure capacity of our neighborhood. The sudden and significant increase in population density resulting from this development will place undue strain on an area that is not designed to support such growth.

In terms of environmental health, our neighborhood has already been facing a persistent issue with flies. This ongoing problem indicates underlying challenges in waste management and sanitation. If this development proceeds, the expected increase in household waste will very likely intensify the situation, potentially leading to more severe infestations and hygiene concerns that will negatively affect nearby residents’ quality of life.

Parking and traffic conditions are also a major concern. According to the proposal, only 19 parking spaces are planned for the development. This is clearly insufficient for a multi-family townhouse project, as it is common for households to own more than one vehicle. With no additional parking capacity provided, residents may resort to parking on the street, which will inevitably lead to increased congestion, reduced road visibility, and conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians. This situation will create safety hazards and further strain an already limited roadway system.

Moreover, there is ongoing construction of a nearby school. Once completed, traffic volume in the area will increase significantly, particularly during peak hours. The roundabout connected to Rowntree Avenue is already known to be hazardous during winter months due to ice and snow accumulation, with frequent traffic incidents occurring under these conditions. A sudden increase in population and vehicle usage will only exacerbate these risks, making the area even more dangerous for both drivers and pedestrians, and significantly impacting the daily lives of existing residents.

In addition, local recreational facilities are already insufficient to support the current population. Nearby parks are frequently at capacity, and there is a clear shortage of safe and adequate play space for children. The addition of approximately 12 more households will further strain these limited resources, leaving children without appropriate recreational areas and negatively impacting community well-being.

Given these concerns, I strongly request that a comprehensive Traffic Impact Assessment be conducted before any further steps are taken. Without a thorough and transparent evaluation of traffic flow, safety risks, and infrastructure capacity, it is irresponsible to proceed with this development.

If the City intends to proceed despite these concerns, it is imperative that meaningful mitigation measures be implemented. These should include, at a minimum, the expansion of nearby recreational facilities, the addition of public open spaces, and significant improvements to traffic infrastructure and safety measures to accommodate the increased demand.

Taken together, these issues demonstrate that the proposed development is incompatible with the current capacity and conditions of the neighborhood. The cumulative impact on sanitation, safety, traffic, and quality of life is severe and should not be overlooked.

I respectfully urge the Appeals Committee to reconsider this approval and to prioritize the health, safety, and well-being of existing residents.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Hiu Fan Cheung, Tin Kin Kenneth Chui

Owners of

Exhibit “2” referred to in File DCU 241747A/2025C

Dear Secretary of the Appeals Committee,

I am writing to formally appeal the City’s decision to approve the variance under File No. DAV 26-122903C for the proposed development on Rowntree Avenue.

As a resident in the area, I have serious concerns regarding the impact this variance will have on traffic safety, congestion, and overall neighbourhood livability.

Specifically:

- Existing High Density: This area already contains side-by-side homes and relatively high residential density. The current infrastructure and road capacity are already under pressure. As side by side house already high population in area.

- Traffic Congestion & Safety: Rowntree Avenue is frequently reduced to a single usable lane due to on-street parking, despite being a busy route connecting to Landover Drive and surrounding streets.

- Waste Collection Impact: During waste collection days, bins placed along the roadside further narrow the street, creating unsafe conditions for both drivers and pedestrians.

- Increased Density Concerns: Reducing the required front yard setback (from 19 feet to 4 feet) to accommodate additional parking will likely increase congestion rather than resolve it.

- Pedestrian Safety: Limited space and reduced visibility increase risks, particularly for children, families, and individuals walking in the neighbourhood.

Given these concerns, I respectfully request that the Appeals Committee reconsider this variance approval and assess whether it aligns with the intent of the Winnipeg Zoning By-law, particularly in maintaining safe and functional residential streets.

I would appreciate being notified of any hearings or further steps in the appeal process.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Kwan Yu Wong

Hi

I would like to Attached photo to support my appeal for
Traffic Congestion & Safety , high density concerns

. Existing Road Conditions (Evidence Attached)
The current condition of Rowntree Avenue already presents significant challenges:

- Vehicles are frequently unable to pass each other due to on-street parking, effectively reducing the road to a single lane.
- During waste collection days, garbage and recycling bins further obstruct the roadway.
- There have been near-miss situations between vehicles due to limited space and reduced visibility.
- The street layout is already difficult and unsafe for pedestrians, including children and families.
-

2. Proximity to Roundabout and Conflict Points
The subject location is close to a roundabout area with pedestrian crossings, driveway access points, and active traffic flow. This creates multiple conflict points between vehicles and pedestrians.

- Additional congestion and parking will increase the risk of accidents in this already complex traffic area.
- Vehicles entering and exiting driveways near the roundabout further reduce visibility and reaction time.

3. Existing High Density
The area already consists of side-by-side homes with relatively high residential density. The current road infrastructure is not designed to accommodate further intensification.

4. Impact of the Approved Variance
The approved reduction of the front yard setback (from 19 feet to 4 feet) to allow additional parking will likely increase congestion and worsen existing safety concerns, rather than alleviate them.

5. Emergency and Public Safety Concerns
Given the narrow effective roadway and added congestion, emergency vehicle access may be compromised.

Thanks again

Regards,
Kwan Yu


oy St, Winnipeg, MB R3Y 0S4
owntree Ave, Winnipeg, MB R3Y 1S4
oogle 街景服務

Exhibit “1” referred to in File DCU 241747A/2025C

Planning, Property and Development Department
Service de l'urbanisme, des biens et de l'aménagement
Unit 31 – 30 Fort Street • 30, rue Fort, unité 31 • Winnipeg • Manitoba R3C 4X7

CONDITIONAL USE ORDER

DCU 25-241747/C

APPLICANT:	Waqar Younas GS Homes Ltd. 943 KAPELUS DR UNIT 5 WEST ST PAUL MB R4A 5A4 CAN
PREMISES AFFECTED:	Rowntree Avenue
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	LOT 53 BLOCK 2 PLAN 60574 hereinafter called "the land".
PROPERTY ZONED:	R2
ZONING ATLAS SHEET:	AN20

NATURE OF APPLICATION:

For a Conditional Use under Winnipeg Zoning By-Law No. 200/2006 to permit the establishment of a multi-family dwelling use.

It is the opinion of the Director of Planning, Property and Development Department that subject to conditions listed below, if any, this order meets the statutory criteria of the City of Winnipeg Charter in that it:

(a) is consistent with Plan Winnipeg and any applicable secondary plan;
(b) does not create a substantial adverse effect on the amenities, use, safety and convenience of the adjoining property and adjacent area, including an area separated from the property by a street or waterway; and
(d) is compatible with the area in which the property to be affected is situated.

C.W. Charter Section 254 and Subsection 247(3)

ORDER:

RE: Rowntree Avenue

The Director of Planning, Property and Development Department hereby orders that the establishment of a multi-family dwelling use **is APPROVED** on "the land", subject to the following condition(s) which the Director of Planning, Property and Development Department considers necessary to ensure compliance with criteria (a) to (d) above, namely:

1. That if any development granted by the Order is not established within two (2) years of the date thereof, the Order, in respect of that Conditional Use shall terminate.

2. That the development shall be established in substantial conformance with plans identified as Sheets 1-2 for File No. DCU 25-241747/C, and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning, Property, and Development;

OR

That the Owner must submit plans showing the location and design of any and all proposed:

i. buildings;
ii. accessory parking areas;
iii. garbage enclosures;
iv. fencing; and
v. landscaping

on the Owner's Land ("Works") to the Director of Planning, Property and Development for approval prior to the issuance of any building or development permit, and thereafter must construct the Works in substantial conformance with the approved plans and maintain the Works to the satisfaction of the Director.

DATE OF ORDER: April 21, 2026

CERTIFIED BY:



for the Director Planning, Property and Development Department

HOW TO APPEAL

You can appeal this order by filing a letter of appeal with the City Clerk. Note that, in filing the appeal, the entirety of the order is subject to review by the designated committee at the appeal hearing. The appeal letter must:

(a) be addressed as set out below,

(b) be received at that office not later than 4:30 p.m. on May 05, 2026.
[IF RECEIVED LATE YOUR APPEAL CANNOT BE HEARD.]

(c) refer to Conditional Use Order DCU 25-241747/C, give brief reasons and describe whether you appeal the whole order or only part(s) of it.

You can attend the appeal hearing and speak on issues raised in someone else's appeal; but the designated committee can only rule on issues raised in appeals filed. If you are not sure what others have appealed you should file your own appeal.

Address: City Clerk, City of Winnipeg
c/o Appeals Committee
Susan A. Thompson Bldg.
510 Main Street
Winnipeg, MB, R3B 1B9
Fax 947-3452
Email: clk-appeals@winnipeg.ca